# 立法會 Legislative Council

LC Paper No. FC207/17-18 (These minutes have been seen by the Administration)

Ref : FC/1/1(25)

#### Finance Committee of the Legislative Council

## Minutes of the 50<sup>th</sup> meeting held at Conference Room 1 of the Legislative Council Complex on Saturday, 17 June 2017, at 8:45 am

#### Members present:

Hon CHAN Kin-por, BBS, JP (Chairman) Hon Michael TIEN Puk-sun, BBS, JP (Deputy Chairman) Hon James TO Kun-sun Hon LEUNG Yiu-chung Hon Tommy CHEUNG Yu-yan, GBS, JP Prof Hon Joseph LEE Kok-long, SBS, JP Hon Jeffrey LAM Kin-fung, GBS, JP Hon WONG Ting-kwong, SBS, JP Hon Starry LEE Wai-king, SBS, JP Hon CHAN Hak-kan, BBS, JP Hon WONG Kwok-kin, SBS, JP Hon Mrs Regina IP LAU Suk-yee, GBS, JP Hon Paul TSE Wai-chun, JP Hon LEUNG Kwok-hung Hon Claudia MO Hon Frankie YICK Chi-ming, JP Hon WU Chi-wai, MH Hon YIU Si-wing, BBS Hon MA Fung-kwok, SBS, JP Hon Charles Peter MOK, JP Hon CHAN Chi-chuen Hon LEUNG Che-cheung, BBS, MH, JP Hon Kenneth LEUNG Hon Alice MAK Mei-kuen, BBS, JP

Hon KWOK Wai-keung Hon Dennis KWOK Wing-hang Dr Hon Fernando CHEUNG Chiu-hung Dr Hon Helena WONG Pik-wan Hon IP Kin-yuen Dr Hon Elizabeth QUAT, JP Hon Martin LIAO Cheung-kong, SBS, JP Hon POON Siu-ping, BBS, MH Dr Hon CHIANG Lai-wan, JP Ir Dr Hon LO Wai-kwok, SBS, MH, JP Hon Alvin YEUNG Hon Andrew WAN Siu-kin Hon CHU Hoi-dick Hon Jimmy NG Wing-ka, JP Dr Hon Junius HO Kwan-yiu, JP Hon HO Kai-ming Hon LAM Cheuk-ting Hon Holden CHOW Ho-ding Hon SHIU Ka-fai Hon SHIU Ka-chun Hon Wilson OR Chong-shing, MH Hon YUNG Hoi-yan Dr Hon Pierre CHAN Hon CHAN Chun-ying Hon CHEUNG Kwok-kwan, JP Hon HUI Chi-fung Hon LUK Chung-hung Hon LAU Kwok-fan, MH Dr Hon CHENG Chung-tai Hon KWONG Chun-yu Hon Jeremy TAM Man-ho Hon Nathan LAW Kwun-chung Dr Hon YIU Chung-yim Dr Hon LAU Siu-lai

#### Members absent:

Hon Abraham SHEK Lai-him, GBS, JP Dr Hon Priscilla LEUNG Mei-fun, SBS, JP Hon Steven HO Chun-yin, BBS Hon CHAN Han-pan, JP Dr Hon KWOK Ka-ki Hon Christopher CHEUNG Wah-fung, SBS, JP Hon CHUNG Kwok-pan Hon Tanya CHAN Hon Kenneth LAU Ip-keung, MH, JP

[According to the Judgment of the Court of First Instance of the High Court on 14 July 2017, LEUNG Kwok-hung, Nathan LAW Kwun-chung, YIU Chung-yim and LAU Siu-lai have been disqualified from assuming the office of a member of the Legislative Council, and have vacated the same since 12 October 2016, and are not entitled to act as a member of the Legislative Council.]

