選舉管理委員會

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓

ELECTORAL AFFAIRS COMMISSION

10/F, Harbour Centre
25 Harbour Road
Wan Chai
Hong Kong

本函檔號 OUR REF.: REO CR/14/5/DC-19
來函檔號 YOUR REF.:

圖文傳真 Fax: 2507 5810
電　話 Tel.: 2827 1419
網　址 Web Site: http://www.eac.gov.hk

20 November 2018

The Honourable Mrs Carrie Lam Cheng Yuet-ngor, GBM, GBS
The Chief Executive
Hong Kong Special Administrative Region
People's Republic of China
Chief Executive's Office
Hong Kong

Dear Madam,

Pursuant to section 18 of the Electoral Affairs Commission Ordinance, we have the pleasure in submitting to you the enclosed report containing our recommendations on the delineation of District Council constituencies for the purpose of the ordinary election in respect of the District Councils to be held in 2019.

Yours faithfully,

Barnabas Wah FUNG, Chairman

Arthur Yee-shun LUK, Member

Fanny Mui-ching CHEUNG, Member

ABBREVIATIONS

C&SD	Census and Statistics Department
CMAB	Constitutional and Mainland Affairs Bureau
CE	Chief Executive
DC, DCs	District Council, District Councils
DCCA, DCCAs	district council constituency area, district council constituency areas
DCO	District Councils Ordinance
DO, DOs	District Officer, District Officers
EAC	Electoral Affairs Commission
EACO	Electoral Affairs Commission Ordinance
HAD	Home Affairs Department
HD	Housing Department
HKSAR	Hong Kong Special Administrative Region
ISD	Information Services Department
LandsD	Lands Department
LegCo	Legislative Council
OC	Owners' Corporation
PlanD	Planning Department
REO	Registration and Electoral Office
the AHSG	the Ad Hoc Subgroup set up specially for the purpose of the delineation exercise under the Working Group on Population Distribution Projections in the Planning Department

CONTENTS

VOLUME 1

		Page
CHAPTER 1	**INTRODUCTION**	**1**
Section 1	The Responsibility of the Electoral Affairs Commission	1
Section 2	Increase in the number of elected seats	2
Section 3	Scope of the Report	3
CHAPTER 2	**THE DELINEATION EXERCISE**	**4**
Section 1	Statutory Criteria for Delineation	4
Section 2	Working Principles	5
Section 3	Working Partners	8
Section 4	The Work Process	10
CHAPTER 3	**PUBLIC CONSULTATION**	**12**
Section 1	The Consultation Period and Public Forums	12
Section 2	Number of Representations Received	13
CHAPTER 4	**WORK AFTER THE PUBLIC CONSULTATION**	**15**
Section 1	Deliberations and Observations	15
Section 2	The Recommendations	31

CHAPTER 5	**A CONCLUDING NOTE**	**33**
Section 1	Acknowledgements	33
Section 2	Conclusion	34

Appendices

Appendix I	:	Number of DCCAs to be Delineated by Administrative Districts	35
Appendix II	:	Summaries of Written and Oral Representations and EAC's views	36
Appendix III	:	Changes Made to the DCCA Boundaries after the Public Consultation Exercise	409
Appendix IV	:	Changes Made to the DCCA Names after the Public Consultation Exercise	411
Appendix V	:	DCCAs with Population Exceeding the Permissible Range of the Population Quota (Final Recommendations)	412
Appendix VI	:	A Summary of the EAC's Final Recommendations	416

******

VOLUME 2

PART I **22 Maps for the Recommended Constituency Boundaries in respect of the 2019 District Council Ordinary Election and an Index Map**

PART II **Recommended Constituency Areas with Names, Population Figures and Boundary Descriptions**

******

VOLUME 3

PUBLIC REPRESENTATIONS

PART I **Public Representations (Serial Nos.: 1 – 3425)**

PART II **Public Representations (Serial Nos.: 3426 – 6281)**

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

Section 1 : The Responsibility of the Electoral Affairs Commission

1.1 Under section 4(a) of the Electoral Affairs Commission Ordinance (Chapter 541) ("EACO"), one of the functions of the Electoral Affairs Commission ("EAC") is to consider or review the boundaries of District Council ("DC") constituencies for the purpose of making recommendations on the boundaries and names of constituencies for a DC ordinary election.

1.2 The EAC is required under section 18 of the EACO to submit a report to the Chief Executive ("CE") of its recommendations on the boundaries and names of District Council Constituency Areas ("DCCAs") at an interval of not more than 36 months from the preceding DC ordinary election. As the last DC ordinary election was held on 22 November 2015, the EAC has to submit the report on the boundaries and names of the DCCAs for the 2019 DC Ordinary Election to the CE by 21 November 2018.

1.3 Under section 21 of the EACO, the CE-in-Council shall consider the EAC's report as soon as practicable after the receipt of it. Subject to the CE-in-Council's approval on the boundaries and names as recommended by the EAC, the CE-in-Council, having regard to the EAC's final recommendations, will make and table at the Legislative

Council ("LegCo") the relevant Order according to section 6(1) of the District Councils Ordinance (Chapter 547) ("DCO"). After the completion of the negative vetting procedure of the LegCo, the boundaries and names of the DCCAs will come into effect for the sixth-term DC ordinary election to be held in November 2019.

Section 2 : Increase in the number of elected seats

1.4 Delineation of the DCCAs is based on the total number of elected seats for the next DC ordinary election. After undertaking an overall review on the number of elected seats for each of the 18 administrative districts having regard to the projected population of Hong Kong in mid-2019, the Government proposed to increase 21 elected seats in 10 DCs for the sixth-term DCs as follows:

(a) one additional seat for each DC in Kowloon City, Yau Tsim Mong and Tsuen Wan;

(b) two additional seats for each DC in Sham Shui Po, Kwai Ching, Tuen Mun and Sai Kung;

(c) three additional seats for each DC in Kwun Tong and Sha Tin; and

(d) four additional seats for the Yuen Long DC.

1.5 On 17 July 2017, the Government consulted the LegCo Panel on Constitutional Affairs on the proposed addition of 21 elected seats for the 2019 DC Ordinary Election. A motion was moved at the LegCo meeting on 17 January 2018 for the approval of the District Councils Ordinance (Amendment of Schedule 3) Order 2017 to implement this proposal. The Order was approved by the LegCo on the same day and published in the Gazette on 19 January 2018.

1.6 Pursuant to LegCo's approval for the abovementioned Order, the total number of elected seats for the 2019 DC Ordinary Election was increased by 21 from 431 to 452. Correspondingly, the total number of DCCAs to be delineated by the EAC was increased to 452 as one DC member is to be elected from each DCCA. The number of DCCAs to be delineated by administrative districts is set out in **Appendix I**.

Section 3 : Scope of the Report

1.7 The scope and content of this report is based on the requirements stipulated under section 18 of the EACO. The report is published in three volumes. **Volume 1** mainly describes how the proposed delineation of the boundaries of DCCAs was worked out and sets out the EAC's recommendations on the boundaries and the names of the DCCAs with the reasons for those recommendations. **Volume 2** contains the maps of all the administrative districts and the boundary descriptions of relevant DCCAs. The boundaries and names of each DCCA are shown on the maps. **Volume 3** records all the written representations.

CHAPTER 2

THE DELINEATION EXERCISE

Section 1 : Statutory Criteria for Delineation

2.1 The EAC has drawn up its recommendations in accordance with the criteria stipulated under section 20 of the EACO. These criteria are summarised as below:

(a) the EAC shall ensure that the population in each proposed DCCA is as near the population quota as practicable. Population quota means the figure arrived at by dividing the total population of Hong Kong by the total number of elected members to be returned in the DC ordinary election;

(b) where it is not practicable to comply with (a) above in any proposed DCCA, the EAC shall ensure that the population in that DCCA does not exceed or fall short of the population quota by more than 25%;

(c) the EAC shall have regard to the community identities, preservation of local ties and the physical features (such as the size, shape, accessibility and development) of the relevant area;

(d) the EAC may depart from strict application of (a) and (b)

above only where it appears that one or more of the considerations in (c) above renders such a departure necessary or desirable; and

(e) the EAC must follow the existing boundaries of the administrative districts and the number of elected members to be returned to a DC as specified in Schedules 1 and 3 of the DCO respectively.

2.2 The population quota is the quotient in dividing the projected population of Hong Kong by the total number of elected seats in Hong Kong. It is the statutory requirement that delineation of DCCAs should be conducted on the basis of the projected population in the year in which the relevant election would be held. In respect of the 2019 DC Ordinary Election, the projected population of Hong Kong is 7 502 600, which being divided by the total number of 452 elected seats results in the population quota of 16 599. Since the statutory criteria allow the population of a DCCA to exceed or fall short of the population quota by not more than 25%, the statutory permissible range is between 12 449 and 20 749.

Section 2 : Working Principles

2.3 The EAC has also adopted the following set of working principles for the delineation exercise:

(a) for existing DCCAs where the population falls within the

permissible range (i.e. between 12 449 and 20 749 for the 2019 DC Ordinary Election), their boundaries will be maintained as far as possible;

(b) for existing DCCAs where the population falls outside the permissible range, if that situation was allowed for the last DC ordinary election and there remain valid justifications to allow such situation, their boundaries will be maintained as far as possible;

(c) other than (b) above, for existing DCCAs where the population falls outside the permissible range, adjustments will be made to their boundaries (unless there are justifications for maintaining their boundaries on grounds of community identities, preservation of local ties and/or physical features) and also those of the adjacent DCCAs so that their populations stay within the permissible range. Where there is more than one way to adjust the boundaries of the DCCAs concerned, the one which affects the least number of existing DCCAs or less population will be adopted, otherwise the one with the least departure from the population quota will be used;

(d) factors with political implications will not be taken into consideration;

(e) the names of the new DCCAs to be formed are proposed by reference to major features, roads or residential settlements in

the DCCAs after consultation with the relevant District Officers ("DOs") of the Home Affairs Department ("HAD");

(f) the EAC's provisional recommendations on the code references of administrative districts and DCCAs are that the administrative districts should be given the alphabetical reference from "A" onwards, with the omission of "I" and "O" to prevent confusion, starting from the Central and Western District and other administrative districts on Hong Kong Island, followed by the administrative districts in Kowloon and the New Territories. The numbering of DCCAs in an administrative district is to be prefixed by the alphabetical reference for the administrative district and starts from the first numeral. The number "01" should be allocated to the most densely populated DCCA, or the one traditionally considered most important or prominent or the centre of the administrative district, and the number be proceeded consecutively in a clockwise direction so that as far as possible, two consecutive numbers should be found in two DCCAs contiguous to each other. The code reference does not have any bearing on the delineation of DCCA boundaries, but the EAC hopes that by adopting this system, anyone who consults the maps would find it easier to understand them and locate the DCCAs. These methods have been adopted since 1994 and the public should be generally familiar with them; and

(g) where the DCCA boundaries have to continue into the sea to align with the administrative district boundary, the DCCA boundary lines are, as far as possible, drawn perpendicular to the administrative district boundary lines on the sea.

Section 3 : Working Partners

2.4 The EAC Secretariat, staffed by designated personnel of the Registration and Electoral Office ("REO"), assisted the EAC in carrying out the exercise.

2.5 As in the past, the necessary projected population figures are provided by an Ad Hoc Subgroup ("AHSG"), set up specially for the purpose of the delineation exercise under the Working Group on Population Distribution Projections in the Planning Department ("PlanD"). These population projection figures are the most essential information required for conducting the delineation exercise. The AHSG was chaired by an Assistant Director of PlanD and comprised of representatives from the Constitutional and Mainland Affairs Bureau ("CMAB"), Census and Statistics Department ("C&SD"), Housing Department ("HD"), Lands Department ("LandsD"), Rating and Valuation Department, HAD and REO. These professional departments in the AHSG have all along been responsible for carrying out territory-wide population censuses and projections on population distribution. To ensure that the projections can cater for the 2019 DC Ordinary Election, the AHSG was requested to project the population distribution figures as at a date as close to the election date as practicable.

For this reason, the AHSG followed the practice in past delineation exercises and provided the EAC with the projected population figures as at 30 June 2019, presuming that the DC ordinary election would be held in November 2019.

2.6 The LandsD also rendered assistance in producing maps showing the projected population, administrative district and DCCA boundaries, and the boundary descriptions for use by the EAC in the delineation exercise. It also provided the EAC with information related to land administration where necessary.

2.7 According to the statutory criteria, the EAC is required to have regard to the community identities, preservation of local ties, and the physical features (such as the size, shape, accessibility and development) of the relevant area when drawing up its recommendations on the boundaries of DCCAs. Having regard to the fact that the DOs, being officers responsible for district administration, having more in-depth knowledge of the local characteristics, geographical and transport matters of their administrative districts, the EAC has followed the established practice to invite the DOs to provide factual information of their respective districts on such matters for reference so as to have a better understanding of the feasibility of different delineation proposals. Moreover, the EAC, where necessary, has requested other government departments (e.g. LandsD) to provide information.

2.8 The Information Services Department ("ISD") has given expert advice in drawing up the strategy and ideas for the publicity

programme and materials for the consultation exercise.

Section 4 : The Work Process

Commencement of work

2.9 The AHSG held its first meeting in June 2017 to work out the method to be adopted for compiling the data and set out the work schedule. The projected population figures were made available in January 2018, on which basis the LandsD prepared the maps. When these maps were ready, the EAC Secretariat proceeded to work on the preliminary proposals for delineation of DCCA boundaries.

Site visits

2.10 Physical features such as the size, shape, accessibility and development of an area are important considerations in the delineation work. Therefore, the local geographical situations would impact on the delineation of DCCA boundaries. In order to obtain first-hand information on the relevant DCCAs, where necessary, the staff of the EAC Secretariat would conduct site visits to inspect the unique physical features, transport facilities and accessibility of the DCCAs concerned. The information and topographical features so gathered were analysed and taken into account in drawing up the preliminary proposals.

Meetings to deliberate and formulate proposals

2.11 After the staff of the EAC Secretariat had finalised their preliminary recommendations on the boundaries and names of the DCCAs, the EAC convened meetings to consider the preliminary proposals. The EAC Secretariat presented the proposals to the EAC with the aid of maps and photographs to facilitate better understanding of the local features and the environment of the DCCAs concerned. Information gathered from site visits and the factual information provided by the DOs were also submitted to the EAC for reference.

Provisional recommendations

2.12 In the EAC's provisional recommendations, the boundaries of 128 DCCAs had to be changed and six DCCAs were renamed. The EAC allowed 12 DCCAs to exceed the permissible range of the population quota for one reason or the other. The proposed boundaries and names of the DCCAs requiring adjustments and those allowed to exceed the permissible range with the relevant considerations by the EAC were set out in the consultation documents.

2.13 After the EAC had drawn up the provisional recommendations on the DCCA boundaries, the EAC Secretariat prepared for the launch of the public consultation exercise. The public consultation exercise ran from 23 July 2018 to 21 August 2018. Details of the provisional recommendations were set out in the two volumes document published for the public consultation.

CHAPTER 3

PUBLIC CONSULTATION

Section 1 : The Consultation Period and Public Forums

3.1 Pursuant to the provision of section 19 of the EACO, the EAC conducted a public consultation exercise on its provisional recommendations from 23 July 2018 to 21 August 2018. During this period, members of the public could send their written representations to the EAC to express their views on the EAC's provisional recommendations on the boundaries and names of the DCCAs.

3.2 The public consultation exercise was widely publicised by the EAC through Announcements in the Public Interest on radio and TV, press releases, newspaper advertisements, posters, the EAC's website and Government Gazette.

3.3 On the first day of the consultation period (i.e. 23 July 2018), the EAC held a press conference to launch the exercise, and invited the public to give their views on the EAC's provisional recommendations. In order to ensure that its final recommendations can fully take into account the public opinions, the EAC appealed to the public to actively participate in the consultation and express their views, no matter whether for or against the provisional recommendations.

3.4 In the preparation for the public forums in the last delineation exercise, the EAC has increased the number of forums from two in the past to three. However, according to the record, the forum held on Hong Kong Island was attended only by 10-odd persons. And among them, only six expressed their views and only one was about the DCCAs of Hong Kong Island. By comparison, there were more people attending the other two forums held in Kowloon and the New Territories. For the better use of resources, no forum was arranged on Hong Kong Island this time but the time of the forums held in Kowloon and the New Territories was extended from 7:00 p.m. - 9:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m. - 9:30 p.m. so that sufficient time was allowed for the public to express their views.

3.5 The two public forums were conducted at the Lai Chi Kok Community Hall on 1 August 2018 and the Sha Tin Lung Hang Estate Community Centre on 3 August 2018 respectively, where members of the public could attend and express their views to the EAC directly. Audio-visual aids showing the maps were used to facilitate the participants to better understand the content of the provisional recommendations.

Section 2 : Number of Representations Received

3.6 During the consultation period, the EAC has received a total of 6 285 written representations, of which, five representations were withdrawn. Besides, a total of 263 persons attended the forums conducted at the Lai Chi Kok Community Hall (130 persons) and the Lung Hang Estate Community Centre (133 persons). A total of 65 oral

representations were received in the two forums.

3.7 Certain representations expressed views on the arrangements of public forums. These views were noted by the EAC for reference in the future review on the relevant arrangements. Besides, there were views in some representations that were not related to the delineation of boundaries or names of the DCCAs but to matters such as boundaries of the administrative districts, allocation of the elected seats and arrangements for polling stations, etc. For the representations related to boundaries of the administrative districts and allocation of the elected seats, the EAC has referred the relevant representations to the Government. As for views relating to polling stations, the EAC has referred them to the REO.

3.8 All the written representations as arranged according to the administrative districts are reproduced in **Volume 3** of this report. Summaries of the written and oral representations are shown in **Appendix II** of this volume.

CHAPTER 4

WORK AFTER THE PUBLIC CONSULTATION

Section 1 : Deliberations and Observations

4.1 After the public consultation period has ended, the EAC has looked into each of the written and oral representations to consider whether they should be accepted.

4.2 First of all, there were representations pointing out that the EAC had not consulted the public before drawing up the provisional recommendations. The EACO stipulates that the EAC is responsible for drawing up the provisional recommendations and to consult the public thereafter. In this delineation exercise, the EAC has received a large number of representations during the public consultation period, including both supporting and opposing views on the provisional recommendations, and alternative proposals. When deliberating on the representations, the EAC has adopted the same set of statutory criteria and working principles adopted in drawing up the provisional recommendations (see Chapter 2), and to compare the population figures afresh and to consider the other statutory factors in order to examine the merits of both sides in a prudent manner. As in the past, the EAC will accept the proposals received during the public consultation period if those proposals better comply with the statutory criteria and working principles for the delineation exercise than the provisional recommendations.

4.3 Some representations suggested that the provisional recommendations had not taken into account the geographical and transport situations of individual areas, and had tendered alternative proposals and justifications. In order to better understand and assess the reasons advanced, where necessary, the staff of the EAC Secretariat would conduct site visits again to explore the feasibility of the alternative proposals. To enable the EAC to have an overall view of the representations and arrive at fair and balanced recommendations, the EAC Secretariat presented the information gathered from the site visits together with its analysis and observations to the EAC with the aid of maps and photographs to show the relevant physical features.

4.4 In drawing up the provisional recommendations and deliberating on the representations, the EAC has adopted basically the same principles as in previous delineation exercises. Regarding the views expressed in the representations, the EAC has noted the following matters and set out its observations so that the public may fully understand the factors that have been taken into consideration by the EAC.

(a) <u>Number of DC elected seats and DCCAs</u>

4.5 Section 20 of the EACO provides that in drawing up the provisional recommendations on the boundaries of the DCCAs in the administrative districts, the EAC must follow the number of elected members to be returned for each DC as specified in Schedule 3 of the DCO. Regarding the sixth-term DC commencing on 1 January 2020,

based on the population growth, the Government had conducted a review in 2017 on the number of elected seats for each DC in the 18 administrative districts, and the relevant subsidiary legislation was passed by LegCo in January 2018 for the increase of a total of 21 elected seats in 10 DCs. Accordingly, the EAC is required to delineate the same number of DCCAs corresponding to the 452 elected seats.

4.6 There were representations touching on the number of elected seats for the 2019 DC Ordinary Election. For instance, some argued that based on the current projected population in 2019, the number of elected seats for certain administrative districts should be more than those stipulated in the subsidiary legislation. Therefore, they suggested the Government or EAC to further increase the number of elected seats in the administrative districts concerned. Others suggested that the number of elected seats among the administrative districts should be adjusted flexibly. It must be pointed out that the number of new DCCAs to be created is a statutory pre-set for the EAC, to which the EAC has no authority to revise or vary.

4.7 On the issue of the projected population figures as at mid-2019, the Government has used the then available figures on the projected population when reviewing the number of DC seats in 2017, whereas the EAC is required to adopt the latest population projection in reviewing the boundaries of the DCCAs for the DC delineation exercise this year. Hence, the revision of the projected population is an inherent feature of this mechanism. As there was a time gap between the compilation of the two sets of population figures (in particular there was

an update on the population figures in line with the latest by-census results in between), there is bound to be a difference between the number of seats that should be provided for a certain administrative district if one were to use the latest projected population available for the EAC in delineating the DCCA boundaries and the numbers of seats as passed by the LegCo earlier, having regard to the fact that there was a certain degree of increase or decrease of population in some administrative districts during the period. Notwithstanding the above, the EAC must delineate the DCCA boundaries according to the number of seats stipulated for each DC under the DCO.

4.8 As stated in paragraph 4.5 above, the review on the number of elected seats was the responsibility of the Government, which was a pre-set before the start of the delineation exercise. The matter does not fall under the purview of the EAC. In drawing up the provisional recommendations, the EAC must strictly adhere to the statutory criteria under the EACO, among which is to adhere to the number of elected seats stipulated for each DC under the DCO, and to delineate the new DCCAs in the administrative districts as provided for. The EAC has no power to increase or reduce the number of elected seats/DCCAs in any administrative districts. Similarly, the EAC may not transfer the new seats/DCCAs approved for a certain administrative district to another administrative district.

4.9 One of the statutory criteria under the EACO is that the projected population of a DCCA should not exceed or fall short of the population quota by more than 25%. Hence, the EAC may suitably

arrange a DCCA to absorb the excessive population of an adjacent DCCA, or to transfer population to a DCCA in shortfall, as the case may be, in order to ensure that the DCCA concerned can meet the requirement of the statutory permissible range. However, the situation may be different in those administrative districts which are subject to severe geographical and accessibility constraints, for instance, the Islands District, in which the population distribution is considerably uneven, and there is no direct transport link between some islands. Under such circumstances, even though the populations of some DCCAs do deviate from the statutory permissible range, owing to geographical and accessibility constraints, the EAC cannot redistribute their populations through adjustment of their boundaries with adjacent DCCAs, or to merge some of the islands in order to free up a DCCA to deal with the problem of other DCCAs with populations exceeding the statutory permissible upper limit. In any event, the EAC has adjusted the DCCA boundaries in all administrative districts in accordance with the statutory criteria as far as practicable, in order to improve the situation of departing from the permissible range in some DCCAs as far as possible.

4.10 As the views and proposals on the number of elected seats are related to the enactment of the primary legislation which does not fall under the purview of the EAC, the EAC has referred the relevant views to the CMAB for consideration.

(b) <u>"Principle of equal representation" and consideration of the other statutory factors</u>

4.11 The EACO sets out the statutory criteria (see paragraph 2.1 above) for delineating DCCA boundaries and the EAC shall ensure that the population in each proposed DCCA is as near the population quota as practicable. However, given that the majority of the population in Hong Kong live in high-rise buildings, it is not practicable for the population of each DCCA to strictly achieve a single population quota (i.e. 16 599). Hence, the statutory criteria allow the population of a DCCA to exceed or fall short of the population quota by not more than 25%, i.e. between the lower and upper limits of 12 449 and 20 749. The concept behind the relevant criterion is the "principle of equal representation" (i.e. similar number of people should have equal number of representation). This principle is all along the primary consideration in the delineation exercise.

4.12 Moreover, to strictly adhere to the requirement of a single population quota in every DCCA in each delineation exercise is impracticable to do so as mentioned above. It would also need to re-delineate the existing boundaries of a large number of DCCAs, giving rise to unnecessary controversies. Therefore, according to the established working principles of the EAC, for existing DCCAs where the projected populations do fall within the permissible range, the EAC will in principle maintain their existing boundaries.

4.13 The population of Hong Kong is ever-increasing with completion of new buildings continuously and urban renewal projects.

Population movements among different DCCAs are quite substantial. The EAC must, according to the statutory requirement, delineate new DCCAs and adjust the boundaries of the DCCAs where the projected populations deviate from the permissible range. In so doing, it will inevitably lead to a knock-on effect on the adjacent DCCAs, necessitating corresponding adjustments to their boundaries even though their projected populations remain within the permissible range. Nevertheless, the EAC will follow the principle of affecting the least number of DCCAs or less population in adjusting the DCCA boundaries so as to minimise any possible impact on the electors.

4.14 The statutory criterion of allowing the population of a DCCA to exceed or fall short of the population quota by not more than 25% is already a rather liberal range. Be that as it may, the EAC may under special circumstances, having regard to the community identities, traditionally close local ties or unique geographical environment of individual DCCAs, consider it necessary to depart from strict adherence to the requirement of the statutory permissible range and allow the populations of the DCCAs concerned to deviate from the statutory permissible range in accordance with the statutory criteria. As for those DCCAs which have been allowed to deviate from the statutory permissible range in the past, the EAC will review their boundaries during each delineation exercise. If there are changes in the objective circumstances of those DCCAs allowed to depart from the permissible range in the past, such as the addition of new seats within the administrative districts or there is room for adjustment in the adjacent DCCAs, the EAC will appropriately adjust their boundaries in light of the

actual situations. Nevertheless, for some DCCAs, due to their unique situations, such as geographical separation from their adjacent DCCAs or problems of population distribution, there may be still valid justifications for maintaining their existing boundaries unchanged, the EAC will then propose to allow the populations of those DCCAs to depart from the permissible range.

4.15 Since the last delineation exercise, the overall population of Hong Kong has increased by around 200 000. There have also been changes in the distribution of population, and the projected populations of 80 existing DCCAs exceeded the statutory permissible upper or lower limits. The EAC is required under the legislation to appropriately delineate the new DCCAs and adjust the boundaries of DCCAs in accordance with the statutory criteria so that the projected populations of the DCCAs concerned do fall within the statutory permissible range. The EAC noticed that some representations wished that allowance be given to keep the boundaries of the DCCAs unchanged with population falling outside the permissible range more widely and liberally. However, to do so is not only against the requirement of the statutory criteria, let alone the creation of the new DCCAs in accordance with the number of new seats stipulated in the subsidiary legislation. Therefore, due to the population growth and movement, the re-delineation of the boundaries of the DCCAs is inevitable and the impact on the composition of existing DCCAs is also unavoidable.

4.16 Quite a number of representations objected to the EAC's provisional recommendations on the grounds that they are used to the

community services provided by their existing DC members. They wished the existing boundaries of the DCCAs to which they belong be maintained notwithstanding that the populations of the DCCAs do exceed the statutory permissible upper limits. There are also representations arguing that the provision of community services would be hampered by the co-existence of different types of housing or residents with different economic background in a DCCA after the re-delineation because service needs and issues of concern are different. The EAC appreciates the views that the residents do not want changes to the community services they are used to, but taking into account the provision of community services by DC members will unavoidably attract the allegation of involvement of political inclinations. Not only is this neither a statutory criterion for delineation, nor should it be the approach by the EAC as an independent, impartial and apolitical body. Besides, in view of the reality of housing development and population distribution in Hong Kong, it is very common that a community is composed of residents having different backgrounds or aspirations. The EAC's provisional recommendations are based on objective data of population distribution, so as to comply with the "principle of equal representation" in delineation of DCCA boundaries as stated in paragraph 4.11. Although this will inevitably have impacts on community services, in any event, public services and community facilities (e.g. medical and health services, education, amenities, etc.) provided by the Government and non-government organisations will absolutely not be affected by the delineation exercise. Members of the public may continue to enjoy the said services and facilities.

4.17 Besides, there are representations alleging that the provisional recommendations have failed to take into consideration the community integrity and local ties in some DCCAs, by dividing certain public housing estates into different DCCAs. The EAC hopes the public will appreciate the fact that due to the scale of public housing in Hong Kong at present, the population of one housing estate will have exceeded the statutory permissible upper limit (i.e. exceeding 20 749) laid down in the legislation on delineation. In accordance with the statutory criteria, it is simply impossible for the EAC to put an entire housing estate with population exceeding the statutory permissible upper limit within one DCCA, otherwise it will fail to comply with the requirement of statutory permissible range, and violating the "principle of equal representation". As a matter of fact, all along large-scale public or private housing estates have normally been delineated into more than one DCCA, or even more than two DCCAs. Moreover, as mentioned in paragraph 4.13 above, in view of the dense population and high-rise buildings in Hong Kong, most adjacent DCCAs are indeed not far away from each other. Therefore, dividing a housing estate into different DCCAs would not bring about great impact on local ties.

4.18 In sum, the EAC noticed that divers representations have put forward proposals to maintain or re-delineate the existing DCCA boundaries on the grounds of community integrity and preservation of local ties. Such proposals include placing an entire housing estate in the same DCCA, or grouping the same housing type in the same DCCA, and urban-rural restructuring, etc. Some representations even proposed to delineate DCCAs based on the economic background of the residents.

Such representations view the statutory criteria from a rather parochial and subjective perspective. With ongoing urban development, many areas are well-developed with comprehensive community infrastructure and ancillary transport facilities. Hence, community identities and local ties are, more often than not, no longer obvious factors justifying the keeping or re-delineation of the existing boundaries for most DCCAs. As for the delineation of urban and rural areas, there are representations arguing that the EAC should not put the rural areas and housing estates in the New Territories in the same DCCA. As a matter of fact, urban and rural co-existence is very common in Hong Kong, and such delineation have been undertaken in many past delineation exercises. The EAC will only allow the population of a DCCA to deviate from the statutory permissible range in the presence of overwhelming and incontrovertible objective facts, such as the preservation of traditional ties between villages or retaining unique communities with historical elements. Only by so doing, the EAC can ensure that the delineation exercise is conducted in an objective, effective and orderly manner.

4.19 The EAC would like to reiterate that DCCA boundaries are adjusted after overall consideration from a macro perspective. With the "principle of equal representation" as the overriding guideline, the adjustments are made on the basis of objective data of population distribution, having regard to the other statutory factors of community identities, preservation of local ties, geographical factor and accessibility, etc. The EAC cannot give special emphasis to any particular DCCA, and will definitely not consider any political factors or factors not relevant to the statutory criteria. Among all the statutory factors,

population figures are, as a matter of principle, the EAC's primary consideration in the delineation exercise. As for the other statutory factors, having regard to the change in the actual circumstances, the relevant importance of each factor will vary in each delineation exercise. The EAC has to weigh the individual factors having regard to the uniqueness of an individual DCCA and the situations of their adjacent DCCAs. As stated in paragraph 4.2 above, if the proposals in the representations made by the public could better comply with the statutory criteria and working principles, they will be accepted by the EAC. As to the representations received during the present public consultation exercise, the EAC has, after taking into account geographical factors or preservation of traditional ties between the villages, has accepted certain proposals in the representations and revised some of the provisional recommendations accordingly to allow the populations of the DCCAs concerned to exceed the statutory permissible upper limit.

(c) <u>Population figures adopted in the delineation of boundaries</u>

4.20 Some representations queried the accuracy of the projected population figures adopted for the delineation exercise. They adopted current population figures of some buildings obtained from their own sources and/or outdated figures based on their own estimation, which are different from the projected population figures adopted by the EAC.

4.21 According to the EACO, the delineation exercise must be conducted on the basis of the projected populations of individual DCCAs in the year in which the election is to be held. All along, for DC

ordinary elections, the latest projected population figures as at 30 June of the election year are adopted for the delineation exercise in accordance with the established practice. Accordingly, the projected population figures as at 30 June 2019 are used for the delineation exercise for the 2019 DC Ordinary Election. As in the past and mentioned in paragraph 2.5 above, the projected population figures are provided by the AHSG, set up specially for the purpose of the delineation exercise under the Working Group on Population Distribution Projection in the PlanD. The current population distribution projections are derived by using scientific and systematic methodology based on the results of the 2016 population by-census carried out by the C&SD as well as the up-to-date official data kept by the relevant government departments. Members of the AHSG are all professional departments which all along have been responsible for territory-wide population census and projections on population distribution. They possess the most up-to-date information on the population and land and housing development, and the data are highly-accepted generally. The EAC has all along relied on the statistical figures provided by the AHSG, which are the only data available for the delineation exercise.

4.22 However, regarding the discrepancy due to the time gap in compilation between the projected population figures adopted by the Government in reviewing the number of seats and those used by the EAC in delineating the DCCA boundaries, the EAC hopes that the AHSG could in the light of the experience this time examine the feasibility of adopting some weighted algorithm to narrow down as far as possible the discrepancy between the two sets of figures for the consideration of the

relevant authorities.

4.23 Besides, some representations pointed out that the projected population figures adopted by the EAC fail to take into account future developments in the DCCAs. According to the statutory requirements as mentioned in paragraph 4.21 above, the EAC must conduct the delineation exercise on the basis of the projected populations of individual DCCAs in the year in which the election is to be held. For this delineation exercise, the projected population figures are as at 30 June 2019. Changes in population arising from developments thereafter would not be taken into account and be only considered in future delineation exercises.

(d) <u>Consultation on drawing up provisional recommendations and confidentiality on the information</u>

4.24 Some representations queried the practice of consulting the DOs' views in the course of drawing up the provisional recommendations, feeling that the advice provided to the EAC by the DOs was tainted with political considerations which go against the EAC's principles of being independent, fair and non-political. Besides, some representations alleged that some members of the local communities already had information about the provisional recommendations before they were made public, enabling them to have an early start of their community work in the proposed DCCAs. There were queries suspecting premature leaks of the EAC's provisional recommendations.

4.25 According to the statutory criteria, the EAC must have regard to the community identities and the preservation of local ties as well as physical features (such as size, shape, accessibility and development) of the relevant areas in making recommendations on the delineation of DCCA boundaries. To ensure that the recommendations are in compliance with the statutory criteria, it is necessary for the EAC to have an understanding of the local characteristics, geographical environment and accessibility of the proposed DCCAs so that the feasibility of the various options be considered thoroughly. The DOs, being officers responsible for district administration, do have more comprehensive and in-depth knowledge on the local characteristics, geographical and transport matters of their administrative districts. As such, the EAC invited the DOs to provide factual information of their respective administrative districts on such matters for reference. It has been a long standing practice for the EAC to invite DOs to give their views in the delineation exercise. The EAC considers it necessary to keep this arrangement lest it may overlook some relevant local situations.

4.26 One of the EAC's working principles in the delineation exercise is that political factors will not be taken into consideration. When the DOs are invited to provide information, the EAC has specified that only information of objective facts on local characteristics, geographical and transport matters is sought. The important principle that political facts will be not taken into account has been emphasised. The advice provided by the DOs is only a part of the variety of reference materials when the delineation recommendations were drawn up by the EAC. The EAC has given all round consideration to all statutory

requirements, in particular the population figures affected, before making the recommendations. In any event, according to the established procedure, even if the EAC has considered the information provided by the DOs when drawing up its provisional recommendations, it is still required by the legislation to conduct public consultation on the provisional recommendations. During the consultation period, if representations of members of the public put forward materials different from those provided by the DOs, the EAC would adopt the same set of statutory criteria and working principles to carefully examine the justifications provided in the representations. The EAC will accept the public's proposals if they do better comply with the statutory criteria and working principles for the delineation exercise as compared with the provisional recommendations. After considering the representations received during the present public consultation, the EAC has accepted some proposals made in the representations and adjusted the boundaries of the DCCAs concerned in its provisional recommendations.

4.27 Apart from the DOs, the EAC will also request other government departments (such as LandsD) to provide information where necessary. This enables the EAC's grasp of the local circumstances be more objective and comprehensive. However, in drawing up the provisional recommendations, the EAC has never consulted or considered the opinion of the DCs. Of course, individual DC members could indeed make representations during the public consultation period. The EAC will carefully examine their reasons in the same manner as the representations made by members of the public.

4.28 Throughout the whole process of drawing up the provisional recommendations, confidentiality is preserved, including the consultation with the DOs and communication with other working partners. Before the provisional recommendations are made public, the EAC would not consult any members of the local community, nor would it disclose any details of the delineation to any organisations or individuals beyond its working partners. The EAC believes that all parties taking part in the exercise will abide by the principle of confidentiality and will not divulge the information to any other parties. Follow-up actions would be taken seriously if the EAC receives any complaint supported by substantive evidence.

4.29 The above are some observations gathered from the experience of the present and past delineation exercises, with the purpose of illustrating some factors normally taken into consideration in delineation. The EAC believes the making of such observations will be helpful to the public in understanding the working principles adopted by the EAC in applying the statutory criteria. They are, however, only general observations, and they should be read in a holistic manner and in context of the specific cases.

Section 2 : The Recommendations

4.30 At its meetings held on 19 September and 24 October 2018, the EAC considered the representations received and information on geographical environment and projected population figures gathered from site visits and other government departments concerned and made its final

recommendations. The EAC's views on the representations are set out in the last column of **Appendix II**.

4.31 The EAC adjusted the boundaries of 27 DCCAs and the names of two DCCAs in its provisional recommendations. Details of the revisions and changes are set out in **Appendices III** and **IV** respectively.

4.32 In its final recommendations, the EAC has adjusted the boundaries of a total of 123 DCCAs, and allowed the projected population in 17 DCCAs to deviate from the permissible range of the population quota, with the reasons specified in **Appendix V**.

4.33 As compared with the changes made in the last delineation exercise (i.e. 109 DCCAs), a greater number of DCCAs were required to change their boundaries this time.

4.34 A summary of the EAC's final recommendations is shown in **Appendix VI** of this Volume. The boundary maps and descriptions of the final recommendations are in **Volume 2**.

CHAPTER 5

A CONCLUDING NOTE

Section 1 : Acknowledgements

5.1 With the completion of this delineation exercise, the EAC would like to express its gratitude towards the following government departments for their contributions: the AHSG for its provision of the population projections; the DOs of the 18 districts for providing the factual information regarding the local characteristics, geographical and transport situations of their respective districts; the LandsD for its production of the various maps and boundary descriptions for the conduct of the consultation exercise and production of the report; the ISD for its contribution to the publicity programme relating to the consultation exercise; the Government Logistics Department for the printing of the consultation materials and this report, and the HAD for the provision of venues for holding the two public forums and its assistance to distribute the consultation documents and publicity posters.

5.2 The EAC is particularly thankful to the EAC Secretariat for their dedicated and concerted efforts in the preparation and support for the delineation exercise.

5.3 Last but not least, the EAC is most grateful to those members of the public for their representations, put forth in writing or orally in the public forums.

Section 2 : Conclusion

5.4 As in previous delineation exercises, the EAC has adopted a pragmatic approach in conducting the delineation exercise. The EAC has made every effort to observe the requirements of the population quota and permissible range as far as practicable. At the same time, the EAC will accept the suggestions from members of the public on grounds of community identities, local ties or geographical factors if the suggestions are supported by overwhelming reasons and do better comply with the statutory criteria and working principles for the delineation exercise as compared with the provisional recommendations. As always, the EAC has paid no regard to any suggestions with political implications or those which are not relevant to the statutory requirements.

5.5 Delineation of the DCCA boundaries is an integral part of a DC ordinary election. The EAC is committed to conducting each and every election under its supervision in an open, fair and honest manner. The EAC has all the time held on to this important principle in this delineation exercise.

Number of DCCAs to be Delineated by Administrative Districts

	District Council	Number of DCCAs
1.	Central and Western	15
2.	Wan Chai	13
3.	Eastern	35
4.	Southern	17
5.	Yau Tsim Mong	20
6.	Sham Shui Po	25
7.	Kowloon City	25
8.	Wong Tai Sin	25
9.	Kwun Tong	40
10.	Tsuen Wan	19
11.	Tuen Mun	31
12.	Yuen Long	39
13.	North	18
14.	Tai Po	19
15.	Sai Kung	29
16.	Sha Tin	41
17.	Kwai Tsing	31
18.	Islands	10
	Total:	452

Appendix II - A

Central and Western District
Summaries of Written/Oral Representations

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's views*
		W	*O*		
1	All DCCAs	1	-	Supports the provisional recommendations on all DCCAs of the Central and Western District as they are in line with the EAC's statutory criteria and working principles.	The supporting view is noted.
2	A01 – Chung Wan A04 – Peak	1	-	Considers it inappropriate to place the areas in the north and south of MacDonnell Road into two different DCCAs and proposes to group them in one DCCA.	This proposal is **not accepted** because the areas in the north and south of MacDonnell Road belong to A01 (Chung Wan) and A04 (Peak) respectively, and the populations of the two DCCAs will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required.
3	A01 – Chung Wan A12 – Sheung Wan	1	-	Proposes to transfer the residential buildings in the vicinity of the MTR Sheung Wan Station from A01 (Chung Wan) to A12 (Sheung Wan).	This proposal is **not accepted** because the populations of A01 (Chung Wan) and A12 (Sheung Wan) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required.
4	A02 – Mid Levels	-	1	Supports the provisional recommendations.	The supporting view is noted.

* W: Number of written representations.
O : Number of oral representations.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's views*
		W	*O*		
	East A13 – Tung Wah				
5	A02 – Mid Levels East A13 – Tung Wah	1	-	Has no adverse comments on the provisional recommendations.	The view is noted.
6	A02 – Mid Levels East A03 – Castle Road A13 – Tung Wah	3	1	Object to the provisional recommendations on A02 (Mid Levels East) and A13 (Tung Wah). Reasons are summarised as follows: • the populations of A02 (Mid Levels East) and A13 (Tung Wah) have already met the requirements of the EAC's statutory criteria, i.e. not exceeding or falling short of the population quota by more than 25%; • the DCCAs concerned have been established for more than 24 years and electors are well used to the existing delineation and have developed a sense of belonging. A change in the delineation will cause confusion to the residents; • Aberdeen Street is a main road linking the Mid-Levels with the	These representations are **not accepted** because: (i) based on the 2015 original DCCA boundary, the population of A13 (Tung Wah) (11 910) will be below the statutory permissible lower limit (-28.25%). To ensure that the population of the DCCA will fall within the statutory permissible range, the provisional recommendations propose to transfer part of the population of the adjacent A02 (Mid Levels East) to A13 (Tung Wah); (ii) the affected population under the proposal made in the representation (1 936) will be larger than that in the provisional recommendations (994) by 942;

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's views*
		W	*O*		
				Central District and has historical value. Splitting the left and right sides of Aberdeen Street into two different DCCAs will disrupt its integrity; • other DCCAs around A13 (Tung Wah) have a greater population than A02 (Mid Levels East). Of these DCCAs, the population of A03 (Castle Road) has higher percentage of deviation from the population quota (+22.88%). It is considered more appropriate to transfer part of its population to A13 (Tung Wah); and • the opinions offered by the DO to the EAC are suspected to have political considerations. One representation proposes to transfer the cluster of buildings between Alassio and Argenta from A03 (Castle Road) to A13 (Tung Wah) as an alternative option. Reasons are as follows: • despite the fact that the provisional recommendations are deemed reasonable from the perspectives of the delineation of DCCAs, community integrity, etc., the proposal made in the	(iii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangements on district administration matters, community services provided by DC members or political factors will not be taken into consideration; and (iv) there is no sufficient objective information and justification to prove that the proposal made in the representation is obviously better than the provisional recommendations in terms of preserving community identities.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's views*
		W	*O*		
				representation can achieve a reasonably balanced population distribution among A02 (Mid Levels East), A03 (Castle Road) and A13 (Tung Wah); • though some of the above buildings and certain buildings in the south of Seymour Road belong to the same development series, they are actually just standalone apartment buildings without any close relationship between them. Therefore, the proposal does not affect local ties; and • designating Seymour Road as the boundary between A03 (Castle Road) and A13 (Tung Wah) enables that the matters related to the busier Caine Road can be followed up by a single DC member and handled in a more effective manner.	
7	A06 – Kwun Lung A07 – Kennedy Town & Mount Davis	1	-	Objects to the swap of the original codes of two DCCAs because no change is made to their DCCA boundaries in the provisional recommendations. Besides, residents are used to the original DCCA codes which have been used for years. Changing the codes will cause confusion.	This representation is **not accepted** because allocating codes to DCCAs is for the sake of easy identification of DCCAs on the boundary maps and providing the public with a quicker and easier way in locating the DCCA(s) which they are looking for. According to the established working principles, when drawing up the provisional recommendations, the EAC

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's views*
		W	*O*		
					will rationalise the allocation of DCCA codes for all administrative districts by assigning the codes in a clockwise direction with a view to making the DCCAs with consecutive codes contiguous to each other as far as possible so that it is easier for the public to locate a DCCA when consulting the maps.
8	A08 – Sai Wan A09 – Belcher	1	-	Proposes to transfer the cluster of buildings located at Ivy on Belcher's, Ying Ga Garden and Hee Wong Terrace from A09 (Belcher) to A08 (Sai Wan) because the population difference of nearly 7 000 between these two DCCAs is undesirable. The proposal made in the representation can achieve a more balanced population distribution between the two DCCAs.	This proposal is **not accepted** because the populations of A08 (Sai Wan) and A09 (Belcher) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required.

Appendix II - B

Wan Chai District
Summaries of Written/Oral Representations

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
1	All DCCAs	1	-	Holds reservation on the provisional recommendations on all DCCAs of the Wan Chai District.	The view is noted.
2	All DCCAs	5	1	In view of the ageing and decreasing population, propose to reduce the number of seats in the Wan Chai District so as to avoid repeated re-delineation of the DCCAs resulting from the situation of having population below the statutory permissible lower limit. The representations also consider that the Government should review whether it is appropriate to maintain the existing number of elected seats in the Wan Chai DC at 13. One representation proposes to combine the three DCCAs: B01 (Hennessy), B02 (Oi Kwan) and B03 (Canal Road) and make them into two DCCAs. The proposed adjustments can ensure the preservation of community integrity and enhance the level of connectivity among the DCCAs. One representation proposes to reduce the number of elected seats in the Wan Chai DC in	These proposals are **not accepted** because in accordance with the EACO, the EAC must follow the number of elected seats stipulated for each DC under the DCO in delineating the DCCA boundaries. As these proposals made in the representations are related to the enactment of the primary legislation, which does not fall under the purview of the EAC, the EAC has referred the relevant views to the CMAB for consideration. In addition, in drawing up the delineation recommendations, the EAC must strictly adhere to the statutory criteria under the EACO and its working principles. The delineation should be made on the basis of the projected populations, existing DCCA boundaries and relevant local factors. The EAC will continue to adhere to the above in future delineation exercises.

* W: Number of written representations.
O : Number of oral representations.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				2023 and use Queen's Road East, Wan Chai Road, Johnston Road, Hennessy Road and Gloucester Road as the respective boundaries of B01 (Hennessy), B02 (Oi Kwan), B12 (Southorn) and B13 (Tai Fat Hau) so as to cater for their different developments.	
3	B01 – Hennessy B02 – Oi Kwan B03 – Canal Road B04 – Causeway Bay B07 – Tai Hang B09 – Broadwood B10 – Happy Valley B11 – Stubbs Road	206^	9	Object to the provisional recommendations. Reasons are summarised as follows: • Haven Street and Caroline Hill Road are different from the commercial area in Causeway Bay. They belong to two different communities each with their own characteristics and problems. For instance, the problems faced by B07 (Tai Hang) are acquisition of old buildings and building management, whereas those faced by B04 (Causeway Bay) are light pollution and noise pollution in the commercial area. Hence, the provisional recommendations would break the community integrity of the areas concerned; • with regard to the land use designated by the Town Planning Board, B04 (Causeway Bay) is a	<u>Items (a) to (h)</u> **Proposals of items (a), (e) and (h) are partly accepted**. It is a statutory requirement that the EAC has to examine the existing boundaries of all DCCAs on the basis of the projected populations of individual DCCAs in the year in which the relevant election would be held, and appropriately adjust the boundaries of those DCCAs with projected populations exceeding the statutory permissible upper or lower limits with a view to ensuring that their projected populations will fall within the statutory permissible range. Based on the 2015 original DCCA boundary, the populations of B02 (Oi Kwan), B03 (Canal Road) and B04 (Causeway Bay) will be below the statutory permissible lower limit. Therefore, adjustments are considered necessary. As

^ Of which, 134 are template letters for B07 (Tai Hang) and 51 template letters for B04 (Causeway Bay). Besides, one representation contains 126 signatures from the public.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				commercial district, while the surrounding area of Haven Street is zoned as a "Government, Institution or Community" site, which is different from B04 (Causeway Bay); • re-delineation will have an impact on DC members' follow-up actions on community cases since most of these cases involve privacy and require long-term follow-up actions. The provisional recommendations would upset community ties; • despite the projected fall in the population of the Wan Chai District, the Government has already decided to keep the number of seats in the Wan Chai District at 13. Consequently, there is no excuse for a change to the DCCA boundaries on grounds of population; • Haven Street and Caroline Hill Road have all along been part of B07 (Tai Hang) and are inseparably dependent on the "St. Paul sub-area" in terms of transport, local setting and medical services; • B04 (Causeway Bay) and B07 (Tai Hang) are delineated by Leighton	in the past, in drawing up the delineation recommendations, the EAC has adopted the approach which would affect the least number of existing DCCAs or less population so as to minimise any possible inconvenience to electors due to boundary adjustments. The EAC notes that the representors have put forward many views on preserving the community integrity and local ties of the DCCAs concerned from different perspectives such as the daily lives of the public, provision of services by DC members and community development. However, the EAC is of the view that the arguments raised in the representations are rather parochial, subjective and not convincing. Besides, the alternatives proposed in the individual representations are impracticable in the sense that some proposals can only solve the problem of having population below the statutory lower limit in some DCCAs, and some proposals are deemed infeasible having regard to geographical factors. However, after prudent consideration and consolidation of the proposals made in various alternative options, the EAC accepts parts of the proposals of items (a), (e) and (h) and revises the provisional

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				Road. Given that the residential and community land uses for the latter, the original delineation is relatively more reasonable as it has created a more homogenous living area; • the section of Jaffe Road between Percival Street and Cannon Street forms part of the core of the hustling and bustling Causeway Bay. The buildings there share certain common features with those in the surrounding area stretching from Cannon Street to East Point Road. The provisional recommendations would upset community integrity and create greater difficulty for making plans for small communities and addressing community problems; • the performance of individual DC members is appraised once every four years by the vote of the electors. Frequent re-delineation would deprive electors of the opportunity to appraise the performance of DC members; • the incumbent DC members are familiar with the local affairs and residents are used to visit the offices of the existing DC members for assistance and expression of	recommendations on the following DCCAs. Details are as follows: (i) to transfer some of the buildings near Haven Street located within the original boundary of B07 (Tai Hang) to the adjacent B04 (Causeway Bay); (ii) to transfer some of the buildings near Leighton Road located within the original boundary of B09 (Broadwood) to the adjacent B03 (Canal Road); and (iii) to transfer some of the buildings in the west of Bowrington Road located within the original boundary of B03 (Canal Road) to the adjacent B02 (Oi Kwan). After the above adjustments, the respective populations of the DCCAs concerned are: B02: 12 509, -24.64% B03: 12 512, -24.62% B04: 12 972, -21.85% B07: 13 701, -17.46% B09: 13 755, -17.13% Compared with the previous provisional recommendations, the total number of DCCAs to be affected under the above revised recommendations will increase by one, i.e. B09

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				views; and • under the provisional recommendations, the boundaries of the DCCAs concerned are not tidy.	(Broadwood). However, as for the number of people to be affected, it will decrease by 1 299 from 4 326 to 3 027. The change is in line with the established working principles of the EAC.
				(a) One representation suggests that the buildings in the west of Cannon Street and in the north of Jaffe Road in B04 (Causeway Bay) should be retained, and only the buildings in the surrounding area of Haven Street (excluding the surrounding area of Caroline Hill Road) be transferred to B04 (Causeway Bay).	
				(b) One representation suggests that part of the population in B09 (Broadwood) or B10 (Happy Valley) be transferred to B02 (Oi Kwan), B03 (Canal Road) and B04 (Causeway Bay).	
				(c) One representation suggests that part of the population in B09 (Broadwood) be transferred to B02 (Oi Kwan) and B03 (Canal Road).	
				(d) One representation proposes to transfer part of the population from the more populated B09 (Broadwood) and B11 (Stubbs Road) to B04 (Causeway Bay).	

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				(e) One representation agrees with the provisional recommendations to transfer the population in the west of Bowrington Road to B02 (Oi Kwan) because the population of B01 (Hennessy), an adjacent DCCA of B02 (Oi Kwan), is also insufficient. As for B11 (Stubbs Road), it would be even more difficult to change its boundary. On the other hand, the representation considers that the EAC should not change the DCCA boundaries of B04 (Causeway Bay) and B07 (Tai Hang). Regarding the problem of shortfall of population in B03 (Canal Road), it should be addressed by transferring part of the population from B09 (Broadwood) as it has a larger population figure. As such, the representation proposes that the buildings in the surrounding area stretching from the south of Leighton Road to the east of Wong Nai Chung Road in B09 (Broadwood) i.e. the surrounding area from Po Leung Kuk to the tramway at the Leighton Hill Community Hall, including Leigyinn Building, Lai Chi Building, Leishun Court, Starlight House and Lunar Building, etc., be transferred to B03 (Canal	

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				Road). Compared with those in B09 (Broadwood), these buildings have a closer connection with the community in the surrounding area of Leighton Centre and other facilities in B03 (Canal Road). The EAC may decide how many people should be transferred from B09 (Broadwood) to B03 (Canal Road) after taking into account the population figures.	
				(f) One representation does not object to the re-delineation of B02 (Oi Kwan). However, it proposes to transfer The Leighton Hill of B09 (Broadwood) to B04 (Causeway Bay) so as to avoid making changes to B07 (Tai Hang).	
				(g) One representation proposes to decrease the population of B09 (Broadwood) and to increase that of B02 (Oi Kwan).	
				(h) One representation proposes to make the following proposals: • to retain the buildings in the west of Cannon Street and the north of Jaffe Road in B04 (Causeway Bay);	

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				• to transfer the buildings in the east of Percival Street and Leighton Road from B03 (Canal Road) to B04 (Causeway Bay); • to transfer the buildings in the east of Fleming Road and the south of Lockhart Road from B01 (Hennessy) to B02 (Oi Kwan); and • to allow the population of B03 (Canal Road) to be below the statutory permissible lower limit. Based on the fact that the population of the Wan Chai District is very low but the number of elected seats in the Wan Chai DC has remained unchanged, it is difficult to have reasonable re-delineation of the boundaries. If the above proposal is not accepted, proposes to transfer some buildings at the northern foothills of Leighton Hill or the entire Leighton Hill from B09 (Broadwood) to B03 (Canal Road). If the resultant population of B09 (Broadwood) will be below the statutory permissible lower limit, then proposes to transfer some buildings along Blue Pool Road in B11	

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				(Stubbs Road) to B09 (Broadwood).	
4	B01 – Hennessy B11 – Stubbs Road B12 - Southorn	1	-	Considers that the boundaries of B01 (Hennessy) and B12 (Southorn) are very unreasonable because they are long and thin in shape. They also cut across different landscapes and communities and put different communities together. Proposes to re-delineate the boundaries of B01 (Hennessy) and B12 (Southorn) and divide them into southern and northern parts instead of the current eastern and western parts. In addition, proposes that part of the Peak Road in B12 (Southorn) be transferred to B11 (Stubbs Road).	This proposal is **not accepted** because the populations of B01 (Hennessy), B11 (Stubbs Road) and B12 (Southorn) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required.
5	B02 – Oi Kwan B03 – Canal Road B04 – Causeway Bay B07 – Tai Hang	-	1	Supports the provisional recommendations.	The supporting view is noted.
6	B02 – Oi Kwan B03 – Canal Road	1	3	Query the accuracy of the current population projections compiled by the Working Group on Population Distribution Projections.	<u>Items (a) and (b)</u> The projected population figures as at 30 June 2019 are used for the delineation exercise for the 2019 DC Ordinary Election. As in the

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
	B04 – Causeway Bay B07 – Tai Hang			(a) One representation suggests that the EAC should make public the population projection figures to facilitate the making of comments by the public.	past, the projected population figures are provided by the AHSG, set up specially for the purpose of the delineation exercise under the Working Group on Population Distribution Projection in the PlanD. The current population distribution projections are derived by using scientific and systematic methodology based on the results of the 2016 population by-census carried out by the C&SD as well as the up-to-date official data kept by the relevant government departments. Members of the AHSG are all professional departments which all along have been responsible for territory-wide population census and projections on population distribution. They possess the most up-to-date information on the population and land and housing development, and the data are highly-accepted generally. The EAC has all along relied on the statistical figures provided by the AHSG, which are the only data available for the delineation exercise. As regards the relevant population figures, the EAC will examine whether it is appropriate to show the projected populations of individual DCCAs before adjustments to their boundaries in the consultation document
				(b) One representation proposes to use the results of the 2021 Population Census for the delineation exercise for the 2023 DC Ordinary Election.	

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
					of the provisional recommendations for public information in the next DC delineation exercise.
				(c) One representation suggests that the population quota should be calculated as the basis of the actual situation of each individual administrative district. For example, the population quota of the Wan Chai District should be calculated by dividing the total population of the Wan Chai District by 13 DCCAs, instead of dividing the total population of Hong Kong by 18 administrative districts.	Item (c) The EAC must follow the statutory criteria under the EACO in drawing up the delineation recommendations. As stipulated in the legislation, population quota means the total population of Hong Kong divided by the total number of elected members to be returned in the DC ordinary election, but not in an individual administrative district.

Appendix II - C

Eastern District
Summaries of Written/Oral Representations

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
1	All DCCAs	1	-	Holds no objection to the provisional recommendations on all DCCAs of the Eastern District.	The view is noted.
2	All DCCAs	1	-	(a) Supports the provisional recommendations on C03 (Lei King Wan), C04 (Sai Wan Ho), C05 (Aldrich Bay), C06 (Shaukeiwan), C07 (A Kung Ngam) and C28 (Hing Tung).	Item (a) The supporting view is noted.
				(b) Holds reservation on the provisional recommendations on C01 (Tai Koo Shing West), C02 (Tai Koo Shing East), C15 (Mount Parker), C24 (Quarry Bay), C25 (Nam Fung), C26 (Kornhill) and C27 (Kornhill Garden). Although the provisional recommendations are more practicable having regard to community integrity and population distribution, according to the aggregate population of the above DCCAs, their total number of elected seats is currently one more than that of their entitlement. Proposes to delete one seat in 2023 for reasonable deployment of DC resources.	Item (b) In accordance with the EACO, the EAC must follow the number of elected seats stipulated for each DC under the DCO in delineating the DCCA boundaries. As this proposal made in the representation is related to the enactment of the primary legislation, which does not fall under the purview of the EAC, the EAC has referred the relevant view to the CMAB for consideration.

* W: Number of written representations.
O : Number of oral representations.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				(c) Holds reservation on the provisional recommendations on C10 (Yan Lam), C12 (King Yee), C13 (Wan Tsui), C14 (Fei Tsui), C31 (Hing Man), C34 (Yue Wan) and C35 (Kai Hiu), and objects to the provisional recommendations on C08 (Heng Fa Chuen), C09 (Tsui Wan), C11 (Siu Sai Wan), C32 (Lok Hong) and C33 (Tsui Tak). According to the aggregate population of the above DCCAs, their total number of elected seats is currently two more than that of their entitlement. Proposes to delete one seat in 2023 for reasonable deployment of DC resources.	Item (c) In accordance with the EACO, the EAC must follow the number of elected seats stipulated for each DC under the DCO in delineating the DCCA boundaries. As this proposal made in the representation is related to the enactment of the primary legislation, which does not fall under the purview of the EAC, the EAC has referred the relevant view to the CMAB for consideration.
				(d) Proposes to transfer the area of the Hong Kong Institute of Vocational Education ("IVE") from C08 (Heng Fa Chuen) to C09 (Tsui Wan) and maintain the boundary of C11 (Siu Sai Wan) because IVE and the Technological and Higher Education Institute of Hong Kong in C09 (Tsui Wan) are both managed by the Vocational Training Council and affected by the industrial and cargo working areas in C09 (Tsui Wan), sharing the same community characteristics and issues of concern.	Item (d) This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) the affected population under the proposal made in the representation (246) will be larger than that in the provisional recommendations (179) by 67; (ii) IVE located in C08 (Heng Fa Chuen) is geographically further away from the buildings in C09 (Tsui Wan) as compared with Fu Ming Court as proposed for

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
					transfer to C09 (Tsui Wan) in the provisional recommendations. There are also park and Citybus depot in between; and (iii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangement on district administration matters is not the relevant factor of consideration.
				(e) Proposes to transfer Koway Court instead of Wah Ha Estate from C33 (Tsui Tak) to C32 (Lok Hong) because: • the car park of Koway Court is located at Tai Man Street, which affects the transport near Neptune Terrace and Greenwood Terrace located also at Tai Man Street in C32 (Lok Hong); and • Wah Ha Estate is close to MTR Chai Wan Station and belongs to a community different from Neptune Terrace and Greenwood Terrace located at the mid-levels in C32 (Lok Hong).	<u>Item (e)</u> This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) according to the proposal made in the representation, the population of C33 (Tsui Tak) (11 100) will be below the statutory permissible lower limit (-33.13%); and (ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on the population distribution and relevant local factors. It is inevitable that a DCCA is composed of more than one community.
				(f) Holds reservation on the provisional recommendations on C16	<u>Item (f)</u> The proposal made in the representation involves

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				(Braemar Hill), C17 (Fortress Hill), C18 (City Garden), C19 (Provident), C20 (Fort Street), C21 (Kam Ping), C22 (Tanner) and C23 (Healthy Village). Considering the differences in area and population between the Eastern and the Wan Chai DCs, proposes to transfer the above DCCAs from the Eastern District to the Wan Chai District in 2023 and to rename the Wan Chai District as the Harbour District to reflect that Wan Chai and North Point are located at the bay area in the central part of the Hong Kong Island.	alteration of administrative district boundaries, which does not fall under the purview of the EAC. The EAC has referred this view to the Government for consideration.
				(g) Same as item 16.	Item (g) Please see item 16.
3	C03 – Lei King Wan C04 – Sai Wan Ho C28 – Hing Tung	1	-	To strengthen community ties and bring the populations of C03 (Lei King Wan) and C04 (Sai Wan Ho) closer to the population quota, proposes: • to transfer Les Saisons from C04 (Sai Wan Ho) to C03 (Lei King Wan) as it has closer community ties with C03 (Lei King Wan); • to transfer the old residential blocks (except Tai On Building) from C03 (Lei King Wan) to C04 (Sai Wan Ho), so that the population of C03 (Lei King Wan) can continue to fall within the	This proposal is **not accepted** because the populations of C03 (Lei King Wan), C04 (Sai Wan Ho) and C28 (Hing Tung) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				statutory permissible range; and • to transfer 18 Upper East and Shing On Building, or even Parker33, The Oakridge, Fortune Court, Tai Sing House and Truecourse Tower from C04 (Sai Wan Ho) to C28 (Hing Tung), depending on the population figures.	
4	C04 – Sai Wan Ho C29 – Lower Yiu Tung	1	-	Proposes to transfer Sun Sing Centre, Lok Kwan House and Belleve Court from C04 (Sai Wan Ho) to C29 (Lower Yiu Tung) because: • there will be new residential developments with imminent population intake and a lot of residential projects are in progress in C04 (Sai Wan Ho). Expects that the population of the DCCA will increase significantly. The EAC should have foreseen the above situation and adjust the boundary of the DCCA concerned as soon as possible to avoid future adjustment and causing confusion to the residents without knowing who is their DC member; • it will be hard for the DC member to manage if the DCCA is overpopulated, and it is also unfair to residents; and	This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) the populations of C04 (Sai Wan Ho) and C29 (Lower Yiu Tung) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required; (ii) it is an established practice that the delineation exercise for a DC ordinary election should be conducted on the basis of the latest projected population figures as at 30 June of the election year. Developments thereafter will not be taken into consideration; and (iii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				• C29 (Lower Yiu Tung) has the capacity to absorb more population.	population distribution. Community services provided by DC members is not the relevant factor of consideration.
5	C04 – Sai Wan Ho C29 – Lower Yiu Tung C30 – Upper Yiu Tung	3	-	(a) Propose to transfer both Yiu Fung House and Yiu On House from C29 (Lower Yiu Tung) to C30 (Upper Yiu Tung) and the private residential buildings near Sun Sing Street from C04 (Sai Wan Ho) to C29 (Lower Yiu Tung). Reasons are summarised as follows: • Yiu Fung House and Yiu On House are next to each other geographically; • query that the EAC is under pressure and political considerations not to transfer Yiu Fung House and Yiu On House together to C30 (Upper Yiu Tung); • activities of the residents living along Sun Sing Street are mainly centralised in the area of Shau Kei Wan; • residents have always regarded Hoi An Street as the boundary of Sai Wan Ho. Across Hoi An Street is Shau Kei Wan;	<u>Items (a) and (b)</u> These proposals are **not accepted** because: (i) the number of affected DCCAs under the proposal made in the representations will be one more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under the proposal will be larger, too; (ii) if both Yiu Fung House and Yiu On House are transferred from C29 (Lower Yiu Tung) to C30 (Upper Yiu Tung), the affected population (2 028) will be larger than that in the provisional recommendations (983) by 1 045; (iii) it is an established practice that the delineation exercise for a DC ordinary election should be conducted on the basis of the latest projected population figures as at 30 June of the election year. Developments thereafter will not be taken into

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				• consider that the EAC has underestimated the population of C04 (Sai Wan Ho). As there will be new residential developments with imminent population intake at Sun Sing Street, expect that the population of C04 (Sai Wan Ho) will increase substantially. The above proposal can relieve the population growth of C04 (Sai Wan Ho); • the above proposal can resolve the problem of insufficient and persistent decrease in population of C30 (Upper Yiu Tung) over the years and allow C29 (Lower Yiu Tung) to absorb part of the population of C04 (Sai Wan Ho) as early as possible as its population will go up significantly; and • the above proposal can even out the populations of C04 (Sai Wan Ho), C29 (Lower Yiu Tung) and C30 (Upper Yiu Tung). It will be more fair to the residents if the populations served by DC members of different DCCAs are similar.	consideration; and (iv) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Community services provided by DC members or political factors will not be taken into consideration.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				(b) One representation further proposes to transfer buildings such as Sun Sing Centre and Belleve Court from C04 (Sai Wan Ho) to C29 (Lower Yiu Tung).	
6	C05 – Aldrich Bay C06 – Shaukeiwan C07 – A Kung Ngam	2	-	Object to the provisional recommendations on C05 (Aldrich Bay), C06 (Shaukeiwan) and C07 (A Kung Ngam) because: • the provisional recommendations on the above DCCAs do not have regard to the community integrity and local ties; • Aldrich Garden has been included in C07 (A Kung Ngam) since 2011. However, the residents of Aldrich Garden share the community facilities and the same needs with residents in the area of Aldrich Bay, and hence have a weaker sense of belonging towards C07 (A Kung Ngam); • there are different types of residence in C07 (A Kung Ngam). It is difficult for the DC member to serve residents of different social standings. As the DC member of the above DCCA also has different stances, it is hard to reach a consensus on community issues; and • frequent changes in DCCA	This representation is **not accepted** because: (i) the populations of C05 (Aldrich Bay), C06 (Shaukeiwan) and C07 (A Kung Ngam) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required; and (ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Community services provided by DC members is not the relevant factor of consideration.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				boundaries make it hard for electors to adapt to and seek help from DC members.	
7	C06 – Shaukeiwan C07 – A Kung Ngam	1	-	Proposes to transfer Eastway Towers from C07 (A Kung Ngam) to C06 (Shaukeiwan) because: • the proposal can even out the populations of the above DCCAs so that the DC members of the two DCCAs can provide better services for residents; • there will be new residential developments with population intake in C07 (A Kung Ngam) starting from 2019, but the increase in population may not have been accurately reflected in the population of the DCCA concerned; and • considering geographical factors and the preservation of community integrity and local ties, the above proposal is the most beneficial one to the two DCCAs.	This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) the populations of C06 (Shaukeiwan) and C07 (A Kung Ngam) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required; (ii) the projected population figures as at 30 June 2019 are used for the delineation exercise for the 2019 DC Ordinary Election. As in the past, the projected population figures are provided by the AHSG, set up specially for the purpose of the delineation exercise under the Working Group on Population Distribution Projection in the PlanD. The current population distribution projections are derived by using scientific and systematic methodology based on the results of the 2016 population by-census carried out by the C&SD as well as the up-to-date official data kept by the relevant

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		government departments. Members of the AHSG are all professional departments which all along have been responsible for territory-wide population census and projections on population distribution. They possess the most up-to-date information on the population and land and housing development, and the data are highly-accepted generally. The EAC has all along relied on the statistical figures provided by the AHSG, which are the only data available for the delineation exercise; and (iii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Community services provided by DC members is not the relevant factor of consideration.
8	C09 – Tsui Wan C10 – Yan Lam C11 – Siu Sai Wan	1	-	Considers it appropriate in the provisional recommendations to make relevant changes to DCCAs in Chai Wan and Siu Sai Wan with populations below the statutory permissible lower limit given that the number of elected seats cannot be changed. However, in the long run, proposes to reduce the number of DCCAs in Chai Wan	This proposal is **not accepted** because in accordance with the EACO, the EAC must follow the number of elected seats stipulated for each DC under the DCO in delineating the DCCA boundaries. As this proposal made in the representation is related to the enactment of the primary legislation, which does not fall

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
	C12 – King Yee C13 – Wan Tsui C14 – Fei Tsui C31 – Hing Man C32 – Lok Hong C33 – Tsui Tak C34 – Yue Wan C35 – Kai Hiu			and Siu Sai Wan from 11 to nine, including the deletion of C33 (Tsui Tak) and C35 (Kai Hiu) and re-delineation of C09 (Tsui Wan), C10 (Yan Lam), C11 (Siu Sai Wan), C12 (King Yee), C13 (Wan Tsui), C14 (Fei Tsui), C31 (Hing Man), C32 (Lok Hong) and C34 (Yue Wan) so that the populations of these DCCAs will be closer to the population quota. Details are as follows: • to delete C35 (Kai Hiu) and transfer the relatively independent Kai Tsui Court to C12 (King Yee); • to transfer Sui Shing House and Sui Fat House of Siu Sai Wan Estate from C11 (Siu Sai Wan) to C10 (Yan Lam); • to merge Siu Sai Wan Estate (except Sui Shing House and Sui Fat House) in C11 (Siu Sai Wan) and C35 (Kai Hiu) with Hiu Tsui Court in C35 (Kai Hiu) to form C11 (Siu Sai Wan); • to transfer the seven housing blocks at Hong Ping Street from C09 (Tsui Wan) and C11 (Siu Sai Wan) to C34 (Yue Wan) as the seven blocks have closer community ties with C34 (Yue Wan); • to transfer Winner Centre, Walton Estate, Gold Mine	under the purview of the EAC, the EAC has referred the relevant view to the CMAB for consideration.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				Building and Yee Tsui Court from C33 (Tsui Tak) to C09 (Tsui Wan); and Bayview Park and Koway Court from C33 (Tsui Tak) to C32 (Lok Hong); • to delete C33 (Tsui Tak); • to transfer Hing Man Estate from C31 (Hing Man) to C14 (Fei Tsui); • to merge Moon Wah Building, Man Wah Building and Fire Services Department Married Quarters in C14 (Fei Tsui) with Hing Wah (1) Estate, Wah Tai Mansion and Chai Wan Cinema Building in C31 (Hing Man) and New Jade Garden and Wah Ha Estate in C33 (Tsui Tak) to form C31 (Hing Man); and • to retain the DCCA boundary of C13 (Wan Tsui).	
9	C09 – Tsui Wan C11 – Siu Sai Wan C33 – Tsui Tak	-	1	Proposes to transfer Yee Tsui Court from C33 (Tsui Tak) to C09 (Tsui Wan) and retain the DCCA boundary of C11 (Siu Sai Wan).	This proposal is **not accepted** because according to the proposal made in the representation, the population of C33 (Tsui Tak) (11 735) will be below the statutory permissible lower limit (-29.30%).
10	C16 – Braemar Hill	1	-	Proposes to transfer eight DCCAs namely C16 (Braemar Hill), C17 (Fortress Hill), C18	The proposal made in the representation involves alteration of administrative

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
	C17 – Fortress Hill C18 – City Garden C19 – Provident C20 – Fort Street C21 – Kam Ping C22 – Tanner C23 – Healthy Village			(City Garden), C19 (Provident), C20 (Fort Street), C21 (Kam Ping), C22 (Tanner) and C23 (Healthy Village) (except Model Housing Estate) from the Eastern District to the Wan Chai District because: • the populations of the Eastern District and the Wan Chai District have decreased. Based on the aggregate populations, both of their total number of elected seats are two more than their entitlement, resulting in too many DC members. It leads to a wastage of resources and adverse impact on efficiency; • the difference between the numbers of DC members of Wan Chai DC and Eastern DC is 22, to which appropriate adjustment should be made; • the above DCCAs have already been transformed into commercial districts in recent years and become the extensions of Wan Chai and Causeway Bay; and • the resources of the two administrative districts can be evened out after adjustments, which will be beneficial to the development of district administration.	district boundaries, which does not fall under the purview of the EAC. The EAC has referred this view to the Government for consideration.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
11	C17 – Fortress Hill C18 – City Garden	1	-	Proposes to transfer the area in the south of Electric Road from C18 (City Garden) to C17 (Fortress Hill) because there will be new residential developments in C18 (City Garden) and C19 (Provident) and the populations and workload of DC members will increase significantly.	This proposal is **not accepted** because the populations of C17 (Fortress Hill) and C18 (City Garden) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required.
12	C19 – Provident C23 – Healthy Village C24 – Quarry Bay	1	-	As the population of C19 (Provident) is approaching the statutory permissible upper limit, while that of C24 (Quarry Bay) is approaching the statutory permissible lower limit, proposes: • to transfer Mansion Building, Lido Apartments and Wah Shun Gardens from C23 (Healthy Village) to C24 (Quarry Bay); and • to move the DCCA boundary between C19 (Provident) and C23 (Healthy Village) westwards from Kam Hong Street to Shu Kuk Street.	This proposal is **not accepted** because the populations of C19 (Provident), C23 (Healthy Village) and C24 (Quarry Bay) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required.
13	C21 – Kam Ping C22 – Tanner	1	-	Proposes to transfer The Tanner Hill from C22 (Tanner) to C21 (Kam Ping) because: • Fleur Pavilia in C22 (Tanner) was completed in the third quarter of 2018 and there has been a gradual population intake. However, the population increase has not been included in the population of	This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) the populations of C21 (Kam Ping) and C22 (Tanner) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required;

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				the DCCA; • the proposal can even out the populations of the above two DCCAs and render resource allocation to neighbouring areas more even; and • for residents of The Tanner Hill, except a roadway which passes through C22 (Tanner), most of the residents only use Kam Ping Street and Shu Kuk Street for access to the housing estate. The community needs of residents of The Tanner Hill are closer to those of C21 (Kam Ping).	(ii) the projected population figures as at 30 June 2019 are used for the delineation exercise for the 2019 DC Ordinary Election. As in the past, the projected population figures are provided by the AHSG, set up specially for the purpose of the delineation exercise under the Working Group on Population Distribution Projection in the PlanD. The current population distribution projections are derived by using scientific and systematic methodology based on the results of the 2016 population by-census carried out by the C&SD as well as the up-to-date official data kept by the relevant government departments. Members of the AHSG are all professional departments which all along have been responsible for territory-wide population census and projections on population distribution. They possess the most up-to-date information on the population and land and housing development, and the data are highly-accepted generally. The EAC has all along relied on the statistical figures provided by the AHSG, which are the only

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
					data available for the delineation exercise; and (iii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangement on district administration matters is not the relevant factor of consideration.
14	C29 – Lower Yiu Tung C30 – Upper Yiu Tung	1	-	Agrees to the provisional recommendations on the transfer of Yiu On House from C29 (Lower Yiu Tung) to C30 (Upper Yiu Tung) because the population of C30 (Upper Yiu Tung) has been decreasing over the years and approaching the statutory permissible lower limit. The DCCA only includes six public housing and Home Ownership Scheme estates, and geographically Yiu On House is only separated from C30 (Upper Yiu Tung) by a road.	The supporting view is noted.
15	C29 – Lower Yiu Tung C30 – Upper Yiu Tung	30^	-	Object to the transfer of Yiu On House from C29 (Lower Yiu Tung) to C30 (Upper Yiu Tung) and consider that the boundaries of the two DCCAs should remain unchanged. Two representations consider that: • the provisional recommendations will break	This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) according to the proposal made in the representations, the population of C30 (Upper Yiu Tung) (12 166) will be below the statutory permissible lower limit (-26.71%);

^Of which, 28 are template letters.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				community integrity of C29 (Lower Yiu Tung); • geographically, Yiu Hing Road is the dividing line for Yiu Tung Estate: the hill-facing side is "Upper Yiu Tung" and the sea-facing side is "Lower Yiu Tung". The transfer of Yiu On House, which belongs to the sea-facing side, alone to another DCCA will confuse the residents and break the community integrity; • residents of Yiu On House usually conduct their activities in the old housing area at the foot of the hill and seldom use facilities in C30 (Upper Yiu Tung); • residents of Yiu On House have always been included in C29 (Lower Yiu Tung) and hence have an ingrained concept of "Lower Yiu Tung". Changing their DCCA and polling station will easily cause confusion; and • wish to follow the arrangement of 2015 DC Ordinary Election that residents of Yiu On House will not be allocated to vote at Yiu Wa House in 2019 DC Ordinary Election.	(ii) C29 (Lower Yiu Tung) and C30 (Upper Yiu Tung) both belong to Yiu Tung Estate. There is no sufficient objective information and justification to prove that the provisional recommendations will break the community integrity of C29 (Lower Yiu Tung); and (iii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangements on district administration matters and polling station are not the relevant factors of consideration. The EAC has referred the relevant view concerning polling station to the REO for consideration.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
16	C29 – Lower Yiu Tung C30 – Upper Yiu Tung	5	-	Propose to transfer Yiu Fung House and Yiu On House together from C29 (Lower Yiu Tung) to C30 (Upper Yiu Tung). Reasons are summarised as follows: • according to the provisional recommendations, the population of C30 (Upper Yiu Tung) is still relatively low and hence able to absorb the population of Yiu Fung House at the same time; • the population of Yiu Tung Estate is ageing and that of C30 (Upper Yiu Tung) has been decreasing; • Yiu On House and Yiu Fung House were completed in the same period and adjacent to each other. Geographically, they are closer to other parts of C30 (Upper Yiu Tung) but further away from other buildings of C29 (Lower Yiu Tung); • the two blocks are situated on the same platform and use the same fire services access; • same as the residents of C30 (Upper Yiu Tung), residents of the two housing blocks habitually take mini-buses and buses, and regard themselves as living in Upper Yiu Tung. Putting residents of both housing blocks into the same DCCA	This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) the affected population under the proposal made in the representations (2 028) will be larger than that in the provisional recommendations (983) by 1 045; (ii) Yiu Fung House and other buildings of Yiu Tung Estate in C29 (Lower Yiu Tung) belong to the same housing estate. They are interconnected by footbridge and roads and therefore have certain degree of connection. Geographically, Yiu Fung House will not be isolated in the provisional recommendations; and (iii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangements on district administration matters or community services provided by DC members are not the relevant factors of consideration.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				can facilitate DC member to handle the same traffic needs; • retaining Yiu Fung House alone in C29 (Lower Yiu Tung) will isolate the residents of that housing block; and • the proposal made in the representations will bring the populations of C29 (Lower Yiu Tung) and C30 (Upper Yiu Tung) closer to the population quota and the DCCA boundaries clearer, and can avoid causing confusion to members of the public about which DCCA they belong to.	

Appendix II - D

Southern District
Summaries of Written/Oral Representations

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
1	All DCCAs	1	-	Supports the provisional recommendations on all DCCAs of the Southern District. However, considers that in the long run, should adjust the DCCA boundaries of D02 (Ap Lei Chau Estate), D06 (South Horizons East) and D07 (South Horizons West) to ensure that the population of D02 (Ap Lei Chau Estate) will fall within the statutory permissible range.	The supporting view is noted. In drawing up the delineation recommendations, the EAC must strictly adhere to the statutory criteria under the EACO and its working principles. The delineation should be made on the basis of the projected populations, existing DCCA boundaries and relevant local factors. The EAC will continue to adhere to the above in future delineation exercises.
2	All DCCAs	1	-	Holds no objection to the provisional recommendations on all DCCAs of the Southern District.	The view is noted.
3	All DCCAs	1	-	(a) Supports the provisional recommendations on D01 (Aberdeen), D02 (Ap Lei Chau Estate), D03 (Ap Lei Chau North), D04 (Lei Tung I), D05 (Lei Tung II), D06 (South Horizons East), D07 (South Horizons West), D13 (Tin Wan), D14 (Shek Yue), D15 (Wong Chuk Hang), D16 (Bays Area) and D17 (Stanley & Shek O).	Item (a) The supporting view is noted.

* W: Number of written representations.
O : Number of oral representations.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				(b) Holds reservation on the provisional recommendations on D08 (Wah Kwai), D09 (Wah Fu South) and D10 (Wah Fu North).	Item (b) The view is noted.
				(c) Holds reservation on the provisional recommendations on D11 (Pokfulam) and D12 (Chi Fu). Proposes to transfer Queen Mary Hospital and the buildings in its vicinity along Pok Fu Lam Road from D11 (Pokfulam) to D12 (Chi Fu) as the population of D11 (Pokfulam) in the provisional recommendations still reaches the statutory permissible upper limit. The proposal made in the representation can even out the populations of the two DCCAs.	Item (c) This proposal is **not accepted** because the affected population under the proposal made in the representation (1 415) will be larger than that in the provisional recommendations (412) by 1 003.
4	D04 – Lei Tung I D05 – Lei Tung II	1	-	(a) For the sake of community integrity, proposes to transfer Ap Lei Chau Service Reservoir and the slope leading to Lee Nam Road Industrial Area from D04 (Lei Tung I) to D05 (Lei Tung II). It is because the above areas are frequented by residents of D05 (Lei Tung II). The provisional recommendations cut the service reservoir off from D05 (Lei Tung II).	Item (a) This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) as Ap Lei Chau Service Reservoir and the slope leading to Lee Nam Road Industrial Area have no population, there is no need to adjust the boundary; and (ii) the reservoir and the slope above are part of D04 (Lei Tung I)

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
					according to the 2015 DCCA boundary. There is no adjustment to the boundary of the above areas in the provisional recommendations.
				(b) For the sake of community integrity, proposes to extend the DCCA boundary of D04 (Lei Tung I) to St Peter's Catholic Primary School as it has been regularly used as a polling station for D04 (Lei Tung I).	Item (b) This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) as St Peter's Catholic Primary School has no population, there is no need to adjust the boundary; and (ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangement on polling station is not the relevant factor of consideration. The EAC has referred the relevant view to the REO for consideration.
5	D11 – Pokfulam D12 – Chi Fu	-	1	Proposes to transfer Queen Mary Hospital from D11 (Pokfulam) to D12 (Chi Fu).	This proposal is **not accepted** because the affected population under the proposal made in the representation (942) will be larger than that in the provisional recommendations (412) by 530.

Appendix II - E

Yau Tsim Mong District
Summaries of Written/Oral Representations

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.* *		*Representations*	*EAC's views*
		W	*O*		
1	All DCCAs	1	–	(a) Supports the provisional recommendations on E02 (Kowloon Station), E03 (Jordan West) and E18 (Jordan North) as they are more practicable in consideration of community integrity and population distribution.	Item (a) The supporting view is noted.
				(b) Holds reservation on the provisional recommendations on E06 (Mong Kok West), E08 (Olympic), E13 (Mong Kok North), E14 (Mong Kok East) and E15 (Mong Kok South).	Item (b) The view is noted.
				(c) Objects to the transfer of the surrounding area of King George V Memorial Park and Kwun Chung Municipal Services Building to E01 (Tsim Sha Tsui West) because the area has been included in E19 (Jordan South) for years. It is proposed to transfer the cluster of buildings in the south of Humphreys Avenue and the west of Hart Avenue from E20 (Tsim Sha Tsui Central) to E01 (Tsim Sha Tsui West) so as to even out the populations	Item (c) This proposal is not accepted because: (i) the affected population under the proposal made in the representation (1 268) will be larger than that in the provisional recommendations (807) by 461; and (ii) there is no sufficient objective information and justification to prove that the proposal made in the representation is obviously

* W: Number of written representations.
O: Number of oral representations.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No. **		*Representations*	*EAC's views*
		W	*O*		
				of the above three DCCAs.	better than the provisional recommendations in terms of preserving community identities and local ties.
				(d) Objects to the provisional recommendations on E04 (Yau Ma Tei South), E16 (Yau Ma Tei North) and E17 (East Tsim Sha Tsui & King's Park) because the population differences among the three DCCAs are the greatest of all DCCAs in the Yau Tsim Mong District. It is proposed that the following adjustments be made: • retains the buildings in the east of Portland Street and Arthur Street in E04 (Yau Ma Tei South) and transfers the areas in the east of Ferry Street, the south of Pitt Street, and the north of Waterloo Road to E16 (Yau Ma Tei North). It is because these areas are far away from the community facilities in E04 (Yau Ma Tei South) and the community ties between them are rather weak; • transfers the areas in the east of Nathan Road and the south of Pitt Street from E16 (Yau Ma Tei North) to E17 (East Tsim Sha Tsui & King's Park);	<u>Item (d)</u> This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) the number of affected DCCAs under the proposal made in the representation will be two more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under the proposal will be larger, too; and (ii) there is no sufficient objective information and justification to prove that the proposal made in the representation is obviously better than the provisional recommendations in terms of preserving local ties, geographical factors and transport.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No. **		*Representations*	*EAC's views*
		W	*O*		
				and • absorbs the area in the east of Ferry Street of E05 (Charming) by E16 (Yau Ma Tei North).	
				(e) Holds reservation on the provisional recommendations on E05 (Charming), E07 (Fu Pak) and E09 (Cherry). It is proposed that the following adjustments be made: • transfers The Hermitage from E09 (Cherry) to E07 (Fu Pak) because this housing estate is different from those tenement buildings dominating E09 (Cherry). Being separated by Cherry Street, community ties between the two DCCAs are rather weak. On the contrary, The Hermitage and Park Avenue in E07 (Fu Pak) were built by the same developer and they share the same community living circle; and • transfers Hoi Fu Court from E07 (Fu Pak) to E05 (Charming), subject to the populations of the two DCCAs.	<u>Item (e)</u> This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) the populations of E05 (Charming), E07 (Fu Pak) and E09 (Cherry) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required; and (ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on the population distribution and relevant local factors. It is inevitable that a DCCA is composed of more than one community.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No. **		*Representations*	*EAC's views*
		W	*O*		
				(f) Having considered the development and community identity of the surrounding area from Mong Kok to Tai Kok Tsui, it is proposed to re-delineate E09 (Cherry), E10 (Tai Kok Tsui South), E11 (Tai Kok Tsui North) and E12 (Tai Nan) as follows: <u>E09 (Cherry)</u> includes the areas in the east of Sham Mong Road and Oak Street, the south of Li Tak Street and Anchor Street, the west of Fuk Tsun Street and Tong Mi Road, and the north of Cherry Street. <u>E10 (Tai Kok Tsui South)</u> includes the areas in the east of Sham Mong Road and Lime Street, the south of Chung Wui Street, the west of Fuk Tsun Street, Tung Chau Street, Tong Mi Road and Oak Street, and the north of Li Tak Street and Anchor Street. <u>E11 (Tai Kok Tsui North)</u> includes the areas in the east of Sham Mong Road and Fuk Tsun Street, the south of Chui Yu Road, the west of Tung Chau Street and Lime Street, and the north of Chung Wui Street.	<u>Item (f)</u> This proposal is **not accepted** because the number of affected DCCAs under such proposal will be two more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under the proposal will be larger, too.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No. **		*Representations*	*EAC's views*
		W	*O*		
				E12 (Tai Nan) includes the areas in the east of Tung Chau Street, the south of Boundary Street, and the west of Nathan Road.	
2	E01 – Tsim Sha Tsui West E02 – Kowloon Station E03 – Jordan West E04 – Yau Ma Tei South E09 – Cherry E10 – Tai Kok Tsui South E11 – Tai Kok Tsui North E12 – Tai Nan	1	–	(a) Proposes to re-delineate E01 (Tsim Sha Tsui West), E17 (East Tsim Sha Tsui & King's Park), E18 (Jordan North), E19 (Jordan South) and E20 (Tsim Sha Tsui Central) by reducing these five DCCAs to four so as to make their respective populations closer to the population quota. Details are as follows: • using Nathan Road as the boundary, two DCCAs are demarcated on each of the east and west sides. As the population density of the DCCAs in the east of Nathan Road is lower than that in the west, the two sides should not be treated in a similar way when delineating their boundaries. Moreover, the area of E17 (East Tsim Sha Tsui & King's Park) is sizable. The community ties between King's Park and East Tsim Sha Tsui of the DCCA are not particularly strong;	Item (a) This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) in accordance with the EACO, the EAC must follow the number of elected seats stipulated for each DC under the DCO in delineating the DCCA boundaries. As proposed in the representation, the five DCCAs concerned will be reduced to four. As a result, the number of DCCAs in the Yau Tsim Mong District will be less than its total number of elected seats, which does not comply with the requirement under the above Ordinance. As this proposal made in the representation is related to the enactment of the primary legislation, which does not fall under the purview of the EAC, the EAC has referred the relevant view to the CMAB for consideration; and (ii) there is no sufficient objective information and justification to prove that

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No. **		*Representations*	*EAC's views*
		W	*O*		
	E17 – East Tsim Sha Tsui & King's Park E18 – Jordan North E19 – Jordan South E20 – Tsim Sha Tsui Central			• as regards the east of Nathan Road, the DCCA in the south includes the surrounding area in the east of Princess Margaret Road and Chatham Road South in E17 (East Tsim Sha Tsui & King's Park), and the areas in the east of Nathan Road in E01 (Tsim Sha Tsui West) and E20 (Tsim Sha Tsui Central); the DCCA in the north includes the area from King's Park Hill to the west of Princess Margaret Road in E17 (East Tsim Sha Tsui & King's Park), the areas in the east of Nathan Road in E18 (Jordan North) and E19 (Jordan South), and Kowloon Cricket Club and Gun Club Hill Barracks in E20 (Tsim Sha Tsui Central); and • as regards the west of Nathan Road, the DCCA in the south includes the areas in the west of Nathan Road in E01 (Tsim Sha Tsui West) and E19 (Jordan South); the DCCA in the north includes the surrounding areas in the east of Shanghai Street and the west of Nathan Road in E18 (Jordan North), and the areas in the east of Shanghai Street and the	the proposal made in the representation is obviously better than the provisional recommendations in terms of preserving local ties.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's views*
		W	*O*		
				south of Wing Sing Lane in E04 (Yau Ma Tei South). At the same time, it is proposed that the buildings in the east of Portland Street and Arthur Street be retained in E04 (Yau Ma Tei South), while the buildings in the west of Shanghai Street be transferred from E18 (Jordan North) to E03 (Jordan West).	
				(b) Proposes to transfer the area in the west of Hoi Wang Road from E03 (Jordan West) to E02 (Kowloon Station) because there is no residential building in the above area and it has closer community ties with E02 (Kowloon Station).	Item (b) This proposal is **not accepted** because the area concerned has no population. There is no need to adjust the boundaries.
				(c) Proposes to delineate the DCCA boundaries of E09 (Cherry), E10 (Tai Kok Tsui South), E11 (Tai Kok Tsui North) and E12 (Tai Nan) so that the population of E12 (Tai Nan) will fall within the statutory permissible range, while a more balanced population distribution among the four DCCAs can be achieved. Details are as follows: • transfers the surrounding areas in the south of Ivy Street and the west of Tai Kok Tsui Road from E10	Item (c) This proposal is **not accepted** because the number of affected DCCAs under such proposal will be two more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under the proposal will be larger, too.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No. **		*Representations*	*EAC's views*
		W	*O*		
				(Tai Kok Tsui South) to E09 (Cherry); and • transfers the area in the west of Tung Chau Street from E12 (Tai Nan) to E10 (Tai Kok Tsui South) or E11 (Tai Kok Tsui North).	
3	E01 – Tsim Sha Tsui West E02 – Kowloon Station E03 – Jordan West E04 – Yau Ma Tei South E17 – East Tsim Sha Tsui & King's Park E18 – Jordan North E19 – Jordan South	1	–	To maintain the integrity of the core community of Tsim Sha Tsui, proposes to re-delineate E01 (Tsim Sha Tsui West), E02 (Kowloon Station), E03 (Jordan West), E04 (Yau Ma Tei South), E17 (East Tsim Sha Tsui & King's Park), E18 (Jordan North), E19 (Jordan South) and E20 (Tsim Sha Tsui Central). Details are as follows: <u>E20 (Tsim Sha Tsui Central) and E19 (Jordan South)</u> retains the area from the south of Mody Road to Salisbury Road in E20 (Tsim Sha Tsui Central) and transfers the buildings in the surrounding area of Fortune Terrace from E19 (Jordan South) to E20 (Tsim Sha Tsui Central). Moreover, it is proposed to transfer The Austin, Grand Austin and the surrounding area of King George V Memorial Park from E01 (Tsim Sha Tsui West) to E19 (Jordan South). <u>E02 (Kowloon Station)</u> absorbs the West Kowloon Cultural District of E01 (Tsim	This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) the number of affected DCCAs under the proposal made in the representation will be two more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under the proposal will be larger, too; (ii) after the proposed adjustments of the representation, the populations of E01 (Tsim Sha Tsui West), E17 (East Tsim Sha Tsui & King's Park), E19 (Jordan South) and E20 (Tsim Sha Tsui Central) will exceed the statutory permissible range, which are: E01: 9 025, -45.63% E17: 11 300, -31.92% E19: 11 865, -28.52% E20: 21 796, +31.31% (iii) the delineation recommendations must be

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No. **		*Representations*	*EAC's views*
		W	*O*		
	E20 – Tsim Sha Tsui Central			Sha Tsui West). <u>E01 (Tsim Sha Tsui West)</u> after the above adjustments, combines the remaining part of E01 (Tsim Sha Tsui West) and the area in the south of Cheong Wan Road of E17 (East Tsim Sha Tsui & King's Park) to form a DCCA and renames this DCCA as "Tsim Sha Tsui East & West". <u>E17 (East Tsim Sha Tsui & King's Park)</u> comprises the area from King's Park Hill to the north of Cheong Wan Road in E17 (East Tsim Sha Tsui & King's Park), the area in the east of Nathan Road to Diocesan Girls' School in E18 (Jordan North), and Kowloon Cricket Club and Gun Club Hill Barracks in E20 (Tsim Sha Tsui Central). This DCCA is to be renamed as "King's Park". <u>E03 (Jordan West), E04 (Yau Ma Tei South) & E18 (Jordan North)</u> retains the buildings in the east of Portland Street and Arthur Street in E04 (Yau Ma Tei South) and transfers The Coronation to E03 (Jordan West). Moreover, it is proposed that the northern boundary of E03 (Jordan West) be altered to reach Lai Cheung Road and the buildings in the surrounding area in the east of Ferry Street be transferred to	based on the population distribution and relevant local factors. It is inevitable that a DCCA is composed of more than one community; and (iv) as the West Kowloon Cultural District has no population, there is no need to adjust the boundaries.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No. **		*Representations*	*EAC's views*
		W	*O*		
				E18 (Jordan North).	
4	E01 – Tsim Sha Tsui West E03 – Jordan West E04 – Yau Ma Tei South E09 – Cherry E10 – Tai Kok Tsui South E11 – Tai Kok Tsui North E16 – Yau Ma Tei North E17 – East Tsim Sha Tsui & King's Park E18 – Jordan North	1	–	(a) Objects to the transfer of the buildings in the east of Portland Street and Arthur Street from E04 (Yau Ma Tei South) to E17 (East Tsim Sha Tsui & King's Park) as the area does not share a similar background with E17 (East Tsim Sha Tsui & King's Park) and they are separated by Nathan Road. To ensure that the populations of E04 (Yau Ma Tei South) and E17 (East Tsim Sha Tsui & King's Park) will fall within the statutory permissible range, it is proposed to: • transfer the area in the east of Nathan Road to Diocesan Girls' School from E18 (Jordan North) to E17 (East Tsim Sha Tsui & King's Park); and • transfer the surrounding areas in the south of Pitt Street and the north of Waterloo Road from E04 (Yau Ma Tei South) to E16 (Yau Ma Tei North); or • transfer The Coronation from E04 (Yau Ma Tei South) to E03 (Jordan West) and the area in the east of Canton Road from E03 (Jordan West) to E18 (Jordan North).	<u>Item (a)</u> This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) the number of affected DCCAs under the proposal made in the representation will be two more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under the proposal will be larger, too; and (ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on the population distribution and relevant local factors. It is inevitable that a DCCA is composed of more than one community.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No. **		*Representations*	*EAC's views*
		W	*O*		
	E19 – Jordan South E20 – Tsim Sha Tsui Central			The name of E03 (Jordan West) is to be changed to "Ferry Point".	
				(b) Objects to the provisional recommendations on E01 (Tsim Sha Tsui West). The proposed adjustments are as follows: • renames E01 (Tsim Sha Tsui West) as "Tsim Sha Tsui" or "Tsim Sha Tsui South". This DCCA includes the areas in the south of Austin Road and Granville Road, and the west of Nathan Road and Chatham Road South; • renames E20 (Tsim Sha Tsui Central) as "Hong Kong Observatory" or "Tsim Sha Tsui North". This DCCA includes the areas in the east of Nathan Road, the south of Jordan Road and Gascoigne Road, the west of Chatham Road South, and the north of Granville Road; • E19 (Jordan South) includes MTR Austin Station and the areas in the east of Canton Road, the south of Jordan Road, the west of Nathan Road, and the north of Austin Road; and	<u>Item (b)</u> This proposal is **not accepted** because the number of affected DCCAs under such proposal will be one more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under the proposal will be larger, too.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.* *		*Representations*	*EAC's views*
		W	*O*		
				• transfers some buildings from E18 (Jordan North) to E19 (Jordan South) so that the population of the latter would fall within the statutory permissible range.	
				(c) Disagrees with the provisional recommendations on E09 (Cherry), E10 (Tai Kok Tsui South) and E11 (Tai Kok Tsui North) as the community of Tai Kok Tsui is separated into the east and the west portions by the main road Tai Kok Tsui Road and the West Kowloon Corridor atop of it. It is proposed to use Tai Kok Tsui Road as the boundary to form a DCCA on the east side, and then split the west side into two DCCAs with one in the south and the other in the north.	Item (c) This proposal is **not accepted** because the populations of E09 (Cherry) and E10 (Tai Kok Tsui South) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required.
				(d) As E16 (Yau Ma Tei North) straddles Mong Kok and Yau Ma Tei, it is proposed to rename the DCCA as "Kwong Wah" after Kwong Wah Hospital, the landmark of the area.	Item (d) This proposal is **not accepted** because the existing DCCA name was first used in 2015 and there were no adverse comments received then. The public is used to this name. Moreover, there is no change to the boundary of the DCCA. Change of the DCCA name may cause confusion to the public.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No. **		*Representations*	*EAC's views*
		W	*O*		
5	E01 – Tsim Sha Tsui West E10 – Tai Kok Tsui South E11 – Tai Kok Tsui North E12 – Tai Nan E19 – Jordan South	–	1	(a) Objects to the transfer of the surrounding area of King George V Memorial Park and Kwun Chung Municipal Services Building from E19 (Jordan South) to E01 (Tsim Sha Tsui West).	Item (a) This representation is **not accepted** because if the area is not transferred to E01 (Tsim Sha Tsui West) under the provisional recommendations, the population of the DCCA (11 866) will be below the statutory permissible lower limit (-28.51%).
				(b) Proposes that the EAC should consider transferring some of the buildings from E11 (Tai Kok Tsui North) to E10 (Tai Kok Tsui South) when adjusting the boundaries of E11 (Tai Kok Tsui North) and E12 (Tai Nan) to even out the populations of the three DCCAs.	Item (b) This proposal is **not accepted** because the population of E10 (Tai Kok Tsui South) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustment to its existing boundary is not required.
6	E01 – Tsim Sha Tsui West E19 – Jordan South	1	–	Proposes to retain King George V Memorial Park and Kwun Chung Municipal Services Building in E19 (Jordan South) because the park and market there are essential community facilities for the residents. By retaining the above facilities in E19 (Jordan South), the DC member will be able to, on behalf of the residents, follow up on matters related to the facilities and propose improvements so as to serve the residents more effectively.	This proposal is **not accepted** because the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangements on district administration matters or community services provided by DC members are not the relevant factors of consideration.
7	E01 – Tsim Sha Tsui West	1	–	Objects to the transfer of the area in the south of Mody Road to Salisbury Road from E20 (Tsim Sha Tsui Central) to E01	This representation is **not accepted** because: (i) if the area is not transferred

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No. **		*Representations*	*EAC's views*
		W	*O*		
	E20 – Tsim Sha Tsui Central			(Tsim Sha Tsui West) because the area between Austin Road and Salisbury Road is populated by ethnic minorities. Many residents in the area operate small businesses and have close community ties.	to E01 (Tsim Sha Tsui West) under the provisional recommendations, the population of the DCCA (10 263) will be below the statutory permissible lower limit (-38.17%); and (ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on the population distribution and relevant local factors. It is inevitable that a DCCA is composed of more than one community.
8	E02 – Kowloon Station E07 – Fu Pak	1	–	Proposes to transfer the vacant land by the sea along Hoi Fai Road from E02 (Kowloon Station) to the adjacent E07 (Fu Pak) as the area does not have any inhabitants and is far from the centre of E02 (Kowloon Station).	This proposal is **not accepted** because the area concerned has no population. There is no need to adjust the boundaries.
9	E02 – Kowloon Station E04 – Yau Ma Tei South E12 – Tai Nan E17 – East Tsim Sha Tsui & King's Park	1	–	(a) Objects to the transfer of the buildings in the east of Portland Street and Arthur Street from E04 (Yau Ma Tei South) to E17 (East Tsim Sha Tsui & King's Park). It considers that the EAC has wrongly estimated the population of E17 (East Tsim Sha Tsui & King's Park).	<u>Item (a)</u> This representation is **not accepted** because: (i) the projected population figures as at 30 June 2019 are used for the delineation exercise for the 2019 DC Ordinary Election. As in the past, the projected population figures are provided by the AHSG, set up specially for the purpose of the delineation exercise under the Working Group on Population Distribution Projection in the PlanD.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.* *		*Representations*	*EAC's views*
		W	*O*		The current population distribution projections are derived by using scientific and systematic methodology based on the results of the 2016 population by-census carried out by the C&SD as well as the up-to-date official data kept by the relevant government departments. Members of the AHSG are all professional departments which all along have been responsible for territory-wide population census and projections on population distribution. They possess the most up-to-date information on the population and land and housing development, and the data are highly-accepted generally. The EAC has all along relied on the statistical figures provided by the AHSG, which are the only data available for the delineation exercise; and (ii) if the boundaries of E04 (Yau Ma Tei South) and E17 (East Tsim Sha Tsui & King's Park) remain unchanged, the populations of the two DCCAs will exceed the statutory permissible range, which are: E04: 20 862, +25.68% E17: 10 954, -34.01%

Item No.	***DCCAs***	***No.****		***Representations***	***EAC's views***
		W	***O***		
				(b) Has no adverse comments on the provisional recommendations on E02 (Kowloon Station) and E12 (Tai Nan).	Item (b) The view is noted.
10	E07 – Fu Pak	1	–	Supports the provisional recommendations.	The supporting view is noted.

Appendix II - F

Sham Shui Po District

Summaries of Written/Oral Representations

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
1	All DCCAs	2	-	Support the provisional recommendations on all DCCAs of the Sham Shui Po District.	The supporting views are noted.
2	All DCCAs	1	-	(a) Supports the provisional recommendations on F02 (Cheung Sha Wan), F07 (Nam Cheong Central), F10 (Lai Kok), F13 (Lai Chi Kok Central), F18 (Lai Chi Kok North), F22 (Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin) and F24 (Yau Yat Tsuen).	Item (a) The supporting view is noted.
				(b) Objects to the provisional recommendations on F01 (Po Lai), F19 (Un Chau) and F21 (Lei Cheng Uk) and proposes: • to transfer Un Chau Estate Phase 5 from F01 (Po Lai) to F19 (Un Chau); • to transfer the private buildings at Po On Road, Cheung Fat Street, Un Chau Street and Hing Wah Street, and Koon Wing Building from F19 (Un Chau) to F01 (Po Lai), so as to narrow down the community difference; and	Item (b) This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) the affected population under the proposal made in the representation (10 696) will be larger than that in the provisional recommendations (980) by 9 716; and (ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on the population distribution and relevant local factors. It is inevitable that a DCCA is composed of more than one community.

* W : Number of written representations.
O : Number of oral representations.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				• to transfer the surrounding areas of Wai Wai Road, Pratas Street, Castle Peak Road and Tonkin Street from F01 (Po Lai) to F21 (Lei Cheng Uk) as the latter's population is relatively low.	
				(c) Objects to the provisional recommendations on F20 (So Uk) and F21 (Lei Cheng Uk) and proposes to transfer the entire Camellia House from F21 (Lei Cheng Uk) to F20 (So Uk).	Item (c) This proposal is **accepted** because according to the provisional recommendations, the entire So Uk Estate (including Camellia House) actually belongs to F20 (So Uk), therefore the population of Camellia House has already been included in F20 (So Uk). The EAC will make technical adjustment to the proposed boundaries of F20 (So Uk) and F21 (Lei Cheng Uk).
				(d) Objects to the provisional recommendations on F03 (Nam Cheong North), F04 (Shek Kip Mei), F05 (Nam Cheong East), F23 (Ha Pak Tin) and F25 (Nam Shan, Tai Hang Tung & Tai Hang Sai) and proposes: • to transfer the buildings in the north of Tai Po Road from F03 (Nam Cheong North) to F04 (Shek Kip Mei), so as to reflect the different living circles of the two DCCAs; and	Item (d) This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) if the buildings at the north of Tai Po Road are to be transferred from F03 (Nam Cheong North) to F04 (Shek Kip Mei), the affected population (2 718) will be larger than that in the provisional recommendations (1 191) by 1 527;

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				• to transfer Mei Yue House and Mei Ying House of Shek Kip Mei Estate from F04 (Shek Kip Mei) to F23 (Ha Pak Tin) instead of F25 (Nam Shan, Tai Hang Tung & Tai Hang Sai), so as to even out the population difference. Also, some of the residents of these two blocks are relocated from Pak Tin Estate in F23 (Ha Pak Tin), and the residents of F23 (Ha Pak Tin) often go to MTR Shek Kip Mei Station through Wai Chi Street in F04 (Shek Kip Mei).	(ii) if Mei Yue House and Mei Ying House of Shek Kip Mei Estate are to be transferred from F04 (Shek Kip Mei) to F23 (Ha Pak Tin), the population of F23 (Ha Pak Tin) (20 817) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+25.41%); (iii) the relocation of part of the population as mentioned in the representation is originated from the redevelopment of housing estates. It is a common phenomenon that residents need to be relocated to different DCCAs due to redevelopment of housing estates, which also inevitably affects the existing local ties. Such influence is originated from relocation of population instead of delineation. The EAC is of the view that there is no sufficient information and justification to allow the population of F23 (Ha Pak Tin) to exceed the statutory permissible upper limit; and (iv) according to the projected population in 2019, F25 (Nam Shan, Tai Hang Tung & Tai Hang Sai) has more capacity than F23 (Ha Pak Tin) to absorb the excess population in F04 (Shek Kip Mei).

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				(e) Proposes to "cut straight" the boundary in the area near Tsung Tsin Primary School and rename F25 (Nam Shan, Tai Hang Tung & Tai Hang Sai) as "Kowloon Tsai" (九龍仔) for the convenience of the public.	Item (e) This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) as the Tsung Tsin Primary School under the proposal made in the representation has no population, there is no need to adjust the boundary; and (ii) the current name of the DCCA has been used since 2007 and most of the public are used to this name. Besides, as the DCCA name proposed in the representation is also similar to that of "Kowloon Tsai" (九龍仔) in the Kowloon City District, change of the DCCA name may cause confusion to the public.
				(f) Objects to the provisional recommendations on F06 (Nam Cheong South), F08 (Nam Cheong West) and F09 (Fu Cheong) and proposes: • to transfer the private buildings surrounding the area in the south of Lai Chi Kok Road from F06 (Nam Cheong South) to F08 (Nam Cheong West), so as to rectify the problem of odd-looking boundaries of two DCCAs; and	Item (f) This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) according to the proposal made in the representation, the population of F08 (Nam Cheong West) (21 785) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+31.24%); and (ii) although the shape of a DCCA is a relevant factor of consideration, it is confined by population distribution to a certain

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				• to transfer Sham Shui Po Park and Ka Ling School from F09 (Fu Cheong) to F08 (Nam Cheong West), so as to reflect the situation that the access to the school is in fact located in F08 (Nam Cheong West).	extent and is not a prime factor of consideration.
				(g) Holds reservation on the provisional recommendations on F12 (Pik Wui). The representation considers that the population of F12 (Pik Wui) is relatively scattered and that more polling stations should be set up in elections to facilitate electors.	Item (g) The delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangement on polling station is not the relevant factor of consideration. The EAC has referred the relevant view to the REO for consideration.
				(h) Holds reservation on the provisional recommendations on F11 (Fortune), F14 (Lai Chi Kok South), F15 (Mei Foo South), F16 (Mei Foo Central) and F17 (Mei Foo North) and considers that F15 (Mei Foo South), F16 (Mei Foo Central) and F17 (Mei Foo North) should adopt Tsing Sha Highway as their eastern boundaries, so as to reflect the change of the road network.	Item (h) This proposal is **not accepted** because the populations of F15 (Mei Foo South), F16 (Mei Foo Central) and F17 (Mei Foo North) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
3	F01 – Po Lai F19 – Un Chau	1	-	Proposes: • to transfer Un Chau Estate Phase 5 from F01 (Po Lai) to F19 (Un Chau); and • to transfer the private buildings in F19 (Un Chau) to F01 (Po Lai).	This proposal is **not accepted** because the affected population under the proposal made in the representation (7 972) will be larger than that in the provisional recommendations (980) by 6 992.
4	F01 – Po Lai F21 – Lei Cheng Uk	1	-	Objects to the transfer of Heya Green, Career Court and Po Wah Court in the north of Po On Road near Wai Wai Road from F01 (Po Lai) to F21 (Lei Cheng Uk). Proposes to maintain the existing boundary of F01 (Po Lai) because the above buildings originally belonged to F01 (Po Lai) and such an adjustment will affect the continuity of community services and cause unfairness to the residents affected.	This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) if the boundary of F21 (Lei Cheng Uk) remains unchanged, the population of F21 (Lei Cheng Uk) (12 356) will be below the statutory permissible lower limit (-25.56%); and (ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Provision of community services is not the relevant factor of consideration.
5	F02 – Cheung Sha Wan F03 – Nam Cheong North	1	-	Proposes to split F22 (Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin) into other DCCAs for the creation of a new DCCA to accommodate the excess population of Shek Kip Mei Estate after its redevelopment. Proposes: • to transfer the area in the north of Lung Cheung Road and the north of Ching Cheung Road from	This proposal is **not accepted** because the number of affected DCCAs under the proposal made in the representation will be five more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under the proposal will be larger, too.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
	F04 – Shek Kip Mei F05 – Nam Cheong East F06 – Nam Cheong South F21 – Lei Cheng Uk F22 – Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin F23 – Ha Pak Tin F24 – Yau Yat Tsuen			F22 (Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin) to F24 (Yau Yat Tsuen); • to transfer Chak On Estate from F22 (Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin) to F02 (Cheung Sha Wan) and transfer Cronin Garden from F02 (Cheung Sha Wan) to F21 (Lei Cheng Uk); • to transfer the buildings of Pak Tin Estate from F22 (Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin) to F23 (Ha Pak Tin) and transfer the buildings surrounding the area of Wai Chi Street from F23 (Ha Pak Tin) to F04 (Shek Kip Mei) and to rename F23 (Ha Pak Tin) as "Pak Tin"; • to form a DCCA comprising the redeveloped Shek Kip Mei Estate in F04 (Shek Kip Mei) and the buildings surrounding the area of Wai Chi Street and to name it as "Sheung Shek Kip Mei"; • to form a DCCA comprising the Shek Kip Mei Estate which has not yet been redeveloped in F04 (Shek Kip Mei) and the buildings surrounding the area in the north of Tai Po Road, the east of Pak Tin Street, the west of Wong Chuk Street and the south of Berwick Street in F03 (Nam Cheong North) and F05 (Nam Cheong East) and to name	

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				it as "Ha Shek Kip Mei"; and • to transfer the buildings surrounding the area in the east of Cheung Sha Wan Road from F06 (Nam Cheong South) to F05 (Nam Cheong East).	
6	F03 – Nam Cheong North F04 – Shek Kip Mei F05 – Nam Cheong East	1	-	Holds no objection to the provisional recommendations on the transfer of Mei Yue House and Mei Ying House of Shek Kip Mei Estate from F04 (Shek Kip Mei) to F25 (Nam Shan, Tai Hang Tung & Tai Hang Sai) and further proposes: • to transfer Blocks 19 and 20 of Shek Kip Mei Estate from F05 (Nam Cheong East) to F04 (Shek Kip Mei) because these two blocks are geographically closer to Shek Kip Mei Estate in F04 (Shek Kip Mei), hence it has closer community ties with the estate. This proposal would help maintain the community integrity; and • to retain the area in the north of Tai Po Road in F03 (Nam Cheong North), so as to maintain the community integrity in the area surrounding Nam Cheong.	This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) the population of F05 (Nam Cheong East) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustment to its existing boundary is not required; and (ii) if the area at the north of Tai Po Road is to be retained in F03 (Nam Cheong North), the population of F03 (Nam Cheong North) (20 819) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+25.42%).

Item No.	***DCCAs***	***No.****		***Representations***	***EAC's Views***
		W	***O***		
7	F03 – Nam Cheong North F04 – Shek Kip Mei F05 – Nam Cheong East F23 – Ha Pak Tin F25 – Nam Shan, Tai Hang Tung & Tai Hang Sai	1	3	(a) Object to the provisional recommendations on F03 (Nam Cheong North), F04 (Shek Kip Mei) and F25 (Nam Shan, Tai Hang Tung & Tai Hang Sai). Consider that the provisional recommendations have not taken into account the community integrity reflecting that the EAC only gives consideration to the population figures. Propose that: • Mei Yue House and Mei Ying House of Shek Kip Mei Estate be retained in F04 (Shek Kip Mei) and Shek Kip Mei Estate Phases 3 and 7 or Mei Ho House together with Shek Kip Mei Estate Phases 3 and 7 be transferred from F04 (Shek Kip Mei) to F23 (Ha Pak Tin); or • Shek Kip Mei Estate be split into two DCCAs, namely Shek Kip Mei East and Shek Kip Mei West. Reasons are summarised as follows: • as compared with Nam Shan Estate, Tai Hang Tung Estate and Tai Hang Sai Estate, Shek	<u>Item (a)</u> This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) if Mei Ho House and Shek Kip Mei Estate Phases 3 and 7 are to be transferred to F23 (Ha Pak Tin), the population of F04 (Shek Kip Mei) (21 276) will still exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+28.18%); (ii) the population quota for the 2019 DC Ordinary Election is 16 599, and the statutory permissible range is between 12 449 and 20 749. The population of Shek Kip Mei Estate is 24 400, which is not sufficient to create two DCCAs; and (iii) after receiving the representations, the EAC conducted a site visit and noticed that Mei Yue House and Mei Ying House are geographically very close to the adjacent Tai Hang Sai Estate, and it is not required to pass through any slopes to go to Nam Shan Estate, Tai Hang Tung Estate and Tai Hang Sai Estate along Wai Chi Street or Woh Chai Street.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				Kip Mei Estate and Pak Tin Estate have all along been regarded as an integral community. Activities of the residents mainly take place in these two estates and they often share the same community facilities, hence the ties between them are closer; • Mei Yue House and Mei Ying House of Shek Kip Mei Estate are situated at a level higher than that of Nam Shan Estate, Tai Hang Tung Estate and Tai Hang Sai Estate. It takes quite some time for the residents of the former to walk down the slope to the latter; and • the above proposal helps maintain the community integrity of the two DCCAs concerned.	
				(b) One representation further proposes to transfer the private buildings at Tai Po Road, Nam Cheong Street, Berwick Street and Pei Ho Street from F03 (Nam Cheong North) to F05 (Nam Cheong East) so as to group the old private buildings in the same DCCA.	<u>Item (b)</u> Please see item 6(i).

