立法會 Legislative Council

立法會 CB(3)720/04-05 號文件

2005年6月24日內務委員會會議文件

定於 2005 年 6 月 29 日立法會會議上提出的質詢

提問者:

(1)	李柱銘議員	(口頭答覆)
(2)	梁國雄議員	(口頭答覆)
(3)	劉慧卿議員	(口頭答覆) (新的質詢)
	(取代其原先提出的質詢)	
(4)	陳偉業議員	(口頭答覆)
(5)	梁耀忠議員	(口頭答覆)
(6)	張學明議員	(口頭答覆)
(7)	涂謹申議員	(書面答覆)
(8)	陳智思議員	(書面答覆)
(9)	李卓人議員	(書面答覆)
(10)	王國興議員	(書面答覆)
(11)	張文光議員	(書面答覆)
(12)	鄭家富議員	(書面答覆)
(13)	何俊仁議員	(書面答覆)
(14)	馬力議員	(書面答覆)
(15)	郭家麒議員	(書面答覆)
(16)	陳婉嫻議員	(書面答覆)
(17)	李永達議員	(書面答覆)
(18)	李國麟議員	(書面答覆)
(19)	張超雄議員	(書面答覆)
(20)	楊孝華議員	(書面答覆)

註 : <u>NOTE</u> :

- # 議員將採用這種語言提出質詢
- # Member will ask the question in this language

#(3) 劉慧卿議員 (口頭答覆)

據報,一名女子在一個住宅單位內死去 4 年多後,骸骨才被發現。警方經調查後沒有對任何人提出起訴,而死因裁判官亦沒有召開死因研訊;死者胞妹指案件疑點重重,部分關鍵證物更下落不明,因此她懷疑警方及死因裁判官沒有秉公辦理該宗案件。就此,行政機關可否告知本會:

- (一) 警方終止調查該案件和死因裁判官沒有就 該案件召開死因研訊的原因;
- (二) 有沒有評估警方調查該案件的手法及結果,以及死因裁判官沒有就該案件召開死因研訊,對警方及司法機關的形象造成甚麼影響;若有,評估的結果;及
- (三) 鑒於該案件引起公眾關注,警方會不會重 新調查該案件?

(3) <u>Hon Emily LAU</u> (Oral Reply)

It has been reported that a woman's skeleton was only discovered in a residential flat four-odd years after she had died. After investigations, the Police did not bring charge against anyone, and neither did the Coroner hold a death inquest. The younger sister of the deceased has pointed out that there are a lot of questionable points in the case and some crucial exhibits are even missing. She therefore suspects that the Police and the Coroner have not handled the case in an impartial manner. In this connection, will the Executive Authorities inform this Council:

- (a) of the reasons for the Police's terminating investigation into the case and for the Coroner's not holding a death inquest into the case;
- (b) whether they have assessed the impact of the way the Police investigated the case and their findings, as well as the Coroner's not holding a death inquest into the case, on the image of the Police and the Judiciary; if so, of the assessment results; and
- (c) given that the case has aroused public concerns, whether the Police will re-open the investigation into the case?