#### **Public officers attending:**

Ms Elizabeth TSE Man-yee, JP	Permanent Secretary for Financial Services and the Treasury (Treasury)				
Ms Carol YUEN, JP	Deputy Secretary for Financial Services and the Treasury (Treasury) 1				
Mr Alfred ZHI Jian-hong	Principal Executive Officer (General), Financial Services and the Treasury Bureau (The Treasury Branch)				
Mr YAU Shing-mu, JP	Under Secretary for Transport and Housing				
Mr Raymond CHENG Nim-tai	Principal Assistant Secretary for Transport and Housing (Transport) 7				
Mr Daniel CHUNG Kum-wah, JP	Director of Highways				
Mr Jimmy CHAN Pai-ming, JP	Principal Government Engineer				
	(Railway Development), Highways Department				
Mr Frankie CHOU Wing-ping	Chief Engineer (Railway				
	Development)1-2, Highways				
	Department				
Dr Philco WONG	Projects Director, MTR Corporation Limited				
Mr Ken WONG	General Manager (Projects), MTR Corporation Limited				

Mr Jason WONG	General Manager (SCL Civil (EWL)),
Mr Stephen YAU	MTR Corporation Limited Manager (Estimates, Cost Control and
	Logistics), MTR Corporation Limited
Ms Prudence CHAN	Senior Manager (Projects and Property
	Communications), MTR Corporation
	Limited
Mr LAU Kong-wah, JP	Secretary for Home Affairs
Mrs Betty FUNG CHIN	G Permanent Secretary for Home Affairs
Suk-yee, JP	·
Mr YEUNG Tak-keung, JP	Commissioner for Sports, Home Affairs Bureau
Ms Linda LAW Lai-tan	Principal Assistant Secretary for Home Affairs (Recreation and Sport) 2

#### **Clerk in attendance:**

Ms Anita SIT

Assistant Secretary General 1

#### **Staff in attendance:**

Mr Derek LO Ms Ada LAU Mr Raymond SZETO Mr Frankie WOO Miss Yannes HO Chief Council Secretary (1)5 Senior Council Secretary (1)7 Council Secretary (1)5 Senior Legislative Assistant (1)3 Legislative Assistant (1)6 <u>Action</u>

### Item No. 1 — FCR(2017-18)10 RECOMMENDATION OF THE PUBLIC WORKS SUBCOMMITTEE MADE ON 5 APRIL 2017

PWSC(2016-17)43 HEAD 706 — HIGHWAYS Transport — Railways 63TR — Shatin to Central Link-

### - Shatin to Central Link—construction of railway works—advance works

<u>The Chairman</u> said that the Finance Committee ("FC") continued with the deliberation on item FCR(2017-18)10 which sought FC's approval for the recommendation of the Public Works Subcommittee ("PWSC") made at its meeting held on 5 April 2017, i.e. the recommendation set out in PWSC(2016-17)43 to increase the approved project estimate of 63TR (Shatin to Central Link ("SCL")—construction of railway works—advance works) by \$847.7 million from \$6,254.9 million to \$7,102.6 million in money-of-the-day prices.

2. <u>The Chairman</u> reminded members of the direction he made at the last meeting that members should proceed to the final round of speaking on this item. <u>Mr James TO</u> criticized the Chairman for making such a decision.

### Declaration of interest

3. <u>The Chairman</u> declared that he was an independent non-executive director of The Bank of East Asia.

4. At the request of Mr James TO, <u>the Chairman</u> clarified that there was no relation between The Bank of East Asia and this item. His usual practice was to declare, in respect of each project item, the other offices held by him.

5. <u>Mr SHIU Ka-fai</u> declared that the subsidiaries of his companies might have engaged in business transactions with the MTR Corporation Limited ("MTRCL"), but he himself was not involved in such transactions.

#### Site investigation issues

6. <u>Mr James TO</u> asked whether the investigation problems associated with this project involved human negligence.

7. <u>The Director of Highways</u> ("D of Hy") responded that investigation arrangements for this project were made according to the actual work site conditions. As mentioned by MTRCL, engineering personnel were unable to completely follow the guidelines when conducting the investigation works due to the presence of other buildings within the site area.

8. <u>Mr LEUNG Yiu-chung</u> pointed out that the SCL project had incurred cost overruns because of investigation problems, and it was possible that such problems were attributable to an obsolete Geoguide based on which the investigation works had proceeded. He enquired whether the Administration had planned for a review of the Geoguide and whether it had any plans to do so. <u>Mr James TO</u> was of the view that if an updated Geoguide could help improve the accuracy of the investigation works for other projects, thereby reducing the risks of cost overruns, the Administration should expeditiously work on the updates.

9. <u>D of Hy</u> responded that the Geoguide was only a general guide. The ground investigation needs of individual projects still required the determination made by the responsible professionals at the design stage. According to the Development Bureau, the Geotechnical Engineering Office would update the Geoguide from time to time, and the latest update was done in 2014. He would relay members' views to the Development Bureau for reference.