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				(c) One representation also proposes to transfer the private buildings at Tai Po Road, Pei Ho Street, Berwick Street and Kweilin Street from F03 (Nam Cheong North) to F05 (Nam Cheong East).	Item (c) This proposal is **not accepted** because the private buildings within the area of Tai Po Road, Pei Ho Street, Berwick Street and Kweilin Street in F03 (Nam Cheong North) are not geographically connected to F05 (Nam Cheong East), hence making adjustment as proposed in the representation is not feasible.
				(d) One representation proposes to retain the private buildings at Tai Po Road, Pei Ho Street, Berwick Street and Kweilin Street in F03 (Nam Cheong North).	Item (d) This proposal is **not accepted** because according to the proposal made in the representation, the population of F03 (Nam Cheong North) (20 819) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+25.42%).
				(e) Consider that the EAC only provides the population figures of each DCCA but not the actual population of individual buildings in the DCCAs, hence making it difficult for DC member of the DCCA to make recommendations based on the actual population.	Item (e) Since the delineation exercise is conducted on the basis of DCCA, figures of each DCCA are therefore provided. In adjusting the boundaries, the EAC will request the AHSG, set up specially for the purpose of the delineation exercise under the Working Group on Population Distribution Projection in the PlanD, to provide population figures of individual buildings in the areas to be delineated. If so required, the EAC will also seek the assistance from the AHSG to project the relevant population figures when examining new proposals from the public.

Item No.	***DCCAs***	***No.****		***Representations***	***EAC's Views***
		W	***O***		
8	F03 – Nam Cheong North F04 – Shek Kip Mei F25 – Nam Shan, Tai Hang Tung & Tai Hang Sai	2	-	(a) Supports the provisional recommendations but consider it necessary, in the long run, to adjust the DCCA boundaries surrounding the areas of Shek Kip Mei and Nam Cheong, and address the issue of delineation of Shek Kip Mei Estate into three different DCCAs.	<u>Item (a)</u> The supporting view is noted. In drawing up the delineation recommendations, the EAC must strictly adhere to the statutory criteria under the EACO and its working principles. The delineation should be made on the basis of the projected populations, existing DCCA boundaries and relevant local factors. The EAC will continue to adhere to the above in future delineation exercises.
				(b) Holds no objection to the provisional recommendations.	<u>Item (b)</u> The view is noted.
9	F03 – Nam Cheong North F04 – Shek Kip Mei F25 – Nam Shan, Tai Hang Tung & Tai Hang Sai	-	1	Hopes that the EAC can provide sufficient justification to explain why it recommends F04 (Shek Kip Mei) to absorb part of the private buildings from F03 (Nam Cheong North) after the transfer of Mei Yue House and Mei Ying House of Shek Kip Mei Estate from F04 (Shek Kip Mei) to F25 (Nam Shan, Tai Hang Tung & Tai Hang Sai).	Based on the 2015 original DCCA boundary, the population of F04 (Shek Kip Mei) (22 651) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+36.46%). By transferring Mei Yue House and Mei Ying House of F04 (Shek Kip Mei) to F25 (Nam Shan, Tai Hang Tung & Tai Hang Sai), the population of F04 (Shek Kip Mei) will be adjusted to 17 848, and then has capacity to absorb the excess population of F03 (Nam Cheong North). The provisional recommendations can ensure that both the populations of F03 (Nam Cheong North) and F04 (Shek Kip Mei) will fall within the statutory permissible range by the way of affecting the least number of DCCAs.

Item No.	***DCCAs***	***No.****		***Representations***	***EAC's Views***
		W	***O***		
10	F03 – Nam Cheong North F04 – Shek Kip Mei F25 – Nam Shan, Tai Hang Tung & Tai Hang Sai	1	-	Some housing estates in F04 (Shek Kip Mei) are still under construction. As it is impossible to ascertain the flow of population, proposes to retain the boundaries of F03 (Nam Cheong North), F04 (Shek Kip Mei) and F25 (Nam Shan, Tai Hang Tung & Tai Hang Sai). In addition, it is considered that the transfer of Mei Yue House and Mei Ying House of Shek Kip Mei Estate to F25 (Nam Shan, Tai Hang Tung & Tai Hang Sai) will break the community ties within the DCCA as they belong to new and old housing estates respectively.	This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) according to the proposal made in the representation, the populations of F03 (Nam Cheong North) and F04 (Shek Kip Mei) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit: F03: 20 819, +25.42% F04: 22 651, +36.46% (ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on the population distribution and relevant local factors. It is inevitable that a DCCA is composed of more than one community.
11	F04 – Shek Kip Mei F22 – Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin F23 – Ha Pak Tin	1	-	Queries that the EAC has not considered the substantial demographic change in Pak Tin Estate in F22 (Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin) as a result of redevelopment during the 2019 DC Election. As F23 (Ha Pak Tin) has included the new blocks of Pak Tin Estate, it is worried that electors originally belonged to F22 (Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin) may cast their votes in a wrong DCCA as they are relocated to the same housing estate in F23 (Ha Pak Tin). Proposes: (a) to transfer Mei Yue House and Mei Ying House of Shek Kip Mei Estate from	<u>Item (a)</u> This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) the number of affected DCCAs under the proposal made in the representation will be one more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under the proposal will be larger, too; (ii) Mei Yue House and Mei Ying House of Shek Kip Mei Estate in F04 (Shek Kip Mei) are not geographically connected to F22 (Lung Ping &

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				F04 (Shek Kip Mei) to F22 (Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin), and then transfer Blocks 9 to 11 and 13 of Upper Pak Tin Estate from F22 (Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin) to F23 (Ha Pak Tin), so as to enhance the community integrity of the Pak Tin community.	Sheung Pak Tin), hence the proposal made in the representation is not feasible; (iii) if Blocks 9 to 11 and 13 of Upper Pak Tin Estate are to be transferred from F22 (Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin) to F23 (Ha Pak Tin), the population of F23 (Ha Pak Tin) (22 818) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+37.47%); and (iv) it is an established practice that the delineation exercise for a DC ordinary election should be conducted on the basis of the latest projected population figures as at 30 June of the election year. Developments thereafter will not be taken into consideration.
				(b) to transfer Pak Tin Commercial Centre from F23 (Ha Pak Tin) to F22 (Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin) so that residents who are relocated due to the redevelopment can be transferred to the same DCCA.	<u>Item (b)</u> This proposal is **not accepted** because the populations of F22 (Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin) and F23 (Ha Pak Tin) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				(c) to transfer Shek Kip Mei Estate Phases 3 and 7 from F04 (Shek Kip Mei) to F22 (Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin), so as to make up for the drop in population due to relocation of residents as a result of the redevelopment of Upper Pak Tin Estate in mid 2019.	Item (c) This proposal is **not accepted** because the number of affected DCCAs under the proposal made in the representation will be one more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under the proposal will be larger, too.
12	F04 – Shek Kip Mei F22 – Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin F23 – Ha Pak Tin F25 – Nam Shan, Tai Hang Tung & Tai Hang Sai	1	-	(a) Objects to the provisional recommendations on F04 (Shek Kip Mei) and F25 (Nam Shan, Tai Hang Tung & Tai Hang Sai). Taking into consideration of the demographic change in F22 (Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin) due to redevelopment, proposes that adjustment should be made to the boundaries of both F04 (Shek Kip Mei) and F22 (Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin) instead of F04 (Shek Kip Mei) and F25 (Nam Shan, Tai Hang Tung & Tai Hang Sai).	Item (a) This proposal is **not accepted** because the representation has failed to provide any specific details on how to adjust the DCCA boundaries.
				(b) Proposes to transfer Blocks 9, 10 and 11 of Pak Tin Estate from F22 (Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin) to F23 (Ha Pak Tin), so as to reflect the "advanced relocation" of the residents in the above estate from April 2018 due to redevelopment and the start of official relocation in the third quarter of 2019, as well as preserving community integrity.	Item (b) This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) the populations of F22 (Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin) and F23 (Ha Pak Tin) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
					are not required; and (ii) it is an established practice that the delineation exercise for a DC ordinary election should be conducted on the basis of the latest projected population figures as at 30 June of the election year. Developments thereafter will not be taken into consideration.
13	F04 – Shek Kip Mei F25 – Nam Shan, Tai Hang Tung & Tai Hang Sai	-	2	Support the provisional recommendations and hope that there would be a hardworking DC member who will work practically to serve residents of the DCCA.	The supporting views are noted.
14	F06 – Nam Cheong South F08 – Nam Cheong West	1	-	Objects to the provisional recommendations and considers that the buildings surrounding the area of Nam Cheong Street and Yee Kuk Street have been delineated into three different DCCAs in Nam Cheong which lead to inefficiency and lack of continuity in the provision of services by DC members. Proposes to retain the buildings surrounding the area of Nam Cheong Street and Yee Kuk Street in F08 (Nam Cheong West).	The view is noted. According to the provisional recommendations, the buildings surrounding the junction of Nam Cheong Street and Yee Kuk Street in F06 (Nam Cheong South) will be transferred to F08 (Nam Cheong West).

Item No.	***DCCAs***	***No.****		***Representations***	***EAC's Views***
		W	***O***		
15	F06 – Nam Cheong South F08 – Nam Cheong West F09 – Fu Cheong	-	1	Same as item 2(f).	Please see item 2(f).
16	F06 – Nam Cheong South F08 – Nam Cheong West F09 – Fu Cheong F10 – Lai Kok	2	-	Consider that the boundary between F06 (Nam Cheong South) and F08 (Nam Cheong West) in the provisional recommendations is a jagged edge. Propose to transfer the buildings surrounding the area in the south of Lai Chi Kok Road from F06 (Nam Cheong South) to F08 (Nam Cheong West), so that both DCCAs can use Lai Chi Kok Road as their boundaries. One representation further proposes to transfer the buildings surrounding the area in the northwest of Yen Chow Street from F08 (Nam Cheong West) to F10 (Lai Kok) which has a relatively smaller population.	This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) the number of affected DCCAs under the proposals made in the representations will be one more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under the proposals will be larger, too; and (ii) although the shape of a DCCA is a relevant factor of consideration, it is confined by population distribution to a certain extent and is not a prime factor of consideration.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				One representation further proposes to transfer the buildings surrounding the area in the west of Yen Chow Street from F08 (Nam Cheong West) to F10 (Lai Kok) which has a relatively smaller population and to transfer Sham Shui Po Park and Ka Ling School from F09 (Fu Cheong) to F10 (Lai Kok).	
17	F09 – Fu Cheong F11 – Fortune F12 – Pik Wui F14 – Lai Chi Kok South	1	-	Proposes: • to transfer the area in the south of Lai Chi Kok Road from F11 (Fortune) to the new DCCA F12 (Pik Wui) because the nature of housing of The Sparkle in the area is closer to those buildings in the new DCCA; • to transfer the area in the northwest of Tonkin Street West from F09 (Fu Cheong) to the new DCCA F12 (Pik Wui). After adjustment, F09 (Fu Cheong) will only include Fu Cheong Estate and Wing Cheong Estate; • to transfer the area in the south of West Kowloon Highway from F14 (Lai Chi Kok South) to the new DCCA F12 (Pik Wui). After adjustment, F14 (Lai Chi Kok South) will only include Hoi Lai Estate; and	This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) the affected population under the proposal made in the representation (14 631) will be larger than that in the provisional recommendations (13 376) by 1 255; (ii) as the area from Fat Tseung Street West to Tonkin Street West has no population, there is no need to adjust the boundaries; and (iii) the delineation recommendations must be based on the population distribution and relevant local factors. It is inevitable that a DCCA is composed of more than one community.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				• to transfer, upon the above adjustments, Stonecutters Island from F14 (Lai Chi Kok South) to the new DCCA F12 (Pik Wui) and to rename the latter as "Ngong Shuen Wan", so that the public housing development underway near the Cheung Sha Wan Wholesale Food Market will not be overlooked by naming the DCCA after the names of two major housing estates in the DCCA only.	
18	F11 – Fortune F12 – Pik Wui	1	1	Propose to transfer the area in the south of Lai Chi Kok Road and the north of West Kowloon Corridor, including The Sparkle, from F11 (Fortune) to F12 (Pik Wui) because: • the above adjustment will bring the populations of F11 (Fortune) and F12 (Pik Wui) closer to the population quota; and • there will be new development in the area of Yuen Fat Warehouse and Kerry Hung Kai Warehouse next to The Sparkle, therefore to transfer the above area and the private housing estate The Sparkle to F12 (Pik Wui) can help preserve community integrity and consistency of community services.	This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) the affected population under the proposal made in the representations (14 614) will be larger than that in the provisional recommendations (13 376) by 1 238; (ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Provision of community services is not the relevant factor of consideration; and (iii) it is an established practice that the delineation exercise for a DC ordinary election should be conducted on the basis of the latest projected population figures as at

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
					30 June of the election year. Developments thereafter will not be taken into consideration.
19	F12 – Pik Wui	1	-	Supports the provisional recommendations.	The supporting view is noted.
20	F13 – Lai Chi Kok Central F18 – Lai Chi Kok North	1	-	Proposes to transfer the industrial buildings in the south of Cheung Sha Wan Road and the Temporary Wholesale Poultry Market from F18 (Lai Chi Kok North) to F13 (Lai Chi Kok Central) because the above area is geographically closer to F13 (Lai Chi Kok Central), but relatively further from F18 (Lai Chi Kok North). The DC member of F13 (Lai Chi Kok Central) would likely more care about the above area than their counterpart of F18 (Lai Chi Kok North). Moreover, industrial area and poultry market should have no population, therefore this proposal will not have any impact on the populations of the relevant DCCAs.	This proposal is **not accepted** because the populations of F13 (Lai Chi Kok Central) and F18 (Lai Chi Kok North) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required.
21	F16 – Mei Foo Central F17 – Mei Foo North	1	-	Proposes to transfer Mei Foo Sun Chuen Phase 7 from F16 (Mei Foo Central) to F17 (Mei Foo North).	This proposal is **not accepted** because the populations of F16 (Mei Foo Central) and F17 (Mei Foo North) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required.

Item No.	***DCCAs***	***No.****		***Representations***	***EAC's Views***
		W	***O***		
22	F20 – So Uk F21 – Lei Cheng Uk	1	2	Same as item 2(c).	Please see item 2(c).
23	F22 – Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin	2	-	(a) Support the provisional recommendations and consider that it can help maintain the community integrity of the DCCA.	<u>Item (a)</u> The supporting views are noted.
				(b) In respect of arrangement on polling station, propose to keep the practice of setting up two polling stations in the above DCCA, one for the residents of Upper Pak Tin Estate and Chak On Estate and the other for residents of private housing estates in the DCCA to cast their votes. • One representation states that the setting up of two polling stations at Chak On Estate for the 2018 LegCo By-election resulted in a large number of electors going to the wrong polling station for voting, while residents of Chak On Estate were also dissatisfied with the arrangement of being allocated to a new polling station.	<u>Item (b)</u> The EAC has referred the relevant view to the REO for consideration.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				• One representation proposes that the polling station for Upper Pak Tin Estate be set up at the vacant shop on the ground floor of Block 9 of Upper Pak Tin Estate instead of at the new Pak Tin Community Hall, so as to avoid causing inconvenience and confusion to the residents.	

Appendix II - G

Kowloon City District
Summaries of Written/Oral Representations

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
1	All DCCAs	1	-	(a) Supports the provisional recommendations on G06 (Sheung Lok), G08 (Kadoorie), G09 (Prince), G10 (Kowloon Tong), G24 (Oi Man) and G25 (Oi Chun).	Item (a) The supporting view is noted.
				(b) Objects to the provisional recommendations on G01 (Ma Tau Wai), G02 (Sung Wong Toi), G03 (Ma Hang Chung) and G15 (Hoi Sham), and considers that the construction works affecting the area in the east of To Kwa Wan Road and other community problems remain unresolved. Having considered that To Kwa Wan Road is the main road accommodating the traffic needs of Grand Waterfront and G15 (Hoi Sham) and the latter has sufficient room to absorb the populations from other DCCAs, proposes to transfer the area in the east of To Kwa Wan Road from G02 (Sung Wong Toi) and G03 (Ma Hang Chung) to G15 (Hoi Sham).	Item (b) This proposal is **not accepted** because according to the proposal made in the representation, the population of G15 (Hoi Sham) (21 998) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+32.53%).

* W: Number of written representations.
O: Number of oral representations.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				(c) Transfers The Astrid from G01 (Ma Tau Wai) to G07 (Ho Man Tin) for the purposes of evening out the populations of G01 (Ma Tau Wai) and G07 (Ho Man Tin) and rectifying the shape of the DCCAs.	Item (c) This proposal is **not accepted** because the population of G07 (Ho Man Tin) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustment to its existing boundary is not required.
				(d) Holds reservation on the provisional recommendations on G12 (Kai Tak North), G13 (Kai Tak East) and G14 (Kai Tak Central & South), and proposes to delineate Kai Ching Estate and Tak Long Estate on the basis of "one estate, one DCCA" in order to preserve the integrity of the estates concerned. As for the other buildings, proposes to transfer them to G14 (Kai Tak Central & South).	Item (d) This proposal is **not accepted** because according to the proposal made in the representation, the populations of G12 (Kai Tak North) and G14 (Kai Tak Central & South) will be below the statutory permissible lower limit: G12: 12 331, -25.71% G14: 7 811, -52.94%
				(e) Objects to the provisional recommendations on G19 (Whampoa East), G20 (Whampoa West) and G21 (Hung Hom Bay) as the provisional recommendations will break the integrity of Harbour Place. Proposes to transfer Bauhinia Mansions to G19 (Whampoa East) and Palm Mansions to G20 (Whampoa West), as well as to keep the boundary of G21 (Hung Hom Bay) unchanged so as to even out	Item (e) This proposal is **not accepted** because the affected population under the proposal made in the representation (6 114) will be larger than that in the provisional recommendations (2 096) by 4 018. Please also see item 9.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				the populations of the three DCCAs.	
2	G01 – Ma Tau Wai G02 – Sung Wong Toi G03 – Ma Hang Chung G04 – Ma Tau Kok G09 – Prince G11 – Lung Shing	1	-	Objects to the transfer of certain buildings from G02 (Sung Wong Toi) to G03 (Ma Hang Chung) because the provisional recommendations are unreasonable and the shapes of the DCCAs have become odd. To enhance the integrity of various communities, proposes to: • use Stirling Road as the boundary, transfer the area in the north of Argyle Street from G01 (Ma Tau Wai) to G09 (Prince) and G11 (Lung Shing) respectively, where the populations are smaller; • transfer Horae Place and the buildings in the vicinity from G03 (Ma Hang Chung) to G01 (Ma Tau Wai), and transfer the buildings on both sides of Hung Kwong Street from G03 (Ma Hang Chung) to G04 (Ma Tau Kok); and • transfer the buildings stretching from the north of Grand Waterfront to Ma Tau Kok Road from G02 (Sung Wong Toi) to G03 (Ma Hang Chung).	This proposal is **not accepted** because the populations of G04 (Ma Tau Kok), G09 (Prince) and G11 (Lung Shing) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required.
3	G01 – Ma Tau Wai	1	-	Objects to the provisional recommendations on G01 (Ma Tau Wai), G02 (Sung Wong Toi), G03 (Ma Hang Chung),	This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) according to the proposal

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
	G02 – Sung Wong Toi G03 – Ma Hang Chung G12 – Kai Tak North G13 – Kai Tak East G14 – Kai Tak Central & South			G12 (Kai Tak North) and G13 (Kai Tak East), and the area of the new DCCA G14 (Kai Tak Central & South) because of the undesirable results. Reasons are as follows: • although the populations of G01 (Ma Tau Wai), G02 (Sung Wong Toi), G03 (Ma Hang Chung), G12 (Kai Tak North), G13 (Kai Tak East) and G14 (Kai Tak Central & South) do fall within the statutory permissible range, re-delineation of G02 (Sung Wong Toi) has given rise to greater populations in G01 (Ma Tau Wai), G02 (Sung Wong Toi) and G03 (Ma Hang Chung) as opposed to lower populations in the three DCCAs in the Kai Tak area; and • the shapes of G01 (Ma Tau Wai), G02 (Sung Wong Toi) and G03 (Ma Hang Chung) are undesirable. The representation proposes to: • maintain the original boundary of G12 (Kai Tak North), and rename it as "Kai Ching" or "Ching Long"; • retain the public housing estate in the original boundaries of G13 (Kai Tak East) i.e. the area of Tak Long Estate, and rename it	made in the representation, the population of G02 (Sung Wong Toi) (20 987) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+26.44%); (ii) the area of the new DCCA in the representation covers the buildings in G02 (Sung Wong Toi) and those in the Kai Tak Development Area in G13 (Kai Tak East). Even though there is a new road (Shing Kai Road) connecting the two places, they are very far away from each other; and (iii) the delineation recommendations must be based on the population distribution and relevant local factors. It is inevitable that a DCCA is composed of more than one community.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				as "Tak Long"; • change the area of the new DCCA to cover the remaining buildings of the Kai Tak Development Area in G13 (Kai Tak East) and the buildings on both sides of Sky Tower in G02 (Sung Wong Toi) and rename it as "Kai Tak". The representation considers that the buildings in the two places are both private buildings and subsidised owner-occupied buildings which are similar in nature. They are connected by Shing Kai Road and so there are certain ties between them; and • transfer the buildings next to Jubilant Place, stretching from the east of Pau Chung Street to Grand Waterfront in G03 (Ma Hang Chung) to G02 (Sung Wong Toi). These buildings have all along been part of G03 (Ma Hang Chung), but in fact, are separated from other parts of G03 (Ma Hang Chung) by the gas production plant and the former Animal Quarantine Depot and slaughterhouse (currently known as the Cattle Depot Artist Village). Proposes to rename G02 (Sung Wong Toi) as "Cattle Depot". The representation considers	

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				that the above adjustments to G12 (Kai Tak North) and G13 (Kai Tak East) are technical in nature which do not involve population adjustments. Besides, as compared with the provisional recommendations, the number of DCCAs to be re-delineated is smaller and the adjustments will bring the populations of all DCCAs concerned closer to the population quota.	
4	G09 – Prince G10 – Kowloon Tong G11 – Lung Shing	1	-	The populations of the DCCAs concerned will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit or fall short of the lower limit. However, the EAC's provisional recommendations are unable to preserve community integrity. In order to avoid causing difficulties to the work of the DC members concerned and being unfair to the residents of the DCCAs, proposes to: • transfer the areas in the south of Rutland Quadrant, and Durham Road, and in the west of Lancashire Road from G10 (Kowloon Tong) to G09 (Prince). As these areas are closer to G09 (Prince), re-delineating these areas will help strengthen and foster community integrity; and • transfer the areas in the east of Inverness Road, in the west of Junction Road and in	This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) the populations of G09 (Prince), G10 (Kowloon Tong) and G11 (Lung Shing) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required; and (ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangements on district administration matters or community services provided by DC members are not the relevant factors of consideration.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				the north of Dumbarton Road from G10 (Kowloon Tong) to G11 (Lung Shing) because of the fact that residents in the above areas mainly go to Kowloon City for daily activities and leisure. The representation considers that the transfer of the above areas to G11 (Lung Shing) can help reduce the pressure of DC members in performing their duties at the local level and strengthen community integrity in the areas around Inverness Road. Overall, the representation opines that the above proposals not only can reduce the pressure of the DC members in performing their duties at the local level and preserve community integrity, but also improve the respective population figures of the three DCCAs.	
5	G12 – Kai Tak North G13 – Kai Tak East	1	-	Supports the creation of a new DCCA in the areas of Kai Ching Estate and Tak Long Estate where new buildings are constantly being developed.	The supporting view is noted.
6	G12 – Kai Tak North	1	1	(a) Object to the transfer of De Novo to G12 (Kai Tak North) and the transfer of three blocks of Tak Long Estate and other private housing estates to G14 (Kai	<u>Items (a) and (b)</u> These proposal are **not accepted** because: (i) according to the proposal made in the representations,

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
	G13 – Kai Tak East G14 – Kai Tak Central & South			Tak Central & South). Reasons are summarised as follows: • as for community identity, De Novo is an owner-occupied housing. Its residents have different livelihood issues and needs of resources and ancillary support from those living at Kai Ching Estate. Similarly, transferring three blocks of Tak Long Estate and other private housing estates to G14 (Kai Tak Central & South) will affect the identity and homogeneity of the community; • as for geographical situations, De Novo is closer to the neighbouring private housing estate. While the distance between the two is about 75 metres, the distance between De Novo and most of the buildings of Kai Ching Estate is 150 metres; and • as for population, the projected population of G12 (Kai Tak North) is about 14 000 but De Novo has about 1 000 people only,	the population of G12 (Kai Tak North) (12 331) will be below the statutory permissible lower limit (-25.71%); (ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangements on district administration matters or community services provided by DC members are not the relevant factors of consideration; and (iii) the delineation recommendations must be based on the population distribution and relevant local factors. It is inevitable that a DCCA is composed of more than one community.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				accounting for about 5% of the overall population of G12 (Kai Tak North). The representations worry that the elected DC member for the DCCA may only spare very little resources and time to serve the residents of De Novo. In addition, when conflicts arouse between the residents of De Novo and Kai Ching Estate on issues of ancillary support and daily activities, it will be hard for the DC member to remain impartial when dealing with the conflicts and heeding the needs of De Novo.	
				(b) Propose to transfer De Novo to G14 (Kai Tak Central & South) so that the DC member can heed the residents' voices of all private housing estates. The proposal can give rise to synergy and keep the population deviation within the permissible range.	
7	G15 – Hoi Sham	1	-	Supports the provisional recommendation.	The supporting view is noted.

Item No.	***DCCAs***	***No.****		***Representations***	***EAC's Views***
		W	***O***		
8	G20 – Whampoa West G21 – Hung Hom Bay	3	-	Support the provisional recommendations.	The supporting view is noted.
9	G19 – Whampoa East G20 – Whampoa West G21 – Hung Hom Bay G22 – Hung Hom	17	2	Object to the provisional recommendations on G19 (Whampoa East), G20 (Whampoa West) and G21 (Hung Hom Bay). Propose to retain the entire Harbour Place in G20 (Whampoa West). Reasons are summarised as follows: • there are only seven blocks in Harbour Place but they are split into two DCCAs, namely G20 (Whampoa West) and G21 (Hung Hom Bay). This reveals that preservation of community identity and local ties are not taken into account in the provisional recommendations; • since the DC member of G21 (Hung Hom Bay) was elected in 2007, the area covered by the DCCA and the target clients have been more or less the same. Over the years, the residents have become accustomed to the mode of services provided by the incumbent DC member. Changes as proposed in the provisional recommendations will affect	<u>Items (a) to (d)</u> The proposal under item (a) is **accepted**. It is the statutory requirement that the EAC has to appropriately adjust the boundaries of those DCCAs with projected populations exceeding the permissible upper limits. Currently, some DCCAs are composed of more than one public or private housing estate. Once the populations of these DCCAs exceed the statutory permissible upper limit, it is inevitable that the public or private housing estates therein will need to be split. In spite of this, when considering the relevant proposal, the EAC would also take into account the size of the DCCA and the scale of the housing estates so as to make its best endeavors to avoid splitting a public or private housing estate as practicable as possible. Upon receipt of the representations, the EAC conducted site visit and noted that it is geographically feasible to transfer the entire Stars by the Harbour in G20 (Whampoa West) to G19 (Whampoa East) as proposed under item (a).

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				the efficiency of service provision; • splitting Harbour Place into two DCCAs will give rise to management problems. It would be required to invite two DC members for meetings and check their diaries; • in the future, the community problems of Harbour Place will be handled by the DC members of G20 (Whampoa West) and G21 (Hung Hom Bay). This will complicate the situation; • the provisional recommendations will break the ties among the residents who belong to the same housing estate. They are required to pass their views on the same community issue to two DC members; • the provisional recommendations divide Harbour Place into separate DCCAs. This generates conflicts and causes confusion among the residents because it will be hard for them to tell which block belongs to which DCCA. As a result, they will have problems when seeking help from DC	In addition, both Stars by the Harbour and Harbour Place are small and medium-sized housing estates. Although the population of G20 (Whampoa West) will slightly exceed the statutory permissible upper limit under the proposal made in the representations, after careful consideration of the fact that the affected population under the proposal made in the representations (953) will be less than that in the provisional recommendations (2 096) by 1 143 and the proposal can avoid splitting the small medium-sized housing estate, in the absence of other feasible alternatives, the EAC agrees to accept the proposal under item (a) and revises the boundaries of G19 (Whampoa East), G20 (Whampoa West) and G21 (Hung Hom Wan). The adjustments are as follows: (i) to retain the fifth and sixth blocks of the Harbour Place in G20 (Whampoa West); (ii) to transfer the entire Stars by the Harbour to G19 (Whampoa East); and (iii) to allow the population of G20 (Whampoa West) (20 898) to slightly exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+25.90%).

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				members. The provisional recommendations are not good for both the residents and DC members to exchange views on overall community affairs and development of the housing estate; • the provisional recommendations will bring the population of G21 (Hung Hom Bay) very close to the upper limit of the permissible range (+23.56%). It is very likely that re-delineation of boundary will be needed in 2023, which would cause confusion to the residents of the DCCA; • in terms of the population figures, G19 (Whampoa East) has more room than G21 (Hung Hom Bay) to absorb the population of G20 (Whampoa West); and • the shape of G20 (Whampoa West) becomes odd under the provisional recommendations.	According to the proposal made in the representations, the respective populations of G19 (Whampoa East), G20 (Whampoa West) and G21 (Hung Hom Bay) are as follows: G19: 17 582, +5.92% G20: 20 898, +25.90% G21: 18 414, +10.93% Since more DCCAs or areas under the alternative proposals in items (b) to (d) will be affected, the affected population under items (b) to (d) will be larger than that in item (a) and they are **not accepted**. Regarding the other issues as mentioned in the representations, the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangements on district administration matters or community services provided by DC members or political factors are not the relevant factors of consideration.
				(a) 10 representations propose to transfer Stars by the Harbour from G20 (Whampoa West) to G19 (Whampoa East).	

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				(b) Three representations propose to retain the original boundary of G21 (Hung Hom Bay) and then transfer Bauhinia Mansions to G19 (Whampoa East), and Palm Mansions to G20 (Whampoa West) so that the shapes of the two DCCAs will be more alike and the community integrity and geographical linkage will be better and more reasonable.	
				(c) One representation proposes to implement items 9(a) and (b) concurrently.	
				(d) One representation further points out that in order to comply with the established statutory criteria of "being near to the population quota" and "having regard to community identity and local ties" to a larger extent, more substantial adjustments should be made to the boundaries of G19 (Whampoa East), G20 (Whampoa West), G21 (Hung Hom Bay) and G22 (Hung Hom) so that the problems of G22 (Hung Hom) for having low population while G20 (Whampoa West) and G21 (Hung Hom Bay) having high population could be rectified. The adjustments will also help improve the shape of the DCCAs. To	

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				narrow down the differences among different types of housing estates in the DCCAs, the representation makes the following proposals: <u>G19 (Whampoa East)</u> includes the original area and take in Bauhinia Mansions. Besides, transfers Palm Mansions to G20 (Whampoa West); and <u>G20 (Whampoa West)</u> includes Phases 1 to 4 of Whampoa Garden (Juniper Mansions, Cherry Mansions, Palm Mansions, Willow Mansions) and Whampoa Estate. <u>G21 (Hung Hom Bay)</u> includes the south of Hung Hom South Road, Hung Hom Bay Reclamation Area and Hung Hom Bay Centre. <u>G22 (Hung Hom)</u> includes the original area and transfers the remaining parts of G21 (Hung Hom Bay) to G22 (Hung Hom).	

Appendix II - H

Wong Tai Sin District
Summaries of Written/Oral Representations

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
1	H01 – Lung Tsui H05 – Fung Tak H06 – Lung Sing H10 – Lok Fu H11 – Wang Tau Hom H20 – King Fu	1	-	Supports the maintenance of the boundaries of DCCAs unchanged.	The supporting view is noted.
2	H02 – Lung Ha H03 – Lung Sheung H07 – San Po Kong H08 – Tung Tau	1	1	(a) Object to adjusting the boundary of H09 (Tung Mei). Propose to adopt another option, i.e. to transfer three blocks of the buildings from H03 (Lung Sheung) to H02 (Lung Ha), and transfer the buildings in the area of Yin Hing Street to H08 (Tung Tau) so as to address the problem of H02 (Lung Ha) and H07 (San Po Kong) with populations exceeding the statutory permissible	<u>Items (a) and (b)</u> These proposals are **not accepted** because: (i) according to the proposal made in the representations, the population of H08 (Tung Tau) (21 961) under item 2(a) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+32.30%); and (ii) the number of affected

* W: Number of written representations.
O : Number of oral representations.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
	H09 – Tung Mei			lower limit and upper limit respectively. (b) Object to adjusting the boundary of H09 (Tung Mei). The representations state that if the transfer of Kai Tak Garden to H02 (Lung Ha) is necessary, it is proposed that the buildings in the north of Tung Lung Road in H08 (Tung Tau) be transferred to H09 (Tung Mei). However, if the aforesaid proposal is impracticable, then support to transfer the buildings in the area of Yin Hing Street, together with Choi Hung Road Playground to H02 (Lung Ha).	DCCAs under the proposal made in the representations will be one more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under the proposal will be larger, too. Please also see item 6.
3	H02 – Lung Ha H07 – San Po Kong H08 – Tung Tau H09 – Tung Mei	2	-	Support the transfer of Kai Tak Garden to H02 (Lung Ha) but propose to transfer some buildings of Tung Tau Estate from H08 (Tung Tau) to H09 (Tung Mei) and then H08 (Tung Tau) to absorb the buildings in the old district of San Po Kong.	This proposal is **not accepted** because the number of affected DCCAs under the proposal made in the representations will be one more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under the proposal will be larger, too. Please also see item 6.
4	H02 – Lung Ha H07 – San Po Kong	1	-	(a) Supports the transfer of Kai Tak Garden to H02 (Lung Ha) but objects to the transfer of the buildings in the area of Yin Hing Street to H09 (Tung Mei).	<u>Item (a)</u> This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) according to the proposal made in the representation, the

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
	H08 – Tung Tau H09 – Tung Mei H16 – Tsz Wan West H19 – Tsz Wan East			Instead, they should be transferred to H08 (Tung Tau).	population of H08 (Tung Tau) (21 961) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+32.30%); and (ii) the number of affected DCCAs under the proposal made in the representation will be one more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under the proposal will be larger, too. Please also see item 6.
				(b) Objects to the transfer of Winfair Building, Fat Keung House and Po Ming Building to H16 (Tsz Wan West) because it would be most desirable to put all old buildings under the purview of the same DC member.	<u>Item (b)</u> This proposal is **not accepted** because the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangements on district administration matters or community services provided by DC members are not the relevant factors of consideration.
5	H02 – Lung Ha H07 – San Po Kong H09 – Tung Mei	1	-	Supports the provisional recommendations.	The supporting view is noted.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
6	H02 – Lung Ha H07 – San Po Kong H09 – Tung Mei	316[^]	1	(a) Object to the transfer of Kai Tak Garden to H02 (Lung Ha). Propose to transfer the excessive population in H07 (San Po Kong) to the adjacent H02 (Lung Ha) where the population will fall short of the statutory permissible lower limit so that adjustments to the boundaries of the other DCCAs is not required. Reasons are summarised as follows: • Kai Tak Garden has been transferred to H09 (Tung Mei) from H02 (Lung Ha) since 2007. Residents of Kai Tak Garden will find it confusing and disturbing if the housing estate is transferred back to H02 (Lung Ha) from H09 (Tung Mei); • there are strong community ties between Kai Tak Garden and H09 (Tung Mei). Retaining it in H09 (Tung Mei) is conducive to preserving the community identities, local ties and physical features of the DCCA;	<u>Items (a) and (b)</u> **Proposal (1) under item 6(b) is accepted**. Upon receipt of the representations, the EAC conducted site visit and noted that along Choi Hung Road, there are facilities, like footbridge and lifts connecting H02 (Lung Ha) and H07 (San Po Kong). Therefore, the proposal made in the representations is geographically feasible. As, unlike the provisional recommendations, there is no need to first transfer the population from H07 (San Po Kong) to H09 (Tung Mei), and then from H09 (Tung Mei) to H02 (Lung Ha), the number of affected DCCAs under the proposal made in the representations will be one less than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under the proposal made in the representations (5 081) will also be less than that in the provisional recommendations (8 850) by 3 769. According to the proposal made in the representations, the respective populations of H02 (Lung Ha) and H07 (San Po Kong) are: H02: 16 671, +0.43% H07: 20 018, +20.60%

[^] Of which, 314 are template letters.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				• the population of H09 (Tung Mei) will be within the statutory permissible range and adjustment to its existing boundary is not required; • transferring the buildings in the area of Yin Hing Street to H09 (Tung Mei) will complicate the issue of community integrity, turning the DCCA into three separate communities each with distinctly different demographic features and weak geographical linkage; and • with the upcoming intake of Tung Tau Estate Phase 8, adjustments to H08 (Tung Tau) and H09 (Tung Mei) may probably be required in the next DC Ordinary Election. One representation proposes to transfer the buildings in the area of Yin Hing Street and some of the buildings at Tai Yau Street near H02 (Lung Ha) to H02 (Lung Ha).	As for the proposal made in the representations under item 6(a), in view that the area and affected population will be larger than those in proposal (1) under item 6(b), it is therefore **not accepted**. Regarding the other issues as mentioned in the representations, the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangements on district administration matters or community services provided by DC members are not the relevant factors of consideration. Besides, it is an established practice that the delineation exercise for a DC ordinary election should be conducted on the basis of the latest projected population figures as at 30 June of the election year. Developments thereafter will not be taken into consideration.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				(b) Object to the provisional recommendations on H02 (Lung Ha), H07 (San Po Kong) and H09 (Tung Mei). Consider that the boundaries of these DCCAs concerned should remain unchanged. Reasons are summarised as follows: • there is no additional elected seat for the 2019 Wong Tai Sin DC. The EAC should avoid re-delineating the DCCAs and should do so only in 2023 when there may be possible population growth by that time; • taking into account the community identity of H02 (Lung Ha) and the fact that its population will be only slightly below the statutory permissible lower limit, it is not necessary to re-delineate its boundary; and • the EAC should, as in the delineation exercise for the 2015 DC Ordinary Election, allow H07 (San Po Kong) to continue to deviate from the statutory permissible range, so as to preserve the community	

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				integrities and local ties of San Po Kong, and minimise the impact of re-delineation on the provision of community services to the residents. Where adjustments to DCCA boundaries is a must, propose: <u>Proposal (1)</u> • to retain Kai Tak Garden in H09 (Tung Mei), and transfer the buildings in the area of Yin Hing Street together with Choi Hung Road Playground to H02 (Lung Ha), so as to reduce the number of affected DCCAs from three to two, and the number of people to be affected from 5 100 to 2 600; or <u>Proposal (2)</u> • to retain Kai Tak Garden in H09 (Tung Mei), and transfer the buildings in the area of Yin Hing Street to H09 (Tung Mei). As for H02 (Lung Ha), its boundary should remain unchanged.	
7	H02 – Lung Ha	1	-	(a) Based on the populations of H16 (Tsz Wan West), H17 (Ching Oi), H18 (Ching On) and H19 (Tsz	<u>Item (a)</u> This proposal is **not accepted** because in accordance with the EACO, the EAC must

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
	H07 – San Po Kong H09 – Tung Mei H16 – Tsz Wan West H17 – Ching Oi H18 – Ching On H19 – Tsz Wan East			Wan East) in 2019, proposes to create an additional DCCA in the above areas to increase the number of DCCAs from four to five and change the names of DCCAs concerned. Details are as follows: <u>H16 (Tsz Lok)</u> includes Tsz Lok Estate Phases 1 and 2, and Tsz Oi Court. <u>H17 (Tsz Wan North)</u> includes Shatin Pass Estate, and Ching Tak House, Ching Wo House, Ching Yi House and Ching Fai House of Tsz Ching Estate. <u>H18 (Tsz Ching)</u> includes Ching Tai House, Ching Yuk House, Ching Yuen House, Ching Ming House, Ching Hong House and Ching On House of Tsz Ching Estate. <u>H19 (Tsz Wan East)</u> includes Tsz On Court, Tsz Man Estate, and Tsz Hong Estate. <u>New DCCA</u> includes Lok Moon House, Lok Foon House and Lok Hop House of Tsz Lok Estate, and the buildings in the south of Yuk Wah Street.	follow the number of elected seats stipulated for each DC under the DCO and the population distribution in the relevant administrative districts in delineating the DCCA boundaries. According to the legislation, the elected seats for the Wong Tai Sin District in the 2019 DC Ordinary Election will remain unchanged at 25 and there will be no new DCCA. As this proposal made in the representation is related to the enactment of the primary legislation, which does not fall under the purview of the EAC, the EAC has referred the relevant view to the CMAB for consideration.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				(b) Proposes to transfer Morse Park in the north of Tung Tai Lane in H09 (Tung Mei) together with Kai Tak Garden to H02 (Lung Ha), and transfer the buildings in the area of Yin Hing Street, Tong Seng Mansion and Wing Lok Building to H02 (Lung Ha).	Item (b) This proposal is **not accepted** because according to the proposal made in the representation, the population of H02 (Lung Ha) (21 634) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+30.33%). Please also see item 6.
8	H04 – Fung Wong H05 – Fung Tak H13 – Tsui Chuk & Pang Ching H15 – Chuk Yuen North H16 – Tsz Wan West H17 – Ching Oi H18 – Ching On H19 – Tsz Wan East	14	-	(a) Object to the transfer of Shatin Pass Estate to H15 (Chuk Yuen North) on grounds of accessibility, physical features of the area and community development. Reasons are summarised as follows: • Shatin Pass Estate is far away from the polling station in H15 (Chuk Yuen North). Electors have to walk down the steep slope of Shatin Pass Road to access to the polling station. This will discourage electors from voting; • Shatin Pass Estate has closer ties with H17 (Ching Oi). Residents of the Estate mainly use the facilities in Tsz Wan Shan, and are used to visit the ward office of DC member of H17 (Ching Oi) for assistance and expression of views; and	Items (a) to (e) The proposal made in the representations to retain Shatin Pass Estate in H17 (Ching Oi) **is accepted**. Initially, the EAC's provisional recommendations on transferring Shatin Pass Estate to H15 (Chuk Yuen North) is a knock-on effect for rectifying the situation that the population of H18 (Ching On) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit. Taking into account that the DOs, being officers responsible for district administration, do have more comprehensive and in-depth knowledge on the local characteristics, geographical and transport matters of their administrative districts, as a long standing practice, the EAC will invite the DOs to provide factual information of their respective administrative districts on such matters for reference. In the present delineation exercise, the DO previously provided that geographically, Shatin Pass

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				• there will be upcoming developments in Tsz Wan Shan so a need to re-delineate the DCCAs in future.	Estate is close to Chuk Yuen (North) Estate and the two places are connected by public light buses. In view of this, in drawing up the provisional recommendations, the EAC proposed to transfer Shatin Pass Estate to H15 (Chuk Yuen North). Nevertheless, upon receipt of the representations, the EAC conducted site visit and noted that there is a certain distance between Shatin Pass Estate and H15 (Chuk Yuen North). Although there are public light buses connecting Shatin Pass Estate and Chuk Yuen (North) Estate, the slope between the two places is steep, making it inconvenient to travel the two places on foot. Therefore, based on geographical consideration, the EAC agrees to retain Shatin Pass Estate in H17 (Ching Oi). After the above adjustment, the population of H18 (Ching On) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit. The alternative proposal under item 8(b) by making use of H05 (Fung Tak) to rectify the problems of the populations of H18 (Ching on) and H19 (Tsz Wan East) for exceeding the statutory permissible upper limit is feasible and
				(b) One representation proposes to retain Shatin Pass Estate in H17 (Ching Oi). As an alternative, to make use of H05 (Fung Tak) to rectify the excessive populations in H18 (Ching On) and H19 (Tsz Wan East) so that the number of affected DCCAs can be reduced from five to three.	
				(c) One representation proposes to transfer Tsz Lok Estate Phase 3 from H16 (Tsz Wan West) to H04 (Fung Wong), uses H16 (Tsz Wan West) to absorb the population of Shatin Pass Estate, and transfer On Yan House of Tsz On Court in H19 (Tsz Wan East) to H18 (Ching On) so that the entire Tsz On Court can be in H18 (Ching On). Moreover, proposes to transfer Ching Fai House of H18 (Ching On) in place of Ching Yi House to H17 (Ching Oi) so as to balance the populations and shapes of the DCCAs.	

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				(d) One representation proposes to transfer the area of Yuk Wah Crescent from H19 (Tsz Wan East) to H04 (Fung Wong) and then transfer On Hong House of Tsz On Court from H18 (Ching On) to H19 (Tsz Wan East). Though H04 (Fung Wong) and H19 (Tsz Wan East) will be linked by a narrow passageway, the proposed arrangement has taken into account the factors that H04 (Fung Wong) will be relatively less populated and the buildings at Yuk Wah Crescent are similar to those in H04 (Fung Wong). Besides, there is precedent case for two DCCAs being linked by a narrow passageway, such as C31 (Hing Man) in the Eastern District.	able to comply with the requirement of statutory permissible range in terms of population figures. However, the EAC notes that there is a difference in geographical level between H19 (Tsz Wan East) and H05 (Fung Tak). Even the alternative proposal has not provided the details, the EAC realises that it is necessary to transfer the area of Yuk Wah Crescent with population of about 4 100 from H19 (Tsz Wan East) to H05 (Fung Tak). Such adjustment may bring about a large impact on the established local ties between Yuk Wah Crescent and Tsz Wan Shan, generating controversies. After thorough consideration, in the absence of better alternative option, the EAC agrees to maintain the boundary of H18 (Ching On) unchanged in the present delineation exercise and its population be allowed to exceed the statutory permissible upper limit. After the adjustments, the respective populations of H15 (Chuk Yuen North), H17 (Ching Oi) and H18 (Ching On) are: H15: 15 131, -8.84% H17: 20 665, +24.50% H18: 22 446, +35.23%
				(e) Two representations propose that the boundaries of H17 (Ching Oi) and H18 (Ching On) should remain unchanged. One of the representations considers that if it is necessary to adjust the boundary of H18 (Ching On), then Ching Fai House should be transferred to H17 (Ching Oi) because Ching Fai House was included in H17 (Ching Oi) in both 2003 and 2007 DC Ordinary Elections.	

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
					Regarding the other issues as mentioned in the representations, the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangements on district administration matters or community services provided by DC members are not the relevant factors of consideration. Besides, it is an established practice that the delineation exercise for a DC ordinary election should be conducted on the basis of the latest projected population figures as at 30 June of the election year. Developments thereafter will not be taken into consideration.
				(f) Three representations propose to transfer Chung Yuen House and Pak Yuen House from H13 (Tsui Chuk & Pang Ching) to H15 (Chuk Yuen North) for preservation of community integrity.	Item (f) This proposal is **not accepted** because the population of H13 (Tsui Chuk & Pang Ching) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustment to its existing boundary is not required. Please also see items 8(a) to (e).
9	H06 – Lung Sing H20 – King Fu	1	-	Proposes to transfer Tan Fung House and Chi Mei House of Choi Hung Estate to the adjacent H25 (Choi Hung), and transfer Regent On The Hill from H06 (Lung Sing) and King Hin Court from H20	This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) the populations of H06 (Lung Sing), H20 (King Fu), H24 (Chi Choi) and H25 (Choi Hung) will fall

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
	H24 – Chi Choi H25 – Choi Hung			(King Fu) to H24 (Chi Choi) because: • in the 2015 delineation exercise, Choi Hung Estate was split into H24 (Chi Choi) and H25 (Choi Hung). Such arrangement broke the integrity and community identity of Choi Hung Estate. Moreover, as no population growth is expected at Choi Hung Estate and its vicinity in 2019, the transfer of Tan Fung House and Chi Mei House of Choi Hung Estate from H24 (Chi Choi) to the adjacent H25 (Choi Hung) will better preserve the community identities and local ties of Choi Hung Estate and the physical features of the area; • Regent On The Hill in H06 (Lung Sing) and King Hin Court in H20 (King Fu) are very close to H24 (Chi Choi); and • in light of the upcoming completion of new housing estates in both H06 (Lung Sing) and H20 (King Fu), it is believed that transferring part of the population to other DCCAs will be required in future. Therefore, the transfer of Regent On The Hill and King Hin Court to H24 (Chi Choi) is considered a better	within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required; and (ii) it is an established practice that the delineation exercise for a DC ordinary election should be conducted on the basis of the latest projected population figures as at 30 June of the election year. Developments thereafter will not be taken into consideration.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				option in terms of preservation of community identities, local ties and physical features of the area as well as the demographic change of DCCAs in future.	
10	H07 – San Po Kong	1	-	Expresses understanding of the adjustment to H07 (San Po Kong).	The view is noted.
11	H08 – Tung Tau	1	-	Proposes to transfer Billionnaire Royale from H08 (Tung Tau) to the Kowloon City District because: • according to the land lease, Billionnaire Royale (Address: 83 Sa Po Road) is part of the Kowloon City District. Putting the above place in the Wong Tai Sin District denies the authority and role of the land lease; • putting the above place in the Wong Tin Sin District deprives the legitimate rights of those residents living there as being the resident of the Kowloon City District. This runs against the principles of equal opportunities, fairness and impartiality; and • when the district boundary was delineated in 1982, the site of the above place was only an open space. But now, it is a building with residents living therein. The previous boundary is	The proposal made in the representation involves alteration of administrative district boundaries, which does not fall under the purview of the EAC. The EAC has referred this view to the Government for consideration.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				therefore no longer appropriate.	
12	H08 – Tung Tau	-	1	Objects to the transfer of The Latitude and Yue Xiu Plaza to H08 (Tung Tau) because they do not have any ties with the DCCA and are far away from the polling station.	This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) the population of H08 (Tung Tau) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustment to its existing boundary is not required; and (ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangement on polling station is not the relevant factor of consideration. The EAC has referred the relevant view to the REO for consideration.
13	H12 – Tin Keung H13 – Tsui Chuk & Pang Ching H14 – Chuk Yuen South	1	-	Holds reservation on the provisional recommendations.	The view is noted.

Item No.	***DCCAs***	***No.****		***Representations***	***EAC's Views***
		W	***O***		
14	H16 – Tsz Wan West H17 – Ching Oi H18 – Ching On H19 – Tsz Wan East H21 – Choi Wan East H22 – Choi Wan South H23 – Choi Wan West H24 – Chi Choi H25 – Choi Hung	2	-	(a) Based on the populations of H21 (Choi Wan East), H22 (Choi Wan South), H23 (Choi Wan West), H24 (Chi Choi) and H25 (Choi Hung) in 2019, propose to delete a seat in 2023 so as to reduce the number of DCCAs from five to four for reasonable use of the DC resources.	Item (a) In drawing up the delineation recommendations, the EAC must strictly adhere to the statutory criteria under the EACO and its working principles. The delineation should be made on the basis of the projected populations, existing DCCA boundaries and relevant local factors. The EAC will continue to adhere to the above in future delineation exercises.
				(b) One representation proposes to split H21 (Choi Wan East) into H22 (Choi Wan South) and H23 (Choi Wan West) so as to free up one seat for creating a new DCCA in the areas of H16 (Tsz Wan West), H17 (Ching Oi), H18 (Ching On) and H19 (Tsz Wan East) where the number of DCCAs will be increased from four to five and change the names and codes of the DCCAs concerned. Details are as follows: H16 (Tsz Lok) includes Tsz Lok Estate. H17 (Tsz Oi) includes Shatin Pass Estate and Tsz Oi Court. H18 (Tsz Ching) includes Ching Tak House, Ching Wo House, Ching	Item (b) This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) according to the proposal made in the representation, the population of H22 (Choi Wan South) (21 596) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+30.10%); and (ii) the number of affected DCCAs under the proposal made in the representation will be five more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under the proposal will be larger, too.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				Yi House, Ching Fai House, Ching Tai House, Ching Yuk House and Ching Yuen House of Tsz Ching Estate. <u>H19 (Tsz Wan Central)</u> includes Ching Ming House, Ching Hong House and Ching On House of Tsz Ching Estate, Tsz On Court, and Tsz Man Estate. <u>H20 (Tsz Wan South)</u> includes Tsz Hong Estate, and the private buildings in the areas of Yuk Wah Crescent and Po Kong Village Road. <u>H22 (Choi Wan South)</u> includes Choi Fai Estate, Choi Wan (II) Estate, and Choi Wan (I) Estate in the south of Clear Water Bay Road. <u>H23 (Choi Wan North)</u> includes Scenic View, Fung Shing Street Disciplined Services Quarters, Aria, and Choi Wan (I) Estate in the north of Clear Water Bay Road. <u>H24 (Ngau Chi Wan)</u> includes Bay View Garden, Chai Hung Villa, Fortune Garden, Kingsford Terrace, Ngau Chi Wan Village, Sun Lai Garden, and Wealth Garden.	

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				H25 (Choi Hung) includes Choi Hung Estate.	
15	H17 – Ching Oi H18 – Ching On	1	-	Objects to the transfer of Ching Yi House of Tsz Ching Estate to H17 (Ching Oi) because residents are used to the services provided by the DC member concerned. In addition, residents have to travel a long way to reach the DC member.	The delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangements on district administration matters or community services provided by DC members are not the relevant factors of consideration. Please also see item 8.

Appendix II - J

Kwun Tong District
Summaries of Written/Oral Representations

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
1	All DCCAs	1	-	Proposes to re-delineate the boundaries of all DCCAs and change the names and codes of the DCCAs concerned. Details are as follows: <u>J01 (Kwun Tong Central)</u> includes Kwun Tong Town Centre Redevelopment Area, Kwun Tong Industrial Area, the area in the west of Wai Fat Road, most of the area at Yuet Wah Street (excluding a few blocks of the buildings in the west of Hip Wo Street), Wo Lok Estate, and the area in the south of Shing Fung Road Bridge in G14 (Kai Tak Central & South) of the Kowloon City District. <u>J02 (Kowloon Bay)</u> includes Telford Gardens and its surrounding industrial and commercial areas, and also four industrial and commercial buildings in the west of Auxiliary Police Headquarters. <u>J03 (Kai Yip)</u> includes Kai Yip Estate, Kai Tai Court, Choi Yan House, Choi Yee House, Choi Shun House and Choi Shing House of Choi Tak Estate, Kwun	This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) the number of affected DCCAs under the proposal made in the representation will be 28 more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under the proposal will be larger, too; and (ii) the EAC must follow the existing boundaries of the administrative districts as specified in Schedules 1 and 3 of the DCO and comply with the statutory criteria set out in the EACO in the delineation of DCCA boundaries.

* W: Number of written representations.
O: Number of oral representations.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				Tong Bypass, and the area in the north of Urban Oasis. <u>J04 (Lai Ching)</u> includes Richland Gardens and Kai Yan Street Sitting-out Area, with Kai Shing Street as DCCA boundary. Changes the English name of the DCCA to "Richland". <u>J05 (Ping Shek)</u> includes Ping Shek Estate, 8 Clear Water Bay Road, and Choi Hing Court which will be completed in 2019. <u>J06 (Sheung Choi)</u> includes Choi Chun House, Choi King House, Choi Leung House and Choi Yin House of Choi Tak Estate, and Choi Fook Estate. <u>J07 (Jordan Valley)</u> includes Choi Ha Estate, Choi Ying Estate, Cheerful Court, and Choi Wing Road Park. <u>J08 (Shun Tin)</u> includes Shun Tin Estate. <u>J09 (On Lee)</u> includes Shun On Estate, Lee Foo House, Lee Hong House, Lee Yat House and Lee Yip House of Shun Lee Estate, and Shun Lee Tsuen Park. <u>J10 (Sheung Shun)</u> includes Shun Chi Court, Shun Lee Disciplined Services Quarters, Lee Cheung House,	

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				Lee Ming House and Lee Hang House of Shun Lee Estate, and Jordan Valley Park. Transfers the long and narrow area between New Clear Water Bay Road and Clear Water Bay Road to H22 (Choi Wan South) of the Wong Tai Sin District. <u>J11 (On Tai)</u> includes Ming Tai House, Chi Tai House, Kui Tai House, King Tai House, Hang Tai House and Tak Tai House of On Tai Estate, and the residential buildings on 9 Anderson Road in Q05 (Hang Hau West) of the Sai Kung District. <u>J12 (Tai Tat)</u> deletes J32 (Yuet Wah). Groups Fung Tai House, Shing Tai House, Yung Tai House and Kam Tai House of On Tai Estate, and Oi Tat House, Shing Tat House, Chun Tat House, Yin Tat House and Hau Tat House of On Tat Estate together to form a DCCA. <u>J13 (Sau Mau Ping North)</u> includes Sau Hong House, Sau Lok House, Sau Nga House, Sau Wah House, Sau Wo House, Sau Yat House and Sau Yee House of Sau Mau Ping Estate.	

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				J14 (Hiu Lai) includes Hiu Lai Court, United Christian Hospital, and the buildings in the area of Hiu Kwong Street. J15 (Sau Mau Ping South) includes Sau Fu House, Sau On House and Sau Ming House of Sau Mau Ping Estate, and Sau Mau Ping South Estate. J16 (Sau Mau Ping Central) includes Sau Chi House, Sau Ching House, Sau King House, Sau Wai House, Sau Yin House, Sau Yue House and Sau Fai House of Sau Mau Ping Estate. J17 (On Tat) includes Yan Tat House, Sin Tat House, Chi Tat House, Lai Tat House, Him Tat House and Ching Tat House of On Tat Estate, and Tat Cheung House, Tat Hong House and Tat Fu House of Po Tat Estate. Extends a section of Anderson Road within the boundary of the DCCA to the ridge of Tai Sheung Tok. J18 (Po Tat) includes Tat Hei House, Tat Shun House, Tat Kai House, Tat Hin House, Tat Kwai House, Tat On House, Tat Fung House, Tat Chui House, Tat Yan House and Tat Yi House of Po Tat Estate.	

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				J19 (Hing Tin) includes Hing Tin Estate, Hong Wah Court, and Tak Yee House, Tak Lok House, Tak King House and Tak Lai House of Tak Tin Estate. J20 (Lam Tin) includes Hong Yat Court, Kai Tin Estate, Lam Tin Estate, Kai Tin Shopping Centre, Lam Tin Polyclinic, Lam Tin Fire Station, and the international school facing On Tin Street. J21 (Ping Tin) includes Kai Tin Towers, On Tin Estate, Ping Tin Estate, and Hong Tin Court. J22 (Tak Tin) includes Tak Shui House, Tak Shing House, Tak Lung House, Tak Yan House and Tak Hong House of Tak Tin Estate, Hong Ying Court, and Hong Nga Court. J23 (Kwong Tin) includes Kwong Tin Estate, Hong Pak Court, and Hong Shui Court. J24 (Chui Chun) includes Yau Chui Court, Yau Mei Court, Ko Chun Court, Yau Tong Service Reservoir Playground, Lei Yue Mun Road Playground, and two secondary schools there.	

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				J25 (Cheung Yee) includes Ko Cheung Court and Ko Yee Estate. J26 (Lei Yue Mun) includes Lei Yue Mun Estate, Yau Tong Centre, the buildings in Yau Tong Industrial Area, and various villages in Lei Yue Mun. J27 (Yau Tong) includes Yau Tong Estate, and Yan Lai House, Hong Lai House, Chui Lai House, Fung Lai House and Ying Lai House of Yau Lai Estate. J28 (Cha Kwo Ling) includes Bik Lai House, Cheuk Lai House, Chi Lai House, Nga Lai House, Sau Lai House, Yat Lai House, Yi Lai House and Yung Lai House of Yau Lai Estate, Cha Kwo Ling Tsuen, and the area of Fan Wa Street. J29 (Laguna City) includes Laguna City and the area of Sin Fat Road. J30 (King Tin) includes Sceneway Garden, Tseung Kwan O Road Disciplined Services Quarters, and Lei On Court. J31 (Tsui Ping South) includes Tsui Ping (South) Estate, and Tsui Pak House, Tsui Lau House, Tsui Cheung House and Tsui Tsz House of	

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				Tsui Ping (North) Estate. <u>J32 (Tsui Ping North)</u> includes Po Pui Court, Hiu Ming Street Playground, and Tsui Mei House, Tsui Yeung House, Tsui Nam House, Tsui Mui House, Tsui Yue House, Tsui To House, Tsui On House and Tsui Yung House of Tsui Ping (North) Estate. <u>J33 (Hip Hong)</u> includes Hong Ning Road Playground, Cheung Wo Court, Wan Hon Estate, Wah Fung Gardens, Hipway Towers, Nam Tai Mansion and Cheung On Mansion at Shui Wo Street, and the areas of On Ning Building and Kin Tak House at Hip Wo Street. <u>J34 (Hong Lok)</u> includes Cambridge Building, and the areas of Hang On Street and Yee On Street. <u>J35 (Ting On)</u> includes Kwun Tong Garden Estate, a section of Ngau Tau Kok Road between Hong Ning Road and Luen On Street, Yue Man Centre, and Horse Shoe Lane. <u>J36 (Upper Ngau Tau Kok Estate)</u> includes Upper Ngau Tau Kok Estate, and the private residential buildings in the areas of Ting On Street, Ting Yip Street and Ting Fu Street.	

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				J37 (Lok Wah South) includes Hei Wah House, Man Wah House, On Wah House, Wun Wah House and Fai Wah House of Lok Wah (South) Estate, Sau Mau Ping Disciplined Services Quarters, and Kung Lok Road Playground. J38 (Lok Wah North) includes Lok Wah (North) Estate, Lok Nga Court, and Chin Wah House of Lok Wah (South) Estate. J39 (Lower Ngau Tau Kok Estate) includes Lower Ngau Tau Kok Estate, Chun Wah Court, On Kay Court, Siu King Building, and Wai King Building. J40 (To Tai) includes Amoy Gardens, Jade Field Garden, Lee Kee Building, Wang Kwong Building, and Tak Bo Garden. Changes the English name of the DCCA to "Amoy".	
2	All DCCAs	1	-	(a) Supports the provisional recommendations on J08 (Shun Tin) and J09 (Sheung Shun).	Item (a) The supporting view is noted.
				(b) Holds reservation on the provisional recommendations on J01 (Kwun Tong Central), J10 (On Lee), J11 (On Tai), J12 (Sau Mau Ping North), J13 (Sau Mau Ping	Item (b) The view is noted.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				Central), J14 (On Tat), J15 (Sau Mau Ping South), J16 (Po Tat), J17 (Kwong Tak), J18 (Hing Tin), J19 (Lam Tin), J20 (Ping Tin), J21 (Pak Nga), J22 (Chun Cheung), J23 (Yau Tong East), J24 (Yau Chui), J25 (Yau Lai), J26 (Yau Tong West), J27 (Laguna City), J29 (Tsui Ping), J30 (Hiu Lai), J31 (Po Lok), J32 (Yuet Wah), J33 (Hip Hong), J34 (Lok Wah South), J35 (Lok Wah North), J36 (Hong Lok) and J37 (Ting On).	
				(c) Considers that the population of J27 (Laguna City) has more than doubled the population of J37 (Ting On). On the delineation exercise in 2023, J27 (Laguna City) and the area of Cha Kwo Ling should be split into two DCCAs.	<u>Items (c) and (d)</u> In drawing up the delineation recommendations, the EAC must strictly adhere to the statutory criteria under the EACO and its working principles. The delineation should be made on the basis of the projected populations, existing DCCA boundaries and relevant local factors. The EAC will continue to adhere to the above in future delineation exercises.
				(d) Considers it unreasonable to split Tsui Ping Estate into three DCCAs, namely J01 (Kwun Tong Central), J29 (Tsui Ping) and J31 (Po Lok). The EAC should adjust the boundaries of these three DCCAs by splitting Tsui Ping Estate into two DCCAs in the delineation exercise in 2023.	

Item No.	***DCCAs***	***No.****		***Representations***	***EAC's Views***
		W	***O***		
				(e) Considers it undesirable to split On Tai Estate, On Tat Estate and Po Tat Estate into different DCCAs.	Item (e) With regard to the scale of existing public housing estates in Hong Kong, it is common that the population of a public housing estate will have exceeded the statutory permissible range. According to the statutory criteria, the EAC cannot include an entire public housing estate in one DCCA if its total population has exceeded the statutory permissible upper limit.
				(f) Considers that the total number of seats for J01 (Kwun Tong Central), J29 (Tsui Ping), J31 (Po Lok), J32 (Yuet Wah), J33 (Hip Hong), J34 (Lok Wah South), J35 (Lok Wah North), J36 (Hong Lok) and J37 (Ting On) is one more than the number of elected seats calculated by the aggregate population of these DCCAs. Proposes to transfer the excessive seat to the area of "Four Chois" (i.e. J06 (Choi Tak) and J07 (Jordan Valley) where Choi Ying Estate, Choi Tak Estate, Choi Ha Estate, and Choi Fook Estate are located) where their populations have reached the upper limit, for reasonable use of DC resources.	Item (f) This proposal is **not accepted** because the populations of J01 (Kwun Tong Central), J29 (Tsui Ping), J31 (Po Lok), J32 (Yuet Wah), J33 (Hip Hong), J34 (Lok Wah South), J35 (Lok Wah North), J36 (Hong Lok) and J37 (Ting On) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				(g) Since it is necessary for the residents of Tak Bo Garden and Choi Ying Estate to use the footbridge near Choi Shek Lane to get across Kwun Tong Road to J03 (Kai Yip), proposes to transfer Tak Bo Garden and the buildings at Ngau Tau Kok Road from J03 (Kai Yip) to J40 (To Tai).	Item (g) This proposal is **not accepted** because the population of J40 (To Tai) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustment to its existing boundary is not required.
				(h) Proposes to transfer the entire Choi Hing Court to J05 (Ping Shek).	Item (h) This proposal is **not accepted** because the population of J05 (Ping Shek) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustment to its existing boundary is not required.
				(i) Objects to the provisional recommendations on J10 (On Lee), J11 (On Tai), J12 (Sau Mau Ping North), J13 (Sau Mau Ping Central), J14 (On Tat), J15 (Sau Mau Ping South), J16 (Po Tat) and J30 (Hiu Lai). Considers that to rectify the shape of DCCAs and achieve a balanced population distribution, slight adjustments should be made to the boundaries of the new development areas in the Kwun Tong District because there has been continuous growth in the population of the areas. Proposes to:	Item (i) This proposal is **not accepted** because according to the proposal made in the representation, the respective populations of J12 (Sau Mau Ping North), J14 (On Tat) and J15 (Sau Mau Ping South) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit, which are: J12: 21 016, +26.61% J14: 24 018, +44.70% J15: 21 108, +27.16%

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				• transfer Wo Tai House, Ming Tai House and Chi Tai House of On Tai Estate from J11 (On Tai) to J10 (On Lee); • transfer United Christian Hospital from J12 (Sau Mau Ping North) to J30 (Hiu Lai); • retain Sau Fai House of Sau Mau Ping Estate in J13 (Sau Mau Ping Central); • retain Oi Tat House and Shing Tat House of On Tat Estate in J14 (On Tat); and • transfer Tat Cheung House, Tat Kwai House and Tat On House from J16 (Po Tat) to J15 (Sau Mau Ping South).	
				(j) Proposes to rename J38 (Upper Ngau Tau Kok Estate) as "Upper Ngau Tau Kok" and J39 (Lower Ngau Tau Kok Estate) as "Lower Ngau Tau Kok & Jordan Valley" so as to maintain the names adopted before 2003 and reflect the fact that there are other housing estates in the above DCCAs.	Item (j) This proposal is **not accepted** because there is no adjustment made to the boundaries of the DCCAs concerned in the present delineation exercise and hence changing the DCCA names may cause confusion to the public.

Item No.	***DCCAs***	***No.****		***Representations***	***EAC's Views***
		W	***O***		
3	J01 – Kwun Tong Central J02 – Kowloon Bay J03 – Kai Yip J07 – Jordan Valley J12 – Sau Mau Ping North J13 – Sau Mau Ping Central J14 – On Tat J15 – Sau Mau Ping South J16 – Po Tat J22 – Chun Cheung	1	-	(a) Considers that given Choi Ying Estate was transferred from J06 (Choi Tak) to other DCCAs in the provisional recommendations, the EAC should consider including the entire Choi Ying Estate in the same DCCA. Proposes to: • group the parts of Choi Ying Estate in J03 (Kai Yip) and J07 (Jordan Valley) together with Choi Fook Estate in J07 (Jordan Valley) into a DCCA "Sheung Choi"; • group Choi Ha Estate in J07 (Jordan Valley) and Amoy Gardens in J40 (To Tai) into a DCCA "Jordan Valley"; • transfer Jade Field Garden, Wang Kwong Building and Lee Kee Building from J40 (To Tai) to J02 (Kowloon Bay) to rectify the problem of a relatively low population in J02 (Kowloon Bay); and • maintain the boundary of J03 (Kai Yip) unchanged.	<u>Item (a)</u> This proposal is **not accepted** because the number of affected DCCAs under the proposal made in the representation will be three more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under the proposal will be larger, too.
				(b) Considers that the boundaries of the five DCCAs, namely J12 (Sau Mau Ping North), J13 (Sau	<u>Item (b)</u> This proposal is **not accepted** because:

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
	J23 – Yau Tong East J24 – Yau Chui J25 – Yau Lai J26 – Yau Tong West J29 – Tsui Ping J31 – Po Lok J32 – Yuet Wah J35 – Lok Wah North J38 – Upper Ngau Tau Kok Estate J39 – Lower Ngau Tau Kok Estate J40 – To Tai			Mau Ping Central), J14 (On Tat), J15 (Sau Mau Ping South) and J16 (Po Tat), where On Tat Estate, Po Tat Estate, Sau Mau Ping Estate and Sau Mau Ping South Estate are located, are intertwined. Six DCCAs should be allocated to the four housing estates above. Proposes to delete J01 (Kwun Tong Central) to free up a DCCA for creation between On Tat Estate and Po Tat Estate. Details are as follows: • transfers the buildings located in the area of Ting On Street from J01 (Kwun Tong Central) to J39 (Lower Ngau Tau Kok Estate). Renames the DCCA as "Lower Ngau Tau Kok"; • transfers Lok Nga Court from J39 (Lower Ngau Tau Kok Estate) to J35 (Lok Wah North); • transfers Tsui Cheung House, Tsui Lau House, Tsui On House, Tsui Pak House and Tsui Tsz House of Tsui Ping (North) Estate from J01 (Kwun Tong Central) to J31 (Po Lok) and/or J29 (Tsui Ping), and	(i) in respect of the 2019 DC Ordinary Election, the Government has completed the review on the number of elected seats and the subsidiary legislation was passed by the LegCo in January 2018. There is an increase in the number of elected seats by three from 37 to a total of 40 for the Kwun Tong District for the next DC Ordinary Election. In accordance with the EACO, the EAC must follow the number of elected seats as stipulated for each DC under the DCO in delineating the DCCA boundaries, and strictly adhere to the statutory criteria under the EACO and its working principles. The recommendations were made on the basis of the projected populations, existing DCCA boundaries and relevant local factors. The number of new DCCAs to be created is a statutory pre-set for the EAC, to which the EAC has no authority to revise or vary; (ii) J16 (Po Tat) comprised Po Tat Estate and the area of Anderson Road Quarry in the past. The original location of Anderson Road

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				transfers the buildings located at the area of Yue Man Centre from J01 (Kwun Tong Central) to J32 (Yuet Wah). Rename the DCCA as "Yuet Wah & Yue Man"; • transfers the buildings connected to the lift tower between On Tat Estate and Po Tat Estate to the new DCCA; • forms J14 (On Tat) by including most of the buildings in On Tat Estate, and forms J16 (Po Tat) by including most of the buildings in Po Tat Estate; • transfers Tat Hei House, Tat Shun House and Tat Kai House of Po Tat Estate from J15 (Sau Mau Ping South) to J16 (Po Tat); • transfers Sau Fu House, Sau On House and Sau Ming House of Sau Mau Ping Estate from J12 (Sau Mau Ping North) to J15 (Sau Mau Ping South); and • retains Sau Fai House of Sau Mau Ping Estate in J13 (Sau Mau Ping Central).	Quarry has been developed and turned to the newly-built On Tai Estate and On Tat Estate. As a result, the population of J16 (Po Tat) will significantly increase to 71 222. In terms of population, theoretically, three new DCCAs have to be created in the original area of J16 (Po Tat) to absorb the population in excess of the permissible limit, so that the population of the DCCA will fall within the statutory permissible range. However, having considered that the populations of the three adjacent DCCAs in Yau Tong, namely J23 (Yau Tong East), J24 (Yau Chui) and J25 (Yau Lai), will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit, and there is a need to create a new DCCA to absorb these DCCAs' populations in excess of the permissible limit, only two DCCAs J11 (On Tai) and J14 (On Tat) can be created within the original boundary of J16 (Po Tat). As the populations of the two new DCCAs will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit, it is required to transfer part of their populations to the

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
					adjacent DCCAs, so that the populations of the DCCAs concerned will fall within the statutory permissible range; and (iii) the number of affected DCCAs under the proposal made in the representation will be six more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under the proposal will be larger, too.
				(c) Objects to splitting Yau Lai Estate into J24 (Yau Chui), J25 (Yau Lai) and J26 (Yau Tong West), and considers that the boundaries of J22 (Chun Cheung) and J23 (Yau Tong East) are intertwined. Proposes to: • form a DCCA comprising Ko Chun Court, Ko Yee Estate, and Ko Fei House, Ko Fung House, Ko Ching House and Ko Hong House of Ko Cheung Court. Name the DCCA as "Ko Chiu"; • include the areas in the east of Cha Kwo Ling Road, the south of Yau Tong Road, the west of Yan Wing Street, and the north of Ko Chiu Road in J26 (Yau Tong	<u>Item (c)</u> This proposal is **not accepted** because the number of affected DCCAs under the proposal made in the representation will be one more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under the proposal will be larger, too.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				West). Rename the DCCA as "Yau Tong"; and • transfer the remaining parts of J26 (Yau Tong West) to J23 (Yau Tong East). Rename the DCCA as "Lei Yue Mun".	
4	J01 – Kwun Tong Central J29 – Tsui Ping J31 – Po Lok	1	-	Proposes to merge Tsui Ping (North) Estate, which is currently split into J01 (Kwun Tong Central), J29 (Tsui Ping) and J31 (Po Lok), into one single DCCA. After the adjustments, Tsui Ping (North) Estate and Tsui Ping (South) Estate will each become a DCCA of their own and will respectively be named as "Tsui Ping South" and "Tsui Ping North" so that the DC members concerned can focus on serving the residents of Tsui Ping (South) Estate and Tsui Ping (North) Estate.	This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) the populations of J01 (Kwun Tong Central), J29 (Tsui Ping) and J31 (Po Lok) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required; and (ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangements on district administration matters or community services provided by DC members are not the relevant factors of consideration.
5	J03 – Kai Yip J06 – Choi Tak	5	2	(a) Object to the provisional recommendations on J03 (Kai Yip). Reasons are summarised as follows: • it takes a long time for the residents of Choi	<u>Items (a) to (c)</u> This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) according to the proposal made in the representations, the

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
	J07 – Jordan Valley J40 – To Tai			Ying Estate in J06 (Choi Tak) to get to the area of Kai Yip Estate in J03 (Kai Yip) as the two DCCAs are separated by Kwun Tong Road located between them, making it a long journey; and • it is difficult for the residents of Choi Ying Estate to seek help from the DC members.	respective populations of J06 (Choi Tak) and J07 (Jordan Valley) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit, which are: J06:21 851, +31.64% J07:21 733, +30.93% (ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangements on district administration matters or community services provided by DC members are not the relevant factors of consideration.
				(b) Two representations propose to keep the boundary of J06 (Choi Tak) unchanged.	
				(c) One representation proposes to transfer Ying Hong House of Choi Ying Estate to J07 (Jordan Valley) and retain Ying Fu House and Ying On House of Choi Ying Estate in J06 (Choi Tak), and to rename the DCCA as "Sheung Choi".	
				(d) One representation proposes to form a new DCCA comprising Ying Fu House, Ying Hong House and Ying On House of Choi Ying Estate of J06 (Choi Tak), Ying Lok House and Ying Shun House of Choi Ying Estate of J07 (Jordan Valley), and Jade Field Garden, Wang Kwong Building and Lee	<u>Item (d)</u> This proposal is **not accepted** because the number of affected DCCAs under the proposal made in the representation will be one more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under the proposal will be larger, too.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				Kee Building of J40 (To Tai).	
				(e) One representation proposes to set up a polling station in the area of Choi Ying Estate.	Item (e) Arrangement on polling stations is not the relevant factor of consideration for delineation of DCCAs. The EAC has referred this view to the REO for consideration.
				(f) One representation proposes to form a DCCA comprising Tak Bo Garden and some private housing estates in the vicinity so as to avoid the situation that the DC member concerned has to deal with conflicting interests among electors in the same DCCA.	Item (f) This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) the population of J03 (Kai Yip) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustment to its existing boundary is not required; and (ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangements on district administration matters or community services provided by DC members are not the relevant factors of consideration.
				(g) One representation hopes that the EAC would explain how population projection is made and how the population figures of the housing estates concerned are worked out.	Item (g) The projected population figures as at 30 June 2019 are used for the delineation exercise for the 2019 DC Ordinary Election. As in the past, the projected population figures are provided by the AHSG, set up

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
					specially for the purpose of the delineation exercise under the Working Group on Population Distribution Projection in the PlanD. The current population distribution projections are derived by using scientific and systematic methodology based on the results of the 2016 population by-census carried out by the C&SD as well as the up-to-date official data kept by the relevant government departments. Members of the AHSG are all professional departments which all along have been responsible for territory-wide population census and projections on population distribution. They possess the most up-to-date information on the population and land and housing development, and the data are highly-accepted generally. The EAC has all along relied on the statistical figures provided by the AHSG, which are the only data available for the delineation exercise.
6	J05 – Ping Shek	1	-	Proposes to rename J05 (Ping Shek) as "Ching Ping", to: • reflect the fact that apart from Ping Shek Estate, there are also other stakeholders located along Clear Water Bay Road in that DCCA; • increase the sense of belonging among the	This proposal is **not accepted** because the DCCA name has been used since 1999 and the public are used to this name. Moreover, there is no adjustment made to its boundary in the present delineation exercise and hence changing the DCCA name may cause confusion to the public.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				residents living at Clear Water Bay Road towards the DCCA, which in turn will increase their participation in district affairs and the voter turnout; and • allow public officers to take care of all residents of the same DCCA in addition to the residents of Ping Shek.	
7	J05 – Ping Shek J06 – Choi Tak	3	1	Propose to transfer a building of Choi Hing Court, which will be completed in 2019 from J06 (Choi Tak) to J05 (Ping Shek) as there are a total of three blocks in Choi Hing Court, two of which are in J05 (Ping Shek) while the remaining one is in J06 (Choi Tak). The representations are consistent with community integrity and can bring about a more balanced population distribution in J05 (Ping Shek) and J06 (Choi Tak) and less impact on the administration and management of Choi Hing Court.	These proposals are **not accepted** because: (i) the number of affected DCCAs under the proposal made in the representations will be one more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under the proposal will be larger, too; and (ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangement on district administration matters is not the relevant factor of consideration.
8	J09 – Sheung Shun J10 – On Lee	1	-	Considers that the population of J11 (On Tai) is close to the statutory permissible upper limit. Proposes to: • transfer Chi Tai House of On Tai Estate from J11	This proposal is **not accepted** because the number of affected DCCAs under the proposal made in the representation will be one more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
	J11 – On Tai			(On Tai) to J10 (On Lee); and • transfer Lee Yip House of Shun Lee Estate from J10 (On Lee) to J09 (Sheung Shun).	the proposal will be larger, too.
9	J10 – On Lee J11 – On Tai J14 – On Tat J22 – Chun Cheung	1	-	Supports the creation of three DCCAs, namely On Tai, On Tat and Chun Cheung, and to transfer some of the buildings of J11 (On Tai) to J10 (On Lee).	The supporting view is noted.
10	J10 – On Lee J11 – On Tai	4	-	Object to the transfer of Wo Tai House and Ming Tai House of On Tai Estate from J11 (On Tai) to J10 (On Lee), and propose to retain all buildings of On Tai Estate in J11 (On Tai). Reasons are summarised as follows: • splitting On Tai Estate into different DCCAs will break community integrity; • the residents of Wo Tai House and Ming Tai House are required to go to Shun Lee Estate to vote and lodge complaints. This will cause anxiety to the residents and affect the unity and spirit of the	These proposals are **not accepted** because: (i) according to the proposal made in the representations, the population of J11 (On Tai) (25 526) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+53.78%); (ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangements on district administration matters or community services provided by DC members are not the relevant factors of consideration; and

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				community; • the affairs of J10 (On Lee) are not related to the residents of On Tai Estate. The rental of On Tai Estate is also different from that of Shun On Estate and Shun Lee Estate; • there is an interval of nearly 40 years between the time of population intake of On Tai Estate and Shun Lee Estate. The planning, facilities, layout and so forth of the two housing estates are different; and • the median age of the residents of J10 (On Lee) is 55.5, which is approximately 28% higher than that in Hong Kong (43.4). The residents there are relatively older, and the living environment and characteristics of the residents are clearly different from those of On Tai Estate. Hence, if the residents of the two places are grouped into the same DCCA, it will be difficult for the elected DC member to serve the residents, resulting in fragmentation of the community and decrease in the quality of life of the residents.	(iii) there is supporting view on the provisional recommendations on J10 (On Lee) and J11 (On Tai) (Please see item 9).

Item No.	***DCCAs***	***No.****		***Representations***	***EAC's Views***
		W	***O***		
11	J11 – On Tai	1	-	Indicates that the English name of J11 (On Tai) is the same as that of R36 (On Tai) in the Sha Tin District.	The view is **accepted**. To avoid confusion, the EAC proposes to change the name of J11 (On Tai) to "Kwun Tong On Tai".
12	J12 – Sau Mau Ping North J15 – Sau Mau Ping South	1	-	Objects to the transfer of Sau Ming House of Sau Mau Ping Estate to J15 (Sau Mau Ping South) because the provisional recommendations fail to preserve the community integrity, voting habits, lifestyle and historical background of the electors of Sau Mau Ping Estate.	This representation is **not accepted** because based on the 2015 original DCCA boundary, the population of J12 (Sau Mau Ping North) (21 164) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+27.50%). To maintain the population of the DCCA to fall within the statutory permissible range, adjustment to its boundary is required.
13	J12 – Sau Mau Ping North J13 – Sau Mau Ping Central J14 – On Tat J15 – Sau Mau Ping South J16 – Po Tat	1	-	Objects to splitting Sau Mau Ping Estate into three DCCAs. Proposes to: • maintain the boundaries of J12 (Sau Mau Ping North) and J13 (Sau Mau Ping Central) unchanged; • transfer Tat Kwai House and Tat On House of Po Tat Estate from J16 (Po Tat) to J15 (Sau Mau Ping South); • retain Chi Tat House, Ching Tat House, Chun Tat House, Hau Tat House, Him Tat House, Lai Tat House, Yin Tat House, Oi Tat House and Shing Tat House of On Tat Estate in J14 (On Tat); and	This proposal is **not accepted** because according to the proposal made in the representation, the respective populations of J12 (Sau Mau Ping North) and J16 (Po Tat) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit, which are: J12: 21 164, +27.50% J16: 21 774, +31.18%

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				• form J16 (Po Tat) comprising Yan Tat House and Sin Tat House of On Tat Estate, and Tat Cheung House, Tat Chui House, Tat Fu House, Tat Fung House, Tat Hong House, Tat Yan House and Tat Yi House of Po Tat Estate.	
14	J12 – Sau Mau Ping North J13 – Sau Mau Ping Central J14 – On Tat J15 – Sau Mau Ping South J16 – Po Tat	1	-	Considers that the provisional recommendations on J12 (Sau Mau Ping North), J13 (Sau Mau Ping Central), J14 (On Tat), J15 (Sau Mau Ping South) and J16 (Po Tat) fail to take into account community integrity and transport considerations. Proposes to: • retain the 13 blocks of Po Tat Estate in J16 (Po Tat); • retain Sau Fai House of Sau Mau Ping Estate in J13 (Sau Mau Ping Central); • form J15 (Sau Mau Ping South) comprising Sau On House, Sau Fu House and Sau Ming House of Sau Mau Ping Estate in J12 (Sau Mau Ping North), and Sau Mau Ping South Estate; and • retain the 11 blocks of On Tat Estate in J14 (On Tat). However, if the population of J12 (Sau Mau Ping North) has to be considered, can transfer one or two blocks of On	This proposal is **not accepted** because according to the proposal made in the representation, the respective populations of J14 (On Tat) and J16 (Po Tat) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit, which are: J14: 24 018, +44.70% J16: 24 683, +48.70%

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				Tat Estate to J12 (Sau Mau Ping North) which is accessible by a footbridge.	
15	J13 – Sau Mau Ping Central	1	-	Proposes to include the Ancillary Facilities Block of Sau Mau Ping Estate to the constituency areas boundary descriptions of J13 (Sau Mau Ping Central).	This proposal is **not accepted** because the constituency areas boundary descriptions include only major housing estates or areas in order to help the public understand the areas covered by the DCCAs.
16	J13 – Sau Mau Ping Central J14 – On Tat	41	-	Propose to retain Oi Tat House and Shing Tat House of On Tat Estate in J14 (On Tat). Reasons are summarised as follows: • retention of Oi Tat House and Shing Tat House of On Tat Estate in J14 (On Tat) can preserve community integrity; • there is quite a long distance between Oi Tat House and Shing Tat House of On Tat Estate, and J13 (Sau Mau Ping Central). The provisional recommendations are unreasonable from geographical point of view; • On Tat Estate belongs to the Sze Shun Area under the HAD. Hence, buildings of On Tat Estate should not be included in two DCCAs; and	This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) according to the proposal made in the representations, the population of J14 (On Tat) (24 018) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+44.70%); and (ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangements on district administration matters or community services provided by DC members are not the relevant factors of consideration.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				• the provisional recommendations will cause confusion to the residents of Oi Tat House and Shing Tat House when they follow up matters with the DC member because they have to go to the adjacent DCCA to find the DC member concerned. This makes some residents lose their channel to seek assistance.	
17	J13 – Sau Mau Ping Central J15 – Sau Mau Ping South	1243^	-	Propose to retain Sau Fai House of Sau Mau Ping Estate in J13 (Sau Mau Ping Central). Reasons are summarised as follows: • the provisional recommendations fail to preserve community integrity because Sau Chi House, Sau King House, Sau Yue House, Sau Wai House, Sau Ching House, Sau Yin House, the Ancillary Facilities Block and Sau Fai House support each other in the same DCCA since the opening of Sau Mau Ping Estate 18 years ago. The provisional recommendations make it difficult for the residents of Sau Fai House to adapt and they are required to go to other housing estates for help in case of need;	This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) according to the proposal made in the representations, the population of J13 (Sau Mau Ping Central) (21 206) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+27.75%); (ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangements on district administration matters or community services provided by DC members are not the relevant factors of consideration; and (iii) the projected population figures as at 30 June 2019 are used for the delineation exercise for the 2019 DC

^ Of which, 1 236 are template letters.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				• the population of Sau Fai House significantly deviates from the actual number because Sau Fai House is a house for the elderlies and most of them live alone. Only few of them are elderly doubletons, so its population should be less than 900. Therefore, the population of J13 (Sau Mau Ping Central) (19 749) together with that of Sau Fai House will still be within the statutory permissible range; • the provisional recommendations bring about problems and conflicts with regard to management services; and • the provisional recommendations substantially increase the workload of the DC member.	Ordinary Election. As in the past, the projected population figures are provided by the AHSG, set up specially for the purpose of the delineation exercise under the Working Group on Population Distribution Projection in the PlanD. The current population distribution projections are derived by using scientific and systematic methodology based on the results of the 2016 population by-census carried out by the C&SD as well as the up-to-date official data kept by the relevant government departments. Members of the AHSG are all professional departments which all along have been responsible for territory-wide population census and projections on population distribution. They possess the most up-to-date information on the population and land and housing development, and the data are highly-accepted generally. The EAC has all along relied on the statistical figures provided by the AHSG, which are the only data available for the delineation exercise.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
18	J15 – Sau Mau Ping South J16 – Po Tat	796[#]	-	Propose to retain 13 blocks of Po Tat Estate in J16 (Po Tat). Reasons are summarised as follows: • community integrity can be preserved; • the population of Po Tat Estate has been constantly decreasing and now is less than 21 000, which is within the statutory permissible range; • all buildings of Po Tat Estate belong to the Sze Shun Area under the HAD. Therefore, buildings of Po Tat Estate should not be split into two DCCAs; and • the geographical location of Po Tat Estate is not close to other DCCAs. Residents should be served by the DC member within the area of Po Tat Estate.	This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) according to the proposal made in the representations, the population of J16 (Po Tat) (24 683) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+48.70%); and (ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangements on district administration matters or community services provided by DC members are not the relevant factors of consideration.
19	J19 – Lam Tin J20 – Ping Tin	1	-	Holds no objection to adjusting the boundaries of J19 (Lam Tin) and J20 (Ping Tin), but proposes to retain Kai Tin Shopping Centre in J19 (Lam Tin).	This proposal is **not accepted** because Kai Tin Shopping Centre has no population. The proposal made in the representation does not provide sufficient objective information and justification to support the representation. In addition, according to the proposal made in the representation, the shape of J20 (Ping Tin) will become undesirable.

[#] Of which, 793 are template letters.

Item No.	***DCCAs***	***No.****		***Representations***	***EAC's Views***
		W	***O***		
20	J19 – Lam Tin J20 – Ping Tin	3	-	Object to the transfer of Kai Tin Towers from J19 (Lam Tin) to J20 (Ping Tin). Reasons are summarised as follows: • Kai Tin Towers have been included in different DCCAs in three consecutive DC delineation exercises, making it difficult for the residents there to adapt and causing confusion to them in seeking DC members' services and expressing their opinions; • the residents of Kai Tin Towers have to vote at different polling stations in each election. This will reduce their initiative to vote and cause confusion to them, making it more difficult for them to build up a sense of belonging towards their DCCA; • the management styles of Kai Tin Towers as well as Ping Tin Estate and On Tin Estate of J20 (Ping Tin) are different. The provisional recommendations will break community integrity; and • the population projection of J20 (Ping Tin) is extremely unreasonable. The population of J20	These proposals are **not accepted** because: (i) based on the 2015 original DCCA boundary, the population of J19 (Lam Tin) (22 098) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+33.13%). To maintain the population of the DCCA to fall within the statutory permissible range, adjustment to its boundary is required. Among all feasible options of adjustments, the provisional recommendations affect the least population; (ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangements on district administration matters or community services provided by DC members are not the relevant factors of consideration; (iii) the projected population figures as at 30 June 2019 are used for the delineation exercise for the 2019 DC Ordinary Election. As in the past, the projected population figures are provided by the AHSG, set up specially for the purpose of the delineation exercise under the Working Group on

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				(Ping Tin) is underestimated. One representation proposes to adjust the boundaries of the DCCAs in Lam Tin after the population intake of the newly-developed Disciplined Services Quarters located next to Kwun Tong Police Station in the next delineation exercise so as to prevent excessive and frequent adjustments to the DCCA boundaries, hence causing inconvenience to the electors.	Population Distribution Projection in the PlanD. The current population distribution projections are derived by using scientific and systematic methodology based on the results of the 2016 population by-census carried out by the C&SD as well as the up-to-date official data kept by the relevant government departments. Members of the AHSG are all professional departments which all along have been responsible for territory-wide population census and projections on population distribution. They possess the most up-to-date information on the population and land and housing development, and the data are highly-accepted generally. The EAC has all along relied on the statistical figures provided by the AHSG, which are the only data available for the delineation exercise; and (iv) in drawing up the delineation recommendations, the EAC must strictly adhere to the statutory criteria under the EACO and its working principles. The delineation should be made on the basis of the

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
					projected populations, existing DCCA boundaries and relevant local factors. The EAC will continue to adhere to the above in future delineation exercises.
21	J20 – Ping Tin J27 – Laguna City J28 – King Tin	1	-	Proposes to transfer Blocks 24 to 26 of Laguna City to J28 (King Tin), and transfer Hong Tin Court from the latter to J20 (Ping Tin) because if the EAC does not solve the problem of overpopulation in Laguna City, it will upset the planning of the adjacent DCCAs, making the community integrity undesirable.	This proposal is **not accepted**. It is not feasible to transfer some of the population in J27 (Laguna City) to J28 (King Tin) since J27 (Laguna City) is separated from J28 (King Tin) in the north of it by a hillside.
22	J22 – Chun Cheung J23 – Yau Tong East J24 – Yau Chui J25 – Yau Lai J26 – Yau Tong West	10	-	Support the provisional recommendations.	The supporting view is noted.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
23	J22 – Chun Cheung J23 – Yau Tong East	1	-	Proposes to form a DCCA comprising Ko Chun Court of J22 (Chun Cheung) and Ko Yee Estate of J23 (Yau Tong East) as Ko Chun Court and Ko Yee Estate are contiguous to each other geographically and both housing estates were built around the same year. Doubts if any factors other than population have been taken into account in respect of the provisional recommendations of forming a DCCA with Ko Chun Court and Ko Cheung Court, which is Disciplined Services Quarters.	This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) according to the proposal made in the representation, the population of the DCCA concerned (8 682) will be below the statutory permissible lower limit (-47.70%); and (ii) the EACO stipulates that apart from taking into account the projected populations, the EAC must also have regard to the other statutory factors, including community identities, preservation of local ties and physical features (such as the size, shape, accessibility and development) of the concerned areas when adjusting the DCCA boundaries. These factors do certainly not include political ones or any factors not relevant to the statutory requirements.
24	J22 – Chun Cheung J23 – Yau Tong East J24 – Yau Chui	1	-	(a) Supports the creation of J22 (Chun Cheung).	<u>Item (a)</u> The supporting view is noted.
				(b) Objects to splitting Yau Lai Estate into three DCCAs. Proposes to: • transfer the areas in the east of Lei Yue Mun Path and Shung Shun Street from J26 (Yau Tong West) to J23 (Yau	<u>Item (b)</u> This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) the number of affected DCCAs under the proposal made in the representation will be two more than that in the provisional

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
	J25 – Yau Lai J26 – Yau Tong West J27 – Laguna City			Tong East); • transfer the areas in the south of Cha Kwo Ling Road and in the east of Ko Fai Road from J26 (Yau Tong West) to J24 (Yau Chui); • transfer the area of Cha Kwo Ling Tsuen to J27 (Laguna City); • transfer Ying Lai House of Yau Lai Estate to J26 (Yau Tong West); and • maintain the status of J27 (Laguna City) as a DCCA with population exceeding the statutory permissible upper limit, or subject to the actual population, split it into two DCCAs with populations below the statutory permissible lower limit.	recommendations. The affected population under the proposal will be larger, too; and (ii) based on the 2015 original DCCA boundary, the population of J27 (Laguna City) (24 757) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+49.15%). According to the proposal made in the representation, the population of the DCCA will further exceed the statutory permissible upper limit.
25	J24 – Yau Chui J25 – Yau Lai J26 – Yau Tong West	9	-	(a) Propose to transfer Hong Lai House, Tsui Lai House and Yan Lai House of Yau Lai Estate from J26 (Yau Tong West) to J25 (Yau Lai). Reasons are summarised as follows: • Yau Lai Estate is quite unique in terms of the living space, location, passageways and corridors, malls, sitting-out facilities,	<u>Item (a)</u> This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) according to the proposal made in the representations, the population of J25 (Yau Lai) (22 424) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+35.09%); (ii) the number of affected

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				carparks and social welfare organisations or even community problems. Splitting Yau Lai Estate into two DCCAs is already the limit and splitting it into three DCCAs will break its community integrity, identity and undermine the residents' sense of belonging towards the community, creating a lot of confusion for them; • increase in the number of DC members to deal with the district affairs of Yau Lai Estate from two to three will make it more difficult for all three of them to have meetings and reach a consensus with the HD. It is expected that longer time will be taken to resolve the community problems. Besides, the residents of Yau Lai Estate will be confused as to which DC member they should seek help for solving the community problems; • the population of J26 (Yau Tong West) (19 627), which substantially deviates from the population quota (+18.24%), and	DCCAs under the proposal made in the representations will be one more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under the proposal will be larger, too; and (iii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangements on district administration matters or community services provided by DC members are not the relevant factors of consideration.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				the area of the DCCA is also the largest in the Kwun Tong District. In addition, there are public housing estates, housing estates under the Tenants Purchase Scheme, squatter structures and scrappy metal shacks, old tenement buildings, newer private residential blocks, private residential buildings, factory areas, tourist areas, etc. in that DCCA, resulting in a large population and disagreement among different social strata with vested interests; and • to preserve the community integrity of Yau Lai Estate, and also lower the deviation from the population quota for J26 (Yau Tong West). Besides, to address the population pressure brought about by the relocation of the Yau Tong Industrial Area of the DCCA from Yau Tong in future.	
				(b) Propose to include all buildings of Yau Lai Estate in the same DCCA because it can fully preserve the community integrity.	Item (b) This proposal is **not accepted** because according to the proposal made in the representation, the population

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
					of J25 (Yau Lai) (27 886) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+68.00%).
				(c) Propose to keep the boundary of J25 (Yau Lai) unchanged.	Item (c) This proposal is **not accepted** because based on the 2015 original DCCA boundary, the population of J25 (Yau Lai) (21 530) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+29.71%). To maintain the population of the DCCA to fall within the statutory permissible range, adjustment to its boundary is required.
				(d) One representation proposes to transfer Fung Lai House and Ying Lai House of Yau Lai Estate to J26 (Yau Tong West), and transfer Fu Tong House and Kwai Tong House of Yau Tong Estate from J26 (Yau Tong West) to J24 (Yau Chui).	Item (d) This proposal is **not accepted** because the number of affected DCCAs under the proposal made in the representation will be one more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under the proposal will be larger, too.
				(e) One representation does not object to the transfer of Fung Lai House and Ying Lai House of Yau Lai Estate from J25 (Yau Lai) to J24 (Yau Chui) because these two blocks are adjacent to J24 (Yau Chui). Hence, it is more convenient for the residents concerned to seek help from DC member of J24 (Yau Chui). Moreover, DC member of J24 (Yau Chui) does not	Item (e) The view is noted.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				have to travel a long distance to these two blocks. Therefore, it is anticipated that the impact on the quality of services of the DC member will not be significant.	
26	J24 – Yau Chui J25 – Yau Lai J26 – Yau Tong West J27 – Laguna City	-	2	Object to the provisional recommendations on splitting Yau Lai Estate into three DCCAs as this will break the community integrity and local identity of that housing estate. Besides, the EAC allows the population of J27 (Laguna City) to exceed the statutory permissible upper limit, but splits Yau Lai Estate into three DCCAs. Query whether the EAC has given more favorable treatment to those living in private residential buildings and ignored the interests of the residents living in public housing estates.	This proposal is **not accepted** because in drawing up the delineation recommendations, the EAC has strictly adhered to the statutory criteria under the EACO and its working principles. The recommendations were made on the basis of the projected populations, existing DCCA boundaries and relevant local factors. The EAC would not favour a particular administrative district or a particular DCCA.
27	J24 – Yau Chui J26 – Yau Tong West	1	-	Objects to the provisional recommendations on J24 (Yau Chui) and J26 (Yau Tong West). Proposes to: • group Yau Chui Court, and Fung Lai House, Ying Lai House, Tsui Lai House, Hong Lai House and Yan Lai House of Yau Lai Estate in J24 (Yau Chui); and • group Yau Mei Court, Yau Tong Estate, Yau Tong Centre, Lei Yue Mun and	This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) the number of affected DCCAs under the proposal made in the representation will be one more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under the proposal will be larger, too; and (ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				Cha Kwo Ling in J26 (Yau Tong West). The representation considers that the benefits of the above proposals are as follows: • district administration and management will be more scientific and logical; • district political inclination will be clearer. Collaborative efforts can be made to optimise the environment; • livelihood measures and resource allocation will become smoother and more efficient; • the role of DC member as a bridge will be more in line with public opinion and the DC member can better perform their functions; • the location of the polling stations of a DCCA will become clearer so it will be easier for people to find them, regardless of their ages; • it will be easier to distinguish a candidate's political platform from the other so that wrong casting of vote can be avoided; • it will facilitate the	population distribution. Arrangements on district administration matters or community services provided by DC members are not the relevant factors of consideration.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				exchange of views among electors and prevent misjudgments on the information of candidates and hassles between electors; and • residents' voting initiative will be boosted, resulting in higher voter turnout, and the elected DC member can better grasp public sentiment.	
28	J26 – Yau Tong West J27 – Laguna City	-	1	Proposes to transfer some buildings from J27 (Laguna City) to J26 (Yau Tong West) because the population of J27 (Laguna City) will deviate from the population quota by +49.15% in 2019. In addition, as the hillside behind Laguna City has become a new development zone, there are community ties between these two DCCAs.	This proposal is **not accepted** because it is not feasible to adjust the boundaries of the adjacent DCCAs to absorb the excessive population of J27 (Laguna City) due to geographical factor.
29	J28 – King Tin	1	-	Supports the provisional recommendations.	The supporting view is noted.
30	J28 – King Tin J29 – Tsui Ping	1	-	Proposes to transfer the Disciplined Services Quarters from J28 (King Tin) to J29 (Tsui Ping) because: • the population of J28 (King Tin) is larger than those of the adjacent J20 (Ping Tin) and J29 (Tsui Ping). If the population of J28 (King Tin) continues to increase, it will have the second	This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) the populations of J28 (King Tin) and J29 (Tsui Ping) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required; and

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				largest population among all DCCAs in the Kwun Tong District, just lower than that of J27 (Laguna City); • geographically, there are no ties between the Disciplined Services Quarters and Sceneway Garden, Hong Tin Court, and Lei On Court in J28 (King Tin); and • there is a serious conflict between the construction of the Disciplined Services Quarters and the interests of the residents of Lei On Court in J28 (King Tin). Therefore, it is not appropriate to include both of them in J28 (King Tin) as this will intensify the conflicts among the residents concerned.	(ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangement on district administration matters is not the relevant factor of consideration.
31	J35 – Lok Wah North J39 – Lower Ngau Tau Kok Estate	1	1	Propose to transfer Lok Nga Court from J39 (Lower Ngau Tau Kok Estate) to J35 (Lok Wah North). Reasons are summarised as follows: • Lok Nga Court in J39 (Lower Ngau Tau Kok Estate) and Lok Wah (North) Estate in J35 (Lok Wah North) are closely connected in terms of geographical location and facilities being used; and	This proposal is **not accepted** because the populations of J35 (Lok Wah North) and J39 (Lower Ngau Tau Kok Estate) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				• the proposal made in the representations will make the population figures of these two DCCAs become closer.	

Appendix II - K

Tsuen Wan District
Summaries of Written/Oral Representations

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
1	All DCCAs	1	-	Supports the provisional recommendations on all DCCAs of the Tsuen Wan District.	The supporting view is noted.
2	All DCCAs	2	-	(a) Object to re-arranging the order of the DCCA codes as it will cause confusion to electors. It may also discourage electors from voting and increase the chance of casting the votes wrongly. (b) Propose to assign new codes to newly created DCCAs only, for example, the DCCA code for the new K03 (Tsuen Wan South) should be K19.	Items (a) and (b) These proposals are **not accepted** because: (i) allocating codes to DCCAs is for the sake of easy identification of DCCAs on the boundary maps and providing the public with a quicker and easier way in locating the DCCA(s) which they are looking for. According to the established working principles, when drawing up the provisional recommendations, the EAC will rationalise the allocation of the DCCA codes for all administrative districts by assigning the codes in a clockwise direction with a view to making the DCCAs with consecutive codes contiguous to each other as far as possible, so that it is easier for the public to locate the

* W: Number of written representations.
O : Number of oral representations.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
					DCCA(s); (ii) the DCCA codes are not directly related to electors' voting; and (iii) there is a representation supporting the rationalisation of DCCA codes. It considers that the DCCA codes in the provisional recommendations have enabled the public to locate the DCCAs on the maps more quickly (please see item 1 of General Issues in Appendix II).
3	All DCCAs	1	-	(a) Supports the provisional recommendations on K07 (Tsuen Wan Centre), K08 (Discovery Park), K09 (Fuk Loi), K10 (Luk Yeung), K12 (Tsuen Wan Rural), K14 (Lai To), K15 (Allway), K16 (Cheung Shek), K17 (Shek Wai Kok), K18 (Lei Muk Shue West) and K19 (Lei Muk Shue East).	<u>Item (a)</u> The supporting view is noted.
				(b) Holds reservation on the provisional recommendations on K01 (Tak Wah), K02 (Yeung Uk Road), K03 (Tsuen Wan South), K04 (Hoi Bun), K11 (Ma Wan) and K13 (Ting Sham).	<u>Item (b)</u> The view is noted.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				(c) Proposes to restore the name of K05 (Tsuen Wan West) to "Lai Hing" which was used in the 2011 DC Ordinary Election.	Item (c) This proposal is **not accepted** because in the 2015 delineation exercise, the DCCA concerned was named as "Tsuen Wan West" to reflect its geographical location. The public are used to the DCCA name. Change of the DCCA name may cause confusion to the public.
				(d) Objects to the provisional recommendations on K05 (Tsuen Wan West) and K06 (Clague Garden), and proposes to transfer Ocean Pride and The Pavilia Bay from K05 (Tsuen Wan West) to K06 (Clague Garden) because the above two housing estates are geographically closer to K06 (Clague Garden) and the expected intake date of both estates will be after June 2019. Re-delineation of the boundaries of the DCCAs would not have any significant impact on their populations.	Item (d) This proposal is **not accepted** because the number of affected DCCAs under the proposal made in the representation will be one more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected populations under the proposal will be larger, too.
				(e) Proposes to name K13 (Ting Sham) as "Sham Ting" to reflect that Sham Tseng has a greater population than other areas in the DCCA.	Item (e) This proposal is **not accepted** because there is no apparent difference between the proposed name "Sham Ting" made in the representation and the name "Ting Sham" made in the provisional recommendations.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				(f) Taking into account the development of Lantau, proposes to put K11 (Ma Wan) in the Islands District in the delineation exercise for the 2023 DC Ordinary Election.	Item (f) The proposal made in the representation involves alteration of administrative district boundaries, which does not fall under the purview of the EAC. The EAC has referred this view to the Government for consideration.
4	K01– Tak Wah K02 – Yeung Uk Road K03 – Tsuen Wan South K04 – Hoi Bun K05 – Tsuen Wan West K06 – Clague Garden K07 – Tsuen Wan Centre K08 – Discovery Park	1	-	Objects to the provisional recommendations. Proposes to re-delineate the DCCAs and change the names of some DCCAs. Details are as follows: K01 (Tak Wah) includes the areas in the east of Tai Ho Road, the south of Castle Peak Road, the west of Texaco Road, and the north of Sha Tsui Road. K02 (Yeung Uk Road) includes the areas in the east of Wo Tik Street, the south of Sha Tsui Road, the west of Texaco Road, and the north of Yeung Uk Road. Renaming of the DCCA is required. K03 (Tsuen Wan South) includes the areas in the east of Tai Ho Road, the south of Yeung Uk Road, the west of Texaco Road, the north of Wing Shun Street, and Vision City. K04 (Hoi Bun) includes Riveria Gardens.	This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) according to the proposal made in the representation, the population of K06 (Clague Garden) (8 054) will be below the statutory permissible lower limit (-51.48%); and (ii) the number of affected DCCAs under the proposal made in the representation will be 10 more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under the proposal will be larger, too.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
	K09 – Fuk Loi K10 – Luk Yeung K12 – Tsuen Wan Rural K13 – Ting Sham K14 – Lai To K15 – Allway K16 – Cheung Shek K17 – Shek Wai Kok			<u>K05 (Tsuen Wan West)</u> includes the areas of Yau Kom Tau, Ting Kau, Bayview Garden, Belvedere Garden Phase 3, Serenade Cove and The Pavilia Bay. Restores the name of the DCCA to "Lai Hing". <u>K06 (Clague Garden)</u> includes the areas of Ocean Pride, Clague Garden Estate, Skyline Plaza and Tsuen Wan Plaza. <u>K07 (Tsuen Wan Centre)</u> includes the areas of Tsuen Wan Centre, and Tsuen King Garden. Renaming of the DCCA is required. <u>K08 (Discovery Park)</u> includes the areas of Discovery Park and Fuk Loi Estate. Renaming of the DCCA is required. <u>K09 (Fuk Loi)</u> includes the areas in the east of Tai Chung Road, the south of Hoi Pa Street and Castle Peak Road – Tsuen Wan, the west of Tai Ho Road North, and the north of Tai Pa Street. Renaming of the DCCA is required. <u>K10 (Luk Yeung)</u> includes Luk Yeung Sun Chuen, and the areas in the north of Castle Peak Road, the south and the east of Sai Lau Kok Road.	

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				K12 (Tsuen Wan Rural) proposes to rename the DCCA as "Tsing Lung Tau". K13 (Ting Sham) includes the areas of Bellagio, Rhine Garden, Ocean Pointe and Sham Tseng Village. Renames the DCCA as "Sham Tseng". K14 (Lai To) includes the areas of Hanley Villa, Belvedere Garden Phases 1 and 2, The Panorama and Summit Terrace. K15 (Allway) includes the areas of Allway Gardens, Tsuen Tak Gardens, Kam Fung Garden, The Cairnhill, The Cliveden, Kwong Pan Tin Tsuen and Pak Tin Pa San Tsuen. K16 (Cheung Shek) includes Cheung Shan Estate, the north of Cheung Pei Shan Road, and the village houses along and in the vicinity of Route Twisk. K17 (Shek Wai Kok) includes Shek Wai Kok Estate and its nearby areas.	
5	K01 – Tak Wah K03 – Tsuen Wan South	1	-	(a) Objects to the provisional recommendations on the transfer of Vision City from K01 (Tak Wah) to K03 (Tsuen Wan South).	Item (a) This representation is **not accepted** because based on the 2015 original DCCA boundary, the population of K01 (Tak Wah) (21 511) will exceed the statutory

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
	K04 – Hoi Bun				permissible upper limit (+29.59%). With a view to maintaining the population within the statutory permissible range, it is necessary to transfer some of the buildings in K01 (Tak Wah) to the adjacent DCCAs. Given that the new DCCA K03 (Tsuen Wan South) adjacent to K01 (Tak Wah) has sufficient room to absorb the excessive population of K01 (Tak Wah), the provisional recommendations proposed to transfer Vision City which is closest to K03 (Tsuen Wan South) from K01 (Tak Wah) to K03 (Tsuen Wan South).
				(b) Proposes to transfer Waterside Plaza from K04 (Hoi Bun) to K03 (Tsuen Wan South).	<u>Item (b)</u> This proposal is **not accepted** because the population of K04 (Hoi Bun) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustment to its existing boundary is not required.
6	K03 – Tsuen Wan South K05 – Tsuen Wan West	1	-	(a) Objects to the provisional recommendations on K05 (Tsuen Wan West). Proposes to use Tai Ho Road as the eastern boundary of K05 (Tsuen Wan West) and transfer the Pavilia Bay from K05 (Tsuen Wan West) to K03 (Tsuen Wan South) because:	<u>Item (a)</u> This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) according to the proposal made in the representation , the population of K03 (Tsuen Wan South) (21 280) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
	K06 – Clague Garden			• The Pavilia Bay in K05 (Tsuen Wan West) is very close to City Point in K03 (Tsuen Wan South). The two estates are just separated by Wing Shun Street; and • given the extensive area of K05 (Tsuen Wan West), it is difficult for the DC member concerned to serve the residents living on the eastern and western sides of the DCCA, rendering them unable to receive the services to which they are entitled.	(+28.20%); and (ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of the population distribution. Arrangements on district administration matters or community services provided by DC members are not the relevant factors for consideration.
				(b) Proposes to transfer Parc City from K06 (Clague Garden) to K05 (Tsuen Wan West) because its neighbouring Ocean Pride is also included in K05 (Tsuen Wan West).	<u>Item (b)</u> This proposal is **not accepted** because the population of K06 (Clague Garden) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustment to its existing boundary is not required.
7	K03 – Tsuen Wan South K16 – Cheung Shek	1	-	Holds no objection to the provisional recommendations.	The view is noted.

Item No.	***DCCAs***	***No.****		***Representations***	***EAC's Views***
		W	***O***		
8	K07 – Tsuen Wan Centre K10 – Luk Yeung K15 – Allway K16 – Cheung Shek K17 – Shek Wai Kok	1	2	Consider that the populations are unevenly distributed among the DCCAs in the Tsuen Wan District. For instance, the populations of K10 (Luk Yeung), K16 (Cheung Shek) and K17 (Shek Wai Kok) are around 12 000 to 13 000, whereas the population of K15 (Allway) is as high as 19 000. Two of the representations indicate that the extensive area of K15 (Allway) makes it difficult for the DC member concerned to serve the residents. Propose to transfer the village houses in the vicinity of Route Twisk from K15 (Allway) to K10 (Luk Yeung) or K07 (Tsuen Wan Centre).	This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) the population quota for the 2019 DC Ordinary Election is 16 599, and the legislation allows the population in a DCCA to exceed or fall short of the population quota by not more than 25% (i.e. between 12 449 and 20 749). For DCCAs where the populations do fall within the statutory permissible range, adjustments to their boundaries would not be necessary in principle; (ii) the population of K15 (Allway) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustment to its existing boundary is not required; and (iii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangements on district administration matters or community services provided by DC members are not the relevant factors for consideration.

Item No.	***DCCAs***	***No.****		***Representations***	***EAC's Views***
		W	***O***		
9	K08 – Discovery Park	1	-	Supports the provisional recommendation.	The supporting view is noted.
10	K11 – Ma Wan	1	1	Taking into account the development of Lantau, proposes to put K11 (Ma Wan) in the Islands District in the delineation exercise for the 2023 DC Ordinary Election with a view to enhancing the efficiency of district administration. Another representation proposes to group the northeastern part of Lantau in K11 (Ma Wan) in the Islands District.	The proposals made in the representations involve alteration of administrative district boundaries, which does not fall under the purview of the EAC. The EAC has referred this view to the Government for consideration.

