E-mails from the Department of Health dated 16 August 2003 on SARS Cases related to Union Hospital (English)

專責委員會(2)文件編號:A77

SC2 Paper No.: A77

John LEUNG

16/08/2003 20:09

To: Patrick TK NIP/HWFB/HKSARG@HWFB
Richard LUK/HWFB/HKSARG@HWFB
cc: Gareth TK AU/DH/HKSARG@DH
PY LEUNG/DH/HKSARG@DH
PY LAM/DH/HKSARG@DH

Teresa CHOVDH/HKSARG@DH Tina MOK/DH/HKSARG@DH

Subject: Re: Brief of SARS cases related to Union Hospital

In my report this morning, we advised that there was only one case of nurse (related to the case of nurse (related to the case of nurse).

was not a case of SCAP. Our record revealed that Union Hospital did report verbally to NTERO on 1 March that she was admitted to PWH because she was suffering from gastrointestinal tract symptoms. She was also reported to have been discharged uneventfully on the same day. Subsequently, NTERO was verbally advised by Union Hospital that was admitted to PMH for the same symptoms. This information is collaborated by the Discharge Summary from Princess Margaret Hospital which your good office sent me this afternoon.

- John -

÷ :-

IH NUISE Z.

John LEUNG

John LEUNG

16/08/2003 11:20

To: Patrick TK NIP/HWFB/HKSARG@HWFB Richard LUK/HWFB/HKSARG@HWFB

cc: Gareth TK AU/DH/HKSARG@DH Teresa CHOI/DH/HKSARG@DH Tina MOK/DH/HKSARG@DH

Subject: Brief of SARS cases related to Union Hospital

☐ Return Receipt

₩ < SC04-12N-EZ

Herewith the report Brief of SARS cases(UnionHosp).c from NTERO. Out NTWRO is checking its record on UNH Nurse Z

I understand that the concern of the Expert Committee is whether the Union Hospital Case of the source of infection for Hong Kong. We submit that it is not because -

The gene sequence of was said to be different for that is the included.

The gene sequence of was said to be different from that in the isolates from the major outbreak at PWH - bull point 10 of Union Hospital's letter dated 15 August refers.

- John -