10. <u>The Projects Director, MTRCL</u> pointed out that, regarding the actual investigation works conducted under the SCL project, the SCL works site was situated in built-up areas, which presented much more complex situations as compared with similar projects that had been undertaken in the past. MTRCL still encountered quite a number of difficulties even though it had followed the investigation requirements specified in the Geoguide.

Motions proposed by members under paragraph 37A of the Finance Committee Procedure

11. At 8:54 am, <u>the Chairman</u> declared that FC would start to deal with the motions proposed by members under paragraph 37A of the Finance Committee Procedure ("FCP") ("FCP 37A motions").

12. <u>The Chairman</u> immediately put the motion numbered 0001 proposed by Mr CHAN Chi-chuen to FC for deciding whether it should be proceeded with forthwith. At the request of members, <u>the Chairman</u> ordered a division. <u>The Chairman</u> declared that the question on whether the motion should be proceeded with forthwith was negatived.

## Motions moved under paragraph 47 of the Finance Committee Procedure

13. <u>Dr Fernando CHEUNG</u> sought to move that in the event of further divisions being claimed in respect of any motions under the same agenda item, FC should proceed to each of such divisions immediately after the division bell had been rung for four minutes. <u>The Chairman</u> responded that members could either move under FCP 47 that in the event of further divisions being claimed in respect of any motions under the same agenda item, FC should proceed to each of such divisions immediately after the division bell had been rung for one minute, or stick to the original procedure under which FC should proceed to the divisions immediately after the division bell had been rung for five minutes.

14. <u>Mr CHAN Hak-kan</u> moved a motion under FCP 47 that in the event of further divisions being claimed in respect of any motions under the same agenda item, FC should proceed to each of such divisions immediately after the division bell had been rung for one minute. <u>The Chairman</u> immediately proposed the question and directed that each member might speak once on the motion for not more than three minutes.

15. <u>Mr KWOK Wai-keung</u>, <u>Mr LUK Chung-hung</u>, <u>Mr Holden CHOW</u>, <u>Dr CHIANG Lai-wan</u> and <u>Dr Elizabeth QUAT</u> spoke in support of the motion. These members considered that passage of the motion enabled FC to conduct meetings in a more efficient manner. They also pointed out that there had been thorough discussion on this item as FC had considered this item for more than 10 hours. They criticized members from the non-establishment camp for resorting to filibustering to impede the approval process of the item, thereby further deferring the commissioning date of SCL.

16. Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung, Dr Fernando CHEUNG, Mr James TO, Dr YIU Chung-yim, Mr SHIU Ka-chun, Mr HUI Chi-fung, Mr CHAN Chi-chuen, Mr LEUNG Yiu-chung, Dr CHENG Chung-tai, Mr KWONG Chun-yu, Mr Andrew WAN, Dr LAU Siu-lai, Dr Helena WONG and Mr Jeremy TAM spoke against the motion. These members considered that both the Administration and MTRCL should be held accountable to the Legislative Council ("LegCo") and the public for the serious cost overruns incurred by the SCL project. However, with the pro-establishment members, who constituted FC's majority, providing escort for the funding proposal, and the Chairman's decision to put the discussion to an end, this item would be approved anyway. Since members were unable to continue raising questions and holding the Administration and MTRCL responsible for the cost overruns, all they could do was to demonstrate their protest by raising objection to this motion. Regarding the voting on FCP 37A motions, as the motions were proposed without notices, members could not take a look at the motions before the meeting, and therefore did not have sufficient time to understand the contents of such motions. Also, since FC seldom held meetings on Saturday mornings, not many members were FC should therefore allow more time for present at this meeting. members to return to their seats and understand the contents of the proposed FCP 37A motions before voting on them.

17. While Mr LEUNG Yiu-chung was speaking, <u>Dr Elizabeth QUAT</u> raised a point of order and pointed out that Mr LEUNG had made an offensive remark in his speech, alleging that pro-establishment members were not humans. <u>Mr Andrew WAN</u> disagreed and pointed out that the expression was used in a context that described pro-establishment members as robots instead of humans, and should not be construed as an offensive remark. <u>The Chairman</u> asked Mr LEUNG Yiu-chung to stop using such an expression.

18. <u>Mr CHU Hoi-dick</u> said that he might support this motion if the Administration could respond to members' questions and queries in a comprehensive manner. However, he stressed that FC should scrutinize each item seriously and hold the Administration accountable. He did not agree with the allegation made by pro-establishment members that other members had been filibustering.