Appendix II - L

Tuen Mun District

Summaries of Written/Oral Representations

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
1	All DCCAs	1	-	Supports the provisional recommendations on all DCCAs of the Tuen Mun District.	The supporting view is noted.
2	L01– Tuen Mun Town Centre	1	-	Holds no objection to the provisional recommendations.	The view is noted.
3	L01 – Tuen Mun Town Centre L02 – Siu Chi L03 – On Ting L04 – Siu Tsui L05 – Yau Oi South L06 – Yau Oi North	1	-	(a) Proposes to re-delineate the DCCAs of the Tuen Mun District so as to improve the population deviation and community integrity of Tuen Mun Town Centre and southeastern part of Tuen Mun. Details are as follows: • transfers Villa Tiara from L01 (Tuen Mun Town Centre) to L02 (Siu Chi); • transfers Siu On Court from L02 (Siu Chi) to L03 (On Ting) and then transfers Siu Lun Court from the latter to L04 (Siu Tsui); • transfers Oceania Heights from L06 (Yau Oi North) to L04 (Siu	<u>Item (a)</u> This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) according to the proposal made in the representation, the population of L02 (Siu Chi) (21 669) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+30.54%); and (ii) the populations of L02 (Siu Chi), L03 (On Ting), L04 (Siu Tsui), L05 (Yau Oi South) and L06 (Yau Oi North) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required.

* W: Number of written representations.
O : Number of oral representations.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
	L07 – Tsui Hing L08 – Shan King L09 – King Hing L10 – Hing Tsak L11 – San Hui			Tsui) so that the latter will comprise the entire Siu Lun Court, Oceania Heights and Nerine Cove; and • adjusts the boundaries of L05 (Yau Oi South) and L06 (Yau Oi North) so that these two DCCAs will comprise Tsui Ning Garden in L04 (Siu Tsui), part of Yau Oi Estate in L05 (Yau Oi South), part of Yau Oi Estate in L06 (Yau Oi North) and Goodview Garden.	
	L15 – Yuet Wu L16 – Siu Hei L17 – Wu King L20 – Lok Tsui L21 – Lung Mun			(b) Objects to the provisional recommendations on dividing Shan King Estate into L08 (Shan King) and L09 (King Hing), and Tai Hing Estate into L09 (King Hing) and L10 (Hing Tsak). Considers that re-delineation of the DCCAs concerned can rectify the above situation and the problem of having a relatively large population in L11 (San Hui). Details of the proposals are as follows: • deletes L16 (Siu Hei) so as to free up a DCCA for the creation of a new DCCA in the area of Tai Hing Estate. The name of the new DCCA is "Tai Hing";	Item (b) This proposal is **not accepted** because the populations of L07 (Tsui Hing), L08 (Shan King), L09 (King Hing), L10 (Hing Tsak), L15 (Yuet Wu), L16 (Siu Hei), L17 (Wu King), L20 (Lok Tsui) and L21 (Lung Mun) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				• transfers Siu Hei Court and Miami Beach Towers from L16 (Siu Hei) to L17 (Wu King) and L20 (Lok Tsui) respectively, and Marina Garden to L15 (Yuet Wu); • transfers the area in the west of Lung Mun Road in L20 (Lok Tsui) (including Lung Kwu Tan), and the areas in the north of Wong Chu Road and in the west of Lung Mun Road in L21 (Lung Mun) to L08 (Shan King); • transfers some of the buildings in L08 (Shan King) to L09 (King Hing) so that the latter will only include Shan King Estate and renames it as "Shan King North". For L08 (Shan King), renames it as "Shan King South & Castle Peak"; and • transfers Chelsea Heights in L07 (Tsui Hing) and the area of Choy Yee Bridge in L11 (San Hui) to L10 (Hing Tsak). Renames L10 (Hing Tsak) as "Choy Yee Bridge".	

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
4	L01 – Tuen Mun Town Centre L11 – San Hui L15 – Yuet Wu L16 – Siu Hei L17 – Wu King	1	-	(a) Objects to the transfer of the area of Ming Ngai Street to L11 (San Hui), and requests that the original boundary of L01 (Tuen Mun Town Centre) be remained unchanged. Reasons are as follows: • people living in Ming Ngai Street, Lee Yuen Mansion and Mai Kei Building are long-time residents who have developed strong emotional bonds with L01 (Tuen Mun Town Centre); • in terms of district and street management, transferring the street to L11 (San Hui) is strange and incongruous; • retaining the street in L01 (Tuen Mun Town Centre) will not have any impact on the population distribution of Tuen Mun Town Centre as the population therein is not large. In addition, even if there is an increase in the population of L01 (Tuen Mun Town Centre), it will only increase the workload of the DC member concerned but will have	<u>Item (a)</u> This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) based on the 2015 original DCCA boundary, the population of L01 (Tuen Mun Town Centre) (20 982) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+26.41%). In order to maintain the population of the DCCA within the statutory permissible range, some buildings in the DCCA must be transferred to the adjacent DCCA; and (ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangements on district administration matters or community services provided by DC members are not the relevant factors of consideration.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				no impact on the entire Tuen Mun District; and • the delineation criteria disregard the overall planning of the area and the wishes of the residents. Delineation of DCCAs should not be decided rigidly on the basis of population quota, but the district as a whole.	
				(b) The total population of the three DCCAs, namely L15 (Yuet Wu), L16 (Siu Hei) and L17 (Wu King) is 39 406. They should be grouped into two DCCAs.	Item (b) This proposal is **not accepted** because the populations of L15 (Yuet Wu), L16 (Siu Hei) and L17 (Wu King) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required.
5	L02 – Siu Chi L03 – On Ting L04 – Siu Tsui L05 – Yau Oi South L06 – Yau Oi North	1	-	To ensure local integrity and from a long term perspective, proposes to: • transfer Siu On Court from L02 (Siu Chi), where the population is higher than the population quota by about 20%, to L03 (On Ting); • transfer part of Siu Lun Court from L03 (On Ting) to L04 (Siu Tsui); • transfer Tsui Ning Garden from L04 (Siu Tsui) to L06 (Yau Oi North); and	This proposal is **not accepted** because the populations of L02 (Siu Chi), L03 (On Ting), L04 (Siu Tsui), L05 (Yau Oi South) and L06 (Yau Oi North) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				• transfer Oi Ming House and Oi Hei House from L06 (Yau Oi North) to L05 (Yau Oi South).	
6	L07 – Tsui Hing L12– So Kwun Wat L25 – Po Tin L28 – Yan Tin	1	-	Supports the provisional recommendations.	The supporting view is noted.
7	L12 – So Kwun Wat L28 – Yan Tin	-	1	Agrees to create new DCCAs in the areas of So Kwun Wat and Yan Tin Estate.	The supporting view is noted.
8	L12 – So Kwun Wat L13 – Sam Shing L14 – Hanford	7	-	(a) One representation supports the provisional recommendations on L14 (Hanford).	<u>Item (a)</u> The supporting view is noted.
				(b) Three representations propose to transfer Aegean Coast from L14 (Hanford) to L12 (So Kwun Wat) as Aegean Coast is geographically situated in So Kwun Wat.	<u>Item (b)</u> These proposals are **not accepted** because according to the proposal made in the representations, the population of L14 (Hanford) (10 095) will be below the statutory permissible lower limit (-39.18%).

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				(c) One representation proposes to transfer The Bloomsway from L12 (So Kwun Wat) to L14 (Hanford) as the housing estate is geographically closer to L14 (Hanford). If the proposal will result in an insufficient population in L12 (So Kwun Wat), then transfer Aegean Coast from L14 (Hanford) to L12 (So Kwun Wat).	Item (c) This proposal is **not accepted** because if The Bloomsway is transferred from L12 (So Kwun Wat) to L14 (Hanford), the population of L12 (So Kwun Wat) (11 561) will be below the statutory permissible lower limit (-30.35%). If Aegean Coast is also transferred from L14 (Hanford) to L12 (So Kwun Wat), the population of L14 (Hanford) (12 362) will be below the statutory permissible lower limit (-25.53%).
				(d) One representation proposes to transfer four villages (i.e. Tai Lam Chung Tsuen, Luen On San Tsuen, Tai Lam Wong Uk and Tai Lam Wu Uk) from L13 (Sam Shing) to L12 (So Kwun Wat). Reasons are as follows: • makes the populations of L12 (So Kwun Wat) and L13 (Sam Shing) to be closer to the population quota, which helps improve population distribution; • Siu Lam Tsuen, So Kwun Wat Tsuen and Nim Wan Tsuen in L12 (So Kwun Wat) as well as Tai Lam Chung Tsuen and Luen On San Tsuen in L13 (Sam Shing) are all under Tuen Mun Rural Committee.	Item (d) This proposal is **not accepted** because there is no sufficient objective information and justification to prove that the proposal made in the representation is obviously better than the provisional recommendations in terms of preserving community identities and local ties.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				Thus, their residents have similar backgrounds and concerns. The proposal can achieve community integrity and cater for the unique needs of the community; • geographically, Tai Lam Chung Tsuen, Luen On San Tsuen, Tai Lam Wong Uk and Tai Lam Wu Uk as well as So Kwun Wat and Siu Lam, are all located in the north of Castle Peak Road – Tai Lam. Therefore, designating Castle Peak Road – Tai Lam as the DCCA boundary can better tie in with the geographical environment and the physical features of the area; • Tai Lam Chung and Siu Lam belonged to the same DCCA in the past. Residents nearby have similar concerns. Hence, the proposal can preserve the original community ties; and • the proposal only involves changes to two existing DCCAs and has no impact on the major housing estates in the area.	

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				(e) One representation objects to the provisional recommendations on L12 (So Kwun Wat), L13 (Sam Shing) and L14 (Hanford). To even out the populations of these DCCAs and reduce the impact on L14 (Hanford), as well as to take into consideration the preservation of community integrity and local ties, proposes to: • retain the area of Tsing Ying Road (i.e. The Bloomsway and Harrow International School Hong Kong) in L14 (Hanford) so that community integrity and local ties can be preserved. The Bloomsway is close to Seaview Garden and Palm Beach in L14 (Hanford). On the contrary, it is separated from the area of Avignon in L12 (So Kwun Wat) by a small hill and Harrow International School Hong Kong, and therefore there is lack of ties; and • transfer Tai Lam Chung and the villages and residential buildings in the area of Tsing Fat Street in L13 (Sam Shing) to L12 (So Kwun Wat). As L12 (So	<u>Item (e)</u> This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) there is no sufficient objective information and justification to prove that the proposal made in the representation is obviously better than the provisional recommendations in terms of preserving community identities and local ties; and (ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangements on district administration matters or community services provided by DC members are not the relevant factors of consideration.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				Kwun Wat) has many villages, transferring the above villages and residential buildings to L12 (So Kwun Wat) will enable the DC member concerned to focus on the village affairs in the area. In addition, as Tai Lam and Siu Lam are close to each other, and both located in the marginal region of the southeastern Tuen Mun, the integration of both places into one DCCA can preserve community integrity and local ties.	
				(f) One representation considers that following the development of the southeastern part of Tuen Mun, the nature of Sam Shing Estate and other low-rise private residential buildings and the rural area in L13 (Sam Shing) are not similar, and proposes to: • transfer The Bloomsway and Harrow International School Hong Kong from L12 (So Kwun Wat) to L14 (Hanford); • transfer Sam Shing Estate from L13 (Sam Shing) to L14 (Hanford), and Tai Lam Chung to L12 (So Kwun Wat); and • transfer Aegean Coast	<u>Item (f)</u> This proposal is **not accepted** because the delineation recommendations must be based on the population distribution and relevant local factors. It is inevitable that a DCCA is composed of more than one community. Besides, the proposal made in the representation is not obviously better than the provisional recommendations.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				from L14 (Hanford) to L13 (Sam Shing). Rename the latter as "Gold Coast".	
9	L25 – Po Tin L28 – Yan Tin	10	-	(a) Object to the creation of a new DCCA comprising Yan Tin Estate and other villages. Reasons are summarised as follows: • the provisional recommendations have not taken into account the different needs of residents in the public housing estates and villages; • forming a DCCA solely for Yan Tin Estate can effectively safeguard the interests of residents, preserve community harmony and give appropriate assistance to the residents; • the residents of Yan Tin Estate will jeopardise the tranquil environment of Tze Tin Tsuen; • the residents of Tze Tin Tsuen do not like being in the same DCCA with outsiders; • the delineation of DCCAs should not be carried out only on the basis of population size,	<u>Items (a) to (c)</u> These proposals are **not accepted** because: (i) upon receipt of the representations, the EAC conducted site visit and noted that Yan Tin Estate and other villages are very close to each other; (ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on the population distribution and relevant local factors. It is inevitable that a DCCA is composed of more than one community; (iii) arrangements on district administration matters or community services provided by DC members are not the relevant factors of consideration for delineation; and (iv) the EACO stipulates that the EAC is responsible for drawing up the provisional recommendations and to consult the public thereafter. During the consultation period, members of the public can express their views on the

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				but also community integrity and identity; • switching DCCAs causes confusion to the residents; and • before formulating the provisional recommendations, the EAC did not consult the residents who are now forced to accept them.	provisional recommendations through different means. The EAC will consider every representation received during the consultation period objectively before making the final recommendations.
				(b) Nine representations suggest Yan Tin Estate forming an independent DCCA.	
				(c) One representation agrees to transfer Hanison Garden, Grand Villa, San Hing Tsuen, Villa Pinada and Yan Tin Estate to L28 (Yan Tin) but suggests that Tze Tin Tsuen be transferred to L25 (Po Tin) because according to the provisional recommendations, the population of L28 (Yan Tin) is slightly higher than the population quota while that of L25 (Po Tin) is lower than the population quota by 7.04%. Transferring Tze Tin Tsuen to L25 (Po Tin) will bring about a more balanced population distribution between L25 (Po Tin) and L28 (Yan Tin). In addition, Tze Tin Tsuen has always belonged to L25 (Po	

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				Tin). Minimising changes to the DCCA can maintain its stability and facilitate residents' adoption.	
10	L25 – Po Tin L28 – Yan Tin	1	-	Agrees to the provisional recommendations in principle and suggests transferring Kei Lun Wai from L25 (Po Tin) to L28 (Yan Tin) because Kei Lun Wai is geographically closer to Yan Tin Estate than Po Tin Estate. Besides, this can also facilitate the provision of services by DC members.	This proposal is **not accepted** because the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangements on district administration matters or community services provided by DC members are not the relevant factors of consideration.
11	L25 – Po Tin L28 – Yan Tin L29 – Tuen Mun Rural	1	-	Agrees to create a new DCCA in the area of Yan Tin Estate. In order to even out the populations of L25 (Po Tin), L28 (Yan Tin) and L29 (Tuen Mun Rural), proposes to: • transfer Villa Pinada from L28 (Yan Tin) to L25 (Po Tin); and • transfer the area of Tuen Tsz Wai from L29 (Tuen Mun Rural) to L28 (Yan Tin).	This proposal is **not accepted** because the population of L29 (Tuen Mun Rural) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustment to its existing boundary is not required.
12	L28 – Yan Tin L29 – Tuen Mun Rural L30 – Fu Tai	2	-	(a) One representation proposes to re-delineate the boundaries of L28 (Yan Tin), L29 (Tuen Mun Rural), L30 (Fu Tai) and L31 (Prime View) so that community integrity is preserved, small communities are not isolated and the population distribution of each DCCA	<u>Items (a) and (b)</u> These proposals are **not accepted** because the populations of L29 (Tuen Mun Rural), L30 (Fu Tai) and L31 (Prime View) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
	L31 – Prime View			is more balanced. Details are as follows: • transfers Napa Valley, Beneville and South Hill Crest from L31 (Prime View) to L30 (Fu Tai); • transfers Botania Villa and Fuk Hang Tsuen from L30 (Fu Tai) to L29 (Tuen Mun Rural); and • transfers Tuen Tsz Wai and Tsing Chuen Wai from L29 (Tuen Mun Rural) to L28 (Yan Tin).	
				(b) One representation considers that Fu Tai Estate in L30 (Fu Tai) is not closely connected with the rural area in the DCCA. Proposes to transfer the villages of L29 (Tuen Mun Rural) to L28 (Yan Tin) so that L29 (Tuen Mun Rural) has room to absorb the villages of L30 (Fu Tai).	

Appendix II - M

Yuen Long District
Summaries of Written/Oral Representations

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.* *		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
1	All DCCAs	1	-	Proposes to establish the Tin Shui Wai DC.	The proposal made in the representation involves the change of administrative district, which does not fall under the purview of the EAC. The EAC has referred this view to the Government for consideration.
2	All DCCAs	1	-	(a) Supports the provisional recommendations on all DCCAs of the Yuen Long District (except items 2(b) to (d) as mentioned below).	Item (a) The supporting view is noted.
				(b) Holds reservation on the provisional recommendations on M02 (Yuen Long Centre), M07 (Nam Ping), M08 (Pek Long) and M09 (Yuen Long Tung Tau).	Item (b) The view is noted.
				(c) Proposes to rename M09 (Yuen Long Tung Tau) as "Tai Kiu & Tung Tau" to avoid causing confusion to people outside the District.	Item (c) This proposal is **not accepted** because the DCCA name in the provisional recommendations can appropriately reflect the location of the DCCA. Besides, the name proposed in the representation is not obviously better than that in the provisional recommendations.

* W: Number of written representations.
O: Number of oral representations.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No. **		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				(d) Objects to the provisional recommendations on the transfer of three blocks of Lynwood Court to M22 (Kingswood South). Considers that M22 (Kingswood South) and M27 (Kingswood North) are too far away from each other. Proposes to maintain the original boundary of M22 (Kingswood South) and adopts the following to solve the problem of excessive population in M27 (Kingswood North): • transfers Lynwood Court to M26 (Chung Pak) and then transfers Chung Pik House and Chung Shui House of Tin Chung Court from M26 (Chung Pak) to M25 (Chung Wah). If the population of M26 (Chung Pak) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit after the adjustments, transfers Chung Ki House and Chung Wa House of Tin Chung Court to M25 (Chung Wah). • if the population of M26 (Chung Pak) will still exceed the statutory permissible upper limit after the above adjustments, then	<u>Item (d)</u> This proposal is **not accepted** because the number of affected DCCAs under the proposal made in the representation will be three more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under the proposal will be larger, too.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No. **		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				proposes to adjust the boundaries of the following DCCAs concurrently: <u>M23 (Shui Oi)</u> includes the original area and Shui Kwok House and Shui Chuen House of Tin Shui Estate. <u>M24 (Shui Wah)</u> transfers Shui Kwok House and Shui Chuen House of Tin Shui Estate to M23 (Shui Oi), and absorbs Tin Wah Estate in M25 (Chung Wah). <u>M25 (Chung Wah)</u> includes Tin Chung Court. Changes the name of the DCCA to "Tin Chung". <u>M26 (Chung Pak)</u> includes Central Park Towers, Lynwood Court of Kingswood Villas and Kingswood Ginza. Changes the name of the DCCA to "Pak Lai". • if the above proposals are not feasible, proposes to transfer Blocks 1 to 3 of Lynwood Court together with Kingswood Ginza to M26 (Chung Pak).	

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No. **		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				(e) Although the populations of M28 (Yuet Yan), M29 (Ching King), M30 (Fu Yan), M31 (Yat Chak), M32 (Tin Heng) and M33 (Wang Yat) meet the population requirements, based on the total population of the District, the total number of seats for these DCCAs should be one less than the existing number of elected seats. Taking into account the future developments of Areas 112 and 115 in Tin Shui Wai, proposes to create one elected seat for the above DCCAs in the delineation exercise for the 2023 DC Ordinary Election so as to cater for the community needs of Tin Shui Wai.	Item (e) In drawing up the delineation recommendations, the EAC must strictly adhere to the statutory criteria under the EACO and its working principles. The delineation should be made on the basis of the projected populations, existing DCCA boundaries and relevant local factors. The EAC will continue to adhere to the above in future delineation exercises.
3	M01 – Fung Nin M02 – Yuen Long Centre M03 – Fung Cheung M04 – Yuen Lung	1	-	(a) Considers that Grand YOHO should be transferred from M10 (Shap Pat Heung North) to M04 (Yuen Lung) so that same type of residential buildings can be put in the same DCCA to comply with the statutory criterion regarding the preservation of community identities. In addition, proposes to transfer the area in the north of Fung Yau Street North in M04 (Yuen Lung) to M03 (Fung Cheung) because:	Items (a) to (d) These proposals are **not accepted** because**:** (i) the number of affected DCCAs under the proposal made in the representation will be six more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected populations under the proposal will be larger, too; and (ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No. **		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
	M07 – Nam Ping M08 – Pek Long M09 – Yuen Long Tung Tau M10 – Shap Pat Heung North M29 – Ching King M31 – Yat Chak M32 – Tin Heng M33 – Wang Yat			• in the delineation exercise for the 2015 DC Ordinary Election, the construction of Grand YOHO had not yet completed. Hence, the delineation of the area concerned would not have any impact on the DCCA. However, Grand YOHO is now completed for intake; and • Grand YOHO, YOHO Town and YOHO Midtown are under the same residential project of the same developer. The latter two are now in M04 (Yuen Lung) which has a relatively low population. Therefore, Grand YOHO should also be transferred to M04 (Yuen Lung). (b) After the proposed adjustments in item 3(a), to transfer both the buildings, such as The Parcville, separated by Tung Tau Industrial Area and Kwan Lok Sun Tsuen to M10 (Shap Pat Heung North) so as to ease the problem of relatively high populations in M08 (Pek Long) and M02 (Yuen Long Centre).	data of population distribution. Developer of an estate and land use planning of an area are not the relevant factors of consideration.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No. **		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				(c) Points out that many DCCAs in Tin Shui Wai, i.e. M29 (Ching King), M31 (Yat Chak), M32 (Tin Heng), M33 (Wang Yat), etc., have a relatively high population. They are more in need of a new DCCA to improve the problem of excessive population than M02 (Yuen Long Centre), M07 (Nam Ping) and M08 (Pek Long). Therefore, the EAC should create a new DCCA at the above locations in Tin Shui Wai.	
				(d) if a new DCCA will be created at the location of Shap Pat Heung, the EAC should also consider transferring part of the area in the south of Ma Tong Road in M01 (Fung Nin) to other DCCAs in Shap Pat Heung. Reasons are as follows: • the boundary of M01 (Fung Nin) has never stretched beyond Ma Tong Road from 1994 to 2011; • due to the increase of population in Shap Pat Heung, M01 (Fung Nin) has absorbed part of the area in the south of Ma Tong Road since 2011. However, according to the outline zoning plan,	

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No. **		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				the areas in the south and north of Ma Tong Road are clearly having different planning intentions. While the south of Ma Tong Road is mainly zoned for "Village Type Development", the north of Ma Tong Road is zoned for high-density residential (Group A) buildings; and • after the above adjustments, M01 (Fung Nin) and M03 (Fung Cheung) will have room to absorb part of the area in the south of Yuen Long Main Road in M02 (Yuen Long Centre) in order to further ease the situation of having a relatively high population in M02 (Yuen Long Centre).	
4	M03 – Fung Cheung M04 – Yuen Lung M10 – Shap Pat Heung North	1	-	Proposes to transfer Grand YOHO to M04 (Yuen Lung) and transfer the single buildings outside the area of the YOHO Mall from M04 (Yuen Lung) to M03 (Fung Cheung).	This proposal is **not accepted** because the number of affected DCCAs under the proposal made in the representation will be one more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under the proposal will be larger, too.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No. **		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
5	M04 – Yuen Lung M10 – Shap Pat Heung North M11 – Shap Pat Heung East M37 – Kam Tin	1	-	Objects to the provisional recommendations on the new DCCA M10 (Shap Pat Heung North). Proposes to: • merge Long Shin Estate, Shek Tong Tsuen and Tung Shing Lei in M11 (Shap Pat Heung East) with Park YOHO and Riva in M37 (Kam Tin) to form a new DCCA; and • transfer Grand YOHO to M04 (Yuen Lung) so as to group YOHO Town, YOHO Midtown and Sun Yuen Long Centre, which are similar by nature and connected to one another, into the same DCCA in order to highlight the community integrity. The representation considers that the above proposal can maintain the status of M11 (Shap Pat Heung East) and M37 (Kam Tin) for comprising mainly indigenous inhabitants and inhabitants of villages, preserving community integrity, and avoiding to split M11 (Shap Pat Heung East) into two DCCAs. It can also preserve the community ties between Grand YOHO and YOHO Town, YOHO Midtown and Sun Yuen Long Centre, which are connected by footbridge.	This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) according to the proposal made in the representation, the respective populations of the new DCCA and M37 (Kam Tin) will be below the statutory permissible lower limit, which are: New DCCA: 12 214, -26.42% M37: 12 393, -25.34%; and (ii) the number of affected DCCAs under the proposal made in the representation will be one more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under the proposal will be larger, too.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No. **		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
6	M02 – Yuen Long Centre M04 – Yuen Lung M08 – Pek Long M09 – Yuen Long Tung Tau	1	-	Objects to the provisional recommendations on the transfer of the private buildings originally belong to M08 (Pek Long) to M09 (Yuen Long Tung Tau) because the area is a residential area and there is no change on its population and structure. Proposes to: • transfer only the area around Yuen Long On Ning Road in M02 (Yuen Long Centre) to the new DCCA M09 (Yuen Long Tung Tau); or • split M04 (Yuen Lung) into two DCCAs.	This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) the population quota for the 2019 DC Ordinary Election is 16 599, and the statutory permissible range is between 12 449 and 20 749. The population of M04 (Yuen Lung) is 13 761, which is not sufficient for the creation of two DCCAs; and (ii) according to the proposal made in the representation, the population of M09 (Yuen Long Tung Tau) will be about 7 725 only, which will be below the statutory permissible lower limit (-53.46%).
7	M08 – Pek Long M09 – Yuen Long Tung Tau	-	1	Supports the provisional recommendations.	The supporting view is noted.
8	M11 – Shap Pat Heung East M12 – Shap Pat Heung West	2	-	Point out that the population of M11 (Shap Pat Heung East) will be less than that of M12 (Shap Pat Heung West) by about 5 600, and no large housing estates will be completed in M11 (Shap Pat Heung East). On the contrary, there will be population intakes arising from the completion of a large number of small houses in M12	This proposal is **not accepted** because the affected area under the proposal made in the representations will be larger than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population will be larger, too.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No. **		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				(Shap Pat Heung West) in a short period of time so there will be a continuous increase of the population in the DCCA. In view of the above, propose to transfer Hung Tso Tin Tsuen, Shui Tsiu Lo Wai and Nam Hang Tsuen which belong to the same clan in M12 (Shap Pat Heung West) to M11 (Shap Pat Heung East). The representations consider that the proposal has the following advantages: • balances the populations of M11 (Shap Pat Heung East) and M12 (Shap Pat Heung West); • facilitates management and handling of residents' complaints; • will not break the local ties; and • ties in with the rural development in Yuen Long in future.	
9	M13 – Ping Shan South M14 – Hung Fuk M16 – Ping Shan Central	1	-	Considers that the provisional recommendations of putting Hung Fuk Estate alone in the new DCCA M14 (Hung Fuk) has overestimated the population intake in Hung Fuk Estate next year. Proposes to transfer part of the area in the adjacent M13 (Ping Shan South) to M14 (Hung Fuk) so as to balance the populations of M13 (Ping Shan South), M14	This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) the affected area under the proposal made in the representation will be larger than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population will be larger, too; and

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No. **		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				(Hung Fuk) and M16 (Ping Shan Central).	(ii) the projected population figures as at 30 June 2019 are used for the delineation exercise for the 2019 DC Ordinary Election. As in the past, the projected population figures are provided by the AHSG, set up specially for the purpose of the delineation exercise under the Working Group on Population Distribution Projection in the PlanD. The current population distribution projections are derived by using scientific and systematic methodology based on the results of the 2016 population by-census carried out by the C&SD as well as the up-to-date official data kept by the relevant government departments. Members of the AHSG are all professional departments which all along have been responsible for territory-wide population census and projections on population distribution. They possess the most up-to-date information on the population and land and housing development, and the data are highly-accepted generally. The EAC has all along relied on the statistical figures provided by the AHSG, which are the only

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No. **		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
					data available for the delineation exercise.
10	M14 – Hung Fuk M16 – Ping Shan Central	2	-	Object to the provisional recommendations on the transfer of the permitted burial ground (No. YL54) for the indigenous inhabitants in Shek Po Tsuen, Fui Sha Wai, Hung Uk Tsuen and Kiu Tau Wai of Ping Shan in M16 (Ping Shan Central) to M14 (Hung Fuk). Reasons are as follows: • from a historical perspective, the burial ground has all along been within the village boundaries of Hung Uk Tsuen in M16 (Ping Shan Central). From the aspects of historical connection, networking, culture and lifestyle, the burial ground has an inseparable relationship with the village; • from a humanistic perspective, Hung Fuk Estate is a newly completed public housing estate while the burial ground has been the funeral area for the indigenous inhabitants of Hung Uk Tsuen for hundred years. There is no relationship between the burial ground and the residents of Hung Fuk Estate. To transfer the burial ground for indigenous inhabitants to M14 (Hung Fuk) will increase the	These representations are **accepted**. As confirmed with the LandsD, the area mentioned by the representations is the burial ground of Shek Po Tsuen, Fui Sha Wai, Hung Uk Tsuen and Kiu Tau Wai in M16 (Ping Shan Central). In view of this, the EAC agrees to make a technical adjustment to the boundaries of the DCCAs concerned to transfer the burial ground (No. YL54) to M16 (Ping Shan Central) according to the proposal made in the representations. The adjustment does not involve any population.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No. **		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				chance of conflicts between the indigenous inhabitants and residents of the public housing estate; and • as for the geographical setting, Hung Tin Road has eight traffic lanes (dual carriageway with traffic southbound and northbound for both flyover and at-grade road). Hung Tin Road happens to separate Hung Uk Tsuen from Hung Fuk Estate, where residents and villagers live in two separate areas with different cultures. If the burial ground is transferred to another DCCA in future, residents of that DCCA may put forth development and zoning proposals or even request removing or relocating the burial ground. This will seriously jeopardise and deprive the traditional rights and interests of the indigenous inhabitants of Hung Uk Tsuen.	
11	M14 – Hung Fuk M16 – Ping Shan Central M17 – Shing Yan	1	-	Objects to the provisional recommendations on the new DCCA M17 (Shing Yan) since Tin Shing Court is included in "Tin Shui Wai Outline Zoning Plan" while Ping Yan Court is not. The delineation of M17 (Shing Yan) is therefore unable to preserve the local ties of the places concerned and will impede the infrastructure planning of Tin Shui Wai or	These proposal are **accepted** because: (i) based on the 2015 original DCCA boundary, the population of M18 (Tin Shing) (21 055) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+26.84%); (ii) according to the proposal,

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No. **		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
	M18 – Tin Shing M31 – Yat Chak M32 – Tin Heng M33 – Wang Yat			Ping Shan, and the future Hung Shui Kiu New Development Area, etc. Proposes to: • maintain the original boundary of M18 (Tin Shing); and • include Ping Yan Court and Hung Fuk Estate in the same DCCA as they are geographically close and both under Ping Shan and the future Hung Shui Kiu New Development Area. Changes the name of the DCCA to "Hung Yan". • in addition, as the populations of M31 (Yat Chak) and M32 (Tin Heng) are close to the statutory permissible upper limit, create a new DCCA in the relevant areas. Details are as follows: <u>New DCCA "Tin Heng"</u> includes most of Tin Heng Estate. <u>M31 (Yat Chak)</u> includes Tin Yat Estate and part of Tin Chak Estate. <u>M32 (Tin Heng)</u> includes a small part of Tin Heng Estate and half of Tin Chak Estate. Changes the name of the DCCA to "Heng Chak". or	the population of the DCCA "Hung Yan" (21 017) (area including Ping Yan Court, Hung Fuk Estate as well as Kiu Tau Wai, Shek Po Tsuen and Hung Uk Tsuen in between) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+26.62%); (iii) the populations of M31 (Yat Chak), M32 (Tin Heng) and M33 (Wang Yat) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required; and (iv) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of the population distribution. Local development in future is not the relevant factor of consideration.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No. **		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				• taking into account the local ties, split M31 (Yat Chak), M32 (Tin Heng) and M33 (Wang Yat) into four DCCAs. Details are as follows: <u>New DCCA</u> includes most of Tin Heng Estate. <u>M31 (Yat Chak)</u> includes Tin Yat Estate. <u>M32 (Tin Heng)</u> includes a small part of Tin Heng Estate and Tin Chak Estate. <u>M33 (Wang Yat)</u> includes Grandeur Terrace.	
12	M17 – Shing Yan M18 – Tin Shing	22	1	Support the provisional recommendations.	The supporting view is noted.
13	M16 – Ping Shan Central M17 – Shing Yan M18 – Tin Shing	1279^	3	(a) Object to splitting Tin Shing Court into M18 (Tin Shing) and M17 (Shing Yan) and request retaining the whole Tin Shing Court in M18 (Tin Shing). Reasons are summarised as follows: • Tin Shing Court has been occupied for 18	<u>Item (a)</u> This proposal is **not accepted**. In each DC delineation exercise, the EAC will review the boundaries of the DCCAs which were allowed to deviate from the permissible range in the past. If there are changes in the objective circumstances of those DCCAs allowed to depart from the permissible

^ Of which, 1 272 are template letters and one representation contains 1 756 signatures from the public.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No. **		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				years and its owners' corporation ("OC") has been established for more than 10 years. The housing estate has become an entity with its own community identities and local ties. Splitting Tin Shing Court into two DCCAs will cause confusion to the residents, create division and lead to conflicts and arguments. This will affect the OC's management, waste local resources and increase the administrative burden of the housing estate; • one DC member in M18 (Tin Shing) is enough in terms of the provision of community services and resources. There is no need to increase the number of elected seats in the area. The resources should be deployed to meet the needs of other DCCAs; • retaining the whole of Tin Shing Court in the same DCCA will allow the DC member to play the role as a bridge between residents and the Government; • Ping Yan Court should	range in the past, such as the addition of new seats within the administrative districts or there is room for adjustment in the adjacent DCCAs, the EAC will appropriately adjust their boundaries in light of the actual situations. Only under special circumstances, having regard to the community identities, traditional close local ties or unique geographical environment, consider it necessary to depart from strict adherence to the requirement of the statutory permissible range, the EAC will propose the population of a DCCA be allowed to depart from the permissible range according to the statutory criteria. Given the statutory permissible upper limit, it is not uncommon to divide a large-scale public or private housing estate into different DCCAs. Actually, such situation happened in the past DC delineation exercises. In 2015, the population of M18 (Tin Shing) exceeded the statutory permissible upper limit, however, having considered that there was no new DCCA and no suitable option for adjustments, the EAC allowed the population of M18 (Tin Shing) to depart from the statutory permissible range.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No. **		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				have a dedicated DC member who will be responsible for helping residents learn about and adapt to the community life; and • it is generally regarded that West Rail Tin Shui Wai Station divides Tin Shui Wai in the north from Yuen Long in the south. As M17 (Shing Yan) straddles both the northern and southern areas, residents of Ping Yan Court will not be able to identify whether they are residents of Tin Shui Wai or Yuen Long.	For the present delineation exercise, taking into account that the new DCCA M17 (Shing Yan) has enough room to absorb part of the population of adjacent M18 (Tin Shing) to solve the latter's problem of having a population in excess of the statutory permissible upper limit, the EAC proposed to transfer five blocks of buildings in M18 (Tin Shing) to M17 (Shing Yan). Besides, according to the proposal made in the representations, the populations of both M17 (Shing Yan) and M18 (Tin Shing) will deviate from the statutory permissible range, which are: M17: 6 126, -63.09% M18: 21 055, +26.84% Regarding the other issues as mentioned in the representations, the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of the population distribution. Arrangements on district administration matters or community services provided by DC members are not the relevant factors of consideration.
				(b) One representation states that the population of M18 (Tin Shing) has always exceeded the statutory permissible upper limit.	<u>Item (b)</u> This proposal is **not accepted** because based on the 2015 original DCCA boundary, the population of M18 (Tin Shing)

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No. **		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				To avoid splitting Tin Shing Court, proposes to put the whole Tin Shing Court and Ping Yan Court in M18 (Tin Shing) so that the DC member concerned could serve the residents of both Tin Shing Court and Ping Yan Court.	(21 055) has exceeded the statutory permissible upper limit (+26.84%). Delineating Ping Yan Court to M18 (Tin Shing) will make the population of the DCCA further exceed from the statutory permissible upper limit.
				(c) One representation states that the details of the provisional recommendations have been made known to a political party which has started distributing promotional leaflets in the area of the new DCCA before the provisional recommendations were made public. The representation queries the source of information of that political party.	Item (c) Throughout the whole process of drawing up the provisional recommendations, confidentiality is preserved, including the consultation with the DOs and communication with other working partners. Before the provisional recommendations are made public, the EAC would not consult any members of the local community, nor would it disclose any details of the delineation to any organisations or individuals beyond its working partners. The EAC believes that all parties taking part in the exercise will abide by the principle of confidentiality and will not divulge the information to any other parties. Follow-up actions would be taken seriously if the EAC receives any complaint supported by substantive evidence.
				(d) One representation proposes to transfer the villages in the area of Kiu Tau Wai in M16 (Ping Shan	Item (d) This proposal is **not accepted** because according to the proposal, the population of

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No. **		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				Central) to M17 (Shing Yan) so as to preserve the ties between M17 (Shing Yan) and its surrounding areas.	M17 (Shing Yan) (6 484) will be below the statutory permissible lower limit (-60.94%).
14	M19 – Tin Yiu M20 – Yiu Yau M21 – Tsz Yau	1	-	In order to balance the populations of the DCCAs and for the sake of long-term management of the housing estates, as well as to allow the public to identify the geographical locations of M19 (Tin Yiu), M20 (Yiu Yau) and M21 (Tsz Yau) more easily, proposes to: • transfer Yau Tai House of Tin Yau Court in M20 (Yiu Yau) to M21 (Tsz Yau) and change the name of the DCCA to "Tin Yiu North"; and • transfer Yiu Lung House of Tin Yiu Estate in M19 (Tin Yiu) to M20 (Yiu Yau) and change the name of the DCCA to "Tin Yiu South".	This proposal is **not accepted** because the populations of M19 (Tin Yiu), M20 (Yiu Yau) and M21 (Tsz Yau) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required.
15	M22 – Kingswood South M27 – Kingswood North	884[#]	-	Object to the provisional recommendations on the transfer of Blocks 1 to 3 of Lynwood Court and Fortune Kingswood to M22 (Kingswood South). Request maintaining the original boundaries of M22 (Kingswood South) and M27 (Kingswood North). Reasons are summarised as follows:	This proposal is **accepted**. In reviewing the boundaries of the DCCAs, it is a statutory requirement that the EAC has to examine the existing boundaries of DCCAs on the basis of the population of individual DCCAs in the year in which the relevant election would be held, and appropriately adjust the

[#] Of which, 873 are template letters.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No. **		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				• the environments and populations of the housing estates in M22 (Kingswood South) and M27 (Kingswood North) basically do not have much changes. Since the residents started to move-in in the 1990s, these two DCCAs have become two independent and inseparable communities of their own. The provisional recommendations will break the community integrities of the housing estates in M22 (Kingswood South) and M27 (Kingswood North); • since 1999, all buildings of the housing estates in M22 (Kingswood South) and M27 (Kingswood North) have been delineated in their respective DCCAs; • Lynwood Court is a unified and inseparable housing estate. Each block has ties with one another; • in order to balance the populations of the DCCAs, the EAC has adopted an impersonalised approach failing to assess the actual environment and ignoring the interests of the residents. The EAC should reduce the inconvenience caused to the residents arising from boundary adjustments;	boundaries of those DCCAs with projected population exceeding the permissible upper or lower limits with a view to ensuring that their projected populations will fall within the statutory permissible range. In the 2015 DC delineation exercise, the EAC's provisional recommendations proposed to allow the population of M27 (Kingswood North) (23 223) to exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+36.90%). During the then public consultation period, the EAC received a number of representations expressing that the EAC should adjust the boundaries of the DCCA or create a new DCCA in the area concerned in accordance with the statutory criteria. However, taking into account the community integrity and geographical factors, the EAC considered that as no apparently acceptable option was given, it did not accept the views made in the representations at that time. In drawing up the provisional recommendations in the present delineation exercise, in response to the representations received in the last exercise as mentioned above, the EAC reviewed the situation in M27 (Kingswood North) afresh. Having considered that

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No. **		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				• Sherwood Court, Chestwood Court, Locwood Court in M22 (Kingswood South) are geographically very far away from Maywood Court, Lynwood Court, Kenswood Court in M27 (Kingswood North). It takes approximately 20 minutes of walking. Besides, they are separated by the large Tin Shui Wai Park. It takes 30 minutes for the residents of Blocks 1 to 3 of Lynwood Court in M27 (Kingswood North) to get to the polling station in M22 (Kingswood South). This will reduce residents' desire to vote significantly; • Lynwood Court of Kingswood Villas comprises 10 blocks of residential properties and 416 parking spaces. In accordance with the Deed of Mutual Covenant of the housing estate, each block should have two representatives to participate in the work of the owners' committee. Therefore, each block has ties with one another. In addition, splitting a housing estate that only has 10 blocks of residential buildings into two different DCCAs will not only cause confusion to the administration and management of the housing	community integrity is not the sole and absolute factor of consideration and the buildings in M27 (Kingswood North) and M22 (Kingswood South) are all under Kingswood Villa, the EAC proposed to transfer some buildings in M27 (Kingswood North) to M22 (Kingswood South) so that the population of M27 (Kingswood North) will fall within the statutory permissible range. During the present public consultation period, the EAC received a large number of representations stating that M22 (Kingswood South) and M27 (Kingswood North) are separated by the large Tin Shui Wai Park. After site visit, the EAC notes that the area of Tin Shui Wai Park is rather large separating M22 (Kingswood South) and M27 (Kingswood North) into two independent DCCAs. After careful consideration of the extent of geographical barriers and in the absence of other feasible alternatives, the EAC agrees to the proposal made in the representations to keep the original boundaries of M22 (Kingswood South) and M27 (Kingswood North) unchanged, and allow the population of M27 (Kingswood North) (22 036) to exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+32.75%).

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No. **		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				estate, but also to the residents who will find it hard to reflect their views on livelihood matters to their respective DC members; • the EAC cannot adjust the DCCA boundaries solely for strict compliance with the statutory permissible range without taking heed of the demands of the residents in the southern and northern DCCAs of Kingswood Villas in respect of the issues on traffic, park and leisure facilities in their respective DCCAs, e.g. currently they have different bus and light rail routes; • the transfer of Blocks 1 to 3 of Lynwood Court in M27 (Kingswood North) to M22 (Kingswood South) will cause confusion to the administration and management of the housing estate and local residents as well as divide the residents. This will be unfavourable to the development of a harmonious community and will make it difficult for the residents to identify their respective DC members. As a result, the residents' wishes cannot be properly reflected to the DC; • there are only around 2 000 units in Lynwood Court.	According to the proposal made in the representations, the respective populations of M22 (Kingswood South) and M27 (Kingswood North) are: M22: 16 712, +0.68% M27: 22 036, +32.75% Regarding the other issues as mentioned in the representations, the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of the population distribution. Arrangements on district administration matters or community services provided by DC members are not the relevant factors of consideration.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No. **		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				If they are served by two DC members, it will result in a waste of resources. In addition, when there are conflicts, the DC member of M22 (Kingswood South) will inevitably first take care of the interests of the residents of Sherwood Court, Chestwood Court and Locwood Court at the expense of the needs of the minority group of residents in Blocks 1 to 3 of Lynwood Court. Furthermore, if the elected DC members of M22 (Kingswood South) and M27 (Kingswood North) have different political stances or ideas, it will inevitably lead to polarisation of Lynwood Court and bring the residents into a political dilemma; and • query that the provisional recommendations may have political consideration and criticise that compared with the DC members, tasking the EAC to deal with the delineation exercise is like "laymen regulating professionals".	
16	M22 – Kingswood South M26 – Chung Pak	1	-	Objects to the transfer of Blocks 1 to 3 of Lynwood Court to M22 (Kingswood South) which are separated by Tin Shui Wai Park. Considers that the population of M27	Please see item 15.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No. **		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
	M27 – Kingswood North			(Kingswood North) should be allowed to exceed the statutory permissible upper limit. If there is a need for re-delineation, proposes to transfer Blocks 1 to 3 of Lynwood Court to M26 (Chung Pak) which has a certain number of private housing estates.	
17	M22 – Kingswood South M23 – Shui Oi M24 – Shui Wah M25 – Chung Wah M26 – Chung Pak M27 – Kingswood North	2	-	Point out that a number of public and private residential buildings have been completed one by one in Tin Shui Wai and the populations of the relevant residential projects after completion may not match with the figures in the delineation exercise. Besides, the EAC's working principle of giving priority to that with the least adjustments would not solve the problem of splitting residential estates but would further divide the DCCAs. One representation objects to the provisional recommendations on the transfer of three blocks of Lynwood Court to M22 (Kingswood South) and considers that M22 (Kingswood South) is separated from M27 (Kingswood North) by the large Tin Shui Wai Park. The representation proposes to re-delineate the boundaries of the following DCCAs and change their names:	This proposals is **not accepted** because: (i) in drawing up the delineation recommendations, the EAC must strictly adhere to the statutory criteria under the EACO and its working principles. The delineation should be made on the basis of the projected populations, existing DCCA boundaries and relevant local factors. The EAC will continue to adhere to the above in future delineation exercises. (ii) the number of affected DCCAs under the proposal made in the representations will be four more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under the proposal will be larger, too.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No. **		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				M22 (Kingswood South) includes Tin Oi Court, Locwood Court and Sherwood Court. M23 (Shui Oi) includes most part of Tin Shui Estate. Changes the name of the DCCA to "Tin Shui". Another representation specifically proposes to transfer Shui Kwok House and Shui Chuen House of Tin Shui Estate in M24 (Shui Wah) to M23 (Shui Oi). M24 (Shui Wah) includes Tin Wah Estate and a small part of the buildings of Tin Shui Estate. M25 (Chung Wah) includes Tin Chung Court. Changes the name of the DCCA to "Tin Chung". M26 (Chung Pak) includes Lynwood Court, Chestwood Court, Central Park Towers, Central Park Towers II, Harbour Plaza Resort City and Tin Shui Wai Park. Changes the name of the DCCA to "Ga Pak" or "Kingswood Central". M27 (Kingswood North) includes Maywood Court and Kenswood Court.	

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No. **		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
18	M23 – Shui Oi M24 – Shui Wah	1	-	Proposes to transfer Shui Sum House of Tin Shui Estate in M23 (Shui Oi) to M24 (Shui Wah) because: • the polling station at T.W.G.Hs. Yiu Dak Chi Memorial Primary School in M24 (Shui Wah) is more convenient to electors and will raise their desire to vote; and • the population distribution in M23 (Shui Oi) and M24 (Shui Wah) is unjust. While there are nine buildings in M23 (Shui Oi), there are only seven buildings in M24 (Shui Wah).	This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) the populations of M23 (Shui Oi) and M24 (Shui Wah) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required; and (ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of the population distribution. Arrangement on polling stations is not the relevant factor of consideration. The EAC has referred this view to the REO for consideration.
19	M35 – Fairview Park M36 – San Tin M38 – Pat Heung North M39 – Pat Heung South	-	1	States that the boundaries of two sets of DCCAs, namely M35 (Fairview Park) and M36 (San Tin), as well as M38 (Pat Heung North) and M39 (Pat Heung South), are undesirable because both have a piece of farmland sticking out.	This representation is **not accepted** because the delineation of these two sets of DCCAs is based on their boundaries as Existing Villages. The area between M35 (Fairview Park) and M36 (San Tin) involves the Existing Village of Wo Shang Wai while that between M38 (Pat Heung North) and M39 (Pat Heung South) involves the Existing Village of Ng Ka Tsuen.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No. **		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
20	M36 – San Tin M37 – Kam Tin	2[%]	-	Object to the transfer of Mo Fan Heung in M37 (Kam Tin) to M36 (San Tin). Request retaining Mo Fan Heung in M37 (Kam Tin). Reasons are as follows: • Mo Fan Heung is one of the villages under the purview of the Kam Tin Rural Committee. It does not have any regular ties with San Tin; • Mo Fan Heung, Wah Shing Tsuen, Fung Kat Heung and Wing Kei Tsuen in Kam Tin have formed the "Four Villages Association" since 1973 to work for the shared interests of the four villages. If Mo Fan Heung is transferred from Kam Tin to M36 (San Tin), it is worried that the elected DC member will put more efforts for the interests of San Tin, especially for the minor works in the area. Besides, community problems may also be politicised; • since the establishment of the DC, the six rural committees of Yuen Long have had their respective DCCAs and each rural committee has its own village boundary. There	This proposal is **accepted**. In accordance with the EACO, apart from taking into account the projected population, the EAC must also have regard to the other statutory factors, including community identities, preservation of local ties and physical features (such as the size, shape, accessibility and development) of the area concerned. In drawing up the provisional recommendations, the EAC noted that Mo Fan Heung is geographically contiguous to M36 (San Tin). Therefore, the EAC proposed to transfer Mo Fan Heung from M37 (Kam Tin) to M36 (San Tin) so that the population of M37 (Kam Tin) will fall within the statutory permissible range. Taking into account the fact provided by the representations that Mo Fan Heung is under the purview of the Kam Tin Rural Committee and they have traditional village ties, the EAC considers not appropriate to transfer the village to a DCCA which is under the purview of another rural committee. Given there is no other feasible alternative option, the EAC agrees to retain Mo Fan Heung in M37 (Kam Tin) and allows the population of M37 (Kam

[%] Of which, one representation contains 164 signatures from the public.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No. **		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				has not been a cross-village representation among them. Historically, Mo Fan Heung has been included in Kam Tin; • Mo Fan Heung has always belonged to Kam Tin. Mo Fan Heung Residents Association has always maintained close ties with the Kam Tin Rural Committee and the elected DC member of M37 (Kam Tin) to make collaborative efforts in improving the environment of the area and helping villagers to solve a lot of local problems in the area. If the matters of Mo Fan Heung are handled by a DC member outside Kam Tin, different views or unnecessary arguments and conflicts may easily arise when handling rural affairs and the residents' interests may even be undermined; • current registered address of the residents concerned is Kam Tin Mo Fan Heung. They may be required to change their registered address due to the change of their DCCA, which will cause inconvenience to them; • most of the eligible electors of Mo Fan Heung are elderlies who are unable to go to M36 (San Tin) to vote	Tin) to slightly exceed the statutory permissible upper limit. According to the proposal made in the representations, the respective populations of M36 (San Tin) and M37 (Kam Tin) are: M36: 19 617, +18.18% M37: 20 792, +25.26% Regarding the other issues as mentioned in the representations, the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of the population distribution. Arrangements on district administration matters or community services provided by DC members are not the relevant factors of consideration.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No. **		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				in the election; and • as for geographical consideration, Mo Fan Heung, Wah Shing Tsuen and Fung Kat Heung are all located in the east of San Tin Highway. Incoming traffic to the villages has to pass through Fung Kat Heung Road. The geographical connection among the villages has greatly facilitated their frequent contacts and built up an integrated village community. If Mo Fan Heung is detached from the DCCA "Kam Tin", it will not only break the community integrity, but also greatly undermine the local ties among the areas.	
21	M38 – Pat Heung North M39 – Pat Heung South	3	-	Support the transfer of Ng Ka Tsuen to M38 (Pat Heung North) because: • it helps balance the ever-increasing population of M39 (Pat Heung South); and • the provisional recommendations can run through the southern and northern parts of Pat Heung, enabling the DC to collect more accurately views of the residents concerned on the policies, e.g. transport that will affect the whole of Pat Heung.	The supporting view is noted.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No. **		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
22	M38 – Pat Heung North M39 – Pat Heung South	2	-	Object to the transfer of Ng Ka Tsuen and Kong Ha Wai to M38 (Pat Heung North) because: • M38 (Pat Heung North) and M39 (Pat Heung South) have all along been clearly delineated by Kam Tin River. The provisional recommendations will cause confusion to the villagers of Ng Ka Tsuen and Kong Ha Wai in M38 (Pat Heung North) and reduce their desire to vote; • the entire section of Kam Sheung Road running from Ng Ka Tsuen to Sheung Tsuen is the main road in Pat Heung South. Village representatives and villagers in the area along Kam Sheung Road running from Ng Ka Tsuen to Sheung Tsuen unanimously request widening Kam Sheung Road. If Ng Ka Tsuen is transferred to M38 (Pat Heung North), it will weaken their grounds for the request; • the population of M39 (Pat Heung South) in 2019 is 20 545, which still will not exceed the statutory limits (i.e. within ±25% deviation from the population quota of 16 599); • the need for re-delineation	These representations are **not accepted** because**:** (i) according to the proposal made in the representations, the population of M39 (Pat Heung South) (21 132) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+27.31%); (ii) the projected population figures as at 30 June 2019 are used for the delineation exercise for the 2019 DC Ordinary Election. As in the past, the projected population figures are provided by the AHSG, set up specially for the purpose of the delineation exercise under the Working Group on Population Distribution Projection in the PlanD. The current population distribution projections are derived by using scientific and systematic methodology based on the results of the 2016 population by-census carried out by the C&SD as well as the up-to-date official data kept by the relevant government departments. Members of the AHSG are all professional departments which all along have been responsible for territory-wide population

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No. **		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				is queried as there are no additional elected seats and the populations of both the southern and northern parts of the area have not become closer after re-delineation; and • after the land resumption incident in 2017, Ng Ka Tsuen has remained vacant. The accuracy of the relevant population figures is doubtful.	census and projections on population distribution. They possess the most up-to-date information on the population and land and housing development, and the data are highly-accepted generally. The EAC has all along relied on the statistical figures provided by the AHSG, which are the only data available for the delineation exercise; (iii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of the population distribution. Arrangement on district administration matters is not the relevant factor of consideration; and (iv) there is a view supporting the provisional recommendations (please see item 21).

Appendix II - N

North District

Summaries of Written/Oral Representations

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's views*
		W	*O*		
1	All DCCAs	1	-	Has no adverse comments on the provisional recommendations on all DCCAs of the North District.	The view is noted.
2	All DCCAs	1	-	(a) Supports the provisional recommendations on N03 (Cheung Wah), N04 (Wah Do), N05 (Wah Ming), N06 (Yan Shing), N07 (Fanling South), N08 (Shing Fuk), N09 (Ching Ho), N16 (Sha Ta) and N18 (Queen's Hill) as they have taken into account the community integrity and population distribution, which are more feasible.	Item (a) The supporting view is noted.
				(b) Holds reservation on the provisional recommendations on N01 (Luen Wo Hui), N02 (Fanling Town), N14 (Tin Ping West) and N17 (Tin Ping East). Although the provisional recommendations are in line with the statutory criteria on population, they do not reduce the population difference among the DCCAs. To even out the populations of the DCCAs concerned, it proposes to	Item (b) The view is noted. In drawing up the provisional recommendations, the EAC has strictly adhered to the statutory criteria under the EACO and its working principles. The recommendations were made on the basis of the projected populations, existing DCCA boundaries and relevant local factors. The EAC will continue to adhere to the above in future delineation exercises.

* W: Number of written representations.
O : Number of oral representations.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's views*
		W	*O*		
				form a separate DCCA in 2023 only comprising Tin Ping Estate, while the remaining parts of N14 (Tin Ping West) and N17 (Tin Ping East) together with the housing estates in N01 (Luen Wo Hui) to form another DCCA and be renamed as "Fanling North".	
				(c) Objects to the provisional recommendations on N10 (Yu Tai), N11 (Sheung Shui Rural), N12 (Choi Yuen), N13 (Shek Wu Hui) and N15 (Fung Tsui). Although they are in line with the statutory criteria on population, the undesirable situation of having DCCAs spanning the north and south of the East Rail Line persists. The following adjustments are proposed: • transfers the area in the east of Fan Kam Road from N11 (Sheung Shui Rural) to N10 (Yu Tai) because the areas around Ying Pun and Lin Tong Mei rely on Fan Kam Road as the access; and • transfers Tsung Pak Long (and Tai Tau Leng) from N11 (Sheung Shui Rural) to N12 (Choi Yuen), and maintains the 2015 original DCCA boundaries of N13 (Shek	<u>Item (c)</u> This proposal is **not accepted**. The EAC agrees that the proposal made in the representation will make the population of N11 (Sheung Shui Rural) fall within the statutory permissible range. However, after balancing the relevant factors, the EAC considers that the provisional recommendations are more desirable than the proposal made in the representation because: (i) the proposal made in the representation will split Ying Pun, an Existing Village, into N10 (Yu Tai) and N11 (Sheung Shui Rural), which will break the traditional community ties of the village; (ii) based on the 2015 original DCCA boundaries, the population of N15 (Fung Tsui) (15 997) will be below the population quota (-3.63%) and that of

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's views*
		W	*O*		
				Wu Hui) and N15 (Fung Tsui) so as to reflect the fact that the residents of Tsung Pak Long use the community facilities of Choi Yuen Estate and to reduce the population difference between N12 (Choi Yuen) and other DCCAs.	N12 (Choi Yuen) (17 222) will exceed the population quota (+3.75%). Therefore, it is more desirable to transfer Tsung Pak Long and Tai Tau Leng to N15 (Fung Tsui) to reduce the population deviation of N11 (Sheung Shui Rural) from the population quota; and (iii) there is no sufficient objective information and justification to prove that the proposal made in the representation is obviously better than the provisional recommendations in terms of preserving local ties, geographical factors and transport.
3	N01 – Luen Wo Hui N02 – Fanling Town N03 – Cheung Wah N07 – Fanling South N10 – Yu Tai N11 –	1	-	Considers that the provisional recommendations only make minor changes, which would affect community integrity and make the boundaries of the DCCAs even more criss-crossing. They are also of little help in narrowing down the population difference among the DCCAs. It proposes to make adjustments to the DCCAs concerned on a holistic basis. Details are as follows: <u>N01 (Luen Wo Hui)</u> transfers Wing Fok Centre, Wing Fai Centre, Regentville and Grand Regentville to N17 (Tin Ping East), and absorbs	This proposal is **not accepted** because the number of affected DCCAs under such proposal will be five more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under the proposal will be larger, too.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's views*
		W	*O*		
	Sheung Shui Rural N13 – Shek Wu Hui N14 – Tin Ping West N15 – Fung Tsui N17 – Tin Ping East N18 – Queen's Hill			Fanling Wai and other villages in N02 (Fanling Town). <u>N02 (Fanling Town)</u> comprises Fanling Town Centre, Fanling Centre, Avon Park and Dawning Views. <u>N07 (Fanling South)</u> comprises the Residential (Group B) development at Kat Cheung Crescent, government quarters at Po Wing Road, Tai Ping Estate and Yuk Po Court. The name of the DCCA is to be discussed. <u>N10 (Yu Tai)</u> transfers Tai Ping Estate to N07 (Fanling South), and absorbs the land for Residential (Group C) development and village-type development at Kai Leng in the south of Pak Wo Road in N07 (Fanling South), as well as the villages in Kwu Tung South located in the southern part of N11 (Sheung Shui Rural) where the residents use Fan Kam Road as their main access instead of by way of the centre of Kwu Tung in the north. <u>N14 (Tin Ping West)</u> comprises Tin Ping Estate and On Shing Court as the total population of these two housing estates has fallen to the level of a DCCA, and be renamed as "Tin Ping".	

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's views*
		W	*O*		
				N15 (Fung Tsui) absorbs the areas in the south of River Indus, and the north of Ma Sik Road and Tin Ping Road located in N14 (Tin Ping West) and N17 (Tin Ping East) because these areas and Tin Ping Shan Tsuen in N15 (Fung Tsui) are in the Fanling North Development Area. If N15 (Fung Tsui) cannot completely absorb the above areas due to excessive population, the EAC may consider letting part of the areas be absorbed by N01 (Luen Wo Hui) or N18 (Queen's Hill). N17 (Tin Ping East) comprises Wing Fok Centre, Wing Fai Centre, Regentville, Grand Regentville, Belair Monte and Green Code and be renamed as "Luen Wo North".	
4	N05 – Wah Ming N06 – Yan Shing	-	1	Proposes to transfer Fai Ming Estate, which will be ready for occupation in 2019, from N06 (Yan Shing) to N05 (Wah Ming).	This proposal is **not accepted** because the populations of N05 (Wah Ming) and N06 (Yan Shing) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required.
5	N06 – Yan Shing N10 – Yu Tai N11 – Sheung	1	-	Agrees with the provisional recommendations on N10 (Yu Tai), N11 (Sheung Shui Rural), N13 (Shek Wu Hui) and N15 (Fung Tsui). However, in the long run, as the populations of the above DCCAs and N06 (Yan Shing) are expected to exceed 18 500, the EAC should	The supporting view is noted. In drawing up the delineation recommendations, the EAC must strictly adhere to the statutory criteria under the EACO and its working principles. The delineation should be made on the basis of the projected populations,

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's views*
		W	*O*		
	Shui Rural N13 – Shek Wu Hui N15 – Fung Tsui			consider re-delineating the DCCAs concerned or creating an additional DCCA.	existing DCCA boundaries and relevant local factors. The EAC will continue to adhere to the above in future delineation exercises.
6	N10 – Yu Tai N15 – Fung Tsui	1	-	Proposes to transfer Golf Parkview from N10 (Yu Tai) to N15 (Fung Tsui) because it is farther away from Cheung Lung Wai Estate in N10 (Yu Tai) than from Tsung Pak Long in N15 (Fung Tsui).	This proposal is **not accepted** because the populations of N10 (Yu Tai) and N15 (Fung Tsui) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required. Based on the 2015 original DCCA boundary, the population of N11 (Sheung Shui Rural) (24 075) will substantially exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+45.04%), adjustments to the boundaries of N10 (Yu Tai) and N15 (Fung Tsui) are therefore proposed under the provisional recommendations so as to absorb part of the population of N11 (Sheung Shui Rural).
7	N11 – Sheung Shui Rural N15 – Fung Tsui	2	-	Object to the provisional recommendations on N11 (Sheung Shui Rural). Reasons are summarised as follows: • Tai Tau Leng and Tsung Pak Long have close ties with other villages in N11 (Sheung Shui Rural). The	These representations are **not accepted** because if the DCCA boundary of N11 (Sheung Shui Rural) remains unchanged, the population of the DCCA (24 075) will substantially exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+45.04%).

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's views*
		W	*O*		
				proposed transfer of these two villages to N15 (Fung Tsui) will break the ties among the villages; and • the proposed adjustment to the DCCA boundary of N11 (Sheung Shui Rural) will undermine the integrity and cohesion of the Sheung Shui rural community.	
8	N11 – Sheung Shui Rural N14 – Tin Ping West N15 – Fung Tsui N17 – Tin Ping East	2	-	(a) Propose to transfer Tsui Lai Garden from N15 (Fung Tsui) to N14 (Tin Ping West). Reasons are summarised as follows: • since the 1999 DC Election, Tsui Lai Garden has been grouped with a number of villages to form the DCCA "Fung Tsui". Being a high-density development and a government-subsidised sale property, there are quite a lot of incompatibilities in terms of community characteristics, community nature, lifestyle, and culture and customs between Tsui Lai Garden and the villages in the DCCA. Residents of Tsui Lai Garden and those living in the villages in the DCCA do not rely on the community and transport facilities of the other,	<u>Items (a) and (b)</u> These proposals are **not accepted** because: (i) the populations of N14 (Tin Ping West) and N15 (Fung Tsui) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required. Based on the 2015 original DCCA boundary, the population of N11 (Sheung Shui Rural) (24 075) will substantially exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+45.04%), adjustment to the boundary of N15 (Fung Tsui) is therefore proposed under the provisional recommendations so as to absorb part of the population of N11 (Sheung Shui Rural);

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's views*
		W	*O*		
				therefore, each side has its own requests and issues of concern. The provisional recommendations on transferring Tai Tau Leng and Tsung Pak Long to N15 (Fung Tsui) will worsen the situation; • since N15 (Fung Tsui) is mainly composed of residents from villages and its elected DC member is also from the villages, the DC member only focuses on local affairs pertaining to the rural areas and the requests of residents of Tsui Lai Garden are overlooked; • Tsui Lai Garden and Tin Ping Estate in N14 (Tin Ping West) are both public housing projects. The two communities are of the same nature and the residents have similar interests and concerns in community issues. Moreover, the community ties between Tsui Lai Garden and N14 (Tin Ping West) are close as residents of the former frequently use the community facilities in N14 (Tin Ping West) while residents of Woodland Crest in N14 (Tin Ping West) often go	(ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on the population distribution and relevant local factors. It is inevitable that a DCCA is composed of more than one community; and (iii) arrangements on district administration matters or community services provided by DC members are not the factors of consideration in delineating DCCAs.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's views*
		W	*O*		
				shopping in the nearby Tsui Lai Garden Shopping Arcade. Also, with regard to transport planning, residents of Tsui Lai Garden and Tin Ping Estate use the same group of bus routes when travelling within and out of the North District; and • the populations of the two DCCAs will still fall within the statutory permissible range after transferring Tsui Lai Garden from N15 (Fung Tsui) to N14 (Tin Ping West).	
				(b) In order to reflect the composition of the DCCAs concerned after the above adjustments, one of the representations proposes to rename N15 (Fung Tsui) and N11 (Sheung Shui Rural) as "Sheung Shui Rural East" and "Sheung Shui Rural West" respectively. It also proposes to rename N14 (Tin Ping West) and N17 (Tin Ping East) as "Ping Tsui" and "Luen Ping" of which the former represents Tin Ping Estate and Tsui Lai Garden within the DCCA and the latter represents Tin Ping Estate as well as Belair Monte and Green Code situated in Luen Wo Hui.	

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's views*
		W	*O*		
9	N11 – Sheung Shui Rural N16 – Sha Ta	1	-	(a) Proposes to amend the boundaries of the DCCAs concerned in accordance with the jurisdiction of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region ("HKSAR") after the 2019 DC Ordinary Election. As a result of the training of the Shenzhen River, some pieces of the land in the DCCAs concerned are no longer under the jurisdiction of the HKSAR, and a few pieces of the land under the HKSAR's jurisdiction have not yet been included within the boundaries of the DCCAs concerned.	<u>Items (a) and (b)</u> The proposal made in the representation involves modification of the boundary of the HKSAR and alteration of administrative district boundaries, which do not fall under the purview of the EAC. The EAC has referred these views to the Government for consideration.
				(b) Proposes to include Lok Ma Chau Loop in N11 (Sheung Shui Rural) or M36 (San Tin) of the Yuen Long District because it is under the jurisdiction of HKSAR but not yet included in any DCCA or geographical constituency of the LegCo. This area will be developed into a high-tech and innovation hub, which is highly related to surrounding communities and districts.	

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's views*
		W	*O*		
10	N16 – Sha Ta	1	-	Proposes to split Sha Tau Kok and Ta Kwu Ling into two DCCAs because the North District is composed of four districts, namely, Sheung Shui, Fanling, Sha Tau Kok and Ta Kwu Ling. It is unfair to the residents by placing Sha Tau Kok and Ta Kwu Ling under one DCCA and it is unreasonable to delineate DCCAs based on population.	In accordance with the EACO, the EAC must follow the number of elected seats stipulated for each DC under the DCO and the population distribution in delineating the DCCA boundaries. The population of N16 (Sha Ta) is only 17 305, which is insufficient to form two separate DCCAs having populations within the statutory permissible range. Moreover, under the proposal made in the representation, splitting Sha Tau Kok and Ta Kwu Ling into two DCCAs will result in the number of DCCAs in the North District exceeding its total number of elected seats, which does not comply with the requirement under the above Ordinance. As this proposal made in the representation is related to the enactment of the primary legislation, which does not fall under the purview of the EAC, the EAC has referred the relevant view to the CMAB for consideration.

Appendix II - P

Tai Po District

Summaries of Written/Oral Representations

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
1	All DCCAs	1	-	(a) Supports the provisional recommendations on P01 (Tai Po Hui), P02 (Chung Ting), P03 (Tai Po Central), P04 (Tai Yuen), P05 (Fu Heng), P06 (Yee Fu), P07 (Fu Ming Sun), P08 (Kwong Fuk & Plover Cove), P09 (Wang Fuk), P10 (Tai Po Kau), P11 (Wan Tau Tong), P12 (San Fu), P15 (Tai Wo) and P18 (Shuen Wan).	Item (a) The supporting view is noted.
				(b) Holds reservation on the provisional recommendations on P19 (Sai Kung North) and proposes to include P19 (Sai Kung North) in the Sai Kung DC in 2023 having regard to the development along Sai Sha Road.	Item (b) The proposal made in the representation involves alteration of administrative district boundaries, which does not fall under the purview of the EAC. The EAC has referred this view to the Government for consideration.
				(c) Same as item 5(a).	Item (c) Please see item 5(a).
2	P02 – Chung Ting	1	-	Given that the aggregate population of P02 (Chung Ting), P03 (Tai Po Central), P04 (Tai Yuen), P05 (Fu Heng) and P08 (Kwong Fuk & Plover Cove) is only 70 000,	This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) under the proposal made in the representation, the number of DCCAs will

* W: Number of written representations.
O : Number of oral representations.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
	P03 – Tai Po Central P04 – Tai Yuen P05 – Fu Heng P08 – Kwong Fuk & Plover Cove			the five DCCAs should be re-delineated into four. Proposes: • to transfer Heng Tai House of Fu Heng Estate from P02 (Chung Ting) to P05 (Fu Heng); • to transfer Chung Nga Court from P02 (Chung Ting) to P04 (Tai Yuen); • to transfer Ting Nga Court, Jade Plaza and Treasure Garden from P02 (Chung Ting) to P03 (Tai Po Central); and • to transfer Eightland Gardens from P02 (Chung Ting) to P08 (Kwong Fuk & Plover Cove); or, by using Lam Tsuen River as the boundary, to transfer Blocks 20 to 23 of Tai Po Centre from P03 (Tai Po Central) to P08 (Kwong Fuk & Plover Cove), and to transfer Eightland Gardens to P03 (Tai Po Central).	be smaller than the total number of elected seats in the Tai Po District which violates the requirements of the EACO; and (ii) the populations of P02 (Chung Ting), P03 (Tai Po Central), P04 (Tai Yuen), P05 (Fu Heng) and P08 (Kwong Fuk & Plover Cove) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required.
3	P02 – Chung Ting P04 – Tai Yuen P05 – Fu Heng	1	-	Considers that the provisional recommendations have not taken into account the problem of population deviation and the existence of geographical barriers in the relevant DCCAs, because: • the DCCA boundary of P17 (Hong Lok Yuen) has	This proposal is **not accepted** because the number of affected DCCAs under the proposal made in the representation will be five more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under the proposal will be larger, too.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
	P13 – Lam Tsuen Valley P14 – Po Nga P16 – Old Market & Serenity P17 – Hong Lok Yuen P18 – Shuen Wan			been in place since 1999 and the area is cut off by hills. Despite the fact that the population growth of the DCCA in 2019 is due to the completion of the private residential housing Mont Vert in the east, the provisional recommendations still propose to re-delineate Tai Po Tau located in the middle section of the DCCA. This will cause an even greater divide between the east and the west of the DCCA; • the provisional recommendations will substantially increase the population of P14 (Po Nga) and make it close to the statutory permissible upper limit, which can do little to improve the issue of population deviation of the DCCAs; and • the provisional recommendations have failed to address the problem of unreasonable composition of communities in P17 (Hong Lok Yuen) and P02 (Chung Ting). Proposes: • to transfer Tai Po Garden and Parc Versailles in P13 (Lam Tsuen Valley) and	

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				Tai Po Tau Shui Wai in P17 (Hong Lok Yuen) to P14 (Po Nga); • to reduce the area of P17 (Hong Lok Yuen) so that its coverage will be limited to the west of the intersection between Ting Lai Road and San Wai Tsai Road, and transfer Serenity Park from P16 (Old Market & Serenity) to P17 (Hong Lok Yuen); • to transfer Jade Plaza, Eightland Gardens, Treasure Garden and Fortune Plaza from P02 (Chung Ting) to P16 (Old Market & Serenity) and rename P16 (Old Market & Serenity) as "Tai Po Old Market"; • to transfer Heng Tai House of Fu Heng Estate from P02 (Chung Ting) to P05 (Fu Heng), and put Chung Nga Court in P02 (Chung Ting), Nam Hang, Tai Po Area 9, Alice Ho Miu Ling Nethersole Hospital and Tai Po Hospital, Yue Kok, Fung Yuen and Ha Hang in P17 (Hong Lok Yuen), as well as the Education University of Hong Kong and the private residential buildings at Lo Ping Road and Lo Fai Road in P18 (Shuen Wan) to form a separate DCCA to be	

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				named as "Tai Po North"; • to transfer the Education University of Hong Kong and the private residential buildings at Lo Ping Road and Lo Fai Road from P18 (Shuen Wan) to "Tai Po North" to ease the condition of a relatively large population in P18 (Shuen Wan); and • where appropriate, to transfer Ting Nga Court from P02 (Chung Ting) to the DCCA "Tai Po Old Market" or P04 (Tai Yuen).	
4	P02 – Chung Ting P05 – Fu Heng P06 – Yee Fu P07 – Fu Ming Sun P08 – Kwong Fuk & Plover Cove P09 – Wang Fuk	1	-	Considers that the residents of the same housing estate have same community needs, so it should be grouped under one DCCA. Owing to geographical and demographic considerations, proposes: • to transfer Heng Tai House of Fu Heng Estate from P02 (Chung Ting) to P05 (Fu Heng), treating Fu Heng Estate as a single DCCA; • to transfer Shin Kwan House and Shin Lun House of Fu Shin Estate from P07 (Fu Ming Sun) to P06 (Yee Fu), treating Fu Shin Estate as a single DCCA;	This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) the number of affected DCCAs under the proposal made in the representation will be six more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under the proposal will be larger, too; (ii) the populations of P02 (Chung Ting), P05 (Fu Heng), P06 (Yee Fu), P07 (Fu Ming Sun), P08 (Kwong Fuk & Plover Cove) and P12 (San Fu) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
	P10 – Tai Po Kau P12 – San Fu			• to transfer Yee Nga Court from P06 (Yee Fu) to P07 (Fu Ming Sun) so as to group the Home Ownership Scheme estates, namely Yee Nga Court, Ming Nga Court and Sun Hing Garden under a single DCCA; • to transfer Kwong Yan House, Kwong Lai House and Kwong Yee House of Kwong Fuk Estate from P09 (Wang Fuk) to P08 (Kwong Fuk & Plover Cove), treating Kwong Fuk Estate as a single DCCA; • to group Wang Fuk Court in P09 (Wang Fuk) with Elegance Garden and Uptown Plaza in P12 (San Fu) to form a single DCCA; and • to group Daisyfield, Mayfair By The Sea I, Mayfair By The Sea II, Providence Bay, Providence Peak, Redland Garden, The Graces · Providence Bay and Trackside Villas in P09 (Wang Fuk) to form a single DCCA or to merge them with P10 (Tai Po Kau), which is also close to Tolo Harbour and Tolo Highway, to form a single DCCA.	established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required; and (iii) the delineation recommendations must be based on the population distribution and relevant local factors. It is inevitable that a DCCA is composed of more than one community.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
5	P02 – Chung Ting P05 – Fu Heng P13 – Lam Tsuen Valley P14 – Po Nga P15 – Tai Wo P16 – Old Market & Serenity P17 – Hong Lok Yuen	109^	3	(a) Hold reservation on the provisional recommendations on P13 (Lam Tsuen Valley), P14 (Po Nga), P16 (Old Market & Serenity) and P17 (Hong Lok Yuen). Reasons are summarised as follows: • P16 (Old Market & Serenity) and P17 (Hong Lok Yuen) are separated from P13 (Lam Tsuen Valley) and P14 (Po Nga) by the East Rail Line in the provisional recommendations. The two communities are alienated from each other. On the contrary, the area in the north of the East Rail Line such as Serenity Villa has a stronger connection with the community in Tai Po Old Market; • the impact of the provisional recommendations on P14 (Po Nga) is too great and the provisional recommendations are also undesirable to P17 (Hong Lok Yuen), because the populations of the two DCCAs are both close to the statutory permissible upper limit; and	<u>Item (a)</u> This proposal is **accepted** because: (i) after receiving the representations, the EAC conducted a site visit and noticed that Tai Po Garden, Parc Versailles and Tai Po Tau Shui Wai, which are in the south of the East Rail Line, are geographically very close to P14 (Po Nga), while Tai Po Tau, Serenity Villa and The Wonderland, which are in the north of the East Rail Line, are even closer to P16 (Old Market & Serenity). The EAC, after considering the proposals in different representations (items 3 and 5), considers item 5(a) which uses the East Rail Line as the dividing line in transferring Tai Po Garden, Parc Versailles and Tai Po Tau Shui Wai to P14 (Po Nga) while Tai Po Tau, Serenity Villa and The Wonderland to P16 (Old Market & Serenity) is a more natural way of delineation. According to the proposal made in the representations, the

^Of which, 95 are of different types of template letters.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				• the proposal made in the representations can reduce the population disparity among the above DCCAs. Proposes: • to transfer Tai Po Garden, Parc Versailles and Tai Po Tau Shui Wai to P14 (Po Nga); and • to transfer the area in the north of the East Rail Line, including Tai Po Tau, Serenity Villa and The Wonderland, to P16 (Old Market & Serenity). One representation further proposes to transfer the surrounding area of Ying Pun Ha, San Wai Tsai and Chuk Hang from P17 (Hong Lok Yuen) to P16 (Old Market & Serenity).	respective populations of P13 (Lam Tsuen Valley), P14 (Po Nga), P16 (Old Market & Serenity) and P17 (Hong Lok Yuen) are as follows: P13: 17 508, +5.48% P14: 17 451, +5.13% P16: 17 381, +4.71% P17: 20 488, +23.43% The populations of P13 (Lam Tsuen Valley) and P17 (Hong Lok Yuen) will be the same as those under the provisional recommendations, but the populations of P14 (Po Nga) and P16 (Old Market & Serenity) will be closer to the population quota when compared with the provisional recommendations. In general, while there will be four existing DCCAs being affected under the proposal made in the representations, which is one more than that in the provisional recommendations, the affected population will be the same as the provisional recommendations. In addition, using East Rail Line as the DCCA boundary is a more natural way of

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		delineation, and the proposal made in the representations is also more desirable in terms of geographical consideration. This proposal is therefore accepted; and (ii) for the representation which further proposes transferring the surrounding area of Ying Pun Ha, San Wai Tsai and Chuk Hang from P17 (Hong Lok Yuen) to P16 (Old Market & Serenity), since the affected population (7 895) will be larger than that in the proposal made in item 5 (a)(i) (6 794) by 1 101, the proposal is therefore **not accepted**.
				(b) notice that the population of P13 (Lam Tsuen Valley) does not exceed the statutory permissible upper limit. Proposes: • to retain Parc Versailles in P13 (Lam Tsuen Valley); and • to transfer Tai Po Tau Shui Wai to P14 (Po Nga), and to transfer Tai Po Tau, Serenity Villa and The Wonderland to P16 (Old Market & Serenity) which mainly comprises private	<u>Item (b)</u> (i) Based on the 2015 original DCCA boundary, the population of P13 (Lam Tsuen Valley) (20 955) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+26.24%) and adjustment is therefore required for maintaining the population within the statutory permissible range; and (ii) the proposal to transfer Tai Po Tau Shui Wai to P14 (Po Nga), and Tai Po

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				buildings instead of transferring them to P14 (Po Nga) which comprises public housing and Home Ownership Scheme estates.	Tau, Serenity Villa and The Wonderland to P16 (Old Market & Serenity) is **accepted**. Please see item 5(a).
				(c) since the area comprising Tai Po Tau, Serenity Villa and The Wonderland is separated from the area of P14 (Po Nga) by the railway track, and that the living area and the types of residence of the two areas are different, it will be difficult for the DC member to strike a right balance in handling the differing views of residents in the two DCCAs. On the other hand, the population of P16 (Old Market & Serenity) is away from the population quota, and some residents of Tai Po Tau use the living facilities in P16 (Old Market & Serenity) and access to MTR Tai Wo Station through Serenity Park. Proposes: • to transfer Tai Po Tau, Serenity Villa and The Wonderland to P16 (Old Market & Serenity); or • to form a separate DCCA comprising the newly transferred areas according to the composition of DCCAs.	<u>Item (c)</u> (i) The proposal to transfer Tai Po Tau, Serenity Villa and The Wonderland to P16 (Old Market & Serenity) is **accepted**. Please see item 5(a); and (ii) referring to the proposal to form a separate DCCA, in respect of the 2019 DC Ordinary Election, the Government has completed the review on the number of elected seats and the subsidiary legislation was passed by the LegCo in January 2018. There is no new DCCA for the Tai Po District for the next DC Ordinary Election. The number of new DCCAs to be created is a statutory pre-set for the EAC, to which the EAC has no authority to revise or vary.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				(d) Object to the provisional recommendations on P13 (Lam Tsuen Valley), P14 (Po Nga) and P17 (Hong Lok Yuen). Reasons are summarised as follows: • the EAC transferred Tai Po Tau Shui Wai to P17 (Hong Lok Yuen) in 2015 on grounds that P14 (Po Nga) mainly comprised public housing and Home Ownership Scheme estates, hence turned down the proposal to transfer the relevant area to P14 (Po Nga) as set out in the representations at the time. However, it appears that the provisional recommendations now overturn the decision in 2015 and the residents will find it hard to adapt; • the community composition and types of residence in P13 (Lam Tsuen Valley) and P17 (Hong Lok Yuen) are different from those of P14 (Po Nga). Grouping public housing estates, Home Ownership Scheme estates, village houses and luxurious residential units under one single DCCA would break the community	<u>Item (d)</u> These proposals are **not accepted** because: (i) if the excess populations in P13 (Lam Tsuen Valley) and P17 (Hong Lok Yuen) are absorbed by those DCCAs with a population lower than the population quota, the number of affected DCCAs will be two more than that in the proposal under item 5(a)(i); (ii) if the DCCA boundaries remain unchanged, the population of P13 (Lam Tsuen Valley) (20 955) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+26.24%), and the population of P17 (Hong Lok Yuen) (23 835) will also exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+43.59%); (iii) regarding the views on transferring Tai Po Tau Shui Wai, the EAC needs to consider different options for adjusting the DCCA boundaries having regard to the actual situation at the time each delineation exercise is carried out. Apart from population figures, other statutory criteria will also be taken into account. In the last

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				identity and local ties of the area; • Tai Po Garden and Parc Versailles in P13 (Lam Tsuen Valley) are located in rural area, while P14 (Po Nga) comprises mainly public housing and Home Ownership Scheme estates. The community composition and service needs of residents of the two DCCAs are not the same, making it difficult for the DC member to manage; • Tai Po Tau Shui Wai was transferred to P17 (Hong Lok Yuen) four years ago, the provisional recommendations now propose to group it under P14 (Po Nga), making the residents difficult to adapt; • the provisional recommendations will lead to a surge in population (around 7 000) in P14 (Po Nga). There will also be a substantial increase in the size of the DCCA, affecting its community integrity. This will cause inconvenience to residents and DC	delineation exercise, the population of P17 (Hong Lok Yuen) was within the statutory permissible range and had the capacity to absorb the excess population in the neighbouring P13 (Lam Tsuen Valley). Therefore, after reviewing the situations of P14 (Po Nga) and P17 (Hong Lok Yuen), the EAC considered it more desirable to transfer Tai Po Tau Shui Wai from P13 (Lam Tsuen Valley) to P17 (Hong Lok Yuen), which was an urban-cum-rural DCCA. However, the situation is different in the present delineation exercise. The population of P17 (Hong Lok Yuen) will also exceed the statutory permissible upper limit and have no capacity to absorb the excess population in the neighbouring P13 (Lam Tsuen Valley). On the contrary, it is necessary for P17 (Hong Lok Yuen) to transfer its excess population to the neighbouring DCCA. Therefore, it was proposed to transfer Tai Po Tau Shui Wai, together with Tai Po Tau, The Wonderland and

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				member, and reduce the resources for district services; • by drawing reference to DCCAs where the populations are allowed to deviate from the statutory permissible range, the EAC should take into account factors such as community integrity, local ties, geographical factors and transport instead of arbitrarily transferring the population from a rural DCCA to a neighbouring DCCA comprising public housing estate and Home Ownership Scheme estate. The population structure should be considered; • P15 (Tai Wo) and the adjacent P14 (Po Nga) both comprise one public housing estate and one Home Ownership Scheme estate. Under the provisional recommendations, population difference between the two DCCAs is enlarged. The ratio of residents to the amount of service they can receive from a DC member will be affected; and	Serenity Villa to P14 (Po Nga) in the provisional recommendations. The EAC understands that villages and estates in the New Territories belong to different communities. However, the delineation recommendations must be based on the population distribution and relevant local factors. It is inevitable that a DCCA is composed of villages and housing estates. In fact, urban-rural integration is common in Hong Kong. In the past DC delineation exercises, similar boundary adjustments were also made to ensure that the populations of DCCAs concerned would fall within the statutory permissible range. Moreover, the EAC will first consider transferring buildings or villages that are close to the DCCA boundary, and of suitable population figures and geographical locations when adjusting DCCA boundaries. Since Tai Po Tau Shui Wai is geographically close to the DCCA boundary, it is inevitable for it to be

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				• frequent change of DCCAs and DC members is unfair to the residents of the DCCAs concerned. One representation considers it unreasonable to adhere to the principle of affecting the least number of DCCAs rather than considering the population disparity among DCCAs concerned. Seven representations propose that the population in excess of the statutory permissible range in P13 (Lam Tsuen Valley) and P17 (Hong Lok Yuen) be absorbed by DCCAs with a population lower than the population quota, namely P02 (Chung Ting), P14 (Po Nga), P15 (Tai Wo) and P16 (Old Market & Serenity). One representation proposes to maintain the boundaries of the above DCCAs in order to preserve community identity and local ties.	more vulnerable to be transferred in each delineation exercise; and (iv) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Community services provided by DC members is not the relevant factor of consideration.
				(e) Object to the transfer of Tai Po Garden and Parc Versailles to P14 (Po Nga). Reasons are summarised as follows: • over the years, Tai Po Garden and Parc Versailles are private housings and have become part of the rural	<u>Item (e)</u> These proposals are **not accepted** because: (i) if Nam Wa Po Village in P13 (Lam Tsuen Valley) is transferred to P17 (Hong Lok Yuen), the population of P17 (Hong Lok Yuen) (21 889) will exceed the statutory

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				area, whereas P14 (Po Nga) comprises mainly public housing estate and Home Ownership Scheme estate. The two DCCAs differ from each other in terms of community composition, housing nature as well as service needs of the residents. It will be difficult for the DC member to manage; • the services provided by the DC member is considered satisfactory. It is of the view that the EAC should not re-delineate the DCCA boundary on grounds of population without adequate consultation. This will make it impossible for residents to vote for the DC member they support and thus affecting the district work of the DC member; • the population affected under the provisional recommendations is considerable, leading to a surge in the population in P14 (Po Nga) while a decline in the population in P13 (Lam Tsuen Valley), creating a population disparity of nearly 3 000;	permissible upper limit (+31.87%); (ii) regarding the proposal to transfer the villages on the periphery of P13 (Lam Tsuen Valley) to other DCCAs, in addition to Nam Wa Po Village as proposed in item 5(e)(i), the EAC has also considered the feasibility of transferring other villages on the periphery of P13 (Lam Tsuen Valley), such as Lin Au and Pun Chun Yuen. While P12 (San Fu) is the closest DCCA to the above villages, they are geographically far away from each other and thus the option is not viable. On the contrary, Tai Po Garden, Parc Versailles and Tai Po Tau Shui Wai which are proposed to be transferred to P14 (Po Nga) under the provisional recommendations are geographically very close to P14 (Po Nga); (iii) the representation that proposes to create one DCCA at Tai Wo Service Road West in P13 (Lam Tsuen Valley) is not feasible. It is because in respect of the 2019 DC Ordinary

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				• according to the statistics of the 2016 Population By-census, the populations of P13 (Lam Tsuen Valley) and P14 (Po Nga) both fall within the statutory permissible range. The statistics concerned are not only more reasonable than those adopted in making the provisional recommendations but also can mitigate the impact brought about by the re-delineation of DCCAs; and • the population of P13 (Lam Tsuen Valley) will surge again upon the completion of large-scale residential development projects in the DCCA. 59 representations propose to transfer Nam Wa Po Village from P13 (Lam Tsuen Valley) to P17 (Hong Lok Yuen) given that Nam Wa Po Village is an indigenous village, connected with the adjoining P17 (Hong Lok Yuen) geographically and they both belong to rural area. The service needs of their respective residents are quite similar to each other. The above proposal will affect less population. It will not only reduce the population of P13 (Lam Tsuen Valley) and	Election, the Government has completed the review on the number of elected seats and the subsidiary legislation was passed by the LegCo in January 2018. There is no new DCCA for the Tai Po District for the next DC Ordinary Election. The number of new DCCAs to be created is a statutory pre-set for the EAC, to which the EAC has no authority to revise or vary; (iv) the delineation recommendations must be based on the population distribution and relevant local factors. It is inevitable that a DCCA is composed of more than one community. Besides, community services provided by DC members is not the relevant factor of consideration; (v) the delineation exercise for the 2019 DC Ordinary Election is conducted on the basis of projected population figures as at 30 June 2019. Based on the 2015 original DCCA boundary, the population of P13 (Lam Tsuen

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				achieve a balanced allocation of resources between the two DCCAs, but also preserve the way of service provision to the indigenous villages and rural areas. One of the representations considers that in view of the growing population, one DCCA should be created in the area surrounding the villages along both sides of the railway track adjacent to Tai Wo Service Road West in P13 (Lam Tsuen Valley). 11 representations propose to transfer the villages on the periphery of P13 (Lam Tsuen Valley) to other DCCAs. Nine representations consider that the EAC has not consulted the public on the relevant provisional recommendations.	Valley) (20 955) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+26.24%). Therefore, adjustment to its boundary is necessary so that the population will fall within the statutory permissible range; and (vi) the EACO stipulates that the EAC is responsible for drawing up the provisional recommendations and to consult the public thereafter. Members of the public can express their views on the provisional recommendations through different means. The EAC will consider every representation received during the consultation period objectively before making the final recommendations. As in past exercises, the EAC has strictly adhered to section 19 of the EACO and conducted public consultation for no less than 30 days on its provisional recommendations.
				(f) Object to the transfer of Tai Po Tau, The Wonderland and Serenity Villa to P14 (Po Nga). Reasons are summarised as follows:	<u>Item (f)</u> These proposals are **not accepted** because: (i) regarding the proposal

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				• Tai Po Tau and Tai Po Tau Shui Wai are indigenous villages. They both belong to Luen Yick and are also under the purview of the Tai Po Rural Committee. Villagers thereof have been maintaining their traditional lifestyle and organising traditional events to pass on the cultural heritage and foster ties among the villagers; • from the perspectives of history and living environment, Tai Po Tau, The Wonderland and Serenity Villa have been part of the rural DCCA P17 (Hong Lok Yuen). Transferring them to P14 (Po Nga) is unreasonable and will break the integrity of the rural DCCA; • while traditional villagers have their distinctive lifestyle, residents of small houses also have their unique needs. Hence, effective resolution to village issues and conflicts between indigenous residents and residents of housing estates rely on the assistance of DC members who are acquainted with village	made in the representation to transfer Mont Vert from P17 (Hong Lok Yuen) to P05 (Fu Heng), the affected population under the proposal (4 201) will be larger than that in the provisional recommendations (3 347) by 854. Besides, after receiving the representations, the EAC conducted a site visit and noticed that the population of Mont Vert and that of P05 (Fu Heng) are separated by slopes and hospitals, and the two areas are located at different levels geographically, making the proposal undesirable; (ii) Tai Po Tau and Tai Po Tau Shui Wai belonged to different DCCAs before 2015. The EAC considers that although Tai Po Tau and Tai Po Tau Shui Wai are located in different DCCAs, both of their village issues are all handled by the Tai Po Rural Committee; (iii) the delineation recommendations must be based on the population distribution

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				affairs; • P14 (Po Nga) comprises mainly public housing and Home Ownership Scheme estates. The community composition and service needs of the residents are different. The DC member will have difficulties in coping with the needs of the residents; • the scope of responsibilities and the tasks involved in a DCCA comprising mainly housing estates are very different from those involved in a rural DCCA; • given that the populations of P14 (Po Nga) and P17 (Hong Lok Yuen) have already deviated from the population quota, transferring the population of P17 (Hong Lok Yuen) to P14 (Po Nga) will make the population of P14 (Po Nga) to deviate further from the population quota; and • in the past exercise, the EAC had taken on board proposals made in representations received	and relevant local factors. It is inevitable that a DCCA is composed of more than one community. Besides, community services provided by DC members is not the relevant factor of consideration; and (iv) the EACO stipulates that the EAC is responsible for drawing up the provisional recommendations and to consult the public thereafter. Members of the public can express their views on the provisional recommendations through different means. The EAC will consider every representation received during the consultation period objectively before making the final recommendations. As in past exercises, the EAC has strictly adhered to section 19 of the EACO and conducted public consultation for no less than 30 days on its provisional recommendations.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				on grounds of community identities and residents' expectation on physical features of DCCAs. Two representations object to the transfer of Tai Po Tau Shui Wai to P14 (Po Nga). It is because both Tai Po Tau and Tai Po Tau Shui Wai always belong to rural DCCAs and the same clanship. Besides, as they are villages under development, DC member who possesses knowledge on rural affairs is needed to take forward the work of improving village facilities. The assistance from DC member is also required in dealing with issues relating to land and housing matters, etc. As a number of large-scale works projects will be carried out in the DCCA, the traditional community ties in the village and continuity of village projects will be affected if such projects are to be overseen by DC members who are not experienced in dealing with village affairs. One representation proposes to transfer Mont Vert from P17 (Hong Lok Yuen) to P05 (Fu Heng) and to keep Tai Po Tau, The Wonderland and Serenity Villa unchanged. It is because the population of P05 (Fu Heng) is below the population quota. Transferring Mont	

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				Vert to P05 (Fu Heng) can reduce the deviation of the DCCA's population from the population quota. The above proposal can better realise community integrity and representativeness. One representation points out that the workload of DC member will not be affected as Mont Vert in P17 (Hong Lok Yuen) has its own management company to coordinate and manage all matters related to the estate. It is also considered inappropriate to transfer Tai Po Tau and Tai Po Tau Shui Wai to other DCCAs in view of the completion of Mont Vert. One representation is of the view that the public has not been fully consulted on the delineation recommendations. Another representation expresses dissatisfaction over the fact that the consultation on provisional recommendations lasted for one month only. The timeframe is too short for the DC member of the DCCA concerned or any persons who intend to stand at the election to make their responses.	

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				(g) Propose to transfer Nam Wa Po Village from P13 (Lam Tsuen Valley) to P17 (Hong Lok Yuen) and to transfer Mont Vert from P17 (Hong Lok Yuen) to another DCCA comprising housing estates because: • the location of Nam Wa Po Village is geographically closer to P17 (Hong Lok Yuen); • the above proposal can even out the population of the DCCAs; and • there is a big difference between the work for a DCCA comprising mainly housing estates and that for a DCCA with rural areas. The provisional recommendations will have an impact on the provision of services by DC members.	<u>Item (g)</u> This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) according to the proposal made in the representation, the population of P17 (Hong Lok Yuen) (21 035) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+26.72%); (ii) after receiving the representations, the EAC conducted a site visit and noticed that there are main roads between Nam Wa Po Village in P13 (Lam Tsuen Valley) and P17 (Hong Lok Yuen). The two places are rather far apart; and (iii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Community services provided by DC members is not the relevant factor of consideration.
6	P03 – Tai Po Central	1	-	As the population of P10 (Tai Po Kau) exceeds the statutory permissible upper limit, proposes: • to reduce the area of P10 (Tai Po Kau) to cover only the area spanning from Ha	This proposal is **not accepted** because the number of affected DCCAs under the proposal made in the representation will be three more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
	P08 – Kwong Fuk & Plover Cove P09 – Wang Fuk P10 – Tai Po Kau P12 – San Fu			Wong Yi Au to Cheung Shue Tan; • to transfer Wun Yiu, Lai Chi Shan, Pun Shan Chau, Ta Tit Yan, Shan Tong New Village, The Paramount, JC Castle, etc. from P10 (Tai Po Kau) to P12 (San Fu), and group them together with Pan Chung, Chung Shun Lane, Ma Wo, Kam Shan, Shek Kwu Lung, Kam Shek, Classical Gardens, Dynasty View, etc. to form a separate DCCA to be named either as "Wun Yiu" or "Tai Po South"; • to transfer part of Kwong Fuk Estate from P09 (Wang Fuk) to P08 (Kwong Fuk & Plover Cove), and group Wang Fuk Court, Grand Palisades, The Paragon, Uptown Plaza and Elegance Garden to form P09 (Wang Fuk) which is to be renamed; and • to transfer Plover Cove Garden from P08 (Kwong Fuk & Plover Cove) to P03 (Tai Po Central) to improve community integrity as the former DCCA will then only be made up of the entire Kwong Fuk Estate.	the proposal will be larger, too.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
7	P09 – Wang Fuk P10 – Tai Po Kau	2	2	(a) Support the provisional recommendations.	Item (a) The supporting view is noted.
				(b)(i) Support the transfer of Pak Shek Kok from P10 (Tai Po Kau) to P09 (Wang Fuk); and (ii) propose to rename P09 (Wang Fuk) as "Wang Fuk & Pak Shek Kok" to emphasise that Pak Shek Kok is located within the DCCA.	Item (b)(i) The supporting view is noted. Item (b)(ii) This proposal is **not accepted** because the existing name of P09 (Wang Fuk) has been used since 1999 and the public are used to this name. The change of the DCCA name may cause confusion to the public.
				(c) Propose to retain Phases I, II and III of Providence Bay and the surrounding area of Mayfair By The Sea in P10 (Tai Po Kau) because the above housing estates and the other housing estates in P09 (Wang Fuk) are of different nature. Also, as there are new developments being put up for sale in Providence Bay and the Pak Shek Kok area, re-delineation of DCCA boundaries may be required in the next DC term, thereby bringing inconvenience to the residents.	Item (c) This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) according to the proposal made in the representation, the population of P10 (Tai Po Kau) (25 895) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+56.00%); (ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on the population distribution and relevant local factors. It is inevitable that a DCCA is composed of more than one community; and

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		(iii) it is an established practice that the delineation exercise for a DC ordinary election should be conducted on the basis of the latest projected population figures as at 30 June of the election year. Developments thereafter will not be taken into consideration.
				(d) Propose to retain Mayfair By The Sea I and Mayfair By The Sea II in P10 (Tai Po Kau) because they are geographically relatively far away from P09 (Wang Fuk) and also differ from the latter in terms of community identities. Besides, the DC member of P10 (Tai Po Kau) has all along maintained good communication with the residents of Mayfair By The Sea.	<u>Item (d)</u> This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) according to the proposal made in the representation, the population of P10 (Tai Po Kau) (21 786) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+31.25%); and (ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on the population distribution and relevant local factors. It is inevitable that a DCCA is composed of more than one community. Besides, community services provided by DC members is not the relevant factor of consideration.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
8	P10 – Tai Po Kau P12 – San Fu	2	-	Propose to transfer The Balmoral from P10 (Tai Po Kau) to P12 (San Fu) because: • geographically, The Balmoral is adjacent to Classical Gardens in P12 (San Fu); • at present, services for residents of The Balmoral are mainly provided by DC member of P12 (San Fu); and • there are only 79 households in The Balmoral. While the proposal has limited impact on the population of the above DCCA, it can provide convenience to the residents of The Balmoral and shorten the travelling distance to the polling station.	This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) the population of P12 (San Fu) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustment to its existing boundary is not required; and (ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Community services provided by DC members and arrangement on polling station are not the relevant factors of consideration. The EAC has referred the view on the arrangement on polling station to the REO for consideration.
9	P13 – Lam Tsuen Valley P17 – Hong Lok Yuen P18 – Shuen Wan	7	-	In view of the growing populations in P13 (Lam Tsuen Valley), P17 (Hong Lok Yuen) and P18 (Shuen Wan), propose to split the above three DCCAs into four. One representation considers that two new DCCAs should be created in the Tai Po District.	In respect of the 2019 DC Ordinary Election, the Government has completed the review on the number of elected seats and the subsidiary legislation was passed by the LegCo in January 2018. There is no new DCCA for the Tai Po District for the next DC Ordinary Election. The number of new DCCAs to be created is a statutory pre-set for the EAC, to which the

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
					EAC has no authority to revise or vary.
10	P19 – Sai Kung North	3	1	(a) Propose to transfer P19 (Sai Kung North) to the Sha Tin DC. One representation states that the daily activities of residents of the DCCA are more closely related to their counterparts in the Sha Tin District. The transfer can better reflect the current development of the DCCA and the living habits of the residents.	<u>Items (a) and (b)</u> The proposals made in the representations involve alteration of administrative district boundaries, which does not fall under the purview of the EAC. The EAC has referred the views to the Government for consideration.
				(b) Propose to include P19 (Sai Kung North) in the Sai Kung DC. One representation considers that the future development of the DCCA is closely related to the Sai Kung District. On the contrary, its relationship with the Tai Po District is declining. The transfer can facilitate the district administration of the DCCA.	

Appendix II - Q

Sai Kung District

Summaries of Written/Oral Representations

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
1	All DCCAs	1	1	Propose to add the elements of Tseung Kwan O to the name of the Sai Kung DC and rename it as "Sai Kung Tseung Kwan O District Council". Reasons are summarised as follows: • there are five elected seats for Sai Kung and 24 elected seats for Tseung Kwan O in the Sai Kung DC. Consider that the change of name can better reflect the composition of the Sai Kung DC; • following the development of Tseung Kwan O New Town, the existing number of elected seats and the size of population of Tseung Kwan O are far more than those of Sai Kung; and • the Sai Kung DC Secretariat and Conference Room have also been relocated from Sai Kung Government Offices to Tseung Kwan O New Town. One representation also proposes to make Tseung Kwan O New Town an independent DC.	The proposal made in the representations involves alteration of administrative district name and boundaries, which does not fall under the purview of the EAC. The EAC has referred this view to the Government for consideration.

* W: Number of written representations.
O : Number of oral representations.

Item No.	***DCCAs***	***No.****		***Representations***	***EAC's Views***
		W	***O***		
2	All DCCAs	1	-	Holds no objection to the provisional recommendations on all DCCAs of the Sai Kung District.	The view is noted.
3	All DCCAs	1	-	(a) Supports the provisional recommendations on Q04 (Hang Hau East), Q05 (Hang Hau West), Q06 (Choi Kin), Q07 (Kin Ming), Q08 (Do Shin), Q09 (Wai King), Q10 (Hoi Chun), Q13 (O Tong), Q14 (Sheung Tak), Q15 (Kwong Ming), Q17 (Tsui Lam), Q18 (Po Lam), Q19 (Yan Ying) and Q22 (King Lam).	Item (a) The supporting view is noted.
				(b) Holds reservation on the provisional recommendations on Q01 (Sai Kung Central), Q02 (Pak Sha Wan) and Q03 (Sai Kung Islands). Considers that there are close community ties among the above three DCCAs. Therefore, adjustments should be made to their boundaries so that the populations of these three DCCAs will fall within the statutory permissible range.	Item (b) Please see item 5(i).
				(c) Proposes to transfer Anderson Road Development Area from Q05 (Hang Hau West) to the Kwun Tong District to facilitate district administration.	Item (c) The proposal made in the representation involves alteration of administrative district boundaries, which does not fall under the purview of the EAC. The EAC has referred this view to the Government for consideration.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				(d) Holds reservation on the provisional recommendations on Q11 (Po Yee), Q12 (Fu Kwan), Q23 (Hau Tak), Q24 (Fu Nam), Q25 (Tak Ming), Q26 (Nam On), Q27 (Kwan Po), Q28 (Wan Po North) and Q29 (Wan Po South). Considers that the provisional recommendations fail to solve the issue of the dumbbell shape of Q27 (Kwan Po) resulted from spanning Wan Po Road and the problem of Q24 (Fu Nam) being split into two parts in the middle by Q23 (Hau Tak). Proposes to rectify the above situations by the EAC in the re-delineation in 2023.	Item (d) The proposal is noted. In drawing up the delineation recommendations, the EAC must strictly adhere to the statutory criteria under the EACO and its working principles. The delineation should be made on the basis of the projected populations, existing DCCA boundaries and relevant local factors. The EAC will continue to adhere to the above in future delineation exercises.
				(e)(i) Taking into consideration of community integrity and population distribution, considers that the provisional recommendations on Q16 (Hong King), Q20 (Wai Yan) and Q21 (Wan Hang) are feasible; and (ii) since Q16 (Hong King) has a smaller population, proposes to transfer Tseung Kwan O Village and Yau Yue Wan Village from Q05 (Hang Hau West) to Q16 (Hong King) as both villages	Item (e)(i) The view is noted. Item (e)(ii) This proposal is **not accepted** because the population of Q05 (Hang Hau West) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustment to its existing boundary is not required.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				use Po Lam Road North as access and they share common issues of concern with Q16 (Hong King).	
4	All DCCAs	-	1	(a) Considers that the provisional recommendations on all DCCAs of the Sai Kung District are very desirable on the whole.	Item (a) The supporting view is noted.
				(b) Considers that the delineation of Q24 (Fu Nam), Q25 (Tak Ming) and Q26 (Nam On) in Hang Hau does not take into account the geographical distance and links between housing estates in each DCCA. Queries why it has been allowed not to make any changes to the boundaries of the above DCCAs since 2007 due to the fact that their populations have not deviated from the statutory permissible range.	Item (b) The populations of Q24 (Fu Nam), Q25 (Tak Ming) and Q26 (Nam On) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required.
5	Q01 – Sai Kung Central	2	-	Object to remain the boundary of Q01 (Sai Kung Central) unchanged. Consider that since the population of the DCCA is only 10 000, it is proposed to make adjustment to the boundary of Q01 (Sai Kung Central) along with its neighbouring DCCAs, and absorb the populations of other DCCAs or be included in other DCCAs with a population below the statutory permissible lower limit.	This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) based on the 2015 original DCCA boundary, the population of Q01 (Sai Kung Central) (10 901) will be below the statutory permissible lower limit (-34.33%). Its neighbouring DCCAs are Q02 (Pak Sha Wan) and Q03 (Sai Kung Islands). The population of Q03 (Sai

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				One representation queries that to remain the DCCA boundary of Q01 (Sai Kung Central) unchanged is based on political consideration.	Kung Islands) is also below the statutory permissible lower limit. Hence, there is no room to transfer its population to Q01 (Sai Kung Central). The population of the other neighbouring DCCA Q02 (Pak Sha Wan) is dispersed over various villages and the surrounding area of Pak Sha Wan. The populations in Q02 (Pak Sha Wan) and Q01 (Sai Kung Central) are separated by a water treatment works, Sai Kung Outdoor Recreation Centre and a country park, making them geographically far away from each other. If Q01 (Sai Kung Central) is included in the neighbouring DCCA Q03 (Sai Kung Islands) with a population below the statutory permissible lower limit according to the proposal made in the representations, the population of the DCCA (22 510) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+35.61%). Furthermore, after merging the DCCAs, the number of DCCAs will be smaller than the total number of elected seats in the Sai Kung District which does not meet the requirements of the EACO; and

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
					(ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Political factors will not be taken into consideration.
6	Q01 – Sai Kung Central Q02 – Pak Sha Wan	1	-	Expresses objection over the fact that the EAC has yet to deal with the problem of underpopulation in Q01 (Sai Kung Central) over the past few exercises, and proposes to transfer the village houses in the surrounding areas of Pak Kong and Wu Lei Tau from Q02 (Pak Sha Wan) to Q01 (Sai Kung Central).	This proposal is **not accepted**. Please see item 5(i).
7	Q01 – Sai Kung Central Q02 – Pak Sha Wan Q03 – Sai Kung Islands Q04 – Hang Hau East	1	-	As the populations of Q01 (Sai Kung Central), Q02 (Pak Sha Wan), Q03 (Sai Kung Islands) and Q04 (Hang Hau East) will be below the population quota, it is considered that the current total population of the above four DCCAs can be shared by three elected seats. Therefore, it is proposed to delete one elected seat from the Sai Kung District to avoid wasting public money.	This proposal is **not accepted** because in accordance with the EACO, the EAC must follow the number of elected seats stipulated for each DC under the DCO in delineating the DCCA boundaries. As this proposal made in the representation is related to the enactment of the primary legislation, which does not fall under the purview of the EAC, the EAC has referred the relevant view to the CMAB for consideration.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
8	Q01 – Sai Kung Central Q02 – Pak Sha Wan Q03 – Sai Kung Islands Q05 – Hang Hau West	1	-	As the populations of Q01 (Sai Kung Central), Q02 (Pak Sha Wan) and Q03 (Sai Kung Islands) are below the population quota and the total population of these three DCCAs is only about 38 000, it is proposed to re-delineate the DCCAs concerned to reduce one elected seat. Details are as follows: • to transfer the surrounding areas of Po Lo Che, Yau Ma Po to Muk Min Shan, Jade Villa, Lotus Villas, etc. surrounding Ngau Liu as well as the area along Tai Mong Tsai Road up to Hopes Villa from Q03 (Sai Kung Islands) to Q01 (Sai Kung Central); and • to merge Q02 (Pak Sha Wan) and Q03 (Sai Kung Islands) into a DCCA "Rural Sai Kung". If the population still falls short, to absorb the rural part of Q05 (Hang Hau West).	This proposal is **not accepted** because in accordance with the EACO, the EAC must follow the number of elected seats stipulated for each DC under the DCO in delineating the DCCA boundaries. As this proposal made in the representation is related to the enactment of the primary legislation, which does not fall under the purview of the EAC, the EAC has referred the relevant view to the CMAB for consideration.
9	Q01 – Sai Kung Central Q02 – Pak Sha Wan Q03 – Sai Kung Islands	1	-	(a) As the population of Q01 (Sai Kung Central) is below the statutory permissible lower limit, the total population of Q01 (Sai Kung Central) and the neighbouring Q02 (Pak Sha Wan) and Q03 (Sai Kung Islands) can be shared by two elected seats. Proposes to adjust and merge the three DCCAs to free up one elected seat for	<u>Item (a)</u> This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) please see item 5(i); (ii) the number of affected DCCAs under the proposal made in the representation will be seven more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
	Q04 – Hang Hau East Q05 – Hang Hau West Q12 – Fu Kwan Q23 – Hau Tak Q24 – Fu Nam Q25 – Tak Ming Q26 – Nam On Q27 – Kwan Po Q28 – Wan Po North			the re-delineation of those DCCAs in the vicinity of MTR Hang Hau Station and through the formation of a new DCCA to rectify the undesirable shapes of the DCCAs concerned. Details are as follows: • to transfer Maritime Bay from Q25 (Tak Ming) to Q26 (Nam On). Q25 (Tak Ming) only includes Ming Tak Estate, Yuk Ming Court and Wo Ming Court; • to transfer La Cite Noble from Q27 (Kwan Po) to Q26 (Nam On), and to absorb Oscar By The Sea in Q28 (Wan Po North), or The Grandiose in Q12 (Fu Kwan) at the same time; • to form a new DCCA that comprises East Point City in Q26 (Nam On) and Residence Oasis in Q24 (Fu Nam); and • to re-delineate On Ning Garden in Q26 (Nam On), Yu Ming Court and Fu Ning Garden in Q24 (Fu Nam) and Hau Tak Estate and Chung Ming Court in Q23 (Hau Tak) into two DCCAs.	the proposal will be larger, too; and (iii) although the shape of a DCCA is a relevant factor of consideration, it is confined by population distribution to a certain extent and is not a prime factor of consideration.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				(b) In order to bring the populations of Q04 (Hang Hau East) and Q05 (Hang Hau West) closer to the population quota, it is proposed to transfer the surrounding area of Tai Po Tsai Village in Q05 (Hang Hau West) to Q04 (Hang Hau East).	Item (b) This proposal is **not accepted** because the populations of Q04 (Hang Hau East) and Q05 (Hang Hau West) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required.
10	Q02 – Pak Sha Wan Q03 – Sai Kung Islands	4	-	Object to the provisional recommendations on Q02 (Pak Sha Wan) and Q03 (Sai Kung Islands). In order to preserve the integrity of rural villages and avoid making residents difficult to adapt, it is proposed that the boundaries and names for the DCCAs adopted in 2015 should remain unchanged. One representation also states that residents of the above two DCCAs are used to having Po Lo Che Road as the boundary. Changing the DCCA boundary will affect residents' desire to seek help from DC members and to vote.	This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) according to the proposal made in the representations, the population of Q03 (Sai Kung Islands) (11 609) will be below the statutory permissible lower limit (-30.06%); (ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Community services provided by DC members is not the relevant factor of consideration; and (iii) there is no sufficient objective information and justification to prove that the provisional recommendations will affect the integrity of the rural villages.

Item No.	***DCCAs***	***No.****		***Representations***	***EAC's Views***
		W	***O***		
11	Q04 – Hang Hau East Q16 – Hong King Q17 – Tsui Lam Q18 – Po Lam Q20 – Wai Yan Q21 – Wan Hang Q28 – Wan Po North Q29 – Wan Po South	1	-	(a) In the provisional recommendations, a new DCCA Q20 (Wai Yan) will be formed in the surrounding area of Po Lam. The populations of the new DCCA Q20 (Wai Yan) along with its neighbouring DCCAs Q16 (Hong King), Q17 (Tsui Lam), Q18 (Po Lam) and Q21 (Wan Hang) are below the population quota while the populations of Q28 (Wan Po North) and Q29 (Wan Po South) are above the population quota. In addition, 10 000 people are expected to move into Phases 4 and 5 of LOHAS Park in 2019. Therefore, it is proposed that the location of the new DCCA be reconsidered.	<u>Item (a)</u> This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) based on the 2015 original DCCA boundary, the population of Q21 (Wan Hang) (20 988) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+26.44%). The EAC therefore proposed to create a new DCCA Q20 (Wai Yan) in the area of Verbena Heights located in Q21 (Wan Hang) so that the population of the DCCA will fall within the statutory permissible range; (ii) the populations of Q28 (Wan Po North) and Q29 (Wan Po South) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required; and (iii) it is an established practice that the delineation exercise for a DC ordinary election should be conducted on the basis of the latest projected population figures as at 30 June of the election year. Developments thereafter will not be taken into consideration.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				(b) Proposes to transfer the surrounding area of Pak Shing Kok in Q04 (Hang Hau East) to Q28 (Wan Po North), or re-delineate the boundaries of Q28 (Wan Po North) and Q29 (Wan Po South) and create a new DCCA. Reasons are as follows: • the populations of 14 DCCAs in the Sai Kung District will be below the population quota. Taking into consideration the community integrity, local ties, geographical factors, transport and population distribution, the EAC did not adjust the boundaries of those DCCAs. In fact, for some DCCAs, their local ties, geographical and transport situations have yet to be considered; • most of Shaw Movie City is currently included in Q28 (Wan Po North) while its entrances, exits and main roads (Pak Shing Kok Road) belong to Q04 (Hang Hau East), causing problems in district administration and management; • as for geographical and transport situations, getting to the	Item (b) This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) the populations of Q04 (Hang Hau East), Q28 (Wan Po North) and Q29 (Wan Po South) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required; and (ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangement on district administration matters is not the relevant factor of consideration.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				surrounding area of Pak Shing Kok currently entails passing through Wan Po Road. Getting to and from Pak Shing Kok also relies on MTR LOHAS Park Station or public transport at Tseung Kwan O Town Centre; • the environmental hygiene problem of the surrounding area of Pak Shing Kok has been affecting the housing estates in Q28 (Wan Po North); and • the proposal can facilitate administration and management.	
12	Q04 – Hang Hau East Q28 – Wan Po North Q29 – Wan Po South	-	1	(a) Taking the geographical setting into consideration, it is proposed to transfer the dormitories of Fire and Ambulance Services Academy and the surrounding area of Pak Shing Kok in Q04 (Hang Hau East) to Q28 (Wan Po North) and Q29 (Wan Po South), or re-delineate the above DCCAs and add elected seats in the future.	<u>Item (a)</u> This proposal is **not accepted** because the populations of Q04 (Hang Hau East), Q28 (Wan Po North) and Q29 (Wan Po South) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required.
				(b) Proposes to add an elected seat to Q28 (Wan Po North) and Q29 (Wan Po South), and form a DCCA that comprises Le Prestige, Phase 2 of LOHAS Park	<u>Item (b)</u> This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) the populations of Q28 (Wan Po North) and Q29

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				(with a population of 16 000) in Q29 (Wan Po South) and another DCCA that comprises Hemera, Phase 3 of LOHAS Park in Q29 (Wan Po South), The Beaumount and Phases 4, 5 and 6 of LOHAS Park in Q28 (Wan Po North) because: • Q28 (Wan Po North) and Q29 (Wan Po South) each have a population of about 19 000 while Phases 4, 5 and 6 of LOHAS Park have been put up for sale; and • the proposal can adjust the populations of the above DCCAs to about 16 000 to 17 000 per DCCA.	(Wan Po South) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required; (ii) please see item 22(i) and (ii); and (iii) it is an established practice that the delineation exercise for a DC ordinary election should be conducted on the basis of the latest projected population figures as at 30 June of the election year. Developments thereafter will not be taken into consideration.
				(c) Proposes to set up a polling station at the school opposite Oscar By The Sea in Q28 (Wan Po North) because it currently takes 45 minutes for residents there to get to the polling station in Hang Hau to vote.	<u>Items (c) and (d)</u> The EAC has referred the relevant views concerning the arrangement on polling station to the REO for consideration.
				(d) Proposes to set up a polling station at the new international school next to The Beaumount in Q28 (Wan Po North) to make it easier for residents to vote.	

