## Hospital C Chronology of Events for Processing of Building Plan Submissions since 2005

| Date                    | <b>Key Events</b>   | Remarks  |
|-------------------------|---|--|
| 2005 to early 2008      | DLO/KE received a number of building plan submissions and revised submissions in relation to the 2-storeyed vehicular and pedestrian link connecting between LG3 and LG4 (the Connection Bridge) and the proposed medical, health and elderly centre on LG4 (the Centre). | A social centre for the elderly (SE) was shown on the building plans. The building plans were disapproved by DLO/KE on 29.8.2007, 9.10.2007 and 6.5.2008 as the lease modifications were under processing. The Authorized Person (AP) was reminded that under the existing lease, the lot owner should not erect any building without the consent in writing of the Director. Failure to comply with any lease conditions may result in lease enforcement action being taken and the lot being re-entered by Government. |
| June 2008 to 2009       | DLO/KE received a number of revised building plans in relation to alteration works on various floors of the Centre.   | It was unclear if the SE was provided according to the building plans. The AP was requested on 31.12.2009 to provide plans approved by BD with indication of the SE and hospital portion for checking.   |
| 26.1.2010               | DLO/KE received building plans in relation to A&A works on LG/F, G/F & 1/F, of the Centre from the AP   | A SE was marked on the building plan but not clearly demarcated. The building plans were superseded by a later submission on 29.6.2010.  |
| 29.6.2010,<br>23.7.2010 | DLO/KE received building plans in relation to A&A works to various floors of the Center   | The SE was not shown on the building plans. The AP was requested on 28.9.2010 to provide plans approved by BD with indication of the SE and hospital portion for checking.   |
| 26.7.2011               | DLO/KE received building plans in relation to A&A works on 1/F & 2/F of the Centre  | The SE was not shown on the building plans. The building plans were not approved and the AP was requested on 25.8.2011 to submit a full set of building plans with clear indication for the portions or part of the buildings is /are related to hospital or SE use for checking.  |
| 10.11.2011              | DLO/KE received building plans in relation to A&A works on 1/F & 2/F of the Centre.   | The SE was not shown on the building plans. No further action could be taken on the building plans until DLO/KE received the building plan submission required with indication of the hospital portion and the SE portion.   |

DLO/KE received copies of a set of consolidated building plans approved by BD for the Centre.

A SE was shown on the building plans. This set of building plans were circulated to DH and the Director of Social Welfare (DSW) on 20.2.2012. DSW replied on 6.3.2012 that they had no objection to the social centre on LG/F but the indication seems to be incorrect. The AP was requested on 25.7.2012 to revise the plans in light of DSW's comment. Reminder was sent on 31.8.2012 on the re-submission.

The AP submitted the corrected LG/F plan for approval on 28.9.2012. This revised submission was referred to DH and DSW for comment on 11.10.2012. DSW expressed no objection to the corrected plan on 19.10.2012. The AP was asked to confirm if the layout of the SE shown on the corrected plan reflect the existing occupation.

A joint site inspection was held on 24.10.2012 which revealed that the demarcated area for the SE as shown on the submission did not reflect the actual occupation. The AP was asked to revise and resubmit the plans for approval.

DLO/KE received on 6.11.2012 a Layout Plan indicating the SE which was circulated to DH and DSW. DSW in e-mail dated 9.11.2012 mentioned that the operator should demarcate clearly a service area for the SE with exclusive use. It could also facilitate the operator to carry out major functions such as organizing different kinds of activities (e.g. mutual help groups, recreational and social activities) and a drop-in area in an elder-friendly environment. The building plans were considered not acceptable and were rejected under the lease on 13.11.2012.