19. <u>The Chairman</u> put the motion to vote. At the request of members, <u>the Chairman</u> ordered a division. The motion was carried. The votes of individual members were set out in the **Annex**.

-	-	
Members proposing the motions	Serial numbers of motions	Motions be proceeded with forthwith
Mr CHAN Chi-chuen	0002,0003,0004	No
Mr Nathan LAW Kwun-chung	<u>0005, 0006, 0007,</u> <u>0011, 0012</u>	Motions had not been dealt with in the absence of the member concerned
Mr CHU Hoi-dick	0013,0014	No
Dr Fernando CHEUNG Chiu-hung	<u>0015</u>	No
Dr YIU Chung-yim	0016	No

20. FC continued to vote on whether the remaining FCP 37A motions should be proceeded with forthwith. The voting results were as follows:

#### Voting on the item

21. At 10:17 am, there being no further questions from members, <u>the</u> <u>Chairman</u> immediately put the item to vote. At the request of members, <u>the Chairman</u> ordered a division, and the division bell was rung for one minute. <u>The Chairman</u> declared that 25 members voted in favour of and 19 members voted against the item. The votes of individual members were as follows:

For:

Mr Tommy CHEUNG Yu-yan Mr WONG Ting-kwong Mr WONG Kwok-kin Mr Michael TIEN Puk-sun Mr YIU Si-wing Ms Alice MAK Mei-kuen Dr Elizabeth QUAT Dr CHIANG Lai-wan Dr Junius HO Kwan-yiu Mr Holden CHOW Ho-ding Ms YUNG Hoi-yan Mr CHEUNG Kwok-kwan Mr LAU Kwok-fan (25 members) Mr Jeffrey LAM Kin-fung Mr CHAN Hak-kan Mrs Regina IP LAU Suk-yee Mr Frankie YICK Chi-ming Mr LEUNG Che-cheung Mr KWOK Wai-keung Mr POON Siu-ping Mr POON Siu-ping Mr Jimmy NG Wing-ka Mr HO Kai-ming Mr HO Kai-ming Mr Wilson OR Chong-shing Mr CHAN Chun-ying Mr LUK Chung-hung Against:Mr LEUNG Yiu-chungMr LEUNMr WU Chi-waiMr CharleMr CHAN Chi-chuenMr DenniDr Fernando CHEUNG Chiu-hungDr HelenaMr Martin LIAO Cheung-kongMr AndreMr CHU Hoi-dickMr LAMMr SHIU Ka-chunMr HUI ODr CHENG Chung-taiMr KWOMr Jeremy TAM Man-hoDr YIU ODr LAU Siu-lai(19 members)

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung Mr Charles Peter MOK Mr Dennis KWOK Wing-hang Dr Helena WONG Pik-wan Mr Andrew WAN Siu-kin Mr LAM Cheuk-ting Mr HUI Chi-fung Mr KWONG Chun-yu Dr YIU Chung-yim

22. <u>The Chairman</u> declared that the item was approved.

#### Item No. 2 — FCR(2017-18)14 RECOMMENDATION OF THE PUBLIC WORKS SUBCOMMITTEE MADE ON 31 MAY 2017

#### PWSC(2017-18)2 HEAD 703 — BUILDINGS Recreation, Culture and Amenities — Sports facilities 272RS — Kai Tak Sports Park

23. <u>The Chairman</u> said that this item sought FC's approval of the recommendation set out in FCR(2017-18)14 concerning the upgrading of the remaining part of 272RS to Category A at an estimated cost of \$31,898 million in money-of-the-day prices for construction of the Kai Tak Sports Park ("KTSP") to support the further development of sports in Hong Kong. Some members had requested that the funding proposal be voted on separately at the FC meeting. PWSC discussed the proposal at its meetings on 6, 10, 17, 20 and 31 May 2017 (the total time spent on this item was about 11 hours and 23 minutes).

24. <u>Mr KWONG Chun-yu</u> and <u>Mr Alvin YEUNG</u> criticized the Administration for putting the controversial item of KTSP ahead of other livelihood-related items in an attempt to force FC to discuss the item.

25. <u>Mr CHU Hoi-dick</u> said that he had submitted seven proposed motions on this item under FCP 21. According to his understanding, the Chairman had sent his proposed motions to the Administration for comments. He urged the Chairman to disclose to members the Administration's comments.