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				(e) The population of the Sai Kung District is 470 000, which is 50 000 more than 420 000 in the last term. Considers that three elected seats should be added.	Item (e) In accordance with the EACO, the EAC must follow the number of elected seats stipulated for each DC under the DCO in delineating the DCCA boundaries. As this proposal made in the representation is related to the enactment of the primary legislation, which does not fall under the purview of the EAC, the EAC has referred the relevant view to the CMAB for consideration.
13	Q05 – Hang Hau West	1	-	Proposes to transfer areas near the housing estates in the Kwun Tong District within Anderson Road Development Area in Q05 (Hang Hau West) to the Kwun Tong District because they are geographically closer, hence having stronger community ties among residents.	The proposal made in the representation involves alteration of administrative district boundaries, which does not fall under the purview of the EAC. The EAC has referred this view to the Government for consideration.
14	Q06 – Choi Kin Q07 – Kin Ming	1	-	Q06 (Choi Kin) has a population of 19 000, making it the most populated DCCA in the Sai Kung District in the provisional recommendations. In order for the DC member of that DCCA to serve Choi Ming Court more efficiently, it is proposed to transfer Kin Ching House and Kin Hei House of Kin Ming Estate in Q06 (Choi Kin) to Q07 (Kin Ming) to even out the populations of these two DCCAs.	This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) the populations of Q06 (Choi Kin) and Q07 (Kin Ming) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required; and (ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
					Community services provided by DC members is not the relevant factor of consideration.
15	Q10 – Hoi Chun	4	-	(a) Propose to rename Q10 (Hoi Chun) as "Tseung South". Reasons are summarised as follows: • the DCCA name "Hoi Chun" in the provisional recommendations is similar to Wings At Sea of LOHAS Park in Chinese, causing confusion easily; • the proposed name is in line with the common knowledge that the cluster of housing estates in the above DCCA is located in the south of Tseung Kwan O; and • the above DCCA includes The Wings II, IIIA and IIIB, Corinthia By The Sea, The Parkside, Twin Peaks, Ocean Wings, The Papillons, Monterey, Alto Residences and Capri but only housing estates of The Wings contain the word "Chun" and the names of The Papillons and Ocean Wings contain the word "Hoi".	<u>Items (a) and (b)</u> These proposals are **not accepted** because most DCCAs in the Sai Kung District in the provisional recommendations are named according to the established working principle of making reference to the major housing estates. The names proposed in the representations fail to reflect the characteristics or areas of the relevant DCCA.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				One representation considers that the English name of Q10 (Hoi Chun) may be renamed as "Tseung South".	
				(b) Propose to rename Q10 (Hoi Chun) as "O South". Reasons are summarised as follows: • the proposed name can accurately reflect the location of the DCCA concerned, including the housing estates in the south of MTR Tseung Kwan O Station; • the DCCA name in the provisional recommendations is similar to that of Ocean Wings and will be associated with The Wings II, IIIA and IIIB. It may lead to misunderstanding that the DCCA only includes the above buildings; and • Q10 (Hoi Chun) includes 12 housing estates located at the southern tip of Tseung Kwan O, including The Wings II, IIIA and IIIB, Corinthia By The Sea, The Parkside, Twin Peaks, Ocean Wings, Savannah, The Papillons, Monterey, Alto Residences and Capri.	

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				One representation considers that the English name of Q10 (Hoi Chun) can be renamed as "O South" or "TKO South". However, "TKO South" is longer and relatively undesirable.	
16	Q10 – Hoi Chun Q12 – Fu Kwan	-	2	Query whether the projected population figure (18 000) of Q10 (Hoi Chun) has been overestimated. Reasons are summarised as follows: • most of the newly-completed housing estates in the above DCCA contain small flats and many have yet to be occupied. Feeder minibuses are seldom used by residents; and • about 5 400 flats have been occupied in the above DCCA and there are currently about 6 600 flats in Q12 (Fu Kwan) but the projected populations of the two DCCAs are both 18 000. One representation considers that more housing estates may be transferred to the above DCCA.	The projected population figures as at 30 June 2019 are used for the delineation exercise for the 2019 DC Ordinary Election. As in the past, the projected population figures are provided by the AHSG, set up specially for the purpose of the delineation exercise under the Working Group on Population Distribution Projection in the PlanD. The current population distribution projections are derived by using scientific and systematic methodology based on the results of the 2016 population by-census carried out by the C&SD as well as the up-to-date official data kept by the relevant government departments. Members of the AHSG are all professional departments which all along have been responsible for territory-wide population census and projections on population distribution. They possess the most up-to-date information on the population and land and housing development, and the data are highly-accepted generally. The EAC has all along relied on the statistical figures provided by the AHSG, which are the only data available for the delineation exercise.

Item No.	***DCCAs***	***No.****		***Representations***	***EAC's Views***
		W	***O***		
17	Q10 – Hoi Chun Q16 – Hong King Q20 – Wai Yan Q21 – Wan Hang	1	-	Proposes to remain the original boundaries of Q16 (Hong King) and Q21 (Wan Hang) unchanged because: • Q20 (Wai Yan) is formed by splitting Q21 (Wan Hang) and Q16 (Hong King), which is different from how new DCCAs were created in the south of Tseung Kwan O in the past; • the population of Q16 (Hong King) will substantially decrease after the re-delineation whereas the population of the south of Tseung Kwan O including LOHAS Park and the surrounding area of Chi Shin Street will continue to increase. Therefore, it is unreasonable to create only one new DCCA Q10 (Hoi Chun); • the boundaries of Q16 (Hong King), Q20 (Wai Yan) and DCCAs in the south of Tseung Kwan O may need to be re-delineated in 2023 due to the above population factors; • considers that the provisional recommendations are not in line with the trend of population growth and that	This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) according to the proposal made in the representation, the population of Q21 (Wan Hang) (20 988) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+26.44%); (ii) for the newly-completed housing estates surrounding the area in the south of Tseung Kwan O, according to the 2015 original DCCA boundary, the population of Q11 (Po Yee) (33 553) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+102.14%). The provisional recommendations proposed to create a new DCCA Q10 (Hoi Chun) within the area of Q11 (Po Yee) but outside the Bauhinia Garden and Yee Ming Estate so that the population of the latter DCCA will fall within the statutory permissible range; (iii) it is an established practice that the delineation exercise for a DC ordinary election should be conducted on the basis of the latest projected population figures as at 30 June of the election year. Developments thereafter will not be taken into

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				there are also political consideration; and • the splitting of Q21 (Wan Hang) into two DCCAs in the provisional recommendations cause confusion to the residents.	consideration; and (iv) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Political factors will not be taken into consideration.
18	Q11 – Po Yee	1	-	Supports the provisional recommendations.	The supporting view is noted.
19	Q16 – Hong King Q20 – Wai Yan	12^	-	(a) Propose to retain Well On Garden, Finery Park and Radiant Towers in Q16 (Hong King), and that new DCCA Q20 (Wai Yan) comprises Verbena Heights and Serenity Place in Q16 (Hong King). Reasons are summarised as follows: • the above proposal is in line with the consideration given to the geographical location of the DCCA and more beneficial to the community integrity; • Well On Garden, Finery Park and Radiant Towers have all along belonged to Q16 (Hong King) and have a distant relationship with Verbena Heights. The provisional recommendations will break the community ties;	<u>Item (a)</u> This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) according to the proposal made in the representations, the population of Q20 (Wai Yan) (10 929) will be below the statutory permissible lower limit (-34.16%); and (ii) there is no sufficient objective information and justification to prove that the proposal made in the representations is obviously better than the provisional recommendations in terms of preserving local ties as well as geographical factors.

^One representation contains signatures of 54 residents of Well On Garden.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				• Serenity Place and Verbena Heights were developed and built by Hong Kong Housing Society. They belong to the same type of housing estate; • residents of Well On Garden are well used to belonging to Q16 (Hong King) over the years; and • no new buildings are developed in Q16 (Hong King).	
				(b) Propose that Q16 (Hong King) comprises Hong Sing Garden, King Ming Court, Well On Garden and Finery Park and that Q20 (Wai Yan) comprises Serenity Place, Radiant Towers and Verbena Heights. One representation considers that the proposal can achieve a more balanced population distribution among the DCCAs and help DC members provide services to residents in a more fair and efficient manner with the same amount of resources.	<u>Item (b)</u> This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) even though the affected population under the proposal made in the representations (6 625) will be smaller than that in the provisional recommendations (7 018) by 393, according to the proposal made in the representations, Well On Garden and Finery Park will be separated from other buildings in Q16 (Hong King) by Serenity Place. In addition, Serenity Place in Q16 (Hong King) is separated from Radiant Towers and Verbena Heights in Q20 (Wai Yan) by a main road Po Hong Road. On the contrary, according to the

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		provisional recommendations, Well On Garden, Finery Park and Radiant Towers, which are built side by side along Yuk Nga Lane in Q20 (Wai Yan), are geographically closer to Verbena Heights. Therefore, generally speaking, it is more reasonable in geographical terms to delineate Q16 (Hong King) and Q20 (Wai Yan) with the main road Po Hong Road as the boundary; and (ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Community services provided by DC members is not the relevant factor of consideration.
20	Q16 – Hong King Q20 – Wai Yan Q21 – Wan Hang	-	1	Supports the provisional recommendations.	The supporting view is noted.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
21	Q16 – Hong King Q20 – Wai Yan Q21 – Wan Hang	1	-	Objects to the re-delineation of the boundaries of Q16 (Hong King) and Q21 (Wan Hang). Considers that the populations of these DCCAs are stable and the creation of a new DCCA will cause disturbance to both the community and residents, and hence proposes to maintain the original DCCA boundaries as well as to cancel the creation of new DCCA Q20 (Wai Yan).	This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) according to the proposal made in the representation, the population of Q21 (Wan Hang) (20 988) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+26.44%); and (ii) if creation of the new DCCA Q20 (Wai Yan) is cancelled, the number of DCCAs will be smaller than the total number of elected seats in the Sai Kung District which does not meet the requirements of the EACO.
22	Q16 – Hong King Q20 – Wai Yan Q21 – Wan Hang Q28 – Wan Po North	1	-	Objects to the re-delineation of Q16 (Hong King) and Q21 (Wan Hang) into three DCCAs (including the new DCCA Q20 (Wai Yan)). Proposes to remain the boundary of Q21 (Wan Hang) unchanged and allow its population to slightly exceed the statutory permissible upper limit. And to form two new DCCAs by merging the surrounding area of Tseung Kwan O South Town Centre with Oscar By The Sea in Q28 (Wan Po North) because: • Q16 (Hong King) and Q21 (Wan Hang) are well-established DCCAs with long-existing local ties and co-operation. Hence, their DCCA	This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) in respect of the 2019 DC Ordinary Election, the Government has completed the review on the number of elected seats and the subsidiary legislation was passed by the LegCo in January 2018. There is an increase in the number of elected seats by two to 29 for the Sai Kung District for the next DC Ordinary Election. In accordance with the EACO, the EAC must follow the number of elected seats as stipulated for each DC under the DCO in delineating the DCCA boundaries, and

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				boundaries should not be rashly re-delineated; • there are no new development projects or large-scale population changes in both DCCAs from 2015 to 2019. Their populations in 2019 will also be smaller than those in 2015 by about 1 000. On the contrary, there have been constant population intakes in the new housing estates on the Tseung Kwan O South Waterfront in recent years, leading to a continuous growth in the population there; • the above proposal can better reflect places that have population growth in the DCCA and is also in keeping with the tradition that the Sai Kung DC creates new DCCAs in newly-developed housing estates and places that have population growth; • the above proposal affects the least number of existing DCCAs, brings the populations of the DCCAs to fall within the statutory permissible range, and also facilitates district work; • in the above proposal, re-delineation of the DCCA boundaries in 2023	strictly adhere to the statutory criteria under the EACO and its working principles. The delineation should be made on the basis of the projected populations, existing DCCA boundaries and relevant local factors; (ii) according to the 2015 original DCCA boundary, the populations of two DCCAs in the Sai Kung District, namely Q11 (Po Yee) and Q21 (Wan Hang), will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit while the populations of the remaining DCCAs will be within the statutory permissible range or below the statutory permissible lower limit. Therefore, the EAC proposed to create the two new DCCAs in Q10 (Hoi Chun) and Q20 (Wai Yan) so as to ensure that the populations of Q11 (Po Yee) and Q21 (Wan Hang) will fall within the statutory permissible range; (iii) the EAC notes that based on the 2015 original DCCA boundary, Q21 (Wan Hang) comprises different housing estates and in the provisional recommendations, only Verbena Heights is delineated in the new DCCA. The EAC

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				regarding the DCCAs of which their boundaries are adjusted in this exercise can be avoided; and • the EAC's principle of allowing the populations of other DCCAs to slightly exceed the statutory permissible upper limit due to community integrity and local ties is also applicable to Q21 (Wan Hang).	considers that there is no sufficient objective information and justification to prove that the provisional recommendations would break the community integrity and local ties of Q21 (Wan Hang); (iv) as regards the proposal to create a new DCCA in the south of Tseung Kwan O, please see item 17(ii); (v) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangement on district administration matters is not the relevant factor of consideration; and (vi) it is an established practice that the delineation exercise for a DC ordinary election should be conducted on the basis of the latest projected population figures as at 30 June of the election year. Developments thereafter will not be taken into consideration.
23	Q16 – Hong King Q20 – Wai Yan	-	1	Proposes to add a new DCCA in Q28 (Wan Po North) and Q29 (Wan Po South) because: • the provisional recommendations will bring the population of Q16 (Hong King)	This proposal is **not accepted**. Please see item 11(a).

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
	Q21 – Wan Hang Q28 – Wan Po North Q29 – Wan Po South			(approximately 12 000) closer to the statutory permissible lower limit and the populations of Q20 (Wai Yan) and Q21 (Wan Hang) are also decreasing. The boundaries of the above DCCAs may need to be re-delineated in the next term as their populations may be below the statutory permissible lower limit; and • the populations of both Q28 (Wan Po North) and Q29 (Wan Po South) are over 18 000 and there will soon be a population intake in Phase 6 of LOHAS Park.	
24	Q20 – Wai Yan	-	1	Supports the proposed Chinese name of Q20 (Wai Yan), but holds reservation on not citing the "Well" of "Well On Garden" in the proposed English name.	This proposal is **not accepted** because the relevant proposal is not in keeping with the EAC's established practice of forming the English names of DCCAs.
25	Q21 – Wan Hang	1	-	Objects to the re-delineation of the boundary of Q21 (Wan Hang) and considers that the private buildings there should not be transferred because that DCCA is a residential area with no changes in its population and community structure.	This proposal is **not accepted** because according to the proposal made in the representation, the population of Q21 (Wan Hang) (20 988) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+26.44%).
26	Q23 – Hau Tak Q24 – Fu Nam	1	-	(a) Objects to the current composition of Q24 (Fu Nam) because: • the above DCCA	<u>Items (a) and (b)</u> These proposals are **not accepted** because: (i) the populations of Q23

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
	Q26 – Nam On Q27 – Kwan Po			comprises housing estates, namely Residence Oasis, Fu Ning Garden and Yu Ming Court. These three housing estates are not geographically connected. As Residence Oasis is far away from the core area of Q24 (Fu Nam), its rights and interests are often overlooked; and • Fu Ning Garden and Yu Ming Court are Home Ownership Scheme estates while Residence Oasis is a private housing estate. Their residents are facing different community problems. Proposes: • to transfer Residence Oasis in Q24 (Fu Nam) to Q26 (Nam On), or form a new DCCA with housing estates in the surrounding area of MTR Hang Hau Station such as East Point City or On Ning Garden. If the population is required to be closer to the population quota, Nan Fung Plaza in Q26 (Nam On) may be transferred to Q27 (Kwan Po) to form a DCCA with La Cite Noble.	(Hau Tak), Q24 (Fu Nam), Q26 (Nam On) and Q27 (Kwan Po) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required; (ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangement on district administration matters is not the relevant factor of consideration; and (iii) it is an established practice that the delineation exercise for a DC ordinary election should be conducted on the basis of the latest projected population figures as at 30 June of the election year. Developments thereafter will not be taken into consideration.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				(b) The representation also proposes to draw reference to the options proposed in an online article as follows: <u>Option 1</u> to transfer Residence Oasis in Q24 (Fu Nam) to Q23 (Hau Tak), Tak On House and Tak Yue House of Hau Tak Estate in Q23 (Hau Tak) to Q24 (Fu Nam), and East Point City in Q26 (Nam On) to Q24 (Fu Nam) to compensate for the decrease in population resulting from the transfer of Residence Oasis to another DCCA. Reasons are as follows: • the population distribution of the three DCCAs after the re-delineation will be more even. Local ties will also become closer; • there will be one DCCA less with a population exceeding the statutory permissible upper limit; • there are still new residents moving into Residence Oasis while population outflow in Q23 (Hau Tak) has led to a gradual decrease in its population. The growth in population of Residence Oasis can be offset by the decrease in	

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				population in the above DCCA; and • the above proposal has taken into account the population changes in the DCCAs in the future. No need of re-delineation in the future; or <u>Option 2</u> to transfer Yu Ming Court in Q24 (Fu Nam) to Q23 (Hau Tak), to form Q24 (Fu Nam) that comprises East Point City in Q26 (Nam On), Fu Ning Garden and Residence Oasis, and to transfer Chung Ming Court in Q23 (Hau Tak) to Q26 (Nam On); or <u>Option 3</u> to transfer Chung Ming Court in Q23 (Hau Tak) to Q26 (Nam On), and East Point City in Q26 (Nam On) to Q23 (Hau Tak).	
27	Q27 – Kwan Po Q28 – Wan Po North Q29 – Wan Po South	-	1	The representation states that Tseung Kwan O is divided into three large areas: the area surrounding Tseung Kwan O Waterfront is Tseung Kwan O South or Town Centre Area; Q27 (Kwan Po) (excluding Tseung Kwan O Plaza) is Hang Hau Area; and Q28 (Wan Po North) and Q29 (Wan Po South) are LOHAS Park Area. Considers that the three areas above should be considered in conjunction in delineating the	This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) the populations of Q27 (Kwan Po), Q28 (Wan Po North) and Q29 (Wan Po South) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required; and

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				DCCA boundaries. Proposes: • to transfer Tseung Kwan O Plaza in Q27 (Kwan Po) to DCCAs in Tsuen Kwan O South because even though Q27 (Kwan Po) has comprised the housing estates Tseung Kwan O Plaza and La Cite Noble since 2015, they are relatively far away from each other geographically. Hence, it is difficult for the DC member of the DCCA to handle affairs with regard to residents of the above housing estates. Moreover, Tseung Kwan O South (or Town Centre Area) is where residents of Tseung Kwan O Plaza carry out their daily activities; and • to transfer Oscar By The Sea in Q28 (Wan Po North) to Q27 (Kwan Po) because the community features of the two DCCAs are similar.	(ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Community services provided by DC members is not the relevant factor of consideration.

Appendix II - R

Sha Tin District

Summaries of Written/Oral Representations

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
1	All DCCAs	1	-	(a) Supports the provisional recommendations on R01 (Sha Tin Town Centre), R02 (Lek Yuen), R03 (Wo Che Estate), R04 (City One), R05 (Yue Shing), R06 (Wong Uk), R22 (Sui Wo), R25 (Hoi Nam), R26 (Chung On), R27 (Kam To), R28 (Ma On Shan Town Centre), R29 (Wu Kai Sha), R30 (Lee On), R31 (Fu Lung), R32 (Kam Ying), R33 (Yiu On), R34 (Heng On), R35 (Tai Shui Hang) and R36 (On Tai).	Item (a) The supporting view is noted.
				(b) Objects to the provisional recommendations on R07 (Sha Kok) and holds reservation on the provisional recommendations on R08 (Pok Hong), R09 (Shui Chuen O) and R10 (Jat Chuen). Considers that despite the populations of the above DCCAs will fall within the statutory permissible range, it is relatively undesirable to split Shui Chuen O Estate into two DCCAs.	Item (b) This representation is **not accepted** because if the entire Shui Chuen O Estate is delineated into one DCCA, the population of the DCCA (29 387) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+77.04%).

* W: Number of written representations.
O : Number of oral representations.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				(c) Holds reservation on the provisional recommendations on R11 (Chun Fung), R12 (Sun Tin Wai), R13 (Chui Tin), R14 (Hin Ka), R17 (Keng Hau), R20 (Tai Wai), R38 (Di Yee) and R39 (Bik Woo).	Item (c) The view is noted.
				(d) Holds reservation on the provisional recommendations on R16 (Wan Shing), R18 (Tin Sum) and R19 (Chui Ka). Proposes to maintain the boundary of R19 (Chui Ka) and transfer Carado Garden from R16 (Wan Shing) to R18 (Tin Sum). It is because Carado Garden is further away from Festival City in R16 (Wan Shing) geographically, on the contrary, it shares to use Tin Sam Street with Lung Hang Estate and Tin Sam Tsuen in R18 (Tin Sum) and they belong to the same community. The representation considers that the proposal can even out the populations of the above DCCAs and improve the shape of R18 (Tin Sum).	Item (d) This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) the affected population under the proposal made in the representation (6 077) will be larger than that in the provisional recommendations (2 154) by 3 923; (ii) according to the provisional recommendations, the population of R19 (Chui Ka) will become 18 417 after absorbing Holford Gardens from R16 (Wan Shing), deviating from the population quota by +10.95%. However, according to the proposal made in the representation, the population of R18 (Tin Sum) will substantially increase to 20 404 after absorbing Carado Garden from R16 (Wan Shing), deviating from the population quota by +22.92%. Comparatively speaking, the provisional recommendations are more desirable; and

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
					(iii) although the shape of a DCCA is a relevant factor of consideration, it is confined by population distribution to a certain extent and is not a prime factor of consideration.
				(e) Holds reservation on the provisional recommendations on R15 (Lower Shing Mun) and R21 (Chung Tin). Proposes to transfer Mei Chuen House of Mei Tin Estate from R21 (Chung Tin) to R15 (Lower Shing Mun) in order to maintain the community characteristics of Mei Tin Estate provided that the populations of these DCCAs will fall within the statutory permissible range.	Item (e) This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) the populations of R15 (Lower Shing Mun) and R21 (Chung Tin) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required; and (ii) according to the proposal made in the representation, the population of R15 (Lower Shing Mun) (21 755) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+31.06%).
				(f) Objects to the provisional recommendations on R23 (Fo Tan) and R24 (Chun Ma). Proposes to transfer Dragons Range from R23 (Fo Tan) to R24 (Chun Ma) as the population of R23 (Fo Tan) is relatively larger. Also, there are local ties between Dragons Range and R24 (Chun Ma) since Lai Ping Road is the only access to Dragons Range.	Item (f) This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) the number of affected DCCAs under the proposal made in the representation will be one more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under the proposal will be larger, too; and

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
					(ii) there is no sufficient objective information and justification to prove that the proposal made in the representation is obviously better than the provisional recommendations in terms of preserving local ties.
				(g) Holds reservation on the provisional recommendations on R37 (Yu Yan), R40 (Kwong Hong) and R41 (Kwong Yuen). Proposes: • to transfer Mui Tsz Lam and Ah Kung Kok Fishermen Village from R37 (Yu Yan) to R40 (Kwong Hong) because the residents of these two places need to use the roads in R35 (Tai Shui Hang), R39 (Bik Woo) or R40 (Kwong Hong) for access and their community ties with R37 (Yu Yan) are not strong. It is therefore more reasonable to transfer them to R40 (Kwong Hong); and • to transfer To Shek, Chap Wai Kon, Ngau Pei Sha, Siu Lek Yuen and Kwun Yam Shan Village, etc. from R37 (Yu Yan) to R41 (Kwong Yuen). It is	Item (g) This proposal is **not accepted** because the population of R37 (Yu Yan) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustment to its existing boundary is not required.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				because the above areas, together with R41 (Kwong Yuen), are all affected by the traffic at Tate's Cairn Tunnel, and the proposal can even out the populations of the above five DCCAs.	
2	R01 – Sha Tin Town Centre R11 – Chun Fung R12 – Sun Tin Wai R13 – Chui Tin R15 – Lower Shing Mun R16 – Wan Shing R18 – Tin Sum R19 – Chui Ka R20 – Tai Wai	1	-	Since the buildings of Sun Chui Estate and Mei Tin Estate are delineated into different DCCAs, and R19 (Chui Ka) straddles across the areas separated by the rail line of MTR Tai Wai Station, it is proposed: • to transfer Mei Chuen House of Mei Tin Estate from R21 (Chung Tin) to R15 (Lower Shing Mun) and absorb the entire Tung Lo Wan Hill located in R01 (Sha Tin Town Centre); • that R15 (Lower Shing Mun) only includes Mei Tin Estate and Mei Ying Court and that the areas surrounding Tai Wai New Village, Sha Tin Heights and Tai Po Road in the DCCA be transferred to R19 (Chui Ka); • that R20 (Tai Wai) only includes May Shing Court and Mei Lam Estate, that the area surrounding Tai Wai Village in the DCCA be transferred to R19 (Chui Ka) and to rename R20 (Tai Wai) as "Mei Lam";	This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) the number of affected DCCAs under the proposal made in the representation will be eight more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under the proposal will be larger, too; and (ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on the population distribution and relevant local factors. It is inevitable that a DCCA is composed of more than one community.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
	R21 – Chung Tin			• that R19 (Chui Ka) also absorbs Holford Gardens from R16 (Wan Shing), that Sun Chui Estate in the DCCA be transferred to R13 (Chui Tin) and to rename R19 (Chui Ka) as "Tai Wai"; • that R13 (Chui Tin) only includes the entire Sun Chui Estate, and that King Tin Court in the DCCA be transferred to R18 (Tin Sum), and to transfer Golden Lion Garden Stage II to R11 (Chun Fung) and World-Wide Gardens to other DCCAs; and • to transfer Fung Shing Court, Sha Tin Tau Village and Sha Tin Tau New Village from R11 (Chun Fung) to R12 (Sun Tin Wai) and absorb the area surrounding Chui Tin Street from R12 (Sun Tin Wai) and Golden Lion Garden Stage II from R13 (Chui Tin) and to rename R11 (Chun Fung) as "Che Kung Miu".	

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
3	R01 – Sha Tin Town Centre R11 – Chun Fung R19 – Chui Ka R20 – Tai Wai R26 – Chung On R27 – Kam To R28 – Ma On Shan Town Centre R30 – Lee On R31 – Fu Lung R32 – Kam Ying R33 – Yiu On R34 – Heng On R35 – Tai Shui Hang	1	-	Proposes: • to transfer The Riverpark from R11 (Chun Fung) to R01 (Sha Tin Town Centre); • to transfer Grandway Garden, Grandeur Garden and residential buildings surrounding the area between Tsuen Nam Road and Chik Fuk Street from R19 (Chui Ka) to R20 (Tai Wai) and rename R19 (Chui Ka) as "Sun Chui"; • to transfer Vista Paradiso and Oceanaire from R26 (Chung On) to R35 (Tai Shui Hang) and also to transfer Ma On Shan Recreation Ground and Sports Ground in the DCCA to R34 (Heng On); • to transfer The Waterside and Marbella from R27 (Kam To) to R28 (Ma On Shan Town Centre) and rename R27 (Kam To) as "Kam Fung"; • to transfer Kam Lung Court from R31 (Fu Lung) to R30 (Lee On) and rename R31 (Fu Lung) as "Fu Po"; and • to transfer On Luk Street Park from R32 (Kam Ying) to R33 (Yiu On).	This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) if Vista Paradiso and Oceanaire are to be transferred from R26 (Chung On) to R35 (Tai Shui Hang), the population of R35 (Tai Shui Hang) (29 153) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+75.63%). Besides, as Ma On Shan Recreation Ground and Sports Ground located in R26 (Chung On) have no population, there is no need to adjust the boundaries; and (ii) the populations of R01 (Sha Tin Town Centre), R11 (Chun Fung), R20 (Tai Wai), R27 (Kam To), R28 (Ma On Shan Town Centre), R30 (Lee On), R31 (Fu Lung), R32 (Kam Ying) and R33 (Yiu On) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
4	R07 – Sha Kok R08 – Pok Hong R09 – Shui Chuen O R10 – Jat Chuen	1	-	In the light of increasing population in the foreseeable future, proposes to create two new DCCAs at Shui Chuen O Estate. Details are as follows: • the DCCA Jat Min comprises Jat Min Chuen, Yue Shing Court and Tsang Tai Uk; • the DCCA Sha Kok comprises Sha Kok Estate, Sha Tin Wai and Fui Yiu Ha New Village; • the DCCA Pok Hong comprises Pok Hong Estate and Tsok Pok Hang San Tsuen; and • Shui Chuen O Estate is split into two DCCAs, each including nine blocks.	This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) the number of affected DCCAs under the proposal made in the representation will be one more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under the proposal will be larger, too; and (ii) the delineation recommendations are made on the basis of overall consideration from a macro perspective. No special emphasis to any DCCA will be given. It is proposed in the representation to create two new DCCAs at Shui Chuen O Estate. In view of the needs to create new DCCAs in other locations of the Sha Tin District so that their populations will be brought within the statutory permissible range, the proposal to create two new DCCAs at Shui Chuen O Estate is therefore not desirable.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
5	R07 – Sha Kok R08 – Pok Hong R09 – Shui Chuen O R13 – Chui Tin R14 – Hin Ka R15 – Lower Shing Mun R16 – Wan Shing R17 – Keng Hau R18 – Tin Sum R19 – Chui Ka R21 – Chung Tin	5^	1	(a) Propose : • to transfer Holford Gardens from R16 (Wan Shing) to R15 (Lower Shing Mun); and • to transfer Mei Ying Court and some of the buildings of Mei Tin Estate or Mei Chi House of Mei Tin Estate from R15 (Lower Shing Mun) to R21 (Chung Tin). Reasons are summarised as follows: • it is expected that the property development atop MTR Tai Wai Station in R19 (Chui Ka) will be completed in 2022 or 2023, at which time the population of the DCCA concerned will substantially increase and exceed the statutory permissible upper limit. Hence, a re-delineation will be required; • in the future, it is likely that Holford Gardens needs to be transferred to other DCCAs again as a result of the substantial increase in the population of R19 (Chui Ka). Frequently transferring Holford Gardens to different DCCAs is unfair to the residents there; and	<u>Item (a)</u> This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) the number of affected DCCAs under the proposal made in the representations will be one more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under the proposal will be larger, too; (ii) after receiving the representations, the EAC conducted a site visit and noticed that Holford Gardens located in R16 (Wan Shing) is geographically closer to Grandeur Garden and Grandway Garden located in R19 (Chui Ka), being only separated by Mei Tin Road and connected by crossing facilities such as lifts and footbridges. On the contrary, there is longer distance from Holford Gardens to R15 (Lower Shing Mun). Therefore, geographically, it is more desirable to transfer Holford Gardens to R19 (Chui Ka); (iii) according to the projected population in 2019, R19 (Chui Ka) has more capacity than R15 (Lower

^Of which, one representation contains 1 544 signatures from the public.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
	R22 – Sui Wo R23 – Fo Tan R25 – Hoi Nam R26 – Chung On R27 – Kam To R37 – Yu Yan R40 – Kwong Hong R41 – Kwong Yuen			• the proposal can bring the population of R21 (Chung Tin) closer to the population quota. Three representations consider that: • there are other DCCAs in Tai Wai (e.g. R13 (Chui Tin), R14 (Hin Ka), R18 (Tin Sum) and R21 (Chung Tin)) that have a smaller population than R19 (Chui Ka); and • the population of R19 (Chui Ka) is the closest to the population quota. There is no urgent need to adjust its existing boundary. Two representations consider that: • Mei Chuen House of Mei Tin Estate is currently in R21 (Chung Tin). Transferring the adjacent Mei Chi House and Mei Ying Court to R21 (Chung Tin) is more appropriate in geographical terms (such as the sharing of community facilities); • there will not be any completion of large-scale residential projects in R15 (Lower Shing Mun) and R21 (Chung Tin) in the future. Hence, there will not be a substantial increase in population; and	Shing Mun) to absorb the excess population of R16 (Wan Shing); (iv) it is an established practice that the delineation exercise for a DC ordinary election should be conducted on the basis of the latest projected population figures as at 30 June of the election year. Developments thereafter will not be taken into consideration; (v) Holford Gardens has not been transferred multiple times. Holford Gardens originally belonged to R15 (Lower Shing Mun). Until 2015, as the populations of that DCCA and two adjacent DCCAs exceeded the statutory permissible upper limit, a new DCCA Wan Shing was created at the location of Holford Gardens, Festival City and Carado Garden. Grandeur Garden and Grandway Garden, which are in R19 (Chui Ka) that absorbs Holford Gardens under the provisional recommendations, belonged to the same DCCA as Holford Gardens in 1999, 2003 and 2007;

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				• the population of R15 (Lower Shing Mun) can be maintained at a similar level. Two representations consider that it is unreasonable not to accept the representations concerned based on geographical factors. It is because the distance between A Kung Kok and Yu Chui Court in R37 (Yu Yan) is far apart and both places have no community ties at all yet they are still in the same DCCA. • One of the representations states that the geographical barrier between the surrounding area of Lower Shing Mun connecting Sha Tin Heights and Mei Tin Estate and Tai Wai New Village in R15 (Lower Shing Mun) is greater, whereas Holford Gardens and Lower Shing Mun are a single entity. The proposal can better meet the statutory criteria for delineation. • One of the representations states that the same proposal was made in a representation with regard to the DCCA boundaries for the 2015 DC Election. At the time, the EAC refused to accept the representation concerned and pointed out that Holford Gardens, Festival City and Carado Garden are located in the town centre of Tai Wai	(vi) the representation received with regard to the DCCA boundaries for the 2015 DC Election as mentioned in the present representation referred to the transfer of Holford Gardens to R21 (Chung Tin), instead of to R15 (Lower Shing Mun). Therefore, it is different from this representation. Furthermore, the EAC's view at that time, i.e. Holford Gardens is geographically separated from R15 (Lower Shing Mun) and R21 (Chung Tin), remains valid; and (vii) the composition of each DCCA is determined by its own unique features. It is not appropriate to make comparison solely based on one factor.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				using common community facilities. Taking into consideration the geographical and community factors, the cluster of residential buildings belongs to a relatively independent society with community integrity. They are geographically separated from Tai Wai New Village of R15 (Lower Shing Mun). However, it was pointed out in the representation that there was no direct access between Mei Tin Estate and Tai Wai New Village in R15 (Lower Shing Mun) as the two places were separated by a crematorium and a funeral parlour. Therefore, geographical factors should not be the reason for the refusal of the representation. One representation considers that: • there are no obvious links between Holford Gardens and R19 (Chui Ka) in terms of physical features and local ties. In addition, Tai Wai Market cannot serve as a connecting facility between both places as this relatively large public facility is used by residents of various areas in Tai Wai. Hence, this cannot be the reason to transfer Holford Gardens to R19 (Chui Ka);	

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				• Holford Gardens originally belonged to R15 (Lower Shing Mun). Residents do not need to re-adapt to the DCCA and its public facilities; • the proposal made in the representations can stabilise the populations of the adjacent DCCAs and no re-delineation will therefore be needed in the future; and • similar to the practice used in delineating the surrounding area of Tai Wai in the past, Mei Tin Road is used as the separator in delineating the DCCAs near R19 (Chui Ka). The proposal can better reflect the geographical characteristics of the community.	
				(b) One representation considers that the populations of some DCCAs in the Sha Tin District are closed to the statutory permissible upper limit or lower limit and that the populations of adjacent DCCAs are not effectively distributed. Proposes that changes should be made to the boundaries of these DCCAs to even out their populations. They include: • R07 (Sha Kok), R08 (Pok Hong) and R09 (Shui Chuen O);	<u>Item (b)</u> The view is noted. In drawing up the provisional recommendations, the EAC has strictly adhered to the statutory criteria under the EACO and its working principles. The recommendations were made on the basis of the projected populations, existing DCCA boundaries and relevant local factors.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				• R14 (Hin Ka) and R17 (Keng Hau); • R22 (Sui Wo) and R23 (Fo Tan); • R25 (Hoi Nam), R26 (Chung On) and R27 (Kam To); and • R40 (Kwong Hong) and R41 (Kwong Yuen).	
6	R08 – Pok Hong R09 – Shui Chuen O R10 – Jat Chuen	1	-	Holds no objection to the provisional recommendations.	The view is noted.
7	R08 – Pok Hong R09 – Shui Chuen O R10 – Jat Chuen	1	1	(a) Query the projected population of R08 (Pok Hong) as stated in the provisional recommendations. It is because the population of R08 (Pok Hong) decreases instead of increases after the transfer of four villages to it under the provisional recommendations. The representations consider that miscalculation of population will affect the decision on delineation. The information provided in the representation is as follows:	<u>Item (a)</u> The projected population figures as at 30 June 2019 are used for the delineation exercise for the 2019 DC Ordinary Election. As in the past, the projected population figures are provided by the AHSG, set up specially for the purpose of the delineation exercise under the Working Group on Population Distribution Projection in the PlanD. The current population distribution projections are derived by using scientific and systematic methodology based on the results of the 2016 population by-census carried

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				• according to the paper of the Development and Housing Committee of the Sha Tin DC — Population of Public Housing Estates and Private Sector Participation Scheme Courts in Sha Tin (as at 1 June 2018), the population of Pok Hong Estate is 16 615 in total; and • according to the Summaries of DCCA boundaries of DC Elections in 2011 and 2015, the projected populations of R08 (Pok Hong) were 17 186 and 16 341 respectively.	out by the C&SD as well as the up-to-date official data kept by the relevant government departments. Members of the AHSG are all professional departments which all along have been responsible for territory-wide population census and projections on population distribution. They possess the most up-to-date information on the population and land and housing development, and the data are highly-accepted generally. The EAC has all along relied on the statistical figures provided by the AHSG, which are the only data available for the delineation exercise.
				(b) Propose to set up a polling station at Shui Chuen O Estate in the 2019 DC Election because the arrangement of setting up two polling stations at Pok Hong Estate for electors of Pok Hong Estate and Shui Chuen O Estate respectively to cast their votes in the 2018 LegCo By-election gave rise to misunderstanding and chaos. Also due to the absence of sufficient directions on the polling day, some electors went to a wrong polling station and as a result were unable to vote.	<u>Item (b)</u> The EAC has referred the relevant view concerning the arrangement on polling station to the REO for consideration.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				One representation also proposes to set up a polling station at kindergartens, schools or social welfare organisations. If there are no indoor venues at Shui Chuen O Estate suitable for setting up a polling station, may consider setting up an outdoor polling station.	
				(c) One representation objects to the transfer of Sha Tin Wai, Sha Tin Wai New Village, Fui Yiu Ha and Tse Uk Village to R08 (Pok Hong) and proposes to retain them in R10 (Jat Chuen) instead. It is because: • delineation of DCCA boundaries should be conducted under the principle of affecting the least number of DCCAs; • Sha Tin Wai, Sha Tin Wai New Village, Fui Yiu Ha and Tse Uk Village originally belonged to the DCCA Jat Min in 2015 and they should continue to be included in R10 (Jat Chuen); and • since 2011, Sha Tin Wai, Sha Tin Wai New Village, Fui Yiu Ha and Tse Uk Village together with Tsok Pok Hang San Tsuen and Tsang Tai Uk	Item (c) This proposal is **not accepted** because according to the proposal made in the representation, the population of R10 (Jat Chuen) (21 592) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+30.08%).

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				have been delineated into the same DCCA. On the premise that electors' views and community integrity are to be honoured, the above places should continue to be included in R10 (Jat Chuen).	
8	R08 – Pok Hong R09 – Shui Chuen O R10 – Jat Chuen R14 – Hin Ka R15 – Lower Shing Mun R16 – Wan Shing R17 – Keng Hau R18 – Tin Sum R19 – Chui Ka	1	-	(a) Proposes: • to transfer one block of Shek Mun Estate Phase 2 from R38 (Di Yee) to R39 (Bik Woo) and the remaining two blocks to R37 (Yu Yan); • to transfer Ah Kung Kok Fishermen Village from R37 (Yu Yan) and Greenhill Villa from R38 (Di Yee) to R40 (Kwong Hong); and • to transfer Kwong Lam Court from R40 (Kwong Hong) to R41 (Kwong Yuen). It is because: • the populations of R38 (Di Yee) and R39 (Bik Woo) are only about 16 000 while those of R40 (Kwong Hong) and R41 (Kwong Yuen) are only about 13 000. The situation therein are completely different from that at Shui Chuen	<u>Item (a)</u> This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) the number of affected DCCAs under the proposal made in the representation will be two more than that in the provisional recommendations; (ii) based on the 2015 original DCCA boundary, the populations of R39 (Bik Woo) and R40 (Kwong Hong) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit, hence, the EAC proposed to create the new DCCA R38 (Di Yee) in-between the above two DCCAs so that the populations of the DCCAs concerned would fall within the statutory permissible range. The provisional recommendations do not affect other DCCAs of which the populations will fall within the statutory permissible range; and

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
	R20 – Tai Wai R21 – Chung Tin R22 – Sui Wo R23 – Fo Tan R37 – Yu Yan R38 – Di Yee R39 – Bik Woo R40 – Kwong Hong R41 – Kwong Yuen			O Estate and the area near Tai Wai where their populations are increasing. Hence, disagrees with the creation of the new DCCA R38 (Di Yee) at the proposed location; • there are no ties between the area in the south of MTR City One Station and Ah Kung Kok Fishermen Village in R37 (Yu Yan); • the proposal made in the representation can release one DCCA for the creation of a new DCCA at Shui Chuen O Estate (item (b) below) or the area near Tai Wai (item (d) below) to solve the problem of population exceeding the permissible upper limit; and • the populations of the above four DCCAs will be adjusted to fall within the range from 16 000 to 19 000 after the proposed adjustments, which are more desirable than the provisional recommendations.	(iii) please see items 4(ii) and 8(d)(ii).

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				(b) To best comply with the EAC's working principles, population figures and statutory requirements, proposes that: • the boundary of R08 (Pok Hong) remains unchanged; • R10 (Jat Chuen) shall comprise buildings under the DCCA Jat Min in the last term (excluding Shui Chuen O Estate) and maintain its original name "Jat Min"; and • Shui Chuen O Estate be split into two DCCAs. It is because: • it is estimated that the population of Shui Chuen O Estate, after its completion, will be as high as 30 000. The creation of R09 (Shui Chuen O) with a population of up to some 20 000, and the substantial increase of the population of and the changes made to R10 (Jat Chuen) as made in the provisional recommendations are neither in line with the statutory criteria nor the working principles; and	<u>Item (b)</u> This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) please see item 4(ii); and (ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Community services provided by DC members is not the relevant factor of consideration.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				• Jat Min Chuen is far away from Shui Chuen O Estate and it will be difficult for a DC member to take care of the needs of two estates. Including one of the phases of Shui Chuen O Estate in R10 (Jat Chuen) will make it difficult for the residents to seek assistance. This will also increase the burden on the DC member of R09 (Shui Chuen O) and cause unfairness.	
				(c) Proposes to transfer the area surrounding Hin Tin from R17 (Keng Hau) to R14 (Hin Ka) for the purposes of evening out the populations of the two DCCAs and greater fairness.	Item (c) This proposal is **not accepted** because the populations of R14 (Hin Ka) and R17 (Keng Hau) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required.
				(d) Proposes: • to transfer May Shing Court from R20 (Tai Wai) to R21 (Chung Tin); • to transfer Mei Chuen House of Mei Tin Estate from R21 (Chung Tin) to R15 (Lower Shing Mun); • to form a new DCCA by comprising buildings	Item (d) This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) the number of affected DCCAs under the proposal made in the representation will be four more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under the proposal will be larger, too;

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				surrounding Sha Tin Heights in R15 (Lower Shing Mun) together with Holford Gardens in R16 (Wan Shing), Grandeur Garden, Grandway Garden and buildings in the north of MTR Tai Wai Station in R19 (Chui Ka); and • to transfer Tin Sam from R18 (Tin Sum) to R19 (Chui Ka) and to form a DCCA by absorbing Carado Garden in R16 (Wan Shing) and Lung Hang Estate in R18 (Tin Sum). It is because: • the aggregate population of R15 (Lower Shing Mun), R16 (Wan Shing), R19 (Chui Ka) and R20 (Tai Wai) amounts to 78 000 and therefore a new DCCA should be created at this location; and • only one block (Mei Chuen House) of Mei Tin Estate is included in R21 (Chung Tin) and it is not in line with the principle of community integrity.	(ii) the delineation recommendations are made on the basis of overall consideration from a macro perspective. No special emphasis to any DCCA will be given. It is proposed in the representation to create a new DCCA at the relevant location. However, among the DCCAs as mentioned in the representation, only R16 (Wan Shing) has a population exceeding the statutory permissible upper limit. In view of the needs to create new DCCAs in other locations of the Sha Tin District so that their populations will be brought within the statutory permissible range, the proposal to create a new DCCA at this location is therefore not desirable; and (iii) the delineation recommendations must be based on the population distribution and relevant local factors. It is inevitable that a DCCA is composed of more than one community.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				(e) To even out the populations of these two DCCAs and having regard to the possibility of over-estimating or under-estimating the populations of certain areas, proposes to transfer Pat Tsz Wo Village and Wo Liu Hang together with buildings closer to the side of Wo Liu Hang Road from R23 (Fo Tan) to R22 (Sui Wo).	<u>Item (e)</u> This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) the affected population under the proposal made in the representation (1 651) will be larger than that in the provisional recommendations (717) by 934; and (ii) the projected population figures as at 30 June 2019 are used for the delineation exercise for the 2019 DC Ordinary Election. As in the past, the projected population figures are provided by the AHSG, set up specially for the purpose of the delineation exercise under the Working Group on Population Distribution Projection in the PlanD. The current population distribution projections are derived by using scientific and systematic methodology based on the results of the 2016 population by-census carried out by the C&SD as well as the up-to-date official data kept by the relevant government departments. Members of the AHSG are all professional departments which all along have been responsible for territory-wide population census and projections on

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
					population distribution. They possess the most up-to-date information on the population and land and housing development, and the data are highly-accepted generally. The EAC has all along relied on the statistical figures provided by the AHSG, which are the only data available for the delineation exercise.
9	R09 – Shui Chuen O R10 – Jat Chuen	-	1	Proposes that Shui Chuen O Estate Phase 1 be retained in R10 (Jat Chuen) and that the new DCCA R09 (Shui Chuen O) comprises Shui Chuen O Estate Phases 2, 3 and 4 because: • in the past two LegCo Election and By-election, residents of Shui Chuen O Estate Phase 1 belonged to R10 (Jat Chuen). Maintaining such delineation can avoid confusion amongst residents with regard to their respective DCCAs; • residents of Shui Chuen O Estate Phase 1 are well used to seeking help from the DC member of R10 (Jat Chuen); and • Shui Chuen O Estate is built on hillsides, of which Phases	This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) if Shui Chuen O Estate Phases 2, 3 and 4 be delineated into the same DCCA, the population of the DCCA (21 402) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+28.94%); (ii) after receiving the representation, the EAC conducted a site visit and noticed that Shui Chuen O Estate Phase 1 is located in the centre of the Estate. Comparatively speaking, Shui Chuen O Estate Phase 2 is nearer to the lifts which connect it to the area down the hill and is closer to R10 (Jat Chuen) geographically; and

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				1 and 4 are located up the hill. There are escalators and footbridges connecting Phases 4 and 2, which is located down the hill. Hence, the delineation of Shui Chuen O Estate Phases 2, 3 and 4 in the same DCCA will make it more convenient for residents to seek help and for the DC member to provide services.	(iii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Community services provided by DC members is not the relevant factor of consideration.
10	R09 – Shui Chuen O R10 – Jat Chuen	6[#]	1	(a) Propose to set up a polling station at Shui Chuen O Estate. Six representations state that in the 2018 LegCo By-election, residents of Shui Chuen O Estate had to go downhill to vote at the polling station, thus causing inconvenience to them (especially the elderly).	Item (a) The EAC has referred the relevant view concerning the arrangement on polling station to the REO for consideration.
				(b) Six representations object to the provisional recommendations on including Shui Chuen O Estate Phases 1, 3 and 4 in the same DCCA, and propose to include Shui Chuen O Estate Phases 2, 3 and 4 in the same DCCA. Reasons are summarised as follows: • five representations state that Shui Chuen O Estate Phases 2, 3 and 4 have more in common in terms of the geographical	Item (b) This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) please see item 9(i) and (ii); and (ii) there is no sufficient objective information and justification to prove that the provisional recommendations will affect the community integrity as mentioned in the representation.

[#]All are template letters.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				environment. Their social and humanistic qualities are highly similar; • one representation states that residents of Shui Chuen O Estate Phases 2, 3 and 4 moved in at about the same time; and • one representation states that during the 2018 LegCo By-election, residents of Shui Chuen O Estate Phase 1 belonged to R10 (Jat Chuen) and those of Phases 2, 3 and 4 belonged to another DCCA. The provisional recommendations will affect community integrity.	
11	R09 – Shui Chuen O R10 – Jat Chuen	31[%]	-	Propose to set up a polling station at Shui Chuen O Estate each for R09 (Shui Chuen O) and R10 (Jat Chuen) respectively. 30 representations consider that: • according to the last DC Ordinary Election, arrangements were made for residents of Shui Chuen O Estate to vote at the Hong Kong Girl Guides Association Pok Hong Camp	The EAC has referred the relevant view concerning the arrangement on polling station to the REO for consideration.

[%]Of which, 30 are template letters.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				Site. As Shui Chuen O is built on hillsides, going to the polling station mainly involves walking on steep roads, making it extremely inconvenient for the residents; and • it is observed that venues such as community halls, sports centres, schools, etc. are mainly acquired for use as polling stations in other DCCAs. Even though the relevant facilities cannot be found in the vicinity of Belair Gardens, a polling station can still be set up at a covered corridor. There are currently three kindergartens, two offices of the HD and one relatively large plaza at Shui Chuen O Estate. It is hoped to make good use of these venues to set up polling stations so as to bring convenience to residents of Shui Chuen O Estate. One representation considers that: • in the 2018 LegCo By-election, arrangements were made for electors of Shui Chuen O Estate to vote at the polling station at The Salvation Army Tin Ka Ping School in Pok Hong Estate, causing inconvenience to the electors. Arrangements that involve cross-DCCA voting are unreasonable; and	

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				• there are three kindergartens, three offices of the HD and an open space with a covered walkway at Shui Chuen O Estate. Consideration can be given to choosing two of the venues as polling stations.	
12	R10 – Jat Chuen	1	-	Objects to the provisional recommendations because: • Shui Chuen O Estate and Jat Min Chuen in R10 (Jat Chuen) are located up and down the hill respectively, making them geographically separated; • the two estates are separated by R07 (Sha Kok) and R08 (Pok Hong), which will have a considerable bearing on the distribution of community resources and the continuity of services provided by the elected DC member; • Jat Min Chuen is an old estate while Shui Chuen O Estate is a new housing estate. Hence, electors have different community needs. The provisional recommendations will break community harmony; and • it takes time for residents to go to the DC member's office to seek help.	This representation is **not accepted** because: (i) the delineation recommendations must be based on the population distribution and relevant local factors. It is inevitable that a DCCA is composed of more than one community. Besides, Jat Min Chuen and Shui Chuen O Estate originally belonged to the same DCCA; and (ii) arrangements on district administration matters or community services provided by DC members are not the relevant factors of consideration.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
13	R12 – Sun Tin Wai R13 – Chui Tin R19 – Chui Ka	1	-	Proposes to transfer Golden Lion Garden Stage I from R12 (Sun Tin Wai) to R13 (Chui Tin) or R19 (Chui Ka) because: • residents of Golden Lion Garden Stage I pass by Sun Chui Estate, meet with the DC member of the DCCA and use the facilities there every day; • the office of current DC member of R12 (Sun Tin Wai) is set up at Sun Tin Wai Estate up the hill, which causes inconvenience to residents of Golden Lion Garden Stage I to go there to seek help. On the contrary, the office of the person who provides services to residents of Golden Lion Garden Stage I is located in the adjacent DCCA; and • residents of Golden Lion Garden Stage I are required to vote at the polling station at Sun Tin Wai Estate. This will affect their desire to vote.	This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) the population of R12 (Sun Tin Wai) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustment to its existing boundary is not required; and (ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Community services provided by DC members and arrangement on polling station are not the relevant factors of consideration. The EAC has referred the relevant view concerning the arrangement on polling station to the REO for consideration.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
14	R14 – Hin Ka R16 – Wan Shing R18 – Tin Sum R19 – Chui Ka	1	-	Objects to the transfer of Holford Gardens from R16 (Wan Shing) to R19 (Chui Ka), and proposes to transfer it to R14 (Hin Ka) or R18 (Tin Sum) because: • Holford Gardens have been delineated into different DCCAs multiple times, rendering residents without a fixed DCCA; • the population of R19 (Chui Ka) is larger than those of adjacent R14 (Hin Ka), R18 (Tin Sum), etc. It is expected that the property development atop MTR Tai Wai Station will be completed in the near future. Its population will leave the adjacent DCCAs further behind; and • the provisional recommendations will increase the workload and pressure of DC member of R19 (Chui Ka).	This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) R14 (Hin Ka) and R16 (Wan Shing) are not adjacent to each other. They are separated by R17 (Keng Hau). It is not feasible to transfer the excess population of R16 (Wan Shing) to R14 (Hin Ka); (ii) Holford Gardens in R16 (Wan Shing) and R18 (Tin Sum) are separated by Festival City. It is not desirable to transfer it to R18 (Tin Sum); (iii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Community services provided by DC members is not the relevant factor of consideration; and (iv) please see item 5(a)(v).
15	R14 – Hin Ka R17 – Keng Hau	1	-	Taking into account community integrity, proposes that R14 (Hin Ka) and R17 (Keng Hau) be re-delineated, details are as follows: • one of the DCCAs comprises Hin Pui House, Hin Tak House, Hin Yeung House, Hin Hing House, Hin Yau House, Hin Wan House, Hin Fu House and Hin Kwai	This proposal is **not accepted** because the populations of R14 (Hin Ka) and R17 (Keng Hau) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				House of Hin Keng Estate and renamed as "Hin Keng"; and • the other DCCA comprises Sheung Keng Hau Village, Ha Keng Hau Village, Hin Tin Village, Ka Tin Court, Ka Shun Court, Ka Keng Court, Hin Yiu Estate, Hill Paramount, Parc Royale and Julimount Garden and renamed as "Ka Keng".	
16	R15 – Lower Shing Mun R16 – Wan Shing R19 – Chui Ka R21 – Chung Tin	1	-	It is expected that the population of R19 (Chui Ka) will substantially increase after the completion of the property development atop MTR Tai Wai Station in the DCCA. To avoid transferring Holford Gardens to another DCCA again in the next term and causing inconvenience to residents there, proposes: • to transfer Holford Gardens from R16 (Wan Shing) to R15 (Lower Shing Mun); and • to transfer Mei Ying Court from R15 (Lower Shing Mun) to R21 (Chung Tin) which is formed mainly by Home Ownership Scheme estates.	This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) according to the proposal made in the representation, the population of R15 (Lower Shing Mun) (21 159) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+27.47%); (ii) the number of affected DCCAs under the proposal made in the representation will be one more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under the proposal will be larger, too; and (iii) please see item 5(a)(iii) and (iv).

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
17	R16 – Wan Shing R18 – Tin Sum R19 – Chui Ka	1	1	Propose to transfer Carado Garden from R16 (Wan Shing) to R18 (Tin Sum) instead of transferring Holford Gardens to R19 (Chui Ka). One representation considers that the population of R18 (Tin Sum) is relatively low and thus will be closer to the population quota after the proposed adjustment compared to that in the provisional recommendations. One representation considers that the provisional recommendations will shatter several communities and proposes to rename R16 (Wan Shing) as "Tai Wai South" after the proposed adjustment.	Please see item 1(d).
18	R22 – Sui Wo R23 – Fo Tan	1	-	To even out the population of these two DCCAs, proposes to transfer Man Hang and The Grandville from R23 (Fo Tan) to R22 (Sui Wo).	This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) the affected population under the proposal made in the representation (2 149) will be larger than that in the provisional recommendations (717) by 1 432; and (ii) The Grandville in R23 (Fo Tan) is situated on a hill, which is geographically far apart from the main housing estates in R22 (Sui Wo).

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
19	R22 – Sui Wo R23 – Fo Tan	91[&]	-	(a) Propose to retain villages namely Kwai Tei New Village, Kwai Tei Village, Fo Tan Kuk San Tsuen and Wong Chuk Yeung, etc. in R23 (Fo Tan). Reasons are summarised as follows: • villages in Fo Tan District share common culture and history. Sha Tin has already been divided into "Nine Yeuk" (九約) according to geographical locations and inter-village ties, of which the "Fo Tan Yeuk" (火灘(火炭)約) includes villages such as Wong Chuk Yeung, Pat Tsz Wo, Lok Lo Ha and Wo Liu Hang. The provisional recommendations will break the historical heritage, community identities and local ties of villages in Fo Tan. 90 representations consider that: • residents of villages such as Wong Chuk Yeung together with Pat Tsz Wo, Lok Lo Ha and Wo Liu Hang share common concerns, mainly about the overall planning of the villages such as construction of village houses, burglaries in villages, problems of hill roads and cleaning, which are different from R22 (Sui Wo) residents' concerns about	<u>Items (a) and (b)</u> These proposals are **not accepted** because: (i) if villages namely Kwai Tei New Village, Kwai Tei Village, Fo Tan Kuk San Tsuen and Wong Chuk Yeung, etc. are retained in R23 (Fo Tan) or according to the proposal (b) made in the representation, the populations of R22 (Sui Wo) and R23 (Fo Tan) will deviate from the statutory permissible range: R22: 12 153, -26.78% R23: 21 237, +27.94% (ii) Sha Tin has been divided into nine "Yeuk"s (約) in the past. "Yeuk" (約) is an alliance of nearby villages. In fact, the villages included in some "Yeuk"s (約) have been delineated into different DCCAs from the first DC. The villages included in the "Fo Tan Yeuk" (火灘約) as mentioned in the representations are originally distributed in various DCCAs in 2015, namely R22 (Sui Wo), R23 (Fo Tan) and R24 (Chun Ma). It is also the case for villages of other "Yeuk"s in the Sha Tin

[&]All are template letters.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				local affairs. It will be difficult for the DC member of that DCCA to take care of their needs which will in turn harm villagers' interests; and • having the same DC member to serve residents of various villages can address to their needs more effectively. 47 representations state that: • the villages usually organise community events together. If these villages are delineated into different DCCAs, it will increase the difficulty to organise community events in the future; and • the villages have always looked after one another and established unique local ties. The villages reflect views on village issues to the Government and DC members on each other's behalf. 43 representations state that villagers have already cultivated a strong sense of belonging to Fo Tan and have good relationship with villagers of various villages. One representation considers that: • the main types of housing in R22 (Sui Wo) are Home Ownership Scheme estates	District (e.g. San Tin and Tin Sam, which are under "Tin Sam Yeuk" (田心約), belong to R12 (Sun Tin Wai) and R18 (Tin Sum) respectively). The EAC considers that although the villages included in these "Yeuk"s (約) are located in different DCCAs, their villages issues are all handled by the Sha Tin Rural Committee; (iii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangements on district administration matters or community services provided by DC members are not the relevant factors of consideration; (iv) the delineation recommendations must be based on the population distribution and relevant local factors. It is inevitable that a DCCA is composed of more than one community. Besides, R23 (Fo Tan) comprises of not only villages, but also some private housing estates; and (v) it is an established practice that the delineation exercise for a DC ordinary election should be

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				and private housing, while that of the area surrounding Kwai Tei New Village is village houses. Transferring these villages to R22 (Sui Wo) under the provisional recommendations will make these residents of villages become the minority who will easily be neglected. On the contrary, there are still villages such as Pat Tsz Wo, Lok Lo Ha and Wo Liu Hang in R23 (Fo Tan). Retaining the area surrounding Kwai Tei New Village in R23 (Fo Tan) will be good for villagers to raise the same requests and protect their interests; and • delineating various villages into different DCCAs will disperse the policy support provided by DC members and the Government and disable the effective deployment of resources.	conducted on the basis of the latest projected population figures as at 30 June of the election year. Developments thereafter will not be taken into consideration.
				(b) One representation further proposes to transfer the areas in the southwest of Fo Tan Road, San Chuk Street and Wo Sheung Tun Street, i.e. the areas surrounding Wo Sheung Tun Street subsidised sale flats development project and Fo Tan public housing project, from R23 (Fo Tan) to R22 (Sui Wo).	

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
20	R23 – Fo Tan	1	-	Holds no objection to the provisional recommendations.	The view is noted.
21	R23 – Fo Tan R24 – Chun Ma	1	-	Proposes to transfer the upper Kau To Shan development area along Lai Ping Road from R23 (Fo Tan) to R24 (Chun Ma). It is because the population of R23 (Fo Tan) is relatively high while that of R24 (Chun Ma) is relatively low. If there is a reversal of population flow of the two DCCAs after the proposed adjustment, proposes that the traditional lower Kau To Shan along Kau To Shan Road be transferred to R23 (Fo Tan).	This proposal is **not accepted** because the number of affected DCCAs under the proposal made in the representation will be one more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under the proposal will be larger, too.
22	R25 – Hoi Nam	1	1	Support the provisional recommendations.	The supporting views are noted.
23	R25 – Hoi Nam R26 – Chung On	2	1	Object to the transfer of the private housing estates from R26 (Chung On) to R25 (Hoi Nam) and propose to maintain the boundary of R26 (Chung On). Reasons are summarised as follows: • two representations consider that Oceanaire has been transferred to different DCCAs for every term of election since intake, driving its residents to seek assistance from different DC members in different terms. This neither shows any care about the feelings of Oceanaire's residents, nor is	This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) according to the proposal made in the representations, the population of R26 (Chung On) (21 655) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+30.46%), while that of the new DCCA R25 (Hoi Nam) (7 111) will be below the statutory permissible lower limit (-57.16%); (ii) based on the 2015 original DCCA boundary, the populations of R26 (Chung

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				in line with the principle of community integrity; • one representation states that Oceanaire is linked with Baycrest, Vista Paradiso and Chung On Estate, while MTR Heng On Station of Ma On Shan Line is in the centre of the housing estates and there are walkways between these housing estates for direct access. Therefore, the claim that Oceanaire is far away from these housing estates is not true, and the EAC should have considered this during the last term. In addition, the newly-built The Met. Bliss in R26 (Chung On) is a housing estate of small flats with a population of only about 300, hence it is not justified to replace Oceanaire and Baycrest with The Met. Bliss; and • one representation considers that the increase of population in Sha Tin is confined to certain areas while the population and development characteristics of R26 (Chung On) are relatively steady.	On) and R36 (On Tai) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit, hence, the EAC needs to create the new DCCA R25 (Hoi Nam) in-between the two DCCAs so that the populations of the DCCAs concerned will fall within the statutory permissible range, and Oceanaire is located in-between the two DCCAs; (iii) Oceanaire has not been transferred multiple times. Oceanaire was built on a site originally belonged to R36 (On Tai) and hence belonged to it after its completion. In 2015, when the population of R36 (On Tai) exceeded the statutory permissible upper limit, Oceanaire was transferred to R26 (Chung On); and (iv) geographically, there are walkways for the residents of Oceanaire to go to other areas in R26 (Chung On). However, comparatively speaking, Oceanaire is even closer to the housing estates located in R25 (Hoi Nam).

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
24	R25 – Hoi Nam R26 – Chung On	-	1	States that Oceanaire has been transferred to different DCCAs for many times and there are no new housing estates or buildings nearby. Enquires about the reason(s) for the creation of new DCCA R25 (Hoi Nam).	Please see item 23(ii) and (iii).
25	R25 – Hoi Nam R26 – Chung On R27 – Kam To R28 – Ma On Shan Town Centre R29 – Wu Kai Sha R36 – On Tai	1	-	The populations of R25 (Hoi Nam) and R36 (On Tai) in the provisional recommendations are obviously relatively low and it is proposed that: • Sausalito be retained in R36 (On Tai); • R27 (Kam To) should only include Kam Fung Court and be renamed as "Kam Fung"; • Vista Paradiso be transferred from R26 (Chung On) to the new DCCA R25 (Hoi Nam) and that the DCCA should absorb those parts other than Kam Fung Court of R27 (Kam To) and part of the buildings in R28 (Ma On Shan Town Centre) such as Fok On Garden; and • Villa Athena be transferred from R29 (Wu Kai Sha) to R28 (Ma On Shan Town Centre), so as to relieve the situation of R29 (Wu Kai Sha) which has a relatively high population.	This proposal is **not accepted** because the number of affected DCCAs under the proposal made in the representation will be three more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under the proposal will be larger, too.

Item No.	***DCCAs***	***No.****		***Representations***	***EAC's Views***
		W	***O***		
26	R25 – Hoi Nam R26 – Chung On R29 – Wu Kai Sha R36 – On Tai	1	-	Queries why the EAC only recommended the creation of new DCCA R25 (Hoi Nam) in R26 (Chung On) and R36 (On Tai) rather than making adjustment to the boundary of R29 (Wu Kai Sha). The representation considers that R29 (Wu Kai Sha), comprising five private housing estates with a projected population already close to the statutory permissible upper limit, is the DCCA with the largest population in the Sha Tin District. It is expected that, upon intake of the new housing estate St. Barths in the second quarter of 2019, the projected population of the DCCA will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit.	This representation is **not accepted** because: (i) the population of R29 (Wu Kai Sha) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustment to its existing boundary is not required; (ii) it is an established practice that the delineation exercise for a DC ordinary election should be conducted on the basis of the latest projected population figures as at 30 June of the election year. Developments thereafter will not be taken into consideration; and (iii) based on the 2015 original DCCA boundary, the populations of R26 (Chung On) and R36 (On Tai) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit, hence, the EAC needed to create the new DCCA R25 (Hoi Nam) in-between the two DCCAs so that the populations of the DCCAs concerned will be brought within the statutory permissible range.

Item No.	***DCCAs***	***No.****		***Representations***	***EAC's Views***
		W	***O***		
27	R29 – Wu Kai Sha	1	-	(a) States that R29 (Wu Kai Sha) is the DCCA with the largest population in the Sha Tin District and its population is close to the statutory permissible upper limit. It is expected that, upon intake of the new housing estate St. Barths in the DCCA in the first half of 2019 and given that the population has not been included in R29 (Wu Kai Sha), the population of the DCCA will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit. The representation queries that the EAC does not intend to strictly adhere to the statutory criteria (a) and (b).	Item (a) Please see item 26.
				(b) Despite that the population of R29 (Wu Kai Sha) is nearly 8 000 more than those of some other DCCAs, the delineation of its DCCA boundary is still considered reasonable taking into account factors of community identity and local ties.	Item (b) The view is noted.
				(c) Considers that the EAC must uphold and adhere to the principle of "factors with political implications are not taken into consideration", and that the election must be conducted in a fair and impartial manner.	Item (c) The delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Political factors will not be taken into consideration.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
28	R36 – On Tai	1	-	States that the English name of R36 (On Tai) is the same as that of J11 (On Tai) in the Kwun Tong District.	As the current name for R36 (On Tai) has been in use since 2003 and the majority of the public are used to this name, changing the name may cause confusion amongst the public. Therefore, the DCCA R36 will retain its current name "On Tai" "鞍泰". In respect of the new DCCA J11 (On Tai) in the Kwun Tong District, to avoid confusion, EAC will recommend renaming the DCCA as "觀塘安泰" and "Kwun Tong On Tai" in English.
29	R37 – Yu Yan R38 – Di Yee R39 – Bik Woo R40 – Kwong Hong	1	-	Proposes that: • the new DCCA be composed of Greenhill Villa, Shek Mun Estate Phases 1 and 2; • the Castello be retained in R40 (Kwong Hong); and • the areas of A Kung Kok and A Kung Kok Shan be transferred from R37 (Yu Yan) and R40 (Kwong Hong) to R39 (Bik Woo). It is because: • the shape of the new DCCA R38 (Di Yee) looks strange and local ties within the DCCA are questionable; • the delineation is considered unreasonable as Shek Mun Estate Phase 1 and the private housing estates	This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) please see item 8(a)(ii); (ii) the number of affected DCCAs under the proposal made in the representation will be one more than that in the provisional recommendations. Besides, A Kung Kok in R37 (Yu Yan) and A Kung Kok Shan in R40 (Kwong Hong) are located far away from adjacent main housing estates. Taking geographical factor into consideration, the proposal made in the representation on transferring the above areas to R39 (Bik Woo) is not obviously desirable; (iii) Shek Mun Estate Phase 1 and Garden Vista, Pictorial

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				located at the riverfront are delineated into the same DCCA even with the Shek Mun Industrial Area in between, while Shek Mun Estate Phase 2 is delineated into another DCCA; and • A Kung Kok and A Kung Kok Shan are far away from R37 (Yu Yan) and R40 (Kwong Hong) but are, on the contrary, adjacent to R39 (Bik Woo). This proposal can also address the problem of under-population after the proposed adjustments stated in the representation.	Garden as well as Ravana Garden located at the riverside in R39 (Bik Woo) have been delineated into the same DCCA since 2011. On the contrary, Shek Mun Estate Phase 2 is a newly-built housing estate. Hence, there is no sufficient objective information and justification to prove that the proposal made in the representation is obviously better than the provisional recommendations in terms of preserving local ties; and (iv) although the shape of a DCCA is a relevant factor of consideration, it is confined by population distribution to a certain extent and is not a prime factor of consideration.
30	R38 – Di Yee	2	-	Support the provisional recommendations.	The supporting views are noted.

Appendix II - S

Kwai Tsing District
Summaries of Written/Oral Representations

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
1	All DCCAs	-	1	Supports the provisional recommendations on all DCCAs of the Kwai Tsing District.	The supporting view is noted.
2	All DCCAs	1	-	(a) Supports the provisional recommendations on S03 (Kwai Shing East Estate), S04 (Upper Tai Wo Hau), S05 (Lower Tai Wo Hau), S07 (Kwai Chung Estate North), S11 (On Yam), S14 (Kwai Fong), S18 (Cho Yiu), S19 (Lai King), S20 (Kwai Shing West Estate), S21 (On Ho), S22 (Wai Ying), S23 (Tsing Yi Estate), S24 (Greenfield), S25 (Cheung Ching), S26 (Cheung Hong), S27 (Shing Hong), S28 (Tsing Yi South), S29 (Cheung Hang), S30 (Ching Fat) and S31 (Cheung On).	Item (a) The supporting view is noted.
				(b) Other than those mentioned in item 2(a) above, holds reservation on the provisional recommendations on the remaining DCCAs. Proposes that more consideration should be given to community ties in future delineation exercises, including to draw up a new	Item (b) The view is noted.

* W: Number of written representations.
O : Number of oral representations.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				working principle stipulating that the population of a split-up housing estate in a single DCCA cannot be less than 3 000 or three building blocks so as to avoid the relevant residents in the DCCA being neglected.	
				(c) With reference to a survey conducted by HKU Social Sciences Research Centre for the Sham Shui Po DC in 2002, requests the EAC to relay to the Government that the residents living in the south of Lai King Hill Road in the Kwai Tsing District support the transfer of that area to the Sham Shui Po District.	Item (c) The proposal made in the representation involves alteration of administrative district boundaries, which does not fall under the purview of the EAC. The EAC has referred this view to the Government for consideration.
3	S01 – Kwai Hing S02 – Kwai Luen S06 – Kwai Chung Estate South	19^	4	(a) Object to the transfer of Chun Kwai House, Ha Kwai House and Chau Kwai House of Kwai Chung Estate, and Kwai Fuk Court from S06 (Kwai Chung Estate South) to S01 (Kwai Hing) and request withdrawing the relevant provisional recommendations. Reasons are summarised as follows: • the five building blocks concerned and S01 (Kwai Hing) are	Item (a) This proposal is **accepted.** In reviewing the boundaries of the DCCAs, it is a statutory requirement that the EAC has to examine the existing boundaries of DCCAs on the basis of the population of individual DCCAs in the year in which the relevant election will be held. The EACO stipulates that apart from taking into account the projected population, the EAC must also have regard to the other statutory factors, including community identities, preservation of local ties and

^ Of which, seven are template letters. Besides, one representation contains 666 signatures from the public.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
	S07 – Kwai Chung Estate North			separated by a steep slope and the lift which was requested for years has still not been built. Therefore, it would be very dangerous and inconvenient for the residents to go to and fro the two DCCAs. It is also difficult for the residents concerned to go to S01 (Kwai Hing) to seek assistance from the DC member; • all buildings of Kwai Chung Estate are closely connected in terms of historical development, geographical location and community needs. Besides, their community development is unique; • the provisional recommendations break community integrity and identities of Kwai Chung Estate because the residents of Chun Kwai House, Ha Kwai House and Chau Kwai House of Kwai Chung Estate, and Kwai Fuk Court share the living space, geographical location and facilities such as shopping arcade, market, leisure facilities, car park and social services organisation with the residents of other building blocks of Kwai	physical features (such as the size, shape, accessibility and development) of the relevant area when adjusting the DCCA boundaries. Based on the 2015 original DCCA boundary, the population of S06 (Kwai Chung Estate South) (21 829) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+31.51%), hence there is a need to adjust its boundary by transferring some of its buildings to the adjacent DCCA with a view to maintaining the population of the DCCA within the statutory permissible range. When drawing up the provisional recommendations, the EAC noted that the Government has agreed to build an escalator next to the staircase connecting S01 (Kwai Hing) and S06 (Kwai Chung Estate South) ("a-hundred-step staircase") and the construction works will be carried out from 2 May 2018 to 1 May 2019. Therefore, transferring Chun Kwai House, Ha Kwai House and Chau Kwai House of Kwai Chung Estate, and Kwai Fuk Court to S01 (Kwai Hing) is geographically feasible. Nevertheless, upon receipt of the representations, the EAC conducted site visits in August and September 2018 and noted that the relevant works had not commenced yet. According to the Government's works

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				Chung Estate. They are also facing the same community issues; • should not solely consider the compliance with the principle that the DCCA population cannot exceed the population quota. The principle of preserving community integrity is more important; • there are various types of buildings in S01 (Kwai Hing). If Chun Kwai House, Ha Kwai House and Chau Kwai House of another housing estate, and the disciplined services quarters Kwai Fuk Court are also included in the DCCA, it will be difficult for the DC member to serve and handle views of residents with different interests from different groups; • query the population figures of S01 (Kwai Hing) and S06 (Kwai Chung Estate South). The representations consider that Kwong Fai Circuit in S01 (Kwai Hing) contains a lot of subdivided units. The EAC's reliance on the figures from the population by-census may possibly seriously	schedule, there must be a delay in the above works. In view of this and having taken geographical factor into consideration, the EAC agrees to retain Chun Kwai House, Ha Kwai House and Chau Kwai House of Kwai Chung Estate, and Kwai Fuk Court in S06 (Kwai Chung Estate South). In the absence of better alternative option, the EAC proposes to keep the boundary of S06 (Kwai Chung Estate South) unchanged and allow its population (21 829) to exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+31.51%). According to the proposal made in the representations, the respective populations of S01 (Kwai Hing) and S06 (Kwai Chung Estate South) are: S01: 13 197, -20.50% S06: 21 829, +31.51%. Regarding the views on the population figures, the projected population figures as at 30 June 2019 are used for the delineation exercise for the 2019 DC Ordinary Election. As in the past, the projected population figures are provided by the AHSG, set up specially for the purpose of the delineation exercise under the Working Group on Population Distribution Projection in the PlanD. The current population distribution projections are

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				underestimate the population of the DCCA. In fact, the actual total population of S06 (Kwai Chung Estate South) is roughly over 19 000, which is in line with the statutory requirement and no adjustment is required; • as the owner and management organisation of Kwai Chung Estate, the population figures provided by the HD should be more accurate. According to the figures provided by the HD, the provisional recommendations will render the population of S06 (Kwai Chung Estate South) below the statutory permissible lower limit; • there is no substantial change in the population of S06 (Kwai Chung Estate South) and hence no need to transfer some of the buildings in the DCCA to S01 (Kwai Hing); • constant changes to DCCA boundaries and the division of Kwai Chung Estate into three DCCAs make it hard for the public to adapt and	derived by using scientific and systematic methodology based on the results of the 2016 population by-census carried out by the C&SD as well as the up-to-date official data kept by the relevant government departments. Members of the AHSG are all professional departments which all along have been responsible for territory-wide population census and projections on population distribution. They possess the most up-to-date information on the population and land and housing development, and the data are highly-accepted generally. The EAC has all along relied on the statistical figures provided by the AHSG, which are the only data available for the delineation exercise. Regarding the other issues as mentioned in the representations, the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangements on district administration matters or community services provided by DC members are not the relevant factors of consideration.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				seek assistance from the DC member; • the elderlies living at Chun Kwai House, Ha Kwai House and Chau Kwai House of Kwai Chung Estate are used to the service of the incumbent DC member. They worry that it will be hard for them to communicate with an unfamiliar DC member in future; and • dividing Kwai Chung Estate into three DCCAs and having three DC members serving the estate would make it difficult for them to reach a consensus, because the three DC members may have different stands and views on social issues.	
				(b) One representation proposes to retain Chun Kwai House, Ha Kwai House and Chau Kwai House of Kwai Chung Estate in S06 (Kwai Chung Estate South), but supports the transfer of Kwai Fuk Court to S01 (Kwai Hing).	<u>Item (b)</u> This proposal is **not accepted** because Kwai Fuk Court is separated from S01 (Kwai Hing) by Chun Kwai House, Ha Kwai House and Chau Kwai House of Kwai Chung Estate.
				(c) One representation suggests that if retaining the original boundary of S06 (Kwai Chung Estate South) will	<u>Item (c)</u> This proposal is **not accepted** because:

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				cause its population to exceed the statutory permissible upper limit, then proposes to transfer one of the housing estates in the DCCA concerned to S07 (Kwai Chung Estate North) and Sun Kwai Hing Gardens be retained in S01 (Kwai Hing).	(i) the population of S07 (Kwai Chung Estate North) (20 053) is already close to the statutory permissible upper limit (+20.81%). It has no sufficient room to absorb the population of any one of the housing estates in S06 (Kwai Chung Estate South); and (ii) according to the proposal made in the representation, the population of S02 (Kwai Luen) (11 594) will be below the statutory permissible lower limit (-30.15%).
4	S01 – Kwai Hing S02 – Kwai Luen S06 – Kwai Chung Estate South S07 – Kwai Chung Estate North S15 – Hing Fong	2	-	(a) One representation considers that under the provisional recommendations, the populations of the newly created S02 (Kwai Luen), S06 (Kwai Chung Estate South) and S15 (Hing Fong) are relatively low, while that of S01 (Kwai Hing) is seriously high. Besides, the problem of relatively high population of S07 (Kwai Chung Estate North) has still been unresolved. Proposes to: • retain Hibiscus Park in S15 (Hing Fong) so that its population will increase to about 16 000; • transfer Kwai Chun Court and Kwai Hing	<u>Item (a)</u> This proposal is **not accepted** because the number of affected DCCAs under the proposal made in the representation will be one more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under the proposal will be larger, too.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				Estate (around 7 000 people) from S01 (Kwai Hing) to S02 (Kwai Luen) so that the population of S02 (Kwai Luen) will become around 19 000; • retain Kwai Fuk Court in S06 (Kwai Chung Estate South); and • group Chun Kwai House, Ha Kwai House and Chau Kwai House of S06 (Kwai Chung Estate South), Pak Kwai House and Hop Kwai House of S07 (Kwai Chung Estate North), and Kwong Fai Circuit of S01 (Kwai Hing) together to form a new DCCA. After such adjustments, the population of S07 (Kwai Chung Estate North) will decrease to 14 000.	
				(b) One representation objects to the provisional recommendations on S01 (Kwai Hing), S02 (Kwai Luen), S06 (Kwai Chung Estate South) and S15 (Hing Fong) because they neglect the issue of local ties and are not supported by population data. According to the provisional recommendations, the populations of S02 (Kwai Luen), S06 (Kwai Chung Estate South) and S15	Item (b) This proposal is **not accepted** because the number of affected DCCAs under the proposal made in the representation will be one more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under the proposal will be larger, too.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				(Hing Fong) will all be less than 15 000, while those of S01 (Kwai Hing) and S07 (Kwai Chung Estate North) will be as high as over 20 000, which means the arrangements are unreasonable. Proposes to: • transfer Pak Kwai House and Hop Kwai House of S07 (Kwai Chung Estate North) and Kwai Fuk Court of S06 (Kwai Chung Estate South) to S01 (Kwai Hing) so that they will be grouped with Kwong Fai Circuit and Kwai Hing Estate to form S01 (Kwai Hing); and • transfer Kwai Chun Court of S01 (Kwai Hing) to S02 (Kwai Luen) so that it will be grouped with Kwai Chun Court, Sun Kwai Hing Gardens, Kwai Hong Court and Kwai Luen Estate to form S02 (Kwai Luen).	
5	S01 – Kwai Hing S02 – Kwai Luen	-	1	(a) Objects to creating the two new DCCAs in the Kwai Chung District but not on Tsing Yi Island where two big housing estates have been completed.	Item (a) This representation is **not accepted** because the populations of all DCCAs on Tsing Yi Island will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
	S08 – Shek Yam S10 – Tai Pak Tin East S12 – Shek Lei North S15 – Hing Fong			(b) Proposes to retain Shek Foon House, Shek Cheung House and Shek Fu House of Shek Lei (II) Estate in S12 (Shek Lei North) and transfer Ning Fung Court in S08 (Shek Yam) to the new DCCA S10 (Tai Pak Tin East) instead, because the population of S08 (Shek Yam) is over 19 000 and both Ning Fung Court and the buildings along Tung Chi Street in S10 (Tai Pak Tin East) are private residential buildings located closer to S10 (Tai Pak Tin East) than Shek Lei (II) Estate.	Item (b) This proposal is **not accepted** because according to the proposal made in the representation, the population of S12 (Shek Lei North) (22 392) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+ 34.90%).
				(c) Proposes to retain Hibiscus Park in S15 (Hing Fong).	Item (c) This proposal is **not accepted** because according to the proposal made in the representation, the population of S02 (Kwai Luen) (12 176) will be below the statutory permissible lower limit (−26.65%).
6	S02 – Kwai Luen S10 – Tai Pak Tin East	1	-	Supports the provisional recommendations.	The supporting view is noted.
7	S08 – Shek Yam	1	-	Agrees with the provisional recommendations on S08 (Shek Yam). Although its population will still be 19 000, the provisional	This proposal is **not accepted** because the number of affected DCCAs under the proposal made in the representation will be one more than that in the

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
	S09 – Tai Pak Tin West S10 – Tai Pak Tin East S12 – Shek Lei North S13 – Shek Lei South			recommendations have taken into account factors of local ties and community integrity. Having said that, for the purpose of evening out the populations of the DCCAs, proposes to: • transfer Shek Kai House and Shek Wah House of S13 (Shek Lei South) to S12 (Shek Lei North) to avoid dividing Shek Lei (II) Estate into three DCCAs; • transfer Shek Yan House and Shek Kwong House of S12 (Shek Lei North) to S10 (Tai Pak Tin East); and • transfer Fortuna House, Kwai Po Building, Kwai Fu Building and Hoi Cheong Building of S10 (Tai Pak Tin East) to S09 (Tai Pak Tin West).	provisional recommendations. The affected population under the proposal will be larger, too.
8	S10 – Tai Pak Tin East	1	-	Objects to the provisional recommendations on the new DCCA S10 (Tai Pak Tin East) because it will benefit a certain party and contravene the principle of fairness of an election. Besides, it will create difficulties for members of the public to seek assistance.	The delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Political factor will not be taken into consideration.
9	S10 – Tai Pak Tin East	21[#]	3	Object to the provisional recommendations on S10 (Tai Pak Tin East) and S12 (Shek	<u>Item (a)</u> This proposal is **not accepted** because:

[#]Of which, 19 are template letters.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
	S12 – Shek Lei North S13 – Shek Lei South			Lei North). Propose to retain Shek Foon House of Shek Lei (II) Estate in S12 (Shek Lei North). Reasons are summarised as follows: • Shek Foon House and Shek Yan House in S12 (Shek Lei North) are connected by a footbridge. The residents of Shek Foon House always use the footbridge to reach the shopping arcade in S12 (Shek Lei North), while the residents of Shek Yan House always use the open space outside Shek Foon House. Transferring Shek Foon House to S10 (Tai Pak Tin East) will hinder the residents of the housing estate from participating in community activities together and undermine the community integrity. Furthermore, the provisional recommendations will confuse the residents of Shek Foon House and affect their intention of applying for voter registration, hence lowering the voter turnout; • the provisional recommendations are confusing to residents and making them difficult to adapt; and	(i) the affected population under the proposal made in the representations (7 765) will be larger than that in the provisional recommendations (7 139) by 626; (ii) Shek Foon House, Shek Cheung House, Shek Fu House, Shek Hei House and Shek Fook House are all situated at Tai Pak Tin Street and close to the new DCCA S10 (Tai Pak Tin East). The representations propose to transfer Shek Hei House and Shek Fook House from S12 (Shek Lei North) to S10 (Tai Pak Tin East), which is not obviously better than the provisional recommendations in terms of preserving community identities and integrity; and (iii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangements on district administration matters or community services provided by DC members are not the relevant factors of consideration.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				• having three DC members in one housing estate contravenes the principles of preservation of local ties and consideration of community development. Besides, as Shek Foon House is located far from the private residential buildings of S10 (Tai Pak Tin East), the provisional recommendations will in turn isolate Shek Foon House. The residents of public housing estates and private residential buildings are having different needs.	
				(a) 15 representations propose to transfer Shek Hei House and Shek Fook House from S12 (Shek Lei North) to S10 (Tai Pak Tin East) having regard to community identities and integrity.	
				(b) Two representations propose to re-delineate Shek Lei (II) Estate as an individual DCCA, i.e. to transfer Shek Kai House and Shek Wah House from S13 (Shek Lei South) to S12 (Shek Lei North), so as to maintain its integrity.	<u>Item (b)</u> This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) based on the 2015 original DCCA boundary, the population of S12 (Shek Lei North) (22 392) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+34.90%). According to the proposal made in the representations, the population of the DCCA concerned will further exceed the statutory

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
					permissible upper limit; and (ii) the population of S13 (Shek Lei South) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustment to its existing boundary is not required.
10	S13 – Shek Lei South S16 – Wah Lai	3	1	Propose to transfer Greenknoll Court from S16 (Wah Lai) to S13 (Shek Lei South) because: • Greenknoll Court is connected to S13 (Shek Lei South) geographically; • it is hard for the DC member of S16 (Wah Lai) to serve Greenknoll Court. Therefore, it is difficult for the residents of Greenknoll Court to seek assistance from the DC member; and • the polling station for S16 (Wah Lai) is far from Greenknoll Court.	This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) the populations of S13 (Shek Lei South) and S16 (Wah Lai) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required; and (ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangements on district administration matters or community services provided by DC members, and polling station arrangement are not the relevant factors of consideration. The EAC has referred the view on polling station arrangement to the REO for consideration.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
11	S16 – Wah Lai	1	-	Proposes to group Wah Yuen Chuen, Wonderland Villas, and Regency Park and its neighbouring rural villages together of S16 (Wah Lai) to form a DCCA, and group Lai Yiu Estate, Tsui Yiu Court and Greenknoll Court together to form another DCCA because: • the way of living of the residents of Wonderland Villas and Regency Park is completely different from that of the residents of Lai Yiu Estate, and so are their needs for community services; and • geographically, Wonderland Villas is relatively far from Lai Yiu Estate.	This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) the population of S16 (Wah Lai) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustment to its existing boundary is not required; and (ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangements on district administration matters or community services are not the relevant factors of consideration.
12	S16 – Wah Lai S17 – Lai Wah	1	-	Proposes to delete S17 (Lai Wah) and group Wah Lai Estate, Lai Yan Court, Happy Villa, Laichikok Bay Garden and Wah Fung Garden in the DCCA together with Lai Chi Kok Government Offices, Lai Chi Kok Park Sports Centre, Lai Chi Kok Park Swimming Pool, Lai Chi Kok Park (Stage I), Nob Hill and Ching Lai Court in the Sham Shui Po District in a new DCCA in the Sham Shui Po District. The name of the new DCCA is "Lai Wan". Regarding the remaining parts in S17 (Lai Wah), i.e. Kau Wa Keng, Kau Wa Keng San Tsuen, Chung Shan Terrace, Chung Shan	This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) in accordance with the EACO, the EAC must follow the number of elected seats stipulated for each DC under the DCO in delineating the DCCA boundaries. Deleting S17 (Lai Wah) as proposed in the representation will make the total number of DCCA less than the number of seats in the Kwai Tsing District, which does not comply with the above statutory requirement. As this proposal made in the

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				Lodge, Greenwood Villas, Greenwood Regency, Lai King Fire Station and Cheung Hang Village, they will be transferred to S16 (Wah Lai).	representation is related to the enactment of the primary legislation, which does not fall under the purview of the EAC, the EAC has referred the relevant view to the CMAB for consideration; and (ii) the proposal made in the representation involves alteration of administrative district boundaries, which does not fall under the purview of the EAC. The EAC has referred this view to the Government for consideration.
13	S23 – Tsing Yi Estate	1	-	Proposes to rename S23 (Tsing Yi Estate) as "Tsing Luk" because the three main housing estates in the DCCA concerned, i.e. Greenview Villa, Tsing Yi Estate and Tsing Yi Garden are located at Tsing Luk Street. Renaming the DCCA as "Tsing Luk" will make it clear to the residents their respective DCCA areas.	This proposal is **not accepted** because the name of the DCCA has been used since 1999 and members of the public are used to the name. Moreover, there is no adjustment to its boundary in the present delineation exercise and change of the DCCA name may cause confusion to the public.
14	S24 – Greenfield S26 – Cheung Hong S27 – Shing Hong	3	-	Propose to transfer Hong Ping House, Hong On House and Hong Shing House of Cheung Hong Estate from S27 (Shing Hong) to S26 (Cheung Hong). Reasons are summarised as follows: • dividing the 10 blocks of Cheung Hong Estate into different DCCAs will annoy the residents. It is difficult	These proposals are **not accepted** because: (i) the populations of S24 (Greenfield), S26 (Cheung Hong) and S27 (Shing Hong) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				for them to change their habits, including voting at elections and seeking assistance from the DC members. It is believed that the proposal made in the representations can reduce the inconvenience currently faced by residents and the intangible pressure brought to them by the change of DCCAs. The proposal can also enhance district harmony; • the building structure, size of unit, amenities and family size of Cheung Hong Estate Phases I and II are very different, so are the community facilities and management; and • the proposal will ensure smoother and more integrated handling of housing affairs by the DC member. One representation proposes, at the same time, to transfer Chung Mei Lo Uk Tsuen, Lam Tin Resite Village, Tai Wong Ha Resite Village, Tsing Fai San Tsuen, Tsing Yu New Village and Yim Tin Kok Resite Village from S24 (Greenfield) to S27 (Shing Hong) to avoid constantly revising DCCA boundaries in future and to preserve the physical features, community identities and ties of the areas concerned.	are not required; (ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangements on district administration matters or community services provided by DC members are not the relevant factors of consideration; and (iii) it is an established practice that the delineation exercise for a DC ordinary election should be conducted on the basis of the latest projected population figures as at 30 June of the election year. Developments thereafter will not be taken into consideration.

Appendix II - T

Islands District

Summaries of Written/Oral Representations

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
1	All DCCAs	1	-	(a) Supports the provisional recommendations on T07 (Discovery Bay), T08 (Peng Chau & Hei Ling Chau), T09 (Lamma & Po Toi) and T10 (Cheung Chau).	Item (a) The supporting view is noted.
				(b) Holds reservation on the provisional recommendations on T01 (Lantau), T02 (Yat Tung Estate South), T03 (Yat Tung Estate North), T04 (Tung Chung South), T05 (Tung Chung Central) and T06 (Tung Chung North) because the populations of the DCCAs concerned are the highest in Hong Kong, far exceeding the statutory permissible upper limit. Taking into account the future overall development plan of Tung Chung and Lantau, proposes that the EAC should request the Government to add at least two more seats in the Islands District in respect of the 2023 DC Ordinary Election so as to cater for	Items (b) and (c) In respect of the 2019 DC Ordinary Election, the Government has completed the review on the number of elected seats and the subsidiary legislation was passed by the LegCo in January 2018. There is no additional seat in the Islands District for the next DC Ordinary Election. In accordance with the EACO, the EAC must follow the number of elected seats stipulated for each DC under the DCO in delineating the DCCA boundaries. The EAC has no power to increase or decrease the number of elected seats/DCCAs in any administrative district. As this proposal made in the representation is related to the

* W: Number of written representations.
O : Number of oral representations.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				the community problems caused by the increase in population and foster the development of district administration. (c) Proposes to amend the EACO and DCO to allow the EAC to increase or reduce the number of seats in every administrative district within the limit of 10% so as to cater for each administrative district's need on the basis of its population and community characteristics. Under the above proposal, the Islands District can add one seat to balance the situation that some of the DCCAs in Tung Chung have populations of more than 20 000 while those in the DCCAs of Peng Chau and Lamma Island are less than 7 000.	enactment of the primary legislation, which does not fall under the purview of the EAC, the EAC has referred the relevant view to the CMAB for consideration. Besides, in drawing up the delineation recommendations, the EAC must strictly adhere to the statutory criteria under the EACO and its working principles. The delineation should be made on the basis of the projected populations, existing DCCA boundaries and relevant local factors. The EAC will continue to adhere to the above in future delineation exercises.
2	All DCCAs	-	1	Holds no objection to the provisional recommendations on all DCCAs of the Islands District.	The view is noted.
3	All DCCAs	1	-	Hopes the EAC to review the delineation of DCCAs and number of seats in the present delineation exercise and no need to conduct the review in 2023.	As the matter mentioned in the representation is related to the enactment of the primary legislation, which does not fall under the purview of the EAC, the EAC has referred the relevant view to the CMAB for consideration.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
4	All DCCAs	1	-	States that there is a technical error in the provisional recommendations on the Islands District because among the 10 DCCAs, the populations of two DCCAs will be below the statutory permissible lower limit while five of them will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit. Moreover, the population of the Islands District is around 190 000 and so 11.18 DC members are required. The representation considers that the delineation of DCCAs in the Islands District has the following negligence: • the CMAB did not take the unique geographical factors of the Islands District into consideration; • the REO did not provide advice to CMAB; • LegCo did not realise the profound effect that could be brought about by the calculation of the figures related to the DCCAs; and • the Islands DC has knowledge on the geographical and population distribution of the Islands District as well as the increase in population in Lantau and Tung Chung but it did not object to the number of DCCAs for the Islands District.	This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) in accordance with the EACO, apart from taking into account the projected population, the EAC must also have regard to the other statutory factors including community identities, preservation of local ties and physical features (such as the size, shape, accessibility and development) of the relevant area. The EAC cannot adjust the boundaries solely with strict compliance with the statutory permissible range without considering the other statutory factors mentioned above. When drawing up the provisional recommendations, the EAC has examined the feasibility of combining T08 (Peng Chau & Hei Ling Chau) and T09 (Lamma & Po Toi). However, as these two DCCAs do not have any direct transport link, the EAC proposed that the boundaries of the above two DCCAs should remain unchanged and their populations be allowed to continue to deviate from the permissible range (the populations of the above

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				To solve the problem of the Islands District, proposes: • to combine T08 (Peng Chau & Hei Ling Chau) and T09 (Lamma & Po Toi); or • the EAC to suggest to the Government amending the DCO for adding one DCCA to the Islands District. After that, to split T01 (Lantau) into T01 "Lantau" and T11 "North Lantau" and maintain the original boundaries of T02 (Yat Tung Estate South) and T03 (Yat Tung Estate North).	two DCCAs in the 2015 delineation exercise were also allowed to deviate from the statutory permissible range); and (ii) please see items 1(b) and (c).
5	All DCCAs	1	-	Supports the combination of Cheung Chau to free up a DCCA for creation in Tung Chung. Moreover, as the populations of T01 (Lantau), T02 (Yat Tung Estate South) and T03 (Yat Tung Estate North) will far exceed the statutory permissible upper limit and those of T08 (Peng Chau & Hei Ling Chau) and T09 (Lamma & Po Toi) will be far below the statutory permissible lower limit, proposes to combine T08 (Peng Chau & Hei Ling Chau) and T09 (Lamma & Po Toi) and change the name of the DCCA to "Peng Chau, Hei Ling Chau, Lamma and Po Toi". On the basis of the above proposals, except that the	This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) according to the proposal made in the representation to adjust the boundaries of T04 (Tung Chung South), T05 (Tung Chung Central) and T06 (Tung Chung North), the affected population will be larger than that in the provisional recommendations; and (ii) please see item 4.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				provisional recommendations on T07 (Discovery Bay) remain unchanged, proposes to adjust the boundaries of other DCCAs as follows: <u>T01 (Lantau)</u> includes the areas covered in the provisional recommendations but to exclude all the villages in the north of Shek Mun Kap. <u>New DCCA T02</u> includes Mun Tung Estate, Yu Tai Court and all the villages in the north of Shek Mun Kap. <u>T02 (Yat Tung Estate South)</u> includes Yat Tung (I) Estate. Changes the DCCA code to T03. Retains the name of the DCCA as "Yat Tung Estate South". <u>T03 (Yat Tung Estate North)</u> includes Yat Tung (II) Estate. Changes the DCCA code to T04. Retains the name of the DCCA as "Yat Tung Estate North". <u>T04 (Tung Chung South)</u> includes Tung Chung Crescent, Fu Tung Estate and Yu Tung Court. Changes the DCCA code to T05. <u>T05 (Tung Chung Central)</u> includes Seaview Crescent and Coastal Skyline. Changes the DCCA code to T06.	

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				T06 (Tung Chung North) includes Caribbean Coast, Ying Tung Estate, The Visionary and Century Link. Changes the DCCA code to T07.	
6	All DCCAs	1	-	Considers that the number of DCCAs in the Islands District should not be decided by population alone as in other administrative districts. Its unique situation should be taken into consideration.	In drawing up the delineation recommendations, the EAC must strictly adhere to the statutory criteria under the EACO and its working principles. The delineation should be made on the basis of the projected populations, existing DCCA boundaries and relevant local factors. The EAC will continue to adhere to the above in future delineation exercises. Moreover, the recommendations of the EAC are made on the basis of overall consideration from a macro perspective. No special emphasis to any particular administrative district or DCCA will be given.
7	T01 – Lantau T02 – Yat Tung Estate South T03 – Yat Tung Estate North	2	-	(a) Propose the EAC to maintain the original boundaries of T02 (Yat Tung Estate South) and T03 (Yat Tung Estate North) and request the Government to add one DCCA in the area of Mun Tung Estate in T01 (Lantau), maintain Cheung Chau in two DCCAs and combine T08 (Peng Chau & Hei Ling Chau) and T09	Item (a) These proposals are **not accepted** because: (i) in respect of the 2019 DC Ordinary Election, the Government has completed the review on the number of elected seats and the subsidiary legislation was passed by the LegCo in January 2018. There is no

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
	T04 – Tung Chung South T05 – Tung Chung Central T06 – Tung Chung North T08 – Peng Chau & Hei Ling Chau T09 – Lamma & Po Toi T10 – Cheung Chau			(Lamma & Po Toi) so as to free up a DCCA for creation of a new DCCA in Tung Chung. Reasons are as follows: • according to the provisional recommendations, the populations of all T01 (Lantau), T02 (Yat Tung Estate South) and T03 (Yat Tung Estate North) will be 40% higher than the population quota. Based on the figures, it is obvious that one more DCCA should be added; • the populations of T08 (Peng Chau & Hei Ling Chau) and T09 (Lamma & Po Toi) will be almost 60% lower than the population quota, and these two DCCAs are originally formed by different islands. Therefore, they could be combined into one DCCA. After combination, the population of the DCCA can still meet the statutory requirement and the characteristics of the DCCA will not be affected; and	additional seat in the Islands District for the next DC Ordinary Election. In accordance with the EACO, the EAC must follow the number of elected seats stipulated for each DC under the DCO in delineating the DCCA boundaries; (ii) according to the proposal made in the representations, the populations of T05 (Tung Chung Central) and T06 (Tung Chung North) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit: T05: 20 845, +25.58% T06: 22 965, +38.35%; (iii) it is an established practice that the delineation exercise for a DC ordinary election should be conducted on the basis of the latest projected population figures as at 30 June of the election year. Developments thereafter will not be taken into consideration; and (iv) please see item 4.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				• having considered the actual geographical situation of Cheung Chau, its population distribution and the completion of new residential buildings one after the other in the foreseeable future, it is reasonable to delineate Cheung Chau into two DCCAs. On the basis of the above proposal for adding a DCCA, proposes the following adjustments to the boundaries of the relevant DCCAs: <u>T01 (Lantau)</u> includes the areas covered in the provisional recommendations but to exclude Mun Tung Estate and all the villages in Tung Chung. <u>New DCCA</u> includes Mun Tung Estate, the villages in the south of Tung Chung Road running from Lung Tseng Tau to Fui Yiu Ha, Chek Lap Kok New Village, Tei Po New Village, Ma Wan New Village, areas around Shan Ha, Yu Tai Court, North Lantau Hospital, Wong Nai Uk, Ma Wan Chung, Sha Tsui Tau and Shek Lau Po. <u>T04 (Tung Chung South), T05 (Tung Chung Central) and T06 (Tung Chung North)</u> transfers Seaview Crescent	

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				from T04 (Tung Chung South) to T05 (Tung Chung Central). As the population of T05 (Tung Chung Central) will substantially exceed the statutory permissible upper limit, the Monterey Cove, Phase 1 of the Caribbean Coast should be transferred to T06 (Tung Chung North) while La Mer, which has less population be retained in T05 (Tung Chung Central).	
				(b) state that if the EAC and the Government will not add one DCCA as proposed in item (a), T08 (Peng Chau & Hei Ling Chau) and T09 (Lamma & Po Toi) should be combined so that a new DCCA can be created in the area of Mun Tung Estate.	Items (b) and (c) These proposals are **not accepted**. Please see item 4.
				(c) One representation proposes to maintain the original boundaries of T02 (Yat Tung Estate South) and T03 (Yat Tung Estate North) and combine T08 (Peng Chau & Hei Ling Chau) and T09 (Lamma & Po Toi) to free up a DCCA for creation in the areas of Mun Tung Estate, Yu Tung Court and the villages of the Tung Chung Rural Committee because: • the boundaries of T08 (Peng Chau & Hei Ling Chau) and T09 (Lamma & Po Toi)	

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				should not be remained unchanged simply because they do not have any direct transport link. If the population of individual DCCA will be substantially low, it is inevitable to combine it with other DCCA even if they are not close to each other; and • the proposal could make the populations of T01 (Lantau), T02 (Yat Tung Estate South) and T03 (Yat Tung Estate North) fall within the statutory permissible range.	
8	T01 – Lantau T02 – Yat Tung Estate South T03 – Yat Tung Estate North	1	-	Objects to the provisional recommendations on T01 (Lantau), T02 (Yat Tung Estate South) and T03 (Yat Tung Estate North). In order to make the populations of the above three DCCAs in compliance with the statutory requirements and preserve the community ties, proposes to re-delineate the whole Yat Tung Estate and the villages such as Ma Wan Chung and Chek Lap Kok New Village of T01 (Lantau) into three DCCAs.	This proposal is **not accepted** because based on the 2015 original DCCA boundary, apart from the villages such as Ma Wan Chung and Chek Lap Kok New Village, the area of T01 (Lantau) also covers Mun Tung Estate, Mui Wo, Lantau South, Tai O, etc. According to the proposal made in the representation, after re-delineating the whole Yat Tung Estate and the villages such as Ma Wan Chung and Chek Lap Kok New Village in T01 (Lantau) into three DCCAs, the remaining areas of T01(Lantau) cannot be transferred to its adjacent

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
					DCCAs having regard to the population distribution and geographical as well as transport factors.
9	T01 – Lantau T08 – Peng Chau & Hei Ling Chau T10 – Cheung Chau	1	-	(a) To narrow down the population deviation of T01 (Lantau) from the population quota, proposes: • to transfer Tai Shui Hang, Kau Shat Wan and Man Kok from T01 (Lantau) to T08 (Peng Chau & Hei Ling Chau) because they are closer to T08 (Peng Chau & Hei Ling Chau) and there is kaito ferry serving Tai Shui Hang, Nim Shue Wan and Peng Chau; • to use Lantau South Country Park and Chi Ma Wan Road as the boundary and transfer Chi Ma Wan Peninsula to T10 (Cheung Chau) because most of the population in Chi Ma Wan Peninsula is at Chi Ma Wan Pier and the Sea Ranch. The residents mainly rely on the Cheung Chau kaito ferry instead of land transport to travel to South Lantau. According to the criterion of preservation of local ties, Chi Ma Wan	<u>Item (a)</u> his proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) although the population of T01 (Lantau) (24 685) will still exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+48.71%) under the provisional recommendations, the provisional recommendations have substantially improved the situation of the DCCA from exceeding the statutory permissible upper limit. According to the proposal made in the representation, after transferring Tai Shui Hang, Kau Shat Wan and Man Kok to T08 (Peng Chau & Hei Ling Chau) and part of the areas in the Chi Ma Wan Peninsula to T10 (Cheung Chau), the population of T01 (Lantau) (24 237) will still exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+46.01%). In terms of the deviation from the population quota, there is no apparent improvement over the provisional recommendations;

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				Peninsula should be put in T10 (Cheung Chau); • to transfer Shek Kwu Chau and Soko Islands to T10 (Cheung Chau) because relatively speaking, Cheung Chau is near to these two islands. Moreover, transferring Shek Kwu Chau and Soko Islands to T10 (Cheung Chau) will not increase its population drastically; and • if Cheung Chau continues to be spilt into two DCCAs, then Chi Ma Wan Peninsula can be transferred from T01 (Lantau) to "Cheung Chau North" and the name of the DCCA can be changed to "Cheung Chau North and Chi Ma Wan". As for Shek Kwu Chau and Soko Islands of T01 (Lantau), they can be transferred to "Cheung Chau South" and the name of the DCCA can be changed to "Cheung Chau South and Soko Islands". The adjustments will also increase the populations of the DCCAs concerned.	(ii) Man Kok is under the Mui Wo Rural Committee. According to the proposal made in the representation, transferring it to T08 (Peng Chau & Hei Ling Chau) will undermine the traditional village ties of the area concerned; and (iii) according to the proposal made in the representation, after transferring part of the areas in the Chi Ma Wan Peninsula to T10 (Cheung Chau), the population of the latter will further exceed the statutory permissible upper limit: Provisional recommendations: T10: 21 752, +31.04% Proposal made in the representation: T10: 22 131, +33.33%

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				(b) With the continuous development of the Tung Chung New Town, in the long run, the villages in T01 (Lantau) can be split and transferred to other DCCAs with public housing estates or private housing estates to form a new DCCA.	Item (b) In drawing up the delineation recommendations, the EAC must strictly adhere to the statutory criteria under the EACO and its working principles. The delineation should be made on the basis of the projected populations, existing DCCA boundaries and relevant local factors. The EAC will continue to adhere to the above in future delineation exercises.
10	T02 – Yat Tung Estate South T09 – Lamma & Po Toi	1	-	States that the population in T02 (Yat Tung Estate South) is around 20 000 while that in T09 (Lamma & Po Toi) is around 6 000. Apart from an elected member, there are also two ex-officio members, i.e. a total of three DC members in T09 (Lamma & Po Toi). On average, one DC member needs to serve only 2 000 people, just one tenth of the workload of the DC member in T02 (Yat Tung Estate South).	In drawing up the delineation recommendations, the EAC must strictly adhere to the statutory criteria under the EACO and its working principles. The delineation should be made on the basis of the projected populations, existing DCCA boundaries and relevant local factors. The workload of DC members is not the relevant factor of consideration.
11	T02 – Yat Tung Estate South T03 – Yat Tung Estate North	1^	-	Supports the provisional recommendations on T02 (Yat Tung Estate South) and T03 (Yat Tung Estate North). Reasons are as follows: • with the intake of Mun Tung Estate, the population of Tung Chung West will	The supporting view is noted.

^ The representation contains 12 signatures from the public.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				increase by more than 10 000 people. The provisional recommendations have successfully distributed the increased population to T02 (Yat Tung Estate South) and T03 (Yat Tung Estate North) evenly; • there are 4 000 residents at Hong Yat House and Ching Yat House which are transferred to T03 (Yat Tung Estate North). If Ching Yat House is remained in T02 (Yat Tung Estate South), the population of the DCCA will exceed 25 000, resulting in a crisis to the system; and • disagrees on criticising the DCCA delineation on grounds of building types because there are quite a number of similar cases in other DCCAs across Hong Kong.	
12	T02 – Yat Tung Estate South T03 – Yat Tung Estate North	548[#]	-	(a) Object to the delineation of T02 (Yat Tung Estate South) and T03 (Yat Tung Estate North). Propose to maintain the original boundaries of these two DCCAs. Reasons are summarised as follows: • originally, T02 (Yat Tung Estate South)	<u>Item (a)</u> This proposal is **not accepted** because based on the 2015 original DCCA boundary, the population of T01 (Lantau) (36 109) will substantially exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+117.54%) because of the completion of Mun Tung Estate. As there is no

[#] Of which, 546 are template letters.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				comprises Yat Tung (I) Estate while T03 (Yat Tung Estate North) comprises Yat Tung (II) Estate. The livelihood of the residents in these estates are distinctly separated and they are used to seeking assistance from the DC members of their respective DCCAs. The provisional recommendations proposed to transfer Hong Yat House and Ching Yat House of Yat Tung (I) Estate to T03 (Yat Tung Estate North). Such will undermine the integrity of the two communities; • it is unfair to the residents of Hong Yat House and Ching Yat House as they are deprived of their rights to vote in T02 (Yat Tung Estate South); • Hong Yat House has been transferred to different DCCAs in each election. This caused confusion to the residents and disregarded the community ties and integrity; and	additional seat in the Islands District after the review on the number of elected seats of each administrative district by the Government and Mun Tung Estate does not have any connection with other rural areas in Lantau, the provisional recommendations proposed to transfer Mun Tung Estate from T01 (Lantau) to the adjacent T02 (Yat Tung Estate South). After the above adjustment, the percentage deviation of T02 (Yat Tung Estate South) will be as high as 70%. To narrow down the difference among different DCCAs in terms of the percentage of population deviation from the population quota, it is proposed in the provisional recommendations to transfer Hong Yat House and Ching Yat House of Yat Tung (I) Estate to T03 (Yat Tung Estate North). The respective populations of the DCCAs concerned are: T01: 24 685, +48.71% T02: 23 475, +41.42% T03: 24 772, +49.24% Regarding the other issues as mentioned in the representations, the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangements

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				• according to the provisional recommendations, the populations of the DCCAs concerned will deviate substantially from the permissible upper limit of the population quota.	on district administration matters or community services provided by DC members are not the relevant factors of consideration. Besides, there is a representation supporting the provisional recommendations (please see item 11).
				(b) There are 546 template representations stating that the EAC did not consult the residents before making the provisional recommendations on transferring Hong Yat House and Ching Yat House of Yat Tung (I) Estate to T03 (Yat Tung Estate North).	<u>Item (b)</u> The EACO stipulates that the EAC is responsible for drawing up the provisional recommendations and to consult the public thereafter. During the consultation period, members of the public can express their views on the provisional recommendations through different means. The EAC will consider every representation received during the consultation period objectively before making the final recommendations.
				(c) Two representations state that the EAC has substantially overestimated the intake population of Mun Tung Estate. The population of Mun Tung Estate will not be as high as that estimated in the provisional recommendations. One of the representations proposes to transfer only Hong Yat House of Yat Tung (I) Estate to T03 (Yat Tung Estate North) and	<u>Item (c)</u> This proposal is **not accepted** because according to the proposal made in the representation, the populations of T02 (Yat Tung Estate South) and T03 (Yat Tung Estate North) will deviate from the population quota. The representation is not obviously better than the provisional recommendations.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				transfer Mun Tung Estate to T02 (Yat Tung Estate South) as the representation estimates that the intake rate of Mun Tung Estate will only be 40% in 2019. According to this projection, after the above proposed adjustment, the populations of T02 (Yat Tung Estate South) and T03 (Yat Tung Estate North) will be 22 483 and 22 287 respectively. Although the populations of the DCCAs concerned will still exceed the statutory permissible upper limit, the populations in these two DCCAs will be more even when compared with the provisional recommendations. It will also be fair and just to the residents and future DC members of the two DCCAs.	Provisional recommendations: T02: 23 475, +41.42% T03: 24 772, +49.24% The proposal made in the representation: T02: 25 883, +55.93% T03: 22 364, +34.73% Regarding the view on the population figures, the projected population figures as at 30 June 2019 are used for the delineation exercise for the 2019 DC Ordinary Election. As in the past, the projected population figures are provided by the AHSG, set up specially for the purpose of the delineation exercise under the Working Group on Population Distribution Projection in the PlanD. The current population distribution projections are derived by using scientific and systematic methodology based on the results of the 2016 population by-census carried out by the C&SD as well as the up-to-date official data kept by the relevant government departments. Members of the AHSG are all professional departments which all along have been responsible for territory-wide population census and projections on population distribution. They possess the most up-to-date information on the population and land and

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
					housing development, and the data are highly-accepted generally. The EAC has all along relied on the statistical figures provided by the AHSG, which are the only data available for the delineation exercise.
13	T06 – Tung Chung North	1	-	Supports the provisional recommendation.	The supporting view is noted.
14	T04 – Tung Chung South T06 – Tung Chung North	1	-	Considers that the provisional recommendations on transferring Ying Tung Estate to T06 (Tung Chung North) will cause confusion to the residents.	Based on the 2015 original DCCA boundary, the population of T04 (Tung Chung South) (36 777) will substantially exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+121.56%). To maintain the population of the DCCA within the statutory permissible range, adjustment to its boundary is required.
15	T04 – Tung Chung South T05 – Tung Chung Central T06 – Tung Chung North	1	-	Considers that the names of T04 (Tung Chung South), T05 (Tung Chung Central) and T06 (Tung Chung North) cannot clearly describe the Tung Chung New Town. Therefore, proposes to rename T04 (Tung Chung South), which is located at the town centre, as "Tung Chung Town Centre", T05 (Tung Chung Central) in the east of the town centre and Tung Chung East interchange as "Tung Chung East" and T06 (Tung Chung North) as "Tung Chung Waterfront".	The proposal is **not accepted** because the DCCA names in the provisional recommendations have already reflected the geographical locations of the DCCAs. Besides, the DCCA names "Tung Chung South" and "Tung Chung North" have been used since 2007 and the public are used to these DCCA names. Change of the DCCA names will cause confusion to the public.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
16	T04 – Tung Chung South T05 – Tung Chung Central T06 – Tung Chung North	1	-	Disagrees to transferring the Seaview Crescent and La Mer, Phase 5 of the Caribbean Coast to T04 (Tung Chung South) and T06 (Tung Chung North) respectively because: • the Seaview Crescent, Caribbean Coast and Coastal Skyline are geographically adjacent to each other and have all along been in T05 (Tung Chung Central); • in the 2011 DC delineation exercise, the EAC did not accept putting the Seaview Crescent and Tung Chung Crescent in the same DCCA because these two estates were separated by the North Lantau Highway; • La Mer, Phase 5 of the Caribbean Coast and the other four phases of the Caribbean Coast are put in T06 (Tung Chung North) and T05 (Tung Chung Central) respectively. The same housing estate will be served by two DC members; and • the serving DC member has established a good relationship with the residents. The residents are shocked and dissatisfied with the change of the DCCA.	This representation is **not accepted** because: (i) in each DC delineation exercise, the EAC will review the boundaries of the DCCAs which were allowed to deviate from the permissible range in the past. If there are changes in the objective circumstances of those DCCAs allowed to depart from the permissible range in the past, such as the addition of new seats within the administrative districts or there is room for adjustment in the adjacent DCCAs, the EAC will appropriately adjust their boundaries in light of the actual situations. For the present delineation exercise, as based on the 2015 original DCCA boundary, the population of T04 (Tung Chung South) (including T06 (Tung Chung North)) (36 777) will substantially exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+121.56%), the EAC proposed to create a new DCCA T06 (Tung Chung North) in the areas of Century Link, The Visionary and Ying Tung Estate. After transferring part of

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
					the population to the new DCCA, there is room for T04 (Tung Chung South) to absorb the excessive population of T05 (Tung Chung Central). Therefore, the EAC proposed to transfer Seaview Crescent from T05 (Tung Chung Central) to T04 (Tung Chung South); (ii) for the 2011 and 2015 DC delineation exercises, although the population of T05 (Tung Chung Central) exceeded the statutory permissible upper limit, taking into account its deviation from the population quota and the separation of T05 (Tung Chung Central) and T04 (Tung Chung South) by the North Lantau Highway, the EAC proposed to maintain the boundary of T05 (Tung Chung Central) unchanged and allow its population to exceed the statutory permissible upper limit. The respective population of T05 (Tung Chung Central) in 2011 and 2015 were: 2011: 22 048, +27.58% 2015: 22 450, +32.34% However, for the present delineation exercise, the

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
					populations of the DCCAs in Tung Chung will substantially increase making the population of T05 (Tung Chung Central) (24 546) to exceed considerably the statutory permissible upper limit (+47.88%). In spite of the North Lantau Highway between T05 (Tung Chung Central) and T04 (Tung Chung South), the EAC noticed that there is a footbridge between Seaview Crescent in T05 (Tung Chung Central) and Tung Chung Crescent in T04 (Tung Chung South). Therefore, the provisional recommendations proposed to transfer the Seaview Crescent from T05 (Tung Chung Central) to T04 (Tung Chung South). After the above adjustment, the population of T04 (Tung Chung South) (21 213) will only slightly exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+27.80%); and (iii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangements on district administration matters or

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
					community services provided by DC members are not the relevant factors of consideration.
17	T10 – Cheung Chau	1	1	Support the provisional recommendations on combining Cheung Chau into one DCCA.	The supporting view is noted.
18	T10 – Cheung Chau	453[%]	6	(a) Object to the provisional recommendations on combining Cheung Chau into one DCCA. Propose to maintain Cheung Chau in two DCCAs. Reasons are summarised as follows: • it is not reliable for the EAC to combine Cheung Chau into one DCCA by making reference to the result of the population by-census of the C&SD as the actual figures. The projected population of Cheung Chau will not be less than 30 000; • Cheung Chau is a popular island among Hong Kong people and tourists around the world. It is facing a lot of issues about community and people's livelihood as well as tourism development. The	<u>Item (a)</u> This proposal is **not accepted** because: (i) based on the 2015 original DCCA boundary, the populations of T04 (Tung Chung South) (including T06 (Tung Chung North))(36 777, +121.56%) and T05 (Tung Chung Central) (24 546, +47.88%) will substantially exceed the statutory permissible upper limit. As there is no additional seat for the Islands District after the review on the number of elected seats of each administrative district by the Government, under such circumstances, after examining the boundaries of all DCCAs and balancing the population distribution and geographical situation of the Islands District, the EAC proposed to combine Cheung Chau South with

[%] Of which, 440 are template letters.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				issues have to be handled by the DC members. If there is only one DC member, the residents have to wait longer time for assistance. This will lead to their dissatisfaction against the Government; • the provisional recommendations disregard the actual situation in Cheung Chau that many residents and slopes there without any public transport. The residents can only travel by bike or on foot. If there is only one elected seat, the residents will have to face many difficulties when seeking assistance; and • although Cheung Chau and Tung Chung are both in the Islands District, they are two places with different populations, community structures and living circles. Moreover, they are very far from each other and it takes about four hours for a round trip journey. One of the representations further states that Tung	Cheung Chau North, considering that they are geographically close to each other and both of their populations will be below the statutory permissible lower limit, and hence can free up a DCCA for creation in Tung Chung; (ii) in principle, combining DCCAs will not break any community integrity. Moreover, Cheng Chau is a Market Town and a single constituency in the Kaifong Representative Election. As such, the EAC did not agree with the notion that combining Cheung Chau South and Cheung Chau North will break the community integrity of the area or cause disharmony between the two places; and (iii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangements on district administration matters or community services provided by DC members are not the relevant factors of consideration. Regarding the view on population figures, the projected population figures

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				Chung and Cheung Chau are not adjacent DCCAs. Combining Cheung Chau to free up a DCCA for creation of a new DCCA in Tung Chung violates the EAC's working principle – "where the population falls outside the permissible range, adjustments will be made to their boundaries and those of adjacent DCCAs".	as at 30 June 2019 are used for the delineation exercise for the 2019 DC Ordinary Election. As in the past, the projected population figures are provided by the AHSG, set up specially for the purpose of the delineation exercise under the Working Group on Population Distribution Projection in the PlanD. The current population distribution projections are derived by using scientific and systematic methodology based on the results of the 2016 population by-census carried out by the C&SD as well as the up-to-date official data kept by the relevant government departments. Members of the AHSG are all professional departments which all along have been responsible for territory-wide population census and projections on population distribution. They possess the most up-to-date information on the population and land and housing development, and the data are highly-accepted generally. The EAC has all along relied on the statistical figures provided by the AHSG, which are the only data available for the delineation exercise.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				(b) 385 representations state that there was no consultation before drawing up the provisional recommendations and public opinion was ignored.	Item (b) The EACO stipulates that the EAC is responsible for drawing up the provisional recommendations and to consult the public thereafter. During the consultation period, members of the public can express their views on the provisional recommendations through different means. The EAC will consider every representation received during the consultation period objectively before making the final recommendations.
				(c) One representation states that even after consultation with the Chairman and Deputy Chairman of the Islands DC and the DO, the EAC still proposed to combine Cheung Chau. This will split the community.	Item (c) In order to comply with the statutory criteria, it is necessary for the EAC to have an understanding of the local characteristics, geographical environment and accessibility of the proposed DCCAs so that the feasibility of the various options be considered thoroughly. Taking into account that the DOs, being officers responsible for district administration, do have more comprehensive and in-depth knowledge on the local characteristics, geographical and transport matters of their administrative districts, as a long standing practice, the EAC will invite the DOs to provide factual information of their respective administrative districts on such matters for reference.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
					Throughout the whole process of drawing up the provisional recommendations, confidentiality is preserved, including the consultation with the DOs and communication with other working partners. Before the provisional recommendations are made public, the EAC would not consult any members of the local community, nor disclose any details of the delineation exercise to any organisations or individuals beyond its working partners.
				(d) One representation considers that the area of Cheung Chau is bigger than the whole Wan Chai District but it has only one elected seat versus 13 seats in the Wan Chai District.	<u>Items (d) to (f)</u> In accordance with the EACO, the EAC must follow the number of elected seats stipulated for each DC under the DCO in delineating the DCCA boundaries. As these proposals made in the representations are related to the enactment of the primary legislation, which does not fall under the purview of the EAC, the EAC has referred the relevant view to the CMAB for consideration.
				(e) Four representations consider that the EAC should increase the number of elected seats in the Islands District instead of combining Cheung Chau.	
				(f) One representation proposes to split Cheung Chau into three DCCAs just like the past.	

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				(g) One representation states that according to the record, it is estimated that there will be more cases for Cheung Chau residents to seek assistance from the DC members. Therefore, it is necessary to have two DCCAs in Cheung Chau so that there will be sufficient manpower to assist the residents.	Item (g) The delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangements on district administration matters or community services provided by DC members are not the relevant factors of consideration.
				(h) One representation proposes to combine "Cheung Chau North" and T08 (Peng Chau & Hei Ling Chau) so as to maintain two elected seats in Cheung Chau.	Item (h) This proposal is **not accepted** because in accordance with the EACO, apart from taking into account the projected population, the EAC must also have regard to the other statutory factors, including community identities, preservation of local ties and physical features (such as the size, shape, accessibility and development) of the relevant area when adjusting the DCCA boundaries. In view of the inconvenient transport between "Cheung Chau North" and T08 (Peng Chau & Hei Ling Chau), the EAC did not propose to combine these two DCCAs in the provisional recommendations.
				(i) Another representation proposes to combine T08 (Peng Chau & Hei Ling Chau) and T09 (Lamma & Po Toi) for freeing up a DCCA and to maintain two elected seats for	Item (i) This proposal is **not accepted**. Please see item 4.

Item No.	*DCCAs*	*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
		W	*O*		
				Cheung Chau.	
				(j) The representation states that according to a survey conducted, majority of the Cheung Chau residents object to the provisional recommendations.	Item (j) The view is noted. Please see item 18(a).
19	T08 – Peng Chau & Hei Ling Chau T09 – Lamma & Po Toi T10 – Cheung Chau	-	2	Hold no objection to the provisional recommendations but hope that the EAC will explain why it proposed to combine "Cheung Chau South" and "Cheung Chau North" instead of other islands in the Islands District for freeing up a DCCA.	Please see items 4 and 18(a).

Appendix II - General Issues

General Issues

Summaries of Written/Oral Representations

*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
W	*O*		
Item 1: General views on the delineation			
3	-	Support the EAC's provisional recommendations. One representation supports the EAC's independent operation to uphold a fair and just system.	The supporting view is noted.
1	-	Supports the EAC to re-arrange the order of the DCCA codes for quick identification of the DCCA locations in the map and clear understanding of the DCCAs concerned.	The supporting view is noted.
1	-	Considers that the EAC has failed to let the members of the public understand and inspect the relevant information on the population figures adopted in the delineation process. The representation puts forth the following views: • the legislation requires that the population in each DCCA must be near the population quota. The EAC should first ensure that the population in each DCCA is as near to the population quota as practicable. However, on the contrary, the EAC's working principle has stated that for DCCAs where the projected population falls within the statutory permissible	In drawing up the delineation recommendations, the EAC must strictly adhere to the statutory criteria under the EACO and its working principles. The delineation should be made on the basis of the projected populations, existing DCCA boundaries and relevant local factors. As regards the relevant population figures, the EAC will examine whether it is appropriate to show the projected populations of individual DCCAs before adjustments to their boundaries in the consultation document of the provisional recommendations for public information in the next DC delineation exercise.

* W: Number of written representations.
O : Number of oral representations.

*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
W	*O*		
		range, their boundaries will be maintained as far as possible; • according to the working principle, the EAC adopts the way which affects the least number of existing DCCAs or less population when adjusting DCCA boundaries. In practice, such working principle is contradictory to the statutory criteria of having regard to community identities and preservation of local ties, and will make the shapes of some DCCAs become odd; • objectively, adopting the option with minimum changes in delineating DCCA boundaries will give rise to a political effect and favour the interests and planning of certain political parties. Besides, the EAC's argument that a representation is not accepted because there is a view supporting a particular delineation recommendation which may involve political consideration; and • irregular boundaries or boundaries without regard to land surface features or overhead structures such as hills and waters, major roads, railway tracks, etc. will undermine community integrity and create difficulties for electors to understand the area of the DCCAs.	

*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
W	***O***		
1	-	Makes the following comments with respect to the EAC's delineation exercises and suggests that the Government should increase the number of DC seats, provide more resources and prevent the ever-growing need for adjustments of DCCAs: • due to the small size of a DCCA as opposed to the high level of population flow, the number of DCCAs with boundary adjustments in the present delineation exercise is more than that in the past. As required by the legislation, a DCCA should be represented by about 17 000 people and frequent re-delineation of DCCA boundaries will be required once there is a 25% change in population (about 4 250 people); • re-delineation of DCCAs should be kept to the minimum as frequent adjustments will have an impact on the election results; • the current practice of deciding the number of seats before re-delineating the DCCAs is not desirable. For instance, the overall population of the Wan Chai District is declining, however, since no seats will be deducted, B02 (Oi Kwan), B03 (Canal Road), B04 (Causeway Bay) and B07 (Tai Hang) are to be affected. On the other hand, the population of J27 (Laguna City) continues to exceed the permissible upper limit as the increase in the number of seats in the Kwun Tong District is inadequate; and	As the suggestion on amendment to the number of DC seats is related to the enactment of the primary legislation, which does not fall under the purview of the EAC, the EAC has referred the relevant view to the CMAB for consideration. In drawing up the delineation recommendations, the EAC must strictly adhere to the statutory criteria under the EACO. Both population quota and deviation from the permissible range are statutory requirements which the EAC must comply with in the delineation exercise. It is a statutory requirement that the EAC has to examine the existing boundaries of all DCCAs on the basis of the projected populations of individual DCCAs in the year in which the relevant election would be held, and appropriately adjust the boundaries of those DCCAs with projected populations exceeding the statutory permissible upper or lower limits with a view to ensuring that their projected populations do fall within the statutory permissible range. For DCCAs where the projected populations do fall within the permissible range, adjustment to their boundaries would not be necessary in principle. Pursuant to the EACO, apart from taking into account the projected population, the EAC must also have regard to the other statutory factors including community identities, preservation of local ties and physical features (such as the size, shape, accessibility and development) of the relevant area when adjusting the DCCA boundaries. With the ongoing urban

*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
W	*O*		
		• the EAC invites the DOs to provide views relating to community characteristics, local ties and the actual development of their respective administrative districts. Such arrangement gives the DOs a pivotal role in the delineation process and it is hard to ensure that the DOs are free from political influence. Besides, it is difficult for the public to confirm whether the DOs have gathered the views from the members of the local community and whether the views are impartial or not.	development, many areas are well-developed with comprehensive community infrastructure and ancillary transport facilities. Hence, community identities and local ties are, more often than not, no longer obvious factors justifying the keeping or re-delineation of the existing boundaries for most DCCAs. Therefore, factors on community identities and local ties considered by the EAC refer to those overwhelming and incontrovertible objective facts, such as the preservation of traditional ties between villages or retaining unique communities with historical elements. In order to comply with the above statutory criteria, the EAC considers it essential to have an understanding of the local characteristics, geographical environment and the accessibility of the proposed DCCAs so that the feasibility of various options could be assessed thoroughly. Taking into account that the DOs, being officers responsible for district administration, do have more comprehensive and in-depth knowledge on the local characteristics, geographical and transport matters of their administrative districts, as a long standing practice, the EAC will invite DOs to provide factual information of their respective administrative districts on such matters for reference. When the DOs are invited to provide information, the EAC has specified that only information of objective facts on local characteristics, geographical and transport situations are sought. The important principle that political facts will be not taken into account has been

*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
W	*O*		
			emphasised. The advice provided by the DOs is only a part of the variety of reference material when the delineation recommendations were drawn up by the EAC. The EAC has given all round consideration to all statutory requirements, in particular the population figures affected, before making the recommendations. In addition, if representations received during the public consultation period have put forward different views about the local environment, where required, the EAC will task its staff to conduct site visits to appreciate and assess the arguments raised in the representations before drawing up the final recommendations.
9	2	(a) Consider that EAC's use of projected population as a factor for consideration in the delineation has led to fragmentised DCCAs and the approach is both rigid and out of touch with the actual situation. For instance, splitting a housing estate into three different DCCAs would disrupt social harmony and turn them into battlegrounds for competing groups (including political parties). Besides, it also failed to take into account the issue of community integrity. Propose that the EAC should not only take into account the population figures but also other factors in the delineation.	<u>Items (a) to (d)</u> Pursuant to the EACO, apart from taking into account the projected population, the EAC must also have regard to the other statutory factors, including community identities, preservation of local ties and physical features (such as the size, shape, accessibility and development) of the relevant area when adjusting the DCCA boundaries. Factors on community identities and local ties considered by the EAC refer to those overwhelming and incontrovertible objective facts, such as the preservation of traditional ties between villages or retaining unique communities with historical elements. There are various options in delineating DC boundaries and there is no sole or absolute way to do so. The EAC must take all the factors into account, mainly

*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
W	***O***		
		(b) One representation states that the presence of too many private buildings, especially old single block buildings in a DCCA makes it difficult for a DC member to provide service. For example, L11 (San Hui) in the Tuen Mun District has more than 40 single block buildings. Considers that the EAC's provisional recommendations have not taken into account the factors of actual population size, community identities, local characteristics, types of buildings and number of buildings. Moreover, boundaries adjustments in every four years would cause confusion among the residents, making it difficult for them to cast their votes or seek assistance from DC members. Five representations state that the EAC's delineation is politically motivated and biased in favour of a certain political camp.	the population figures while having regard to the other statutory factors such as geography and accessibility, etc. These factors do certainly not include political ones or any factors not relevant to the statutory requirements. Due to the statutory permissible upper limit in delineating DCCA boundaries, large-scale public or private housing estates are normally divided into different DCCAs. The delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangements on district administration matters or community services provided by DC members are not the relevant factors of consideration. As the view about review and amendment to the DCO is related to the enactment of the primary legislation, which does not fall under the purview of the EAC, the EAC has referred the relevant view to the CMAB for consideration.
		(c) One representation states that the delineation of DCCAs has given rise to dissatisfaction across all political camps, which may undermine the Government's efforts in mustering sufficient support.	
		(d) One representation states that the delineation of DCCAs is not people-oriented. It fails to take into account various factors like the distribution of various social strata, cultural differences in different localities, community network, etc., and so makes it difficult for DC members to truly	

*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
W	*O*		
		and effectively reflect the views of the residents and implement district policies. Therefore, proposes to: • review the delineation of boundaries afresh and re-organise those housing estates that have been arbitrarily divided into different DCCAs or merged with other DCCAs; • amend the legislation to stipulate that equal priority should be given to the factors of population, community identities and preservation of local ties as well as the physical features of the relevant area in delineating DCCA boundaries; and • conduct a comprehensive review and amendment to the DCO so as to enhance the function and power of DCs.	
		(e) One representation considers that the EAC disregards public opinion in delineating DCCA boundaries.	<u>Item (e)</u> The EACO stipulates that the EAC is responsible for drawing up the provisional recommendations and to consult the public thereafter. After the public consultation period, the EAC will consider each and every representation received with reference to the same set of statutory criteria and working principles. If the proposals received during public consultation period better comply with the statutory criteria and working principles for the delineation exercise than the provisional recommendations, the EAC will accept the proposals and revise its provisional recommendations

*No.**		***Representations***	***EAC's Views***
W	***O***		
			before submitting the final recommendations to the CE.
1	-	Considers that the reason for strange shapes of certain DCCAs is because of the EAC's overriding principle of "maintaining boundaries of the existing DCCAs". Proposes that in the long run, the EAC should make each DCCA with an equal number of population and re-delineate the boundaries of some DCCAs.	This proposal is **not accepted.** According to the current statutory criteria, the projected population in each proposed DCCA should be as near the population quota as practicable. However, it is not practicable for the population of each DCCA to strictly achieve a single population quota. Hence, the statutory criteria allow the population of a DCCA to exceed or fall short of the population quota by not more than 25%. As for those DCCAs where the projected populations do fall within the permissible range, adjustments to their existing boundaries would not be necessary in principle so as to avoid any impact on the established community ties between the existing DCCAs as far as possible.
4	-	Object to the re-delineation of the DCCA boundaries. One representation proposes that the boundaries of the DCCAs for the 2019 DC Ordinary Election should remain the same as those for the 2015 DC Ordinary Election.	The proposal is not **accepted**. According to the EACO, the EAC should refer to the projected populations of individual DCCAs in the year in which the relevant election would be held as well as the number of elected seats specified for each DC under the DCO to appropriately adjust the boundaries of those DCCAs with projected populations exceeding the statutory permissible upper or lower limits and those of the adjacent DCCAs.
-	2	Query the confidentiality of the provisional recommendations because some people had information about the contents of the recommendations and started electioneering activities before the recommendations were made public.	Throughout the whole process of drawing up the provisional recommendations, confidentiality is preserved, including the consultation with the DOs and communication with other working partners. Before the provisional recommendations are made

No. *		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
W	*O*		
			public, the EAC would not consult any members of the local community, nor disclose any details of the delineation exercise to any organisations or individuals beyond its working partners. The EAC believes that all parties taking part in the exercise will abide by the principle of confidentiality and will not divulge the information to any other parties. Follow-up actions would be taken seriously if the EAC receives any complaint supported by substantive evidence.
-	1	Proposes to review the procedures of the delineation exercise and considers that the political inclinations of the DOs may have compromised the delineation recommendations.	Pursuant to the EACO, apart from taking into account the projected population, the EAC must also have regard to the other statutory factors including community identities, preservation of local ties and physical features (such as the size, shape, accessibility and development) of the relevant area when adjusting the DCCA boundaries. In order to comply with the above statutory criteria, it is necessary for the EAC to have an understanding of the local characteristics, geographical environment and the accessibility of the proposed DCCAs so that the feasibility of the various options be considered thoroughly. Taking into account that the DOs, being officers responsible for district administration, do have more comprehensive and in-depth knowledge on the local characteristics, geographical and transport matters of their administrative districts, as a long standing practice, the EAC will invite the DOs to provide factual information of their respective administrative districts on such matters for reference. When the DOs are invited to provide information, the EAC has specified that

*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
W	***O***		
			only information of objective facts on local characteristics, geographical and transport matters are sought. The important principle that political facts will be not taken into account has been emphasised. The advice provided by the DOs is only a part of the variety of reference material when the delineation recommendations were drawn up by the EAC. The EAC has given all round consideration to all statutory requirements, in particular the population figures affected, before making the recommendations. In addition, if representations received during the public consultation period have put forward different views about the local environment, where required, the EAC will task its staff to conduct site visits to appreciate and assess the arguments raised in the representations before drawing up the final recommendations.
1	2	(a) Propose to amend the statutory criteria so that the maximum deviation from the population quota for a DCCA be increased from 25% to 30% with a view to reducing the number of DCCAs requiring boundaries adjustments and better preserving the integrity of community.	<u>Items (a) to (c)</u> These proposals are policy-related and involve amendment to relevant legislation. The EAC has referred these views to the CMAB for consideration.
		(b) Propose to amend the EACO and DCO so that the EAC will be allowed to increase or reduce no more than 10% of the seats in each administrative district in response to a fall in population as well as the need to cater for local characteristics.	

*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
W	***O***		
		(c) Propose that the population quota should not be calculated on the basis of the total population of Hong Kong divided by the number of elected seats. Instead, it should be calculated on the basis of administrative districts as each administrative district has its unique features.	
1	1	Request reviewing the DCCA boundaries afresh in view of the great disparity in populations amongst different DCCAs, ranging from the lowest of 6 501 to the highest of 24 772. One representation queries whether prolonged deviation from the population quota as in the case of some DCCAs is justified in terms of fair use of public money and public interest.	In respect of reviewing the boundaries of the DCCAs, the EACO requires that the EAC has to examine the existing boundaries of all DCCAs on the basis of the projected population of individual DCCAs in the year in which the relevant election would be held, and appropriately adjust the boundaries of those DCCAs with projected populations exceeding the permissible upper or lower limits with a view to ensuring that their projected populations do fall within the statutory permissible range. For DCCAs where the projected populations do fall within the permissible range, adjustment to their boundaries would not be necessary in principle. The EAC may under special circumstances, having regard to the community identities, traditionally close local ties or unique geographical environment of individual DCCAs, allow the population of a DCCA to deviate from the statutory permissible range according to the statutory criteria. In drawing up the delineation recommendations, the EAC must strictly adhere to the statutory criteria under the EACO and its working principles. The delineation should be made on the basis of the projected populations, existing DCCA boundaries

*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
W	***O***		
			and relevant local factors.
Item 2: Population figures			
1	1	(a) Query the accuracy of the projected population figures, for example, those of B07 (Tai Hang) and E17 (East Tsim Sha Tsui & King's Park). One representation points out that the populations of certain DCCAs have increased despite the absence of newly completed buildings or large-scale development projects in those DCCAs, e.g. that of G01 (Ma Tau Wai) has increased from 17 000 in the previous term to 20 629 in the current term.	<u>Items (a) and (b)</u> The projected population figures as at 30 June 2019 are used for the delineation exercise for the 2019 DC Ordinary Election. As in the past, the projected population figures are provided by the AHSG, set up specially for the purpose of the delineation exercise under the Working Group on Population Distribution Projection in the PlanD. The current population distribution projections are derived by using scientific and systematic methodology based on the results of the 2016 population by-census carried out by the C&SD as well as the up-to-date official data kept by the relevant government departments. Members of the AHSG are all professional departments which all along have been responsible for territory-wide population census and projections on population distribution. They possess the most up-to-date information on the population and land and housing development, and the data are highly-accepted generally. The EAC has all along relied on the statistical figures provided by the AHSG, which are the only data available for the delineation exercise. The relevant population figures refer to Hong Kong's resident population (comprising usual residents and mobile residents), but not including the mobile population.
1	-	(b) Proposes that apart from residents, mobile population should also be taken into account in the delineation exercise. For instance, there are quite a lot of on-going commercial activities in C33 (Tsui Tak) due to the presence of industrial and commercial buildings, shopping centres and markets. The DC member concerned also has to handle the social problems caused by the mobile population.	

*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
W	***O***		
Item 3: Consultation arrangements			
-	1	Proposes to make reference to the arrangements for the delineation of boundaries for the rural representative elections. If further adjustments to DCCA boundaries are required after the completion of the first round of consultation, another round of consultation for a period of one month will be conducted.	The EAC has followed the statutory requirement under Section 19 of the EACO to conduct a public consultation exercise on its provisional recommendations. In fact, it is practically impossible to conduct two rounds of public consultation under the current framework and tight timetable for conducting the delineation exercise.
-	1	Proposes to model on the practice adopted in the delineation exercise for the LegCo by listing out in the relevant documents all feasible options for each of the DCCA requiring boundary adjustments.	The number of constituencies and the statutory criteria for boundary delineation in respect of DC and LegCo are different. As a large number of DCCAs requiring boundary adjustments is involved in the DC delineation exercise and there are various options in delineating the DCCA boundaries, it is operationally infeasible to list out all feasible options in the relevant documents.
9	5	Consider that arrangements must be made for conducting public forum on the Hong Kong Island and more public forums should be conducted in various areas. One representation suggests that an additional public forum be conducted at a community centre in Tseung Kwan O or Sai Kung. Another representation proposes to organise a public forum in the New Territories West.	In preparing for the conduct of the public consultation on the provisional recommendations on the DCCA boundaries, the EAC would review the relevant arrangements in light of the experience gained from the previous consultation exercises. As for the views of the public on matters concerning public forum arrangements, the views have been noted by the EAC for reference in the future review on the relevant arrangements.

*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
W	***O***		
Item 4: Electoral policy			
-	1	(a) Proposes to model on the arrangement for the LegCo general elections that a DCCA can have two seats if the population of the DCCA is too large. This will make it easier for members of the public to seek assistance from DC members.	<u>Items (a) to (c)</u> These proposals made in the representations involve amendment to the DCO and are related to the enactment of the primary legislation, which do not fall under the purview of the EAC. The EAC has referred these views to the CMAB for consideration.
1	-	(b) Considers the size of DCs is too small and fragmented. Proposes to set up councils which will take up similar roles as those of the former Urban Council and Regional Council so that eligible electors could vote to return DC members of the DCs of bigger size and participate in DC matters. Such arrangement would facilitate the implementation of district policies.	
1	-	(c) Proposes to combine the DCCAs and share resources as many of the electors are mobile population.	
Item 5: Electoral arrangements			
1	-	(a) Whenever there is a re-delineation of DCCA, there will be changes of polling stations without any consultation. Residents find this frustrating and inexplicable.	<u>Items (a) and (b)</u> In drawing up the delineation recommendations, the EAC must strictly adhere to the statutory criteria and the working principles. Apart from taking into account the projected population, the EAC must also have regard to other statutory factors,

*No.**		*Representations*	*EAC's Views*
W	***O***		
1	-	(b) Re-delineation of DCCA boundaries will have impact on the location of polling stations and the number of polling stations. It will also cause inconvenience to members of the public and lead to economic inefficiency.	including community identities, the preservation of local ties, physical features (such as the size, shape, accessibility and development) of the relevant area. Arrangement on polling stations is not the relevant factor of consideration. The EAC has referred the relevant view to the REO for consideration.

Changes Made to the DCCA Boundaries after the Public Consultation Exercise

Administrative District	No. of DCCAs Affected	Code and Name of DCCAs Affected
Wan Chai District	4	B03 Canal Road B04 Causeway Bay B07 Tai Hang B09 Broadwood
Sham Shui Po District	2	F20 So Uk F21 Lei Cheng Uk
Kowloon City District	3	G19 Whampoa East G20 Whampoa West G21 Hung Hom Bay
Wong Tai Sin District	3	H02 Lung Ha H07 San Po Kong H09 Tung Mei
	3	H15 Chuk Yuen North H17 Ching Oi H18 Ching On
Yuen Long District	2	M14 Hung Fuk M16 Ping Shan Central
	2	M22 Kingswood South M27 Kingswood North
	2	M36 San Tin M37 Kam Tin
North District*	2	N15 Fung Tsui N18 Queen's Hill

* As the AHSG, after the publication of the provisional recommendations, confirmed that a temporary structure located at the Ho Pak Section of Shek Wu San Tsuen, North District is split into N15 (Fung Tsui) and N18 (Queen's Hill), the EAC adjusted the boundaries of these two DCCAs in the final recommendations so as to put the entire temporary structure and its projected population in N18 (Queen's Hill).

Administrative District	No. of DCCAs Affected	Code and Name of DCCAs Affected
Tai Po District	2	P14 Po Nga P16 Old Market & Serenity
Kwai Tsing District	2	S01 Kwai Hing S06 Kwai Chung Estate South
Total :	27	

Changes Made to the DCCA Names after the Public Consultation Exercise

Administrative District	DCCA Code	DCCA Name	
		EAC's Provisional Recommendations	EAC's Final Recommendations
Kwun Tong District	J11	On Tai	Kwun Tong On Tai
Islands District	T02	Yat Tung Estate South	Mun Yat*

* To clearly reflect the distribution of major population in the DCCA concerned.

DCCAs with Population Exceeding the Permissible Range of the Population Quota
(Final Recommendations)

Administrative District	DCCA exceeding permissible range	Projected population (Deviation percentage)	Reasons
Southern District	D02 Ap Lei Chau Estate	12 062 (-27.33%) (same as that in the provisional recommendations)	The consideration of geographical factor and population distribution (the population of this DCCA was also allowed to deviate from the statutory permissible range in the 2015 DC delineation exercise)
Kowloon City District	G20 Whampoa West	20 898 (+25.90%) (higher than that in the provisional recommendations)	The need to preserve local ties of small-scale housing estate in the DCCA
Wong Tai Sin District	H18 Ching On	22 446 (+35.23%) (higher than that in the provisional recommendations)	The consideration of geographical factor and population distribution
Kwun Tong District	J27 Laguna City	24 757 (+49.15%) (same as that in the provisional recommendations)	The consideration of geographical factor (the population of this DCCA was also allowed to deviate from the statutory permissible range in the 2015 DC delineation exercise)

Administrative District	DCCA exceeding permissible range	Projected population (Deviation percentage)	Reasons
Yuen Long District	M27 Kingswood North	22 036 (+32.75%) (higher than that in the provisional recommendations)	The consideration of geographical factor (the population of this DCCA was also allowed to deviate from the statutory permissible range in the 2015 DC delineation exercise)
	M37 Kam Tin	20 792 (+25.26%) (higher than that in the provisional recommendations)	The need to preserve local ties of traditional communities
North District	N01 Luen Wo Hui	20 753 (+25.03%) (same as that in the provisional recommendations)	The need to preserve community identities and local ties
	N11 Sheung Shui Rural	20 757 (+25.05%) (same as that in the provisional recommendations)	The need to preserve local ties and the consideration of geographical and transport factors (the population of this DCCA was also allowed to deviate from the statutory permissible range in the 2015 DC delineation exercise)

Administrative District	**DCCA exceeding permissible range**	**Projected population (Deviation percentage)**	**Reasons**
Sai Kung District	Q01 Sai Kung Central	10 901 (-34.33%) (same as that in the provisional recommendations)	The consideration of geographical factor and population distribution (the population of this DCCA was also allowed to deviate from the statutory permissible range in the 2015 DC delineation exercise)
Kwai Tsing District	S06 Kwai Chung Estate South	21 829 (+31.51%) (higher than that in the provisional recommendations)	The consideration of geographical and transport factors
Islands District	T01 Lantau	24 685 (+48.71%) (same as that in the provisional recommendations)	The consideration of geographical and transport factors and population distribution
	T02 Mun Yat	23 475 (+41.42%) (same as that in the provisional recommendations)	
	T03 Yat Tung Estate North	24 772 (+49.24%) (same as that in the provisional recommendations)	

Administrative District	**DCCA exceeding permissible range**	**Projected population (Deviation percentage)**	**Reasons**
Islands District	T04 Tung Chung South	21 213 (+27.80%) (same as that in the provisional recommendations)	The consideration of geographical factor and population distribution
	T08 Peng Chau & Hei Ling Chau	6 622 (-60.11%) (same as that in the provisional recommendations)	The consideration of geographical and transport factors (the populations of these two DCCAs were also allowed to deviate from the statutory permissible range in the 2015 DC delineation exercise)
	T09 Lamma & Po Toi	6 501 (-60.83%) (same as that in the provisional recommendations)	
	T10 Cheung Chau	21 752 (+31.04%) (same as that in the provisional recommendations)	The consideration of geographical and transport factors*

**Based on the overall consideration of the Islands District, the two DCCAs in Cheung Chau are combined into one DCCA.*

Total number of DCCAs exceeding the permissible range of the population quota = 17

Appendix VI

中西區的正式建議摘要
Summary of Final Recommendations for Central and Western District

選區代號 DCCA Code	選區名稱 DCCA Name	預計人口 Projected Population	標準人口基數偏離百分比 +/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
A01	中環 Chung Wan	13 351	-19.57%
A02	半山東 Mid Levels East	16 508	-0.55%
A03	衛城 Castle Road	20 397	+22.88%
A04	山頂 Peak	19 447	+17.16%
A05	大學 University	18 029	+8.61%
A06	觀龍 Kwun Lung	15 273	-7.99%
A07	堅摩 Kennedy Town & Mount Davis	15 734[+]	-5.21%
A08	西環 Sai Wan	12 985	-21.77%
A09	寶翠 Belcher	20 077	+20.95%
A10	石塘咀 Shek Tong Tsui	16 479[+]	-0.72%
A11	西營盤 Sai Ying Pun	14 815	-10.75%
A12	上環 Sheung Wan	14 981	-9.75%
A13	東華 Tung Wah	12 904	-22.26%
A14	正街 Centre Street	15 003	-9.62%
A15	水街 Water Street	14 983	-9.74%
	總數 Total:	**240 966**	

[+] Marine population added
[+] 加上水上人口

Appendix VI

灣仔區的正式建議摘要
Summary of Final Recommendations for Wan Chai District

選區代號 DCCA Code	選區名稱 DCCA Name	預計人口 Projected Population	標準人口基數偏離百分比 +/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
B01	軒尼詩 Hennessy	12 777	-23.03%
B02	愛群 Oi Kwan	12 509	-24.64%
B03	鵝頸 Canal Road	12 512	-24.62%
B04	銅鑼灣 Causeway Bay	12 972	-21.85%
B05	維園 Victoria Park	13 412[+]	-19.20%
B06	天后 Tin Hau	15 051	-9.33%
B07	大坑 Tai Hang	13 701	-17.46%
B08	渣甸山 Jardine's Lookout	15 337	-7.60%
B09	樂活 Broadwood	13 755	-17.13%
B10	跑馬地 Happy Valley	13 150	-20.78%
B11	司徒拔道 Stubbs Road	15 042	-9.38%
B12	修頓 Southorn	14 665	-11.65%
B13	大佛口 Tai Fat Hau	13 170	-20.66%
總數 Total :		**178 053**	

[+] Marine population added

[+] 加上水上人口

Appendix VI

東區的正式建議摘要
Summary of Final Recommendations for Eastern District

選區代號 DCCA Code	選區名稱 DCCA Name	預計人口 Projected Population	標準人口基數偏離百分比 +/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
C01	太古城西 Tai Koo Shing West	17 716	+6.73%
C02	太古城東 Tai Koo Shing East	18 063	+8.82%
C03	鯉景灣 Lei King Wan	20 553	+23.82%
C04	西灣河 Sai Wan Ho	20 013	+20.57%
C05	愛秩序灣 Aldrich Bay	17 610	+6.09%
C06	筲箕灣 Shaukeiwan	14 076	-15.20%
C07	阿公岩 A Kung Ngam	18 823[+]	+13.40%
C08	杏花邨 Heng Fa Chuen	18 597	+12.04%
C09	翠灣 Tsui Wan	12 477	-24.83%
C10	欣藍 Yan Lam	16 073	-3.17%
C11	小西灣 Siu Sai Wan	12 460	-24.94%
C12	景怡 King Yee	14 676	-11.59%
C13	環翠 Wan Tsui	15 594	-6.05%
C14	翡翠 Fei Tsui	15 268	-8.02%
C15	柏架山 Mount Parker	12 806	-22.85%
C16	寶馬山 Braemar Hill	16 259	-2.05%
C17	炮台山 Fortress Hill	15 987	-3.69%
C18	城市花園 City Garden	16 955	+2.14%
C19	和富 Provident	20 643	+24.36%
C20	堡壘 Fort Street	16 157	-2.66%
C21	錦屏 Kam Ping	16 085	-3.10%
C22	丹拿 Tanner	15 959	-3.86%
C23	健康村 Healthy Village	13 831	-16.68%
C24	鰂魚涌 Quarry Bay	13 076	-21.22%
C25	南豐 Nam Fung	13 692	-17.51%
C26	康怡 Kornhill	14 528	-12.48%
C27	康山 Kornhill Garden	13 806	-16.83%
C28	興東 Hing Tung	17 972	+8.27%
C29	下耀東 Lower Yiu Tung	17 066	+2.81%
C30	上耀東 Upper Yiu Tung	13 149	-20.78%
C31	興民 Hing Man	14 601	-12.04%
C32	樂康 Lok Hong	12 685	-23.58%
C33	翠德 Tsui Tak	12 568	-24.28%
C34	漁灣 Yue Wan	14 926	-10.08%
C35	佳曉 Kai Hiu	13 356	-19.54%
	總數 Total:	**548 106**	

[+] Marine population added
[+] 加上水上人口

Appendix VI

南區的正式建議摘要
Summary of Final Recommendations for Southern District

選區代號 DCCA Code	選區名稱 DCCA Name	預計人口 Projected Population	標準人口基數偏離百分比 +/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
D01	香港仔 Aberdeen	19 023[+]	+14.60%
D02	鴨脷洲邨 Ap Lei Chau Estate	12 062	-27.33%
D03	鴨脷洲北 Ap Lei Chau North	13 442	-19.02%
D04	利東一 Lei Tung I	13 493	-18.71%
D05	利東二 Lei Tung II	14 666	-11.65%
D06	海怡東 South Horizons East	14 642	-11.79%
D07	海怡西 South Horizons West	14 674	-11.60%
D08	華貴 Wah Kwai	13 684	-17.56%
D09	華富南 Wah Fu South	12 937	-22.06%
D10	華富北 Wah Fu North	14 074	-15.21%
D11	薄扶林 Pokfulam	20 748	+25.00%
D12	置富 Chi Fu	16 105	-2.98%
D13	田灣 Tin Wan	18 081	+8.93%
D14	石漁 Shek Yue	15 692	-5.46%
D15	黃竹坑 Wong Chuk Hang	17 715[+]	+6.72%
D16	海灣 Bays Area	18 410	+10.91%
D17	赤柱及石澳 Stanley & Shek O	20 149	+21.39%
	總數 Total :	**269 597**	

[+] Marine population added

[+] 加上水上人口

Appendix VI

油尖旺區的正式建議摘要
Summary of Final Recommendations for Yau Tsim Mong District

選區代號 DCCA Code	選區名稱 DCCA Name	預計人口 Projected Population	標準人口基數偏離百分比 +/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
E01	尖沙咀西 Tsim Sha Tsui West	12 673	-23.65%
E02	九龍站 Kowloon Station	17 591[+]	+5.98%
E03	佐敦西 Jordan West	16 654	+0.33%
E04	油麻地南 Yau Ma Tei South	19 175	+15.52%
E05	富榮 Charming	16 288	-1.87%
E06	旺角西 Mong Kok West	16 278	-1.93%
E07	富柏 Fu Pak	19 046	+14.74%
E08	奧運 Olympic	17 370	+4.64%
E09	櫻桃 Cherry	15 042	-9.38%
E10	大角咀南 Tai Kok Tsui South	14 341	-13.60%
E11	大角咀北 Tai Kok Tsui North	20 538	+23.73%
E12	大南 Tai Nan	20 254	+22.02%
E13	旺角北 Mong Kok North	18 871	+13.69%
E14	旺角東 Mong Kok East	16 568	-0.19%
E15	旺角南 Mong Kok South	16 846	+1.49%
E16	油麻地北 Yau Ma Tei North	12 823	-22.75%
E17	尖東及京士柏 East Tsim Sha Tsui & King's Park	12 641	-23.84%
E18	佐敦北 Jordan North	17 885	+7.75%
E19	佐敦南 Jordan South	12 742	-23.24%
E20	尖沙咀中 Tsim Sha Tsui Central	14 762	-11.07%
	總數 Total:	**328 388**	

[+] Marine population added

[+] 加上水上人口

Appendix VI

深水埗區的正式建議摘要
Summary of Final Recommendations for Sham Shui Po District

選區代號 DCCA Code	選區名稱 DCCA Name	預計人口 Projected Population	標準人口基數偏離百分比 +/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
F01	寶麗 Po Lai	18 284	+10.15%
F02	長沙灣 Cheung Sha Wan	15 757	-5.07%
F03	南昌北 Nam Cheong North	19 628	+18.25%
F04	石硤尾 Shek Kip Mei	19 039	+14.70%
F05	南昌東 Nam Cheong East	19 594	+18.04%
F06	南昌南 Nam Cheong South	19 858	+19.63%
F07	南昌中 Nam Cheong Central	20 029	+20.66%
F08	南昌西 Nam Cheong West	20 211	+21.76%
F09	富昌 Fu Cheong	18 766	+13.06%
F10	麗閣 Lai Kok	13 067	-21.28%
F11	幸福 Fortune	18 472	+11.28%
F12	碧匯 Pik Wui	13 376	-19.42%
F13	荔枝角中 Lai Chi Kok Central	18 975	+14.31%
F14	荔枝角南 Lai Chi Kok South	16 785	+1.12%
F15	美孚南 Mei Foo South	16 563	-0.22%
F16	美孚中 Mei Foo Central	12 720	-23.37%
F17	美孚北 Mei Foo North	15 847	-4.53%
F18	荔枝角北 Lai Chi Kok North	15 472	-6.79%
F19	元州 Un Chau	18 422	+10.98%
F20	蘇屋 So Uk	18 751	+12.96%
F21	李鄭屋 Lei Cheng Uk	13 336	-19.66%
F22	龍坪及上白田 Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin	15 802	-4.80%
F23	下白田 Ha Pak Tin	16 014	-3.52%
F24	又一村 Yau Yat Tsuen	14 650	-11.74%
F25	南山、大坑東及大坑西 Nam Shan, Tai Hang Tung & Tai Hang Sai	19 773	+19.12%
	總數 Total :	**429 191**	

Appendix VI

九龍城區的正式建議摘要
Summary of Final Recommendations for Kowloon City District

選區代號 DCCA Code	選區名稱 DCCA Name	預計人口 Projected Population	標準人口基數偏離百分比 +/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
G01	馬頭圍 Ma Tau Wai	20 629	+24.28%
G02	宋皇臺 Sung Wong Toi	20 388	+22.83%
G03	馬坑涌 Ma Hang Chung	20 388	+22.83%
G04	馬頭角 Ma Tau Kok	13 958	-15.91%
G05	樂民 Lok Man	15 428	-7.05%
G06	常樂 Sheung Lok	20 454	+23.22%
G07	何文田 Ho Man Tin	19 625	+18.23%
G08	嘉道理 Kadoorie	19 100	+15.07%
G09	太子 Prince	14 931	-10.05%
G10	九龍塘 Kowloon Tong	20 309	+22.35%
G11	龍城 Lung Shing	15 498	-6.63%
G12	啟德北 Kai Tak North	14 068	-15.25%
G13	啟德東 Kai Tak East	12 993	-21.72%
G14	啟德中及南 Kai Tak Central & South	12 653	-23.77%
G15	海心 Hoi Sham	15 116	-8.93%
G16	土瓜灣北 To Kwa Wan North	14 682	-11.55%
G17	土瓜灣南 To Kwa Wan South	15 646	-5.74%
G18	鶴園海逸 Hok Yuen Laguna Verde	18 511	+11.52%
G19	黃埔東 Whampoa East	17 582	+5.92%
G20	黃埔西 Whampoa West	20 898	+25.90%
G21	紅磡灣 Hung Hom Bay	18 414	+10.93%
G22	紅磡 Hung Hom	13 762	-17.09%
G23	家維 Ka Wai	19 876	+19.74%
G24	愛民 Oi Man	15 915	-4.12%
G25	愛俊 Oi Chun	13 113	-21.00%
	總數 Total :	**423 937**	

Appendix VI

黃大仙區的正式建議摘要
Summary of Final Recommendations for Wong Tai Sin District

選區代號 DCCA Code	選區名稱 DCCA Name	預計人口 Projected Population	標準人口基數偏離百分比 +/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
H01	龍趣 Lung Tsui	16 351	-1.49%
H02	龍下 Lung Ha	16 671	+0.43%
H03	龍上 Lung Sheung	19 508	+17.53%
H04	鳳凰 Fung Wong	14 838	-10.61%
H05	鳳德 Fung Tak	15 606	-5.98%
H06	龍星 Lung Sing	19 166	+15.46%
H07	新蒲崗 San Po Kong	20 018	+20.60%
H08	東頭 Tung Tau	16 884	+1.72%
H09	東美 Tung Mei	16 379	-1.33%
H10	樂富 Lok Fu	14 016	-15.56%
H11	橫頭磡 Wang Tau Hom	16 981	+2.30%
H12	天強 Tin Keung	13 761	-17.10%
H13	翠竹及鵬程 Tsui Chuk & Pang Ching	17 350	+4.52%
H14	竹園南 Chuk Yuen South	15 466	-6.83%
H15	竹園北 Chuk Yuen North	15 131	-8.84%
H16	慈雲西 Tsz Wan West	20 405	+22.93%
H17	正愛 Ching Oi	20 665	+24.50%
H18	正安 Ching On	22 446	+35.23%
H19	慈雲東 Tsz Wan East	20 644	+24.37%
H20	瓊富 King Fu	18 840	+13.50%
H21	彩雲東 Choi Wan East	14 212	-14.38%
H22	彩雲南 Choi Wan South	12 568	-24.28%
H23	彩雲西 Choi Wan West	13 371	-19.45%
H24	池彩 Chi Choi	16 202	-2.39%
H25	彩虹 Choi Hung	14 841	-10.59%
	總數 Total :	**422 320**	

Appendix VI

觀塘區的正式建議摘要
Summary of Final Recommendations for Kwun Tong District

選區代號 DCCA Code	選區名稱 DCCA Name	預計人口 Projected Population	標準人口基數偏離百分比 +/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
J01	觀塘中心 Kwun Tong Central	16 518	-0.49%
J02	九龍灣 Kowloon Bay	13 289	-19.94%
J03	啟業 Kai Yip	20 355	+22.63%
J04	麗晶 Lai Ching	15 349	-7.53%
J05	坪石 Ping Shek	16 446	-0.92%
J06	彩德 Choi Tak	17 540	+5.67%
J07	佐敦谷 Jordan Valley	20 297	+22.28%
J08	順天 Shun Tin	18 307	+10.29%
J09	雙順 Sheung Shun	17 620	+6.15%
J10	安利 On Lee	18 411	+10.92%
J11	觀塘安泰 Kwun Tong On Tai	20 739	+24.94%
J12	秀茂坪北 Sau Mau Ping North	19 055	+14.80%
J13	秀茂坪中 Sau Mau Ping Central	19 749	+18.98%
J14	安達 On Tat	19 618	+18.19%
J15	秀茂坪南 Sau Mau Ping South	20 193	+21.65%
J16	寶達 Po Tat	20 490	+23.44%
J17	廣德 Kwong Tak	18 079	+8.92%
J18	興田 Hing Tin	16 638	+0.23%
J19	藍田 Lam Tin	20 638	+24.33%
J20	平田 Ping Tin	17 697	+6.61%
J21	栢雅 Pak Nga	13 020	-21.56%
J22	俊翔 Chun Cheung	17 214	+3.71%
J23	油塘東 Yau Tong East	15 754	-5.09%
J24	油翠 Yau Chui	17 969	+8.25%
J25	油麗 Yau Lai	16 068	-3.20%
J26	油塘西 Yau Tong West	19 627	+18.24%
J27	麗港城 Laguna City	24 757	+49.15%
J28	景田 King Tin	20 225	+21.84%
J29	翠屏 Tsui Ping	18 780	+13.14%
J30	曉麗 Hiu Lai	17 379	+4.70%
J31	寶樂 Po Lok	13 893	-16.30%
J32	月華 Yuet Wah	13 320	-19.75%
J33	協康 Hip Hong	16 091	-3.06%
J34	樂華南 Lok Wah South	12 582	-24.20%
J35	樂華北 Lok Wah North	12 479	-24.82%
J36	康樂 Hong Lok	15 614	-5.93%
J37	定安 Ting On	16 809	+1.27%
J38	牛頭角上邨 Upper Ngau Tau Kok Estate	15 165	-8.64%
J39	牛頭角下邨 Lower Ngau Tau Kok Estate	17 513	+5.51%
J40	淘大 To Tai	17 071	+2.84%
	總數 Total:	**698 358**	

Appendix VI

荃灣區的正式建議摘要
Summary of Final Recommendations for Tsuen Wan District

選區代號 DCCA Code	選區名稱 DCCA Name	預計人口 Projected Population	標準人口基數偏離百分比 +/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
K01	德華 Tak Wah	15 475	-6.77%
K02	楊屋道 Yeung Uk Road	17 799	+7.23%
K03	荃灣南 Tsuen Wan South	19 623	+18.22%
K04	海濱 Hoi Bun	18 556	+11.79%
K05	荃灣西 Tsuen Wan West	16 058	-3.26%
K06	祈德尊 Clague Garden	17 170	+3.44%
K07	荃灣中心 Tsuen Wan Centre	14 883	-10.34%
K08	愉景 Discovery Park	16 074	-3.16%
K09	福來 Fuk Loi	13 916	-16.16%
K10	綠楊 Luk Yeung	13 352	-19.56%
K11	馬灣 Ma Wan	15 648	-5.73%
K12	荃灣郊區 Tsuen Wan Rural	19 600	+18.08%
K13	汀深 Ting Sham	17 611	+6.10%
K14	麗濤 Lai To	17 951	+8.15%
K15	荃威 Allway	19 191	+15.62%
K16	象石 Cheung Shek	13 060	-21.32%
K17	石圍角 Shek Wai Kok	12 759	-23.13%
K18	梨木樹西 Lei Muk Shue West	17 296	+4.20%
K19	梨木樹東 Lei Muk Shue East	17 322	+4.36%
	總數 Total:	**313 344**	

Appendix VI

屯門區的正式建議摘要
Summary of Final Recommendations for Tuen Mun District

選區代號 DCCA Code	選區名稱 DCCA Name	預計人口 Projected Population	標準人口基數偏離百分比 +/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
L01	屯門市中心 Tuen Mun Town Centre	20 442	+23.15%
L02	兆置 Siu Chi	19 954	+20.21%
L03	安定 On Ting	15 819	-4.70%
L04	兆翠 Siu Tsui	18 367	+10.65%
L05	友愛南 Yau Oi South	15 078	-9.16%
L06	友愛北 Yau Oi North	14 625	-11.89%
L07	翠興 Tsui Hing	18 150	+9.34%
L08	山景 Shan King	16 817	+1.31%
L09	景興 King Hing	14 921	-10.11%
L10	興澤 Hing Tsak	15 167	-8.63%
L11	新墟 San Hui	20 036	+20.71%
L12	掃管笏 So Kwun Wat	13 828	-16.69%
L13	三聖 Sam Shing	17 237[+]	+3.84%
L14	恒福 Hanford	14 473	-12.81%
L15	悅湖 Yuet Wu	13 428	-19.10%
L16	兆禧 Siu Hei	12 544	-24.43%
L17	湖景 Wu King	13 434	-19.07%
L18	蝴蝶 Butterfly	15 982	-3.72%
L19	富新 Fu Sun	18 387	+10.77%
L20	樂翠 Lok Tsui	14 469	-12.83%
L21	龍門 Lung Mun	17 075	+2.87%
L22	新景 San King	13 772	-17.03%
L23	良景 Leung King	12 881	-22.40%
L24	田景 Tin King	15 565	-6.23%
L25	寶田 Po Tin	15 431	-7.04%
L26	建生 Kin Sang	16 698	+0.60%
L27	兆康 Siu Hong	15 943	-3.95%
L28	欣田 Yan Tin	16 746	+0.89%
L29	屯門鄉郊 Tuen Mun Rural	20 491	+23.45%
L30	富泰 Fu Tai	19 298	+16.26%
L31	景峰 Prime View	19 373	+16.71%
總數 Total:		**506 431**	

[+] Marine population added
[+] 加上水上人口

Appendix VI

元朗區的正式建議摘要
Summary of Final Recommendations for Yuen Long District

選區代號 DCCA Code	選區名稱 DCCA Name	預計人口 Projected Population	標準人口基數偏離百分比 +/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
M01	豐年 Fung Nin	19 006	+14.50%
M02	元朗中心 Yuen Long Centre	15 542	-6.37%
M03	鳳翔 Fung Cheung	15 976	-3.75%
M04	元龍 Yuen Lung	13 761	-17.10%
M05	十八鄉中 Shap Pat Heung Central	20 582	+24.00%
M06	水邊 Shui Pin	18 727	+12.82%
M07	南屏 Nam Ping	13 508	-18.62%
M08	北朗 Pek Long	13 849	-16.57%
M09	元朗東頭 Yuen Long Tung Tau	13 186	-20.56%
M10	十八鄉北 Shap Pat Heung North	14 251	-14.15%
M11	十八鄉東 Shap Pat Heung East	13 766	-17.07%
M12	十八鄉西 Shap Pat Heung West	19 402	+16.89%
M13	屏山南 Ping Shan South	16 555	-0.27%
M14	洪福 Hung Fuk	12 641	-23.84%
M15	廈村 Ha Tsuen	15 332	-7.63%
M16	屏山中 Ping Shan Central	15 036	-9.42%
M17	盛欣 Shing Yan	12 903	-22.27%
M18	天盛 Tin Shing	14 278	-13.98%
M19	天耀 Tin Yiu	12 734	-23.28%
M20	耀祐 Yiu Yau	13 916	-16.16%
M21	慈祐 Tsz Yau	14 562	-12.27%
M22	嘉湖南 Kingswood South	16 712	+0.68%
M23	瑞愛 Shui Oi	17 756	+6.97%
M24	瑞華 Shui Wah	14 960	-9.87%
M25	頌華 Chung Wah	16 867	+1.61%
M26	頌栢 Chung Pak	15 741	-5.17%
M27	嘉湖北 Kingswood North	22 036	+32.75%
M28	悅恩 Yuet Yan	18 671	+12.48%
M29	晴景 Ching King	19 077	+14.93%
M30	富恩 Fu Yan	19 671	+18.51%
M31	逸澤 Yat Chak	20 392	+22.85%
M32	天恒 Tin Heng	20 465	+23.29%
M33	宏逸 Wang Yat	18 418	+10.96%
M34	屏山北 Ping Shan North	14 237	-14.23%
M35	錦綉花園 Fairview Park	20 038	+20.72%
M36	新田 San Tin	19 617	+18.18%
M37	錦田 Kam Tin	20 792	+25.26%
M38	八鄉北 Pat Heung North	13 491	-18.72%
M39	八鄉南 Pat Heung South	20 545	+23.77%
總數 Total :		**648 999**	

Appendix VI

北區的正式建議摘要
Summary of Final Recommendations for North District

選區代號 DCCA Code	選區名稱 DCCA Name	預計人口 Projected Population	標準人口基數偏離百分比 +/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
N01	聯和墟 Luen Wo Hui	20 753	+25.03%
N02	粉嶺市 Fanling Town	15 211	-8.36%
N03	祥華 Cheung Wah	16 358	-1.45%
N04	華都 Wah Do	18 412	+10.92%
N05	華明 Wah Ming	16 202	-2.39%
N06	欣盛 Yan Shing	19 314	+16.36%
N07	粉嶺南 Fanling South	14 716	-11.34%
N08	盛福 Shing Fuk	14 726	-11.28%
N09	清河 Ching Ho	20 504	+23.53%
N10	御太 Yu Tai	18 967	+14.27%
N11	上水鄉郊 Sheung Shui Rural	20 757	+25.05%
N12	彩園 Choi Yuen	17 222	+3.75%
N13	石湖墟 Shek Wu Hui	19 841	+19.53%
N14	天平西 Tin Ping West	13 050	-21.38%
N15	鳳翠 Fung Tsui	18 664	+12.44%
N16	沙打 Sha Ta	17 305	+4.25%
N17	天平東 Tin Ping East	17 073	+2.86%
N18	皇后山 Queen's Hill	18 344	+10.51%
總數 Total :		**317 419**	

Appendix VI

大埔區的正式建議摘要
Summary of Final Recommendations for Tai Po District

選區代號 DCCA Code	選區名稱 DCCA Name	預計人口 Projected Population	標準人口基數偏離百分比 +/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
P01	大埔墟 Tai Po Hui	19 451	+17.18%
P02	頌汀 Chung Ting	14 303	-13.83%
P03	大埔中 Tai Po Central	13 645	-17.80%
P04	大元 Tai Yuen	13 863	-16.48%
P05	富亨 Fu Heng	15 546	-6.34%
P06	怡富 Yee Fu	14 644	-11.78%
P07	富明新 Fu Ming Sun	13 600	-18.07%
P08	廣福及寶湖 Kwong Fuk & Plover Cove	12 858	-22.54%
P09	宏福 Wang Fuk	19 301	+16.28%
P10	大埔滘 Tai Po Kau	18 120	+9.16%
P11	運頭塘 Wan Tau Tong	15 438	-6.99%
P12	新富 San Fu	15 444	-6.96%
P13	林村谷 Lam Tsuen Valley	17 508	+5.48%
P14	寶雅 Po Nga	17 451	+5.13%
P15	太和 Tai Wo	13 735	-17.25%
P16	舊墟及太湖 Old Market & Serenity	17 381	+4.71%
P17	康樂園 Hong Lok Yuen	20 488	+23.43%
P18	船灣 Shuen Wan	20 409[+]	+22.95%
P19	西貢北 Sai Kung North	14 102[+]	-15.04%
總數 Total :		**307 287**	

[+] Marine population added

[+] 加上水上人口

Appendix VI

西貢區的正式建議摘要
Summary of Final Recommendations for Sai Kung District

選區代號 DCCA Code	選區名稱 DCCA Name	預計人口 Projected Population	標準人口基數偏離百分比 +/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
Q01	西貢市中心 Sai Kung Central	10 901	-34.33%
Q02	白沙灣 Pak Sha Wan	15 810[+]	-4.75%
Q03	西貢離島 Sai Kung Islands	12 894[+]	-22.32%
Q04	坑口東 Hang Hau East	13 902[+]	-16.25%
Q05	坑口西 Hang Hau West	19 361	+16.64%
Q06	彩健 Choi Kin	19 778	+19.15%
Q07	健明 Kin Ming	15 377	-7.36%
Q08	都善 Do Shin	15 063	-9.25%
Q09	維景 Wai King	14 598	-12.05%
Q10	海晉 Hoi Chun	18 223	+9.78%
Q11	寶怡 Po Yee	15 330	-7.65%
Q12	富君 Fu Kwan	18 975	+14.31%
Q13	澳唐 O Tong	17 578	+5.90%
Q14	尚德 Sheung Tak	19 061	+14.83%
Q15	廣明 Kwong Ming	17 828	+7.40%
Q16	康景 Hong King	12 786	-22.97%
Q17	翠林 Tsui Lam	14 821	-10.71%
Q18	寶林 Po Lam	15 416	-7.13%
Q19	欣英 Yan Ying	18 246	+9.92%
Q20	慧茵 Wai Yan	13 752	-17.15%
Q21	運亨 Wan Hang	14 254	-14.13%
Q22	景林 King Lam	17 176	+3.48%
Q23	厚德 Hau Tak	17 762	+7.01%
Q24	富藍 Fu Nam	16 981	+2.30%
Q25	德明 Tak Ming	18 785	+13.17%
Q26	南安 Nam On	18 364	+10.63%
Q27	軍寶 Kwan Po	13 854	-16.54%
Q28	環保北 Wan Po North	18 855	+13.59%
Q29	環保南 Wan Po South	18 497	+11.43%
	總數 Total :	**474 228**	

[+] Marine population added

[+] 加上水上人口

Appendix VI

沙田區的正式建議摘要
Summary of Final Recommendations for Sha Tin District

選區代號 DCCA Code	選區名稱 DCCA Name	預計人口 Projected Population	標準人口基數偏離百分比 +/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
R01	沙田市中心 Sha Tin Town Centre	18 825	+13.41%
R02	瀝源 Lek Yuen	15 959	-3.86%
R03	禾輋邨 Wo Che Estate	17 539	+5.66%
R04	第一城 City One	15 938	-3.98%
R05	愉城 Yue Shing	15 428	-7.05%
R06	王屋 Wong Uk	17 502	+5.44%
R07	沙角 Sha Kok	16 061	-3.24%
R08	博康 Pok Hong	16 063	-3.23%
R09	水泉澳 Shui Chuen O	20 294	+22.26%
R10	乙泉 Jat Chuen	19 634	+18.28%
R11	秦豐 Chun Fung	15 529	-6.45%
R12	新田圍 Sun Tin Wai	16 041	-3.36%
R13	翠田 Chui Tin	15 025	-9.48%
R14	顯嘉 Hin Ka	13 023	-21.54%
R15	下城門 Lower Shing Mun	19 554	+17.80%
R16	雲城 Wan Shing	20 104	+21.12%
R17	徑口 Keng Hau	19 588	+18.01%
R18	田心 Tin Sum	14 327	-13.69%
R19	翠嘉 Chui Ka	18 417	+10.95%
R20	大圍 Tai Wai	20 083	+20.99%
R21	松田 Chung Tin	15 131	-8.84%
R22	穗禾 Sui Wo	12 870	-22.47%
R23	火炭 Fo Tan	20 520	+23.62%
R24	駿馬 Chun Ma	13 863	-16.48%
R25	海嵐 Hoi Nam	12 926	-22.13%
R26	頌安 Chung On	15 840	-4.57%
R27	錦濤 Kam To	19 781	+19.17%
R28	馬鞍山市中心 Ma On Shan Town Centre	17 520	+5.55%
R29	烏溪沙 Wu Kai Sha	20 592	+24.06%
R30	利安 Lee On	16 415	-1.11%
R31	富龍 Fu Lung	16 363	-1.42%
R32	錦英 Kam Ying	16 942	+2.07%
R33	耀安 Yiu On	17 630	+6.21%
R34	恆安 Heng On	19 833	+19.48%
R35	大水坑 Tai Shui Hang	18 939	+14.10%
R36	鞍泰 On Tai	14 323	-13.71%
R37	愉欣 Yu Yan	18 617	+12.16%
R38	帝怡 Di Yee	16 877	+1.67%
R39	碧湖 Bik Woo	16 609	+0.06%
R40	廣康 Kwong Hong	13 200	-20.48%
R41	廣源 Kwong Yuen	13 263	-20.10%
總數 Total :		**692 988**	

Appendix VI

葵青區的正式建議摘要
Summary of Final Recommendations for Kwai Tsing District

選區代號 DCCA Code	選區名稱 DCCA Name	預計人口 Projected Population	標準人口基數偏離百分比 +/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
S01	葵興 Kwai Hing	13 197	-20.50%
S02	葵聯 Kwai Luen	13 492	-18.72%
S03	葵盛東邨 Kwai Shing East Estate	20 194	+21.66%
S04	上大窩口 Upper Tai Wo Hau	13 463	-18.89%
S05	下大窩口 Lower Tai Wo Hau	13 158	-20.73%
S06	葵涌邨南 Kwai Chung Estate South	21 829	+31.51%
S07	葵涌邨北 Kwai Chung Estate North	20 053	+20.81%
S08	石蔭 Shek Yam	19 678	+18.55%
S09	大白田西 Tai Pak Tin West	15 123	-8.89%
S10	大白田東 Tai Pak Tin East	16 560	-0.23%
S11	安蔭 On Yam	16 183	-2.51%
S12	石籬北 Shek Lei North	15 253	-8.11%
S13	石籬南 Shek Lei South	19 678	+18.55%
S14	葵芳 Kwai Fong	18 107	+9.08%
S15	興芳 Hing Fong	14 860	-10.48%
S16	華麗 Wah Lai	16 580	-0.11%
S17	荔華 Lai Wah	15 916	-4.11%
S18	祖堯 Cho Yiu	15 760	-5.05%
S19	荔景 Lai King	13 858	-16.51%
S20	葵盛西邨 Kwai Shing West Estate	18 062	+8.81%
S21	安灝 On Ho	19 763	+19.06%
S22	偉盈 Wai Ying	19 475	+17.33%
S23	青衣邨 Tsing Yi Estate	15 419	-7.11%
S24	翠怡 Greenfield	18 232	+9.84%
S25	長青 Cheung Ching	19 684	+18.59%
S26	長康 Cheung Hong	14 099	-15.06%
S27	盛康 Shing Hong	14 902	-10.22%
S28	青衣南 Tsing Yi South	17 278	+4.09%
S29	長亨 Cheung Hang	13 366	-19.48%
S30	青發 Ching Fat	17 863	+7.61%
S31	長安 Cheung On	12 739	-23.25%
	總數 Total:	**513 824**	

Appendix VI

離島區的正式建議摘要
Summary of Final Recommendations for Islands District

選區代號 DCCA Code	選區名稱 DCCA Name	預計人口 Projected Population	標準人口基數偏離百分比 +/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
T01	大嶼山 Lantau	24 685	+48.71%
T02	滿逸 Mun Yat	23 475	+41.42%
T03	逸東邨北 Yat Tung Estate North	24 772	+49.24%
T04	東涌南 Tung Chung South	21 213	+27.80%
T05	東涌中 Tung Chung Central	20 712	+24.78%
T06	東涌北 Tung Chung North	19 398	+16.86%
T07	愉景灣 Discovery Bay	20 016[+]	+20.59%
T08	坪洲及喜靈洲 Peng Chau & Hei Ling Chau	6 622	-60.11%
T09	南丫及蒲台 Lamma & Po Toi	6 501[+]	-60.83%
T10	長洲 Cheung Chau	21 752[+]	+31.04%
總數 Total :		**189 146**	

[+]Marine population added

[+] 加上水上人口

香港特別行政區
HONG KONG
SPECIAL ADMINISTRATIVE REGION



區界線
District Boundary

代號 Code	區名稱 District Name		圖則編號 Plan No.
A	中西區	Central & Western	DCCA/R/2019/A
B	灣仔區	Wan Chai	DCCA/R/2019/B
C	東區	Eastern	DCCA/R/2019/C
D	南區	Southern	DCCA/R/2019/D1 & DCCA/R/2019/D2
E	油尖旺區	Yau Tsim Mong	DCCA/R/2019/E
F	深水埗區	Sham Shui Po	DCCA/R/2019/F
G	九龍城區	Kowloon City	DCCA/R/2019/G
H	黃大仙區	Wong Tai Sin	DCCA/R/2019/H
J	觀塘區	Kwun Tong	DCCA/R/2019/J
K	荃灣區	Tsuen Wan	DCCA/R/2019/K
L	屯門區	Tuen Mun	DCCA/R/2019/L
M	元朗區	Yuen Long	DCCA/R/2019/M
N	北區	North	DCCA/R/2019/N1 & DCCA/R/2019/N2
P	大埔區	Tai Po	DCCA/R/2019/P1 & DCCA/R/2019/P2
Q	西貢區	Sai Kung	DCCA/R/2019/Q1 & DCCA/R/2019/Q2
R	沙田區	Sha Tin	DCCA/R/2019/R
S	葵青區	Kwai Tsing	DCCA/R/2019/S
T	離島區	Islands	DCCA/R/2019/T

選區界線
Constituency Boundary

選區代號及名稱
Code and Name of Constituency

G14	啟德中及南	Kai Tak Central & South
G19	黃埔東	Whampoa East
J26	油塘西	Yau Tong West
M15	廈村	Ha Tsuen
M34	屏山北	Ping Shan North
P18	船灣	Shuen Wan
P19	西貢北	Sai Kung North
Q03	西貢離島	Sai Kung Islands
Q04	坑口東	Hang Hau East
S28	青衣南	Tsing Yi South
T01	大嶼山	Lantau
T09	南丫及蒲台	Lamma & Po Toi

二零一九年區議會選區分界圖索引
2019 DISTRICT COUNCIL CONSTITUENCY BOUNDARIES INDEX MAP

KILOMETRES 公里 2 0 2 4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 20 KILOMETRES 公里

圖則編號 Plan No. DCCA/R/2019/INDEX

二零一八年十一月版 November 2018 Edition

選舉管理委員會刊印
Published by the Electoral Affairs Commission

版權所有 未經許可 不得複製 ©2018 Copyright reserved - reproduction by permission only

地政總署測繪處繪製
Cartography by Survey & Mapping Office, Lands Department



區界線
District Boundary

選區界線
Constituency Boundary

選區代號及名稱
Code and Name of Constituency

A01	中環	Chung Wan
A02	半山東	Mid Levels East
A03	衙城	Castle Road
A04	山頂	Peak
A05	大學	University
A06	觀龍	Kwun Lung
A07	堅摩	Kennedy Town & Mount Davis
A08	西環	Sai Wan
A09	寶翠	Belcher
A10	石塘咀	Shek Tong Tsui
A11	西營盤	Sai Ying Pun
A12	上環	Sheung Wan
A13	東華	Tung Wah
A14	正街	Centre Street
A15	水街	Water Street

選舉管理委員會之建議

Recommendations of the Electoral Affairs Commission

區議會選區分界圖 — 中西區

DISTRICT COUNCIL CONSTITUENCY BOUNDARIES — CENTRAL & WESTERN DISTRICT

METRES 米 250 0 250 500 750 1000 1250 1500 1750 2000 METRES 米

圖則編號 Plan No. DCCA/R/2019/A

二零一八年十一月版 November 2018 Edition

選舉管理委員會刊印
Published by the Electoral Affairs Commission

版權所有 未經許可 不得複製 ©2018 Copyright reserved - reproduction by permission only

地政總署測繪處繪製
Cartography by Survey & Mapping Office, Lands Department



區界線
District Boundary

選區界線
Constituency Boundary

選區代號及名稱
Code and Name of Constituency

B01	軒尼詩	Hennessy
B02	愛群	Oi Kwan
B03	鵝頸	Canal Road
B04	銅鑼灣	Causeway Bay
B05	維園	Victoria Park
B06	天后	Tin Hau
B07	大坑	Tai Hang
B08	渣甸山	Jardine's Lookout
B09	樂活	Broadwood
B10	跑馬地	Happy Valley
B11	司徒拔道	Stubbs Road
B12	修頓	Southorn
B13	大佛口	Tai Fat Hau

選舉管理委員會之建議
Recommendations of the Electoral Affairs Commission

區議會選區分界圖 — 灣仔區
DISTRICT COUNCIL CONSTITUENCY BOUNDARIES — WAN CHAI DISTRICT

METRES 米 250 0 250 500 750 1000 1250 1500 1750 2000 METRES 米

圖則編號 Plan No. DCCA/R/2019/B
二零一八年十一月版 November 2018 Edition
選舉管理委員會刊印
Published by the Electoral Affairs Commission

版權所有 未經許可 不得複製 ©2018 Copyright reserved - reproduction by permission only
地政總署測繪處繪製
Cartography by Survey & Mapping Office, Lands Department

九龍城區
KOWLOON CITY DISTRICT
(G)

觀塘區
KWUN TONG DISTRICT
(J)

西貢區
SAI KUNG DISTRICT
(Q)

油尖旺區
YAU TSIM MONG DISTRICT
(E)

東區
EASTERN DISTRICT
(C)

灣仔區
WAN CHAI DISTRICT
(B)

南區
SOUTHERN DISTRICT
(D)

插圖 INSET A

區界線
District Boundary

選區界線
Constituency Boundary

選區代號及名稱
Code and Name of Constituency

C01	太古城西	Tai Koo Shing West
C02	太古城東	Tai Koo Shing East
C03	鯉景灣	Lei King Wan
C04	西灣河	Sai Wan Ho
C05	愛秩序灣	Aldrich Bay
C06	筲箕灣	Shaukeiwan
C07	阿公岩	A Kung Ngam
C08	杏花邨	Heng Fa Chuen
C09	翠灣	Tsui Wan
C10	欣藍	Yan Lam
C11	小西灣	Siu Sai Wan
C12	景怡	King Yee
C13	環翠	Wan Tsui
C14	翡翠	Fei Tsui
C15	柏架山	Mount Parker
C16	寶馬山	Braemar Hill
C17	炮台山	Fortress Hill
C18	城市花園	City Garden
C19	和富	Provident
C20	堡壘	Fort Street
C21	錦屏	Kam Ping
C22	丹拿	Tanner
C23	健康村	Healthy Village
C24	鰂魚涌	Quarry Bay
C25	南豐	Nam Fung
C26	康怡	Kornhill
C27	康山	Kornhill Garden
C28	興東	Hing Tung
C29	下耀東	Lower Yiu Tung
C30	上耀東	Upper Yiu Tung
C31	興民	Hing Man
C32	樂康	Lok Hong
C33	翠德	Tsui Tak
C34	漁灣	Yue Wan
C35	佳曉	Kai Hiu

選舉管理委員會之建議
Recommendations of the Electoral Affairs Commission

區議會選區分界圖 — 東區
DISTRICT COUNCIL CONSTITUENCY BOUNDARIES — EASTERN DISTRICT

METRES 米 250 0 250 500 750 1000 1250 1500 1750 2000 2250 2500 METRES 米

圖則編號 Plan No. DCCA/R/2019/C
二零一八年十一月版 November 2018 Edition
選舉管理委員會刊印
Published by the Electoral Affairs Commission

版權所有 未經許可 不得複製 ©2018 Copyright reserved - reproduction by permission only

地政總署測繪處繪製
Cartography by Survey & Mapping Office, Lands Department



選舉管理委員會之建議

Recommendations of the Electoral Affairs Commission

區議會選區分界圖 — 南區（圖一）

DISTRICT COUNCIL CONSTITUENCY BOUNDARIES — SOUTHERN DISTRICT (SHEET 1)

METRES 米 500 0 500 1000 1500 2000 2500 3000 METRES 米

圖則編號 Plan No. DCCA/R/2019/D1

二零一八年十一月版 November 2018 Edition

選舉管理委員會刊印
Published by the Electoral Affairs Commission

版權所有 未經許可 不得複製 © 2018 Copyright reserved - reproduction by permission only
地政總署測繪處繪製
Cartography by Survey & Mapping Office, Lands Department



選舉管理委員會之建議

Recommendations of the Electoral Affairs Commission

區議會選區分界圖 — 南區（圖二）

DISTRICT COUNCIL CONSTITUENCY BOUNDARIES — SOUTHERN DISTRICT (SHEET 2)

METRES 米 500 0 500 1000 1500 2000 2500 3000 METRES 米

圖則編號 Plan No. DCCA/R/2019/D2

二零一八年十一月版 November 2018 Edition

選舉管理委員會刊印
Published by the Electoral Affairs Commission

版權所有 未經許可 不得複製 ©2018 Copyright reserved - reproduction by permission only

地政總署測繪處繪製
Cartography by Survey & Mapping Office, Lands Department



區界線
District Boundary

選區界線
Constituency Boundary

選區代號及名稱
Code and Name of Constituency

E01	尖沙咀西	Tsim Sha Tsui West
E02	九龍站	Kowloon Station
E03	佐敦西	Jordan West
E04	油麻地南	Yau Ma Tei South
E05	富榮	Charming
E06	旺角西	Mong Kok West
E07	富柏	Fu Pak
E08	奧運	Olympic
E09	櫻桃	Cherry
E10	大角咀南	Tai Kok Tsui South
E11	大角咀北	Tai Kok Tsui North
E12	大南	Tai Nan
E13	旺角北	Mong Kok North
E14	旺角東	Mong Kok East
E15	旺角南	Mong Kok South
E16	油麻地北	Yau Ma Tei North
E17	尖東及京士柏	East Tsim Sha Tsui & King's Park
E18	佐敦北	Jordan North
E19	佐敦南	Jordan South
E20	尖沙咀中	Tsim Sha Tsui Central

選舉管理委員會之建議
Recommendations of the Electoral Affairs Commission

區議會選區分界圖 — 油尖旺區
DISTRICT COUNCIL CONSTITUENCY BOUNDARIES — YAU TSIM MONG DISTRICT

METRES 米 250 0 250 500 750 1000 1250 1500 1750 2000 METRES 米

圖則編號 Plan No. DCCA/R/2019/E
二零一八年十一月版 November 2018 Edition
選舉管理委員會刊印
Published by the Electoral Affairs Commission
版權所有 未經許可 不得複製 ©2018 Copyright reserved - reproduction by permission only
地政總署測繪處繪製
Cartography by Survey & Mapping Office, Lands Department

區界線
District Boundary

選區界線
Constituency Boundary

選區代號及名稱
Code and Name of Constituency

F01	寶麗	Po Lai
F02	長沙灣	Cheung Sha Wan
F03	南昌北	Nam Cheong North
F04	石硤尾	Shek Kip Mei
F05	南昌東	Nam Cheong East
F06	南昌南	Nam Cheong South
F07	南昌中	Nam Cheong Central
F08	南昌西	Nam Cheong West
F09	富昌	Fu Cheong
F10	麗閣	Lai Kok
F11	幸福	Fortune
F12	碧匯	Pik Wui
F13	荔枝角中	Lai Chi Kok Central
F14	荔枝角南	Lai Chi Kok South
F15	美孚南	Mei Foo South
F16	美孚中	Mei Foo Central
F17	美孚北	Mei Foo North
F18	荔枝角北	Lai Chi Kok North
F19	元州	Un Chau
F20	蘇屋	So Uk
F21	李鄭屋	Lei Cheng Uk
F22	龍坪及上白田	Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin
F23	下白田	Ha Pak Tin
F24	又一村	Yau Yat Tsuen
F25	南山、大坑東及大坑西	Nam Shan, Tai Hang Tung & Tai Hang Sai

選舉管理委員會之建議
Recommendations of the Electoral Affairs Commission

區議會選區分界圖 — 深水埗區
DISTRICT COUNCIL CONSTITUENCY BOUNDARIES — SHAM SHUI PO DISTRICT

METRES 米 250 0 250 500 750 1000 1250 1500 1750 2000 METRES 米

圖則編號 Plan No. DCCA/R/2019/F

二零一八年十一月版 November 2018 Edition

選舉管理委員會刊印
Published by the Electoral Affairs Commission

版權所有 未經許可 不得複製 ©2018 Copyright reserved - reproduction by permission only

地政總署測繪處繪製
Cartography by Survey & Mapping Office, Lands Department



區界線
District Boundary

選區界線
Constituency Boundary

選區代號及名稱
Code and Name of Constituency

G01	馬頭圍	Ma Tau Wai
G02	宋皇臺	Sung Wong Toi
G03	馬坑涌	Ma Hang Chung
G04	馬頭角	Ma Tau Kok
G05	樂民	Lok Man
G06	常樂	Sheung Lok
G07	何文田	Ho Man Tin
G08	嘉道理	Kadoorie
G09	太子	Prince
G10	九龍塘	Kowloon Tong
G11	龍城	Lung Shing
G12	啟德北	Kai Tak North
G13	啟德東	Kai Tak East
G14	啟德中及南	Kai Tak Central & South
G15	海心	Hoi Sham
G16	土瓜灣北	To Kwa Wan North
G17	土瓜灣南	To Kwa Wan South
G18	鶴園海逸	Hok Yuen Laguna Verde
G19	黃埔東	Whampoa East
G20	黃埔西	Whampoa West
G21	紅磡灣	Hung Hom Bay
G22	紅磡	Hung Hom
G23	家維	Ka Wai
G24	愛民	Oi Man
G25	愛俊	Oi Chun

選舉管理委員會之建議
Recommendations of the Electoral Affairs Commission

區議會選區分界圖 — 九龍城區
DISTRICT COUNCIL CONSTITUENCY BOUNDARIES — KOWLOON CITY DISTRICT

METRES 米 250 0 250 500 750 1000 1250 1500 1750 2000 METRES 米

圖則編號 Plan No. DCCA/R/2019/G
二零一八年十一月版 November 2018 Edition
選舉管理委員會刊印
Published by the Electoral Affairs Commission

版權所有 未經許可 不得複製 ©2018 Copyright reserved - reproduction by permission only
地政總署測繪處繪製
Cartography by Survey & Mapping Office, Lands Department


N
北
沙田區
SHA TIN DISTRICT
(R)
西貢區
SAI KUNG DISTRICT
(Q)
黃大仙區
WONG TAI SIN DISTRICT
(H)
九龍城區
KOWLOON CITY DISTRICT
(G)
觀塘區
KWUN TONG DISTRICT
(J)
H01
H02
H03
H04
H05
H06
H07
H08
H09
H10
H11
H12
H13
H14
H15
H16
H17
H18
H19
H20
H21
H22
H23
H24
H25
獅子山
LION ROCK
鑽石山
DIAMOND HILL
橫頭磡
WANG TAU HOM
黃大仙
WONG TAI SIN
九龍塘
KOWLOON TONG
新蒲崗
SAN PO KONG
九龍城
KOWLOON CITY
啟德
KAI TAK
牛池灣
NGAU CHI WAN
茶寮坳
CHA LIU AU
佐敦谷
JORDAN VALLEY
九龍灣
KOWLOON BAY
慈雲山
TSZ WAN SHAN
沙田坳
SHA TIN PASS
吊草岩
DIU TSO NGAM
大老山
TATE'S CAIRN
(TAI LO SHAN)
大老坳
TATE'S PASS
(TAI LO AU)
東洋山
TUNG YEUNG SHAN
東山
TUNG SHAN
枕田山
CHAM TIN SHAN
龜山
MIDDLE HILL
(CHEUNG SHAN)
飛鵝山
KOWLOON PEAK
(FEI NGO SHAN)
CROWN POINT
UNICORN RIDGE
TEMPLE HILL
(TSZ WAN SHAN)
HAMMER HILL
MACLEHOSE TRAIL
WILSON TRAIL
LION ROCK TUNNEL
TATE'S RIDGE
CLEAR WATER BAY ROAD
SAI KUNG - HIRAM'S HILL ROAD
NGAU CHI WAN ROAD
KWUN TONG BYPASS
KAI TAK TUNNEL
EASTERN HARBOUR CROSSING
EASTERN HARBOUR CROSSING - KOWLOON EAST
TATE'S CAIRN TUNNEL
LUNG CHEUNG ROAD
KWUN TONG ROAD
KOWLOON - SHATIN TUNNEL ROAD
KOWLOON BAY
KAI CHEUNG ROAD
WATERLOO ROAD
PRINCE EDWARD ROAD WEST
BOUNDARY STREET
CHUK YUEN ROAD
CHUK YUEN (NORTH) ESTATE
CHUK YUEN (SOUTH) ESTATE
TSZ WAN SHAN ESTATE
TSZ CHING ESTATE
TSZ OI COURT
WONG TAI SIN ESTATE
UPPER WONG TAI SIN ESTATE
LOWER WONG TAI SIN ESTATE
SAN PO KONG ESTATE
TAK LONG ESTATE
KAI CHING ESTATE
KAI YIP ESTATE
KAI TAI COURT
CHOI WAN ESTATE
CHOI TAK ESTATE
CHOI WAN ROAD SERVICE RESERVOIR
JORDAN VALLEY PARK
JORDAN VALLEY
ON TAI ESTATE
SHUN TIN ESTATE
SHUN ON ESTATE
SHUN LEE TSUEN
SHUN LEE ESTATE
SHUN CHING COURT
SHUN TAK COURT
SHUN YIP COURT
SHUN TAK ESTATE
SHUN TIN ESTATE
SHUN ON ESTATE
KOWLOON BAY SPORTS GROUND
KOWLOON BAY PARK
KOWLOON BAY SPORTS CENTRE
KOWLOON BAY SERVICE RESERVOIR
KOWLOON BAY SERVICE RESERVOIR (EXTENSION)
KOWLOON BAY SERVICE RESERVOIR (EXTENSION) (UNDER CONSTRUCTION)
KOWLOON BAY SERVICE RESERVOIR



區界線
District Boundary

選區界線
Constituency Boundary

選區代號及名稱
Code and Name of Constituency

J01	觀塘中心	Kwun Tong Central
J02	九龍灣	Kowloon Bay
J03	啟業	Kai Yip
J04	麗晶	Lai Ching
J05	坪石	Ping Shek
J06	彩德	Choi Tak
J07	佐敦谷	Jordan Valley
J08	順天	Shun Tin
J09	雙順	Sheung Shun
J10	安利	On Lee
J11	觀塘安泰	Kwun Tong On Tai
J12	秀茂坪北	Sau Mau Ping North
J13	秀茂坪中	Sau Mau Ping Central
J14	安達	On Tat
J15	秀茂坪南	Sau Mau Ping South
J16	寶達	Po Tat
J17	廣德	Kwong Tak
J18	興田	Hing Tin
J19	藍田	Lam Tin
J20	平田	Ping Tin
J21	栢雅	Pak Nga
J22	俊翔	Chun Cheung
J23	油塘東	Yau Tong East
J24	油翠	Yau Chui
J25	油麗	Yau Lai
J26	油塘西	Yau Tong West
J27	麗港城	Laguna City
J28	景田	King Tin
J29	翠屏	Tsui Ping
J30	曉麗	Hiu Lai
J31	寶樂	Po Lok
J32	月華	Yuet Wah
J33	協康	Hip Hong
J34	樂華南	Lok Wah South
J35	樂華北	Lok Wah North
J36	康樂	Hong Lok
J37	定安	Ting On
J38	牛頭角上邨	Upper Ngau Tau Kok Estate
J39	牛頭角下邨	Lower Ngau Tau Kok Estate
J40	淘大	To Tai

選舉管理委員會之建議
Recommendations of the Electoral Affairs Commission

區議會選區分界圖 — 觀塘區
DISTRICT COUNCIL CONSTITUENCY BOUNDARIES — KWUN TONG DISTRICT

METRES 米 250 0 250 500 750 1000 1250 1500 1750 2000 METRES 米

圖則編號 Plan No. DCCA/R/2019/J

二零一八年十一月版 November 2018 Edition

選舉管理委員會刊印
Published by the Electoral Affairs Commission

版權所有 未經許可 不得複製 ©2018 Copyright reserved - reproduction by permission only

地政總署測繪處繪製
Cartography by Survey & Mapping Office, Lands Department

插圖 INSET A

N 北

荃灣區
TSUEN WAN DISTRICT
(K)

葵青區
KWAI TSING DISTRICT
(S)

元朗區
YUEN LONG DISTRICT
(M)

大埔區
TAI PO DISTRICT
(P)

沙田區
SHA TIN DISTRICT
(R)

屯門區
TUEN MUN DISTRICT
(L)

離島區
ISLANDS DISTRICT
(T)

K01 K02 K03 K04 K05 K06 K07 K08 K09 K10 K11 K12 K13 K14 K15 K16 K17 K18 K19

荃灣 TSUEN WAN
葵涌 KWAI CHUNG
青衣 TSING YI
馬灣 MA WAN
深水灣 SHAM TSENG
大欖涌 TAI LAM CHUNG
大欖涌水塘 TAI LAM CHUNG RESERVOIR
汀九灣 TING KAU
汀九灣淺水處 TING KAU BEACH
汲水門 KAP SHUI MUN
馬灣海峽 MA WAN CHANNEL
葵涌貨櫃碼頭 KWAI TSING CONTAINER TERMINALS
昂船洲 STONECUTTERS ISLAND (NGONG SHEUNG CHAU)
大白灣 DISCOVERY BAY (TAI PAK WAN)
大帽山 TAI MO SHAN
打鼓嶺 TA KU LING
粉嶺 FANLING
上水 SHEUNG SHUI
沙田 SHA TIN
馬鞍山 MA ON SHAN
西貢 SAI KUNG
將軍澳 TSEUNG KWAN O
青馬大橋 TSING MA BRIDGE
汀九橋 TING KAU BRIDGE
青馬管制區 TSING MA CONTROL AREA
青馬公路 TSING MA MOUNTAIN ROAD
屯門公路 TUEN MUN ROAD
荃灣西干線 TSUEN WAN WEST ARTERIAL
荃灣西干線(高架) TSUEN WAN WEST ARTERIAL (ELEVATED)
荃灣西干線(地面) TSUEN WAN WEST ARTERIAL (GROUND)
荃灣西干線(隧道) TSUEN WAN WEST ARTERIAL (TUNNEL)
荃灣西干線(天橋) TSUEN WAN WEST ARTERIAL (FLYOVER)
荃灣西干線(行人天橋) TSUEN WAN WEST ARTERIAL (PEDESTRIAN FLYOVER)
青山公路 TSING SHAN MOUNTAIN ROAD
青山公路-荃灣段 TSING SHAN MOUNTAIN ROAD - TSUEN WAN SECTION
青山公路-葵涌段 TSING SHAN MOUNTAIN ROAD - KWAI CHUNG SECTION
青山公路-青衣段 TSING SHAN MOUNTAIN ROAD - TSING YI SECTION
青山公路-屯門段 TSING SHAN MOUNTAIN ROAD - TUEN MUN SECTION
荃錦公路 TSUEN KAM ROAD
荃錦公路(高架) TSUEN KAM ROAD (ELEVATED)
荃錦公路(地面) TSUEN KAM ROAD (GROUND)
荃錦公路(隧道) TSUEN KAM ROAD (TUNNEL)
荃錦公路(天橋) TSUEN KAM ROAD (FLYOVER)
荃錦公路(行人天橋) TSUEN KAM ROAD (PEDESTRIAN FLYOVER)
METRES 米 100 0 100 200 300 400 500 METRES 米

區界線
District Boundary

選區界線
Constituency Boundary

選區代號及名稱
Code and Name of Constituency

K01	德華	Tak Wah
K02	楊屋道	Yeung Uk Road
K03	荃灣南	Tsuen Wan South
K04	海濱	Hoi Bun
K05	荃灣西	Tsuen Wan West
K06	祈德尊	Clague Garden
K07	荃灣中心	Tsuen Wan Centre
K08	愉景	Discovery Park
K09	福來	Fuk Loi
K10	綠楊	Luk Yeung
K11	馬灣	Ma Wan
K12	荃灣郊區	Tsuen Wan Rural
K13	汀深	Ting Sham
K14	麗濤	Lai To
K15	荃威	Allway
K16	象石	Cheung Shek
K17	石圍角	Shek Wai Kok
K18	梨木樹西	Lei Muk Shue West
K19	梨木樹東	Lei Muk Shue East

選舉管理委員會之建議
Recommendations of the Electoral Affairs Commission

區議會選區分界圖 — 荃灣區
DISTRICT COUNCIL CONSTITUENCY BOUNDARIES — TSUEN WAN DISTRICT

METRES 米 500 0 500 1000 1500 2000 2500 3000 3500 4000 4500 5000 5500 6000 METRES 米

圖則編號 Plan No. DCCA/R/2019/K

二零一八年十一月版 November 2018 Edition

選舉管理委員會刊印
Published by the Electoral Affairs Commission

版權所有 未經許可 不得複製 © 2018 Copyright reserved - reproduction by permission only
地政總署測繪處繪製
Cartography by Survey & Mapping Office, Lands Department



區界線
District Boundary

選區界線
Constituency Boundary

選區代號及名稱
Code and Name of Constituency

L01	屯門市中心	Tuen Mun Town Centre
L02	兆置	Siu Chi
L03	安定	On Ting
L04	兆翠	Siu Tsui
L05	友愛南	Yau Oi South
L06	友愛北	Yau Oi North
L07	翠興	Tsui Hing
L08	山景	Shan King
L09	景興	King Hing
L10	興澤	Hing Tsak
L11	新墟	San Hui
L12	掃管笏	So Kwun Wat
L13	三聖	Sam Shing
L14	恒福	Hanford
L15	悅湖	Yuet Wu
L16	兆禧	Siu Hei
L17	湖景	Wu King
L18	蝴蝶	Butterfly
L19	富新	Fu Sun
L20	樂翠	Lok Tsui
L21	龍門	Lung Mun
L22	新景	San King
L23	良景	Leung King
L24	田景	Tin King
L25	寶田	Po Tin
L26	建生	Kin Sang
L27	兆康	Siu Hong
L28	欣田	Yan Tin
L29	屯門鄉郊	Tuen Mun Rural
L30	富泰	Fu Tai
L31	景峰	Prime View

選舉管理委員會之建議
Recommendations of
the Electoral Affairs Commission

區議會選區分界圖 — 屯門區
DISTRICT COUNCIL CONSTITUENCY BOUNDARIES — TUEN MUN DISTRICT

METRES 米 1000 0 1000 2000 3000 4000 5000 6000 METRES 米

圖則編號
Plan No. DCCA/R/2019/L

二零一八年十一月版 November 2018 Edition

選舉管理委員會刊印
Published by the Electoral Affairs Commission
版權所有 未經許可 不得複製 ©2018 Copyright reserved - reproduction by permission only
地政總署測繪處繪製
Cartography by Survey & Mapping Office, Lands Department



區界線
District Boundary

選區界線
Constituency Boundary

選區代號及名稱
Code and Name of Constituency

M01	豐年	Fung Nin
M02	元朗中心	Yuen Long Centre
M03	鳳翔	Fung Cheung
M04	元龍	Yuen Lung
M05	十八鄉中	Shap Pat Heung Central
M06	水邊	Shui Pin
M07	南屏	Nam Ping
M08	北朗	Pek Long
M09	元朗東頭	Yuen Long Tung Tau
M10	十八鄉北	Shap Pat Heung North
M11	十八鄉東	Shap Pat Heung East
M12	十八鄉西	Shap Pat Heung West
M13	屏山南	Ping Shan South
M14	洪福	Hung Fuk
M15	廈村	Ha Tsuen
M16	屏山中	Ping Shan Central
M17	盛欣	Shing Yan
M18	天盛	Tin Shing
M19	天耀	Tin Yiu
M20	耀祐	Yiu Yau
M21	慈祐	Tsz Yau
M22	嘉湖南	Kingswood South
M23	瑞愛	Shui Oi
M24	瑞華	Shui Wah
M25	頌華	Chung Wah
M26	頌栢	Chung Pak
M27	嘉湖北	Kingswood North
M28	悅恩	Yuet Yan
M29	晴景	Ching King
M30	富恩	Fu Yan
M31	逸澤	Yat Chak
M32	天恒	Tin Heng
M33	宏逸	Wang Yat
M34	屏山北	Ping Shan North
M35	錦綉花園	Fairview Park
M36	新田	San Tin
M37	錦田	Kam Tin
M38	八鄉北	Pat Heung North
M39	八鄉南	Pat Heung South

選舉管理委員會之建議

Recommendations of
the Electoral Affairs Commission

區議會選區分界圖 — 元朗區

DISTRICT COUNCIL CONSTITUENCY BOUNDARIES — YUEN LONG DISTRICT

METRES 米 1000 0 1000 2000 3000 4000 5000 6000 METRES 米

圖則編號
Plan No. DCCA/R/2019/M

二零一八年十一月版 November 2018 Edition

選舉管理委員會刊印
Published by the Electoral Affairs Commission

版權所有 未經許可 不得複製 ©2018 Copyright reserved - reproduction by permission only

地政總署測繪處繪製
Cartography by Survey & Mapping Office, Lands Department

深圳市
SHENZHEN SHI

插圖 INSET A

N

北

N01 聯和墟 Luen Wo Hui
N02 粉嶺市 Fanling Town
N03 祥華 Cheung Wah
N04 華都 Wah Do
N05 華明 Wah Ming
N06 欣盛 Yan Shing
N07 粉嶺南 Fanling South
N08 盛福 Shing Fuk
N09 清河 Ching Ho
N10 御太 Yu Tai
N11 上水鄉郊 Sheung Shui Rural
N12 彩園 Choi Yuen
N13 石湖墟 Shek Wu Hui
N14 天平西 Tin Ping West
N15 鳳翠 Fung Tsui
N16 沙打 Sha Ta
N17 天平東 Tin Ping East
N18 皇后山 Queen's Hill

For continuation, see Sheet 2 南 NAM 北 BAK 圖之部分 參閱圖二

北區 NORTH DISTRICT (N)

元朗區 YUEN LONG DISTRICT (M)

大埔區 TAI PO DISTRICT (P)

區界線 District Boundary

選區界線 Constituency Boundary

選區代號及名稱 Code and Name of Constituency

選舉管理委員會之建議
Recommendations of the Electoral Affairs Commission

區議會選區分界圖 — 北區（圖一）
DISTRICT COUNCIL CONSTITUENCY BOUNDARIES — NORTH DISTRICT (SHEET 1)

METRES 米 500 0 500 1000 1500 2000 2500 3000 3500 4000 4500 5000 METRES 米

圖則編號 Plan No. DCCA/R/2019/N1
二零一八年十一月版 November 2018 Edition
選舉管理委員會刊印
Published by the Electoral Affairs Commission
版權所有 未經許可 不得複製 ©2018 Copyright reserved - reproduction by permission only
地政總署測繪處繪製
Cartography by Survey & Mapping Office, Lands Department

N 北

深圳市
SHENZHEN SHI

區界線
District Boundary

選區界線
Constituency Boundary

選區代號及名稱
Code and Name of Constituency

N01	聯和墟	Luen Wo Hui
N02	粉嶺市	Fanling Town
N03	祥華	Cheung Wah
N04	華都	Wah Do
N05	華明	Wah Ming
N06	欣盛	Yan Shing
N07	粉嶺南	Fanling South
N08	盛福	Shing Fuk
N09	清河	Ching Ho
N10	御太	Yu Tai
N11	上水鄉郊	Sheung Shui Rural
N12	彩園	Choi Yuen
N13	石湖墟	Shek Wu Hui
N14	天平西	Tin Ping West
N15	鳳翠	Fung Tsui
N16	沙打	Sha Ta
N17	天平東	Tin Ping East
N18	皇后山	Queen's Hill

For continuation, see Sheet 1 北之延圖

北區
NORTH DISTRICT
(N)

大埔區
TAI PO DISTRICT
(P)

N16

沙頭角海 STARLING INLET (SHA TAU KOK HOI)
吉澳海 CROOKED HARBOUR (KAT O HOI)
鴨洲海 AP CHAU HOI
印洲塘 DOUBLE HAVEN (YAN CHAU TONG)
赤門 TOLO CHANNEL (CHEK MUN)
船灣淡水湖 PLOVER COVE RESERVOIR

吉澳 CROOKED ISLAND (KAT O)
往灣洲 DOUBLE ISLAND (WONG WAN CHAU)
蛾眉洲 CRESCENT ISLAND (NGO MEI CHAU)
白沙洲 ROUND ISLAND (PAK SHA CHAU)
鴨洲 AP CHAU
銀洲 FLAT ISLAND (NGAN CHAU)
鱉洲 MO CHAU

選舉管理委員會之建議
Recommendations of the Electoral Affairs Commission

區議會選區分界圖 — 北區（圖二）
DISTRICT COUNCIL CONSTITUENCY BOUNDARIES — NORTH DISTRICT (SHEET 2)

METRES 米 500 0 500 1000 1500 2000 2500 3000 3500 4000 4500 5000 METRES 米

圖則編號 Plan No. DCCA/R/2019/N2
二零一八年十一月版 November 2018 Edition
選舉管理委員會刊印
Published by the Electoral Affairs Commission
版權所有 未經許可 不得複製 © 2018 Copyright reserved - reproduction by permission only
地政總署測繪處繪製
Cartography by Survey & Mapping Office, Lands Department



區界線
District Boundary

選區界線
Constituency Boundary

選區代號及名稱
Code and Name of Constituency

P01	大埔墟	Tai Po Hui
P02	頌汀	Chung Ting
P03	大埔中	Tai Po Central
P04	大元	Tai Yuen
P05	富亨	Fu Heng
P06	怡富	Yee Fu
P07	富明新	Fu Ming Sun
P08	廣福及寶湖	Kwong Fuk & Plover Cove
P09	宏福	Wang Fuk
P10	大埔滘	Tai Po Kau
P11	運頭塘	Wan Tau Tong
P12	新富	San Fu
P13	林村谷	Lam Tsuen Valley
P14	寶雅	Po Nga
P15	太和	Tai Wo
P16	舊墟及太湖	Old Market & Serenity
P17	康樂園	Hong Lok Yuen
P18	船灣	Shuen Wan
P19	西貢北	Sai Kung North

選舉管理委員會之建議
Recommendations of the Electoral Affairs Commission

區議會選區分界圖 — 大埔區（圖一）
DISTRICT COUNCIL CONSTITUENCY BOUNDARIES — TAI PO DISTRICT (SHEET 1)

圖則編號 Plan No. DCCA/R/2019/P1

二零一八年十一月版 November 2018 Edition

選舉管理委員會刊印
Published by the Electoral Affairs Commission
版權所有 未經許可 不得複製 © 2018 Copyright reserved - reproduction by permission only
地政總署測繪處繪製
Cartography by Survey & Mapping Office, Lands Department

區界線
District Boundary

選區界線
Constituency Boundary

選區代號及名稱
Code and Name of Constituency

P01	大埔墟	Tai Po Hui
P02	頌汀	Chung Ting
P03	大埔中	Tai Po Central
P04	大元	Tai Yuen
P05	富亨	Fu Heng
P06	怡富	Yee Fu
P07	富明新	Fu Ming Sun
P08	廣福及寶湖	Kwong Fuk & Plover Cove
P09	宏福	Wang Fuk
P10	大埔滘	Tai Po Kau
P11	運頭塘	Wan Tau Tong
P12	新富	San Fu
P13	林村谷	Lam Tsuen Valley
P14	寶雅	Po Nga
P15	太和	Tai Wo
P16	舊墟及太湖	Old Market & Serenity
P17	康樂園	Hong Lok Yuen
P18	船灣	Shuen Wan
P19	西貢北	Sai Kung North

北區
NORTH DISTRICT
(N)

大埔區
TAI PO DISTRICT
(P)

沙田區
SHA TIN DISTRICT
(R)

西貢區
SAI KUNG DISTRICT
(Q)

P18

P19

For continuation, see Sheet 1
此圖之延長部份，參閱圖一

選舉管理委員會之建議
Recommendations of the Electoral Affairs Commission

區議會選區分界圖 — 大埔區（圖二）
DISTRICT COUNCIL CONSTITUENCY BOUNDARIES — TAI PO DISTRICT (SHEET 2)

METRES 米 1000 0 1000 2000 3000 4000 5000 6000 METRES 米

圖則編號 Plan No. DCCA/R/2019/P2

二零一八年十一月版 November 2018 Edition

選舉管理委員會刊印
Published by the Electoral Affairs Commission

版權所有 未經許可 不得複製 ©2018 Copyright reserved - reproduction by permission only

地政總署測繪處繪製
Cartography by Survey & Mapping Office, Lands Department

N 北

大埔區
TAI PO DISTRICT
(P)

西貢區
SAI KUNG DISTRICT
(Q)

沙田區
SAI TIN DISTRICT
(R)

荃灣區
TSUEN WAN DISTRICT
(S)

葵青區
KWAI TSING DISTRICT
(T)

離島區
ISLANDS DISTRICT
(U)

觀塘區
KWUN TONG DISTRICT
(J)

Q01 西貢 SAI KUNG

Q02

Q03

Q04

Q05

Q18

企嶺下海
THREE FATHOMS COVE
(KEI LING HA HOI)

西貢海
INNER PORT SHELTER
(SAI KUNG HOI)

牛尾海
PORT SHELTER
(NGAU MEI HOI)

萬宜水庫
HIGH ISLAND RESERVOIR

糧船灣
HIGH ISLAND
(LEUNG SHUEN WAN)

糧船灣海
ROCKY HARBOUR
(LEUNG SHUEN WAN HOI)

大浪灣
TAI LONG WAN

大網仔
TAI MONG TSAI

馬鞍山
MA ON SHAN

For continuation, see Sheet 2 此圖之延長部份，參閱圖二

區界線
District Boundary

選區界線
Constituency Boundary

選區代號及名稱
Code and Name of Constituency

Q01	西貢市中心	Sai Kung Central
Q02	白沙灣	Pak Sha Wan
Q03	西貢離島	Sai Kung Islands
Q04	坑口東	Hang Hau East
Q05	坑口西	Hang Hau West
Q06	彩健	Choi Kin
Q07	健明	Kin Ming
Q08	都善	Do Shin
Q09	維景	Wai King
Q10	海晉	Hoi Chun
Q11	寶怡	Po Yee
Q12	富君	Fu Kwan
Q13	澳唐	O Tong
Q14	尚德	Sheung Tak
Q15	廣明	Kwong Ming
Q16	康景	Hong King
Q17	翠林	Tsui Lam
Q18	寶林	Po Lam
Q19	欣英	Yan Ying
Q20	慧茵	Wai Yan
Q21	運亨	Wan Hang
Q22	景林	King Lam
Q23	厚德	Hau Tak
Q24	富藍	Fu Nam
Q25	德明	Tak Ming
Q26	南安	Nam On
Q27	軍寶	Kwan Po
Q28	環保北	Wan Po North
Q29	環保南	Wan Po South

選舉管理委員會之建議
Recommendations of the Electoral Affairs Commission

區議會選區分界圖 — 西貢區（圖一）
DISTRICT COUNCIL CONSTITUENCY BOUNDARIES — SAI KUNG DISTRICT (SHEET 1)

METRES 米 500 0 500 1000 1500 2000 2500 3000 3500 4000 4500 5000 5500 6000 METRES 米

圖則編號 Plan No. DCCA/R/2019/Q1
二零一八年十一月版 November 2018 Edition
選舉管理委員會刊印
Published by the Electoral Affairs Commission
版權所有 未經許可 不得複製 ©2018 Copyright reserved - reproduction by permission only
地政總署測繪處繪製
Cartography by Survey & Mapping Office, Lands Department

For continuation, see Sheet 1 此圖之延長部份，參閱圖一

N 北

西貢區
SAI KUNG DISTRICT
(Q)

區界線
District Boundary

選區界線
Constituency Boundary

選區代號及名稱
Code and Name of Constituency

Q01	西貢市中心	Sai Kung Central
Q02	白沙灣	Pak Sha Wan
Q03	西貢離島	Sai Kung Islands
Q04	坑口東	Hang Hau East
Q05	坑口西	Hang Hau West
Q06	彩健	Choi Kin
Q07	健明	Kin Ming
Q08	都善	Do Shin
Q09	維景	Wai King
Q10	海晉	Hoi Chun
Q11	寶怡	Po Yee
Q12	富君	Fu Kwan
Q13	澳唐	O Tong
Q14	尚德	Sheung Tak
Q15	廣明	Kwong Ming
Q16	康景	Hong King
Q17	翠林	Tsui Lam
Q18	寶林	Po Lam
Q19	欣英	Yan Ying
Q20	慧茵	Wai Yan
Q21	運亨	Wan Hang
Q22	景林	King Lam
Q23	厚德	Hau Tak
Q24	富藍	Fu Nam
Q25	德明	Tak Ming
Q26	南安	Nam On
Q27	軍寶	Kwan Po
Q28	環保北	Wan Po North
Q29	環保南	Wan Po South

選舉管理委員會之建議
Recommendations of the Electoral Affairs Commission

區議會選區分界圖 — 西貢區（圖二）
DISTRICT COUNCIL CONSTITUENCY BOUNDARIES — SAI KUNG DISTRICT (SHEET 2)

METRES 米 500 0 500 1000 1500 2000 2500 3000 3500 4000 4500 5000 5500 6000 METRES 米

圖則編號 Plan No. DCCA/R/2019/Q2

二零一八年十一月版 November 2018 Edition

選舉管理委員會刊印
Published by the Electoral Affairs Commission

版權所有 未經許可 不得複製 ©2018 Copyright reserved - reproduction by permission only

地政總署測繪處繪製
Cartography by Survey & Mapping Office, Lands Department



區界線
District Boundary

選區界線
Constituency Boundary

選區代號及名稱
Code and Name of Constituency

R01	沙田市中心	Sha Tin Town Centre
R02	瀝源	Lek Yuen
R03	禾輋邨	Wo Che Estate
R04	第一城	City One
R05	愉城	Yue Shing
R06	王屋	Wong Uk
R07	沙角	Sha Kok
R08	博康	Pok Hong
R09	水泉澳	Shui Chuen O
R10	乙泉	Jat Chuen
R11	秦豐	Chun Fung
R12	新田圍	Sun Tin Wai
R13	翠田	Chui Tin
R14	顯嘉	Hin Ka
R15	下城門	Lower Shing Mun
R16	雲城	Wan Shing
R17	徑口	Keng Hau
R18	田心	Tin Sum
R19	翠嘉	Chui Ka
R20	大圍	Tai Wai
R21	松田	Chung Tin
R22	穗禾	Sui Wo
R23	火炭	Fo Tan
R24	駿馬	Chun Ma
R25	海嵐	Hoi Nam
R26	頌安	Chung On
R27	錦濤	Kam To
R28	馬鞍山市中心	Ma On Shan Town Centre
R29	烏溪沙	Wu Kai Sha
R30	利安	Lee On
R31	富龍	Fu Lung
R32	錦英	Kam Ying
R33	耀安	Yiu On
R34	恆安	Heng On
R35	大水坑	Tai Shui Hang
R36	鞍泰	On Tai
R37	愉欣	Yu Yan
R38	帝怡	Di Yee
R39	碧湖	Bik Woo
R40	廣康	Kwong Hong
R41	廣源	Kwong Yuen

選舉管理委員會之建議

Recommendations of
the Electoral Affairs Commission

區議會選區分界圖 — 沙田區

DISTRICT COUNCIL CONSTITUENCY BOUNDARIES — SHA TIN DISTRICT

METRES 米 500 0 500 1000 1500 2000 2500 3000 3500 4000 4500 5000 METRES 米

圖則編號
Plan No. DCCA/R/2019/R

二零一八年十一月版 November 2018 Edition

選舉管理委員會刊印
Published by the Electoral Affairs Commission

版權所有 未經許可 不得複製 ©2018 Copyright reserved - reproduction by permission only

地政總署測繪處繪製
Cartography by Survey & Mapping Office, Lands Department



選區代號及名稱
Code and Name of Constituency

S01	葵興	Kwai Hing
S02	葵聯	Kwai Luen
S03	葵盛東邨	Kwai Shing East Estate
S04	上大窩口	Upper Tai Wo Hau
S05	下大窩口	Lower Tai Wo Hau
S06	葵涌邨南	Kwai Chung Estate South
S07	葵涌邨北	Kwai Chung Estate North
S08	石蔭	Shek Yam
S09	大白田西	Tai Pak Tin West
S10	大白田東	Tai Pak Tin East
S11	安蔭	On Yam
S12	石籬北	Shek Lei North
S13	石籬南	Shek Lei South
S14	葵芳	Kwai Fong
S15	興芳	Hing Fong
S16	華麗	Wah Lai
S17	荔華	Lai Wah
S18	祖堯	Cho Yiu
S19	荔景	Lai King
S20	葵盛西邨	Kwai Shing West Estate
S21	安灝	On Ho
S22	偉盈	Wai Ying
S23	青衣邨	Tsing Yi Estate
S24	翠怡	Greenfield
S25	長青	Cheung Ching
S26	長康	Cheung Hong
S27	盛康	Shing Hong
S28	青衣南	Tsing Yi South
S29	長亨	Cheung Hang
S30	青發	Ching Fat
S31	長安	Cheung On

選舉管理委員會之建議
Recommendations of the Electoral Affairs Commission

區議會選區分界圖 — 葵青區
DISTRICT COUNCIL CONSTITUENCY BOUNDARIES — KWAI TSING DISTRICT

METRES 米 500 0 500 1000 1500 2000 2500 3000 METRES 米

圖則編號 Plan No. DCCA/R/2019/S

二零一八年十一月版 November 2018 Edition

選舉管理委員會刊印
Published by the Electoral Affairs Commission

版權所有 未經許可 不得複製 © 2018 Copyright reserved - reproduction by permission only

地政總署測繪處繪製
Cartography by Survey & Mapping Office, Lands Department



區界線
District Boundary

選區界線
Constituency Boundary

選區代號及名稱
Code and Name of Constituency

T01	大嶼山	Lantau
T02	滿逸	Mun Yat
T03	逸東邨北	Yat Tung Estate North
T04	東涌南	Tung Chung South
T05	東涌中	Tung Chung Central
T06	東涌北	Tung Chung North
T07	愉景灣	Discovery Bay
T08	坪洲及 喜靈洲	Peng Chau & Hei Ling Chau
T09	南丫及 蒲台	Lamma & Po Toi
T10	長洲	Cheung Chau

選舉管理委員會之建議
Recommendations of
the Electoral Affairs Commission

區議會選區分界圖 — 離島區
DISTRICT COUNCIL CONSTITUENCY BOUNDARIES — ISLANDS DISTRICT

圖則編號
Plan No. DCCA/R/2019/T

二零一八年十一月版 November 2018 Edition

選舉管理委員會刊印
Published by the Electoral Affairs Commission

版權所有 未經許可 不得複製 ©2018 Copyright reserved - reproduction by permission only

地政總署測繪處繪製
Cartography by Survey & Mapping Office, Lands Department

District : Central and Western

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
A01	***Chung Wan***			13 351	-19.57

N	District Boundary
NE	District Boundary
E	District Boundary
SE	Kennedy Road, Monmouth Path
S	Garden Road, Kennedy Road
	Lower Albert Road, MacDonnell Road
SW	Arbuthnot Road, Chancery Lane
	Elgin Street, Lower Albert Road
	Old Bailey Street, Peel Street
	Staunton Street, Wyndham Street
W	Aberdeen Street, Cleverly Street
	Connaught Road Central, Hollywood Road
	Ladder Street, Queen's Road Central
	Staunton Street
NW	Chung Kong Road

1. HOLLYWOOD TERRACE

District : Central and Western

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
A02	***Mid Levels East***			16 508	-0.55