26. <u>The Chairman</u> pointed out that Mr CHU's requested arrangement was not in line with the practices adopted by LegCo in handling amendments at Council meetings. He would consider whether FC should adopt such practice.

27. <u>Mr Dennis KWOK</u> said that he would like to know the legal basis provided by the Administration for raising objection to the motions proposed by Mr CHU and requested the Legal Adviser to give advice on the Administration's responses.

28. <u>The Deputy Secretary for Financial Services and the Treasury</u> (Treasury) 1 responded that there were two major points of view based on which the Government's legal opinions were formed. On the one hand, according to the Government's legal opinions, the seven motions proposed by Mr CHU Hoi-dick under paragraph (c) of the Resolution on Capital Works Reserve Fund (Cap. 2A) of the Public Finance Ordinance (Cap. 2) should be ruled out of order as the contents of the motions were outside the scope of the aforesaid provision. On the other hand, according to the Government's legal opinions, as the First to Third Motions proposed by Mr CHU would give rise to additional expenditure chargeable to the Government's general revenue the General Revenue Account, they fell outside the scope of the Resolution on Capital Works Reserve Fund of the Public Finance Ordinance and did not comply with the requirements under Rule 31(1) of the Rules of Procedure.

29. <u>The Chairman</u> said that he would make a decision after consulting the Legal Adviser on the Administration's responses.

### Monitoring the operation of the Kai Tak Sports Park

30. <u>Mr Michael TIEN</u> expressed support for the development of KTSP. He was of the view that KTSP could provide appropriate venues for holding major international sports events (such as the ITTF World Tour Grand Finals). Unlike the proposal for capital injection into the expansion project of the Hong Kong Disneyland, he considered that the Administration, in putting forward the current proposal, was willing to listen to his opinions, including the requirements of depositing a larger amount of performance bond of as much as \$900 million to \$1,000 million by the Contracted Party, changing the operation period from 25 years to 15 years with an additional period of 10 years, as well as introducing a mid-term review to be conducted in the tenth year after the commencement of operation of KTSP. <u>Mr LAU Kwok-fan</u> expressed similar views. He pointed out that six recommendations jointly submitted by him and other

members had been sent to the Administration. He was pleased to learn consideration the Administration had given due to such that recommendations and accepted five of them. Mr LAU noted that the Administration would set up the Kai Tak Sports Park Advisory Committee ("the Advisory Committee") to monitor the operation of KTSP. He was concerned about the relationship between the Advisory Committee and the In his view, the Administration should Contracted Party of KTSP. consider incorporating the level of fees and charges as well as the arrangement of according user priority to local athletes into the key performance indicators ("KPIs") for assessing the performance of the Contracted Party.

31. <u>Mr KWONG Chun-yu</u> queried that the Advisory Committee was not representative enough to reflect the views of the public. <u>Mr Alvin</u> <u>YEUNG</u> expressed concerns about the arrangement of the mid-term review. He requested that such evaluation factors as over-priced services and poor management should be included into the relevant agreements so as to exercise more effective checks and balances on the Contracted Party.

The Secretary for Home Affairs ("SHA") responded that, in terms 32. of the management regime, the Advisory Committee would be set up about one year prior to the completion of KTSP to monitor the operation of KTSP, and a mid-term review would be conducted in the tenth year after the commencement of operation of KTSP. The Advisory Committee would comprise representatives from the sports sector (including the Sports Federation and Olympic Committee of Hong Kong, China, the Hong Kong Paralympic Committee and Sports Association for the Physically Disabled, and the Hong Kong Schools Sports Federation) and people from different sectors (including retired athletes, representatives from the entertainment sector, professionals experienced in management and marketing, LegCo Members and members of relevant District Councils), so that stakeholders could have the opportunities to air their views with a view to fine-tuning the operation of KTSP to better serve the needs of the public. In addition, the Government would specify the required KPIs in the terms and conditions of the agreements, incorporating members' views in this regard.

33. <u>Mr Michael TIEN</u> suggested that the ratio between the weighting given to the fixed payment and that to the income sharing arrangement should be set at 2:1 under the tender evaluation scheme.

34. <u>SHA</u> undertook to relay Mr TIEN's suggestion to the Central Tender Board for consideration. Regarding the percentage of KTSP's gross operating income to be shared with the Government each year and the minimum amount of the fixed payment to be paid to the Government, the Central Tender Board would determine their relative weightings in the marking scheme for tender evaluation.