N	Arbuthnot Road, Chancery Lane Elgin Street, Old Bailey Street Wyndham Street
NE	Lower Albert Road, Wyndham Street
E	Garden Road, Lower Albert Road
SE	Garden Road
S	Garden Road, Hornsey Road, Old Peak Road Robinson Road
SW	Hornsey Road
W	Castle Road, Conduit Road, Hornsey Road Robinson Road, Seymour Road
NW	Aberdeen Street, Caine Road, Castle Road Elgin Street, Peel Street, Seymour Road Staunton Street

1. PINE COURT
2. ROBINSON HEIGHTS
3. THE GRAND PANORAMA
4. TYCOON COURT

District : Central and Western

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
A03	***Castle Road***			20 397	+22.88

N	Bonham Road, Breezy Path, Caine Road Park Road
NE	Caine Road, Castle Road, Seymour Road
E	Caine Road, Castle Road, Conduit Road Robinson Road, Seymour Road
SE	Conduit Road, Hornsey Road
S	
SW	
W	Conduit Road, Po Shan Road
NW	Park Road, Robinson Road

1. 39 CONDUIT ROAD
2. BLESSINGS GARDEN
3. REALTY GARDENS
4. ROBINSON PLACE

District : Central and Western

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
A04	***Peak***			19 447	+17.16

N	Garden Road, Hornsey Road, Kennedy Road MacDonnell Road, Old Peak Road Robinson Road
NE	Bowen Drive, Bowen Road, Kennedy Road
E	Aberdeen Reservoir Road, Coombe Road District Boundary
SE	Aberdeen Reservoir Road
S	District Boundary, Hong Kong Trail Peel Rise
SW	District Boundary, Hong Kong Trail
W	District Boundary, Harlech Road Hong Kong Trail
NW	Harlech Road, Lugard Road

1. 77/79 PEAK ROAD
2. CHATEAU DE PEAK
3. DYNASTY COURT
4. GRENVILLE HOUSE
5. KELLETT VIEW TOWN HOUSES
6. MOUNT AUSTIN ESTATE
7. STRAWBERRY HILL
8. TREGUNTER
9. VILLA VERDE
10. WING ON VILLAS

District : Central and Western

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
A05	***University***			18 029	+8.61

N	Bonham Road, Pok Fu Lam Road
NE	Park Road, Po Yuen Lane
E	Conduit Road, Lugard Road, Park Road Po Shan Road, Robinson Road
SE	Lugard Road
S	Harlech Road, Lugard Road
SW	District Boundary, Harlech Road
W	Pok Fu Lam Road
NW	Pok Fu Lam Road

1. EMERALD GARDENS
2. EUSTON COURT
3. FULHAM GARDEN
4. GREENVIEW GARDENS
5. LA CLARE MANSION
6. SCENIC GARDEN
7. THE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG
8. WISDOM COURT

A06	***Kwun Lung***			15 273	-7.99

N	Belcher's Street, Smithfield
NE	Pok Fu Lam Road, Pokfield Road Smithfield
E	Pok Fu Lam Road
SE	Pok Fu Lam Road
S	District Boundary, Mount Davis Road
SW	
W	
NW	Davis Street, Forbes Street

1. KWUN LUNG LAU
2. SMITHFIELD COURT
3. SMITHFIELD GARDEN
4. SMITHFIELD TERRACE
5. UNIVERSITY HEIGHTS

District : Central and Western

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
A07	***Kennedy Town & Mount Davis***			15 734	-5.21

N	District Boundary
NE	District Boundary
E	Belcher's Street, New Praya, Kennedy Town Smithfield
SE	Davis Street, Forbes Street Mount Davis Road
S	District Boundary, Mount Davis Road Victoria Road
SW	District Boundary
W	District Boundary
NW	District Boundary

1. CADOGAN
2. CAYMAN RISE
3. CENTENARY MANSION
4. KA FU BUILDING
5. KA ON BUILDING
6. MANHATTAN HEIGHTS
7. SAI WAN ESTATE
8. THE MERTON

District : Central and Western

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
A08	***Sai Wan***			12 985	-21.77

N	District Boundary
NE	
E	
SE	Belcher's Street, Sai Cheung Street Sai Cheung Street North, Shing Sai Road
S	Pokfield Road, Sands Street, Smithfield
SW	Smithfield
W	
NW	

1. BELCHER'S HILL
2. HARBOUR VIEW GARDEN
3. KENNEDY TOWN CENTRE
4. NEW FORTUNE HOUSE
5. PEARL COURT

District : Central and Western

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
A09	***Belcher***			20 077	+20.95

N	District Boundary, Queen's Road West
NE	Hill Road, Queen's Road West, South Lane
E	Hill Road, South Lane
SE	Pok Fu Lam Road
S	Pok Fu Lam Road, Pokfield Road Sands Street
SW	Belcher's Street, Sands Street
W	Belcher's Street, Sai Cheung Street Sai Cheung Street North, Shing Sai Road
NW	Praya, Kennedy Town Sai Cheung Street North, Shing Sai Road

1. ACADEMIC TERRACE
2. BELCHER COURT
3. HEE WONG TERRACE
4. KAM LING COURT
5. THE BELCHER'S
6. YICK FUNG GARDEN
7. YING GA GARDEN

District : Central and Western

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
A10	***Shek Tong Tsui***			16 479	-0.72

N	District Boundary
NE	District Boundary
E	
SE	Connaught Road West, Des Voeux Road West Fung Mat Road, Ka On Street Queen's Road West, Water Street
S	Queen's Road West
SW	Praya, Kennedy Town, Queen's Road West Shing Sai Road
W	
NW	District Boundary

1. CHONG YIP CENTRE
2. DRAGONFAIR GARDEN
3. ELEGANT GARDEN
4. HARBOUR ONE
5. KONG CHIAN TOWER
6. KWAN YICK BUILDING PHASE I
7. WAH MING CENTRE

District : Central and Western

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
A11	***Sai Ying Pun***			14 815	-10.75

N	District Boundary
NE	District Boundary
E	
SE	Connaught Road West, Des Voeux Road West Eastern Street, Queen's Road West Wilmer Street
S	Centre Street, Des Voeux Road West Eastern Street, Queen's Road West
SW	Des Voeux Road West, Water Street
W	Des Voeux Road West, Fung Mat Road Ka On Street
NW	District Boundary

1. CONNAUGHT GARDEN
2. KWAN YICK BUILDING PHASE II
3. KWAN YICK BUILDING PHASE III

District : Central and Western

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
A12	***Sheung Wan***			14 981	-9.75

N	District Boundary
NE	District Boundary
E	Chung Kong Road
SE	Cleverly Street, Connaught Road Central Ladder Street, Queen's Road Central
S	Cleverly Street, Hollywood Road Ladder Street
SW	Connaught Road West, Queen's Road West Wilmer Street
W	
NW	District Boundary

1. HONGWAY GARDEN
2. MIDLAND CENTRE
3. QUEEN'S TERRACE
4. SOHO 189

A13	***Tung Wah***			12 904	-22.26

N	Hollywood Road, Queen's Road West
NE	Hollywood Road
E	Aberdeen Street, Hollywood Road
SE	Aberdeen Street, Caine Road
S	Bonham Road, Breezy Path, Caine Road
SW	Breezy Path, Park Road
W	Eastern Street, High Street, Po Yuen Lane
NW	Eastern Street, Queen's Road West

1. CENTRE POINT
2. CENTRESTAGE
3. GRANDVIEW GARDEN
4. PARKWAY COURT
5. TUNG FAI GARDENS

District : Central and Western

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
A14	***Centre Street***			15 003	-9.62

N	Centre Street, Des Voeux Road West Eastern Street
NE	Eastern Street
E	Eastern Street, High Street
SE	Bonham Road
S	Bonham Road
SW	Bonham Road, Western Street
W	Western Street
NW	Queen's Road West, Western Street

1. ISLAND CREST
2. WESTERN GARDEN
3. YUE SUN MANSION

A15 ***Water Street*** — 14 983 — -9.74

N	Queen's Road West
NE	Queen's Road West, Western Street
E	Western Street
SE	Bonham Road, Western Street
S	Bonham Road
SW	Bonham Road, Pok Fu Lam Road
W	Hill Road, South Lane
NW	Hill Road, Queen's Road West

1. HILLVIEW GARDEN
2. KINGSFIELD TOWER
3. KWONG FUNG TERRACE
4. YUK MING TOWERS

District : Wan Chai

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
B01	***Hennessy***			12 777	-23.03

N	District Boundary
NE	Tonnochy Road
E	Gloucester Road, Stewart Road
SE	Hennessy Road
S	Bullock Lane, Fleming Road
	Kat On Street, Kennedy Road
	Kennedy Street, Queen's Road East
	Stone Nullah Lane, Thomson Road
	Wan Chai Road
SW	Cross Street, Johnston Road
	O'brien Road, Spring Garden Lane
	Wan Chai Road
W	Gloucester Road
NW	Expo Drive East, Fleming Road

1. CAUSEWAY CENTRE
2. ONE WANCHAI
3. KIN LEE BUILDING
4. THE ZENITH (PART) :
   Block 1

District : Wan Chai

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
B02	***Oi Kwan***			12 509	-24.64

N	Marsh Road
NE	Marsh Road
E	Hennessy Road, Marsh Road
SE	Bowrington Road, Canal Road West Cheung Woo Lane, Morrison Hill Road Sharp Street West, Tin Lok Lane Wong Nai Chung Gap Flyover Wong Nai Chung Road
S	Hau Tak Lane, Queen's Road East Stubbs Road
SW	Bullock Lane, Fleming Road, Wan Chai Road
W	Gloucester Road, Hennessy Road Stewart Road, Thomson Road
NW	District Boundary, Tonnochy Road

1. HUNG YIP BUILDING
2. KWONG SANG HONG BUILDING
3. MAN ON HOUSE
4. OI KWAN COURT
5. TONNOCHY TOWERS
6. YUE KING BUILDING

District : Wan Chai

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
B03	***Canal Road***			12 512	-24.62

N	District Boundary
NE	Hung Hing Road, Hung Hing Road Flyover
E	Percival Street
SE	Hoi Ping Road, Hysan Avenue Lee Garden Road, Leighton Road
S	Leighton Road
SW	Bowrington Road, Canal Road West Cheung Woo Lane, Morrison Hill Road Sharp Street West, Tin Lok Lane
W	Marsh Road
NW	District Boundary

1. ELIZABETH HOUSE
2. EMPIRE COURT
3. H. & S. BUILDING
4. LAI CHI BUILDING
5. LAI YUEN APARTMENTS
6. LEIGYINN BUILDING
7. LOCKHART HOUSE
8. WING TAK BUILDING
9. YAU KWONG BUILDING

District : Wan Chai

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
B04	***Causeway Bay***			12 972	-21.85

N	Victoria Park Road
NE	Gloucester Road
E	Causeway Road, Gloucester Road
SE	Caroline Hill Road, Haven Street Leighton Road
S	Leighton Road
SW	Hoi Ping Road, Hysan Avenue Lee Garden Road
W	Percival Street
NW	Percival Street

1. HONG KONG MANSION
2. KINGSTON BUILDING
3. LEISHUN COURT
4. LOK SING CENTRE
5. PATERSON BUILDING

District : Wan Chai

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
B05	***Victoria Park***			13 412	-19.20

N	District Boundary
NE	District Boundary, Watson Road
E	District Boundary, Electric Road, King's Road Merlin Street, Shell Street, Watson Road
SE	Causeway Road, District Boundary
S	Causeway Road, Gloucester Road
SW	Gloucester Road, Victoria Park Road
W	Hung Hing Road, Hung Hing Road Flyover
NW	District Boundary

1. JUPITER TERRACE
2. PARK TOWERS
3. VICTORIA CENTRE
4. VICTORIA PARK
5. VIKING GARDEN

District : Wan Chai

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
B06	***Tin Hau***			15 051	-9.33

N	District Boundary, Tin Hau Temple Road
NE	Cloud View Road, District Boundary
E	District Boundary, Yee King Road
SE	District Boundary, Yee King Road
S	Lai Tak Tsuen Road, Tai Hang Road
SW	Tung Lo Wan Road
W	Causeway Road, Lai Yin Lane Tung Lo Wan Road
NW	Causeway Road, Lau Sin Street Tin Hau Temple Road

1. DRAGON COURT
2. LAI TAK TSUEN
3. SERENADE
4. THE PAVILIA HILL
5. VIKING VILLAS

District : Wan Chai

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
B07	***Tai Hang***			13 701	-17.46

N	Causeway Road
NE	Lai Yin Lane, Tung Lo Wan Road
E	Lai Tak Tsuen Road
SE	Tai Hang Road
S	Eastern Hospital Road, Ka Ning Path Tai Hang Road, Tung Lo Wan Road
SW	Caroline Hill Road, Eastern Hospital Road Haven Street
W	Leighton Road
NW	Causeway Road

1. BAY VIEW MANSION
2. CATHAY MANSION
3. DRAGON CENTRE
4. HAVEN COURT
5. ILLUMINATION TERRACE
6. WUN SHA TOWER

District : Wan Chai

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
B08	***Jardine's Lookout***			15 337	-7.60

N	District Boundary, Tai Hang Road
NE	District Boundary
E	District Boundary
SE	District Boundary
S	District Boundary, Tai Tam Reservoir Road
SW	Tai Hang Road, Wong Nai Chung Gap Road
W	Tai Hang Road
NW	Eastern Hospital Road, Tai Hang Road Tung Lo Wan Road

1. AURIZON
2. BUTLER TOWERS
3. CAVENDISH HEIGHTS
4. ELM TREE TOWERS
5. FLORA GARDEN
6. FONTANA GARDENS
7. RONSDALE GARDEN
8. SWISS TOWERS
9. TAI HANG TERRACE
10. THE LEGEND

B09 ***Broadwood*** — 13 755 — -17.13

N	Caroline Hill Road, Eastern Hospital Road
NE	Eastern Hospital Road, Tai Hang Road
E	Tai Hang Road
SE	Tai Hang Road
S	Broadwood Road, Tai Hang Road
SW	Blue Pool Road
W	Wong Nai Chung Road
NW	Leighton Road

1. BEVERLY HILL
2. GREENWAY TERRACE
3. JARDINE'S LOOKOUT GARDEN MANSION
4. ROSE COURT
5. SAN FRANCISCO TOWERS
6. THE LEIGHTON HILL
7. VENTRIS PLACE
8. VILLA LOTTO
9. VILLA ROCHA
10. WINFIELD BUILDING

District : Wan Chai

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
B10	***Happy Valley***			13 150	-20.78

N	Leighton Road, Wong Nai Chung Road
NE	Wong Nai Chung Road
E	Wong Nai Chung Road
SE	Blue Pool Road, Kwai Fong Street Sing Woo Road, Tsun Yuen Street
S	Shan Kwong Road, Village Terrace
SW	
W	Wong Nai Chung Road
NW	Wong Nai Chung Gap Flyover Wong Nai Chung Road

1. FUNG FAI TERRACE
2. HAPPY VALLEY RACECOURSE
3. RACE VIEW APARTMENTS
4. SHERWOOD COURT
5. VILLAGE TERRACE

District : Wan Chai

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
B11	***Stubbs Road***			15 042	-9.38

N	Hau Tak Lane, Kennedy Road Queen's Road East, Stubbs Road Wong Nai Chung Road
NE	Wong Nai Chung Road
E	Blue Pool Road, Broadwood Road Kwai Fong Street, Shan Kwong Road Sing Woo Road, Tai Hang Road Tsun Yuen Street, Village Terrace
SE	Deep Water Bay Road, District Boundary Wong Nai Chung Gap Road
S	District Boundary, Lady Clementi's Ride
SW	Aberdeen Reservoir Road Aberdeen Upper Reservoir
W	Aberdeen Reservoir Road, Coombe Road District Boundary
NW	Coombe Road, Kennedy Road Wan Chai Gap Road

1. BELLEVUE COURT
2. BILLION TERRACE
3. CAROLINA GARDENS
4. EVERGREEN VILLA
5. GREENSIDE VILLA
6. GREENVILLE GARDENS
7. MERRY GARDEN
8. MIRAMAR VILLA
9. NICHOLSON TOWER
10. VILLA MONTE ROSA

District : Wan Chai

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
B12	***Southorn***			14 665	-11.65

N	District Boundary
NE	Expo Drive East, Fleming Road
E	Cross Street, Gloucester Road
	Hennessy Road, Johnston Road
	Lockhart Road, O'brien Road
	Spring Garden Lane, Thomson Road
SE	Kat On Street, Kennedy Road, Kennedy Street
	Queen's Road East, Stone Nullah Lane
	Wan Chai Gap Road, Wan Chai Road
S	Wan Chai Gap Road
SW	Bowen Drive, Coombe Road
	District Boundary, Kennedy Road
	Ship Street, Tai Wong Street East
W	Luard Road
NW	Fenwick Street, Gloucester Road

1. BAMBOO GROVE
2. MONTICELLO
3. OASIS
4. PHOENIX COURT
5. THE AVENUE
6. THE ZENITH (PART) :
   - Block 2
   - Block 3

District : Wan Chai

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
B13	***Tai Fat Hau***			13 170	-20.66

N	District Boundary
NE	Fenwick Street
E	Gloucester Road, Johnston Road, Luard Road
SE	Queen's Road East, Ship Street Tai Wong Street East
S	Kennedy Road
SW	District Boundary, Kennedy Road Monmouth Path, Monmouth Terrace Queen's Road East
W	District Boundary
NW	District Boundary, Lung Wui Road

1. HAY WAH BUILDING
2. J RESIDENCE
3. NO.1 STAR STREET
4. STARCREST
5. YORK PLACE

District : Eastern

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
C01	***Tai Koo Shing West***			17 716	+6.73

N	District Boundary
NE	District Boundary
E	Island Eastern Corridor
SE	King's Road, Tai Fung Avenue
S	King's Road
SW	King's Road, Shipyard Lane
W	Hoi Yu Street, Island Eastern Corridor
NW	

1. TAIKOO SHING (PART) :
   - Banyan Mansion
   - Chai Kung Mansion
   - Choi Tien Mansion
   - Fu Tien Mansion
   - Han Kung Mansion
   - Heng Tien Mansion
   - Hing On Mansion
   - Hoi Tien Mansion
   - Hsia Kung Mansion
   - Juniper Mansion
   - Kai Tien Mansion
   - Kin On Mansion
   - King Tien Mansion
   - Ko On Mansion
   - Kwun Tien Mansion
   - Maple Mansion
   - Ming Kung Mansion
   - Nam Tien Mansion
   - Ning On Mansion
   - Oak Mansion
   - Pine Mansion
   - Po On Mansion
   - Shun On Mansion
   - Tang Kung Mansion
   - Tsui Kung Mansion
   - Willow Mansion
   - Yat Tien Mansion
   - Yen Kung Mansion
   - Yuan Kung Mansion

District : Eastern

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
C02	***Tai Koo Shing East***			18 063	+8.82

N	District Boundary
NE	District Boundary
E	Island Eastern Corridor
SE	Island Eastern Corridor, Tai Cheong Street
S	King's Road, Tai Cheong Street
SW	King's Road, Tai Fung Avenue
W	Island Eastern Corridor
NW	

1. TAIKOO SHING (PART) :
   - Begonia Mansion
   - Chi Sing Mansion
   - Foong Shan Mansion
   - Fu Shan Mansion
   - Hang Sing Mansion
   - Heng Shan Mansion
   - Hoi Sing Mansion
   - Kam Shan Mansion
   - Kam Sing Mansion
   - Loong Shan Mansion
   - Lotus Mansion
   - Lu Shan Mansion
   - Marigold Mansion
   - Nam Hoi Mansion
   - Nan Shan Mansion
   - Ngan Sing Mansion
   - Pak Hoi Mansion
   - Po Shan Mansion
   - Po Yang Mansion
   - Primrose Mansion
   - Tai Shan Mansion
   - Tai Woo Mansion
   - Tien Shan Mansion
   - Tien Sing Mansion
   - Tung Hoi Mansion
   - Tung Shan Mansion
   - Tung Ting Mansion
   - Wah Shan Mansion
   - Wai Sing Mansion
   - Wisteria Mansion
   - Yee Shan Mansion
   - Yiu Sing Mansion

District : Eastern

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
C03	***Lei King Wan***			20 553	+23.82

N	District Boundary
NE	District Boundary
E	
SE	Tai On Street
S	Shau Kei Wan Road, Tai On Street
SW	Shau Kei Wan Road, Tai Cheong Street
W	Island Eastern Corridor
NW	

1. GRAND PROMENADE
2. HONG TUNG ESTATE
3. LEI KING WAN
4. TAI ON BUILDING

District : Eastern

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
C04	***Sai Wan Ho***			20 013	+20.57

N	Tai On Street
NE	Oi Kan Road, Oi Tak Street
E	Aldrich Bay Road, Island Eastern Corridor Oi Shun Road, Oi Tak Street
SE	Aldrich Bay Road, Shau Kei Wan Road Sun Sing Street
S	Hoi Ching Street, Sun Sing Street
SW	Sai Wan Ho Street
W	Shing On Lane, Shing On Street Wai Hang Street
NW	King's Road, Shau Kei Wan Road Tai Cheong Street, Tai On Street

1. BELLEVE COURT
2. FELICITY GARDEN
3. LES SAISONS
4. SCENIC HORIZON
5. SUN SING CENTRE

District : Eastern

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
C05	***Aldrich Bay***			17 610	+6.09

N	Oi Kan Road
NE	Oi Yin Street
E	Oi Yin Street
SE	Aldrich Bay Road, Oi Yin Street
S	Aldrich Bay Road
SW	Oi Shun Road
W	Oi Shun Road, Oi Tak Street
NW	Oi Kan Road, Oi Tak Street

1. OI TUNG ESTATE
2. TUNG TAO COURT
3. TUNG YUK COURT

C06	***Shaukeiwan***			14 076	-15.20

N	Aldrich Bay Road, Tung Hei Road
NE	Shau Kei Wan Main Street East Tung Hei Road
E	Kam Wa Street Shau Kei Wan Main Street East Wang Wa Street
SE	Wang Wa Street
S	Factory Street, Shau Kei Wan Road
SW	Shau Kei Wan Road
W	Nam On Lane, Nam On Street
NW	Aldrich Bay Road, Island Eastern Corridor

1. KAM KEY MANSION
2. NEWTON HARBOUR VIEW
3. PERFECT MOUNT GARDENS
4. SHAU KEI WAN CENTRE
5. TUNG HONG BUILDING
6. TUNG MING LAU
7. YEE TAK BUILDING

District : Eastern

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
C07	***A Kung Ngam***			18 823	+13.40

N	District Boundary
NE	District Boundary
E	Island Eastern Corridor
SE	
S	Chai Wan Road
SW	
W	Aldrich Bay Road, Factory Street
	Kam Wa Street, Oi Yin Street
	Shau Kei Wan Main Street East
	Shau Kei Wan Road, Tung Hei Road
	Wang Wa Street
NW	Oi Kan Road

1. ALDRICH GARDEN
2. EASTWAY TOWERS
3. MING WAH DAI HA

District : Eastern

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
C08	***Heng Fa Chuen***			18 597	+12.04
		N District Boundary	1. HENG FA CHUEN 2. KNIGHT COURT		
		NE District Boundary			
		E			
		SE Chong Fu Road, Shing Tai Road			
		S Shing Tai Road, Shun Tai Road			
		SW Island Eastern Corridor, Shing Tai Road			
		W Island Eastern Corridor			
		NW Island Eastern Corridor			
C09	***Tsui Wan***			12 477	-24.83
		N District Boundary	1. FU MING COURT 2. HANG TSUI COURT 3. TSUI LOK ESTATE 4. TSUI WAN ESTATE		
		NE District Boundary			
		E Fu Hong Street			
		SE Fu Hong Street, Siu Sai Wan Road			
		S Chai Wan Road, Hong Ping Street San Ha Street, Siu Sai Wan Road Wing Ping Street			
		SW Island Eastern Corridor			
		W Island Eastern Corridor, Shing Tai Road Shun Tai Road			
		NW Chong Fu Road			

District : Eastern

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
C10	***Yan Lam***			16 073	-3.17

N	District Boundary
NE	District Boundary
E	
SE	
S	Fu Yee Road, Siu Sai Wan Road
SW	Fu Hong Street, Siu Sai Wan Road
W	
NW	

1. HARMONY GARDEN
2. ISLAND RESORT

District : Eastern

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
C11	***Siu Sai Wan***			12 460	-24.94

N	Chai Wan Road, Siu Sai Wan Road
NE	Siu Sai Wan Road
E	Fu Yee Road, Siu Sai Wan Road
SE	Siu Sai Wan Road
S	Ming Tsui Street
SW	Hiu Tsui Street
W	Hiu Tsui Street, Ming Tsui Street
NW	Chai Wan Road, Hong Ping Street San Ha Street

1. FU ON COURT
2. FU SHING COURT
3. SIU SAI WAN ESTATE (PART) :
   - Sui Fat House
   - Sui Fuk House
   - Sui Lung House
   - Sui Ming House
   - Sui Moon House
   - Sui Shing House
   - Sui Tai House
   - Sui Yick House
4. WAH YU COURT

C12 ***King Yee*** — Projected Population: 14 676 — +/- % of Population Quota (16 599): -11.59

N	District Boundary
NE	District Boundary
E	District Boundary
SE	District Boundary
S	Cape Collinson Road
SW	Cape Collinson Road
W	Fu Yee Road, Siu Sai Wan Road
NW	

1. CHEERFUL GARDEN
2. FULLVIEW GARDEN

District : Eastern

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
C13	***Wan Tsui***			15 594	-6.05

N	Cape Collinson Road, Chai Wan Road San Ha Street
NE	Cape Collinson Road
E	Cape Collinson Road, District Boundary
SE	District Boundary
S	District Boundary Hong Kong Forest Track – Mount Collinson Section, Pottinger Peak Country Trail
SW	Hong Kong Forest Track – Mount Collinson Section, Shek O Road
W	Fung Ha Road , Shek O Road
NW	Fei Tsui Road, Fung Ha Road Wan Tsui Road

1. LIN TSUI ESTATE
2. WAN TSUI ESTATE
3. YAN TSUI COURT
4. YUET CHUI COURT

District : Eastern

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
C14	***Fei Tsui***			15 268	-8.02

N	Mount Parker Road
NE	Tai Tam Road
E	Fei Tsui Road, Fung Ha Road Wan Tsui Road
SE	District Boundary, Tai Tam Road
S	District Boundary Hong Kong Forest Track – BOA VISTA Section
SW	District Boundary Hong Kong Forest Track – BOA VISTA Section
W	Mount Parker Road
NW	Mount Parker Road

1. CHAI WAN RANK & FILE MARRIED QUARTERS
2. FUNG WAH ESTATE
3. HING WAH (2) ESTATE
4. KING TSUI COURT
5. MAN WAH BUILDING
6. MOON WAH BUILDING

District : Eastern

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
C15	***Mount Parker***			12 806	-22.85

N	King's Road, Taikoo Shing Road Westlands Road
NE	King's Road, Quarry Bay Street Shipyard Lane, Yau Man Street
E	Mount Parker Road
SE	Hong Kong Trail, Mount Parker Road
S	District Boundary, Tai Tam Reservoir Road
SW	District Boundary, Tai Tam Reservoir Road
W	District Boundary, Hong Kong Trail Wilson Trail
NW	Sir Cecil's Ride

1. KORNVILLE
2. WESTLANDS GARDENS

District : Eastern

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
C16	***Braemar Hill***			16 259	-2.05

N	Tin Hau Temple Road
NE	Tin Hau Temple Road
E	Sir Cecil's Ride
SE	District Boundary
S	District Boundary
SW	District Boundary, Tai Hang Road
W	Yee King Road
NW	Cloud View Road, Tin Hau Temple Road Yee King Road

1. BEVERLEY HEIGHTS
2. BRAEMAR HILL MANSIONS
3. CORAL COURT
4. EVELYN TOWERS
5. KINGSFORD GARDENS
6. OXFORD COURT
7. PACIFIC PALISADES
8. SKY HORIZON
9. SUMMIT COURT
10. WILSHIRE TOWERS

C17	***Fortress Hill***			15 987	-3.69

N	Cheung Hong Street, King's Road
NE	Cheung Hong Street
E	Tin Hau Temple Road
SE	Tin Hau Temple Road
S	Lau Sin Street, Tin Hau Temple Road
SW	King's Road, Lau Sin Street
W	Electric Road, King's Road, Merlin Street Shell Street
NW	Electric Road, King's Road, Oil Street

1. CANNON GARDEN
2. CARSON MANSION
3. COMFORT TERRACE
4. FORTRESS GARDEN
5. FORTRESS METRO TOWER
6. LE SOMMET
7. NORTH POINT CENTRE

District : Eastern

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
C18	***City Garden***			16 955	+2.14

N	District Boundary
NE	Island Eastern Corridor
E	Java Road, King's Road, Tin Chong Street
SE	King's Road
S	Electric Road, King's Road, Oil Street Watson Road
SW	Watson Road
W	District Boundary
NW	District Boundary

1. CITY GARDEN
2. HARBOUR HEIGHTS
3. SEA VIEW ESTATE
4. WAH HOI MANSION

District : Eastern

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
C19	***Provident***			20 643	+24.36

N	District Boundary
NE	District Boundary
E	Island Eastern Corridor, Kam Hong Street
SE	Kam Hong Street, King's Road
S	Fort Street, Java Road, King's Road North Point Road, North View Street Tong Shui Road
SW	Cheung Hong Street, Fort Street King's Road, Tin Chong Street
W	Island Eastern Corridor, Java Road Tin Chong Street
NW	District Boundary

1. KA WAI BUILDING
2. KIU KWAN MANSION
3. MING FAI BUILDING
4. PROVIDENT CENTRE

District : Eastern

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
C20	***Fort Street***			16 157	-2.66

N	Java Road
NE	Tong Shui Road
E	King's Road, Ming Yuen Western Street
SE	Tin Hau Temple Road
S	Tin Hau Temple Road
SW	Cheung Hong Street
W	Cheung Hong Street, Fort Street North View Street
NW	Java Road, North Point Road

1. MAPLE GARDENS
2. UNIVERSAL TOWERS

C21	***Kam Ping***			16 085	-3.10

N	King's Road
NE	Tin Chiu Street
E	Tanner Road, Tin Chiu Street
SE	Tanner Road
S	Tin Hau Temple Road
SW	Ming Yuen Western Street
W	Ming Yuen Western Street
NW	King's Road

1. FORTUNA BUILDING
2. HUNG FOOK BUILDING
3. ISLAND PLACE
4. KAM PING MANSION
5. METROPOLE BUILDING
6. MING YUEN MANSIONS
7. PAK FOOK BUILDING
8. ROCA CENTRE
9. TUNG FAT BUILDING

District : Eastern

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
C22	***Tanner***			15 959	-3.86

N	King's Road, Tanner Road, Tin Chiu Street
NE	Healthy Street West
E	Healthy Street West, Pak Fuk Road
SE	Pak Fuk Road, Tin Hau Temple Road
S	Tin Hau Temple Road
SW	Tin Hau Temple Road
W	Tanner Road
NW	Tanner Road

1. BEDFORD GARDENS
2. FULL WEALTH GARDENS
3. HEALTHY GARDENS
4. TANNER GARDEN
5. THE TANNER HILL

C23	***Healthy Village***			13 831	-16.68

N	District Boundary
NE	Island Eastern Corridor
E	Hoi Yu Street, Java Road, King's Road
SE	King's Road
S	Sir Cecil's Ride, Tin Hau Temple Road
SW	Healthy Street West, Pak Fuk Road
W	Healthy Street West, Island Eastern Corridor Kam Hong Street, King's Road
NW	District Boundary

1. BRAEMAR TERRACE
2. HEALTHY VILLAGE
3. MODEL HOUSING ESTATE
4. VICTORIA HARBOUR
5. WAH SHUN GARDENS

District : Eastern

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
C24	***Quarry Bay***			13 076	-21.22

N	District Boundary
NE	District Boundary
E	Island Eastern Corridor
SE	Taikoo Shing Road
S	King's Road, Taikoo Shing Road Westlands Road
SW	King's Road
W	Hoi Yu Street, Java Road, King's Road
NW	

1. HOI KWONG COURT
2. HOI WAN BUILDING
3. KING'S VIEW COURT
4. RITZ GARDEN APARTMENTS
5. SEA VIEW BUILDING
6. SUNWAY GARDENS
7. TOR PO MANSION
8. WESTLANDS COURT

C25 ***Nam Fung*** — 13 692 — -17.51

N	Greig Road, King's Road
NE	Greig Crescent, Greig Road
E	Greig Crescent, Greig Road, Hong Yue Street
SE	Hong Yue Street
S	Greig Road, Hong Pak Path, Hong Yue Street
SW	
W	Quarry Bay Street, Yau Man Street
NW	King's Road, Yau Man Street

1. MT PARKER LODGE
2. NAM FUNG SUN CHUEN
3. PARKVALE

District : Eastern

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
C26	***Kornhill***			14 528	-12.48

N	Hong On Street
NE	Hong On Street, Hong Yue Street
E	Hong Yue Street
SE	
S	
SW	Greig Road
W	Greig Road, Hong Yue Street
NW	

1. KORNHILL (PART) :
   Blocks A-H and J-N

C27	***Kornhill Garden***			13 806	-16.83

N	King's Road
NE	King's Road
E	Hong On Street, King's Road
SE	Hong On Street
S	Hong On Street
SW	Greig Crescent, Greig Road
W	Greig Road
NW	Greig Road, King's Road

1. BO FUNG GARDENS
2. KORNHILL (PART) :
   Blocks P-R
3. KORNHILL GARDENS
4. THE FLORIDIAN
5. THE ORCHARDS

District : Eastern

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
C28	***Hing Tung***			17 972	+8.27

N	Hong On Street, Hong Yue Street Tai Cheong Street
NE	Tai Cheong Street
E	Sai Wan Ho Street, Shing On Lane Shing On Street, Tai Ning Street Wai Hang Street
SE	Yiu Hing Road
S	Yiu Hing Road
SW	
W	
NW	Hong Yue Street

1. HING TUNG ESTATE
2. TUNG HEI COURT
3. TUNG LAM COURT
4. TUNG YAN COURT

District : Eastern

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
C29	***Lower Yiu Tung***			17 066	+2.81

N	Aldrich Bay Road, Shau Kei Wan Road Sun Sing Street
NE	Aldrich Bay Road, Island Eastern Corridor
E	Chai Wan Road, Nam On Lane Nam On Street, Ngoi Man Street Shau Kei Wan Road
SE	Ngoi Man Street
S	Yiu Hing Road
SW	Yiu Hing Road
W	Yiu Hing Road
NW	Hoi Ching Street, Sun Sing Street

1. HONG WAH MANSION
2. SMILING SHAU KEI WAN PLAZA
3. TUNG SHING COURT
4. YIU TUNG ESTATE (PART) :
   - Yiu Cheong House
   - Yiu Fai House
   - Yiu Fung House
   - Yiu Hing House
   - Yiu Kwai House
   - Yiu Ming House

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
C30	***Upper Yiu Tung***			13 149	-20.78

N	Yiu Hing Road
NE	Yiu Hing Road
E	Ngoi Man Street, Yiu Hing Road
SE	
S	
SW	
W	Hong Pak Country Trail
NW	Yiu Hing Road

1. TUNG CHUN COURT
2. YIU TUNG ESTATE (PART) :
   - Yiu Fook House
   - Yiu Fu House
   - Yiu Lok House
   - Yiu On House
   - Yiu Wah House

District : Eastern

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
C31	***Hing Man***			14 601	-12.04

N	
NE	
E	Chai Wan Road, Tai Tam Road
SE	Chai Wan Road, Wan Tsui Road
S	Tai Tam Road
SW	
W	
NW	

1. HING MAN ESTATE
2. HING WAH (1) ESTATE

C32 ***Lok Hong*** — 12 685 — -23.58

N	Island Eastern Corridor
NE	Island Eastern Corridor
E	Island Eastern Corridor, Shing Tai Road Shun Tai Road
SE	Island Eastern Corridor
S	Cheung Lee Street, Hong Man Street Kut Shing Street
SW	Chai Wan Road, Tai Man Street
W	Tai Tam Road
NW	Chai Wan Road

1. GREENWOOD TERRACE
2. NEPTUNE TERRACE
3. SHAN TSUI COURT
4. WAH HA ESTATE

District : Eastern

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
C33	***Tsui Tak***			12 568	-24.28

N	Cheung Lee Street, Island Eastern Corridor Kut Shing Street
NE	Yee Shun Street
E	Chai Wan Road, Kam Yuen Lane Yee Fung Street, Yee Shun Street
SE	Chai Wan Road
S	Chai Wan Road
SW	Chai Wan Road
W	Chai Wan Road
NW	Chai Wan Road, Hong Man Street Tai Man Street

1. BAYVIEW PARK
2. KOWAY COURT
3. NEW JADE GARDEN
4. WALTON ESTATE
5. YEE TSUI COURT

District : Eastern

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
C34	***Yue Wan***			14 926	-10.08

N	Chai Wan Road, Wing Ping Street Wing Tai Road
NE	San Ha Street
E	Hiu Tsui Street
SE	Cape Collinson Road, Hiu Tsui Street
S	Cape Collinson Road
SW	San Ha Street
W	Chai Wan Road, Kam Yuen Lane Yee Fung Street, Yee Shun Street
NW	

1. CHAI WAN ESTATE
2. LOK HIN TERRACE
3. YUE WAN ESTATE

C35	***Kai Hiu***			13 356	-19.54

N	Ming Tsui Street
NE	Siu Sai Wan Road
E	
SE	
S	Cape Collinson Road
SW	Cape Collinson Road
W	Cape Collinson Road
NW	Hiu Tsui Street

1. HIU TSUI COURT
2. KAI TSUI COURT
3. SIU SAI WAN ESTATE (PART) :
   - Sui Fu House
   - Sui Hei House
   - Sui Keung House
   - Sui Lok House

District : Southern

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
D01	***Aberdeen***			19 023	+14.60

N	Aberdeen Main Road, Peel Rise Yue Kwong Road
NE	Aberdeen Praya Road Aberdeen Reservoir Road, Yue Fai Road Yue Kwong Road
E	Kwun Hoi Path, Sham Wan
SE	Po Chong Wan
S	Aberdeen Channel
SW	North of Ap Lei Chau
W	Aberdeen West Typhoon Shelter
NW	Aberdeen Praya Road Aberdeen West Typhoon Shelter

1. ABBA HOUSE
2. ABERDEEN CENTRE
3. BAYSHORE APARTMENTS
4. JADEWATER
5. PO CHONG WAN
6. YUE FAI COURT

District : Southern

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
D02	***Ap Lei Chau Estate***			12 062	-27.33

N	Ap Lei Chau Wind Tower Park
NE	Ap Lei Chau Wind Tower Park
E	West of Marina Habitat
SE	Lee Man Road
S	Ap Lei Chau Bridge Road
SW	Ap Lei Chau Bridge Road
W	West of Ap Lei Chau Estate
NW	

1. AP LEI CHAU ESTATE

D03	***Ap Lei Chau North***			13 442	-19.02

N	Ap Lei Chau Waterfront Promenade
NE	Ap Lei Chau Waterfront Promenade
E	East of Sham Wan Towers
SE	Junction of Ap Lei Chau Bridge Road and Ap Lei Chau Drive
S	Ap Lei Chau Bridge Road Ap Lei Chau Drive
SW	Ap Lei Chau Bridge Road
W	Ap Lei Chau Bridge Road, Lee Man Road
NW	Ap Lei Chau Waterfront Promenade

1. AP LEI CHAU CENTRE
2. MARINA HABITAT
3. SHAM WAN TOWERS

District : Southern

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
D04	***Lei Tung I***			13 493	-18.71

N	Ap Lei Chau Bridge Road Ap Lei Chau Drive
NE	Aberdeen South Typhoon Shelter
E	Aberdeen Channel
SE	East of Ap Lei Pai
S	District Boundary
SW	District Boundary
W	
NW	Lee Wing Street, Lei Tung Estate Road Yue On Court Road

1. LARVOTTO
2. LEI TUNG ESTATE (PART) :
   - Tung Ping House
   - Tung Yat House
3. YUE ON COURT

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
D05	***Lei Tung II***			14 666	-11.65

N	Ap Lei Chau Bridge Road
NE	Yue On Court Road
E	Lei Tung Estate Road
SE	Lei Tung Estate Road
S	
SW	
W	
NW	Ap Lei Chau Bridge Road

1. LEI TUNG ESTATE (PART) :
   - Tung Cheong House
   - Tung Hing House
   - Tung Mau House
   - Tung On House
   - Tung Sing House
   - Tung Yip House

District : Southern

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
D06	***South Horizons East***			14 642	-11.79

N	Ap Lei Chau Bridge Road
NE	Ap Lei Chau Bridge Road
E	Lee Wing Street
SE	
S	District Boundary
SW	District Boundary
W	
NW	South Horizon Drive, Yi Nam Road

1. SOUTH HORIZONS (PART) :
   Phase III
2. THE OASIS

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
D07	***South Horizons West***			14 674	-11.60

N	Northwest of South Horizons Phase II
NE	East of South Horizons Phase I
E	South Horizon Drive, Yi Nam Road
SE	
S	
SW	District Boundary
W	
NW	

1. SOUTH HORIZONS (PART) :
   Phase I
   Phase II

District : Southern

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
D08	***Wah Kwai***			13 684	-17.56

N	Wah Fu Road
NE	Shek Pai Wan Road
E	Aberdeen West Typhoon Shelter
SE	
S	District Boundary
SW	District Boundary
W	
NW	

1. KA LUNG COURT
2. WAH KWAI ESTATE

D09	***Wah Fu South***			12 937	-22.06

N	Wah Fu Road
NE	Wah Fu Road
E	
SE	
S	District Boundary
SW	District Boundary
W	
NW	Waterfall Bay

1. WAH FU (I) ESTATE

District : Southern

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
D10	***Wah Fu North***			14 074	-15.21
		N Pok Fu Lam Road **NE** Pok Fu Lam Road **E** Pok Fu Lam Road, Shek Pai Wan Road **SE** Shek Pai Wan Road, Wah Fu Road **S** Wah Fu Road **SW** Wah Fu Road **W** Cyberport Road **NW** Victoria Road	1. WAH FU (II) ESTATE		
D11	***Pokfulam***			20 748	+25.00
		N District Boundary, Mount Davis Road **NE** District Boundary **E** Pok Fu Lam Reservoir Road Pok Fu Lam Road **SE** Cyberport Road, Victoria Road **S** District Boundary, Waterfall Bay **SW** District Boundary **W** District Boundary **NW** District Boundary	1. BAGUIO VILLA 2. BEL-AIR ON THE PEAK 3. DOR FOOK MANSION 4. JESSVILLE 5. QUEEN MARY HOSPITAL 6. RESIDENCE BEL-AIR 7. SCENIC VILLAS	

District : Southern

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
D12	***Chi Fu***			16 105	-2.98

N	Pok Fu Lam Reservoir Road
NE	District Boundary
E	District Boundary
SE	District Boundary
S	Shek Pai Wan Road
SW	Pok Fu Lam Road, Shek Pai Wan Road
W	Victoria Road
NW	Pok Fu Lam Road, Victoria Road

1. ALBEROSE
2. CHI FU FA YUEN
3. MIDDLETON TOWERS
4. POK FU LAM VILLAGE
5. POKFULAM GARDENS
6. VOCATIONAL TRAINING COUNCIL SHINE SKILLS CENTRE (POKFULAM)
7. WOODBURY COURT

D13	***Tin Wan***			18 081	+8.93

N	District Boundary
NE	Peel Rise
E	Aberdeen Main Road, Peel Rise
SE	
S	Aberdeen Praya Road
SW	
W	Shek Pai Wan Road
NW	District Boundary

1. HUNG FUK COURT
2. TIN WAN ESTATE
3. WATERFRONT SOUTH

District : Southern

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
D14	***Shek Yue***			15 692	-5.46

N	Aberdeen Reservoir Road, Yue Kwong Road
NE	Yue Kwong Road
E	Yue Kwong Road
SE	Yue Kwong Road
S	Yue Kwong Road
SW	Yue Kwong Road
W	Yue Kwong Road
NW	Aberdeen Reservoir Road, Yue Kwong Road

1. SHEK PAI WAN ESTATE
2. YUE KWONG CHUEN

District : Southern

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
D15	***Wong Chuk Hang***			17 715	+6.72

N	Aberdeen Reservoir Road, District Boundary Hong Kong Trail, Lady Clementi's Ride
NE	Lady Clementi's Ride
E	Nam Fung Path, Nam Fung Road Wong Chuk Hang Road
SE	Ocean Park Road
S	Nam Long Shan Road, Shum Wan Road
SW	Aberdeen Praya Road, Kwun Hoi Path
W	Aberdeen Praya Road Aberdeen Reservoir Road, Peel Rise Yue Kwong Road
NW	District Boundary, Peel Rise

1. BROADVIEW COURT
2. GRANDVIEW GARDEN
3. JING HUI GARDEN
4. JUMBO COURT
5. MARINELLA
6. OCEAN COURT
7. POLICE COLLEGE (ABERDEEN)
   JPO MARRIED QUARTERS
8. SOUTH WAVE COURT

District : Southern

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
D16	***Bays Area***			18 410	+10.91

N	Deep Water Bay Road, District Boundary Tai Tam Reservoir Road Wong Nai Chung Gap Road
NE	Tai Tam Reservoir Road, Violet Hill
E	The Twins, Wilson Trail
SE	Cape Road, Chung Hom Kok Road Stanley Gap Road
S	District Boundary
SW	District Boundary
W	Shum Wan Road, Tai Shue Wan
NW	Aberdeen Tunnel, Nam Fung Path Nam Fung Road, Nam Long Shan Road Ocean Park Road, Wong Chuk Hang Road

1. 56 REPULSE BAY ROAD
2. CHUNG HOM KOK
3. DEEP WATER BAY
4. HONG KONG PARKVIEW
5. MANDERLY GARDEN
6. REPULSE BAY
7. SHOUSON HILL
8. WONG CHUK HANG SAN WAI VILLAGE

District : Southern

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
D17	***Stanley & Shek O***			20 149	+21.39

N	District Boundary, Hong Kong Trail Shek O Road, Tai Tam Road
NE	District Boundary
E	District Boundary
SE	District Boundary
S	District Boundary
SW	District Boundary
W	Cape Road, Chung Hom Kok Road Stanley Gap Road, Stone Hill, The Twins Violet Hill, Wilson Trail
NW	Hong Kong Trail, Mount Parker Road Tai Tam Byewash Reservoir Tai Tam Reservoir Road, Wilson Trail

1. BIG WAVE BAY
2. CAPE D'AGUILAR
3. CSD STAFF QUARTERS
4. LUNG TAK COURT
5. LUNG YAN COURT
6. MA HANG ESTATE
7. PACIFIC VIEW
8. RED HILL PENINSULA
9. REGALIA BAY
10. SHEK O VILLAGE
11. THE MANHATTAN

District : Yau Tsim Mong

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
E01	***Tsim Sha Tsui West***			12 673	-23.65

N	Austin Road West Western Harbour Crossing
NE	Austin Road, Bowring Street Canton Road, Jordan Road Kwun Chung Street, Min Street Nathan Road, Shanghai Street
E	Chatham Road South, Mody Road Nathan Road, Salisbury Road
SE	District Boundary, Salisbury Road
S	District Boundary
SW	District Boundary Western Harbour Crossing
W	District Boundary
NW	District Boundary, Western Harbour Crossing

1. CHUNGKING MANSIONS
2. GRAND AUSTIN
3. THE AUSTIN
4. THE VICTORIA TOWERS

District : Yau Tsim Mong

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
E02	***Kowloon Station***			17 591	+5.98

N	Seawall
NE	Hoi Fai Road
E	Seawall
SE	Austin Road West, Jordan Road Wui Cheung Road
S	Austin Road West, Western Harbour Crossing
SW	District Boundary
W	District Boundary
NW	District Boundary

1. SORRENTO
2. THE ARCH
3. THE CULLINAN
4. THE HARBOURSIDE
5. THE WATERFRONT

E03	***Jordan West***			16 654	+0.33

N	Hoi Wang Road, West Kowloon Highway Yan Cheung Road, Yau Ma Tei Interchange
NE	Battery Street, Kansu Street Yan Cheung Road
E	Battery Street, Kansu Street
SE	Battery Street, Jordan Road
S	Jordan Road
SW	Jordan Road
W	Seawall
NW	Hoi Po Road, West Kowloon Highway Yau Ma Tei Interchange

1. MAN CHEONG BUILDING
2. MAN FAI BUILDING
3. MAN KING BUILDING
4. MAN WAH BUILDING
5. MAN WAI BUILDING
6. MAN YING BUILDING
7. MAN YIU BUILDING
8. MAN YUEN BUILDING
9. WAI CHING COURT

District : Yau Tsim Mong

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
E04	***Yau Ma Tei South***			19 175	+15.52

N	Ferry Street, Hoi Ting Road Lai Cheung Road, Pitt Street
NE	Nathan Road, Pitt Street, Portland Street Waterloo Road
E	Arthur Street, Man Ming Lane, Nathan Road Portland Street, Wing Sing Lane
SE	Kansu Street, Nathan Road
S	Hoi Wang Road, Kansu Street West Kowloon Highway, Yan Cheung Road Yau Ma Tei Interchange
SW	Hoi Po Road, Lin Cheung Road West Kowloon Highway Yau Ma Tei Interchange
W	Seawall
NW	Lin Cheung Road West Kowloon Highway

1. 8 WATERLOO
2. KAM WAH BUILDING
3. PROSPEROUS GARDEN
4. THE CORONATION

District : Yau Tsim Mong

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
E05	***Charming***			16 288	-1.87

N	Hoi Ting Road, Hoi Wang Road
NE	Canton Road, Dundas Street Ferry Street, Hoi Ting Road
E	Canton Road, Dundas Street, Pitt Street
SE	Canton Road, Ferry Street, Pitt Street
S	Ferry Street, Hoi Ting Road Lai Cheung Road
SW	Lai Cheung Road, Lin Cheung Road West Kowloon Highway
W	Seawall
NW	Hoi Fai Road, Lai Cheung Road Lin Cheung Road, West Kowloon Highway

1. CHARMING GARDEN
2. SHUN LEE BUILDING

District : Yau Tsim Mong

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
E06	***Mong Kok West***			16 278	-1.93

N	Argyle Street, Canton Road
NE	Argyle Street, Nathan Road
E	Nathan Road
SE	Dundas Street, Nathan Road
S	Dundas Street
SW	Dundas Street, Ferry Street
W	Ferry Street
NW	Canton Road, Nam Tau Street, Tong Mi Road

1. KAM FONG BUILDING
2. SHUN KING BUILDING
3. WAH MAY BUILDING
4. YUEN FAT BUILDING

District : Yau Tsim Mong

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
E07	***Fu Pak***			19 046	+14.74

N	Cherry Street, Hoi Wang Road
NE	Ferry Street, Hoi Ting Road Hoi Wang Road
E	Ferry Street
SE	Ferry Street, Hoi Ting Road
S	Hoi Ting Road, Hoi Wang Road Lai Cheung Road
SW	Hoi Fai Road, Lin Cheung Road West Kowloon Highway
W	Hoi Fai Road
NW	Cherry Street, Lin Cheung Road West Kowloon Highway

1. CENTRAL PARK
2. HOI FU COURT
3. PARK AVENUE

District : Yau Tsim Mong

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
E08	***Olympic***			17 370	+4.64

N	District Boundary, West Kowloon Highway Yen Chow Street West
NE	District Boundary, Hoi Fai Road Sham Mong Road, West Kowloon Highway
E	Lin Cheung Road, Sham Mong Road
SE	Hoi Fai Road, Lin Cheung Road West Kowloon Highway
S	Hoi Fai Road
SW	District Boundary
W	District Boundary
NW	District Boundary

1. HAMPTON PLACE
2. HARBOUR GREEN
3. IMPERIAL CULLINAN
4. ISLAND HARBOURVIEW
5. ONE SILVER SEA
6. THE LONG BEACH

District : Yau Tsim Mong

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
E09	***Cherry***			15 042	-9.38

N	Anchor Street, Li Tak Street West Kowloon Corridor West
NE	Anchor Street, Tong Mi Road
E	Ferry Street, Tong Mi Road
SE	Ferry Street, Hoi Ting Road
S	Cherry Street, Hoi Ting Road Hoi Wang Road
SW	Cherry Street, Lin Cheung Road
W	Lin Cheung Road, Sham Mong Road
NW	Fuk Chak Street, Kok Cheung Street Li Tak Street

1. ELTANIN SQUARE MILE
2. FLORIENT RISE
3. FU TOR LOY SUN CHUEN
4. HOI HONG BUILDING
5. PEONY HOUSE
6. THE HERMITAGE

District : Yau Tsim Mong

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
E10	***Tai Kok Tsui South***			14 341	-13.60

N	Fuk Tsun Street West Kowloon Corridor West
NE	Fir Street, Fuk Tsun Street
E	Fir Street, Tong Mi Road
SE	Anchor Street, Tong Mi Road
S	Anchor Street, West Kowloon Corridor
SW	Fuk Chak Street, Kok Cheung Street Li Tak Street, Sham Mong Road
W	Sham Mong Road
NW	Chung Wui Street, Sham Mong Road

1. COSMOPOLITAN ESTATES
2. LIME STARDOM
3. PARK SUMMIT

District : Yau Tsim Mong

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
E11	***Tai Kok Tsui North***			20 538	+23.73

N	Chui Yu Road, District Boundary
NE	Chui Yu Road, Tung Chau Street West Kowloon Corridor West
E	Bedford Road, Fir Street, Maple Street
SE	Fir Street, Fuk Tsun Street
S	Chung Wui Street, Fuk Tsun Street West Kowloon Corridor West
SW	Sham Mong Road
W	District Boundary, Sham Mong Road
NW	Chui Yu Road, District Boundary Sham Mong Road

1. METRO HARBOUR VIEW

District : Yau Tsim Mong

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
E12	***Tai Nan***			20 254	+22.02

N	Boundary Street, District Boundary
NE	Boundary Street, District Boundary Nathan Road
E	Nathan Road
SE	Lai Chi Kok Road, Prince Edward Road West
S	Bedford Road, Fir Street Lai Chi Kok Road, Prince Edward Road West Tong Mi Road, West Kowloon Corridor
SW	Bedford Road, Maple Street West Kowloon Corridor
W	Tung Chau Street
NW	Boundary Street, District Boundary Tung Chau Street

1. JUNE GARDEN
2. PROSPERITY COURT

District : Yau Tsim Mong

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
E13	***Mong Kok North***			18 871	+13.69

N	Lai Chi Kok Road, Prince Edward Road West
NE	Nathan Road, Prince Edward Road West
E	Nathan Road
SE	Argyle Street, Nathan Road
S	Argyle Street, Canton Road Nam Tau Street
SW	Nam Tau Street, West Kowloon Corridor
W	Tong Mi Road, West Kowloon Corridor
NW	Lai Chi Kok Road, Prince Edward Road West Tong Mi Road, Tung Chau Street

1. CHEUNG FAT MANSION
2. CHEUNG FUNG MANSION
3. CHEUNG HING MANSION
4. CHEUNG WANG MANSION
5. HANG TUNG BUILDING
6. LAI TONG BUILDING

E14	***Mong Kok East***			16 568	-0.19

N	Boundary Street
NE	Boundary Street, District Boundary
E	District Boundary
SE	Argyle Street, District Boundary
S	Argyle Street, Mong Kok Road Sai Yee Street, Tung Choi Street
SW	Bute Street, Nathan Road
W	Nathan Road
NW	Boundary Street, Nathan Road

1. BIJOU COURT
2. COSMOPOLITAN CENTRE
3. RUBY MANSION
4. SIU YIP HOUSE

District : Yau Tsim Mong

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
E15	***Mong Kok South***			16 846	+1.49

N	Mong Kok Road, Sai Yee Street Tung Choi Street
NE	Argyle Street, District Boundary
E	District Boundary
SE	District Boundary, Soy Street
S	Dundas Street, Soy Street Tung Choi Street
SW	Dundas Street, Nathan Road
W	Nathan Road
NW	Bute Street, Nathan Road

1. GRANDVIEW BUILDING
2. MACPHERSON PLACE
3. SKYPARK
4. TAK PO BUILDING
5. WING WAH BUILDING

District : Yau Tsim Mong

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
E16	***Yau Ma Tei North***			12 823	-22.75

N	Dundas Street, Soy Street Tung Choi Street
NE	District Boundary, Soy Street Waterloo Road
E	Waterloo Road
SE	Waterloo Road
S	Nathan Road, Waterloo Road
SW	Pitt Street
W	Canton Road
NW	Dundas Street, Tung Choi Street

1. GOOD LUCK HOUSE
2. HUNG WAI BUILDING
3. KAM SING BUILDING
4. TSUI YUEN MANSION
5. YAN ON BUILDING

District : Yau Tsim Mong

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
E17	***East Tsim Sha Tsui & King's Park***			12 641	-23.84

N	District Boundary, Waterloo Road
NE	District Boundary
E	Chatham Road North, District Boundary
SE	District Boundary, Hung Lai Road Hung Lok Road, Hung Luen Road Kin Wan Street
S	District Boundary
SW	Avenue of Stars, Chatham Road South Salisbury Road
W	Chatham Road South, Gascoigne Road
NW	Arthur Street, Gascoigne Road Nathan Road, Portland Street Waterloo Road, Wing Sing Lane

1. HILTON TOWERS
2. HOUSTON CENTRE
3. KING'S PARK VILLA
4. PARC PALAIS
5. THE REGALIA
6. WOODCLIFFE
7. WYLIE COURT

District : Yau Tsim Mong

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
E18	***Jordan North***			17 885	+7.75

N	Kansu Street
NE	Gascoigne Road
E	Gascoigne Road, Jordan Road
SE	Jordan Road
S	Jordan Road
SW	Jordan Road
W	Battery Street
NW	Battery Street, Kansu Street

1. AGATHA BUILDING
2. DAVID MANSION
3. KAM PO BUILDING
4. MAN HING BUILDING
5. UNITED MANSION

E19 ***Jordan South*** — Projected Population: 12 742 — +/- % of Population Quota (16 599): -23.24

N	Jordan Road
NE	Cox's Road, Jordan Road
E	Cox's Road
SE	Austin Road, Cox's Road
S	Austin Road
SW	Austin Road, Canton Road
W	Bowering Street, Canton Road Kwun Chung Street
NW	Jordan Road, Min Street, Shanghai Street

1. CARMEN'S GARDEN
2. FORTUNE TERRACE
3. HONG YUEN COURT
4. PAK ON BUILDING
5. WAI ON BUILDING

District : Yau Tsim Mong

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
E20	***Tsim Sha Tsui Central***			14 762	-11.07

N	Gascoigne Road, Jordan Road
NE	Chatham Road South, Gascoigne Road
E	Chatham Road South
SE	Chatham Road South
S	Mody Road
SW	Nathan Road
W	Nathan Road
NW	Austin Road, Cox's Road

1. MIRADOR MANSION
2. THE MASTERPIECE

District : Sham Shui Po

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
F01	***Po Lai***			18 284	+10.15

N	Po On Road
NE	Po On Road
E	Pratas Street
SE	Pratas Street
S	Cheung Sha Wan Road, Pratas Street
SW	Cheung Sha Wan Road
W	Un Chau Street, Wing Lung Street
NW	Cheung Fat Street

1. EASTLAND TOWERS
2. MERLIN CENTRE
3. ONE MADISON
4. PO HEI COURT
5. PO LAI COURT
6. SOUTHERN LODGE
7. UN CHAU ESTATE (PART) :
   - Un Him House
   - Un Mun House
   - Un Wai House
   - Un Yat House

F02	***Cheung Sha Wan***			15 757	-5.07

N	Pratas Street
NE	Tai Po Road
E	Tai Po Road, Yen Chow Street
SE	Castle Peak Road, Yen Chow Street
S	Cheung Sha Wan Road, Yen Chow Street
SW	Cheung Sha Wan Road
W	Cheung Sha Wan Road, Pratas Street
NW	Pratas Street

1. CRONIN GARDEN
2. MANOR CENTRE
3. VISTA

District : Sham Shui Po

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
F03	***Nam Cheong North***			19 628	+18.25

N	Castle Peak Road, Tai Po Road
NE	Berwick Street, Pei Ho Street, Tai Po Road
E	Berwick Street, Nam Cheong Street
SE	Nam Cheong Street
S	Cheung Sha Wan Road, Nam Cheong Street
SW	Cheung Sha Wan Road
W	Cheung Sha Wan Road, Yen Chow Street
NW	Yen Chow Street

1. CAPITAL BUILDING
2. FUK WA MANSION
3. GOLDEN BUILDING
4. PEI HO BUILDING
5. WONDER BUILDING

F04	***Shek Kip Mei***			19 039	+14.70

N	Wai Chi Street
NE	Wai Chi Street
E	Wai Chi Street
SE	Woh Chai Street
S	Berwick Street, Nam Cheong Street
SW	Berwick Street, Pei Ho Street Tai Po Road
W	Tai Po Road
NW	Pak Tin Street

1. SHEK KIP MEI ESTATE (PART) :
   - Block 21
   - Block 22
   - Block 23
   - Block 24
   - Mei Choi House
   - Mei Hung House
   - Mei Leong House
   - Mei Sang House
   - Mei Shan House
   - Mei Shing House
   - Mei Wui House
   - Mei Yick House
   - Mei Yin House

District : Sham Shui Po

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
F05	***Nam Cheong East***			19 594	+18.04

N	Nam Cheong Street, Woh Chai Street
NE	Woh Chai Street
E	
SE	Poplar Street, Sai Yeung Choi Street North
S	Cheung Sha Wan Road, Fuk Wa Street Maple Street
SW	Cheung Sha Wan Road
W	Nam Cheong Street
NW	Nam Cheong Street

1. GARDENIA
2. RONDALL BUILDING
3. SHEK KIP MEI ESTATE (PART) :
   Block 19
   Block 20
4. THE MERRYLANDS
5. WELLAND PLAZA

District : Sham Shui Po

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
F06	***Nam Cheong South***			19 858	+19.63

N	Cheung Sha Wan Road
NE	Cheung Sha Wan Road, Fuk Wa Street Maple Street
E	Poplar Street, Sai Yeung Choi Street North
SE	Boundary Street, District Boundary
S	Boundary Street, District Boundary
SW	Lai Chi Kok Road, Shek Kip Mei Street
W	Nam Cheong Street, Yee Kuk Street
NW	Nam Cheong Street

1. CHEUNG SHING BUILDING
2. KAM PEAK BUILDING

F07	***Nam Cheong Central***			20 029	+20.66

N	Cheung Sha Wan Road, Yen Chow Street
NE	Cheung Sha Wan Road
E	Cheung Sha Wan Road, Nam Cheong Street
SE	Lai Chi Kok Road, Nam Cheong Street
S	Lai Chi Kok Road, Nam Cheong Street
SW	Lai Chi Kok Road
W	Lai Chi Kok Road, Yen Chow Street
NW	Yen Chow Street

1. MAN ON BUILDING
2. MILAN PLACE
3. TUNG HING BUILDING

District : Sham Shui Po

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
F08	***Nam Cheong West***			20 211	+21.76

N	Kiu Kiang Street, Lai Chi Kok Road
NE	Lai Chi Kok Road, Nam Cheong Street
E	Boundary Street, District Boundary Lai Chi Kok Road, Shek Kip Mei Street Yee Kuk Street
SE	Chui Yu Road, District Boundary Tung Chau Street
S	Chui Yu Road, District Boundary Hoi Fai Road, Sham Mong Road West Kowloon Highway
SW	District Boundary, West Kowloon Highway
W	Yen Chow Street West
NW	Hai Tan Street, Kiu Kiang Street Tung Chau Street, Yen Chow Street West

1. GRAMMY CENTRE
2. KA PO BUILDING
3. NAM CHEONG ESTATE
4. OCEANIC VIEW
5. PO WING BUILDING
6. TRINITY TOWERS

District : Sham Shui Po

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
F09	***Fu Cheong***			18 766	+13.06

N	Lai Chi Kok Road, Tonkin Street
NE	Lai Chi Kok Road
E	Kiu Kiang Street, Tung Chau Street
SE	Yen Chow Street, Yen Chow Street West
S	Sham Mong Road, Yen Chow Street West
SW	Sham Mong Road
W	Fat Tseung Street West, Sham Mong Road
NW	Fat Tseung Street, Fat Tseung Street West West Kowloon Corridor

1. FU CHEONG ESTATE
2. WING CHEONG ESTATE

F10	***Lai Kok***			13 067	-21.28

N	Cheung Sha Wan Road
NE	Cheung Sha Wan Road
E	Cheung Sha Wan Road, Yen Chow Street
SE	Yen Chow Street
S	Lai Chi Kok Road, Yen Chow Street
SW	Lai Chi Kok Road
W	Lai Chi Kok Road, Tonkin Street
NW	Tonkin Street

1. LAI KOK ESTATE
2. LAI ON ESTATE
3. YEE CHING COURT
4. YEE KOK COURT

District : Sham Shui Po

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
F11	***Fortune***			18 472	+11.28

N	Cheung Sha Wan Road
NE	Cheung Sha Wan Road
E	Cheung Sha Wan Road, Tonkin Street
SE	Tonkin Street
S	Tonkin Street, West Kowloon Corridor
SW	West Kowloon Corridor
W	Hing Wah Street, Lai Chi Kok Road
NW	Cheung Sha Wan Path, Cheung Sha Wan Road

1. CHEUNG SHA WAN ESTATE
2. FORTUNE ESTATE
3. HANG CHUN COURT
4. THE SPARKLE

Code	Recommended Name	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
F12	***Pik Wui***	13 376	-19.42

N	Fat Tseung Street, Fat Tseung Street West West Kowloon Corridor
NE	Sham Mong Road
E	Sham Mong Road
SE	District Boundary, Yen Chow Street West
S	District Boundary
SW	
W	Hing Wah Street West
NW	Hing Wah Street West, Sham Mong Road Sham Shing Road

1. AQUA MARINE

District : Sham Shui Po

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
F13	***Lai Chi Kok Central***			18 975	+14.31

N	West Kowloon Corridor
NE	West Kowloon Corridor
E	Hing Wah Street West West Kowloon Corridor
SE	Hing Wah Street West, Sham Shing Road
S	Sham Shing Road
SW	Sham Mong Road, Sham Shing Road
W	Sham Mong Road, Tsing Sha Highway
NW	Lai Chi Kok Road

1. BANYAN GARDEN
2. LIBERTE
3. ONE WEST KOWLOON
4. THE PACIFICA

District : Sham Shui Po

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
F14	***Lai Chi Kok South***			16 785	+1.12

N	Lai Po Road, Tsing Sha Highway West Kowloon Highway
NE	Hing Wah Street West, Sham Mong Road
E	
SE	District Boundary
S	District Boundary
SW	Chi Ngong Road, District Boundary
W	Container Port Road South District Boundary
NW	Container Port Road South District Boundary, Hing Wah Street West Lai Po Road, Tsing Sha Highway

1. HOI LAI ESTATE

District : Sham Shui Po

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
F15	***Mei Foo South***			16 563	-0.22

N	Kwai Chung Road, Lai Chi Kok Road
NE	Kwai Chung Road
E	Lai Po Road, Tsing Sha Highway
SE	Tsing Sha Highway, West Kowloon Highway
S	West Kowloon Highway
SW	District Boundary, Tsing Kwai Highway
W	District Boundary
NW	Broadway

1. MANHATTAN HILL
2. MEI FOO SUN CHUEN (PART) :
   - STAGE 2 (Part)
   - STAGE 3 (Part)
   - STAGE 4
   - STAGE 8

F16 ***Mei Foo Central*** — Projected Population: 12 720 — +/- % of Population Quota (16 599): -23.37

N	Lai Wan Road
NE	Cheung Sha Wan Road
E	Broadway
SE	Broadway
S	
SW	District Boundary, Tsing Kwai Highway
W	Ching Cheung Road
NW	Ching Cheung Road, Kwai Chung Road

1. MEI FOO SUN CHUEN (PART) :
   - STAGE 1
   - STAGE 2 (Part)
   - STAGE 3 (Part)
   - STAGE 7

District : Sham Shui Po

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
F17	***Mei Foo North***			15 847	-4.53

N	Ching Cheung Road, District Boundary Lai King Hill Road
NE	Ching Cheung Road, District Boundary
E	Butterfly Valley Road
SE	Kwai Chung Road, Cheung Sha Wan Road
S	Kwai Chung Road, Lai Wan Road
SW	Ching Cheung Road, District Boundary Tsing Kwai Highway
W	District Boundary, Tsing Kwai Highway
NW	District Boundary, Lai King Hill Road

1. CHING LAI COURT
2. MEI FOO SUN CHUEN (PART) :
   STAGE 5
   STAGE 6
3. NOB HILL

District : Sham Shui Po

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
F18	***Lai Chi Kok North***			15 472	-6.79

N	Ching Cheung Road, District Boundary
NE	Ching Cheung Road, Po On Road Wing Hong Street
E	Cheung Sha Wan Road, Hing Wah Street
SE	Cheung Sha Wan Path, Cheung Sha Wan Road Hing Wah Street
S	Lai Chi Kok Road, West Kowloon Corridor
SW	Butterfly Valley Road, West Kowloon Corridor
W	Butterfly Valley Road
NW	Ching Cheung Road, District Boundary

1. HEYA AQUA
2. HEYA CRYSTAL
3. HONG FAI BUILDING
4. LAI BO GARDEN
5. ONE NEW YORK
6. WING NING BUILDING

District : Sham Shui Po

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
F19	***Un Chau***			18 422	+10.98

N	Hing Wah Street, Po On Road
NE	Cheung Fat Street, Po On Road
E	Un Chau Street
SE	Wing Lung Street
S	Cheung Sha Wan Road
SW	Cheung Sha Wan Road
W	Hing Wah Street
NW	Hing Wah Street

1. HEYA STAR
2. UN CHAU ESTATE (PART) :
   - Un Chi House
   - Un Fung House
   - Un Hei House
   - Un Hong House
   - Un Kin House
   - Un Lok House
   - Un Nga House
   - Un Shing House
   - Un Tai House
   - Un Wo House

F20	***So Uk***			18 751	+12.96

N	Ching Cheung Road
NE	Ching Cheung Road
E	Cheung Fat Street
SE	Cheung Fat Street, Po On Road
S	Po On Road
SW	Wing Hong Street, Po On Road
W	Ching Cheung Road
NW	Ching Cheung Road

1. SO UK ESTATE

District : Sham Shui Po

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
F21	***Lei Cheng Uk***			13 336	-19.66

N	Ching Cheung Road, Tai Po Road
NE	Tai Po Road
E	Tai Po Road
SE	Pratas Street
S	Po On Road
SW	Po On Road
W	Cheung Fat Street, Po On Road
NW	

1. HEYA GREEN
2. IMMIGRATION DEPARTMENT LEI CHENG UK RANK & FILE STAFF QUARTERS
3. LEI CHENG UK ESTATE

District : Sham Shui Po

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
F22	***Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin***			15 802	-4.80

N	District Boundary
NE	District Boundary, Lung Yan Road
E	District Boundary, Lung Yan Road
SE	Lung Cheung Road, Nam Cheong Street Pak Wan Street
S	Pak Wan Street, Tai Po Road
SW	Tai Po Road
W	Ching Cheung Road, District Boundary Tai Po Road
NW	District Boundary Tai Po Road - Piper's Hill

1. BEACON HEIGHTS
2. CALDECOTT HILL
3. CHAK ON ESTATE
4. DYNASTY HEIGHTS
5. MONTE CARLTON
6. PAK TIN ESTATE (PART) :
   - Block 9
   - Block 10
   - Block 11
   - Block 13
7. THE CALDECOTT
8. VILLA CARLTON

District : Sham Shui Po

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
F23	***Ha Pak Tin***			16 014	-3.52

N	
NE	Nam Cheong Street
E	Nam Cheong Street
SE	Nam Cheong Street
S	Nam Cheong Street, Wai Chi Street
SW	
W	Pak Wan Street
NW	Pak Wan Street

1. PAK TIN ESTATE (PART) :
   - Chak Tin House
   - Cheung Tin House
   - Fu Tin House
   - Lai Tin House
   - On Tin House
   - Shing Tin House
   - Shui Tin House
   - Tai Tin House
   - Tsui Tin House
   - Wan Tin House
   - Yue Tin House
   - Yun Tin House

F24	***Yau Yat Tsuen***			14 650	-11.74

N	Lung Cheung Road
NE	Beacon Hill Road, District Boundary
E	District Boundary, MTR (East Rail Line)
SE	District Boundary, MTR (East Rail Line)
S	Boundary Street, District Boundary
SW	Tai Hang Tung Road
W	Lung Chu Street, Nam Cheong Street Nam Shan Chuen Road, Tai Hang Tung Road
NW	Lung Cheung Road, Nam Cheong Street

1. 62 BEGONIA ROAD
2. GRANDEUR VILLA
3. MOUNT BEACON
4. PARC OASIS
5. TAK CHEE YUEN
6. VILLAGE GARDENS

District : Sham Shui Po

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
F25	***Nam Shan, Tai Hang Tung & Tai Hang Sai***			19 773	+19.12

N	Nam Cheong Street, Nam Shan Chuen Road
NE	Lung Chu Street, Nam Shan Chuen Road Tai Hang Tung Road
E	Tai Hang Tung Road
SE	Tai Hang Tung Road
S	Boundary Street, District Boundary
SW	Sai Yeung Choi Street North
W	Wai Chi Street, Woh Chai Street
NW	Nam Cheong Street, Wai Chi Street

1. AMPLE BUILDING
2. NAM SHAN ESTATE
3. NAM SHAN YUEN
4. SHEK KIP MEI ESTATE (PART) :
   Mei Ying House
   Mei Yue House
5. TAI HANG SAI ESTATE
6. TAI HANG TUNG ESTATE

District : Kowloon City

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
G01	***Ma Tau Wai***			20 629	+24.28

N	Prince Edward Road West
NE	Olympic Avenue, Prince Edward Road West
E	Mok Cheong Street, Olympic Avenue Pak Tai Street, Sung Wong Toi Road
SE	Ma Tau Chung Road, Ma Tau Kok Road Tam Kung Road
S	Farm Road
SW	Tin Kwong Road
W	Argyle Street, Sheung Kin Street Tin Kwong Road
NW	Lomond Road

1. CHUN SEEN MEI CHUEN
2. CHUNG NAM MANSION
3. MA TAU WAI ESTATE
4. MAJESTIC PARK
5. PADEK PALACE
6. SANFORD MANSION
7. THE ARCADIA
8. THE ASTORIA
9. THE ASTRID
10. THE ZUMURUD

G02	***Sung Wong Toi***			20 388	+22.83

N	Sung Wong Toi Road
NE	Sung Wong Toi Road
E	Seawall
SE	Seawall
S	Ma Tau Kok Road, To Kwa Wan Road
SW	Ma Tau Kok Road
W	Ma Tau Kok Road, Mok Cheong Street Pak Tai Street
NW	Pak Tai Street, Sung Wong Toi Road

1. METROPOLITAN RISE
2. MY PLACE
3. SKY TOWER

District : Kowloon City

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
G03	***Ma Hang Chung***			20 388	+22.83

N	Ma Tau Kok Road
NE	Ma Tau Kok Road
E	Ma Tau Kok Road, Seawall To Kwa Wan Road
SE	San Ma Tau Street, San Shan Road Seawall, To Kwa Wan Road
S	San Shan Road
SW	Ma Tau Wai Road, Pau Chung Street Sheung Heung Road
W	Ma Tau Wai Road
NW	Ma Tau Chung Road, Ma Tau Kok Road Mok Cheong Street, Tam Kung Road

1. CHUNG HWA BUILDING
2. GRAND WATERFRONT
3. GRANDVIEW GARDEN
4. HORAE PLACE
5. JUBILANT PLACE
6. WAN TIN BUILDING

District : Kowloon City

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
G04	***Ma Tau Kok***			13 958	-15.91

N	Pau Chung Street, San Shan Road
NE	San Shan Road
E	To Kwa Wan Road
SE	To Kwa Wan Road
S	Ha Heung Road, Lok Shan Road Sze Chuen Street
SW	Kiang Su Street, Kowloon City Road Ma Tau Wai Road
W	Kau Pui Lung Road
NW	Kau Pui Lung Road, Ma Tau Wai Road Maidstone Lane, Sheung Heung Road

1. HARMONY GARDEN
2. HONOUR BUILDING
3. LUCKY BUILDING
4. SUN SHING CENTRE

District : Kowloon City

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
G05	***Lok Man***			15 428	-7.05

N	Farm Road
NE	Ma Tau Wai Road, Maidstone Lane
E	Kau Pui Lung Road
SE	Chi Kiang Street, Kau Pui Lung Road Kiang Su Street, Ko Shan Road Ma Tau Wai Road
S	Ko Shan Road
SW	
W	
NW	Sheung Shing Street, Tin Kwong Road

1. 18 FARM ROAD
2. CELESTIAL HEIGHTS
3. HILLVILLE TERRACE
4. LOK MAN SUN CHUEN

G06	***Sheung Lok***			20 454	+23.22

N	Sheung Shing Street
NE	Sheung Shing Street
E	
SE	Ko Shan Road, Shun Yung Street
S	Fat Kwong Street, Shun Yung Street
SW	Fat Kwong Street
W	Fat Kwong Street
NW	Sheung Shing Street

1. HO MAN TIN ESTATE
2. HOMANTIN HILLSIDE
3. KWUN FAI COURT
4. KWUN HEI COURT
5. MANTIN HEIGHTS
6. ONE HOMANTIN

District : Kowloon City

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
G07	***Ho Man Tin***			19 625	+18.23

N	Argyle Street
NE	Sheung Kin Street, Tin Kwong Road
E	Sheung Shing Street, Tin Kwong Road
SE	Sheung Shing Street
S	Fat Kwong Street, Princess Margaret Road
SW	District Boundary, MTR (East Rail Line)
W	Waterloo Road
NW	Argyle Street, Waterloo Road

1. BALWIN COURT
2. CAMBRIDGE COURT
3. FAIRLAND GARDENS
4. HARRISON COURT (PART) :
   - Phase III
   - Phase IV
   - Phase V
   - Phase VI
5. MANDARIN COURT
6. PARC REGAL
7. PERTH GARDEN
8. SHEUNG LOK ESTATE
9. THE CRESCENT

G08 ***Kadoorie*** — Projected Population: 19 100 — +/- % of Population Quota (16 599): +15.07

N	Boundary Street
NE	Boundary Street, Waterloo Road
E	Waterloo Road
SE	Argyle Street, Waterloo Road
S	Waterloo Road
SW	District Boundary
W	District Boundary
NW	District Boundary

1. FAIR WAY GARDEN
2. KADOORIA
3. KADOORIE HILL
4. KADOORIE LOOKOUT
5. ORIENTAL GARDENS
6. SHING TAK MANSION
7. SKY GARDEN
8. YEE ON COURT

District : Kowloon City

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
G09	***Prince***			14 931	-10.05

N	La Salle Road, Lancashire Road
NE	Dumbarton Road, Inverness Road Junction Road
E	Junction Road, Lomond Road Prince Edward Road West
SE	Argyle Street, Lomond Road
S	Argyle Street
SW	Waterloo Road
W	Boundary Street, District Boundary
NW	District Boundary, Essex Crescent Surrey Lane

1. BEVERLY VILLAS
2. COLLEGE CREST
3. FOOK YEE GARDEN
4. HARRISON COURT
5. HARRISON COURT (PART) : Phase II
6. KENT COURT
7. PRINCE'S HEIGHTS
8. SHEFFIELD GARDEN
9. TANG COURT

District : Kowloon City

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
G10	***Kowloon Tong***			20 309	+22.35

N	District Boundary, Lion Rock Tunnel Lion Rock Tunnel Road
NE	Chuk Yuen Road, District Boundary Lung Cheung Road
E	District Boundary, Junction Road
SE	District Boundary, Dumbarton Road Inverness Road, Junction Road
S	Essex Crescent, La Salle Road Lancashire Road, Surrey Lane
SW	District Boundary, Surrey Lane
W	Beacon Hill Road, District Boundary
NW	District Boundary, Lung Yan Road

1. EDEN GATE
2. LA SALLE PLACE
3. LUNG CHEUNG COURT
4. MOONBEAM TERRACE
5. ONE BEACON HILL
6. ONE MAYFAIR
7. PARC INVERNESS
8. PENINSULA HEIGHTS
9. PHOENIX COURT
10. SUNDERLAND ESTATE

District : Kowloon City

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
G11	***Lung Shing***			15 498	-6.63

N	District Boundary, Tung Tau Tsuen Road Tung Tsing Road
NE	District Boundary, Lok Sin Road Tung Tsing Road
E	Carpenter Road, District Boundary Prince Edward Road East
SE	Prince Edward Road East
S	Prince Edward Road West
SW	Junction Road, Prince Edward Road West
W	Junction Road
NW	Junction Road, Tung Tau Tsuen Road

1. BILLIONNAIRE AVANT
2. GENIUS COURT
3. PRINCE RITZ
4. THE PRINCE PLACE
5. THE OPULENCE

G12	***Kai Tak North***			14 068	-15.25

N	District Boundary, Muk Chun Street Prince Edward Road East
NE	District Boundary, Shing Kai Road
E	District Boundary, Shing Kai Road
SE	District Boundary, Muk Chui Street
S	Muk Chui Street
SW	
W	MTR (Shatin to Central Link)
NW	MTR (Shatin to Central Link)

1. DE NOVO
2. KAI CHING ESTATE

District : Kowloon City

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
G13	***Kai Tak East***			12 993	-21.72

N	Muk Chui Street
NE	District Boundary, Muk Chui Street Shing Kai Road
E	District Boundary, Shing Kai Road
SE	District Boundary, Shing Kai Road
S	
SW	
W	
NW	Muk Chui Street

1. TAK LONG ESTATE (PART) :
   - Tak Loong House
   - Tak Shan House
   - Tak Pui House
   - Tak Ying House
   - Tak Yiu House
   - Tak Yu House

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
G14	***Kai Tak Central & South***			12 653	-23.77

N	District Boundary, Prince Edward Road East
NE	District Boundary, Kai Cheung Road Shing Kai Road
E	District Boundary, Kai Fuk Road
SE	Cheung Yip Street, District Boundary
S	District Boundary
SW	
W	Sung Wong Toi Road
NW	Olympic Avenue, Prince Edward Road East

1. K. CITY
2. KAI LONG COURT
3. OASIS KAI TAK
4. ONE KAI TAK(I)
5. ONE KAI TAK(II)
6. TAK LONG ESTATE (PART) :
   - Tak Cheung House
   - Tak Kei House
   - Tak Sui House
7. VIBE CENTRO
8. VICTORIA SKYE

District : Kowloon City

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
G15	***Hoi Sham***			15 116	-8.93

N	San Ma Tau Street, To Kwa Wan Road
NE	San Ma Tau Street
E	
SE	
S	
SW	Lok Shan Road, Yuk Yat Street
W	Lok Shan Road, To Kwa Wan Road
NW	To Kwa Wan Road

1. BAYVIEW
2. CHIAP THONG BUILDING
3. MEI KING MANSION PHASE 1
4. ON WO YUEN
5. TO KWA WAN MANSION
6. WYLER GARDENS
7. YIK YIN BUILDING

G16	***To Kwa Wan North***			14 682	-11.55

N	Ha Heung Road, Lok Shan Road
NE	Lok Shan Road
E	Lok Shan Road, Yuk Yat Street
SE	Sung On Street
S	Hung Fook Street
SW	To Kwa Wan Road
W	Kowloon City Road
NW	Sze Chuen Street

1. CITY HUB
2. I-FENG MANSIONS
3. KIU FAT MANSION
4. KIU YU MANSION
5. L. HARBOUR 18
6. MEI KING MANSION PHASE 2
7. SUNRISE VILLA
8. WEARBEST BUILDING

District : Kowloon City

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
G17	***To Kwa Wan South***			15 646	-5.74

N	Chi Kiang Street, Ma Tau Wai Road To Kwa Wan Road
NE	Hung Fook Street
E	Sung On Street
SE	Bailey Street
S	Bailey Street, Gillies Avenue North
SW	Ko Shan Road, Pak Kung Street
W	Ko Shan Road
NW	Ko Shan Road

1. AXIS
2. BAILEY GARDEN
3. CHAT MA MANSION
4. FULL WING BUILDING
5. SIN HUA MANSION
6. STAR RUBY
7. THE MET FOCUS
8. VIVA
9. WING FAI MANSION

G18	***Hok Yuen Laguna Verde***			18 511	+11.52

N	
NE	
E	
SE	
S	Dyer Avenue
SW	Dyer Avenue, Fat Kwong Street Flyover Hung Hom Road, Ma Tau Wai Road Tai Wan Road
W	Ma Tau Wai Road
NW	Bailey Street, Chi Kiang Street Sung On Street

1. BOWIE MANSION
2. FOOK WAN MANSION
3. LAGUNA VERDE
4. LOONG KING MANSION
5. SHUNG TZE HOUSES
6. SUNSHINE PLAZA

District : Kowloon City

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
G19	***Whampoa East***			17 582	+5.92

N	Dyer Avenue
NE	
E	
SE	District Boundary
S	District Boundary
SW	District Boundary, Kin Wan Street
W	Hung Hom Road, Hung Luen Road Oi King Street, Shung King Street Tak Fung Street
NW	Dyer Avenue, Hung Hom Road Shung King Street, Tak Hong Street

1. HARBOURFRONT LANDMARK
2. STARS BY THE HARBOUR
3. WHAMPOA GARDEN (PART) :
   - Bamboo Mansions
   - Banyan Mansions
   - Cotton Tree Mansions
   - Lily Mansions
   - Oak Mansions
   - Palm Mansions

District : Kowloon City

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
G20	***Whampoa West***			20 898	+25.90

N	Bulkeley Street
NE	Hung Hom Road, Tak Hong Street
E	Shung King Street, Tak Fung Street
SE	Hung Hom Road, Oi King Street Shung King Street
S	District Boundary, Hung Luen Road Oi King Street
SW	District Boundary, Hung Lok Road
W	Hung Hom South Road, Po Loi Street Yan Yung Street
NW	Po Loi Street

1. HARBOUR PLACE
2. WHAMPOA GARDEN (PART) :
   - Bauhinia Mansions
   - Cherry Mansions
   - Juniper Mansions
   - Willow Mansions

District : Kowloon City

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
G21	***Hung Hom Bay***			18 414	+10.93

N	Dock Street, Dyer Avenue, Ma Tau Wai Road Station Lane
NE	Dyer Avenue, Hung Hom Road
E	Hung Hom Road
SE	Hung Hom South Road, Po Loi Street
S	District Boundary, Hung Lok Road Yan Yung Street
SW	Cheong Tung Road South, District Boundary Hung Lai Road, Metropolis Drive
W	Baker Street, Bulkeley Street Fuk Chi Street, Hung Hom South Road Ming On Street
NW	Taku Street, Wuhu Street

1. HUNGHOM BAY CENTRE
2. ROYAL PENINSULA
3. THE HONG KONG POLYTECHNIC UNIVERSITY STUDENT HALLS OF RESIDENCE JOCKEY CLUB WING
4. UNITED BUILDING
5. WHAMPOA ESTATE

District : Kowloon City

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
G22	***Hung Hom***			13 762	-17.09

N	Walker Road, Wuhu Street
NE	Station Lane
E	Baker Street, Bulkeley Street Ma Tau Wai Road, Ming On Street Station Lane, Taku Street, Wuhu Street
SE	Fuk Chi Street, Hung Hom South Road
S	District Boundary
SW	District Boundary
W	Chatham Road North, District Boundary
NW	Chatham Road North

1. FOK LIN BUILDING
2. HIP FAI BUILDING
3. METRO6
4. WHAMPOA BUILDING

District : Kowloon City

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
G23	***Ka Wai***			19 876	+19.74

N	Gillies Avenue North, Pak Kung Street
NE	Ma Tau Wai Road
E	Fat Kwong Street Flyover, Ma Tau Wai Road Tai Wan Road
SE	Dock Street, Dyer Avenue, Hung Hom Road Ma Tau Wai Road, Tai Wan Road
S	Station Lane
SW	Station Lane, Walker Road, Wuhu Street
W	Chatham Road North, Fat Kwong Street Wuhu Street, Yan Fung Street
NW	Fat Kwong Street, Pak Kung Street Shun Yung Street

1. CARLTON COURT
2. CHATHAM GATE
3. HUNG HOM ESTATE
4. HUNG HOM GARDEN
5. KA WAI CHUEN
6. LA LUMIERE
7. THE EVERGREEN
8. TSING CHAU STREET CUSTOMS STAFF QUARTERS

District : Kowloon City

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
G24	***Oi Man***			15 915	-4.12

N	Fat Kwong Street
NE	Fat Kwong Street
E	Fat Kwong Street
SE	Chatham Road North, Fat Kwong Street Yan Fung Street
S	Chatham Road North, District Boundary
SW	Chi Man Street, District Boundary
W	District Boundary, Princess Margaret Road
NW	Princess Margaret Road

1. CARMEL ON THE HILL
2. CASCADES
3. DRAGON VIEW
4. ELLERY TERRACE
5. OI MAN ESTATE (PART) :
   - Shun Man House
   - Sun Man House
   - Tak Man House
   - Tun Man House
   - Wai Man House
6. ULTIMA

G25	***Oi Chun***			13 113	-21.00

N	Chung Hau Street
NE	Carmel Village Street
E	Carmel Village Street, Hau Man Street
SE	Hau Man Street
S	Chung Hau Street, Hau Man Street
SW	Chung Hau Street
W	Chung Hau Street
NW	Chung Hau Street

1. CHUN MAN COURT
2. OI MAN ESTATE (PART) :
   - Chiu Man House
   - Chung Man House
   - Hong Man House
   - Kar Man House
   - Kin Man House
   - Lai Man House
   - Po Man House

District : Wong Tai Sin

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
H01	***Lung Tsui***			16 351	-1.49

N	Lung Cheung Road
NE	Lung Cheung Road
E	Po Kong Village Road
SE	Choi Hung Road
S	Shatin Pass Road, Tung Tau Tsuen Road
SW	Ching Tak Street
W	Ching Tak Street
NW	Ching Tak Street, Lung Cheung Road

1. LIONS RISE
2. LOWER WONG TAI SIN (II) ESTATE (PART) :
   - Lung Chi House
   - Lung Fai House
   - Lung Gut House
   - Lung Hing House
   - Lung Kwong House
   - Lung Lok House
   - Lung On House
   - Lung Shing House
   - Lung Wai House
3. WONG TAI SIN DISCIPLINED SERVICES QUARTERS

H02	***Lung Ha***			16 671	+0.43

N	Tung Tau Tsuen Road
NE	Shatin Pass Road
E	Choi Hung Road, Sheung Hei Street
SE	Tseuk Luk Street
S	Kam Wing Street, Shung Ling Street Yan Oi Street, Yin Hing Street
SW	Choi Hung Road
W	Tai Shing Street, Tung Tau Tsuen Road
NW	Tung Tau Tsuen Road

1. FOO YUEN BUILDING
2. KAI SAN MANSION
3. LOWER WONG TAI SIN (I) ESTATE
4. NEW LAI KING BUILDING
5. PO HING MANSION
6. SAN PO KONG MANSION
7. YAN OI BUILDING
8. YIN HING BUILDING

District : Wong Tai Sin

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
H03	***Lung Sheung***			19 508	+17.53

N	Choi Chuk Street, Nga Chuk Street Ngan Chuk Lane, Wong Tai Sin Road
NE	Nga Chuk Street, Wong Tai Sin Road
E	Lung Cheung Road, Shatin Pass Road Ying Fung Lane
SE	Ching Tak Street, Lung Cheung Road
S	Fung Mo Street, Tung Tau Tsuen Road
SW	Fung Mo Street
W	Fung Mo Street, Lung Cheung Road
NW	Ma Chai Hang Road

1. LOWER WONG TAI SIN (II) ESTATE (PART) :
   - Lung Cheung House
   - Lung Fook House
   - Lung Hei House
   - Lung Moon House
   - Lung Tai House
   - Lung Wo House
2. UPPER WONG TAI SIN ESTATE

District : Wong Tai Sin

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
H04	***Fung Wong***			14 838	-10.61

N	Shatin Pass Road, Shung Wah Street
NE	Po Tsz Lane, Wan Wah Street
E	Po Kong Village Road
SE	Po Kong Village Road
S	Lung Cheung Road
SW	Lung Cheung Road, Ying Fung Lane
W	Shatin Pass Road, Ying Fung Lane
NW	Shatin Pass Road

1. EVERGREEN TOWERS
2. FIRE SERVICES DEPARTMENT WONG TAI SIN RANK AND FILE MARRIED QUARTERS
3. FUNG WONG SAN TSUEN
4. HSIN KUANG CENTRE
5. PROFIT MANSION
6. THE FOREST HILLS
7. THE VISTA
8. TROPICANA GARDENS
9. WAN FUNG GARDEN

H05	***Fung Tak***			15 606	-5.98

N	Po Kong Village Road
NE	Fung Lai Road
E	Fung Lai Road, Fung Mei Path
SE	Fung Mei Path
S	Fung Mei Path, Fung Tak Road
SW	Fung Tak Road
W	Po Kong Village Road
NW	Po Kong Village Road

1. FUNG LAI COURT
2. FUNG TAK ESTATE

District : Wong Tai Sin

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
H06	***Lung Sing***			19 166	+15.46

N	Fung Lai Road, Po Kong Village Road
NE	Po Kong Village Road
E	Hammer Hill Road, Po Kong Village Road
SE	Hammer Hill Road
S	Choi Hung Road, Hammer Hill Road
SW	Choi Hung Road
W	Po Kong Village Road
NW	Fung Lai Road, Fung Mei Path Fung Tak Road

1. BEL AIR HEIGHTS
2. CHI LIN NUNNERY CHI LIN CARE & ATTENTION HOME
3. FUNG CHUEN COURT
4. GALAXIA
5. LUNG POON COURT
6. REGENT ON THE HILL

District : Wong Tai Sin

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
H07	***San Po Kong***			20 018	+20.60

N	Choi Hung Road
NE	Choi Hung Road
E	Choi Hung Road
SE	District Boundary, Prince Edward Road East
S	District Boundary, King Fuk Street King Tai Street, Prince Edward Road East
SW	Foo Yuen Street, Ning Yuen Street Shung Ling Street, Yan Oi Street
W	Kam Wing Street, Sheung Hei Street Shung Ling Street, Tseuk Luk Street Yin Hing Street
NW	Sheung Hei Street

1. KING TAI COURT
2. RHYTHM GARDEN
3. SAN PO KONG PLAZA
4. SHUNG LING BUILDING

District : Wong Tai Sin

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
H08	***Tung Tau***			16 884	+1.72

N	Choi Hung Road, Tung Lung Road Yan Oi Street
NE	King Fuk Street, Ning Yuen Street Shung Ling Street
E	District Boundary, King Tai Street Prince Edward Road East
SE	District Boundary, Prince Edward Road East
S	Carpenter Road, District Boundary
SW	District Boundary, Lok Sin Road Tung Tsing Road
W	District Boundary, Tung Tau Tsuen Road Tung Tsing Road
NW	Tung Tau Tsuen Road

1. BILLIONNAIRE ROYALE
2. LE BILLIONNAIRE
3. THE LATITUDE
4. TUNG TAU (II) ESTATE (PART) :
   - Cheung Tung House
   - Choi Tung House
   - Hing Tung House
   - Hong Tung House
   - Mau Tung House
   - On Tung House
   - Pak Tung House
   - Shing Tung House
   - Wai Tung House
   - Wing Tung House
   - Wong Tung House
   - Yan Tung House
   - Yat Tung House
   - Ying Tung House
5. TUNG WUI ESTATE
6. YUE XIU PLAZA

District : Wong Tai Sin

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
H09	***Tung Mei***			16 379	-1.33

N	Fung Mo Street, Tung Tau Tsuen Road
NE	Tai Shing Street
E	Choi Hung Road
SE	Choi Hung Road, Tung Lung Road
S	Tung Lung Road, Tung Tau Tsuen Road
SW	District Boundary, Junction Road Tung Tau Tsuen Road
W	Heng Lam Street
NW	Fung Mo Street

1. KAI TAK GARDEN
2. MEI TUNG ESTATE
3. NGA TSIN WAI
4. TUNG TAU (II) ESTATE (PART) :
   - Chun Tung House
   - Fu Tung House
   - Kwai Tung House
   - Tai Tung House
   - Yiu Tung House
   - Yue Tung House

District : Wong Tai Sin

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
H10	***Lok Fu***			14 016	-15.56

N	Wang Tau Hom Central Road Wang Tau Hom East Road
NE	Fung Mo Street, Wang Tau Hom East Road
E	Fung Mo Street, Heng Lam Street
SE	Heng Lam Street
S	District Boundary, Junction Road
SW	District Boundary
W	District Boundary
NW	District Boundary, Fu Keung Street Junction Road, Wang Tau Hom Central Road

1. HONG KEUNG COURT
2. LOK FU ESTATE
3. TAK KEUNG COURT

District : Wong Tai Sin

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
H11	***Wang Tau Hom***			16 981	+2.30

N	Lung Cheung Road
NE	Fung Mo Street, Lung Cheung Road
E	Fung Mo Street
SE	Fung Mo Street, Wang Tau Hom East Road
S	Wang Tau Hom Central Road Wang Tau Hom East Road
SW	Fu Keung Street Wang Tau Hom Central Road
W	Fu Keung Street, Fu Yue Street
NW	Fu Yue Street, Lung Cheung Road

1. WANG TAU HOM ESTATE

District : Wong Tai Sin

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
H12	***Tin Keung***			13 761	-17.10

N	Chuk Yuen Road, Ma Chai Hang Road
NE	Ma Chai Hang Road
E	Fu Keung Street, Fu Yue Street Lung Cheung Road, Ma Chai Hang Road
SE	Fu Keung Street, Fu Yue Street Lung Cheung Road
S	District Boundary, Fu Keung Street Junction Road
SW	Chuk Yuen Road, District Boundary Junction Road
W	Chuk Yuen Road, District Boundary
NW	Chuk Yuen Road

1. FU KEUNG COURT
2. KA KEUNG COURT
3. TIN MA COURT
4. TIN WANG COURT

District : Wong Tai Sin

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
H13	***Tsui Chuk & Pang Ching***			17 350	+4.52

N	District Boundary
NE	District Boundary
E	Chui Chuk Street, Wing Chuk Street
SE	Chuk Yuen Road
S	Chuk Yuen Road, Lung Cheung Road
SW	District Boundary, Lion Rock Tunnel Road Lung Cheung Road
W	District Boundary, Lion Rock Tunnel Road
NW	District Boundary

1. CHUK YUEN (NORTH) ESTATE (PART) :
   - Chung Yuen House
   - Pak Yuen House
2. PANG CHING COURT
3. TSUI CHUK GARDEN

H14	***Chuk Yuen South***			15 466	-6.83

N	Chuk Yuen Road
NE	Chuk Yuen Road, Shatin Pass Road
E	Shatin Pass Road
SE	Shatin Pass Road, Wong Tai Sin Road
S	Choi Chuk Street, Ma Chai Hang Road Nga Chuk Street, Ngan Chuk Lane Wong Tai Sin Road
SW	Ma Chai Hang Road
W	Ma Chai Hang Road
NW	Chuk Yuen Road, Ma Chai Hang Road

1. CHUK YUEN (SOUTH) ESTATE

District : Wong Tai Sin

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
H15	***Chuk Yuen North***			15 131	-8.84

N	District Boundary
NE	Shatin Pass Road
E	Shatin Pass Road
SE	Chuk Yuen Road, Shatin Pass Road
S	Chuk Yuen Road, Wing Chuk Street
SW	Chui Chuk Street, Wing Chuk Street
W	
NW	District Boundary

1. CHUK YUEN (NORTH) ESTATE (PART) :
   - Cheung Yuen House
   - Mui Yuen House
   - Toa Yuen House
   - Tung Yuen House
   - Wai Yuen House
   - Yung Yuen House
2. YING FUK COURT

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
H16	***Tsz Wan West***			20 405	+22.93

N	
NE	Wan Wah Street
E	Yuk Wah Crescent, Yuk Wah Street
SE	Po Kong Village Road, Po Tsz Lane Po Wai Lane, Wan Wah Street
S	Shung Wah Street
SW	Shung Wah Street
W	Shatin Pass Road
NW	Tsz Wan Shan Road

1. TSZ LOK ESTATE
2. WINFAIR BUILDING

District : Wong Tai Sin

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
H17	***Ching Oi***			20 665	+24.50

N	District Boundary
NE	District Boundary, Shatin Pass Road
E	
SE	Ching Fai Street, Wan Wah Street
S	Tsz Wan Shan Road
SW	Tsz Wan Shan Road
W	District Boundary
NW	District Boundary

1. SHATIN PASS ESTATE
2. TSZ CHING ESTATE (PART) :
   Ching Tak House
   Ching Wo House
3. TSZ OI COURT

District : Wong Tai Sin

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
H18	***Ching On***			22 446	+35.23

N	District Boundary, MacLehose Trail Shatin Pass Road
NE	District Boundary, Fei Ngo Shan Road
E	District Boundary
SE	
S	
SW	Lok Wah Street, Tsz Wan Shan Road Wai Wah Street, Wan Wah Street Yuk Wah Street
W	Ching Fai Street
NW	District Boundary, Shatin Pass Road

1. TSZ CHING ESTATE (PART) :
   - Ching Fai House
   - Ching Hong House
   - Ching Ming House
   - Ching On House
   - Ching Tai House
   - Ching Yi House
   - Ching Yuen House
   - Ching Yuk House
2. TSZ ON COURT (PART) :
   - On Hong House

District : Wong Tai Sin

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

H19 *Tsz Wan East*

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
H19	*Tsz Wan East*			20 644	+24.37

N	Lok Wah Street, Tsz Wan Shan Road
NE	
E	
SE	Po Kong Village Road
S	Po Kong Village Road
SW	Po Kong Village Road, Po Wai Lane Yuk Wah Crescent
W	Yuk Wah Crescent, Yuk Wah Street
NW	Wai Wah Street

1. CHE CHEUNG BUILDING
2. KA WAH BUILDING
3. MANNING THEATRE BUILDING
4. TSZ HONG ESTATE
5. TSZ MAN ESTATE
6. TSZ ON COURT (PART) :
   On Yan House

H20 *King Fu*

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
H20	*King Fu*			18 840	+13.50

N	
NE	District Boundary
E	District Boundary
SE	Kowloon Peak
S	Fung Shing Street, King Tung Street
SW	Hammer Hill Road, King Tung Street Po Kong Village Road
W	Po Kong Village Road
NW	

1. FU SHAN ESTATE
2. GRAND VIEW GARDEN
3. HILL TOP GARDENS
4. KING HIN COURT
5. KING LAI COURT
6. KING SHAN COURT

District : Wong Tai Sin

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
H21	***Choi Wan East***			14 212	-14.38

N	
NE	District Boundary
E	District Boundary
SE	Clear Water Bay Road, District Boundary
S	Clear Water Bay Road, District Boundary
SW	Clear Water Bay Road, Fung Shing Street Ngau Chi Wan Street
W	Fung Shing Street
NW	Fung Shing Street

1. ARIA
2. CHOI FAI ESTATE
3. CHOI WAN (II) ESTATE (PART) :
   Fung Chak House
4. FUNG SHING STREET DISCIPLINED SERVICES QUARTERS
5. SCENIC VIEW

H22	***Choi Wan South***			12 568	-24.28

N	Clear Water Bay Road
NE	Clear Water Bay Road
E	District Boundary
SE	District Boundary, New Clear Water Bay Road
S	District Boundary, New Clear Water Bay Road
SW	District Boundary, New Clear Water Bay Road
W	Clear Water Bay Road New Clear Water Bay Road
NW	Clear Water Bay Road

1. CHOI WAN (I) ESTATE (PART) :
   Boon Yuet House
   Cheung Bor House
   Chi Siu House
   King San House
   Koon Yat House
   Ngan Ho House
   Pak Hung House
   Sau Man House
2. CHOI WAN (II) ESTATE (PART) :
   Kai Fai House
   Ming Lai House

District : Wong Tai Sin

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
H23	***Choi Wan West***			13 371	-19.45

N	Fung Shing Street
NE	Fung Shing Street
E	Fung Shing Street
SE	Clear Water Bay Road, Fung Shing Street Ngau Chi Wan Street
S	Clear Water Bay Road
SW	Clear Water Bay Road, District Boundary
W	
NW	

1. CHOI FUNG COURT
2. CHOI WAN (I) ESTATE (PART) :
   - Fei Fung House
   - Kam Lam House
   - Pak Fung House
   - Sing San House
   - Sze Yu House
   - Yat Yuet House
   - Yau Lung House
   - Yuk Lun House
3. CHOI WAN (II) ESTATE (PART) :
   - King Kung House
   - Yok Yu House

District : Wong Tai Sin

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
H24	***Chi Choi***			16 202	-2.39

N	Fung Shing Street, Hammer Hill Road King Tung Street
NE	Fung Shing Street
E	
SE	Clear Water Bay Road, District Boundary
S	Clear Water Bay Road, District Boundary
SW	District Boundary, Lam Chung Avenue Prince Edward Road East, Tse Wai Avenue
W	Hammer Hill Road, Lung Cheung Road
NW	Hammer Hill Road

1. BAY VIEW GARDEN
2. CHAI HUNG VILLA
3. CHOI HUNG ESTATE (PART) :
   Chi Mei House
   Tan Fung House
4. FIRE SERVICES DEPARTMENT
   WING TING ROAD FIRE SERVICES MARRIED QUARTERS
5. FORTUNE GARDEN
6. KINGSFORD TERRACE
7. NGAU CHI WAN VILLAGE
8. SUN LAI GARDEN
9. WEALTH GARDEN

District : Wong Tai Sin

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
H25	***Choi Hung***			14 841	-10.59

N	Lung Cheung Road
NE	Lung Cheung Road
E	Lam Chung Avenue, Lung Cheung Road
SE	Tse Wai Avenue
S	District Boundary, Prince Edward Road East Tse Wai Avenue
SW	District Boundary, Prince Edward Road East
W	
NW	Lung Cheung Road

1. CHOI HUNG ESTATE (PART) :
   - Chui King House
   - Hung Ngok House
   - Kam Hon House
   - Kam Pik House
   - Kam Wah House
   - Kam Wan House
   - Luk Ching House
   - Pak Suet House
   - Pik Hoi House

District : Kwun Tong

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
J01	***Kwun Tong Central***			16 518	-0.49

N	Ngau Tau Kok Road
NE	Ngau Tau Kok Road
E	Hip Wo Street, Mut Wah Street Tsui Ping Road
SE	King Yip Street
S	District Boundary
SW	District Boundary
W	Cheung Yip Street, District Boundary
NW	Kwun Tong By-pass, Sheung Yee Road Sheung Yee Road Flyover

1. TSUI PING (NORTH) ESTATE (PART) :
   - Tsui Cheung House
   - Tsui Lau House
   - Tsui On House
   - Tsui Pak House
   - Tsui Tsz House
2. YUE MAN CENTRE

District : Kwun Tong

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
J02	***Kowloon Bay***			13 289	-19.94

N	Kai Cheung Road
NE	Kai Cheung Road Flyover, Kwun Tong Road
E	Kwun Tong Road
SE	Sheung Yee Road Flyover
S	Sheung Yee Road, Sheung Yee Road Flyover
SW	District Boundary, Kwun Tong By-pass
W	District Boundary, Kai Fuk Road
NW	District Boundary, Kai Shing Road Kwun Tong By-pass, Wang Kwong Road

1. TELFORD GARDENS

District : Kwun Tong

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
J03	***Kai Yip***			20 355	+22.63
		N Kwun Tong Road **NE** Choi Wing Road, Kwun Tong Road **E** Choi Ha Road **SE** Kai Cheung Road Flyover **S** Kai Cheung Road **SW** Kai Cheung Road, Wang Kwong Road **W** Kwun Tong By-pass, Wang Kwong Road **NW** Wang Chiu Road	1. CHOI YING ESTATE (PART) : Ying Fu House Ying Hong House Ying On House 2. KAI TAI COURT 3. KAI YIP ESTATE 4. TAK BO GARDEN		
J04	***Lai Ching***			15 349	-7.53
		N District Boundary, Prince Edward Road East **NE** District Boundary, Prince Edward Road East **E** Wang Chiu Road **SE** Wang Chiu Road **S** Kai Yan Street **SW** District Boundary, Shing Kai Road **W** District Boundary, Shing Kai Road **NW** District Boundary, Shing Kai Road	1. RICHLAND GARDENS		

District : Kwun Tong

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
J05	***Ping Shek***			16 446	-0.92

N	Clear Water Bay Road, District Boundary
NE	Clear Water Bay Road, District Boundary New Clear Water Bay Road
E	Choi Hing Road, Choi Shing Lane
SE	Choi Wing Road
S	Kwun Tong Road
SW	Kwun Tong Road
W	Kwun Tong Road
NW	Clear Water Bay Road, District Boundary

1. 8 CLEAR WATER BAY ROAD
2. PING SHEK ESTATE

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
J06	***Choi Tak***			17 540	+5.67

N	District Boundary, New Clear Water Bay Road
NE	New Clear Water Bay Road
E	Choi Hing Road, New Clear Water Bay Road
SE	Choi Wing Lane
S	Choi Wing Road
SW	Choi Wing Road
W	Choi Hing Road, Choi Shing Lane Choi Wing Road
NW	Choi Shing Lane, District Boundary New Clear Water Bay Road

1. CHOI TAK ESTATE

District : Kwun Tong

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
J07	***Jordan Valley***			20 297	+22.28

N	Choi Hing Road
NE	
E	Choi Wing Road Park
SE	Jordan Valley Playground
S	Choi Ha Road
SW	Choi Ha Road
W	Choi Ha Road, Choi Wan Road
NW	Choi Wing Lane

1. CHOI FOOK ESTATE
2. CHOI HA ESTATE
3. CHOI YING ESTATE (PART) :
   Ying Lok House
   Ying Shun House

J08	***Shun Tin***			18 307	+10.29

N	New Clear Water Bay Road, San Shun Street
NE	Shun Lee Tsuen Road, Shun On Road
E	Shun On Road
SE	Hip Wo Street, Sau Mau Ping Road
S	Hong Ning Road
SW	Chun Wah Road
W	Choi Wing Road Park
NW	

1. SHUN TIN ESTATE

District : Kwun Tong

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
J09	***Sheung Shun***			17 620	+6.15

N	Clear Water Bay Road, District Boundary
NE	Clear Water Bay Road, District Boundary New Clear Water Bay Road
E	Lee On Road
SE	Shun Ching Street, Shun Lee Tsuen Road
S	New Clear Water Bay Road, San Shun Street
SW	New Clear Water Bay Road
W	New Clear Water Bay Road
NW	Clear Water Bay Road, District Boundary

1. SHUN CHI COURT
2. SHUN LEE DISCIPLINED SERVICES QUARTERS
3. SHUN LEE ESTATE (PART) :
   - Lee Cheung House
   - Lee Hang House
   - Lee Ming House

J10	***On Lee***			18 411	+10.92

N	Clear Water Bay Road, Lee On Road New Clear Water Bay Road
NE	District Boundary
E	Anderson Road, District Boundary
SE	On Sau Road, On Yan Street
S	Shun On Road
SW	Shun Lee Tsuen Road, Shun On Road
W	Shun Lee Tsuen Road
NW	Lee On Road

1. ON TAI ESTATE (PART) :
   - Ming Tai House
   - Wo Tai House
2. SHUN LEE ESTATE (PART) :
   - Lee Foo House
   - Lee Hong House
   - Lee Yat House
   - Lee Yip House
3. SHUN ON ESTATE

District : Kwun Tong

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
J11	***Kwun Tong On Tai***			20 739	+24.94

N	Anderson Road, District Boundary
NE	Anderson Road, District Boundary
E	Anderson Road, District Boundary
SE	Anderson Road, District Boundary
S	Sau Mau Ping Road
SW	Shun On Road
W	Shun On Road
NW	On Yan Street

1. ON TAI ESTATE (PART) :
   - Chi Tai House
   - Fung Tai House
   - Hang Tai House
   - Kam Tai House
   - King Tai House
   - Kui Tai House
   - Shing Tai House
   - Tak Tai House
   - Yung Tai House

J12	***Sau Mau Ping North***			19 055	+14.80

N	Sau Mau Ping Road
NE	Sau Mau Ping Road
E	Sau Mau Ping Road, Sau Ming Road
SE	Hiu Kwong Street, Sau Fung Street
S	Hiu Kwong Street
SW	Hiu Kwong Street
W	Hiu Kwong Street
NW	Hip Wo Street, Sau Mau Ping Road Sau Nga Road, Shun Lee Tsuen Road

1. SAU MAU PING ESTATE (PART) :
   - Sau Fu House
   - Sau Hong House
   - Sau Lok House
   - Sau Nga House
   - Sau On House
   - Sau Wah House
   - Sau Wo House
   - Sau Yat House
   - Sau Yee House

District : Kwun Tong

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
J13	***Sau Mau Ping Central***			19 749	+18.98

N	
NE	Anderson Road, District Boundary
E	On Chui Street, Sau Mau Ping Road
SE	Sau Mau Ping Road
S	Sau Fung Street
SW	Sau Ming Road
W	Sau Ming Road
NW	Sau Mau Ping Road

1. ON TAT ESTATE (PART) :
   - Oi Tat House
   - Shing Tat House
2. SAU MAU PING ESTATE (PART) :
   - Sau Chi House
   - Sau Ching House
   - Sau King House
   - Sau Wai House
   - Sau Yin House
   - Sau Yue House

J14	***On Tat***			19 618	+18.19

N	Anderson Road, District Boundary
NE	Anderson Road, District Boundary
E	Anderson Road, On Sau Road
SE	Po Lam Road
S	Po Lam Road
SW	Sau Mau Ping Road
W	Sau Mau Ping Road
NW	On Chui Street

1. ON TAT ESTATE (PART) :
   - Chi Tat House
   - Ching Tat House
   - Chun Tat House
   - Hau Tat House
   - Him Tat House
   - Lai Tat House
   - Sin Tat House
   - Yan Tat House
   - Yin Tat House

District : Kwun Tong

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
J15	***Sau Mau Ping South***			20 193	+21.65

N	Sau Mau Ping Road
NE	Sau Mau Ping Road
E	
SE	Hiu Kwong Street, Sau Mau Ping Road
S	Hiu Kwong Street
SW	Hiu Kwong Street
W	Hiu Kwong Street, Sau Ming Road
NW	Sau Fung Street

1. PO TAT ESTATE (PART) :
   - Tat Hei House
   - Tat Hin House
   - Tat Kai House
   - Tat Shun House
2. SAU MAU PING ESTATE (PART) :
   - Sau Fai House
   - Sau Ming House
3. SAU MAU PING SOUTH ESTATE

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
J16	***Po Tat***			20 490	+23.44

N	Anderson Road, District Boundary On Sau Road
NE	District Boundary
E	District Boundary
SE	District Boundary Tseung Kwan O Tunnel Road
S	Sau Mau Ping Road
SW	Sau Mau Ping Road
W	Sau Mau Ping Road
NW	Po Lam Road

1. PO TAT ESTATE (PART) :
   - Tat Cheung House
   - Tat Chui House
   - Tat Fu House
   - Tat Fung House
   - Tat Hong House
   - Tat Kwai House
   - Tat On House
   - Tat Yan House
   - Tat Yi House

District : Kwun Tong

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
J17	***Kwong Tak***			18 079	+8.92

N	Lin Tak Road
NE	District Boundary, Wilson Trail
E	District Boundary
SE	District Boundary
S	Pik Wan Road
SW	Pik Wan Road
W	On Tin Street, Pik Wan Road
NW	Lin Tak Road, Pik Wan Road

1. KWONG TIN ESTATE
2. TAK TIN ESTATE (PART) :
   - Tak Hong House
   - Tak Lok House
   - Tak Lung House
   - Tak Shing House
   - Tak Yan House
   - Tak Yee House