### **Bid** incentive

35. <u>Mr LAU Kwok-fan</u> said that he understood the Administration's arrangement for providing bid incentive to each unsuccessful bidder who had submitted a conforming bid at the tender stage, and pointed out that the Administration had made concessions and lowered the maximum amount of bid incentive for each unsuccessful bidder from \$120 million to \$60 million.

36. <u>Mr KWONG Chun-yu</u> criticized that the provision of bid incentive would set a very bad precedent. He asked the Administration to promise not to do the same again. <u>Mr Alvin YEUNG</u> expressed similar concerns, and queried that the Administration was unable to convince the public that the bid incentive arrangement would not become a precedent case.

37. <u>SHA</u> stressed that provision of bid incentive was an independent one-off arrangement given the uniqueness of the KTSP contract. The Government considered that provision of bid incentive had the advantage of attracting more tenderers to submit bids and promoting competition. With the provision of bid incentive, the Government was also given the intellectual property rights of desirable design features in the unsuccessful bids. Given that the Government would not, in the foreseeable future, implement infrastructure projects with a scale and characteristics similar to KTSP, and the last similar project was the Hong Kong Stadium built in the nineties of the last century, it was considered that the bid incentive arrangement would not become a precedent.

38. <u>The Permanent Secretary for Financial Services and the Treasury</u> (Treasury) added that it was a special arrangement to offer bid incentive under the current proposal. The Government had gone through thorough internal discussion before deciding to adopt the arrangement. This was not a standing practice of the Government. Also, the Government had no plan to make the bid incentive arrangement a general policy. <u>Mr Alvin YEUNG</u> said that the explanation given by the Administration had not allayed his worries.

#### Other views

39. <u>Mr KWONG Chun-yu</u> questioned that the KTSP project was not conducive to promoting sports in the community and offering assistance to athletes at grass roots level.

40. <u>SHA</u> pointed out that in view of the inadequate provision of sports facilities in East Kowloon, the KTSP project was aimed at addressing the needs of the community. In addition, it was mentioned in the Chief Executive's Policy Address this year that funds had been earmarked for improvement of existing and provision of additional sports facilities in various districts in the coming five years (such as provision of heated swimming pools in every district) in order to promote sports in the community.

#### Close of meeting

41. At 10:48 am, <u>the Chairman</u> declared the end of the meeting. The next meeting would start at 10:55 am.

42. The meeting ended at 10:48 am.

Legislative Council Secretariat 28 March 2018

#### 點名表決DIVISION: 3 日期 DATE: 17/06/2017 時間 TIME: 10:07:52 上午 AM

動議 MOTION: 動議其後就相同議程項目下任何議案或待議議題進行點名表決時,委員會須在點名表決鐘聲響起 一分鐘後進行點名表決

Motion that in the event of further divisions being claimed of any motions or questions under the same agenda item, the Committee do proceed such divisions immediately after the division bell has been rung for one minute

附件 Annex

#### 動議人 MOVED BY:

出席 Present			:	46
投票 Vote			:	45
贊成 Yes	:	26		
反對 No	:	19		
棄權 Abstain	:	0		
結果 Result			:	通過 Passed

#### 個別表決如下

THE INDIVIDUAL VOTES WERE AS FOLLOWS:

深耀忠   LEUNG Yiu-chung   反對   NO   廖長江   Martin LIAO   贊成   Y     石禮謙   Abraham SHEK   潘兆平   POON Siu-ping   贊成   Y     張宇人   Tommy CHEUNG   贊成   YES   蔣麗芸   Dr CHIANG Lai-wan   贊成   Y     李國麟   Prof Joseph LEE   反對   NO   盧偉國   Ir Dr LO Wai-kwok      林健鋒   Jeffrey LAM   贊成   YES   鍾國斌   CHUNG Kwok-pan     黃定光   WONG Ting-kwong   楊岳橋   Alvin YEUNG	)TE
梁耀忠LEUNG Yiu-chung反對NO廖長江Martin LIAO贊成Y石禮謙Abraham SHEK''''''''''''張宇人Tommy CHEUNG贊成YES蔣麗芸Dr CHIANG Lai-wan贊成Y李國麟Prof Joseph LEE反對NO''''''''林健鋒Jeffrey LAM贊成YES鍾國斌CHUNG Kwok-pan''黃定光WONG Ting-kwong''''''''	-
石禮謙   Abraham SHEK   潘兆平   POON Siu-ping   贊成   Y     張宇人   Tommy CHEUNG   贊成   YES   蔣麗芸   Dr CHIANG Lai-wan   贊成   Y     李國麟   Prof Joseph LEE   反對   NO   盧偉國   Ir Dr LO Wai-kwok     林健鋒   Jeffrey LAM   贊成   YES   鍾國斌   CHUNG Kwok-pan     黃定光   WONG Ting-kwong   楊岳橋   Alvin YEUNG	ES
張宇人   Tommy CHEUNG   贊成   YES   蔣麗芸   Dr CHIANG Lai-wan   贊成   Y     李國麟   Prof Joseph LEE   反對   NO   盧偉國   Ir Dr LO Wai-kwok     林健鋒   Jeffrey LAM   贊成   YES   鍾國斌   CHUNG Kwok-pan     黃定光   WONG Ting-kwong   楊岳橋   Alvin YEUNG	ES
李國麟   Prof Joseph LEE   反對   NO   盧偉國   Ir Dr LO Wai-kwok     林健鋒   Jeffrey LAM   贊成   YES   鍾國斌   CHUNG Kwok-pan     黃定光   WONG Ting-kwong   楊岳橋   Alvin YEUNG	ES
林健鋒   Jeffrey LAM   贊成   YES   鍾國斌   CHUNG Kwok-pan     黃定光   WONG Ting-kwong   楊岳橋   Alvin YEUNG	ES
黃定光 WONG Ting-kwong 楊岳橋 Alvin YEUNG	
李慧琼 Starry LEE 尹兆堅 Andrew WAN 反對 N	)
陳克勤 CHAN Hak-kan 贊成 YES 朱凱廸 CHU Hoi-dick 贊成 Y	ES
梁美芬 Dr Priscilla LEUNG 吳永嘉 Jimmy NG 贊成 Y	ES
黃國健 WONG Kwok-kin 贊成 YES 何君堯 Dr Junius HO 贊成 Y	ES
葉劉淑儀 Mrs Regina IP 贊成 YES 何啟明 HO Kai-ming 贊成 Y	ES
謝偉俊 Paul TSE 林卓廷 LAM Cheuk-ting 反對 N	)
梁國雄 LEUNG Kwok-hung 周浩鼎 Holden CHOW 贊成 Y	ËS
毛孟靜 Claudia MO 邵家輝 SHIU Ka-fai	
田北辰 Michael TIEN 贊成 YES 邵家臻 SHIU Ka-chun 反對 N	)
何俊賢 Steven HO 柯創盛 Wilson OR 贊成 Y	ES
易志明 Frankie YICK 贊成 YES 容海恩 YUNG Hoi-yan 贊成 Y	ES
胡志偉 WU Chi-wai 反對 NO 陳沛然 Dr Pierre CHAN	
姚思榮       YIU Si-wing       贊成       YES       陳振英       CHAN Chun-ying       贊成       Y	ES
馬逢國 MA Fung-kwok 陳淑莊 Tanya CHAN	
莫乃光 Charles Peter MOK 反對 NO 張國鈞 CHEUNG Kwok-kwan 贊成 Y	ES
陳志全 CHAN Chi-chuen 反對 NO 許智峯 HUI Chi-fung 反對 N	)
陳恒鑌 CHAN Han-pan 陸頌雄 LUK Chung-hung 贊成 Y	ES
梁志祥 LEUNG Che-cheung 贊成 YES 劉國勳 LAU Kwok-fan 贊成 Y	ES
梁繼昌 Kenneth LEUNG 反對 NO 劉業強 Kenneth LAU	
麥美娟       Alice MAK       贊成       YES       鄭松泰       Dr CHENG Chung-tai       反對       N	)
郭家麒 Dr KWOK Ka-ki 鄺俊宇 KWONG Chun-yu 反對 N	)
郭偉强 KWOK Wai-keung 贊成 YES 譚文豪 Jeremy TAM 反對 N	)
郭榮鏗 Dennis KWOK 反對 NO 羅冠聰 Nathan LAW	
張華峰 Christopher CHEUNG 姚松炎 Dr YIU Chung-yim 反對 N	)
張超雄 Dr Fernando CHEUNG 反對 NO 劉小麗 Dr LAU Siu-lai 反對 N	)
黃碧雲 Dr Helena WONG 反對 NO	