J18	***Hing Tin***			16 638	+0.23

N	Tseung Kwan O Road
NE	Lin Tak Road, Tseung Kwan O Tunnel Road
E	Lin Tak Road, Pik Wan Road
SE	Pik Wan Road
S	
SW	On Tin Street
W	Hing Tin Street
NW	Tseung Kwan O Road

1. HING TIN ESTATE
2. HONG WAH COURT
3. TAK TIN ESTATE (PART) :
   - Tak King House
   - Tak Lai House
   - Tak Shui House

District : Kwun Tong

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
J19	***Lam Tin***			20 638	+24.33

N	Tseung Kwan O Road
NE	Hing Tin Street
E	Hing Tin Street
SE	On Tin Street
S	Ping Tin Street
SW	
W	Kai Tin Road
NW	Kai Tin Road

1. HONG YAT COURT
2. KAI TIN ESTATE
3. LAM TIN ESTATE

J20	***Ping Tin***			17 697	+6.61

N	Ping Tin Street
NE	On Tin Street, Ping Tin Street
E	On Tin Street
SE	
S	Kai Tin Road, Lei Yue Mun Road
SW	Lei Yue Mun Road, Sceneway Road
W	Kai Tin Road
NW	Kai Tin Road

1. KAI TIN TOWERS
2. ON TIN ESTATE
3. PING TIN ESTATE

District : Kwun Tong

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
J21	***Pak Nga***			13 020	-21.56

N	
NE	Pik Wan Road
E	Pik Wan Road
SE	Pik Wan Road
S	Lei Yue Mun Road
SW	Lei Yue Mun Road
W	Lei Yue Mun Road
NW	Kai Tin Road

1. HONG NGA COURT
2. HONG PAK COURT
3. HONG SHUI COURT

J22	***Chun Cheung***			17 214	+3.71

N	Pik Wan Road
NE	District Boundary, Wilson Trail
E	District Boundary, Wilson Trail
SE	District Boundary, Wilson Trail
S	Ko Chiu Road
SW	Ko Chiu Road, Lei Yue Mun Road
W	Lei Yue Mun Road
NW	Lei Yue Mun Road

1. KO CHEUNG COURT
2. KO CHUN COURT

District : Kwun Tong

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
J23	***Yau Tong East***			15 754	-5.09

N	Ko Chiu Road
NE	District Boundary, Wilson Trail
E	District Boundary, Wilson Trail
SE	District Boundary
S	
SW	Lei Yue Mun Path
W	Ko Chiu Road, Yan Wing Street
NW	Ko Chiu Road

1. KO YEE ESTATE
2. LEI YUE MUN ESTATE

J24	***Yau Chui***			17 969	+8.25

N	Lei Yue Mun Road, Yau Tong Road
NE	Lei Yue Mun Road
E	Lei Yue Mun Road
SE	Lei Yue Mun Road, Ko Chiu Road Yan Wing Street
S	Ko Chiu Road, Yan Wing Street
SW	Cha Kwo Ling Road
W	Yau Tong Lane
NW	Yau Tong Road

1. YAU CHUI COURT
2. YAU LAI ESTATE (PART) :
   Fung Lai House
   Ying Lai House
3. YAU MEI COURT
4. YAU TONG CENTRE

District : Kwun Tong

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
J25	***Yau Lai***			16 068	-3.20

N	Eastern Harbour Crossing, Lei Yue Mun Road
NE	Lei Yue Mun Road
E	Lei Yue Mun Road, Yau Tong Road
SE	Yau Tong Road
S	Yau Tong Road
SW	Yau Tong Road
W	Eastern Harbour Crossing
NW	Eastern Harbour Crossing

1. YAU LAI ESTATE (PART) :
   - Bik Lai House
   - Cheuk Lai House
   - Chi Lai House
   - Nga Lai House
   - Sau Lai House
   - Yat Lai House
   - Yi Lai House
   - Yung Lai House

District : Kwun Tong

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
J26	***Yau Tong West***			19 627	+18.24

N	Lei Yue Mun Road, Sceneway Road Sin Fat Road
NE	Eastern Harbour Crossing, Yau Tong Lane
E	Cha Kwo Ling Road, Ko Chiu Road Yan Wing Street
SE	District Boundary
S	District Boundary
SW	District Boundary
W	
NW	Cha Kwo Ling Road

1. CHA KWO LING
2. LEI YUE MUN
3. YAU LAI ESTATE (PART) :
   - Hong Lai House
   - Tsui Lai House
   - Yan Lai House
4. YAU TONG ESTATE

District : Kwun Tong

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
J27	***Laguna City***			24 757	+49.15

N	King Yip Lane, King Yip Street
NE	Lei Yue Mun Road, Sin Fat Road
E	Cha Kwo Ling Road, Sin Fat Road
SE	Cha Kwo Ling Road
S	District Boundary
SW	District Boundary
W	District Boundary
NW	King Yip Street, Kwun Tong By-pass

1. LAGUNA CITY

J28	***King Tin***			20 225	+21.84

N	Tseung Kwan O Road
NE	Kai Tin Road
E	
SE	Sceneway Road
S	Sin Fat Road
SW	Sin Fat Road
W	Lei Yue Mun Road
NW	Tseung Kwan O Road

1. HONG TIN COURT
2. LEI ON COURT
3. SCENEWAY GARDEN

District : Kwun Tong

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
J29	***Tsui Ping***			18 780	+13.14

N	Hiu Kwong Street
NE	Hiu Kwong Street, Sau Mau Ping Road
E	Tseung Kwan O Tunnel Road
SE	Tseung Kwan O Road
S	Tseung Kwan O Road
SW	Lei Yue Mun Road
W	Tsui Ping Road
NW	Hiu Ming Street, Tsui Ping Road

1. TSUI PING (NORTH) ESTATE (PART) :
   - Tsui Mei House
   - Tsui To House
   - Tsui Yeung House
   - Tsui Yung House
2. TSUI PING (SOUTH) ESTATE

Code	Recommended Name	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
J30	***Hiu Lai***	17 379	+4.70

N	Sau Nga Road
NE	
E	Hiu Kwong Street
SE	Hiu Kwong Street, Hiu Yuk Path
S	Hiu Ming Street
SW	Hiu Ming Street
W	Hiu Ming Street, Tsui Ping Road
NW	Hip Wo Street, Sau Nga Road

1. FU WAH COURT
2. HIU KWONG COURT
3. HIU LAI COURT
4. HIU MING COURT
5. HIU WAH BUILDING

District : Kwun Tong

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
J31	***Po Lok***			13 893	-16.30

N	Tsui Ping Road
NE	Tsui Ping Road
E	Tsui Ping Road
SE	Tsui Ping Road
S	
SW	Yuet Wah Street
W	Hip Wo Street, Yuet Wah Street
NW	Hip Wo Street

1. PO PUI COURT
2. TSUI PING (NORTH) ESTATE (PART) :
   - Tsui Mui House
   - Tsui Nam House
   - Tsui Yue House
3. WO LOK ESTATE

J32	***Yuet Wah***			13 320	-19.75

N	Yuet Wah Street
NE	Yuet Wah Street
E	Yuet Wah Street
SE	
S	
SW	Hip Wo Street
W	Hip Wo Street
NW	Hip Wo Street, Mut Wah Street Shui Wo Street

1. KAI KING BUILDING
2. KAI TAK HOUSE
3. KWUN TONG MANSION
4. MANDARIN BUILDING
5. MASCOT MANSIONS
6. NAM TAI MANSION
7. PARK METROPOLITAN
8. YUET MING BUILDING

District : Kwun Tong

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
J33	***Hip Hong***			16 091	-3.06

N	Wo Hong Path
NE	Hip Wo Street
E	Hip Wo Street
SE	Hip Wo Street, Shui Wo Street
S	Mut Wah Street
SW	Hong Ning Road
W	Hong Ning Road
NW	Hong Ning Road

1. CHEUNG WO COURT
2. WAN HON ESTATE

J34	***Lok Wah South***			12 582	-24.20

N	Chun Wah Road, Hong Ning Road
NE	Hip Wo Street, Hong Ning Road
E	Wo Hong Path
SE	
S	Hong Ning Road
SW	
W	
NW	Chun Wah Road

1. HIPWAY TOWERS
2. LOK WAH SOUTH ESTATE (PART) :
   - Hei Wah House
   - Man Wah House
   - On Wah House
   - Wun Wah House
3. SAU MAU PING DISCIPLINED SERVICES QUARTERS
4. WAH FUNG GARDENS

District : Kwun Tong

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
J35	***Lok Wah North***			12 479	-24.82

N	Chun Wah Road
NE	
E	Hong Ning Road
SE	Chun Wah Road, Hong Ning Road
S	Chun Wah Road, Kung Lok Road
SW	On Shin Road
W	
NW	Chun Wah Road

1. LOK WAH NORTH ESTATE
2. LOK WAH SOUTH ESTATE (PART) :
   Chin Wah House
   Fai Wah House

J36 ***Hong Lok*** — Projected Population: 15 614 — +/- % of Population Quota (16 599): -5.93

N	
NE	Hong Ning Road
E	Hong Ning Road
SE	Hang On Street, Hong Ning Road Tung Ming Street, Yee On Street
S	Luen On Street
SW	
W	On Shin Road
NW	Kung Lok Road

1. CONNIE TOWERS
2. HAMDEN COURT
3. HONG LEE COURT
4. HYDE TOWERS
5. JOYFUL VILLAS
6. NAM HONG BUILDING
7. PANORAMA COURT
8. WOODVIEW COURT
9. YEE ON CENTRE

District : Kwun Tong

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
J37	***Ting On***			16 809	+1.27
		N	1. KWUN TONG GARDEN ESTATE 2. LOTUS TOWER		
		NE			
		E Tung Ming Street, Yee On Street			
		SE Ngau Tau Kok Road, Tung Ming Street			
		S Ngau Tau Kok Road			
		SW Ngau Tau Kok Road			
		W Ngau Tau Kok Road			
		NW On Shin Road			
J38	***Upper Ngau Tau Kok Estate***			15 165	-8.64
		N On Wah Street	1. UPPER NGAU TAU KOK ESTATE		
		NE			
		E On Shin Road			
		SE On Shin Road			
		S Ngau Tau Kok Road			
		SW Ngau Tau Kok Road			
		W Ngau Tau Kok Road			
		NW Ngau Tau Kok Road, On Tak Road			

District : Kwun Tong

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
J39	***Lower Ngau Tau Kok Estate***			17 513	+5.51

N	Choi Ha Road, Jordan Valley North Road
NE	
E	Chun Wah Road
SE	
S	
SW	Kwun Tong Road, Ngau Tau Kok Road
W	Kwun Tong Road
NW	Kwun Tong Road, Ngau Tau Kok Road

1. CHUN WAH COURT
2. LOK NGA COURT
3. LOWER NGAU TAU KOK ESTATE
4. ON KAY COURT
5. SHIU KING BUILDING

J40	***To Tai***			17 071	+2.84

N	Choi Ha Road
NE	Choi Ha Road
E	Choi Ha Road
SE	Jordan Valley North Road
S	Jordan Valley North Road Ngau Tau Kok Road
SW	Ngau Tau Kok Road
W	Ngau Tau Kok Road
NW	Ngau Tau Kok Road

1. AMOY GARDENS
2. CHEERFUL COURT
3. JADE FIELD GARDEN
4. LEE KEE BUILDING
5. WAI KING BUILDING
6. WANG KWONG BUILDING

District : Tsuen Wan

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
K01	***Tak Wah***			15 475	-6.77

N	Sai Lau Kok Road
NE	Sai Lau Kok Road
E	Kwan Mun Hau Street, Sai Lau Kok Road Shing Mun Road
SE	Kwan Mun Hau Street
S	Sha Tsui Road
SW	Sha Tsui Road
W	Sha Tsui Road, Tai Ho Road
NW	Tai Ho Road, Tai Ho Road North

1. CHUNG ON BUILDING
2. FOU WAH CENTRE
3. HO FAI GARDEN
4. KOLOUR - TSUEN WAN 1
5. TAK YAN BUILDING (PART) :
   - Stage 2
   - Stage 4
   - Stage 6
   - Stage 7
   - Stage 8
6. TSUEN CHEONG CENTRE
7. TSUEN WAN TOWN SQUARE
8. WAH SHING BUILDING

District : Tsuen Wan

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
K02	***Yeung Uk Road***			17 799	+7.23

N	Kwan Mun Hau Street, Sha Tsui Road
NE	Castle Peak Road - Tsuen Wan Texaco Interchange, Texaco Road Texaco Road Flyover
E	Texaco Road
SE	Texaco Road
S	Yeung Uk Road
SW	Yeung Uk Road
W	Chung On Street, Ho Pui Street Yeung Uk Road
NW	Chuen Lung Street, Sha Tsui Road

1. BO SHEK MANSION
2. EAST ASIA GARDENS
3. HARMONY GARDEN
4. NEW HAVEN
5. SHEUNG CHUI COURT
6. TSUEN WAN GARDEN
7. WEALTHY GARDEN

District : Tsuen Wan

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
K03	***Tsuen Wan South***			19 623	+18.22

N	Chuen Lung Street, Ho Pui Street Sha Tsui Road
NE	Chung On Street, Yeung Uk Road
E	Yeung Uk Road
SE	Texaco Road
S	Tsing Tsuen Road, Tsuen Tsing Interchange Wing Tak Street
SW	Tsuen Wan Road
W	Tsuen Wan Road, Wing Shun Street
NW	Tai Ho Road

1. CHELSEA COURT
2. CITY POINT
3. INDI HOME
4. THE BLUE YARD
5. THE DYNASTY
6. VISION CITY

K04	***Hoi Bun***			18 556	+11.79

N	Wing Shun Street
NE	
E	District Boundary, Tsing Tsuen Road Wing Tak Street
SE	District Boundary, Tsing Tsuen Road
S	District Boundary, Tsing Tsuen Road
SW	District Boundary, Tsing Tsuen Road
W	District Boundary
NW	District Boundary

1. RIVIERA GARDENS
2. WATERSIDE PLAZA

District : Tsuen Wan

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
K05	***Tsuen Wan West***			16 058	-3.26

N	Castle Peak Road - Tsuen Wan
NE	Tai Chung Road, Tsuen Wan Road
E	Tsuen Wan Road
SE	Tsuen Wan Road, Wing Shun Street
S	District Boundary
SW	District Boundary
W	Castle Peak Road - Tsuen Wan, Hoi On Road
NW	Castle Peak Road - Tsuen Wan

1. BAYVIEW GARDEN
2. BELVEDERE GARDEN PHASE 3
3. OCEAN PRIDE
4. SERENADE COVE
5. THE PAVILIA BAY

K06 ***Clague Garden*** — Projected Population: 17 170 — +/- % of Population Quota (16 599): +3.44

N	Hoi Pa Street, Tso Kung Square Tso Kung Street
NE	Sha Tsui Road
E	Sha Tsui Road, Tai Ho Road
SE	Tai Ho Road
S	Tai Ho Road, Tsuen Wan Road
SW	Tsuen Wan Road
W	Tai Chung Road, Tsuen Wan Road
NW	Tai Chung Road

1. CLAGUE GARDEN ESTATE
2. MOON LOK DAI HA
3. SKYLINE PLAZA
4. TAK YAN BUILDING (PART) :
   - Stage 1
   - Stage 3
   - Stage 11
   - Stage 15
   - Stage 16
5. TSUEN WAN PLAZA

District : Tsuen Wan

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
K07	***Tsuen Wan Centre***			14 883	-10.34

N	Chiu Tam Path
NE	Chiu Tam Path, Tsuen King Circuit
E	Tsuen King Circuit
SE	Castle Peak Road - Tsuen Wan Tsuen King Circuit
S	Castle Peak Road - Tsuen Wan
SW	Castle Peak Road - Tsuen Wan
W	On Yin Street, Tsuen King Circuit
NW	On Yin Street, Tsuen King Circuit

1. KAM FUNG GARDEN
2. TSUEN TAK GARDENS
3. TSUEN WAN CENTRE

K08	***Discovery Park***			16 074	-3.16

N	MTR (Tsuen Wan Line)
NE	MTR (Tsuen Wan Line)
E	Tai Ho Road North
SE	Castle Peak Road - Tsuen Wan Sai Lau Kok Road
S	Castle Peak Road - Tsuen Wan
SW	Castle Peak Road - Tsuen Wan
W	Tsuen King Circuit
NW	Chiu Tam Path, Tsuen King Circuit

1. DISCOVERY PARK
2. TSUEN KING GARDEN

District : Tsuen Wan

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
K09	***Fuk Loi***			13 916	-16.16

N	Castle Peak Road - Tsuen Wan Sai Lau Kok Road
NE	Sai Lau Kok Road
E	Tai Ho Road, Tai Ho Road North
SE	Tai Ho Road
S	Sha Tsui Road, Tai Ho Road
SW	Sha Tsui Road
W	Hoi Pa Street, Kai Hong Close Tai Chung Road, Tso Kung Square Tso Kung Street
NW	Castle Peak Road - Tsuen Wan Tai Chung Road

1. FOO YU BUILDING
2. FUK LOI ESTATE
3. TAK YAN BUILDING (PART) :
   - Stage 9
   - Stage 12
   - Stage 14
4. TSUEN KAM CENTRE

District : Tsuen Wan

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
K10	***Luk Yeung***			13 352	-19.56

N	
NE	
E	Cheung Pei Shan Road
SE	Cheung Pei Shan Road, Texaco Road North
S	Castle Peak Road - Tsuen Wan Sai Lau Kok Road, Shing Mun Road
SW	Sai Lau Kok Road, Tai Ho Road North
W	Fu Yung Shan Road, Route Twisk Tsuen Kam Interchange
NW	Fu Yung Shan Road

1. FAIRVIEW GARDEN
2. HOI PA VILLAGE SOUTH TERRACE
3. LUK YEUNG SUN CHUEN
4. MA SIM PAI VILLAGE
5. MUK MIN HA TSUEN
6. PAK TIN PA TSUEN
7. SAI LAU KOK TSUEN
8. SAN TSUEN

K11 ***Ma Wan*** 15 648 -5.73

N	Castle Peak Road - Tsing Lung Tau
NE	District Boundary
E	District Boundary, Tsing Ma Bridge
SE	District Boundary
S	District Boundary, Hong Kong Disneyland
SW	District Boundary
W	District Boundary
NW	District Boundary

1. MA WAN
2. MA WAN MAIN STREET VILLAGE
3. PARK ISLAND
4. TIN LIU

District : Tsuen Wan

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
K12	***Tsuen Wan Rural***			19 600	+18.08

N	District Boundary
NE	Tai Lam Nature Trail, Yuk Sing Road
E	Castle Peak Road - Sham Tseng Tuen Mun Road
SE	Castle Peak Road - Sham Tseng Castle Peak Road - Tsing Lung Tau
S	Castle Peak Road - Tsing Lung Tau
SW	Castle Peak Road - Tsing Lung Tau
W	District Boundary
NW	District Boundary

1. ANGLERS' BAY
2. HONG KONG GARDEN
3. KA LOON TSUEN
4. LIDO GARDEN
5. LUNG TANG COURT
6. PAI MIN KOK VILLAGE
7. ROYAL SEA CREST
8. SEA CREST VILLA
9. TSING LUNG TAU TSUEN
10. YUEN TUN VILLAGE

District : Tsuen Wan

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
K13	***Ting Sham***			17 611	+6.10

N	District Boundary, MacLehose Trail
NE	District Boundary, MacLehose Trail
E	Castle Peak Road - Ting Kau Castle Peak Road - Tsuen Wan, Hoi On Road Yuen Tsuen Ancient Trial
SE	District Boundary, Ting Kau Bridge
S	District Boundary
SW	Castle Peak Road - Sham Tseng Tuen Mun Road
W	Tai Lam Nature Trail, Yuk Sing Road
NW	District Boundary

1. BELLAGIO
2. GOLDEN VILLA
3. LONG BEACH GARDENS
4. OCEAN POINTE
5. RHINE GARDEN
6. RHINE TERRACE
7. SHAM TSENG VILLAGE
8. TING KAU
9. VISTA DEL MAR

District : Tsuen Wan

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
K14	***Lai To***			17 951	+8.15

N	District Boundary, MacLehose Trail
NE	Route Twisk
E	Route Twisk, Tuen Mun Road
SE	Castle Peak Road - Tsuen Wan
S	Castle Peak Road - Ting Kau Castle Peak Road - Tsuen Wan
SW	
W	Yuen Tsuen Ancient Trail
NW	MacLehose Trail

1. BELVEDERE GARDEN PHASE 1
2. BELVEDERE GARDEN PHASE 2
3. GRANDVIEW VILLA
4. GREENVIEW COURT
5. GREENVIEW TERRACE
6. HANLEY VILLA
7. ONE KOWLOON PEAK
8. SUNNY VILLA
9. THE PANORAMA
10. YAU KOM TAU VILLAGE

District : Tsuen Wan

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
K15	***Allway***			19 191	+15.62

N	District Boundary, Tai Mo Shan Road
NE	MacLehose Trail
E	Tai Mo Shan Country Park
SE	Fu Yung Shan Road, Route Twisk Tsuen Kam Interchange
S	Castle Peak Road - Tsuen Wan Cheung Pei Shan Road, Chiu Tam Path On Yin Street, Tai Ho Road North Tsuen King Circuit, Tuen Mun Road
SW	Castle Peak Road - Tsuen Wan Tuen Mun Road
W	Route Twisk
NW	Route Twisk, Tai Mo Shan Road

1. ALLWAY GARDENS
2. CHUEN LUNG
3. JOYFUL BUILDING
4. KWONG PAN TIN TSUEN
5. PAK TIN PA SAN TSUEN
6. SHEENY TERRACE
7. SUMMIT TERRACE
8. THE CAIRNHILL
9. THE CLIVEDEN

District : Tsuen Wan

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
K16	***Cheung Shek***			13 060	-21.32

N	Catchwater
NE	Catchwater
E	Catchwater, Wo Yi Hop Interchange
SE	Cheung Pei Shan Road Cheung Shan Estate Road West
S	Shek Wai Kok Road
SW	Cheung Pei Shan Road
W	
NW	Catchwater

1. CHEUNG SHAN ESTATE
2. CHUEN YIU TERRACE
3. HERMITA VILLA
4. HOI PA RESITE VILLAGE
5. LAVENDER GARDEN
6. LO WAI
7. SAM TUNG UK RESITE VILLAGE
8. SHEK WAI KOK ESTATE (PART) :
   Shek Kuk House
   Shek Tsui House
9. WING WAH TERRACE
10. YI PEI CHUN

District : Tsuen Wan

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
K17	***Shek Wai Kok***			12 759	-23.13

N	District Boundary
NE	District Boundary
E	District Boundary, MacLehose Trail
	Shing Mun Reservoir
SE	District Boundary
S	Cheung Pei Shan Road, Shing Mun Road
SW	Cheung Pei Shan Road
	Cheung Shan Estate Road West
	Shek Wai Kok Road, Shing Mun Road
	Texaco Road North, Tsuen Kam Interchange
	Wo Yi Hop Interchange
W	
NW	MacLehose Trail

1. SHEK WAI KOK ESTATE (PART) :
   - Shek Fong House
   - Shek Ho House
   - Shek Kwai House
   - Shek Lan House
   - Shek Lin House
   - Shek To House
2. WO YI HOP

District : Tsuen Wan

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
K18	***Lei Muk Shue West***			17 296	+4.20

N	Wo Yi Hop Road
NE	Wo Yi Hop Road
E	Lei Shu Road
SE	Lei Shu Road
S	Lei Shu Road
SW	
W	
NW	Wo Yi Hop Road

1. LEI MUK SHUE ESTATE (PART) :
   - Block 1
   - Block 2
   - Block 3
   - Block 4
   - Block 5
   - Block 6
   - Fung Shue House
   - Pak Shue House
   - Toa Shue House
   - Yeung Shue House
   - Yung Shue House

District : Tsuen Wan

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
K19	***Lei Muk Shue East***			17 322	+4.36

N	Cheung Pei Shan Road, Wo Yi Hop Lane Wo Yi Hop Road
NE	Shing Mun Road, Wo Yi Hop Lane
E	District Boundary, Wo Yi Hop Road
SE	Cheung Wing Road, District Boundary Kwok Shui Road
S	Castle Peak Road - Kwai Chung District Boundary Kwok Shui Road
SW	Castle Peak Road - Kwai Chung Shing Mun Road, Texaco Road North
W	Shing Mun Road, Texaco Road North
NW	Cheung Pei Shan Road, Lei Shu Road Wo Yi Hop Interchange

1. CHUNG KWAI CHUNG TSUEN
2. HAM TIN TSUEN
3. HO PUI TSUEN
4. HOI PA SAN TSUEN
5. KWAN MUN HAU TSUEN
6. LEI MUK SHUE ESTATE (PART) :
   - Chui Shue House
   - Chuk Shue House
   - Chung Shue House
   - Hong Shue House
   - Kin Shue House
   - Kwai Shue House
   - Lok Shue House
   - Wing Shue House
7. SHEUNG KWAI CHUNG VILLAGE
8. TAI PAK TIN VILLAGE
9. YAU MA HOM RESITE VILLAGE
10. YEUNG UK TSUEN

District : Tuen Mun

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
L01	***Tuen Mun Town Centre***			20 442	+23.15

N	Tuen Mun Heung Sze Wui Road
NE	Tuen Mun Road, Yan Ching Street
E	Castle Peak Road - Castle Peak Bay Pui To Road
SE	Tuen Hing Road
S	Tuen Hing Road
SW	Tuen Mun Heung Sze Wui Road
W	MTR Tuen Mun Station, Pui To Road
NW	MTR Tuen Mun Station Tuen Mun River Channel

1. CENTURY GATEWAY
2. KAM WAH GARDEN
3. NEW TOWN MANSION
4. THE TREND PLAZA
5. TUEN MUN TOWN PLAZA
6. VILLA TIARA
7. WALDORF GARDEN

District : Tuen Mun

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
L02	***Siu Chi***			19 954	+20.21

N	Tuen Hing Road
NE	Castle Peak Road - Castle Peak Bay
E	Fu Fat Lane
SE	Wah Fat Street
S	Tuen Mun Road, Wah Fat Street
SW	Tuen Mun Road
W	Tuen Mun Road
NW	Tuen Hing Road Tuen Mun Heung Sze Wui Road

1. ALPINE GARDEN
2. CHI LOK FA YUEN
3. HANDSOME COURT
4. HARVEST GARDEN
5. HOI TAK GARDENS
6. HONG KING GARDEN
7. JC PLACE
8. KAM FAI GARDEN
9. LAI BO BUILDING
10. RAINBOW GARDEN
11. SIU ON COURT

L03	***On Ting***			15 819	-4.70

N	
NE	Tuen Mun Road
E	Tuen Mun Road
SE	Castle Peak Road - Castle Peak Bay Tuen Mun Road
S	Siu Lun Street
SW	Tuen Mun Heung Sze Wui Road
W	Tuen Mun Heung Sze Wui Road
NW	Tuen Mun Heung Sze Wui Road

1. ON TING ESTATE
2. SIU LUN COURT (PART) :
   - Fai Lun House
   - Ngan Lun House
   - Po Lun House
   - Wah Lun House

District : Tuen Mun

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
L04	***Siu Tsui***			18 367	+10.65

N	Siu Hing Lane, Siu Lun Street Tuen Mun Heung Sze Wui Road Wong Chu Road
NE	Siu Lun Street
E	Siu Lun Street
SE	Castle Peak Road - Castle Peak Bay Hoi Wing Road
S	Hoi Wing Road
SW	Hoi Wing Road, Hoi Wong Road
W	Hang Fu Street, Hoi Wong Road
NW	Fung On Street, Wong Chu Road

1. NERINE COVE
2. SIU LUN COURT (PART) :
   - Fu Lun House
   - Ka Lun House
   - Kwai Lun House
   - Sui Lun House
   - Tsui Lun House
   - Wing Lun House
   - Yiu Lun House
   - Yuk Lun House
3. THE SEA CREST
4. TSUI NING GARDEN

District : Tuen Mun

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
L05	***Yau Oi South***			15 078	-9.16

N	Yau Oi Road
NE	Tuen Mun Heung Sze Wui Road, Yau Oi Road
E	Tuen Mun Heung Sze Wui Road
SE	Tuen Mun Heung Sze Wui Road Wong Chu Road
S	Wong Chu Road
SW	Wong Chu Road
W	Oi Lai Lane, Yau Oi Road
NW	Yau Oi Road

1. YAU OI ESTATE (PART) :
   - Oi Chi House
   - Oi Fai House
   - Oi Lim House
   - Oi Lok House
   - Oi Shun House
   - Oi Yee House
   - Oi Yung House

District : Tuen Mun

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
L06	***Yau Oi North***			14 625	-11.89

N	MTR Tuen Mun Station, Pui To Road
NE	Tuen Mun Heung Sze Wui Road
E	Fung On Street, Hoi Chu Road, Oi Lai Lane Tuen Mun Heung Sze Wui Road Wong Chu Road, Yau Oi Road
SE	Hang Fu Street
S	Hoi Wong Road, Tuen Mun River Channel
SW	Tuen Mun River Channel
W	Tuen Mun River Channel
NW	MTR Tuen Mun Station Tuen Mun River Channel

1. GOODVIEW GARDEN
2. OCEANIA HEIGHTS
3. YAU OI ESTATE (PART) :
   - Oi Hei House
   - Oi Lai House
   - Oi Ming House
   - Oi Tak House

District : Tuen Mun

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
L07	***Tsui Hing***			18 150	+9.34

N	Shek Pai Tau Road
NE	Tsing Chung Koon Road Tuen Mun River Channel
E	Tuen Mun River Channel
SE	MTR Tuen Mun Station, Pui To Road Tuen Mun River Channel
S	Pui To Road
SW	Ming Kum Road, Pui To Road
W	Ming Kum Road
NW	Ming Kum Road, Shek Pai Tau Road

1. CHELSEA HEIGHTS
2. GREENLAND GARDEN
3. HONG TAK GARDENS
4. TAI HING GARDENS

L08	***Shan King***			16 817	+1.31

N	
NE	Ming Kum Road
E	Ming Kum Road
SE	Ming Kum Road, Yeung King Road
S	Yeung King Road
SW	Yeung King Road
W	Yeung King Road
NW	

1. SHAN KING ESTATE (PART) :
   - King Fu House
   - King Kwai House
   - King Lok House
   - King On House
   - King Wah House
   - King Wing House
   - King Yip House

District : Tuen Mun

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
L09	***King Hing***			14 921	-10.11

N	Tsing Tin Road
NE	Tai Fong Street
E	Tai Fong Street, Tsun Wen Road
SE	Shek Pai Tau Road, Tsun Wen Road
S	Shek Pai Tau Road, Ming Kum Road
SW	Ming Kum Road
W	Wong Yin Street
NW	Tsing Tin Road

1. SHAN KING ESTATE (PART) :
   - King Lai House
   - King Mei House
2. TAI HING ESTATE (PART) :
   - Hing Cheung House
   - Hing Shing House
   - Hing Tai House
   - Hing Wai House

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
L10	***Hing Tsak***			15 167	-8.63

N	Tsing Tin Road
NE	Tsing Chung Koon Road, Tsing Tin Road
E	Tuen Mun River Channel
SE	Tuen Mun River Channel
S	Tai Fong Street, Tsing Chung Koon Road
SW	Tai Fong Street
W	Tai Fong Street
NW	Tai Fong Street, Tsing Tin Road

1. AFFLUENCE GARDEN
2. TAI HING ESTATE (PART) :
   - Hing Fai House
   - Hing Ping House
   - Hing Yiu House

District : Tuen Mun

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
L11	***San Hui***			20 036	+20.71

N	
NE	District Boundary
E	District Boundary Wong Nai Tun Irrigation Reservoir
SE	
S	
SW	Tuen Mun Road, Wah Fat Street
W	Pui To Road, San Hui Path, Tuen Mun Heung Sze Wui Road Tuen Mun River Channel, Tuen Mun Road Yan Ching Street
NW	Fu Tei Road

1. ELDO COURT
2. HONG LAI GARDEN
3. LEUNG TIN VILLAGE
4. MAI KEI BUILDING
5. SAN HUI VILLAGE
6. TSENG TAU CHUNG TSUEN
7. TSENG TAU HA TSUEN
8. TSENG TAU SHEUNG TSUEN
9. TUEN MUN CENTRE
10. TUEN MUN SAN HUI

District : Tuen Mun

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
L12	***So Kwun Wat***			13 828	-16.69

N	
NE	District Boundary
E	MacLehose Trail
SE	Tai Lam Mountain Bike Trail - Tai Lam Chung Reservoir Section
S	Castle Peak Road - Tai Lam
SW	Castle Peak Road - So Kwun Wat Castle Peak Road - Tai Lam Kwun Tsing Road, So Kwun Wat Road Tuen Mun Road
W	Tuen Mun Road
NW	

1. AVIGNON
2. KAR WO LEI
3. NAPA
4. PALATIAL COAST
5. SIU LAM
6. SO KWUN WAT
7. THE BLOOMSWAY

District : Tuen Mun

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
L13	***Sam Shing***			17 237	+3.84

N	Castle Peak Road - So Kwun Wat
	Castle Peak Road - Tai Lam
NE	District Boundary, MacLehose Trail
E	District Boundary
SE	District Boundary
S	District Boundary
SW	District Boundary
W	Castle Peak Bay
NW	Castle Peak Road - Castle Peak Bay
	Castle Peak Road - So Kwun Wat
	Sam Shing Street, Tsing Yan Street
	Tuen Mun Typhoon Shelter

1. AQUA BLUE
2. BEAULIEU PENINSULA
3. HONG KONG GOLD COAST
4. PEARL ISLAND
5. PERIDOT COURT
6. SAM SHING ESTATE
7. SPRING SEAVIEW TERRACE
8. TAI LAM CHUNG

District : Tuen Mun

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
L14	***Hanford***			14 473	-12.81

N	Hoi Wing Road, Tuen Mun Road
NE	Tuen Mun Road
E	Kwun Tsing Road, Tuen Mun Road
SE	Castle Peak Road - So Kwun Wat So Kwun Wat Road
S	Castle Peak Road - Castle Peak Bay Tsing Yan Street
SW	Castle Peak Road - Castle Peak Bay
W	Hoi Wong Road
NW	Hoi Wing Road

1. AEGEAN COAST
2. BAYVIEW TERRACE
3. CASTLE PEAK BAY GARDEN
4. DRAGON INN COURT
5. HANFORD GARDEN
6. PALM COVE
7. SEAVIEW GARDEN
8. TSING YUNG TERRACE

L15 ***Yuet Wu*** — 13 428 — -19.10

N	Hoi Wong Road
NE	Tuen Mun Typhoon Shelter
E	Tuen Mun Typhoon Shelter
SE	Tuen Mun Typhoon Shelter, Wu Chui Road
S	
SW	
W	Wu On Street
NW	Hoi Wong Road, Wu King Road

1. TUEN MUN WU HONG POLICE QUARTERS
2. YUET WU VILLA

District : Tuen Mun

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
L16	***Siu Hei***			12 544	-24.43

N	
NE	Wu Shan Road
E	Wu Chui Road, Wu Shan Road
SE	Castle Peak Bay
S	Tuen Mun Promenade
SW	Tuen Mun Promenade
W	Wu Chui Road
NW	Wu King Road

1. MARINA GARDEN
2. MIAMI BEACH TOWERS
3. SIU HEI COURT

L17	***Wu King***			13 434	-19.07

N	Wu Fai Street, Wu Shan Road
NE	Wu King Road, Wu Shan Road
E	Wu King Road
SE	Wu Chui Road, Wu King Road
S	Wu Chui Road
SW	Tip King Road, Wu Chui Road
W	Tip King Road, Wu Fai Street
NW	Wu Fai Street

1. WU KING ESTATE

District : Tuen Mun

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
L18	***Butterfly***			15 982	-3.72

N	Lung Mun Road, Wu Shan Road
NE	Wu Fai Street, Wu Shan Road
E	Tip King Road, Wu Fai Street
SE	Tip King Road
S	Wu Chui Road
SW	Wu Chui Road
W	Lung Mun Road, Wu Chui Road
NW	Lung Mun Road

1. BUTTERFLY ESTATE
2. SIU SHAN COURT

L19 ***Fu Sun*** — 18 387 — +10.77

N	Lung Mun Road
NE	Tuen Mun River Channel
E	Tuen Mun River Channel
SE	Hoi Wong Road, Tuen Mun River Channel
S	Hoi Wong Road, Wu Shan Road
SW	Lung Mun Road, Wu Shan Road
W	Lung Mun Road
NW	Lung Mun Road

1. GLORIOUS GARDEN
2. SUN TUEN MUN CENTRE

District : Tuen Mun

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
L20	***Lok Tsui***			14 469	-12.83

N	District Boundary
NE	
E	Lung Mun Road, Wu Chui Road
SE	
S	District Boundary
SW	District Boundary
W	District Boundary
NW	District Boundary

1. LUNG KWU TAN
2. MELODY GARDEN
3. PIERHEAD GARDEN
4. RICHLAND GARDEN
5. TSING SHAN TSUEN SAN SHEK WAN NORTH
6. TSING SHAN TSUEN SAN SHEK WAN SOUTH

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
L21	***Lung Mun***			17 075	+2.87

N	Yeung King Road
NE	Ming Kum Road, MTR Tuen Mun Station Pui To Road
E	Tuen Mun River Channel
SE	Tuen Mun River Channel
S	Lung Fu Road, Lung Mun Road
SW	
W	
NW	

1. LUNG MUN OASIS
2. LUNG YAT ESTATE
3. TSING SHAN TSUEN
4. TUEN MUN KAU HUI
5. YEUNG SIU HANG

District : Tuen Mun

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
L22	***San King***			13 772	-17.03

N	
NE	Tin King Road
E	Tin King Road
SE	Yeung King Road
S	
SW	
W	
NW	

1. LEUNG KING ESTATE (PART) :
   - Leung Chi House
   - Leung Chun House
   - Leung Wai House
2. SAN WAI COURT

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
L23	***Leung King***			12 881	-22.40

N	
NE	
E	Tin King Road
SE	Tin King Road
S	
SW	
W	
NW	

1. LEUNG KING ESTATE (PART) :
   - Leung Kit House
   - Leung Shui House
   - Leung Wah House
   - Leung Yin House
   - Leung Ying House
2. SIU LUNG COURT

District : Tuen Mun

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
L24	***Tin King***			15 565	-6.23

N	Tin King Road
NE	Ming Kum Road, Tin King Road
E	Ming Kum Road
SE	Ming Kum Road, Tin King Road
S	Tin King Road
SW	Tin King Road
W	Tin King Road
NW	Tin King Road

1. SIU KWAI COURT
2. SIU PONG COURT
3. TIN KING ESTATE

L25	***Po Tin***			15 431	-7.04

N	District Boundary
NE	District Boundary
E	
SE	Tsing Lun Road
S	Hing Kwai Street, Ming Kum Road Tin King Road
SW	
W	Nim Wan Road
NW	District Boundary

1. GRAND VILLA
2. KEI LUN WAI
3. MING WONG GARDEN
4. PO TIN ESTATE
5. PO TONG HA
6. PO WAH GARDEN
7. SIU HANG TSUEN

District : Tuen Mun

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
L26	***Kin Sang***			16 698	+0.60

N	Tsun Wen Road
NE	Tsun Wen Road
E	Tsun Wen Road
SE	Tsing Tin Road, Tsun Wen Road
S	Tsing Tin Road
SW	Ming Kum Road, Tsing Tin Road
W	Ming Kum Road
NW	Ming Kum Road, Tsun Wen Road

1. BLOSSOM GARDEN
2. GOODRICH GARDEN
3. KIN SANG ESTATE
4. SIU HIN COURT
5. VENICE GARDENS

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
L27	***Siu Hong***			15 943	-3.95

N	
NE	Lam Tei Interchange, Tsing Lun Road
E	MTR Siu Hong Station Tuen Mun River Channel
SE	Tuen Mun River Channel
S	Tsing Chung Koon Road, Tsing Tin Road
SW	Tsun Wen Road
W	Tsun Wen Road
NW	Hing Kwai Street

1. SIU HONG COURT

District : Tuen Mun

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
L28	***Yan Tin***			16 746	+0.89

N	
NE	Ng Lau Road
E	Castle Peak Road - Lam Tei
SE	Tsing Lun Road
S	Tsz Tin Road
SW	
W	
NW	

1. GRAND VILLA
2. HANISON GARDEN
3. SAN HING TSUEN
4. TSZ TIN TSUEN
5. VILLA PINADA
6. YAN TIN ESTATE

District : Tuen Mun

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
L29	***Tuen Mun Rural***			20 491	+23.45

N	District Boundary
NE	Castle Peak Road - Hung Shui Kiu District Boundary, Wo Ping San Tsuen Lane
E	District Boundary
SE	District Boundary Kong Sham Western Highway
S	Fuk Hang Tsuen Lane, Lam Tei Main Street
SW	Yuen Long Highway
W	
NW	District Boundary Tsing Shan Firing Range Boundary

1. 18 ROSEWOOD
2. BAUHINIA GARDEN
3. CHUNG UK TSUEN
4. LAM TEI
5. NAI WAI
6. THE SHERWOOD
7. TUEN TSZ WAI
8. WO PING SAN TSUEN
9. YICK YUEN TSUEN

District : Tuen Mun

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
L30	***Fu Tai***			19 298	+16.26

N	Lam Tei Main Street
NE	Kong Sham Western Highway
E	
SE	
S	Fu Tei Road
SW	Fu Tei Road
W	Castle Peak Road - Lingnan Tuen Kwai Road
NW	Fuk Hang Tsuen Lane, Yuen Long Highway

1. BOTANIA VILLA
2. FU TAI ESTATE
3. FU TEI HA TSUEN
4. FU TEI SHEUNG TSUEN
5. FUK HANG TSUEN

L31 ***Prime View*** — 19 373 — +16.71

N	Castle Peak Road - Lingnan
NE	Tuen Kwai Road
E	Fu Tei Road
SE	King San Path
S	San Hui Path
SW	Castle Peak Road - San Hui, Tuen Mun Road
W	Tuen Mun River Channel
NW	Tuen Mun River Channel

1. BENEVILLE
2. BRILLIANT GARDEN
3. ELEGANCE GARDEN
4. GRANDEUR GARDEN
5. KINGSTON TERRACE
6. NOBLE PLACE
7. PARKLAND VILLAS
8. PRIME VIEW GARDEN

District : Yuen Long

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
M01	***Fung Nin***			19 006	+14.50

N	Castle Peak Road - Yuen Long
NE	Tai Tong Road
E	Tai Tong Road
SE	Tai Tong Road
S	Tai Shu Ha Road East
SW	Ma Tin Road
W	Yuen Long Tai Yuk Road
NW	Castle Peak Road - Yuen Long Yuen Long Tai Yuk Road

1. CHI KING HOUSE (STAGE I)
2. CHUN WAH VILLAS PHASE 3
3. CRYSTAL PARK
4. HO SHUN TAI BUILDING
5. MANHATTAN PLAZA
6. PO SHING BUILDING
7. TSING YU TERRACE
8. YEE FUNG GARDEN

District : Yuen Long

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
M02	***Yuen Long Centre***			15 542	-6.37

N	Sai Kai Road, Yuen Long On Lok Road
NE	
E	Castle Peak Road - Yuen Long Long Yat Road
SE	Castle Peak Road - Yuen Long Yau San Street
S	Hop Yick Road
SW	Castle Peak Road - Yuen Long Tai Tong Road
W	Yuen Fat Path
NW	Yuen Long On Ning Road

1. CHEK WING COURT
2. CHEONG YU BUILDING
3. CHUN CHU HOUSE
4. FOOK ON BUILDING
5. HO SHUN FUK BUILDING
6. HOP YICK PLAZA
7. KUI FAT BUILDING
8. KWONG WAH CENTRE
9. OPULENCE HEIGHT

District : Yuen Long

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
M03	***Fung Cheung***			15 976	-3.75

N	Castle Peak Road - Yuen Long Fung Cheung Road, Fung Yau Street North
NE	Yau Tin West Road
E	Yau Tin West Road
SE	Fung Cheung Road
S	Fung Ki Road
SW	Ma Tong Road
W	Hop Yick Road, Ma Tong Road Tai Tong Road
NW	Castle Peak Road - Yuen Long, Yau San Street

1. ASTORIA COURT
2. FUNG TING COURT
3. GRAND DEL SOL
4. GREENFIELDS
5. GOLDEN INN GARDEN
6. HO SHUN KING BUILDING
7. HOP YICK CENTRE
8. KAM LUNG MANSION
9. RESIDENCE 88
10. VILLA PREMIERE

District : Yuen Long

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
M04	***Yuen Lung***			13 761	-17.10

N	Castle Peak Road - Yuen Long
NE	Castle Peak Road - Yuen Long Pok Oi Interchange
E	Yuen Long Highway
SE	Yuen Long Highway
S	Yau Tin East Road
SW	Yau Tin East Road
W	Fung Cheung Road, Fung Yau Street North
NW	Castle Peak Road - Yuen Long, Long Yat Road

1. SUN YUEN LONG CENTRE
2. YOHO MIDTOWN
3. YOHO TOWN

District : Yuen Long

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
M05	***Shap Pat Heung Central***			20 582	+24.00

N	Ma Tong Road, Tai Tong Road
NE	Fung Cheung Road, Fung Ki Road
E	Yuen Long Highway
SE	Shap Pat Heung Interchange Yuen Long Highway
S	Yuen Long Highway
SW	Yuen Long Highway
W	Fung Yu Road, Shap Pat Heung Road
NW	Ma Tin Road

1. FRASER VILLAGE
2. HA YAU TIN TSUEN
3. LA GROVE
4. LUNG TIN TSUEN
5. MA TIN POK
6. MA TIN TSUEN
7. PARK SIGNATURE
8. SERENO VERDE
9. SHEUNG YAU TIN TSUEN
10. THE REACH

District : Yuen Long

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
M06	***Shui Pin***			18 727	+12.82

N	Ma Wang Road, Ping Wui Street Yuen Long On Ning Road
NE	Yuen Long On Ning Road
E	Castle Peak Road - Yuen Long Yuen Long Tai Yuk Road
SE	Ma Tin Road, Yuen Long Tai Yuk Road
S	Fung Yu Road, Ma Tin Road Shap Pat Heung Road
SW	Shan Ha Road
W	Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan, Shan Ha Road
NW	Ma Wang Road, Shui Pin Wai Road

1. COVENT GARDEN
2. EMERALD GREEN
3. GREENERY PLACE
4. PARK ROYALE
5. PARKSIDE VILLA
6. SCENIC GARDENS
7. SHUI PIN WAI ESTATE
8. SPRINGDALE VILLAS
9. VILLA ART DECO

District : Yuen Long

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
M07	***Nam Ping***			13 508	-18.62

N	
NE	Wang Lok Street
E	MTR Long Ping Station
SE	Yuen Long On Ning Road
S	Yuen Long On Ning Road
SW	Ping Wui Street
W	Fung Chi Road
NW	Long Ping Road

1. FU LOY GARDEN
2. LONG PING ESTATE (PART) :
   - Hay Ping House
   - Hor Ping House
   - Wah Ping House
   - Yuet Ping House
3. TSE KING HOUSE (STAGE 2)

Code	Recommended Name	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
M08	***Pek Long***	13 849	-16.57

N	Fuk Hi Street, Long Ping Road
NE	Fuk Hi Street
E	
SE	Ping Yee Road
S	
SW	
W	Long Ping Road
NW	Long Ping Road

1. LONG PING ESTATE (PART) :
   - Cheuk Ping House
   - Chu Ping House
   - Fung Ping House
   - Kam Ping House
   - Kang Ping House
   - Ngan Ping House
   - Po Ping House
   - Sau Ping House
   - Shek Ping House
   - Yin Ping House
   - Yuk Ping House

District : Yuen Long

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
M09	***Yuen Long Tung Tau***			13 186	-20.56

N	Lau Yip Street, Tak Yip Street
NE	Shan Pui Road, Yuen Long Kau Hui Road
E	Yuen Long Kau Hui Road
SE	Long Yip Street, Sai Kai Road
S	Wang Chau Road, Yuen Long On Ning Road
SW	Yuen Long On Ning Road
W	
NW	Wang Lok Street

1. KWAN LOK SUN CHUEN
2. LONG CHING ESTATE
3. ONE REGENT PLACE
4. TAI KIU
5. THE PARCVILLE
6. THE SPECTRA
7. TWIN REGENCY
8. WANG FU COURT
9. YUCCIE SQUARE

District : Yuen Long

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
M10	***Shap Pat Heung North***			14 251	-14.15

N	Kam Tin River
NE	Kam Tin River
E	Kam Tin River
SE	Castle Peak Road - Tam Mi Yuen Long Highway
S	Castle Peak Road - Yuen Long Yuen Long Highway
SW	Long Yat Road, Sai Kai Road
W	Shan Pui Road, Tak Yip Street, Yuen Long Kau Hui Road
NW	Lau Yip Street, Wang Lok Street

1. GRAND YOHO
2. NAM PIN WAI
3. SAI PIN WAI
4. SHAN PIN TSUEN
5. SHAN PUI CHUNG HAU TSUEN
6. TAI WAI TSUEN

District : Yuen Long

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
M11	***Shap Pat Heung East***			13 766	-17.07

N	Castle Peak Road - Tam Mi Yuen Long Highway
NE	
E	Tai Lam Chung Country Trail
SE	Tai Lam Chung Country Trail
S	District Boundary
SW	Tai Shu Ha Road West
W	Shui Tsiu San Tsuen Road, Tai Tong Road
NW	Pok Oi Interchange Shap Pat Heung Interchange Yuen Long Highway

1. CHUK SAN TSUEN
2. HARMONIC VILLA
3. KONG TAU TSUEN
4. LONG SHIN ESTATE
5. SHEK TONG TSUEN
6. SHUNG CHING SAN TSUEN
7. SUNG SHAN NEW VILLAGE
8. TONG TAU PO TSUEN
9. TUNG SHING LEI

District : Yuen Long

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
M12	***Shap Pat Heung West***			19 402	+16.89

N	Yuen Long Highway
NE	Shui Tsiu San Tsuen Road, Tai Tong Road
E	Tai Shu Ha Road West
SE	District Boundary
S	District Boundary
SW	District Boundary
W	District Boundary
NW	Lam Tai East Road, Lam Tai West Road

1. CHUN FAI GARDEN
2. CHUN WAH POND VIEW VILLA
3. CHUN WAH VILLAS PHASE I
4. CHUN WAH VILLAS PHASE II
5. NAM HANG PAI
6. NAM HANG TSUEN
7. SHUI TSIU LO WAI
8. TIN LIU TSUEN
9. WONG NAI TUN TSUEN
10. YEUNG KA TSUEN

District : Yuen Long

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
M13	***Ping Shan South***			16 555	-0.27

N	Ping Tong Street East Tong Yan San Tsuen Road
NE	Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan Shan Ha Road, Shap Pat Heung Road
E	Lam Tai East Road, Lam Tai West Road
SE	Lam Tai East Road
S	
SW	District Boundary
W	District Boundary, Wo Ping San Tsuen Lane
NW	Castle Peak Road - Hung Shui Kiu Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan Hung Shui Kiu Tin Sam Road, Hung Tin Road

1. ARNOLD GARDENS
2. ASTER COURT
3. LAM HAU TSUEN
4. SHAN HA TSUEN
5. TAI TAO TSUEN
6. TAN KWAI GARDEN
7. TAN KWAI TSUEN
8. THE WOODSVILLE
9. TONG YAN SAN TSUEN
10. UPTOWN

District : Yuen Long

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
M14	***Hung Fuk***			12 641	-23.84

N	Hung Chi Road
NE	Hung Tin Road
E	Hung Tin Road
SE	Castle Peak Road - Hung Shui Kiu Hung Tin Road
S	Hung Shui Kiu Tin Sam Road
SW	Hung Shui Kiu Tin Sam Road
W	
NW	Hung Chi Road

1. HUNG FUK ESTATE

District : Yuen Long

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
M15	***Ha Tsuen***			15 332	-7.63

N	District Boundary
NE	Lau Fau Shan Road
E	Ping Ha Road
SE	Castle Peak Road - Hung Shui Kiu District Boundary, Tin Ha Road
S	District Boundary
SW	District Boundary, Nim Wan Road
W	District Boundary
NW	District Boundary

1. DEEP BAY GROVE
2. GALORE GARDEN
3. HA PAK NAI
4. HA TSUEN SHI
5. KAU LEE UK TSUEN
6. PARKVIEW GARDEN
7. SAN LEE UK TSUEN
8. SAN WAI
9. SHA CHAU LEI
10. SHEUNG PAK NAI

District : Yuen Long

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
M16	***Ping Shan Central***			15 036	-9.42

N	
NE	Fuk Hi Street
E	Fung Chi Road, Long Ping Road
SE	Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan Shui Pin Wai Road, Town Park Road North
S	Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan Ping Tong Street East Tong Yan San Tsuen Road
SW	Castle Peak Road - Ping Shan Hung Chi Road, Hung Tin Road
W	Kiu Cheong Road, Kiu Fat Street Ping Ha Road, Tin Fuk Road
NW	Tin Tsz Road

1. CHUN HING SAN TSUEN
2. FUNG KA WAI
3. HA MEI SAN TSUEN
4. HUNG UK TSUEN
5. LA MANSION
6. SAN HEI TSUEN
7. SHEK PO TSUEN
8. SHEUNG CHEUNG WAI
9. SHUI PIN TSUEN
10. SHUI TIN TSUEN

District : Yuen Long

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
M17	***Shing Yan***			12 903	-22.27

N	
NE	Tin Yiu Road
E	Ping Ha Road
SE	Ping Ha Road
S	Kiu Fat Street
SW	Kiu Cheong Road
W	
NW	

1. TIN SHING COURT (PART) :
   - Shing Chiu House
   - Shing Choi House
   - Shing Wui House
   - Shing Yi House
   - Shing Yin House
2. PING YAN COURT

M18	***Tin Shing***			14 278	-13.98

N	Tin Ho Road
NE	Tin Ho Road, Tin Yiu Road
E	Tin Yiu Road
SE	
S	Ping Ha Road
SW	Ping Ha Road
W	
NW	

1. TIN SHING COURT (PART) :
   - Shing Chi House
   - Shing Chuen House
   - Shing Chun House
   - Shing Hang House
   - Shing Him House
   - Shing Kan House
   - Shing Kwan House
   - Shing Lai House
   - Shing Ting House
   - Shing Yu House
   - Shing Yuet House
   - Shing Yuk House

District : Yuen Long

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
M19	***Tin Yiu***			12 734	-23.28

N	
NE	
E	Tin Fuk Road
SE	Tin Fuk Road
S	Tin Fuk Road, Tin Yiu Road
SW	Tin Yiu Road
W	Tin Yiu Road
NW	Tin Yiu Road

1. TIN YIU ESTATE (PART) :
   - Yiu Foo House
   - Yiu Hing House
   - Yiu Hong House
   - Yiu Lung House
   - Yiu Man House
   - Yiu Yat House

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
M20	***Yiu Yau***			13 916	-16.16

N	Tin Wu Road
NE	Tin Shing Road
E	Tin Shing Road
SE	
S	
SW	Tin Yiu Road
W	Tin Yiu Road
NW	Tin Wu Road

1. TIN YAU COURT (PART) :
   - Yau Tai House
2. TIN YIU ESTATE (PART) :
   - Yiu Chak House
   - Yiu Cheong House
   - Yiu Fung House
   - Yiu Shing House
   - Yiu Tai House
   - Yiu Wah House

District : Yuen Long

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
M21	***Tsz Yau***			14 562	-12.27

N	Tin Cheung Road
NE	Tin Tsz Road
E	Tin Tsz Road
SE	Tin Fuk Road, Tin Tsz Road
S	Tin Fuk Road
SW	Tin Shing Road
W	Tin Shing Road
NW	Tin Pak Road

1. TIN LAI COURT
2. TIN TSZ ESTATE
3. TIN YAU COURT (PART) :
   - Yau Hong House
   - Yau Ning House

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
M22	***Kingswood South***			16 712	+0.68

N	Ka Yan Street, Tin Wing Road
NE	Tin Cheung Road
E	Tin Cheung Road, Tin Pak Road
SE	Tin Wu Road
S	Tin Ho Road, Tin Yiu Road
SW	Tin Ho Road, Tin Ying Road
W	Tin Shui Road
NW	Tin Shui Road, Tin Wing Road

1. KINGSWOOD VILLAS (PART) :
   - CHESTWOOD COURT
   - LOCWOOD COURT
   - SHERWOOD COURT

District : Yuen Long

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
M23	***Shui Oi***			17 756	+6.97

N	
NE	Tin Shui Road
E	Tin Shui Road
SE	
S	
SW	Tin Ying Road
W	Tin Ying Road
NW	Tin Shui Path

1. TIN OI COURT
2. TIN SHUI ESTATE (PART) :
   - Shui Choi House
   - Shui Fai House
   - Shui Fung House
   - Shui Lam House
   - Shui Moon House
   - Shui Sum House
   - Shui Yip House

Code	Recommended Name	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
M24	***Shui Wah***	14 960	-9.87

N	
NE	Tin Shui Road
E	Tin Shui Road
SE	
S	
SW	Tin Ying Road
W	Tin Ying Road
NW	Tin Ying Road

1. TIN SHUI ESTATE (PART) :
   - Shui Chuen House
   - Shui Kwok House
   - Shui Lung House
   - Shui Sing House
   - Shui Yee House
2. TIN WAH ESTATE (PART) :
   - Wah Sui House
   - Wah Yau House

District : Yuen Long

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
M25	***Chung Wah***			16 867	+1.61

N	Tin Wah Road
NE	Tin Wah Road
E	
SE	Tin Wing Road
S	Tin Shui Road, Tin Wing Road
SW	Tin Shui Road, Tin Wing Road
W	Tin Ying Road
NW	Tin Wah Road

1. TIN CHUNG COURT (PART) :
   - Chung Chak House
   - Chung Ho House
   - Chung Hoi House
   - Chung Kam House
   - Chung Po House
   - Chung Yan House
   - Chung Ying House
   - Chung Yuet House
2. TIN WAH ESTATE (PART) :
   - Wah Choi House
   - Wah Long House
   - Wah Yat House
   - Wah Yuet House

M26	***Chung Pak***			15 741	-5.17

N	Tin Wah Road
NE	Tin Shing Road
E	Tin Shing Road
SE	Ka Yan Street
S	Ka Yan Street
SW	Ka Yan Street
W	Tin Wing Road
NW	Tin Wah Road

1. CENTRAL PARK TOWERS
2. TIN CHUNG COURT (PART) :
   - Chung Ki House
   - Chung Lau House
   - Chung Pik House
   - Chung Shui House
   - Chung Ting House
   - Chung Toi House
   - Chung Wa House

District : Yuen Long

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
M27	***Kingswood North***			22 036	+32.75

N	Tin Wah Road
NE	Tin Tsz Road, Tin Wah Road
E	Tin Tsz Road
SE	Tin Cheung Road, Tin Tsz Road
S	Tin Cheung Road, Tin Shing Road
SW	Tin Shing Road
W	Tin Shing Road
NW	Tin Shing Road, Tin Wah Road

1. KINGSWOOD VILLAS (PART) :
   KENSWOOD COURT
   LYNWOOD COURT
   MAYWOOD COURT

M28	***Yuet Yan***			18 671	+12.48

N	
NE	
E	Light Rail - Tin Yuet
SE	Tin Wah Road
S	Tin Wah Road
SW	Tin Wah Road
W	Tin Ying Path
NW	

1. TIN YAN ESTATE (PART) :
   Yan Chi House
   Yan Lok House
   Yan Sui House
   Yan Yi House
   Yan Ying House
2. TIN YUET ESTATE

District : Yuen Long

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
M29	***Ching King***			19 077	+14.93

N	Wetland Park Road
NE	Wetland Park Road
E	Wetland Park Road
SE	Tin Wah Road, Wetland Park Road
S	Tin Wah Road
SW	Light Rail - Tin Yuet, Tin Wah Road
W	
NW	

1. TIN CHING ESTATE
2. VIANNI COVE

M30	***Fu Yan***			19 671	+18.51

N	Tin Sau Road
NE	Tin Sau Road
E	
SE	
S	Tin Shui Road
SW	Tin Shui Road
W	Tin Ying Path
NW	Tin Ying Path

1. TIN FU COURT
2. TIN YAN ESTATE (PART) :
   Yan Chak House
   Yan Chui House
   Yan Fuk House

District : Yuen Long

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
M31	***Yat Chak***			20 392	+22.85

N	
NE	
E	
SE	Tin Sau Road
S	Tin Sau Road
SW	Tin Sau Road
W	Tin Ying Path
NW	Tin Ying Path

1. TIN CHAK ESTATE
2. TIN YAT ESTATE (PART) :
   - Yat Hoi House
   - Yat Kong House
   - Yat Tam House
   - Yat To House
   - Yat Wu House

M32 ***Tin Heng*** — 20 465 — +23.29

N	
NE	
E	Tin Shui Road
SE	Tin Shui Road
S	Tin Shui Road
SW	Tin Shui Path
W	Tin Ying Path
NW	

1. TIN HENG ESTATE

District : Yuen Long

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
M33	***Wang Yat***			18 418	+10.96

N	
NE	
E	
SE	
S	Tin Tsz Road, Wetland Park Road
SW	Tin Sau Road
W	Tin Sau Road, Tin Shui Road
NW	Tin Shui Road

1. GRANDEUR TERRACE
2. TIN YAT ESTATE (PART) :
   - Yat Chi House
   - Yat Long House
   - Yat Wan House
   - Yat Yeung House

M34	***Ping Shan North***			14 237	-14.23

N	District Boundary
NE	District Boundary
E	Shan Pui River
SE	Fuk Hi Street, Shan Pui River Wang Lok Street
S	Tin Ying Road
SW	Lau Fau Shan Road, Ping Ha Road Tin Wah Road, Tin Ying Path Tin Ying Road
W	Lau Fau Shan Road
NW	District Boundary

1. CHUN YICK GARDEN PHASE 2
2. MONG TSENG WAI
3. NG UK TSUEN
4. SAN HING TSUEN
5. SHA KIU TSUEN
6. SHA KONG WAI
7. TAI TSENG WAI
8. WANG CHAU TUNG TAU WAI

District : Yuen Long

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
M35	***Fairview Park***			20 038	+20.72

N	District Boundary
NE	Shenzhen River
E	Geranium Path, Palm Canyon Drive Palm Springs Boulevard
SE	Fairview Park Boulevard, Ha San Wai Road Kam Pok Road, San Tin Highway
S	
SW	Shan Pui River
W	
NW	District Boundary

1. FAIRVIEW PARK
2. MAN YUEN CHUEN
3. PALM SPRINGS
4. ROYAL CAMELLIA
5. ROYAL PALMS
6. VILLA CAMELLIA

District : Yuen Long

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
M36	***San Tin***			19 617	+18.18

N	District Boundary, Shenzhen River
NE	District Boundary
E	Castle Peak Road - Chau Tau District Boundary
SE	District Boundary
S	Castle Peak Road - Tam Mi San Tam Road, San Tin Highway
SW	Fairview Park Boulevard, Geranium Path Ha San Wai Road, Kam Pok Road Kam Tin River, Shan Pui River
W	Palm Canyon Drive, Palm Springs Boulevard
NW	District Boundary, Shenzhen River

1. CASA PARADIZO
2. KADOORIE VILLAS
3. MAPLE GARDENS
4. MEISTER HOUSE
5. ROLLING HILLS
6. SCENIC HEIGHTS

District : Yuen Long

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
M37	***Kam Tin***			20 792	+25.26

N	San Tin Highway
NE	
E	Chi Ho Road
SE	Kam Po Road, Kam Sheung Road Kam Wui Road
S	
SW	Tai Lam Chung Country Trail
W	Castle Peak Road - Tam Mi Castle Peak Road - Yuen Long
NW	Castle Peak Road - Tam Mi, Kam Tin River San Tin Highway

1. FUNG KAT HEUNG
2. KAM FUNG TERRACE
3. KO PO TSUEN
4. NOBLE PARK
5. PARK YOHO
6. RIVA
7. SHUI MEI TSUEN
8. SHUI TAU TSUEN
9. SUPER KING COURT
10. TAI HONG TSUEN
11. YUK YAT GARDEN STAGE II
12. YUK YAT GARDEN STAGE III

District : Yuen Long

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
M38	***Pat Heung North***			13 491	-18.72

N	District Boundary
NE	District Boundary
E	District Boundary
SE	District Boundary
S	Kam Tin Road, Shek Kong Airfield Road
SW	Kam Sheung Road, Kam Shui Road Kam Shui South Road, Kam Wui Road Shek Kong Airfield Road
W	Chi Ho Road
NW	

1. CHEUNG KONG TSUEN
2. LEUNG UK TSUEN
3. NG KA TSUEN
4. SHEK KONG SAN TSUEN
5. TAI KONG PO
6. TSAT SING KONG
7. WANG TOI SHAN

District : Yuen Long

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
M39	***Pat Heung South***			20 545	+23.77

N	Kam Tin Road, Shek Kong Airfield Road
NE	District Boundary
E	District Boundary, MacLehose Trail
SE	District Boundary, MacLehose Trail Route Twisk, Tai Mo Shan Road
S	District Boundary, MacLehose Trail
SW	District Boundary
W	
NW	Kam Po Road, Kam Sheung Road Kam Shui Road, Kam Shui South Road

1. JAZZ GARDEN PHASE I
2. JAZZ GARDEN PHASE II
3. KAM SHEUNG VILLA
4. KAM TSIN WAI
5. SHEK KONG VILLAGE
6. SHEK WU TONG
7. SHEUNG TSUEN
8. SHUI LAU TIN
9. WONG CHUK YUEN

District : North

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
N01	***Luen Wo Hui***			20 753	+25.03

N	Ma Sik Road
NE	Wo Mun Street, Wo Tai Street
E	Sha Tau Kok Road - Lung Yeuk Tau
SE	Sha Tau Kok Road - Lung Yeuk Tau
S	Fan Leng Lau Road Sha Tau Kok Road - Lung Yeuk Tau
SW	Fan Leng Lau Road
W	Fan Leng Lau Road, Luen Hing Street Wo Muk Road
NW	Fan Leng Lau Road

1. GRAND REGENTVILLE
2. LUEN WO HUI
3. REGENTVILLE
4. UNION PLAZA
5. WING FAI CENTRE
6. WING FOK CENTRE

District : North

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
N02	***Fanling Town***			15 211	-8.36

N	Fan Leng Lau Road, Luen Hing Street Ma Sik Road, Wo Muk Road
NE	On Kui Street Sha Tau Kok Road - Lung Yeuk Tau
E	Ma Wat River
SE	Fanling Highway, Jockey Club Road Ma Wat River
S	Fanling Highway, Fanling Station Road San Wan Road
SW	San Wan Road Sha Tau Kok Road - Lung Yeuk Tau
W	Fanling Highway, MTR(East Rail Line)
NW	Chi Cheong Road, Jockey Club Road Ma Sik Road

1. FAN GARDEN GOVERNMENT POLICE MARRIED QUARTERS
2. FAN LENG LAU
3. FANLING CENTRE
4. FANLING GARDEN
5. FANLING WAI
6. LING SHAN TSUEN
7. ON LOK TSUEN
8. SO KWUN PO
9. SUI PAK VILLA
10. SWALLOW GARDEN

District : North

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
N03	***Cheung Wah***			16 358	-1.45

N	Jockey Club Road Sha Tau Kok Road - Lung Yeuk Tau
NE	Jockey Club Road
E	Jockey Club Road, San Wan Road
SE	San Wan Road
S	Fanling Station Road, San Wan Road
SW	Fanling Highway
W	Fanling Highway, Fanling Station Road Sha Tau Kok Road - Lung Yeuk Tau
NW	Sha Tau Kok Road - Lung Yeuk Tau

1. CHEUNG WAH ESTATE
2. FANLING TOWN CENTER

N04	***Wah Do***			18 412	+10.92

N	Yat Ming Road
NE	Yat Ming Road
E	Wah Ming Road, Yat Ming Road
SE	Wah Ming Road
S	Lui Ming Road
SW	Lui Ming Road
W	Lui Ming Road, Wai Ming Street
NW	Pak Wo Road, Yat Ming Road

1. FLORA PLAZA
2. KING SHING COURT
3. WAH SUM ESTATE

District : North

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

N05 *Wah Ming*

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
N05	*Wah Ming*			16 202	-2.39

N	Lui Ming Road, Wai Ming Street
NE	Lui Ming Road
E	Lui Ming Road, Wah Ming Road
SE	Wah Ming Road
S	Wah Ming Road
SW	Wah Ming Road
W	Wah Ming Road
NW	Wah Ming Road

1. WAH MING ESTATE

N06 *Yan Shing*

N	Pak Wo Road, Wah Ming Road Wai Ming Street
NE	Ming Yin Road, Wah Ming Road Wo Ka Lau Road
E	Fanling Highway, Tai Wo Service Road East Tai Wo Service Road West
SE	District Boundary
S	District Boundary Ngau Kwu Leng Hiking Trail
SW	District Boundary
W	
NW	

N06	*Yan Shing*			19 314	+16.36

1. CHEONG SHING COURT
2. YAN SHING COURT
3. YUNG SHING COURT

District : North

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
N07	***Fanling South***			14 716	-11.34

N	Fanling Highway, Pak Wo Road
NE	Fanling Highway, Pak Wo Road
E	Fanling Highway
SE	Fanling Highway, Pak Wo Road Yat Ming Road
S	Wah Ming Road
SW	
W	
NW	Pak Wo Road, So Kwun Po Road

1. AVON PARK
2. BELAIR VILLA
3. CAMELLIA COURT
4. CHEERFUL PARK
5. DAWNING VIEWS
6. GLAMOUR GARDEN
7. GREENPARK VILLA
8. KAI LENG
9. VIENNA GARDEN
10. WU TIP SHAN

N08	***Shing Fuk***			14 726	-11.28

N	Fanling Highway
NE	Fanling Highway
E	Fanling Highway
SE	Pak Wo Road
S	Pak Wo Road
SW	Pak Wo Road
W	Pak Wo Road
NW	Pak Wo Road

1. CENTURY COURT
2. FORTUNE HOUSE
3. KA FUK ESTATE
4. KA SHING COURT
5. PARKFORD GARDEN
6. ROYAL KNOLL

District : North

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
N09	***Ching Ho***			20 504	+23.53

N	Ching Hiu Road
NE	Ching Hiu Road, Pak Wo Road
E	
SE	
S	
SW	Ching Shing Road
W	Ching Shing Road
NW	Ching Hiu Road

1. CHING HO ESTATE

N10	***Yu Tai***			18 967	+14.27

N	Fanling Highway
NE	Ching Hiu Road, Ching Shing Road Fanling Highway, Pak Wo Road So Kwun Po Road
E	
SE	
S	
SW	Fan Kam Road
W	
NW	

1. CHEUNG LUNG WAI ESTATE
2. GOLF PARKVIEW
3. NG UK TSUEN
4. PING KONG
5. ROYAL GREEN
6. SHEUNG SHUI DISCIPLINED SERVICE QUARTERS
7. SHEUNG SHUI POLICE MARRIED QUARTERS
8. TAI LUNG
9. TAI PING ESTATE
10. VENICE GARDEN

District : North

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
N11	***Sheung Shui Rural***			20 757	+25.05

N	District Boundary
NE	MTR(East Rail Line) Ng Tung River(River Indus)
E	Fanling Highway, MTR(East Rail Line)
SE	District Boundary
S	District Boundary
SW	District Boundary
W	District Boundary
NW	District Boundary

1. EUROPA GARDEN
2. HANG TAU
3. HO SHEUNG HEUNG
4. KWU TUNG
5. MA TSO LUNG
6. YING PUN

N12	***Choi Yuen***			17 222	+3.75

N	Choi Yuen Road
NE	Choi Yuen Road
E	Pak Wo Road
SE	Pak Wo Road
S	Fanling Highway
SW	Fanling Highway, Po Shek Wu Road
W	Po Shek Wu Road
NW	Choi Yuen Road, Po Shek Wu Road

1. CHOI PO COURT
2. CHOI YUEN ESTATE

District : North

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
N13	***Shek Wu Hui***			19 841	+19.53

N	Jockey Club Road, Po Shek Wu Road Po Wan Road
NE	Jockey Club Road
E	Chi Cheong Road, Jockey Club Road
SE	Chi Cheong Road, Fanling Highway Jockey Club Road, MTR(East Rail Line) So Kwun Po Road
S	Choi Yuen Road, Fanling Highway Pak Wo Road
SW	Choi Yuen Road
W	Choi Yuen Road
NW	Choi Shun Street, Po Wan Road San Po Street

1. LUNG FUNG GARDEN
2. METROPOLIS PLAZA
3. ROYAL JUBILEE
4. SHEK WU HUI
5. SHEUNG SHUI CENTRE
6. SHEUNG SHUI TOWN CENTRE
7. SUNNINGDALE GARDEN
8. YUK PO COURT

District : North

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
N14	***Tin Ping West***			13 050	-21.38

N	Ng Tung River(River Indus), Tin Ping Road
NE	Ng Tung River(River Indus)
E	Tin Ping Road
SE	Jockey Club Road, Tin Ping Road
S	Jockey Club Road
SW	Jockey Club Road
W	Jockey Club Road
NW	Fung Nam Road

1. TIN PING ESTATE (PART) :
   - Tin Cheung House
   - Tin Hor House
   - Tin Long House
   - Tin Ming House
   - Tin Yee House
2. WOODLAND CREST

N15	***Fung Tsui***			18 664	+12.44

N	
NE	
E	
SE	Ng Tung River(River Indus)
S	Fung Nam Road, Jockey Club Road Po Shek Wu Road, Po Wan Road Tin Ping Road
SW	Choi Yuen Road, Fanling Highway MTR(East Rail Line), Po Shek Wu Road San Po Street
W	MTR(East Rail Line)
NW	MTR(East Rail Line)

1. FU TEI AU
2. SACRED HILL (TIN PING SHAN)
3. SHEUNG SHUI HEUNG
4. TAI TAU LENG
5. TSUI LAI GARDEN
6. TSUNG PAK LONG
7. WA SHAN

District : North

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
N16	***Sha Ta***			17 305	+4.25

N	District Boundary
NE	District Boundary
E	District Boundary
SE	District Boundary
S	District Boundary Plover Cove Reservoir Country Trail
SW	Sha Tau Kok Road - Ma Mei Ha
W	District Boundary, MTR(East Rail Line)
NW	District Boundary

1. KAT O
2. LIN MA HANG
3. SHA TAU KOK CHUEN
4. WO HANG
5. WU KAU TANG

District : North

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
N17	***Tin Ping East***			17 073	+2.86

N	Ng Tung River(River Indus)
NE	Ma Wat River
E	Ma Wat River Sha Tau Kok Road - Lung Yeuk Tau
SE	Sha Tau Kok Road - Lung Yeuk Tau
S	Ma Sik Road, Wo Mun Street, Wo Tai Street
SW	Jockey Club Road
W	Tin Ping Road
NW	Ng Tung River(River Indus)

1. BELAIR MONTE
2. GOOD VIEW NEW VILLAGE
3. GREEN CODE
4. MA SHI PO
5. NOBLE HILL
6. ON KWOK VILLA
7. ON SHING COURT
8. TIN PING ESTATE (PART) :
   Tin Hee House
   Tin Mei House
9. WU NGA LOK YEUNG

District : North

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
N18	***Queen's Hill***			18 344	+10.51

N	
NE	Sha Tau Kok Road - Ma Mei Ha
E	
SE	District Boundary, Wilson Trail
S	District Boundary Lau Shui Heung Country Trail
SW	Jockey Club Road, Ming Yin Road Pak Wo Road, Tai Wo Service Road East Wah Ming Road, Wo Ka Lau Road
W	Ma Wat River, Ng Tung River(River Indus) On Kui Street Sha Tau Kok Road - Lung Yeuk Tau
NW	

1. KWAN TEI
2. LAU SHUI HEUNG
3. LUNG YEUK TAU
4. QUEEN'S HILL
5. TONG HANG
6. WANG SHAN KEUK SAN TSUEN
7. WO HOP SHEK VILLAGE

District : Tai Po

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
P01	***Tai Po Hui***			19 451	+17.18

N	Plover Cove Road, Po Heung Bridge Po Heung Street
NE	Plover Cove Road
E	Nam Wan Road, Tai Po River Tai Po Road - Yuen Chau Tsai
SE	MTR(East Rail Line), Nam Wan Road
S	MTR(East Rail Line)
SW	MTR(East Rail Line)
W	MTR(East Rail Line)
NW	Lam Tsuen River, MTR(East Rail Line) Pak Shing Street

1. JADE GARDEN
2. MOUNTAIN VIEW COURT
3. PO HEUNG ESTATE

P02	***Chung Ting***			14 303	-13.83

N	Ting Lai Road
NE	Chung Nga Road
E	On Cheung Road, Ting Kok Road
SE	Lam Tsuen River, On Chee Road, On Po Road
S	Lam Tsuen River
SW	Lam Tsuen River, Ting Kok Road
W	Ting Kok Road
NW	Ting Lai Road

1. CHUNG NGA COURT
2. EIGHTLAND GARDENS
3. FORTUNE PLAZA
4. FU HENG ESTATE (PART) :
   Heng Tai House
5. JADE PLAZA
6. TING NGA COURT
7. TREASURE GARDEN

District : Tai Po

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
P03	***Tai Po Central***			13 645	-17.80

N	On Po Road, On Tai Road
NE	Nam Wan Road, On Po Road
E	Nam Wan Road
SE	Lam Tsuen River, Nam Wan Road
S	Lam Tsuen River
SW	Lam Tsuen River, Po Wu Lane Tai Po Tai Wo Road
W	On Chee Road, On Po Road, On Pong Road
NW	

1. TAI PO CENTRE
2. TAI PO PLAZA

P04	***Tai Yuen***			13 863	-16.48

N	Ting Kok Road
NE	Nam Wan Road, Ting Kok Road
E	Nam Wan Road
SE	Nam Wan Road, On Po Road
S	On Po Road, On Tai Road
SW	On Cheung Road
W	On Cheung Road, Ting Kok Road
NW	Ting Kok Road

1. TAI YUEN ESTATE

District : Tai Po

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
P05	***Fu Heng***			15 546	-6.34

N	Chuen On Road, Chung Nga Road
NE	
E	
SE	Ting Kok Road
S	Ting Kok Road
SW	Chung Nga Road, Ting Kok Road
W	Chung Nga Road
NW	Chung Nga Road

1. FU HENG ESTATE (PART) :
   - Heng Cheong House
   - Heng Lung House
   - Heng Shing House
   - Heng Tsui House
   - Heng Wing House
   - Heng Yiu House
   - Heng Yue House

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
P06	***Yee Fu***			14 644	-11.78

N	Ting Kok Road
NE	Ting Kok Road, Yuen Shin Road
E	Yuen Shin Road
SE	Tai Po Tai Wo Road, Yuen Shin Road
S	Tai Po Tai Wo Road
SW	On Po Lane
W	Nam Wan Road, On Po Road
NW	Nam Wan Road, Ting Kok Road

1. FU SHIN ESTATE (PART) :
   - Shin King House
   - Shin Mei House
   - Shin Nga House
   - Shin Tsui House
2. YEE NGA COURT

District : Tai Po

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
P07	***Fu Ming Sun***			13 600	-18.07

N	On Po Lane, On Po Road
NE	
E	
SE	Lam Tsuen River, Tai Po Tai Wo Road Yuen Shin Road
S	Lam Tsuen River
SW	Lam Tsuen River, Nam Wan Road
W	Nam Wan Road
NW	Nam Wan Road, On Po Road

1. FU SHIN ESTATE (PART) :
   Shin Kwan House
   Shin Lun House
2. MING NGA COURT
3. SUN HING GARDEN

District : Tai Po

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
P08	***Kwong Fuk & Plover Cove***			12 858	-22.54

N	Lam Tsuen River, Nam Wan Road
NE	Lam Tsuen River
E	Kwong Wang Street, Plover Cove Road Yuen Shin Road
SE	Kwong Wang Street Tai Po Road - Yuen Chau Tsai
S	Plover Cove Road, Tai Po River Tai Po Road - Yuen Chau Tsai
SW	Plover Cove Road
W	Plover Cove Road, Po Heung Street
NW	Lam Tsuen River, Po Heung Bridge

1. KWONG FUK ESTATE (PART) :
   Kwong Chi House
   Kwong Ping House
   Kwong Shung House
   Kwong Wai House
   Kwong Yau House
2. PLOVER COVE GARDEN
3. THE GOLDEN GATE

District : Tai Po

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
P09	***Wang Fuk***			19 301	+16.28

N	Tolo Harbour
NE	Tolo Harbour
E	Tolo Harbour
SE	District Boundary, Tolo Harbour Tolo Highway
S	Tolo Highway
SW	Tolo Highway
W	Kwong Wang Street, MTR(East Rail Line) Tai Po Road - Tai Po Kau Tai Po Road - Yuen Chau Tsai
NW	Tolo Harbour

1. DAISYFIELD
2. KWONG FUK ESTATE (PART) :
   Kwong Lai House
   Kwong Yan House
   Kwong Yee House
3. MAYFAIR BY THE SEA I
4. MAYFAIR BY THE SEA II
5. PROVIDENCE BAY
6. PROVIDENCE PEAK
7. REDLAND GARDEN
8. THE GRACES · PROVIDENCE BAY
9. TRACKSIDE VILLAS
10. WANG FUK COURT

District : Tai Po

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
P10	***Tai Po Kau***			18 120	+9.16

N	Nam Wan Road, Tai Po River Tai Po Road - Tai Po Kau Tai Po Road - Yuen Chau Tsai, Tolo Highway
NE	Tolo Highway
E	District Boundary, Tolo Highway
SE	District Boundary
S	District Boundary
SW	District Boundary, MacLehose Trail
W	
NW	Ma Wo Road, Tai Po River, Tat Wan Road Tolo Highway

1. CHATEAU ROYALE
2. CONSTELLATION COVE
3. DEERHILL BAY
4. GRAND PALISADES
5. SAVANNA GARDEN
6. SHEUNG WUN YIU
7. THE KINGSTON HILLS
8. THE MANGROVE
9. THE PARAGON
10. THE PARAMOUNT

District : Tai Po

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
P11	***Wan Tau Tong***			15 438	-6.99

N	Nam Wan Road, Tat Wan Road
NE	Nam Wan Road
E	Nam Wan Road, Tai Po River
SE	Tai Po River
S	Tai Po River
SW	Tai Po River, Tat Wan Road
W	Tat Wan Road
NW	Tat Wan Road

1. KING NGA COURT
2. TAK NGA COURT
3. WAN TAU TONG ESTATE
4. YAT NGA COURT

District : Tai Po

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
P12	***San Fu***			15 444	-6.96

N	Lam Tsuen River, MTR(East Rail Line)
NE	MTR(East Rail Line)
E	MTR(East Rail Line), Tai Po River
SE	Nam Wan Road, Tat Wan Road
S	Ma Wo Road, Tolo Highway
SW	Tolo Highway
W	Tolo Highway
NW	Lam Tsuen River, Tai Po Tai Wo Road Tolo Highway

1. CLASSICAL GARDENS
2. DYNASTY VIEW
3. ELEGANCE GARDEN
4. GRAND DYNASTY VIEW
5. KAM SHAN
6. KAM SHEK NEW VILLAGE
7. MA WO
8. PAN CHUNG
9. PAN CHUNG SAN TSUEN
10. UPTOWN PLAZA

P13 ***Lam Tsuen Valley*** 17 508 +5.48

N	District Boundary
NE	Fanling Highway, Lam Tsuen River Tai Po Road - Tai Wo Tai Wo Service Road East
E	Lam Tsuen River, Tai Po Tai Wo Road Tolo Highway
SE	
S	District Boundary, MacLehose Trail
SW	District Boundary
W	District Boundary
NW	District Boundary

1. LAM TSUEN VALLEY
2. MUI SHUE HANG
3. TAI HANG
4. TAI HANG GARDEN

District : Tai Po

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
P14	***Po Nga***			17 451	+5.13

N	MTR(East Rail Line)
NE	MTR(East Rail Line)
E	MTR(East Rail Line)
SE	Lam Tsuen River
S	Lam Tsuen River
SW	Lam Tsuen River
W	Lam Tsuen River
NW	MTR(East Rail Line)

1. PARC VERSAILLES
2. PO NGA COURT
3. TAI PO GARDEN
4. TAI PO TAU SHUI WAI
5. TAI WO ESTATE (PART) :
   - Lai Wo House
   - On Wo House
   - Tsui Wo House

P15	***Tai Wo***			13 735	-17.25

N	Tai Po Tai Wo Road
NE	Tai Po Tai Wo Road
E	Tai Po Tai Wo Road, Ting Kok Road
SE	Lam Tsuen River, Ting Kok Road
S	Lam Tsuen River, MTR(East Rail Line)
SW	MTR(East Rail Line)
W	MTR(East Rail Line)
NW	MTR(East Rail Line), Tai Po Tai Wo Road

1. TAI WO ESTATE (PART) :
   - Fook Wo House
   - Hang Wo House
   - Hei Wo House
   - Kui Wo House
   - Oi Wo House
   - Sun Wo House

District : Tai Po

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
P16	***Old Market & Serenity***			17 381	+4.71

N	
NE	
E	Tai Po Tau Road, Ting Tai Road Ting Kok Road
SE	Tai Po Tai Wo Road
S	MTR(East Rail Line)
SW	MTR(East Rail Line)
W	MTR(East Rail Line)
NW	

1. BEAUTIFUL GARDEN
2. EVERGREEN COURT
3. FULL SCENE GARDEN
4. FULLER GARDEN
5. GREENERY PLAZA
6. LA FONTAINE
7. SERENITY PARK
8. SERENITY VILLA
9. TAI PO TAU
10. TAI WO CENTRE
11. THE WONDERLAND

District : Tai Po

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
P17	***Hong Lok Yuen***			20 488	+23.43

N	District Boundary Lau Shui Heung Country Trail Wilson Trail
NE	District Boundary, Wilson Trail
E	
SE	Lo Fai Road, Ting Kok Road, Tolo Harbour
S	Chuen On Road, Chung Nga Road Ting Kok Road, Ting Lai Road Ting Tai Road, Tolo Harbour, Yuen Shin Road
SW	Tai Po Road - Tai Wo, Tai Po Tau Road
W	Fanling Highway
NW	District Boundary, Fanling Highway MTR(East Rail Line) Tai Wo Service Road East

1. FUNG YUEN
2. HA HANG
3. HONG LOK YUEN
4. KAU LUNG HANG
5. MONT VERT
6. RIVIERA LODGE
7. SAN WAI TSAI
8. TAI WO
9. YUEN LENG

District : Tai Po

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
P18	***Shuen Wan***			20 409	+22.95

N	District Boundary, Wilson Trail
NE	District Boundary
E	North Channel (Tai Chek Mun)
SE	Tolo Channel (Chek Mun)
S	District Boundary
SW	Tolo Harbour
W	Lo Fai Road, Ting Kok Road
NW	District Boundary, Wilson Trail

1. CASA MARINA
2. FOREST HILL
3. FORTUNE GARDEN
4. MEADOW COVE
5. RICHWOOD PARK
6. THE BEVERLY HILLS
7. TREASURE SPOT GARDEN
8. TREASURE SPOT GARDEN (PHASE 4)
9. TREASURE SPOT GARDEN II
10. TYCOON PLACE

P19 ***Sai Kung North*** — 14 102 — -15.04

N	North Channel (Tai Chek Mun)
NE	District Boundary
E	District Boundary
SE	District Boundary
S	District Boundary, MacLehose Trail
SW	District Boundary, MacLehose Trail
W	District Boundary, Ma On Shan Bypass Nin Wah Road
NW	Tolo Channel (Chek Mun)

1. HOI HA
2. KO LAU WAN
3. PAK SHA O
4. SHAP SZE HEUNG
5. SYMPHONY BAY
6. TAP MUN
7. WONG CHUK YEUNG
8. WONG SHEK PIER
9. YUNG SHUE O

District : Sai Kung

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
Q01	***Sai Kung Central***			10 901	-34.33

N	Tai Mong Tsai Road
NE	Tai Mong Tsai Road
E	
SE	
S	
SW	Hiram's Highway
W	Hiram's Highway, Po Tung Road
NW	Tai Mong Tsai Road

1. KO FU BUILDING
2. KO SHING BUILDING
3. LAKE COURT
4. LAKESIDE GARDEN
5. MING SHUN VILLAGE
6. PARK MEDITERRANEAN
7. SAI KUNG BUILDING
8. SAI KUNG GARDEN
9. SAI KUNG TOWN CENTRE
10. THE MEDITERRANEAN
11. TUI MIN HOI CHUEN

Q02	***Pak Sha Wan***			15 810	-4.75

N	District Boundary
NE	Hiram's Highway, Pak Kong Road
E	Inner Port Shelter (Sai Kung Hoi)
SE	Port Shelter (Ngau Mei Hoi)
S	Clear Water Bay Road, Hiram's Highway
SW	District Boundary, Fei Ngo Shan Road
W	District Boundary
NW	District Boundary

1. HEBE HAVEN (PAK SHA WAN)
2. HING KENG SHEK
3. HO CHUNG
4. MARINA COVE
5. MOK TSE CHE
6. NAM WAI
7. PAK KONG
8. TA HO TUN
9. TA KU LING
10. TAI CHUNG HAU

District : Sai Kung

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
Q03	***Sai Kung Islands***			12 894	-22.32

N	District Boundary
NE	District Boundary
E	District Boundary
SE	District Boundary
S	
SW	Port Shelter (Ngau Mei Hoi)
W	Hiram's Highway
	Inner Port Shelter (Sai Kung Hoi)
	Pak Kong Road, Po Tung Road
	Tai Mong Tsai Road
NW	District Boundary

1. BAY VIEW LODGE
2. KAP PIN LONG
3. KAU SAI CHAU
4. LA CALETA
5. NAM SHAN
6. PAK KONG AU
7. PO LO CHE
8. SHA KOK MEI
9. TAI MONG TSAI
10. TAI PING VILLAGE
11. TAN CHEUNG

District : Sai Kung

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
Q04	***Hang Hau East***			13 902	-16.25

N	Port Shelter (Ngau Mei Hoi)
NE	
E	District Boundary
SE	District Boundary
S	District Boundary
SW	District Boundary, Tathong Channel
W	District Boundary, Wan Po Road
NW	Chap Fuk Road, Chiu Shun Road Chun Sing Street, Clear Water Bay Road Hang Hau Road, Ngan Ying Road Port Shelter (Ngau Mei Hoi), University Road Wan Po Road, Ying Yip Road

1. HA YEUNG
2. HANG HAU VILLAGE
3. MANG KUNG UK
4. PAN LONG WAN
5. PO TOI O
6. SHEUNG SZE WAN
7. SHEUNG YEUNG
8. SHUI BIN VILLAGE
9. TAI HANG HAU
10. TAI WAN TAU
11. TIN HA WAN VILLAGE

District : Sai Kung

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
Q05	***Hang Hau West***			19 361	+16.64

N	Clear Water Bay Road
NE	Clear Water Bay Road, Hiram's Highway University Road
E	Clear Water Bay Road, Ying Yip Road
SE	Po Lam Road North, Po Ning Road Ying Yip Road
S	Chui Ling Road, King Ling Road O King Road, Po Lam Road Po Lam Road North, Po Lam Road South Po Shun Road, Tsui Lam Road
SW	District Boundary Tseung Kwan O Tunnel Road
W	Anderson Road, District Boundary
NW	Clear Water Bay Road, Fei Ngo Shan Road

1. MA YAU TONG
2. MAU WU TSAI
3. MOUNT PAVILIA
4. PIK SHUI SUN TSUEN
5. TAI PO TSAI
6. TSENG LAN SHUE
7. TSEUNG KWAN O VILLAGE
8. YAU YUE WAN VILLAGE

District : Sai Kung

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
Q06	***Choi Kin***			19 778	+19.15

N	King Ling Road
NE	King Ling Road, Po Shun Road
E	Po Shun Road
SE	Chui Ling Road, Po Shun Road
S	Chui Ling Road, King Ling Road
SW	Choi Ming Street, Ling Kwong Street
W	Ling Kwong Street
NW	King Ling Road, Ling Kwong Street

1. CHOI MING COURT
2. KIN MING ESTATE (PART) :
   - Kin Ching House
   - Kin Hei House

Q07	***Kin Ming***			15 377	-7.36

N	Chui Ling Road
NE	Chui Ling Road, King Ling Road
E	King Ling Road, Ling Kwong Street
SE	Choi Ming Street, Ling Kwong Street
S	Choi Ming Street
SW	Chui Ling Road
W	Chui Ling Road
NW	Chui Ling Road

1. KIN MING ESTATE (PART) :
   - Kin Choi Tower
   - Kin Fai House
   - Kin Wa House
   - Ming Chau House
   - Ming Sing House
   - Ming Wik House
   - Ming Yat House
   - Ming Yu House
   - Ming Yuet House

District : Sai Kung

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
Q08	***Do Shin***			15 063	-9.25

N	Choi Ming Street
NE	Choi Ming Street, King Ling Road
E	King Ling Road
SE	Chui Ling Road
S	Chui Ling Road
SW	Chui Ling Road
W	Choi Ming Street, Chui Ling Road
NW	Choi Ming Street

1. LE POINT
2. METROTOWN
3. SHIN MING ESTATE

Q09 ***Wai King*** — 14 598 — -12.05

N	Chui Ling Road
NE	Chui Shin Street
E	
SE	
S	Lei Yue Mun Point
SW	District Boundary
W	District Boundary
NW	District Boundary, O King Road

1. OCEAN SHORES

District : Sai Kung

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
Q10	***Hoi Chun***			18 223	+9.78

N	Po Yap Road
NE	Tong Chun Street
E	Chi Shin Street
SE	
S	Tseung Kwan O Waterfront Park
SW	
W	Chui Shin Street
NW	Po Yap Road

1. CAPRI
2. CORINTHIA BY THE SEA
3. OCEAN WINGS
4. SAVANNAH
5. THE PARKSIDE
6. THE WINGS II
7. THE WINGS IIIA
8. THE WINGS IIIB
9. TWIN PEAKS

Q11	***Po Yee***			15 330	-7.65

N	Po Yap Road
NE	Po Hong Road, Po Yap Road
E	Chi Shin Street
SE	Chi Shin Street
S	Chi Shin Street
SW	Chi Shin Street, Tong Chun Street
W	Tong Chun Street
NW	Po Yap Road, Tong Chun Street

1. BAUHINIA GARDEN
2. YEE MING ESTATE

District : Sai Kung

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
Q12	***Fu Kwan***			18 975	+14.31

N	Tong Ming Street
NE	Po Hong Road, Tong Tak Street
E	Po Hong Road, Tong Tak Street
SE	Po Yap Road
S	Po Yap Road
SW	Po Yap Road, Tong Yin Street
W	Tong Yin Street
NW	Tong Ming Street

1. BEVERLY GARDEN
2. THE GRANDIOSE
3. THE WINGS

Q13	***O Tong***			17 578	+5.90

N	Tong Ming Street, Tong Yin Street
NE	Tong Yin Street
E	Tong Yin Street
SE	Po Yap Road, Tong Yin Street
S	Po Yap Road
SW	Po Shun Road, Po Yap Road
W	Po Shun Road
NW	Po Shun Road, Tong Ming Street

1. PARK CENTRAL
2. TONG MING COURT

District : Sai Kung

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
Q14	***Sheung Tak***			19 061	+14.83

N	
NE	Po Hong Road
E	Po Hong Road, Tong Ming Street
SE	Tong Ming Street
S	Tong Ming Street
SW	Po Shun Road, Tong Ming Street
W	Po Shun Road
NW	Po Shun Road

1. SHEUNG TAK ESTATE

Q15	***Kwong Ming***			17 828	+7.40

N	Po Hong Road
NE	Po Hong Road
E	Po Hong Road
SE	Po Hong Road
S	Po Shun Road
SW	Po Lam Road South
W	
NW	Po Lam Road South

1. HAVEN OF HOPE HOSPITAL
2. KWONG MING COURT
3. PO MING COURT

District : Sai Kung

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
Q16	***Hong King***			12 786	-22.97

N	Po Hong Road, Po Lam Road North
NE	Po Hong Road
E	Po Hong Road, Tseung Kwan O Tunnel Road
SE	Po Hong Road, Po Shun Road Tseung Kwan O Tunnel Road
S	Po Hong Road, Po Lam Road South
SW	Po Lam Road North, Po Lam Road South
W	Po Lam Road North
NW	Po Lam Road North, Tsui Lam Road

1. HONG SING GARDEN
2. KING MING COURT
3. SERENITY PLACE

Q17	***Tsui Lam***			14 821	-10.71

N	
NE	Tsui Lam Road
E	Po Lam Road North, Tsui Lam Road
SE	Po Lam Road, Po Lam Road North
S	Po Lam Road
SW	Po Lam Road
W	Po Lam Road, Tsui Lam Road
NW	Tsui Lam Road

1. TSUI LAM ESTATE

District : Sai Kung

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
Q18	***Po Lam***			15 416	-7.13

N	
NE	Po Lam Road North
E	
SE	Po Fung Road
S	Po Fung Road, Yuk Nga Lane
SW	Po Hong Road
W	Po Hong Road, Po Lam Road North
NW	Po Lam Road North

1. PO LAM ESTATE

Q19	***Yan Ying***			18 246	+9.92

N	Po Lam Road North
NE	Po Lam Road North
E	Po Lam Road North
SE	Kai King Road
S	Kai King Road, Mau Yip Road
SW	Mau Yip Road
W	Mau Yip Road, Po Fung Road
NW	Po Fung Road

1. METRO CITY PHASE 2
2. YAN MING COURT
3. YING MING COURT

District : Sai Kung

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
Q20	***Wai Yan***			13 752	-17.15

N	Po Fung Road, Yuk Nga Lane
NE	Mau Yip Road, Po Fung Road
E	Mau Tai Road, Mau Yip Road
SE	Mau Tai Road
S	Po Hong Road
SW	Po Hong Road
W	Po Hong Road
NW	Po Hong Road, Yuk Nga Lane

1. FINERY PARK
2. RADIANT TOWERS
3. VERBENA HEIGHTS
4. WELL ON GARDEN

Q21 ***Wan Hang*** 14 254 -14.13

N	Mau Yip Road
NE	Mau Yip Road
E	
SE	Po Shun Road, Tseung Kwan O Tunnel Road
S	Tseung Kwan O Tunnel Road
SW	Tseung Kwan O Tunnel Road
W	Po Hong Road, Tseung Kwan O Tunnel Road
NW	Mau Tai Road, Po Hong Road

1. METRO CITY PHASE 1
2. THE METROPOLIS
3. THE PINNACLE

District : Sai Kung

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
Q22	***King Lam***			17 176	+3.48

N	Kai King Road, Po Lam Road North
NE	Po Lam Road North
E	Po Lam Road North
SE	Po Shun Road
S	Po Shun Road
SW	
W	
NW	Kai King Road

1. HO MING COURT
2. KING LAM ESTATE

Q23 ***Hau Tak*** — 17 762 — +7.01

N	Po Ning Road, Sheung Ning Road
NE	Po Ning Road
E	Po Ning Road, Pui Shing Road
SE	Pui Shing Road
S	Chung Wa Road, Sheung Ning Road
SW	
W	Po Shun Road
NW	Po Ning Road, Po Shun Road

1. CHUNG MING COURT
2. HAU TAK ESTATE

District : Sai Kung

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
Q24	***Fu Nam***			16 981	+2.30

N	Chap Fuk Road
NE	
E	Hang Hau Road
SE	Hang Hau Road, Po Ning Road
S	Pui Shing Lane
SW	Ngan O Road, Pui Shing Road
W	Ming Shing Street, Pui Shing Road Sheung Ning Road
NW	Chap Fuk Road, Po Ning Road Sheung Ning Road, Ying Yip Road

1. FU NING GARDEN
2. RESIDENCE OASIS
3. YU MING COURT

Q25	***Tak Ming***			18 785	+13.17

N	
NE	Po Ning Road
E	Chiu Shun Road, Po Ning Road
SE	Chiu Shun Road
S	Chiu Shun Road, Ngan O Road
SW	Man Kuk Lane, Ngan O Road
W	Man Kuk Lane, Ngan O Road Pui Shing Road
NW	

1. HIN MING COURT
2. MARITIME BAY
3. MING TAK ESTATE
4. WO MING COURT
5. YUK MING COURT

District : Sai Kung

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
Q26	***Nam On***			18 364	+10.63

N	Po Shun Road, Sheung Ning Road
NE	Chung Wa Road, Sheung Ning Road
E	Ming Shing Street, Pui Shing Road Sheung Ning Road
SE	Man Kuk Lane, Pui Shing Road
S	Wan Po Road
SW	Wan Po Road
W	Po Shun Road, Wan Po Road
NW	Po Shun Road, Wan Po Road

1. EAST POINT CITY
2. NAN FUNG PLAZA
3. ON NING GARDEN

Q27	***Kwan Po***			13 854	-16.54

N	Wan Po Road
NE	Ngan O Road, Wan Po Road
E	Chiu Shun Road, Ngan O Road Po Yap Road
SE	Po Yap Road
S	Po Hong Road, Po Yap Road
SW	Po Hong Road, Po Yap Road, Tong Tak Street
W	Po Hong Road
NW	Po Hong Road, Po Shun Road Tseung Kwan O Tunnel Road, Wan Po Road

1. LA CITE NOBLE
2. TSEUNG KWAN O PLAZA

District : Sai Kung

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
Q28	***Wan Po North***			18 855	+13.59

N	Po Yap Road, Wan Po Road
NE	Wan Po Road
E	Wan Po Road
SE	Shek Kok Road, Wan Po Road
S	Lohas Park Road
SW	
W	
NW	Po Yap Road

1. OSCAR BY THE SEA
2. THE BEAUMOUNT
3. THE BEAUMOUNT II
4. THE CAPITOL

Q29	***Wan Po South***			18 497	+11.43

N	Tseung Kwan O Waterfront Park
NE	Lohas Park Road
E	Chun Sing Street, Shek Kok Road Wan Po Road
SE	Chun Yat Street, Wan Po Road
S	
SW	District Boundary
W	Lei Yue Mun Point
NW	

1. HEMERA
2. LA SPLENDEUR
3. LE PRESTIGE
4. LE PRIME

District : Sha Tin

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
R01	***Sha Tin Town Centre***			18 825	+13.41

N	Tai Po Road - Sha Tin
NE	Sha Tin Rural Committee Road Shing Mun River Channel Tai Po Road - Sha Tin
E	Lek Yuen Bridge, Shing Mun River Channel
SE	Shing Mun River Channel
S	Lion Rock Tunnel Road Shing Mun River Channel
SW	Shing Mun River Channel, Tsuen Nam Road
W	Shing Mun Tunnel Road Tung Lo Wan Hill Road
NW	Tung Lo Wan Hill Road

1. HILTON PLAZA
2. LUCKY PLAZA
3. MAN LAI COURT
4. NEW TOWN PLAZA
5. PRISTINE VILLA
6. SCENERY COURT
7. SHA TIN CENTRE
8. SHATIN PLAZA
9. THE GREAT HILL
10. WAI WAH CENTRE

District : Sha Tin

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
R02	***Lek Yuen***			15 959	-3.86

N	
NE	Fo Tan Road, Fung Shun Street Lok King Street, Sha Tin Road Tai Po Road - Sha Tin
E	Fung Shun Street, Wo Che Street
SE	Sha Tin Rural Committee Road Shing Mun River Channel
S	Tai Po Road - Sha Tin
SW	To Fung Shan Road
W	To Fung Shan Road
NW	

1. FUNG WO ESTATE
2. HA WO CHE
3. LEK YUEN ESTATE
4. PAI TAU
5. SHEUNG WO CHE
6. WO CHE ESTATE (PART) :
   King Wo House
7. YAU OI TSUEN

District : Sha Tin

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
R03	***Wo Che Estate***			17 539	+5.66

N	Fo Tan Road, Tai Po Road - Sha Tin
NE	Fo Tan Road, Yuen Wo Road
E	Banyan Bridge, Dragon Bridge, Fo Tan Road Sha Tin Road, Shing Mun River Channel
SE	Shing Mun River Channel
S	Shing Mun River Channel
SW	Wo Che Street
W	Fung Shun Street, Wo Che Street
NW	Fung Shun Street, Tai Po Road - Sha Tin

1. WO CHE ESTATE (PART) :
   Chi Wo House
   Foo Wo House
   Fung Wo House
   Hau Wo House
   Hip Wo House
   Hong Wo House
   Man Wo House
   Mei Wo House
   Shun Wo House
   Tai Wo House
   Tak Wo House
   Yan Wo House

R04	***City One***			15 938	-3.98

N	Shing Mun River Channel
NE	Tai Chung Kiu Road
E	
SE	Chap Wai Kon Street, Cheung Shing Street Hang Shing Street, Lok Shing Street On Ming Street, Po Shing Street
S	Cheung Shing Street, Ngan Shing Street
SW	Ngan Shing Street, Sha Tin Road
W	Sha Tin Road, Shing Mun River Channel
NW	Shing Mun River Channel

1. CITY ONE SHATIN (PART) :
   Blocks 1-31

District : Sha Tin

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
R05	***Yue Shing***			15 428	-7.05

N	Cheung Shing Street, Ngan Shing Street
NE	Cheung Shing Street, Hang Shing Street Lok Shing Street, Po Shing Street
E	Chap Wai Kon Street
SE	Chap Wai Kon Street
S	Chap Wai Kon Street, Ngan Shing Street
SW	Ngan Shing Street
W	Ngan Shing Street, Sha Tin Road
NW	Ngan Shing Street

1. CITY ONE SHATIN (PART) :
   Blocks 32-52
2. SUNSHINE GROVE
3. YUE TIN COURT

District : Sha Tin

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
R06	***Wong Uk***			17 502	+5.44

N	Banyan Bridge, Fo Tan Road, Sha Tin Road Shing Mun River Channel
NE	Sha Tin Road
E	Ngan Shing Street
SE	Ngan Shing Street, Sha Tin Wai Road
S	Sha Tin Wai Road
SW	Sand Martin Bridge Sha Tin Rural Committee Road Sha Tin Wai Road
W	Sand Martin Bridge Shing Mun River Channel
NW	Shing Mun River Channel

1. BELAIR GARDENS
2. CHUEN FAI CENTRE
3. GARDEN RIVERA
4. GREEN LEAVES GARDEN
5. GREENFIELD COURT
6. GREENWOOD GARDEN
7. SHATIN PARK
8. WONG UK VILLAGE
9. YUEN CHAU KOK

District : Sha Tin

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
R07	***Sha Kok***			16 061	-3.24

N	Sha Tin Wai Road, Tai Chung Kiu Road
NE	Sha Tin Wai Road
E	Sha Kok Street, Sha Tin Wai Road
SE	Sha Kok Street
S	Sha Kok Street
SW	
W	Tai Chung Kiu Road
NW	Tai Chung Kiu Road

1. SHA KOK ESTATE
2. YUE SHING COURT

R08	***Pok Hong***			16 063	-3.23

N	Sha Kok Street, Sha Tin Wai Road
NE	Sha Tin Road, Sha Tin Wai Road
E	Sha Tin Road
SE	Sha Tin Road
S	Sha Tin Road
SW	Sha Tin Road
W	Sha Kok Street
NW	Sha Kok Street

1. FUI YIU HA AND TSE UK VILLAGE
2. POK HONG ESTATE
3. SHA TIN WAI

District : Sha Tin

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
R09	***Shui Chuen O***			20 294	+22.26

N	Sha Tin Road, Sha Tin Wai Road To Shek Street
NE	
E	
SE	District Boundary, Shatin Pass Road
S	District Boundary, Shatin Pass Road
SW	District Boundary, Wilson Trail
W	Wilson Trail
NW	

1. SHUI CHUEN O ESTATE (PART) :
   - Ching Chuen House
   - Chuk Chuen House
   - Hei Chuen House
   - Lam Chuen House
   - Ling Chuen House
   - Lok Chuen House
   - Long Chuen House
   - Mau Chuen House
   - Shan Chuen House
   - Shou Chuen House
   - Sung Chuen House
   - Tsun Chuen House
   - Yan Chuen House

District : Sha Tin

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
R10	***Jat Chuen***			19 634	+18.28

N	Sha Kok Street, Sha Tin Road Sha Tin Rural Committee Road Shing Mun River Channel Tai Chung Kiu Road
NE	Sha Tin Road
E	To Shek Street
SE	
S	
SW	
W	Lion Rock Tunnel Road
NW	Lion Rock Tunnel Road Shing Mun River Channel

1. JAT MIN CHUEN
2. SHAN HA WAI (TSANG TAI UK)
3. SHUI CHUEN O ESTATE (PART) :
   - Ho Chuen House
   - Ming Chuen House
   - Shing Chuen House
   - Ying Chuen House
   - Yuet Chuen House
4. TSOK POK HANG SAN TSUEN

District : Sha Tin

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
R11	***Chun Fung***			15 529	-6.45

N	Lion Bridge, Shing Mun River Channel
NE	Lion Bridge, Lion Rock Tunnel Road
E	Lion Rock Tunnel Road
SE	Lion Rock Tunnel Road
S	Sha Tin Tau Road
SW	Sha Tin Tau Road
W	Che Kung Miu Road, Sha Tin Tau Road
NW	Shing Mun River Channel

1. CHUN SHEK ESTATE
2. FUNG SHING COURT
3. SHA TIN TAU
4. THE RIVERPARK

Code	Recommended Name	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
R12	***Sun Tin Wai***	16 041	-3.36

N	Che Kung Miu Road, Lion Rock Tunnel Road Sha Tin Tau Road, Shing Mun River Channel
NE	Wilson Trail
E	
SE	District Boundary
S	District Boundary
SW	District Boundary, MacLehose Trail
W	Hung Mui Kuk Road, Lion Rock Tunnel Road Wilson Trail
NW	Chui Tin Street, Hung Mui Kuk Road Kak Tin Street

1. GOLDEN LION GARDEN STAGE I
2. GREENVIEW GARDEN
3. HUNG MUI KUK
4. KAK TIN
5. LEE UK VILLAGE
6. SAN TIN VILLAGE
7. SHA TIN TAU NEW VILLAGE
8. SUN TIN WAI ESTATE

District : Sha Tin

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
R13	***Chui Tin***			15 025	-9.48

N	Chui Tin Street, Hung Mui Kuk Road Kak Tin Street
NE	Hung Mui Kuk Road, Lion Rock Tunnel Road
E	Wilson Trail
SE	MacLehose Trail
S	District Boundary, Lion Rock Tunnel Road
SW	Lion Rock Tunnel Road
W	Fu Kin Street, Lion Rock Tunnel Road
NW	Chung Pak Road, Fu Kin Street

1. GOLDEN LION GARDEN STAGE II
2. KING TIN COURT
3. SUN CHUI ESTATE (PART) :
   - Sun Chun House
   - Sun Hok House
   - Sun Kit House
4. WORLD-WIDE GARDENS

R14	***Hin Ka***			13 023	-21.54

N	Che Kung Miu Road, Hin Keng Street
NE	Lion Rock Tunnel Road
E	Lion Rock Tunnel Road
SE	District Boundary, Lion Rock Tunnel Road
S	District Boundary MTR (East Rail Line) Tunnel
SW	MTR (East Rail Line) Tunnel
W	
NW	Che Kung Miu Road, Keng Hau Road MTR (East Rail Line) Tunnel

1. HIN KENG ESTATE (PART) :
   - Hin Fu House
   - Hin Kwai House
   - Hin Wan House
   - Hin Yau House
2. KA SHUN COURT
3. KA TIN COURT

District : Sha Tin

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
R15	***Lower Shing Mun***			19 554	+17.80

N	Lower Shing Mun Reservoir
NE	Mei Mun Lane, Mei Tin Road Shing Mun River Channel, Tai Wai Tunnel Tsing Sha Highway
E	MTR (East Rail Line)
SE	District Boundary, Lung Yan Road MTR (East Rail Line) Tunnel
S	District Boundary
SW	Cheung Yuen Road, District Boundary Piper's Hill Road Tai Po Road - Piper's Hill Tsing Sha Highway
W	District Boundary, MacLehose Trail
NW	District Boundary, MacLehose Trail Shing Mun Tunnel, Wilson Trail

1. GREENHEIGHT VILLA
2. LAKEVIEW GARDEN
3. MEI TIN ESTATE (PART) :
   - Mei Chi House
   - Mei King House
   - Mei Lai House
   - Mei Lok House
   - Mei Mun House
   - Mei Sau House
   - Mei Ting House
4. SHA TIN HEIGHTS
5. TAI WAI NEW VILLAGE
6. THE BLOSSOM
7. WOODCREST HILLS

District : Sha Tin

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
R16	***Wan Shing***			20 104	+21.12

N	Mei Tin Road
NE	Che Kung Miu Road, Mei Tin Road
E	Che Kung Miu Road, Fu Tin Lane
SE	Fu Tin Lane, Tin Sam Street
S	Tin Sam Street
SW	Tin Sam Street
W	
NW	MTR (East Rail Line)

1. CARADO GARDEN
2. FESTIVAL CITY I
3. FESTIVAL CITY II
4. FESTIVAL CITY III

District : Sha Tin

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
R17	***Keng Hau***			19 588	+18.01

N	Tin Sam Street
NE	Fu Kin Street, Tin Sam Street
E	Fu Kin Street
SE	Fu Kin Street, Lion Rock Tunnel Road
S	Lion Rock Tunnel Road
SW	Che Kung Miu Road, Hin Keng Street Keng Hau Road
W	Keng Hau Road, MTR (East Rail Line)
NW	MTR (East Rail Line)

1. HA KENG HAU
2. HILL PARAMOUNT
3. HIN KENG ESTATE (PART) :
   - Hin Hing House
   - Hin Pui House
   - Hin Tak House
   - Hin Yeung House
4. HIN TIN
5. HIN YIU ESTATE
6. JULIMOUNT GARDEN
7. KA KENG COURT
8. PARC ROYALE
9. SHEUNG KENG HAU

R18	***Tin Sum***			14 327	-13.69

N	Che Kung Miu Road, Hung Mui Kuk Road Mei Tin Road
NE	Hung Mui Kuk Road
E	Hung Mui Kuk Road
SE	Chung Pak Road
S	Chung Pak Road, Fu Kin Street
SW	Fu Kin Street
W	Fu Kin Street, Tin Sam Street
NW	Fu Tin Lane, Tin Sam Street

1. LUNG HANG ESTATE
2. TIN SAM

District : Sha Tin

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
R19	***Chui Ka***			18 417	+10.95

N	Chik Fai Street, Chik Fuk Street Tai Wai Road
NE	Shing Mun River Channel
E	Chui Tin Street
SE	Chui Tin Street
S	Hung Mui Kuk Road, Mei Tin Road
SW	MTR (East Rail Line), Mei Tin Road
W	MTR (East Rail Line), Tsing Sha Highway
NW	Mei Tin Road, Tsing Sha Highway

1. GRANDEUR GARDEN
2. GRANDWAY GARDEN
3. HOLFORD GARDENS
4. SUN CHUI ESTATE (PART) :
   - Sun Fong House
   - Sun Ming House
   - Sun Wai House
   - Sun Yee House
   - Sun Yuet House

R20 ***Tai Wai*** — 20 083 — +20.99

N	Mei Tin Road, Shing Mun River Channel
NE	Shing Mun River Channel
E	Shing Mun River Channel
SE	Chik Fuk Street, Shing Mun River Channel
S	Chik Fai Street, Chik Fuk Street Mei Tin Road, Tai Wai Road
SW	Mei Tin Road
W	Mei Tin Road
NW	Mei Tin Road

1. GLAMOUR GARDEN
2. KAM SHAN BUILDING
3. MAY SHING COURT
4. MEI LAM ESTATE
5. TAI WAI

District : Sha Tin

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
R21	***Chung Tin***			15 131	-8.84

N	District Boundary, MacLehose Trail
NE	To Fung Shan Road
E	Shing Mun Tunnel Road, To Fung Shan Road Tung Lo Wan Hill Road
SE	Chung Ling Lane, Mei Mun Lane Mei Tin Road, Shing Mun River Channel Shing Mun Tunnel Road Tung Lo Wan Hill Road
S	Shing Mun River Channel
SW	Lower Shing Mun Reservoir Shing Mun Tunnel
W	District Boundary, MacLehose Trail
NW	District Boundary, MacLehose Trail

1. GRANVILLE GARDEN
2. HEUNG FAN LIU NEW VILLAGE
3. MEI CHUNG COURT
4. MEI TIN ESTATE (PART) :
   Mei Chuen House
5. PARK VIEW GARDEN
6. PEAK ONE
7. TUNG LO WAN

District : Sha Tin

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
R22	***Sui Wo***			12 870	-22.47

N	Wong Chuk Yeung Tsuen Path
NE	Fo Tan Road, Tat Yip Lane
E	Fo Tan Road
SE	Fo Tan Road
S	To Fung Shan Road
SW	Shing Mun Forest Track – Needle Hill Section
W	District Boundary, MacLehose Trail
NW	District Boundary, MacLehose Trail

1. FO TAN KUK SAN TSUEN
2. FO TAN VILLAGE
3. GARDEN VILLA
4. GREENWOOD TERRACE
5. KWAI TEI NEW VILLAGE
6. KWAI TEI VILLAGE
7. SCENERY GARDEN
8. SHA TIN 33
9. SUI WO COURT
10. VILLE DE CASCADE
11. VILLE DE JARDIN
12. VOTRE PALAIS
13. WONG CHUK YEUNG

District : Sha Tin

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
R23	***Fo Tan***			20 520	+23.62

N	District Boundary
NE	District Boundary, Lai Ping Road Tai Po Road - Ma Liu Shui
E	Lai Ping Road, Lok King Street Lok Shun Path, Tai Po Road - Sha Tin Tolo Highway
SE	Fo Tan Road, Sha Tin Road Shing Mun River Channel Tai Po Road - Sha Tin, Yuen Wo Road
S	Fo Tan Road, Tat Yip Lane
SW	Wong Chuk Yeung Tsuen Path
W	MacLehose Trail
NW	District Boundary

1. DRAGONS RANGE
2. HONG KONG JOCKEY CLUB STAFF QUARTERS
3. JUBILEE GARDEN
4. LA CRESTA
5. LOK LO HA
6. MA NIU
7. THE GRANDVILLE
8. THE PALAZZO

District : Sha Tin

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
R24	***Chun Ma***			13 863	-16.48

N	Tolo Harbour, Tolo Highway
NE	District Boundary
E	Sha Tin Hoi
SE	Sha Tin Hoi, Tate's Cairn Highway
S	Shing Mun River Channel Tai Po Road - Sha Tin, Tolo Highway
SW	Lai Ping Road, Lok King Street Lok Shun Path, Tai Po Road - Sha Tin
W	Tai Po Road - Ma Liu Shui
NW	Campus Circuit North, Cheung Tai Road District Boundary

1. CHEK NAI PING
2. HONG KONG SCIENCE PARK
3. KAU TO VILLAGE
4. ROYAL ASCOT
5. THE CHINESE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG
6. WINDSOR PARK

R25 ***Hoi Nam*** — Projected Population: 12 926 — +/- % of Population Quota (16 599): -22.13

N	Hang Ming Street, Sha Tin Hoi
NE	Hang Ming Street, Sai Sha Road
E	Sai Sha Road
SE	Ma On Shan Road, Sai Sha Road
S	Yuk Tai Street
SW	Sha Tin Hoi
W	Sha Tin Hoi
NW	Sha Tin Hoi

1. BAYCREST
2. LA COSTA
3. OCEAN VIEW
4. OCEANAIRE
5. SAUSALITO

District : Sha Tin

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
R26	***Chung On***			15 840	-4.57

N	
NE	
E	Hang Hong Street
SE	Hang Kam Street
S	Hang Ming Street, Sai Sha Road
SW	Hang Ming Street
W	Sha Tin Hoi
NW	

1. CHUNG ON ESTATE
2. VISTA PARADISO

R27 ***Kam To*** — 19 781 — +19.17

N	Sha Tin Hoi
NE	On Chun Street
E	On Chun Street, On Yuen Street Sai Sha Road
SE	Sai Sha Road
S	Sai Sha Road
SW	Sha Tin Hoi
W	Sha Tin Hoi
NW	Sha Tin Hoi

1. KAM FUNG COURT
2. MARBELLA
3. THE WATERSIDE

District : Sha Tin

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
R28	***Ma On Shan Town Centre***			17 520	+5.55

N	Tolo Harbour
NE	Tolo Harbour
E	Tolo Harbour
SE	Ma On Shan Road, On Chiu Street On Luk Street, On Shing Street
S	On Chun Street, On Luk Street On Yuen Street
SW	Tolo Harbour
W	Tolo Harbour
NW	Tolo Harbour

1. BAYSHORE TOWERS
2. FOK ON GARDEN
3. FU FAI GARDEN
4. MA ON SHAN CENTRE
5. SUNSHINE CITY PHASE 4
6. THE TOLO PLACE
7. VILLA OCEANIA

R29 ***Wu Kai Sha*** — 20 592 — +24.06

N	District Boundary
NE	District Boundary
E	District Boundary, Nin Wah Road
SE	District Boundary, Ma On Shan Bypass Sai Sha Road, Sha On Street
S	Sai Sha Road
SW	On Chiu Street, Sai Sha Road
W	Tolo Harbour
NW	Tolo Harbour

1. DOUBLE COVE
2. LAKE SILVER
3. SEANORAMA
4. VILLA ATHENA
5. WU KAI SHA VILLAGE

District : Sha Tin

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
R30	***Lee On***			16 415	-1.11

N	Sai Sha Road, Sha On Street
NE	Ma On Shan Bypass
E	District Boundary
SE	District Boundary
S	
SW	Kam Ying Road, Ma On Shan Bypass
W	Kam Ying Road
NW	Sai Sha Road

1. LEE ON ESTATE
2. MONTE VISTA

R31	***Fu Lung***			16 363	-1.42

N	Sai Sha Road
NE	Sai Sha Road
E	Kam Ying Road
SE	Kam Ying Road
S	Kam Ying Road
SW	Kam Ying Road, Ma On Shan Road
W	Ma On Shan Road
NW	Ma On Shan Road, Sai Sha Road

1. KAM LUNG COURT
2. SADDLE RIDGE GARDEN
3. THE MET. BLOSSOM

District : Sha Tin

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
R32	***Kam Ying***			16 942	+2.07

N	Kam Ying Road, Ma On Shan Road On Luk Street, On Shing Street
NE	Kam Ying Road, Ma On Shan Bypass
E	Ma On Shan Bypass
SE	Ma On Shan Bypass
S	Ma On Shan Bypass, Ma On Shan Road
SW	Ma On Shan Road
W	Ma On Shan Road
NW	On Luk Street, Sai Sha Road

1. KAM YING COURT
2. PARK BELVEDERE
3. SUNSHINE CITY PHASE 1
4. SUNSHINE CITY PHASE 2
5. SUNSHINE CITY PHASE 3

R33	***Yiu On***			17 630	+6.21

N	
NE	Ma On Shan Road
E	Ma On Shan Road
SE	Ma On Shan Road
S	Hang Hong Street
SW	Hang Hong Street
W	Hang Hong Street
NW	

1. KAM HAY COURT
2. YIU ON ESTATE

District : Sha Tin

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
R34	***Heng On***			19 833	+19.48

N	Hang Hong Street, Hang Kam Street
NE	Hang Hong Street
E	Hang Hong Street, Ma On Shan Bypass
SE	Ma On Shan Bypass
S	Ma On Shan Bypass
SW	
W	Sai Sha Road
NW	Sai Sha Road

1. HENG ON ESTATE
2. KAM ON COURT

R35	***Tai Shui Hang***			18 939	+14.10

N	Ma On Shan Bypass
NE	District Boundary
E	District Boundary, MacLehose Trail
SE	District Boundary, MacLehose Trail
S	
SW	Mui Tsz Lam Road
W	A Kung Kok Street, Ma On Shan Road Mui Tsz Lam Road
NW	Ma On Shan Bypass, Ma On Shan Road Sai Sha Road

1. CHEVALIER GARDEN
2. MA ON SHAN TSUEN
3. TAI SHUI HANG
4. YAN ON ESTATE

District : Sha Tin

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
R36	***On Tai***			14 323	-13.71

N	Sha Tin Hoi, Yuk Tai Street
NE	Ma On Shan Road, Yuk Tai Street
E	Ma On Shan Road
SE	Ma On Shan Road
S	Ma On Shan Road
SW	Sha Tin Hoi
W	Sha Tin Hoi
NW	Sha Tin Hoi

1. KAM TAI COURT
2. MOUNTAIN SHORE

District : Sha Tin

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
R37	***Yu Yan***			18 617	+12.16

N	A Kung Kok Street, Mui Tsz Lam Road Shing Mun River Channel Tate's Cairn Highway
NE	Mui Tsz Lam Road
E	District Boundary, MacLehose Trail
SE	District Boundary, MacLehose Trail
S	District Boundary, MacLehose Trail Shatin Pass Road
SW	Shatin Pass Road
W	Chap Wai Kon Street, Kwong Sin Street Ngan Shing Street, On Ming Street Sha Tin Wai Road, Siu Lek Yuen Road Tate's Cairn Highway, To Shek Street
NW	A Kung Kok Shan Road Shing Mun River Channel Tate's Cairn Highway

1. AH KUNG KOK FISHERMEN VILLAGE
2. CHAP WAI KON
3. FU YUNG PEI
4. KWUN YAM SHAN VILLAGE
5. MUI TSZ LAM
6. NGAU PEI SHA
7. PRIMA VILLA
8. SIU LEK YUEN
9. TO SHEK
10. YU CHUI COURT

District : Sha Tin

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
R38	***Di Yee***			16 877	+1.67

N	On Muk Street
NE	
E	Kwong Wing Lane
SE	Kwong Wing Lane, Siu Lek Yuen Road
S	Siu Lek Yuen Road, Tate's Cairn Highway
SW	
W	
NW	On Muk Street, Tai Chung Kiu Road

1. CASTELLO
2. GREENHILL VILLA
3. SHEK MUN ESTATE PHASE 2

R39	***Bik Woo***			16 609	+0.06

N	Shing Mun River Channel
NE	Shek Mun Interchange Shing Mun River Channel Tate's Cairn Highway
E	MTR (Ma On Shan Line) Tate's Cairn Highway
SE	Tate's Cairn Highway
S	On Muk Street
SW	Tai Chung Kiu Road
W	Shing Mun River Channel
NW	Shing Mun River Channel

1. GARDEN VISTA
2. PICTORIAL GARDEN
3. RAVANA GARDEN
4. SHEK MUN ESTATE

District : Sha Tin

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
R40	***Kwong Hong***			13 200	-20.48

N	A Kung Kok Shan Road
NE	
E	
SE	
S	Kwong Wing Lane, Siu Lek Yuen Road
SW	Tate's Cairn Highway
W	Tate's Cairn Highway
NW	A Kung Kok Shan Road Tate's Cairn Highway

1. FA SAM HANG
2. HONG LAM COURT
3. KWONG LAM COURT
4. NAM SHAN
5. SHEK KWU LUNG
6. TAI CHE
7. TAI LAM LIU
8. WONG NAI TAU

Code	Recommended Name	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
R41	***Kwong Yuen***	13 263	-20.10

N	Kwong Sin Street, Siu Lek Yuen Road
NE	Siu Lek Yuen Road
E	Siu Lek Yuen Road
SE	
S	
SW	
W	Kwong Sin Street
NW	Kwong Sin Street

1. KWONG YUEN ESTATE

District : Kwai Tsing

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
S01	***Kwai Hing***			13 197	-20.50

N	Wo Tong Tsui Street, Yiu Wing Lane Yiu Wing Street
NE	Castle Peak Road - Kwai Chung
E	Kwai Chung Road
SE	Kwai Chung Road
S	Kwai Chung Road, Kwai Hing Road
SW	Kwai Hing Road
W	Tai Wo Hau Road
NW	Tai Wo Hau Road, Wo Tong Tsui Street

1. KWAI CHUN COURT
2. KWAI HING ESTATE
3. KWONG FAI CIRCUIT

District : Kwai Tsing

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
S02	***Kwai Luen***			13 492	-18.72

N	Kwai Shing Circuit
NE	Kwai Hing Road, Kwai Shing Circuit Tai Wo Hau Road
E	Kwai Chung Road, Kwai Yik Road
SE	Hing Fong Road
S	Hing Fong Road, Wing Fong Road
SW	Kwai Fuk Road, Shing Fuk Street Wing Fong Road
W	Kwai Luen Road, Kwai Shing Circuit
NW	Kwai Hau Street, Kwai Luen Road

1. HIBISCUS PARK
2. KWAI HONG COURT
3. KWAI LUEN ESTATE
4. SUN KWAI HING GARDENS

S03	***Kwai Shing East Estate***			20 194	+21.66

N	Kwai Shing Circuit
NE	Kwai Shing Circuit, Tai Wo Hau Road
E	Kwai Shing Circuit, Tai Wo Hau Road
SE	Kwai Shing Circuit
S	Kwai Shing Circuit
SW	Kwai Luen Road
W	Kwai Hau Street
NW	Kwai Shing Circuit

1. KWAI SHING EAST ESTATE

District : Kwai Tsing

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
S04	***Upper Tai Wo Hau***			13 463	-18.89

N	Tai Ha Street, Tai Wo Hau Road
NE	Tai Wo Hau Road
E	Shek Tau Street, Tai Wo Hau Road
SE	Shek Tau Street
S	Shek Tau Street
SW	
W	District Boundary, Texaco Road
NW	District Boundary, Texaco Road

1. KWAI YUNG COURT
2. TAI WO HAU ESTATE (PART) :
   - Fu Keung House
   - Fu Kwok House
   - Fu Tai House
   - Fu Tak House
   - Fu Wah House
   - Fu Wing House
   - Fu Yin House

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
S05	***Lower Tai Wo Hau***			13 158	-20.73

N	Castle Peak Road - Kwai Chung Castle Peak Road - Tsuen Wan District Boundary
NE	Castle Peak Road - Kwai Chung
E	Tai Ha Street
SE	Fu Kwai Path
S	Tai Wo Hau Road
SW	Tai Ha Street, Tai Wo Hau Road
W	District Boundary, Texaco Road
NW	District Boundary, Texaco Road

1. KWAI YIN COURT
2. TAI WO HAU ESTATE (PART) :
   - Fu Ching House
   - Fu Kwai House
   - Fu Man House
   - Fu Nga House
   - Fu On House
   - Fu Pik House
   - Fu Ping House
   - Fu Pong House
   - Fu Sau House
   - Fu Yat House

District : Kwai Tsing

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
S06	***Kwai Chung Estate South***			21 829	+31.51

N	Sheung Kok Street, Tai Wo Hau Road
NE	Tai Wo Hau Road
E	Kwai Shing Circuit, Tai Wo Hau Road
SE	Kwai Shing Circuit
S	Kwai Shing Circuit
SW	Kwai Shing Circuit
W	
NW	Fu Kwai Path, Sheung Kok Street Tai Ha Street, Tai Wo Hau Road

1. KWAI CHUNG ESTATE (PART) :
   - Chau Kwai House
   - Chin Kwai House
   - Chui Kwai House
   - Chun Kwai House
   - Ha Kwai House
   - Luk Kwai House
   - Pik Kwai House
   - Tsz Kwai House
   - Yan Kwai House
2. KWAI FUK COURT

District : Kwai Tsing

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
S07	***Kwai Chung Estate North***			20 053	+20.81

N	Castle Peak Road - Kwai Chung District Boundary
NE	Castle Peak Road - Kwai Chung District Boundary
E	Castle Peak Road - Kwai Chung Yiu Wing Lane, Yiu Wing Street
SE	Tai Wo Hau Road, Wo Tong Tsui Street
S	Sheung Kok Street, Tai Wo Hau Road
SW	Sheung Kok Street
W	Tai Ha Street
NW	Castle Peak Road - Kwai Chung District Boundary

1. KWAI CHUNG ESTATE (PART) :
   - Hiu Kwai House
   - Hop Kwai House
   - Nga Kwai House
   - Pak Kwai House
   - Yat Kwai House
   - Ying Kwai House
   - Yuk Kwai House

District : Kwai Tsing

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

S08 *Shek Yam*

Projected Population: 19 678

+/- % of Population Quota (16 599): +18.55

N	Wo Yi Hop Road
NE	Tai Pak Tin Path
E	Tai Pak Tin Street
SE	Tai Pak Tin Street, Tung Chi Street
S	Lei Muk Road
SW	Castle Peak Road - Kwai Chung
W	Cheung Wing Road, District Boundary Wo Yi Hop Road
NW	District Boundary, Wo Yi Hop Road

Major Estates/Areas:

1. NING FUNG COURT
2. SHEK YAM EAST ESTATE
3. SHEK YAM ESTATE

S09 *Tai Pak Tin West*

Projected Population: 15 123

+/- % of Population Quota (16 599): -8.89

N	Lei Muk Road, Wo Yi Hop Road
NE	Wo Yi Hop Road
E	Wo Yi Hop Road
SE	Tai Loong Street
S	Shek Pui Street, Tai Loong Street
SW	Castle Peak Road - Kwai Chung
W	Castle Peak Road - Kwai Chung
NW	Lei Muk Road

Major Estates/Areas:

1. KWAI SING CENTRE
2. PO SING CENTRE
3. THE APEX
4. VICTORY GARDEN

District : Kwai Tsing

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
S10	***Tai Pak Tin East***			16 560	-0.23
		N Tung Chi Street **NE** Tai Pak Tin Street **E** Shek Pai Street **SE** **S** Tai Pak Tin Street **SW** Tai Loong Street, Wo Yi Hop Road **W** Wo Yi Hop Road **NW** Lei Muk Road, Wo Yi Hop Road	1. KWAI CHUNG FA YUEN 2. KWAI FAT BUILDING 3. SHEK LEI (II) ESTATE (PART) : Shek Cheung House Shek Foon House Shek Fu House 4. SHUI KING BUILDING 5. TIN ON HOUSE 6. YEE FAT BUILDING		
S11	***On Yam***			16 183	-2.51
		N District Boundary, Shing Mun Road **NE** District Boundary, Shing Mun Reservoir **E** District Boundary **SE** District Boundary, MacLehose Trail **S** Shek Pai Street, Tai Pak Tin Street **SW** Tai Pak Tin Street **W** Wo Yi Hop Road **NW** Cheung Pei Shan Road, District Boundary Shing Mun Road, Wo Yi Hop Lane	1. ON YAM ESTATE		

District : Kwai Tsing

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
S12	***Shek Lei North***			15 253	-8.11

N	
NE	Shek Pai Street
E	Shek Pai Street
SE	Shek Pai Street
S	Shek Pai Street
SW	Wai Kek Street
W	Tai Loong Street
NW	Tai Pak Tin Street

1. SHEK LEI (II) ESTATE (PART) :
   - Block 10
   - Block 11
   - Shek Fook House
   - Shek Hei House
   - Shek Kwong House
   - Shek Wai House
   - Shek Wing House
   - Shek Yan House
   - Shek Yi House

Code	Recommended Name	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
S13	***Shek Lei South***	19 678	+18.55

N	Shek Pai Street
NE	District Boundary
E	District Boundary
SE	District Boundary
S	District Boundary
SW	
W	Castle Peak Road - Kwai Chung
NW	Shek Pai Street, Shek Pui Street Tai Loong Street, Wai Kek Street

1. SHEK LEI (I) ESTATE
2. SHEK LEI (II) ESTATE (PART) :
   - Shek Kai House
   - Shek Wah House
3. YI FUNG COURT

District : Kwai Tsing

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
S14	***Kwai Fong***			18 107	+9.08

N	Kwai Chung Road
NE	Castle Peak Road - Kwai Chung
E	Castle Peak Road - Kwai Chung
SE	
S	Tai Lin Pai Road
SW	Kwai Foo Road
W	Hing Fong Road, Kwai Yik Road
NW	Kwai Chung Road

1. KWAI FONG ESTATE

District : Kwai Tsing

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
S15	***Hing Fong***			14 860	-10.48

N	Hing Fong Road, Kwai Foo Road
NE	Castle Peak Road - Kwai Chung Tai Lin Pai Road
E	Castle Peak Road - Kwai Chung
SE	Castle Peak Road - Kwai Chung Lai Cho Road, Wah Yiu Road
S	Joint Street, Lai Cho Road Lai King Hill Road
SW	Kwai Fuk Road, Lai King Hill Road
W	Kwai Fuk Road
NW	Wing Fong Road

1. HA KWAI CHUNG VILLAGE
2. KWAI CHUNG PLAZA
3. KWAI FONG TERRACE
4. KWAI TSUI ESTATE
5. METROPLAZA
6. NEW KWAI FONG GARDENS

District : Kwai Tsing

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
S16	***Wah Lai***			16 580	-0.11

N	Castle Peak Road - Kwai Chung
NE	
E	
SE	Wah King Hill Road
S	Castle Peak Road - Kwai Chung
SW	Lai Chi Ling Road
W	Castle Peak Road - Kwai Chung Lai Cho Road
NW	Castle Peak Road - Kwai Chung

1. GREENKNOLL COURT
2. LAI YIU ESTATE
3. REGENCY PARK
4. TSUI YIU COURT
5. WAH YUEN CHUEN
6. WONDERLAND VILLAS

District : Kwai Tsing

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
S17	***Lai Wah***			15 916	-4.11

N	District Boundary
NE	District Boundary
E	District Boundary
SE	Ching Cheung Road, District Boundary
S	Ching Cheung Road, District Boundary
SW	Lai King Hill Road
W	
NW	Castle Peak Road - Kwai Chung Wah King Hill Road

1. CHUNG SHAN TERRACE
2. HAPPY VILLA
3. KAU WA KENG
4. KAU WA KENG SAN TSUEN
5. LAI CHI KOK BAY GARDEN
6. LAI YAN COURT
7. WAH FUNG GARDEN
8. WAH LAI ESTATE

S18	***Cho Yiu***			15 760	-5.05

N	Lai Chi Ling Road
NE	
E	Lai King Hill Road
SE	District Boundary, Lai King Hill Road
S	Kwai Chung Road
SW	Kwai Chung Road
W	King Cho Road, Lai King Hill Road
NW	Lai Cho Road

1. CHO YIU CHUEN
2. HIGHLAND PARK
3. LAI KING DISCIPLINED SERVICES QUARTERS
4. LAI KING TERRACE

District : Kwai Tsing

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
S19	***Lai King***			13 858	-16.51

N	Hing Fong Road, Kwai Fuk Road Kwai Tsing Road
NE	King Cho Road, Kwai Chung Road Lai Cho Road
E	District Boundary, Kwai Chung Interchange Kwai Chung Road, Lai King Hill Road Tsing Kwai Highway
SE	Container Port Road South, District Boundary Lai Po Road, Lin Cheung Road Hing Wah Street West
S	District Boundary, Ngong Wan Road
SW	
W	Rambler Channel
NW	Kwai Tsing Road

1. LAI KING ESTATE
2. YIN LAI COURT
3. YUET LAI COURT

District : Kwai Tsing

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
S20	***Kwai Shing West Estate***			18 062	+8.81

N	Texaco Road, Tsing Tsuen Road Tsuen Tsing Interchange
NE	Kwai Hau Street, Kwai Luen Road Kwai Shing Circuit, Shek Tau Street Tai Wo Hau Road
E	Hing Fong Road, Kwai Fuk Road Shing Fuk Street
SE	Kwai Tsing Road
S	Kwai Tsing Road
SW	Rambler Channel
W	Rambler Channel
NW	Tsing Tsuen Road

1. HIGH PROSPERITY TERRACE
2. HORIZON PLACE
3. KWAI SHING WEST ESTATE

District : Kwai Tsing

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
S21	***On Ho***			19 763	+19.06

N	District Boundary
NE	District Boundary, Rambler Channel
E	District Boundary, Rambler Channel
SE	Rambler Channel, Tsing Tsuen Road
S	Tsing Tsuen Road
SW	Tam Kon Shan Interchange, Tsing King Road Tsing Tsuen Road Tsing Yi North Coastal Road
W	Nga Ying Chau Street
NW	District Boundary, Nga Ying Chau Street

1. CHEUNG ON ESTATE (PART) :
   - On Chiu House
   - On Mei House
   - On Pak House
   - On Wu House
2. EASEFUL COURT
3. VILLA ESPLANADA

District : Kwai Tsing

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
S22	***Wai Ying***			19 475	+17.33

N	Tsing Tsuen Road
NE	Rambler Channel, Tsing Tsuen Road
E	Rambler Channel
SE	Rambler Channel
S	Tsing King Road, Tsing Luk Street
SW	Tsing Luk Street
W	Fung Shue Wo Road
NW	Tam Kon Shan Interchange

1. BROADVIEW GARDEN
2. ST. PAUL'S VILLAGE
3. TIERRA VERDE
4. TIVOLI GARDEN
5. TSING YI FISHERMEN VILLAGE

S23	***Tsing Yi Estate***			15 419	-7.11

N	
NE	Tsing King Road, Tsing Luk Street
E	Tsing King Road
SE	Fung Shue Wo Road Tsing Yi Heung Sze Wui Road
S	Fung Shue Wo Road
SW	Fung Shue Wo Road
W	Fung Shue Wo Road
NW	Fung Shue Wo Road

1. GREENVIEW VILLA
2. TSING YI ESTATE
3. TSING YI GARDEN

District : Kwai Tsing

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
S24	***Greenfield***			18 232	+9.84

N	Fung Shue Wo Road
NE	Tsing King Road
E	Rambler Channel
SE	Kwai Tsing Road Tsing Yi Heung Sze Wui Road
S	Chung Mei Road
SW	Chung Mei Road, Tsing Yi Road West
W	Tsing Yi Road West
NW	Fung Shue Wo Road, Tsing Yi Road West

1. CHUNG MEI LO UK VILLAGE
2. GRAND HORIZON
3. GREENFIELD GARDEN
4. LAM TIN RESITE VILLAGE
5. SAN UK RESITE VILLAGE
6. SERENE GARDEN
7. TAI WONG HA RESITE VILLAGE
8. TSING FAI SAN TSUEN
9. TSING YU NEW VILLAGE
10. YIM TIN KOK RESITE VILLAGE

District : Kwai Tsing

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
S25	***Cheung Ching***			19 684	+18.59

N	Chung Mei Road Tsing Yi Heung Sze Wui Road
NE	Tsing Yi Heung Sze Wui Road
E	Tsing Yi Heung Sze Wui Road Tsing Yi Interchange
SE	Tsing Yi Road
S	Tsing Yi Road
SW	Sai Shan Road
W	Ching Hong Road
NW	Chung Mei Road

1. CHEUNG CHING ESTATE
2. CHING CHUN COURT
3. MAYFAIR GARDENS

S26	***Cheung Hong***			14 099	-15.06

N	Ching Hong Road
NE	Chung Mei Road
E	
SE	Tsing Chin Street
S	Tsing Chin Street
SW	
W	Tsing Yi Road West
NW	Ching Hong Road

1. CHEUNG HONG ESTATE (PART) :
   - Hong Fu House
   - Hong Kwai House
   - Hong Tai House
   - Hong Wah House
   - Hong Wing House
   - Hong Wo House
2. CHING WAH COURT

District : Kwai Tsing

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
S27	***Shing Hong***			14 902	-10.22

N	Chung Mei Road
NE	Chung Mei Road
E	
SE	Ching Hong Road
S	Ching Hong Road
SW	Ching Hong Road
W	Tsing Yi Road West
NW	

1. CHEUNG HONG ESTATE (PART) :
   - Hong Cheung House
   - Hong Fung House
   - Hong Mei House
   - Hong On House
   - Hong Ping House
   - Hong Shing House
   - Hong Shun House
2. CHING SHING COURT

District : Kwai Tsing

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
S28	***Tsing Yi South***			17 278	+4.09

N	Liu To Road, Tsing Yi Road West
NE	Ching Hong Road, Sai Shan Road Tsing Yi Interchange, Tsing Yi Road
E	Chi Ngong Road, Rambler Channel Stonecutters Bridge
SE	District Boundary
S	District Boundary
SW	District Boundary
W	District Boundary, Ma Wan Channel
NW	District Boundary, Lantau Link Tsing Ma Bridge

1. CHEUNG WANG ESTATE
2. MOUNT HAVEN
3. RAMBLER CREST

District : Kwai Tsing

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
S29	***Cheung Hang***			13 366	-19.48

N	District Boundary
NE	Tsing Yi North Coastal Road
E	Fung Shue Wo Road Tam Kon Shan Interchange Tsing Yi Road West
SE	
S	
SW	Tsing Ma Bridge
W	District Boundary, Ma Wan Channel
NW	District Boundary

1. CHEUNG HANG ESTATE

S30	***Ching Fat***			17 863	+7.61

N	District Boundary
NE	District Boundary
E	Nga Ying Chau Street
SE	Tam Kon Shan Road, Tsing King Road
S	Tsing Yi North Coastal Road
SW	Tsing Yi North Coastal Road
W	
NW	District Boundary

1. CHEUNG FAT ESTATE
2. CHING NGA COURT
3. CHING TAI COURT
4. CHING WANG COURT

District : Kwai Tsing

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
S31	***Cheung On***			12 739	-23.25

N	Tam Kon Shan Road
NE	Tam Kon Shan Road
E	Tsing King Road
SE	Tam Kon Shan Interchange, Tsing King Road
S	Tsing Yi North Coastal Road
SW	Tsing Yi North Coastal Road
W	Tam Kon Shan Road
NW	Tam Kon Shan Road

1. CHEUNG ON ESTATE (PART) :
   - On Ching House
   - On Hoi House
   - On Kong House
   - On Tao House
   - On Yeung House
   - On Yun House

District : Islands

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
T01	***Lantau***			24 685	+48.71

N	Chek Lap Kok South Road District Boundary, North Lantau Highway Shun Tung Road, South Perimeter Road Tung Chung Eastern Interchange Yu Tung Road
NE	District Boundary, Lo Fu Tau, Tai Che Tung
E	Adamasta Channel, Chi Ma Wan Silver Mine Bay
SE	Adamasta Channel, Chi Ma Wan Peninsula Shek Kwu Chau
S	District Boundary, Soko Islands
SW	District Boundary, Lantau Channel
W	District Boundary, Tai O
NW	District Boundary South-west of Chek Lap Kok

1. CHEUNG SHA
2. LUNG TIN ESTATE
3. MA WAN NEW VILLAGE
4. NGAN WAN ESTATE
5. SCENIC CREST
6. SEA RANCH
7. SHEK LAU PO VILLAGE
8. TONG FUK

District : Islands

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
T02	***Mun Yat***			23 475	+41.42

N	Yat Tung Street
NE	Chung Yan Road
E	Tung Chung Road
SE	Tung Chung Road
S	Tung Chung Road
SW	Chung Mun Road
W	Yu Tung Road
NW	

1. MUN TUNG ESTATE
2. YAT TUNG (I) ESTATE (PART) :
   - Chau Yat House
   - Chi Yat House
   - Fuk Yat House
   - Heung Yat House
   - Luk Yat House
   - Ping Yat House
   - Sin Yat House
   - Tai Yat House
   - Ying Yat House
   - Yu Yat House
   - Yung Yat House

T03	***Yat Tung Estate North***			24 772	+49.24

N	Yat Tung Street
NE	Chung Yan Road
E	Chung Yan Road
SE	Chung Yan Road, Yu Tung Road
S	Yu Tung Road
SW	Yat Tung Street
W	Yat Tung Street
NW	

1. YAT TUNG (I) ESTATE (PART) :
   - Ching Yat House
   - Hong Yat House
2. YAT TUNG (II) ESTATE

District : Islands

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
T04	***Tung Chung South***			21 213	+27.80

N	Tung Chung Waterfront Road
NE	Man Tung Road, Wai Tung Road
E	North Lantau Highway Tung Chung Eastern Interchange
SE	Yu Tung Road
S	Shun Tung Road, Yu Tung Road
SW	Shun Tung Road
W	Shun Tung Road
NW	Tung Chung Waterfront Road

1. FU TUNG ESTATE
2. SEAVIEW CRESCENT
3. TUNG CHUNG CRESCENT
4. YU TUNG COURT

District : Islands

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
T05	***Tung Chung Central***			20 712	+24.78

N	Kin Tung Road, Yi Tung Road
NE	Ying Hei Road
E	MTR (Airport Express) North Lantau Highway
SE	North Lantau Highway
S	North Lantau Highway Tung Chung Eastern Interchange
SW	North Lantau Highway
W	Wai Tung Road
NW	Tung Chung Waterfront Road, Wai Tung Road

1. CARIBBEAN COAST (PART) :
   - Albany Cove
   - Carmel Cove
   - Crystal Cove
   - Monterey Cove
2. COASTAL SKYLINE
3. LA ROSSA
4. LE BLEU DEUX

District : Islands

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
T06	***Tung Chung North***			19 398	+16.86

N	District Boundary
NE	District Boundary
E	
SE	Kin Tung Road, North Lantau Highway Tung Chung Waterfront Road, Yi Tung Road Ying Hei Road
S	Chek Lap Kok South Road South Perimeter Road
SW	South Perimeter Road
W	District Boundary
NW	District Boundary

1. CENTURY LINK
2. THE VISIONARY
3. CARIBBEAN COAST (PART) :
   La Mer
4. YING TUNG ESTATE

District : Islands

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
T07	***Discovery Bay***			20 016	+20.59

N	District Boundary
NE	District Boundary
E	District Boundary
SE	North of Siu Kau Yi Chau
S	Marina Drive, North of Peng Chau
SW	Hai Tei Wan, Marina Drive, North of Mui Wo
W	Discovery Bay Tunnel, Lo Fu Tau
NW	District Boundary, Tai Che Tung

1. AMALFI
2. BEACH VILLAGE
3. CHIANTI
4. GREENVALE VILLAGE
5. HILLGROVE VILLAGE
6. LA COSTA
7. PARKRIDGE VILLAGE
8. PARKVALE VILLAGE
9. PENINSULA VILLAGE
10. SIENA TWO

T08	***Peng Chau & Hei Ling Chau***			6 622	-60.11

N	Siu Kau Yi Chau
NE	Kau Yi Chau
E	District Boundary
SE	West Lamma Channel
S	West Lamma Channel
SW	Hei Ling Chau Typhoon Shelter
W	
NW	Hai Tei Wan

1. HEI LING CHAU STAFF QUARTER
2. KAM PENG ESTATE
3. NAM WAN
4. NIM SHUE WAN
5. PENG LAI COURT
6. TUNG WAN
7. YUEN LING TSAI

District : Islands

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
T09	***Lamma & Po Toi***			6 501	-60.83

N	District Boundary, West Lamma Channel
NE	District Boundary, East Lamma Channel
E	District Boundary, Po Toi Islands Waglan Island
SE	District Boundary
S	District Boundary
SW	District Boundary
W	Part of the Sea East of Cheung Chau West Lamma Channel
NW	West Lamma Channel

1. LO SO SHING
2. LO TIK WAN
3. LUK CHAU
4. MO TAT
5. PAK KOK
6. PO TOI
7. SOK KWU WAN
8. TAI PENG TSUEN
9. TAI WAN
10. YUNG SHUE WAN

District : Islands

Recommended District Council Constituency Areas

Code	Recommended Name	Boundary Description	Major Estates/Areas	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
T10	***Cheung Chau***			21 752	+31.04

N	Adamasta Channel
NE	South of Hei Ling Chau
E	West Lamma Channel
SE	Part of the Sea South of Cheung Chau
S	Part of the Sea South of Cheung Chau
SW	East of Shek Kwu Chau
W	Cheung Chau Typhoon Shelter
NW	Adamasta Channel

1. CHEUNG KWAI ESTATE
2. FA PENG KNOLL
3. GREENERY CREST
4. KO SHAN TSUEN
5. LUNG TSAI TSUEN
6. PAK SHE SAN TSUEN
7. SAI WAN CARE VILLAGE
8. SCENIC GARDEN
9. TAI KWAI WAN SAN TSUEN
10. TAI TSOI YUEN KUI

第三冊
VOLUME 3
第一部分:申述書(序號 1 – 3425)
PART I : PUBLIC REPRESENTATIONS (SERIAL NOS. 1 – 3425)

目錄
TABLE OF CONTENTS

個別人士及機構提交的書面申述
WRITTEN REPRESENTATIONS SUBMITTED BY INDIVIDUALS AND ORGANISATIONS

序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	頁數 Page
中西區 Central & Western District						
001	來信者沒有署名	002	Dr Joseph Chiu	003	中西區區議員楊開永	1
004	中西區區議員吳兆康	005	中西區區議員伍凱欣			1-2
灣仔區 Wan Chai District						
006	梁樂山	007	李先生	008	鄺就富	3-5
009	陸曼華	010	陳錦華	011	張淑卿	5
012	Leung Choy Yuk	013	李建榮	014	王太	6
015	Ronald Org	016	Rita Tang	017	謝慧玲	6-7
018	Mabel Wong Chiu Yi	019	陳啟聰	020	灣仔區議員伍婉婷	7-8
021	Patrick Kwong	022	高寶齡	023	灣仔區議員楊雪盈	8-14
024	香港希雲街十七號至十九號 A 業主立案法團	025	香港希雲街十三號至十五號 A 業主立案法團	026	加路連山道 13, 13A, 15 及 15A 號業主立案法團	14-15
027	香港希雲街廿三號至廿五號 A 業主立案法團	028	吳英華	029	Ng Wai Lan	15-17
030	黃轉優	031	無法辨認來信者姓名	032	Sam Ho	17
033	盧嘉英	034	陳蔡彩雲	035	David Cheung	18
036	來信者沒有署名	037	Tam Wai Po	038	鍾文華	18-19
039	張錦滿	040	陳秀清	041	Yip Cheuk Yam Kenneth	19-20
042	林鐵民	043	Li Yuk Yip	044	吳淑雲	20
045	郭愛	046	徐金鳳	047	無法辨認來信者姓名	20-21
048	Wing Lau	049	無法辨認來信者姓名	050	Ken Lau	21-22
051	楊逸男	052	無法辨認來信者姓名	053	Kelvin Lam	22-23
054	麥珍	055	無法辨認來信者姓名	056	胡慧玲	23
057	陳秀珍	058	劉志堅、劉啟勳	059	Siu Yin Ling	24
060	龍榮英	061	Hui Man Hong	062	無法辨認來信者姓名	24-25
063	Betty Choi	064	Choi Hoi Ki	065	CK	25-26
066	盧仲君	067	William Wang	068	無法辨認來信者姓名	26
069	Ho Siu Tsui	070	江偉明	071	黃錦源	27

序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	頁數 Page
072	Ng Sau Ching	073	黃煥英	074	曾敏玉	27-28
075	莊文儀	076	無法辨認來信者姓名	077	無法辨認來信者姓名	28-29
078	無法辨認來信者姓名	079	Sze Kai	080	Chan Wai Man	29
081	無法辨認來信者姓名	082	W. N .Chan	083	無法辨認來信者姓名	30
084	無法辨認來信者姓名	085	Shin Ka Sing	086	陳敏嫻	30-31
087	孔銀嬋	088	Shin Wing Ki	089	Steve Gordon	31-32
090	朱婕儀	091	楊楚鈞	092	聶家豪	32
093	關美施	094	沈培成	095	朱家燕	33
096	陳菊蘭	097	周玉蘭	098	朱家龍	33-34
099	無法辨認來信者姓名	100	無法辨認來信者姓名	101	陳娟娟	34-35
102	湯子佩	103	陳樹英	104	無法辨認來信者姓名	35
105	盧寶貞	106	趙潔貞	107	Carrel Yu	36
108	無法辨認來信者姓名	109	何美德	110	無法辨認來信者姓名	36-37
111	吳國堅	112	林健	113	司徒秀	37-38
114	王珠翠	115	Fung Yee Lin	116	Lui Sum Ling	38
117	Ng Sau Wan	118	關瑞珍	119	無法辨認來信者姓名	39
120	Hui Hau Ying	121	無法辨認來信者姓名	122	Lau Wing Keung	39-40
123	陳立康	124	陳冠光	125	朱志銳	40-41
126	蘇煒揚	127	鄭光華	128	無法辨認來信者姓名	41
129	無法辨認來信者姓名	130	Cheung Kok Fai	131	Cheung King Him	42
132	無法辨認來信者姓名	133	馮月明	134	陳立基	42-43
135	無法辨認來信者姓名	136	梁剛瑋	137	林永森	43-44
138	Ip Pui Wing	139	Lee Chung Yan	140	Pau Chu Cho	44
141	區少嫻	142	無法辨認來信者姓名	143	無法辨認來信者姓名	45
144	冼紹輝	145	無法辨認來信者姓名	146	無法辨認來信者姓名	45-46
147	Wong Ho Ming	148	張麗英、黃浩明	149	呂容	46-47
150	灣仔區議員鍾嘉敏及載有 126 個市民簽署	151	無法辨認來信者姓名	152	黃勝美	47-51
153	李倩碧	154	Tom Shui Chee	155	黃柏青	51
156	無法辨認來信者姓名	157	無法辨認來信者姓名	158	蔡文卿	51
159	無法辨認來信者姓名	160	蘇明智	161	Lo Mei Ling	51-52
162	無法辨認來信者姓名	163	無法辨認來信者姓名	164	無法辨認來信者姓名	52
165	劉梓強	166	無法辨認來信者姓名	167	Betty Ng	52
168	Chan Shun Man 陳順文	169	無法辨認來信者姓名	170	無法辨認來信者姓名	52-53
171	周燕玲	172	劉植國	173	無法辨認來信者姓名	53
174	無法辨認來信者姓名	175	無法辨認來信者姓名	176	蘇尚明	53
177	Alex Lee	178	Wan Po Ying	179	Kubota Yoshiaki	53-54
180	胡龍鳳	181	無法辨認來信者姓名	182	歐德美	54
183	Ip Wai Yee Susanna	184	無法辨認來信者姓名	185	黎穎詩	54-55
186	黃瑞霞	187	無法辨認來信者姓名	188	李龍興	56
189	無法辨認來信者姓名	190	李美麗	191	Lee Lai Hing	56
192	宮琦仙菲雅	193	無法辨認來信者姓名	194	無法辨認來信者姓名	56
195	胡少華	196	無法辨認來信者姓名	197	無法辨認來信者姓名	57
198	來信者沒有署名	199	無法辨認來信者姓名	200	無法辨認來信者姓名	57

序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	頁數 Page
201	Ip Wai Yee Susanna	202	Kerrie Wong	203	文化力量	58
東區 Eastern District						
204	盧先生	205	梁小姐	206	一名市民	59
207	來信者要求身份保密	208	來信者要求身份保密	209	何炳基	59-61
210	無法辨認來信者姓名	211	潘其安	212	孫焯均	62
213	劉麗菁	214	郭金有	215	無法辨認來信者姓名	62
216	許去景	217	楊思革	218	Ng Kam Chi	62
219	莊長勝	220	無法辨認來信者姓名	221	程杏有	63
222	莊專忠	223	吳培英	224	馮寶漢	63
225	無法辨認來信者姓名	226	黃謝梅	227	郭智彰	63
228	無法辨認來信者姓名	229	李娣	230	無法辨認來信者姓名	64
231	何偉	232	無法辨認來信者姓名	233	賴惠儀	64
234	林秀珍	235	張華光	236	馮肖珍	64
237	筲箕灣小市民	238	來信者沒有署名	239	耀東邨居民	65
240	筲箕灣社區辦事處社區組織主任 Eugene Chan 陳溢謙	241	Ada	242	東區區議員鄭達鴻	65-68
243	東區區議員王志鍾	244	來信者沒有署名	245	出雲祐二	68-70
南區 Southern District						
沒有收到書面申述書						
油尖旺區 Yau Tsim Mong District						
246	來信者沒有署名	247	1970 地下起異總幹事梁壽洪	248	Paul Kumar, Tsim Sha Tsui Residents Concern Group	71-72
249	Mary Mulvihill	250	油尖旺區議員余德寶			72
深水埗區 Sham Shui Po District						
251	深水埗區議員袁海文	252	沈少雄	253	來信者沒有署名	73
254	C.K. Pang	255	深水埗居民聯會	256	澤安邨富澤樓互助委員會、澤安邨麗澤樓互助委員會、澤安邨榮澤樓互助委員會、澤安邨華澤樓互助委員會、吳美議員辦事處	74
257	9 座互助委員會、11 座互助委員會、吳美議員辦事處	258	深水埗區議員梁有方	259	温國雄 MH	74-75
260	深水埗區議員伍月蘭	261	深水埗區議員譚國僑、深水埗區議員何啟明	262	深水埗區議員甄啟榮、白田邨居民協會蕭麗娟	75-77

序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	頁數 Page
九龍城區 Kowloon City District						
263	來信者要求身份保密	264	公民黨九龍西支部地區發展主任溫仲然先生	265	煥然壹居業主立案法團	78-79
266	九龍城區議員張仁康	267	來信者沒有署名	268	海濱南岸居民	79-80
269	劉偉榮	270	潘國華	271	來信者沒有署名	80
272	來信者沒有署名	273	Adrian Lu	274	劉小姐	81
275	來信者沒有署名	276	來信者沒有署名	277	來信者沒有署名	81-82
278	葉先生	279	紅土家	280	Calvin	82-83
281	九龍城區議員鄺葆賢醫生					83
黃大仙區 Wong Tai Sin District						
282	陳俊銘	283	慈雲山區居民袁先生	284	竹園北邨業主立案法團主席溫育新	84-85
285	黃大仙區議員施德來	286	李麗珍	287	游秀蓮	85-89
288	馬智勇	289	沈中庭	290	吳朝旭	89
291	無法辨認來信者姓名	292	吳烈通	293	無法辨認來信者姓名	89
294	無法辨認來信者姓名	295	梁少雯	296	無法辨認來信者姓名	89-90
297	無法辨認來信者姓名	298	無法辨認來信者姓名	299	無法辨認來信者姓名	90
300	陳琪珍	301	無法辨認來信者姓名	302	無法辨認來信者姓名	90
303	無法辨認來信者姓名	304	無法辨認來信者姓名	305	無法辨認來信者姓名	90-91
306	無法辨認來信者姓名	307	無法辨認來信者姓名	308	無法辨認來信者姓名	91
309	無法辨認來信者姓名	310	Yau Sau Wah	311	無法辨認來信者姓名	91
312	無法辨認來信者姓名	313	無法辨認來信者姓名	314	無法辨認來信者姓名	91-92
315	Li Kong Lin	316	無法辨認來信者姓名	317	無法辨認來信者姓名	92
318	無法辨認來信者姓名	319	無法辨認來信者姓名	320	無法辨認來信者姓名	92
321	無法辨認來信者姓名	322	Lai Kwong Lin	323	陳俊	92-93
324	黃禮光	325	胡嘉萍	326	伍瑞儀	93
327	何太	328	林淑芳	329	無法辨認來信者姓名	93
330	無法辨認來信者姓名	331	吳燕美	332	溫雄佳	93-94
333	吳潔冰	334	區碧君	335	Leslie Shiu	94
336	陳惠嬌	337	無法辨認來信者姓名	338	無法辨認來信者姓名	94
339	陳麗華	340	無法辨認來信者姓名	341	無法辨認來信者姓名	94-95
342	無法辨認來信者姓名	343	陳青	344	袁詩妮	95
345	劉耀光	346	郭瑞雯	347	黃庭	95
348	無法辨認來信者姓名	349	無法辨認來信者姓名	350	無法辨認來信者姓名	95-96
351	無法辨認來信者姓名	352	無法辨認來信者姓名	353	無法辨認來信者姓名	96
354	蘭君	355	無法辨認來信者姓名	356	無法辨認來信者姓名	96
357	無法辨認來信者姓名	358	張瑞卿	359	王劍明	96-97
360	無法辨認來信者姓名	361	無法辨認來信者姓名	362	吳志輝	97
363	刁桂瑩	364	無法辨認來信者姓名	365	無法辨認來信者姓名	97
366	無法辨認來信者姓名	367	無法辨認來信者姓名	368	Edwin Lee	97-98
369	湯瑞芳	370	無法辨認來信者姓名	371	趙娥卿	98

序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	頁數 Page
372	無法辨認來信者姓名	373	無法辨認來信者姓名	374	無法辨認來信者姓名	98
375	無法辨認來信者姓名	376	無法辨認來信者姓名	377	無法辨認來信者姓名	98-99
378	無法辨認來信者姓名	379	徐秀雲	380	無法辨認來信者姓名	99
381	無法辨認來信者姓名	382	無法辨認來信者姓名	383	無法辨認來信者姓名	99
384	無法辨認來信者姓名	385	無法辨認來信者姓名	386	無法辨認來信者姓名	99-100
387	L. M. Tam	388	陳鈺玫	389	無法辨認來信者姓名	100
390	無法辨認來信者姓名	391	Lam Sui Tak	392	無法辨認來信者姓名	100
393	胡麗珍	394	劉綺華	395	周運香	100-101
396	無法辨認來信者姓名	397	梁順意	398	Lam Sui Wa	101
399	李鳳珠	400	陳世佳	401	無法辨認來信者姓名	101
402	無法辨認來信者姓名	403	廖瑞斌	404	無法辨認來信者姓名	101-102
405	無法辨認來信者姓名	406	無法辨認來信者姓名	407	丘世武	102
408	無法辨認來信者姓名	409	So Ming Yan	410	無法辨認來信者姓名	102
411	蘇盼嬋	412	無法辨認來信者姓名	413	無法辨認來信者姓名	102-103
414	無法辨認來信者姓名	415	無法辨認來信者姓名	416	無法辨認來信者姓名	103
417	李仲平	418	無法辨認來信者姓名	419	無法辨認來信者姓名	103
420	無法辨認來信者姓名	421	彭婉儀	422	李國華	103-104
423	方少蘭	424	鄧淑儀	425	林守禮	104
426	陳瑜玲	427	羅淑儀	428	無法辨認來信者姓名	104
429	羅群開	430	葉偉樂	431	羅煥田	104-105
432	郭惠娟	433	無法辨認來信者姓名	434	無法辨認來信者姓名	105
435	葉志輝	436	廖天華	437	林志榮	105
438	無法辨認來信者姓名	439	葉桂琼	440	無法辨認來信者姓名	105-106
441	無法辨認來信者姓名	442	溫志強	443	無法辨認來信者姓名	106
444	無法辨認來信者姓名	445	無法辨認來信者姓名	446	無法辨認來信者姓名	106
447	梁惠琼	448	曾展雲	449	無法辨認來信者姓名	106-107
450	Wong Pui Leung	451	無法辨認來信者姓名	452	侯彥霞	107
453	Ip Wai Han	454	無法辨認來信者姓名	455	無法辨認來信者姓名	107
456	陸源長	457	無法辨認來信者姓名	458	Kwong Lai	107-108
459	無法辨認來信者姓名	460	謝永健	461	無法辨認來信者姓名	108
462	無法辨認來信者姓名	463	劉文健	464	何慶珍	108
465	陳君	466	Judy	467	劉艷卿	108-109
468	張秀清	469	無法辨認來信者姓名	470	杜玉嬋	109
471	K. C. Lee	472	劉佩珍	473	鍾克強	109
474	無法辨認來信者姓名	475	無法辨認來信者姓名	476	無法辨認來信者姓名	109-110
477	無法辨認來信者姓名	478	譚麗施	479	Ng Kwok Yan	110
480	無法辨認來信者姓名	481	謝健庭	482	楊薇	110
483	孫桂清	484	溫錦棠	485	無法辨認來信者姓名	110-111
486	無法辨認來信者姓名	487	無法辨認來信者姓名	488	鄧貴財	111
489	Man Wing Hong	490	王菊華	491	陳秀珠	111
492	無法辨認來信者姓名	493	Lisa Chong	494	C. C. Kwok	111-112
495	無法辨認來信者姓名	496	無法辨認來信者姓名	497	無法辨認來信者姓名	112
498	Wong Siu Yu	499	無法辨認來信者姓名	500	無法辨認來信者姓名	112
501	無法辨認來信者姓名	502	無法辨認來信者姓名	503	羅佩華	112-113

序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	頁數 Page
504	無法辨認來信者姓名	505	練新炎	506	林志強	113
507	無法辨認來信者姓名	508	無法辨認來信者姓名	509	無法辨認來信者姓名	113
510	廖其麟	511	蔡麗卿	512	廖財江	113-114
513	廖其斌	514	廖富珊	515	陳淑燕	114
516	無法辨認來信者姓名	517	梁皓程	518	無法辨認來信者姓名	114
519	無法辨認來信者姓名	520	馮麗芬	521	陳德雄	114-115
522	無法辨認來信者姓名	523	無法辨認來信者姓名	524	曾憲謹	115
525	彭耀發	526	Lau Ka Lee	527	李玉屏	115
528	無法辨認來信者姓名	529	無法辨認來信者姓名	530	張麗娟	115-116
531	符瑞意	532	梁振榮	533	無法辨認來信者姓名	116
534	無法辨認來信者姓名	535	無法辨認來信者姓名	536	苗碧齊	116
537	Au Chi Man	538	陳玉珍	539	無法辨認來信者姓名	116-117
540	無法辨認來信者姓名	541	無法辨認來信者姓名	542	無法辨認來信者姓名	117
543	楊大光	544	無法辨認來信者姓名	545	無法辨認來信者姓名	117
546	林中華	547	無法辨認來信者姓名	548	無法辨認來信者姓名	117-118
549	Bonnie	550	Stephen Lau	551	梁君	118
552	無法辨認來信者姓名	553	李慧貞	554	張燕飛	118
555	周耀南	556	李潔蓮	557	Jessie Chan	118-119
558	黃有啟	559	無法辨認來信者姓名	560	蕭梓灼	119
561	林玉珍	562	無法辨認來信者姓名	563	無法辨認來信者姓名	119
564	何漢傑	565	Lau Hiu To	566	盧國寶	119-120
567	盧智康	568	江杏嬋	569	余彩鳳	120
570	無法辨認來信者姓名	571	Lam Pui Fan	572	無法辨認來信者姓名	120
573	葉惠玲	574	李偉傑	575	邱月玲	120-121
576	無法辨認來信者姓名	577	謝子鴻	578	無法辨認來信者姓名	121
579	陳松發	580	Kenny Mak	581	無法辨認來信者姓名	121
582	趙中德	583	無法辨認來信者姓名	584	陳綺雲	121-122
585	張王治	586	陳美蓮	587	Wong 君	122
588	無法辨認來信者姓名	589	無法辨認來信者姓名	590	羅惜貞	122
591	袁秋妹	592	趙中德	593	Ng Ho Yin	122-123
594	Lam Nga Sze	595	Tai Yuk Yu	596	Lam Mau San	123
597	呂木成	598	Ng Hei Man	599	陳國強	123
600	東九龍居民委員會	601	胡志健	602	東九龍居民委員會社區幹事郭先生	124-125
603	黃大仙區議員雷啟蓮	604	陳嘉偉	605	竹園邨互助協進會主席區少貞	125-127
606	竹園北邨業主居民權益會會長蕭鉅權、主席王仲恩	607	竹園北邨業主租戶聯盟主席何偉民	608	來信者沒有署名	128

觀塘區 Kwun Tong District

609	Lau Siu Tin	610	陳先生	611	來信者沒有署名	129
612	Ming Yue	613	楊錦華	614	李小平	129-130

序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	頁數 Page
615	黃美蓮	616	鄭偉強	617	秀茂坪區居民聯會	130-131
618	陳可宜	619	陳東	620	吳雪妮	132-133
621	蔡開明	622	許惠貞	623	許宜開	133
624	陳福	625	熊妙華	626	高清河	133
627	陳水嬌	628	楊劍連	629	陳麗英	133-134
630	陳淑芳	631	方棋修	632	陳金佛	134
633	曹樹貞	634	李月愛	635	蔡福弟	134
636	鍾自然	637	陳玉珍	638	林積江	134-135
639	林燦垣	640	陳錫波	641	李吉超	135
642	李海安	643	黃明珍	644	黎淑卿	135
645	鄺志明	646	鄧玉如	647	葉偉杰	135-136
648	黃運蘭	649	黃丙輝	650	黃笑安	136
651	李妙環	652	劉來珍	653	陳素蘭	136
654	區惠珍	655	吳淑蘭	656	石偉堅	136-137
657	吳碧珊	658	謝秀月	659	鄭國慶	137
660	馮珠	661	王瑞蘭	662	冼帶娣	137
663	余仲	664	徐金棠	665	鄭聖煌	137-138
666	吳麗麗	667	鄭堃芳	668	鄭堃涼	138
669	謝文蚶	670	黃志强	671	蕭觀發	138
672	黃麗霞	673	阮均映	674	阮均紅	138-139
675	黃銀玉	676	余喜梅	677	葉娣	139
678	張群娣	679	陳金容	680	葉燕祥	139
681	潘婉嬋	682	黎月桂	683	李時劍	139-140
684	陳麗慈	685	曾利香	686	關結蘭	140
687	何樹基	688	無法辨認來信者姓名	689	陳願	140
690	梁麗娟	691	雷霞	692	蔡秀燕	140-141
693	歐郁明	694	李麗霞	695	劉真	141
696	劉翠萍	697	吳淑玲	698	李樹桂	141
699	陳小藍	700	黃惠珍	701	陳秀清	141-142
702	蘇燕萍	703	陳佩儀	704	徐秀燕	142
705	譚偉卿	706	陸鳳蓮	707	陳金蘭	142
708	梁南	709	譚五顏	710	何清香	142-143
711	吳劍	712	陳帝枝	713	無法辨認來信者姓名	143
714	江彩貞	715	李道英	716	趙瑩兒	143
717	李萍	718	張少芬	719	陳成	143-144
720	莫全女	721	李笑芳	722	無法辨認來信者姓名	144
723	陳嘉豪	724	伍美玲	725	Chan Ho Man	144
726	葉維好	727	鍾昊恩	728	趙正安	144-145
729	林玉珍	730	陳秀琴	731	鄭之英	145
732	無法辨認來信者姓名	733	譚翠	734	無法辨認來信者姓名	145
735	梁桂蓮	736	無法辨認來信者姓名	737	強美珠	145-146
738	張妹	739	陳玉珍	740	黃月好	146
741	黃媽枝	742	陳永漢	743	無法辨認來信者姓名	146
744	胡素玲	745	張愛仲	746	吳永香	146-147

序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	頁數 Page
747	黃其英	748	林見開	749	馬潤蓮	147
750	陳玉嬋	751	江秀雲	752	無法辨認來信者姓名	147
753	陳四	754	施容	755	羅桂英	147-148
756	梁桂好	757	戴玉春	758	馬婉秀	148
759	黎月華	760	林健國	761	梁月彩	148
762	徐來妹	763	李慧玲	764	司徒蝶英	148-149
765	楊麗貞	766	柯耀全	767	林秀娟	149
768	柯文達	769	陳玉清	770	柯晉霖	149
771	鄺勁英	772	譚月雲	773	吳漢旺	149-150
774	吳炳恒	775	黃煥玲	776	無法辨認來信者姓名	150
777	羅亞妹	778	張珮珊	779	張麗君	150
780	無法辨認來信者姓名	781	周國友	782	吳麗珠	150-151
783	黃鳳梅	784	梁南	785	瞿佳	151
786	譚瑞燕	787	劉麗瓊	788	關愛珍	151
789	黃震暉	790	黃運坤	791	孫凌志	151-152
792	朱英	793	李麗桃	794	陳坤城	152
795	無法辨認來信者姓名	796	何美婭	797	陳群	152
798	黎瑞琼	799	張楚雲	800	鄭琴鳳	152-153
801	Au Man Kit	802	Wan Chin Yu	803	翁鎮光	153
804	李倩影	805	李生	806	吳桂蓮	153
807	劉秘娣	808	勞月仙	809	陳世琼	153-154
810	李珍	811	彭小容	812	曾元英	154
813	周女	814	李寶玲	815	何寶珠	154
816	黃瑞芳	817	楊嬌	818	麥永亮	154-155
819	麥瑞娥	820	黃慧嫦	821	申國強	155
822	無法辨認來信者姓名	823	無法辨認來信者姓名	824	羅杏桃	155
825	無法辨認來信者姓名	826	黎麗琼	827	羅蘭香	155-156
828	梁家成	829	孫利利	830	無法辨認來信者姓名	156
831	孫翠環	832	劉炳基	833	黎永日	156
834	葉秀英	835	劉炳耀	836	錢志强	156-157
837	江鳳儀	838	歐桂歡	839	余麗芳	157
840	無法辨認來信者姓名	841	李桂英	842	司徒雁女	157
843	無法辨認來信者姓名	844	黃麗珍	845	李興才	157-158
846	徐少金	847	盧根基	848	陳長鴻	158
849	汪長有	850	無法辨認來信者姓名	851	何雪芳	158
852	無法辨認來信者姓名	853	屈有成	854	吳錫金	158-159
855	王淑琼	856	黃國安	857	莊永凱	159
858	黎惠常	859	劉佩珍	860	李家揚	159
861	梁偉文	862	無法辨認來信者姓名	863	黃鳳蘭	159-160
864	馮關愛	865	無法辨認來信者姓名	866	冼淑英	160
867	無法辨認來信者姓名	868	無法辨認來信者姓名	869	馬惠芳	160
870	何基隆	871	楊志平	872	范方如	160-161
873	郭錫照	874	周順嬋	875	黃漢文	161
876	李美妹	877	無法辨認來信者姓名	878	羅振強	161

序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	頁數 Page
879	葉在歡	880	陳君	881	陳權	161-162
882	無法辨認來信者姓名	883	黃麗珍	884	徐國輝	162
885	李順女	886	無法辨認來信者姓名	887	譚彩蓮	162
888	無法辨認來信者姓名	889	曹金彪	890	沈三妹	162-163
891	鄧愛群	892	麥錦泉	893	陸錦榮	163
894	鄧歡	895	陳國勳	896	顏倫興	163
897	陳奐在	898	李君	899	張錦鵬	163-164
900	無法辨認來信者姓名	901	張瑞英	902	無法辨認來信者姓名	164
903	莊金貝	904	張彩蘭	905	陳玲	164
906	劉業威	907	劉麗茵	908	吳令嫻	164-165
909	Lee Oi Mei	910	李昌秀	911	林月歡	165
912	吳並	913	劉澤樺	914	劉凌翔	165
915	鄭生	916	吳敬有	917	布寶英	165-166
918	曾芳	919	無法辨認來信者姓名	920	李水	166
921	陳群	922	馮瑞甜	923	黃惠卿	166
924	江玉玲	925	鍾麗	926	湯珍	166-167
927	溫煥好	928	劉澤宏	929	羅榮	167
930	無法辨認來信者姓名	931	羅妹	932	無法辨認來信者姓名	167
933	王輝	934	呂太	935	王國全	167-168
936	莫觀樺	937	無法辨認來信者姓名	938	王見容	168
939	無法辨認來信者姓名	940	無法辨認來信者姓名	941	無法辨認來信者姓名	168
942	何愛蘭	943	無法辨認來信者姓名	944	黃連興	168-169
945	黎潤芳	946	伍彩嫦	947	無法辨認來信者姓名	169
948	黃春梅	949	無法辨認來信者姓名	950	無法辨認來信者姓名	169
951	唐日卿	952	李菊珠	953	高潔環	169-170
954	徐新銀	955	無法辨認來信者姓名	956	羅艷芬	170
957	湯少英	958	黃艷玲	959	張可盈	170
960	馮秀瑜	961	莊惠玉	962	KC Chau	170-171
963	劉鳳儀	964	李明	965	吳俊熙	171
966	林秀潤	967	李煥蓮	968	Au Shuk Ha	171
969	林小紅	970	曹鳳好	971	譚慧	171-172
972	無法辨認來信者姓名	973	無法辨認來信者姓名	974	盧儀女	172
975	李美芬	976	陳惠萍	977	陳俊宇	172
978	劉雲萍	979	陳沛潮	980	無法辨認來信者姓名	172-173
981	無法辨認來信者姓名	982	陳娟娟	983	葉月媚	173
984	劉碧英	985	無法辨認來信者姓名	986	劉天生	173
987	周碧霞	988	林栢倫	989	劉天生	173-174
990	曾照明	991	王秋英	992	周雪霞	174
993	何愛玉	994	周幗英	995	霍建英	174
996	無法辨認來信者姓名	997	無法辨認來信者姓名	998	譚嘉萍	174-175
999	陳淑霞	1000	無法辨認來信者姓名	1001	黃寶蓮	175
1002	周秀妹	1003	伍瑞仙	1004	蘇財明	175
1005	無法辨認來信者姓名	1006	無法辨認來信者姓名	1007	丘瑞蘭	175-176
1008	吳建鋒	1009	無法辨認來信者姓名	1010	黃惠玲	176

序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	頁數 Page
1011	楊林清	1012	羅風琪	1013	吳秀英	176
1014	呂金秀	1015	凌兆鈿	1016	潘能強	176-177
1017	無法辨認來信者姓名	1018	徐銘遠	1019	庾麗萍	177
1020	無法辨認來信者姓名	1021	陳蓮卿	1022	李澤銘	177
1023	無法辨認來信者姓名	1024	游建佳	1025	陳仁秀	177-178
1026	鄺遠綿	1027	無法辨認來信者姓名	1028	珍君	178
1029	黃卓然	1030	張美儀	1031	無法辨認來信者姓名	178
1032	李彩鳳	1033	梁柳雲	1034	無法辨認來信者姓名	178-179
1035	Tracy Ho	1036	無法辨認來信者姓名	1037	無法辨認來信者姓名	179
1038	曾麗敏	1039	余國堯	1040	Chu Kwok Wai	179
1041	蔡運嬌	1042	劉佩芳	1043	曾雪梅	179-180
1044	無法辨認來信者姓名	1045	高觀平	1046	無法辨認來信者姓名	180
1047	無法辨認來信者姓名	1048	李海	1049	黃深板	180
1050	余秀蓮	1051	無法辨認來信者姓名	1052	黃子杜	180-181
1053	張佩麗	1054	無法辨認來信者姓名	1055	無法辨認來信者姓名	181
1056	李廣鎮	1057	葉萬全	1058	蔣瑞卿	181
1059	周達成	1060	林月琴	1061	無法辨認來信者姓名	181-182
1062	周湛	1063	無法辨認來信者姓名	1064	羅淑霞	182
1065	伍建強	1066	無法辨認來信者姓名	1067	蒙紹光	182
1068	陳明皆	1069	無法辨認來信者姓名	1070	潘君圯	182-183
1071	蕭碧賢	1072	無法辨認來信者姓名	1073	黃秀蓮	183
1074	陳兆滋	1075	徐肖	1076	楊春媚	183
1077	無法辨認來信者姓名	1078	吳君	1079	黃佩珍	183-184
1080	李惠珍	1081	蔡錦愛	1082	潘志德	184
1083	趙永祥	1084	麥自強	1085	雷雁玲	184
1086	賴桂英	1087	無法辨認來信者姓名	1088	李信輝	184-185
1089	無法辨認來信者姓名	1090	黃茲泓	1091	周惠香	185
1092	周擴平	1093	周俊成	1094	周俊雄	185
1095	周俊賢	1096	林和強	1097	楊燕芳	185-186
1098	鍾就彩	1099	李楷晨	1100	李東霖	186
1101	陳錫安	1102	無法辨認來信者姓名	1103	陳嘉莉	186
1104	張浩彬	1105	庾渭婷	1106	利如心	186-187
1107	無法辨認來信者姓名	1108	陳劍玲	1109	梁情芳	187
1110	楊愛蘭	1111	無法辨認來信者姓名	1112	戴燕芬	187
1113	黃鑽順	1114	余月燕	1115	許有為	187-189
1116	周鳳兒	1117	歐陽碧秀	1118	無法辨認來信者姓名	190-191
1119	梁慧儀	1120	無法辨認來信者姓名	1121	何明	191
1122	楊金蘭	1123	無法辨認來信者姓名	1124	賀小英	191
1125	鄺嘉榆	1126	郭文英	1127	陳月珍	191-192
1128	無法辨認來信者姓名	1129	黃雪良	1130	李妹	192
1131	無法辨認來信者姓名	1132	鍾耀偉	1133	鍾建英	192
1134	鄭秉湛	1135	江惠華	1136	吳少蓮	192-193
1137	無法辨認來信者姓名	1138	蔡應傳	1139	無法辨認來信者姓名	193
1140	王志禮	1141	葉國華	1142	潘雲雲	193

序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	頁數 Page
1143	徐娣	1144	李木凡	1145	李嘉雯	193-194
1146	無法辨認來信者姓名	1147	無法辨認來信者姓名	1148	余酒娘	194
1149	唐富良	1150	無法辨認來信者姓名	1151	吳海媚	194
1152	趙錦安	1153	梁成輝	1154	張尚園	194-195
1155	李惠容	1156	何國華	1157	無法辨認來信者姓名	195
1158	王靜芳	1159	陳銀	1160	文帶娣	195
1161	無法辨認來信者姓名	1162	葉銀桂	1163	無法辨認來信者姓名	195-196
1164	李笑媛	1165	無法辨認來信者姓名	1166	無法辨認來信者姓名	196
1167	吳廣民	1168	黃義威	1169	劉亞東	196
1170	洪銀麗	1171	周敬秀	1172	陳嘉偉	196-197
1173	陳靜	1174	陳健	1175	張麗芳	197
1176	龔滿如	1177	鄭玉玲	1178	吳美儀	197
1179	陳芳妹	1180	無法辨認來信者姓名	1181	陳偉英	197-198
1182	杜仲蘭	1183	無法辨認來信者姓名	1184	葉翠霞	198
1185	何鎮棠	1186	陳麗	1187	KC Ho	198
1188	何愛思	1189	無法辨認來信者姓名	1190	無法辨認來信者姓名	198-199
1191	無法辨認來信者姓名	1192	黎秀蓮	1193	胡桂香	199
1194	梁桂好	1195	黃仙娣	1196	黎永日	199
1197	無法辨認來信者姓名	1198	無法辨認來信者姓名	1199	無法辨認來信者姓名	199-200
1200	倪炳龍	1201	無法辨認來信者姓名	1202	陳翠花	200
1203	無法辨認來信者姓名	1204	無法辨認來信者姓名	1205	無法辨認來信者姓名	200
1206	余麗嬋	1207	無法辨認來信者姓名	1208	無法辨認來信者姓名	200-201
1209	練鳳娣	1210	朱嬋娟	1211	吳雲秀	201
1212	羅建華	1213	Ku Wai Fong	1214	高玉珍	201
1215	龍仕根	1216	無法辨認來信者姓名	1217	彭伙仔	201-202
1218	無法辨認來信者姓名	1219	蔡結珠	1220	無法辨認來信者姓名	202
1221	林子卿	1222	劉學純	1223	吳彩妹	202
1224	無法辨認來信者姓名	1225	無法辨認來信者姓名	1226	許因因	202-203
1227	張瑞雄	1228	朱悅明	1229	Hung Lai Han	203
1230	傅泳恩	1231	李翠露	1232	鄧曉晴	203
1233	李四妹	1234	盧仙珍	1235	魏瑞燕	203-204
1236	無法辨認來信者姓名	1237	陳偉良	1238	劉桂平	204
1239	施漢奇	1240	吳倩兒	1241	譚傑琳	204
1242	無法辨認來信者姓名	1243	無法辨認來信者姓名	1244	Chen Yan	204-205
1245	陳蘭卿	1246	李錦河	1247	周清華	205
1248	方玉芝	1249	Winnie Choy	1250	莊秀琼	205
1251	李映彤	1252	無法辨認來信者姓名	1253	周慧珠	205-206
1254	林連友	1255	無法辨認來信者姓名	1256	無法辨認來信者姓名	206
1257	無法辨認來信者姓名	1258	陳珍	1259	陳才娣	206
1260	張妹	1261	無法辨認來信者姓名	1262	王子明	206-207
1263	陳天富	1264	朱松吉	1265	張志高	207
1266	無法辨認來信者姓名	1267	無法辨認來信者姓名	1268	無法辨認來信者姓名	207
1269	楊少蓮	1270	趙美嬌	1271	無法辨認來信者姓名	207-208
1272	無法辨認來信者姓名	1273	無法辨認來信者姓名	1274	李連相	208

序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	頁數 Page
1275	鄭花	1276	曾賢	1277	林景貞	208
1278	黃妙玲	1279	黃瑞治	1280	戴瑞興	208-209
1281	無法辨認來信者姓名	1282	陳玉琴	1283	鍾桂花	209
1284	陳沛德	1285	無法辨認來信者姓名	1286	鄧玉珍	209
1287	無法辨認來信者姓名	1288	黃芷晴	1289	伍仲甜	209-210
1290	無法辨認來信者姓名	1291	無法辨認來信者姓名	1292	溫穎莉	210
1293	溫定強	1294	無法辨認來信者姓名	1295	無法辨認來信者姓名	210
1296	無法辨認來信者姓名	1297	鄭元妹	1298	無法辨認來信者姓名	210-211
1299	薛小羽	1300	無法辨認來信者姓名	1301	彭瑞珍	211
1302	黃麗雲	1303	劉奎峰	1304	鄒合潔	211
1305	李健雲	1306	無法辨認來信者姓名	1307	梁群英	211-212
1308	無法辨認來信者姓名	1309	蔡秀文	1310	無法辨認來信者姓名	212
1311	吳美嬋	1312	無法辨認來信者姓名	1313	趙有剛	212
1314	無法辨認來信者姓名	1315	無法辨認來信者姓名	1316	無法辨認來信者姓名	212-213
1317	李秀娟	1318	無法辨認來信者姓名	1319	余巧笑	213
1320	吳麗雲	1321	王玉英	1322	無法辨認來信者姓名	213
1323	無法辨認來信者姓名	1324	朱女	1325	陳良	213-214
1326	賴仕琼	1327	謝秀月	1328	鄭玉新	214
1329	柯少球	1330	無法辨認來信者姓名	1331	無法辨認來信者姓名	214
1332	楊賽琴	1333	陳映豐	1334	周月芳	214-215
1335	無法辨認來信者姓名	1336	無法辨認來信者姓名	1337	無法辨認來信者姓名	215
1338	無法辨認來信者姓名	1339	麥章	1340	盧棉英	215
1341	無法辨認來信者姓名	1342	江譚玉甜	1343	無法辨認來信者姓名	215-216
1344	無法辨認來信者姓名	1345	林錦蘭	1346	無法辨認來信者姓名	216
1347	馮瑞好	1348	無法辨認來信者姓名	1349	無法辨認來信者姓名	216
1350	楊彩蓮	1351	無法辨認來信者姓名	1352	無法辨認來信者姓名	216-217
1353	陳燕芳	1354	洪美嬌	1355	盧林有	217
1356	無法辨認來信者姓名	1357	黃美珍	1358	無法辨認來信者姓名	217
1359	無法辨認來信者姓名	1360	無法辨認來信者姓名	1361	吳亞積	217-218
1362	劉仁輝	1363	無法辨認來信者姓名	1364	無法辨認來信者姓名	218
1365	江意雲	1366	陳悅嬌	1367	葉發枝	218
1368	梁國	1369	李招娣	1370	衛富謙	218-219
1371	鄭楚蓮	1372	無法辨認來信者姓名	1373	梁國基	219
1374	黃美穩	1375	無法辨認來信者姓名	1376	無法辨認來信者姓名	219
1377	無法辨認來信者姓名	1378	無法辨認來信者姓名	1379	無法辨認來信者姓名	219-220
1380	曾炳權	1381	無法辨認來信者姓名	1382	無法辨認來信者姓名	220
1383	黃雲香	1384	蕭金涌	1385	無法辨認來信者姓名	220
1386	曾月清	1387	趙震煥	1388	譚卓夫	220-221
1389	趙端財	1390	無法辨認來信者姓名	1391	無法辨認來信者姓名	221
1392	林美麗	1393	趙玉清	1394	潘兆勳	221
1395	黎志强	1396	黃金鶯	1397	陳碧媚	221-222
1398	梁麗喜	1399	黃賢育	1400	無法辨認來信者姓名	222
1401	黃侶婷	1402	黃嘉琦	1403	區明寶	222
1404	郭秀梅	1405	莫翠香	1406	無法辨認來信者姓名	222-223

序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	頁數 Page
1407	譚鎮濤	1408	李泳褕	1409	李志威	223
1410	無法辨認來信者姓名	1411	何燕珠	1412	傅成椿	223
1413	陳嬋珠	1414	李萍	1415	淩兆鈿	223-224
1416	曾魯	1417	無法辨認來信者姓名	1418	司徒芷淇	224
1419	司徒月彤	1420	陳梅開	1421	劉惠明	224
1422	黃麗嫦	1423	周淑芬	1424	陳少群	224-225
1425	王選英	1426	無法辨認來信者姓名	1427	李淑彬	225
1428	林月嬌	1429	梁雪馨	1430	周立英	225
1431	覃少花	1432	盧樹群	1433	無法辨認來信者姓名	225-226
1434	冼金泉	1435	無法辨認來信者姓名	1436	無法辨認來信者姓名	226
1437	潘麗容	1438	麥傑琤	1439	無法辨認來信者姓名	226
1440	劉振華	1441	許秋月	1442	熊麗芳	226-227
1443	布翠萍	1444	姚肖娟	1445	無法辨認來信者姓名	227
1446	無法辨認來信者姓名	1447	無法辨認來信者姓名	1448	胡秀芳	227
1449	盧輝華	1450	無法辨認來信者姓名	1451	無法辨認來信者姓名	227-228
1452	李潤光	1453	施寶守	1454	無法辨認來信者姓名	228
1455	侯佩貞	1456	無法辨認來信者姓名	1457	無法辨認來信者姓名	228
1458	無法辨認來信者姓名	1459	李瑞琴	1460	無法辨認來信者姓名	228-229
1461	無法辨認來信者姓名	1462	劉玉珍	1463	何少芬	229
1464	無法辨認來信者姓名	1465	劉月珍	1466	無法辨認來信者姓名	229
1467	羅家順	1468	陳靜芳	1469	許美儀	229-230
1470	葉玉媚	1471	無法辨認來信者姓名	1472	何明	230
1473	林笑容	1474	無法辨認來信者姓名	1475	柯碧鑾	230
1476	麥宏栩	1477	麥萃瑩	1478	無法辨認來信者姓名	230-231
1479	張帶娣	1480	梁景勛	1481	無法辨認來信者姓名	231
1482	張慧琳	1483	無法辨認來信者姓名	1484	無法辨認來信者姓名	231
1485	周顯德	1486	鍾秋桂	1487	余玉梅	231-232
1488	陳巧容	1489	王清珠	1490	無法辨認來信者姓名	232
1491	無法辨認來信者姓名	1492	林美鑾	1493	余顏英	232
1494	林襯客	1495	無法辨認來信者姓名	1496	鄭雅慧	232-233
1497	余杏容	1498	無法辨認來信者姓名	1499	譚翠萍	233
1500	無法辨認來信者姓名	1501	林妹	1502	何孝慶	233
1503	無法辨認來信者姓名	1504	盧嘉欣	1505	關寶巾	233-234
1506	林麗華	1507	無法辨認來信者姓名	1508	無法辨認來信者姓名	234
1509	黎順英	1510	江偉蘭	1511	鄭淑楨	234
1512	梁浩潮	1513	陳潤冰	1514	陳英	234-235
1515	周海清	1516	陳少英	1517	劉美雲	235
1518	吳偉民	1519	陳偉平	1520	林賽珠	235
1521	馮甜	1522	無法辨認來信者姓名	1523	無法辨認來信者姓名	235-236
1524	無法辨認來信者姓名	1525	李朝正	1526	鄧玉梅	236
1527	無法辨認來信者姓名	1528	伍海泉	1529	張秀然	236
1530	無法辨認來信者姓名	1531	李偉林	1532	無法辨認來信者姓名	236-237
1533	王慧茹	1534	敖艷娟	1535	梁彬源	237
1536	無法辨認來信者姓名	1537	黃容橋	1538	張志平	237

序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	頁數 Page
1539	無法辨認來信者姓名	1540	張仁遠	1541	無法辨認來信者姓名	237-238
1542	無法辨認來信者姓名	1543	無法辨認來信者姓名	1544	呂珠潔	238
1545	Yu Yin Sheung	1546	無法辨認來信者姓名	1547	何玉珠	238
1548	無法辨認來信者姓名	1549	吳仕強	1550	曾伙帶	238-239
1551	無法辨認來信者姓名	1552	許玉心	1553	林妹	239
1554	無法辨認來信者姓名	1555	施比玉	1556	利潤如	239
1557	張彩雲	1558	無法辨認來信者姓名	1559	謝冠杏	239-240
1560	陳燕彤	1561	黃秀香	1562	無法辨認來信者姓名	240
1563	無法辨認來信者姓名	1564	麥杏冰	1565	李家銘	240
1566	王碧枝	1567	李靜	1568	朱琴芳	240-241
1569	無法辨認來信者姓名	1570	王嘉怡	1571	無法辨認來信者姓名	241
1572	趙松喜	1573	鄭玉蓮	1574	羅權順	241
1575	無法辨認來信者姓名	1576	梁桂	1577	無法辨認來信者姓名	241-242
1578	李悅愛	1579	無法辨認來信者姓名	1580	無法辨認來信者姓名	242
1581	劉敏儀	1582	任寧亮	1583	無法辨認來信者姓名	242
1584	無法辨認來信者姓名	1585	無法辨認來信者姓名	1586	關妙嫻	242-243
1587	無法辨認來信者姓名	1588	黃國偉	1589	黃麗華	243
1590	林國星	1591	無法辨認來信者姓名	1592	梁美霞	243
1593	無法辨認來信者姓名	1594	何笑棠	1595	無法辨認來信者姓名	243-244
1596	無法辨認來信者姓名	1597	無法辨認來信者姓名	1598	陳雪貞	244
1599	邵財笑	1600	無法辨認來信者姓名	1601	蕭淑娟	244
1602	陳月明	1603	余妙霞	1604	崔玉琼	244-245
1605	梁艷卿	1606	賴彥庭	1607	陳寶琼	245
1608	林佩欣	1609	盧詠珊	1610	潘寶英	245
1611	黃明先	1612	結蘭	1613	鄧佳兼	245-246
1614	黃顏	1615	周萬芬	1616	李木森	246
1617	無法辨認來信者姓名	1618	鄧素清	1619	伍佩琼	246
1620	吳志明	1621	梁明玉	1622	譚麗萍	246-247
1623	梁潔貞	1624	無法辨認來信者姓名	1625	梁達文	247
1626	無法辨認來信者姓名	1627	無法辨認來信者姓名	1628	鄧清燕	247
1629	Tam Sau Mui	1630	寧英雪	1631	黃心	247-248
1632	無法辨認來信者姓名	1633	無法辨認來信者姓名	1634	鄭福枝	248
1635	黃嬋娟	1636	來信者沒有署名	1637	毛北章	248
1638	秀緻樓互助委員會、秀景樓互助委員會、秀裕樓互助委員會、秀慧樓互助委員會、秀賢樓互助委員會、秀程樓互助委員會、秀暉樓互助委員會	1639	秀茂坪街坊福利會	1640	秀茂坪潮僑街坊盂蘭勝會	249

序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	頁數 Page
1641	招利聖君古廟有限公司	1642	秀暉樓互助委員會主席李招娣、副主席陳錦秋、秘書何雪芳、司庫石偉堅、核數林月歡	1643	啓田大廈業主立案法團主席張勝松	249-250
1644	順秀粵曲賢藝社	1645	聯光佛堂有限公司	1646	新青年秀茂坪	251
1647	樂雅苑居民 Ken Yu	1648	城市智庫選舉研究委員辦	1649	立法會議員李慧琼、立法會議員柯創盛、觀塘區議員張培剛	251-252
1650	來信者要求身份保密	1651	觀塘區議員黃春平	1652	杜振光	253-254
1653	來信者沒有署名	1654	來信者沒有署名	1655	林斯婷	254-255
1656	葉永紹	1657	無法辨認來信者姓名	1658	無法辨認來信者姓名	256-257
1659	無法辨認來信者姓名	1660	王玉霞	1661	王妹仔	257
1662	林巧珠	1663	龍九根	1664	馮仲屏	257
1665	葉仕歡	1666	呂嬋	1667	劉永光	257-258
1668	吳秋蘭	1669	翁日光	1670	馬嬋英	258
1671	袁靜	1672	林清波	1673	無法辨認來信者姓名	258
1674	無法辨認來信者姓名	1675	無法辨認來信者姓名	1676	何桂嬌	258-259
1677	無法辨認來信者姓名	1678	無法辨認來信者姓名	1679	無法辨認來信者姓名	259
1680	何仙妹	1681	岑錦疇	1682	伍巧秀	259
1683	嚴群妹	1684	無法辨認來信者姓名	1685	郭榮君	259-260
1686	無法辨認來信者姓名	1687	徐貴花	1688	無法辨認來信者姓名	260
1689	李思玲	1690	無法辨認來信者姓名	1691	葉美秋	260
1692	無法辨認來信者姓名	1693	無法辨認來信者姓名	1694	楊麗君	260-261
1695	無法辨認來信者姓名	1696	馮錦全	1697	劉月明	261
1698	林利君	1699	無法辨認來信者姓名	1700	陳社昌	261
1701	胡小姐	1702	無法辨認來信者姓名	1703	梁君	261-262
1704	馮銀如	1705	無法辨認來信者姓名	1706	丘翠馨	262
1707	李愛	1708	蔡永生	1709	王贊光	262
1710	王燕玲	1711	韓麗華	1712	陳國強	262-263
1713	陳國和	1714	張秀芳	1715	謝月風	263
1716	蔡玉英	1717	鍾婆婆	1718	何秀萍	263
1719	無法辨認來信者姓名	1720	鄺錦平	1721	楊桂英	263-264
1722	曾令	1723	梁惠金	1724	何麗琼	264
1725	無法辨認來信者姓名	1726	黃輔麟	1727	無法辨認來信者姓名	264
1728	胡惠英	1729	無法辨認來信者姓名	1730	無法辨認來信者姓名	264-265
1731	無法辨認來信者姓名	1732	無法辨認來信者姓名	1733	蘇永仁	265
1734	黃玉興	1735	無法辨認來信者姓名	1736	梁道常	265
1737	無法辨認來信者姓名	1738	吳雪芳	1739	周淑映	265-266
1740	王英妹	1741	無法辨認來信者姓名	1742	關健	266
1743	無法辨認來信者姓名	1744	無法辨認來信者姓名	1745	常定德	266
1746	梁如意	1747	無法辨認來信者姓名	1748	劉振華	266-267
1749	無法辨認來信者姓名	1750	張有笑	1751	黃錦秀	267
1752	無法辨認來信者姓名	1753	彭細君	1754	劉志聯	267

序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	頁數 Page
1755	無法辨認來信者姓名	1756	無法辨認來信者姓名	1757	陳錦和	267-268
1758	馮麟	1759	張玉英	1760	無法辨認來信者姓名	268
1761	陳佩玉	1762	無法辨認來信者姓名	1763	阮月桂	268
1764	陳嘉偉	1765	黃棠	1766	趙君	268-269
1767	劉定章	1768	無法辨認來信者姓名	1769	無法辨認來信者姓名	269
1770	無法辨認來信者姓名	1771	無法辨認來信者姓名	1772	黃林	269
1773	無法辨認來信者姓名	1774	羅堯女	1775	無法辨認來信者姓名	269-270
1776	梁君	1777	梁潤金	1778	馬金道	270
1779	來信者沒有署名	1780	無法辨認來信者姓名	1781	無法辨認來信者姓名	270
1782	無法辨認來信者姓名	1783	梁錦英	1784	姜滿耀	270-271
1785	郭妙宜	1786	周全和	1787	周秀君	271
1788	陳英	1789	鄧君	1790	無法辨認來信者姓名	271
1791	無法辨認來信者姓名	1792	無法辨認來信者姓名	1793	林麗琴	271-272
1794	莊秀平	1795	周少英	1796	無法辨認來信者姓名	272
1797	周煒銓	1798	無法辨認來信者姓名	1799	唐務杰	272
1800	陳真有	1801	何珠	1802	梁華	272-273
1803	無法辨認來信者姓名	1804	無法辨認來信者姓名	1805	施華揚	273
1806	洪天生	1807	蔡振儉	1808	鍾葵玉	273
1809	岑鍵朗	1810	李君	1811	周秀英	273-274
1812	王明麗	1813	傅文光	1814	盧藉生	274
1815	何鳳嬌	1816	無法辨認來信者姓名	1817	龔美珠	274
1818	劉燕芳	1819	莊信振	1820	蘇鳳	274-275
1821	無法辨認來信者姓名	1822	李利容	1823	無法辨認來信者姓名	275
1824	梁玉珍	1825	無法辨認來信者姓名	1826	無法辨認來信者姓名	275
1827	劉紹偉	1828	冼太	1829	陳陽	275-276
1830	無法辨認來信者姓名	1831	韓麗華	1832	劉瑞雲	276
1833	盧松青	1834	無法辨認來信者姓名	1835	無法辨認來信者姓名	276
1836	余金暖	1837	李進平	1838	余妙容	276-277
1839	龍婭	1840	林新嬌	1841	陳彩雲	277
1842	鄺明香	1843	珍儀	1844	無法辨認來信者姓名	277
1845	丘永芳	1846	莫建明	1847	黃艷玲	277-278
1848	李思琴	1849	無法辨認來信者姓名	1850	無法辨認來信者姓名	278
1851	黎志祥	1852	岑豪珍	1853	連耀卿	278
1854	無法辨認來信者姓名	1855	無法辨認來信者姓名	1856	楊少萍	278-279
1857	鄭海怡	1858	鄭海苓	1859	羅瑞群	279
1860	鄭偉開	1861	無法辨認來信者姓名	1862	羅迪蓬	279
1863	郭雪瑩	1864	無法辨認來信者姓名	1865	葉玉梅	279-280
1866	厲愛弟	1867	楊太	1868	無法辨認來信者姓名	280
1869	無法辨認來信者姓名	1870	無法辨認來信者姓名	1871	無法辨認來信者姓名	280
1872	黃綺蓮	1873	郭韻芝	1874	郭偉強	280-281
1875	呂玉蓮	1876	Blow Lee	1877	無法辨認來信者姓名	281
1878	無法辨認來信者姓名	1879	無法辨認來信者姓名	1880	梁玉瑜	281
1881	衛志清	1882	劉錫根	1883	董浩波	281-282
1884	無法辨認來信者姓名	1885	無法辨認來信者姓名	1886	鄔愛蓮	282

序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	頁數 Page
1887	Sin Ka Wai	1888	黃妙娟	1889	無法辨認來信者姓名	282
1890	無法辨認來信者姓名	1891	無法辨認來信者姓名	1892	芳君	282-283
1893	劉煥鶯	1894	鄺愷欣	1895	岑萬莉	283
1896	黃綺連	1897	Kenneth	1898	無法辨認來信者姓名	283
1899	陳滿爐	1900	羅結球	1901	周少容	283-284
1902	李雪顏	1903	梁秀顏	1904	無法辨認來信者姓名	284
1905	蔡香茹	1906	羅多好	1907	無法辨認來信者姓名	284
1908	楊福有	1909	馮帶喜	1910	徐秀珠	284-285
1911	毛北章	1912	無法辨認來信者姓名	1913	劉觀友	285
1914	小梅	1915	無法辨認來信者姓名	1916	鄒文波	285
1917	陳秀英	1918	陳志强	1919	方其進	285-286
1920	包太	1921	郭桂玲	1922	無法辨認來信者姓名	286
1923	無法辨認來信者姓名	1924	無法辨認來信者姓名	1925	張妹	286
1926	陳瑞葵	1927	關潔霞	1928	李鑽崧	286-287
1929	無法辨認來信者姓名	1930	陳君	1931	達平	287
1932	洪少文	1933	麥桂香	1934	吳翠雲	287
1935	施清源	1936	無法辨認來信者姓名	1937	無法辨認來信者姓名	287-288
1938	無法辨認來信者姓名	1939	蔡金娥	1940	葉冠雄	288
1941	張小燕	1942	無法辨認來信者姓名	1943	鍾麗媚	288
1944	陳鋒	1945	蘇家濠	1946	無法辨認來信者姓名	288-289
1947	陳亞炎	1948	陳月	1949	蔡寶嬋	289
1950	樊惜愛	1951	無法辨認來信者姓名	1952	莊清潔	289
1953	吳婉琴	1954	鍾濙鋒	1955	麥玉	289-290
1956	譚松沾	1957	無法辨認來信者姓名	1958	陳婉媚	290
1959	無法辨認來信者姓名	1960	朱群英	1961	張金妹	290
1962	黃玉波	1963	譚小姐	1964	黃鳳雲	290-291
1965	無法辨認來信者姓名	1966	王萬桃	1967	無法辨認來信者姓名	291
1968	陳根旭	1969	無法辨認來信者姓名	1970	無法辨認來信者姓名	291
1971	郭珍英	1972	薛笑媚	1973	梁靜萍	291-292
1974	伍恩娣	1975	羅瑞瓊	1976	無法辨認來信者姓名	292
1977	司徒女	1978	張遇笑	1979	無法辨認來信者姓名	292
1980	無法辨認來信者姓名	1981	無法辨認來信者姓名	1982	無法辨認來信者姓名	292-293
1983	無法辨認來信者姓名	1984	無法辨認來信者姓名	1985	無法辨認來信者姓名	293
1986	劉祥達	1987	陳光德	1988	張連好	293
1989	吳仕強	1990	雲大坤	1991	鄭惠英	293-294
1992	曾美雲	1993	無法辨認來信者姓名	1994	林蔭橋	294
1995	黃健安	1996	周煥英	1997	陳金娥	294
1998	何志强	1999	無法辨認來信者姓名	2000	周浩駒	294-295
2001	蔡玉英	2002	高潮仁	2003	無法辨認來信者姓名	295
2004	李義英	2005	黃葉蘭	2006	無法辨認來信者姓名	295
2007	無法辨認來信者姓名	2008	葉文佳	2009	無法辨認來信者姓名	295-296
2010	吳素娥	2011	溫君	2012	孫寶馨	296
2013	張定女	2014	梁金珠	2015	張玉真	296
2016	陳小芳	2017	無法辨認來信者姓名	2018	Tang 君	296-297

序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	頁數 Page
2019	陳世勝	2020	龐燕玉	2021	陳德恩	297
2022	余君	2023	王妹仔	2024	溫寧	297
2025	無法辨認來信者姓名	2026	鄧惠娟	2027	無法辨認來信者姓名	297-298
2028	無法辨認來信者姓名	2029	無法辨認來信者姓名	2030	無法辨認來信者姓名	298
2031	楊小姐	2032	楊生	2033	楊生	298
2034	無法辨認來信者姓名	2035	無法辨認來信者姓名	2036	黃柳紅	298-299
2037	無法辨認來信者姓名	2038	思賢	2039	思穎	299
2040	無法辨認來信者姓名	2041	無法辨認來信者姓名	2042	張秀鈞	299
2043	陳玉純	2044	無法辨認來信者姓名	2045	余民强	299-300
2046	無法辨認來信者姓名	2047	余穎芯	2048	陳慧美	300
2049	何燕珠	2050	方國英	2051	郭霖康	300
2052	吳結文	2053	Yanny	2054	無法辨認來信者姓名	300-301
2055	楊觀球	2056	譚玉雲	2057	劉秀珍	301
2058	無法辨認來信者姓名	2059	鍾美嫦	2060	無法辨認來信者姓名	301
2061	李用	2062	無法辨認來信者姓名	2063	朱華洪	301-302
2064	歐君	2065	無法辨認來信者姓名	2066	無法辨認來信者姓名	302
2067	無法辨認來信者姓名	2068	無法辨認來信者姓名	2069	無法辨認來信者姓名	302
2070	廖君	2071	無法辨認來信者姓名	2072	羅桂顏	302-303
2073	無法辨認來信者姓名	2074	無法辨認來信者姓名	2075	文銀好	303
2076	梁旺娣	2077	何淑芳	2078	劉木妹	303
2079	陳梅英	2080	鄭妹仔	2081	陳君	303-304
2082	無法辨認來信者姓名	2083	葉應聰	2084	黃木香	304
2085	無法辨認來信者姓名	2086	吳玉球	2087	陳清華	304
2088	無法辨認來信者姓名	2089	許秀雲	2090	徐聖妹	304-305
2091	黃基	2092	夏君	2093	無法辨認來信者姓名	305
2094	歐間	2095	無法辨認來信者姓名	2096	Yan	305
2097	無法辨認來信者姓名	2098	麥建仙	2099	張永輝	305-306
2100	無法辨認來信者姓名	2101	區連女	2102	關凱羚	306
2103	無法辨認來信者姓名	2104	無法辨認來信者姓名	2105	無法辨認來信者姓名	306
2106	余志濠	2107	黃潤文	2108	無法辨認來信者姓名	306-307
2109	葉君	2110	無法辨認來信者姓名	2111	張華	307
2112	張子愉	2113	龍展輝	2114	胡林	307
2115	無法辨認來信者姓名	2116	無法辨認來信者姓名	2117	無法辨認來信者姓名	307-308
2118	胡君	2119	嚴麗顏	2120	莊浚希	308
2121	無法辨認來信者姓名	2122	Eva	2123	無法辨認來信者姓名	308
2124	陳麗珍	2125	無法辨認來信者姓名	2126	無法辨認來信者姓名	308-309
2127	無法辨認來信者姓名	2128	曾雪輝	2129	方凱敏	309
2130	無法辨認來信者姓名	2131	胡香	2132	陳生	309
2133	無法辨認來信者姓名	2134	黃嘉賢	2135	黃子恩	309-310
2136	無法辨認來信者姓名	2137	無法辨認來信者姓名	2138	無法辨認來信者姓名	310
2139	無法辨認來信者姓名	2140	廖芯盈	2141	廖添逸	310
2142	無法辨認來信者姓名	2143	曾壽眉	2144	鍾有權	310-311
2145	無法辨認來信者姓名	2146	朱秀霞	2147	無法辨認來信者姓名	311
2148	無法辨認來信者姓名	2149	無法辨認來信者姓名	2150	陳惠嫻	311

序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	頁數 Page
2151	陳怡英	2152	鄧志輝	2153	無法辨認來信者姓名	311-312
2154	吳琼珍	2155	杜本松	2156	無法辨認來信者姓名	312
2157	無法辨認來信者姓名	2158	戴麗娟	2159	無法辨認來信者姓名	312
2160	無法辨認來信者姓名	2161	無法辨認來信者姓名	2162	施靜怡	312-313
2163	李錦河	2164	李碧華	2165	無法辨認來信者姓名	313
2166	無法辨認來信者姓名	2167	無法辨認來信者姓名	2168	劉耀良	313
2169	無法辨認來信者姓名	2170	無法辨認來信者姓名	2171	無法辨認來信者姓名	313-314
2172	溫達成	2173	無法辨認來信者姓名	2174	無法辨認來信者姓名	314
2175	文錦榮	2176	譚華	2177	梁碧桂	314
2178	陳植偉	2179	無法辨認來信者姓名	2180	余顏英	314-315
2181	無法辨認來信者姓名	2182	無法辨認來信者姓名	2183	康麗華	315
2184	黃松安	2185	陳燕文	2186	黃雪蓮	315
2187	吳麗雪	2188	張鳳彩	2189	曾天福	315-316
2190	無法辨認來信者姓名	2191	無法辨認來信者姓名	2192	吳偉俊	316
2193	無法辨認來信者姓名	2194	陸君	2195	林杏慈	316
2196	無法辨認來信者姓名	2197	無法辨認來信者姓名	2198	盧愛華	316-317
2199	無法辨認來信者姓名	2200	葉廣全	2201	無法辨認來信者姓名	317
2202	劉太	2203	歐君	2204	無法辨認來信者姓名	317
2205	余惠珍	2206	郭珍	2207	麥美連	317-318
2208	無法辨認來信者姓名	2209	李雪芳	2210	無法辨認來信者姓名	318
2211	無法辨認來信者姓名	2212	李妙笑	2213	藍麗璇	318
2214	李雲壽	2215	麥君	2216	劉錦滿	318-319
2217	無法辨認來信者姓名	2218	無法辨認來信者姓名	2219	無法辨認來信者姓名	319
2220	張彩雲	2221	阿珍	2222	馮金喜	319
2223	羅香	2224	李艷春	2225	鄧睿熙	319-320
2226	無法辨認來信者姓名	2227	呂銀珍	2228	陳日歡	320
2229	陳漢文	2230	曾民	2231	胡惜香	320
2232	無法辨認來信者姓名	2233	陳健雄	2234	葉景賢	320-321
2235	楊美珠	2236	盧艷娟	2237	李惠玲	321
2238	胡麗嬌	2239	梁錦輝	2240	程鳳玲	321
2241	無法辨認來信者姓名	2242	何銀開	2243	楊燕芳	321-322
2244	陳桂開	2245	無法辨認來信者姓名	2246	無法辨認來信者姓名	322
2247	無法辨認來信者姓名	2248	曾麗華	2249	譚林康	322
2250	無法辨認來信者姓名	2251	馬珍	2252	無法辨認來信者姓名	322-323
2253	鄭小嫻	2254	溫桂英	2255	黃冬桂	323
2256	張麗玉	2257	鄧潔屏	2258	文澤翹	323
2259	無法辨認來信者姓名	2260	無法辨認來信者姓名	2261	黃婉儀	323-324
2262	劉現培	2263	余仲明	2264	無法辨認來信者姓名	324
2265	無法辨認來信者姓名	2266	Au 君	2267	嬌君	324
2268	曾麗珍	2269	無法辨認來信者姓名	2270	趙晉緯	324-325
2271	無法辨認來信者姓名	2272	無法辨認來信者姓名	2273	無法辨認來信者姓名	325
2274	麥淑歡	2275	無法辨認來信者姓名	2276	何惠玲	325
2277	無法辨認來信者姓名	2278	崔輝康	2279	無法辨認來信者姓名	325-326
2280	陳白梅	2281	岑錦疇	2282	仇瑞良	326

序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	頁數 Page
2283	無法辨認來信者姓名	2284	無法辨認來信者姓名	2285	潘守治	326
2286	林芳	2287	陳君	2288	賀雅萍	326-327
2289	無法辨認來信者姓名	2290	無法辨認來信者姓名	2291	胡粉卿	327
2292	無法辨認來信者姓名	2293	李霽昊	2294	江忠發	327
2295	So Lai Sh	2296	無法辨認來信者姓名	2297	貴球	327-328
2298	Sin Sun	2299	Dao Thi Hau	2300	周燕雲	328
2301	陳惠珍	2302	唐日卿	2303	無法辨認來信者姓名	328
2304	無法辨認來信者姓名	2305	來信者沒有署名	2306	來信者沒有署名	328-329
2307	一選民	2308	司徒錦	2309	張雪芳	329-331
2310	林麗珠	2311	廖中武	2312	鄺美嫻	331
2313	周婉華	2314	梁金妹	2315	程嘉文	331
2316	無法辨認來信者姓名	2317	無法辨認來信者姓名	2318	劉桂嬋	331-332
2319	董振靜	2320	何杏琼	2321	盧碧蘭	332
2322	劉伯遠	2323	葉彩娣	2324	謝仲堅	332
2325	何紹菩	2326	何惠貞	2327	無法辨認來信者姓名	332-333
2328	無法辨認來信者姓名	2329	徐如明	2330	無法辨認來信者姓名	333
2331	陳蓓蕾	2332	李世強	2333	張梓強	333
2334	徐國忠	2335	楊惠玲	2336	無法辨認來信者姓名	333-334
2337	吳月玲	2338	王榮堅	2339	王鍵熙	334
2340	葉美嫻	2341	來信者沒有署名	2342	陸太	334-335
2343	觀塘區議員洪錦鉉	2344	陳錦秋	2345	陳秋娥	335-337
2346	陳明秀	2347	Chow Yu Chi	2348	林遠和	337
2349	魏碧儀	2350	莫妹	2351	魏國強	337
2352	魏區	2353	陳春梅	2354	陳瑞婷	337-338
2355	鍾志洪	2356	謝明雄	2357	羅瑞珍	338
2358	葉帶	2359	周玉英	2360	無法辨認來信者姓名	338
2361	施素芬	2362	黃淑卿	2363	陳嘉鴻	338-339
2364	梁寶興	2365	羅國榮	2366	潘嘉敏	339-340
2367	文鳳儀	2368	洪秀珠	2369	伍桂好	341
2370	甄長財	2371	無法辨認來信者姓名	2372	無法辨認來信者姓名	341
2373	無法辨認來信者姓名	2374	黃習好	2375	黃嘉娜	341
2376	陳小玲	2377	何兆和	2378	周蓮芬	342
2379	王英女	2380	羅國平	2381	關翠好	342
2382	無法辨認來信者姓名	2383	林慧玲	2384	謝石銘	342
2385	Lay Li Kian	2386	李結霞	2387	鄧瑞華	343
2388	Ng Siu Yin	2389	鄧錦佩	2390	唐世文	343
2391	李清蘭	2392	李賽	2393	陳秀娥	343
2394	余永昌	2395	吳玉萍	2396	無法辨認來信者姓名	344
2397	袁素霞	2398	黃麗旋	2399	鄔松勝	344
2400	譚鶯	2401	葉戊生	2402	郭少卿	344
2403	何枝泉	2404	劉柳賢	2405	Leung Yeuk Lan	345
2406	陳守熙	2407	吳金歡	2408	李玉楠	345
2409	吳裕通	2410	黎芳	2411	吳宏寶	345
2412	洪惠君	2413	無法辨認來信者姓名	2414	陳雲好	346

序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	頁數 Page
2415	方兆珍	2416	陳綺萍	2417	麥麗嬋	346
2418	黎愛英	2419	彭水娣	2420	無法辨認來信者姓名	346
2421	伍麗	2422	陳四妹	2423	黎玉英	347
2424	李美珍	2425	無法辨認來信者姓名	2426	陳秀妹	347
2427	梁月昭	2428	曾梅花	2429	王琴美	347
2430	黃永梅	2431	周賢嬌	2432	鄧妙顏	348
2433	黃群英	2434	林偉強	2435	無法辨認來信者姓名	348
2436	彭梅英	2437	朱肖維	2438	梁香蘭	348
2439	陳銀花	2440	黎月霞	2441	無法辨認來信者姓名	349
2442	毛渭然	2443	王巧金	2444	林要治	349
2445	連利嬋	2446	李巧貞	2447	區惠賢	349
2448	王惜香	2449	黃少如	2450	錢育	350
2451	馬美仙	2452	王藹儀	2453	何詠詩	350
2454	何偉俊	2455	Ho Mei Yee	2456	余國珍	350
2457	無法辨認來信者姓名	2458	劉三妹	2459	林煥荷	351
2460	麥尚謙	2461	無法辨認來信者姓名	2462	陳珍珍	351
2463	陳炳輝	2464	黃美琼	2465	無法辨認來信者姓名	351
2466	林秀蓉	2467	施景衡	2468	陳嬋妹	352
2469	連興發	2470	呂亮	2471	無法辨認來信者姓名	352
2472	鄭佩雯	2473	無法辨認來信者姓名	2474	甘玉蓮	352
2475	蘇春榮	2476	施美芬	2477	無法辨認來信者姓名	353
2478	黎少琼	2479	林佩思	2480	林潤權	353
2481	劉亞輝	2482	梁順娣	2483	無法辨認來信者姓名	353
2484	梁嬋儀	2485	林錦香	2486	邱馨儀	354
2487	許美	2488	吳玉蓮	2489	邱志好	354
2490	歐陽文	2491	陳秀治	2492	嚴偉明	354
2493	Maggie Chau	2494	李翠琴	2495	楊雪真	355
2496	無法辨認來信者姓名	2497	陳運金	2498	王維山	355
2499	張玉梅	2500	李優享	2501	張平	355
2502	余貴媚	2503	李駒	2504	陳彩芬	356
2505	邱巧如	2506	李嬋英	2507	廖秀萍	356
2508	楊瑞發	2509	無法辨認來信者姓名	2510	周紅	356
2511	謝雪梅	2512	無法辨認來信者姓名	2513	徐海繼	357
2514	陳美玲	2515	孟莉	2516	黃桂珍	357
2517	陳衛貞	2518	劉少維	2519	甄少美	357
2520	無法辨認來信者姓名	2521	無法辨認來信者姓名	2522	Angel	358
2523	鄧小燕	2524	廖國偉	2525	李寶霞	358
2526	蔡玉英	2527	無法辨認來信者姓名	2528	胡綺嵐	358
2529	無法辨認來信者姓名	2530	李漫甜	2531	郭麗珍	359
2532	無法辨認來信者姓名	2533	吳愛琴	2534	陳炳炎	359
2535	伍妙珍	2536	陳佳	2537	何桂嬌	359
2538	李佩文	2539	陳仁梯	2540	林沚穎	360
2541	趙富蓮	2542	無法辨認來信者姓名	2543	楊瑞展	360
2544	郭瑞邦	2545	無法辨認來信者姓名	2546	無法辨認來信者姓名	360

序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	頁數 Page
2547	觀塘區議員姚柏良	2548	秀茂坪南邨居民 Wong Ping Sau	2549	油塘邨貴塘樓互助委員會曾玉冰	361
2550	油塘邨美塘樓互助委員會(無法辨認來信者姓名)	2551	油塘邨富塘樓互助委員會(無法辨認來信者姓名)	2552	油塘邨翠麗樓互助委員會(無法辨認來信者姓名)	361-362
2553	油塘邨仁麗樓互助委員會(無法辨認來信者姓名)	2554	油塘區街坊福利會(無法辨認來信者姓名)	2555	藍田油塘四山居民協會黃炳權	362-363
2556	油塘區婦女會(無法辨認來信者姓名)	2557	觀塘區議員呂東孩	2558	油塘邨華塘樓互助委員會(無法辨認來信者姓名)	363-364
2559	Sarah Wong	2560	一名市民	2561	油麗邨居民王偉麟	364-365
2562	油塘居民 Alicia Ho	2563	寶達邨達峰樓互委會	2564	寶達邨達翠樓互委會	365-366
2565	寶達社區事務促進會	2566	寶達婦女會	2567	陳嘉言	366
2568	寶達邨達喜樓互委會吳偉俊	2569	寶達邨達富樓互委會(無法辨認來信者姓名)	2570	寶達邨達佳樓互委會	367
2571	寶達邨達祥樓互委會	2572	寶達邨達康樓互委會	2573	寶達邨居民協會	367-368
2574	黃先生	2575	陳邁進	2576	立法會議員胡志偉、社區主任尹家謙	368-374
2577	寶達邨達貴樓互委會	2578	寶達邨達怡樓互委會	2579	寶達邨達安樓互委會	374-375
2580	寶達邨達信樓互委會	2581	立法會議員胡志偉、社區主任黎寶桂	2582	油塘居民嚴偉傑	375-376
2583	油塘區居民 Carmen Li	2584	油塘居民	2585	油塘居民張小姐	377
2586	無法辨認來信者姓名	2587	無法辨認來信者姓名	2588	Liu Sze Keung	378-379
2589	Liu Yip Cheong	2590	陳志文	2591	許銀英	379
2592	陳蘭卿	2593	蘇惠英	2594	黃君	379
2595	黃麗珠	2596	陳賀珍	2597	張彩雲	379-380
2598	葉森芳	2599	無法辨認來信者姓名	2600	茂君	380
2601	麥捷	2602	無法辨認來信者姓名	2603	施明愛	380
2604	雲君	2605	李鳳好	2606	林玉	380-381
2607	劉一帆	2608	李國明	2609	禤桂蓮	381
2610	李婷儀	2611	李蘇永仁	2612	無法辨認來信者姓名	381
2613	陳麗娣	2614	周鳳兒	2615	無法辨認來信者姓名	381-382
2616	無法辨認來信者姓名	2617	邱清琴	2618	陳錦屏	382
2619	鄭良	2620	無法辨認來信者姓名	2621	無法辨認來信者姓名	382
2622	吳雪峰	2623	楊玉嬌	2624	陳彩鳳	382-383
2625	陳順娣	2626	關君	2627	吳志明	383
2628	陳琼英	2629	楊容芳	2630	李惠芳	383
2631	謝瑞燦	2632	無法辨認來信者姓名	2633	無法辨認來信者姓名	383-384
2634	無法辨認來信者姓名	2635	鄒日垣	2636	劉仲棠	384
2637	吳金好	2638	陳丹娜	2639	陳惠芳	384
2640	智君	2641	謝君	2642	古健玲	384-385
2643	無法辨認來信者姓名	2644	無法辨認來信者姓名	2645	陳昇林	385

序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	頁數 Page
2646	Jerry	2647	無法辨認來信者姓名	2648	李超	385
2649	月嬌	2650	尹俊英	2651	無法辨認來信者姓名	385-386
2652	陳敏敏	2653	施秀錦	2654	譚雪琼	386
2655	劉麗坤	2656	龔愛真	2657	周修惠	386
2658	倪維增	2659	無法辨認來信者姓名	2660	無法辨認來信者姓名	386-387
2661	葉君	2662	馬春惠	2663	陳太	387
2664	無法辨認來信者姓名	2665	無法辨認來信者姓名	2666	何良鳳	387
2667	許宜	2668	曾壽眉	2669	余育蓮	387-388
2670	陳耀祖	2671	無法辨認來信者姓名	2672	梁敏	388
2673	黃琼珍	2674	余嘉明	2675	無法辨認來信者姓名	388
2676	李小明	2677	李保僑	2678	無法辨認來信者姓名	388-389
2679	無法辨認來信者姓名	2680	無法辨認來信者姓名	2681	無法辨認來信者姓名	389
2682	王潤發	2683	無法辨認來信者姓名	2684	何寶珍	389
2685	陳英	2686	車耀輝	2687	張翠曉	389-390
2688	麥君	2689	鄒焯茵	2690	鄒翠雯	390
2691	無法辨認來信者姓名	2692	吳胤霆	2693	劉若兒	390
2694	李金春	2695	李楠	2696	李揚	390-391
2697	Yu 君	2698	陳海燕	2699	無法辨認來信者姓名	391
2700	簡鳳儀	2701	呂迎欽	2702	吳建益	391
2703	莊永貴	2704	無法辨認來信者姓名	2705	鄧君	391-392
2706	周偉強	2707	方國英	2708	李思琴	392
2709	無法辨認來信者姓名	2710	吳國邦	2711	吳玉培	392
2712	郭萍	2713	黃麗冰	2714	申述人撤回其申述書	392-393
2715	來信者要求身份及內容保密					393

荃灣區 Tsuen Wan District

2716	荃灣區議員鄒秉恬	2717	荃灣海濱海灣居民協會李小姐			394

屯門區 Tuen Mun District

2718	來信者沒有署名	2719	來信者要求身份保密	2720	來信者沒有署名	395
2721	來信者沒有署名	2722	匯政衛言召集人暨富泰社區主任何國豪	2723	紫田村居民	396-397
2724	紫田村居民	2725	紫田村居民	2726	欣田邨居民	397
2727	欣田邨居民	2728	茵翠豪庭居民	2729	紫田村居民	398
2730	紫田村居民	2731	欣田邨居民	2732	新民黨	398-400
2733	屯門區議員歐志遠					400-401

元朗區 Yuen Long District

2734	陳先生	2735	天盛苑業主立案法團	2736	Samuel Kwok	402-403
2737	郭生	2738	湛家雄議員	2739	麗湖居第十一屆業主委員會	403-404

序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	頁數 Page
2740	錦田鄉事委員會主席鄧賀年、元朗區議員鄧卓然	2741	天盛苑 1756 名居民	2742	景湖居業主委員會	404-425
2743	賞湖居業主委員會	2744	翠湖居業主委員會	2745	美湖居第十屆業主委員會	425-426
2746	八鄉鄉事委員會主席鄧瑞民	2747	元朗區議會主席沈豪傑	2748	屏山鄉鄉事委員會	426-427
2749	元朗區議員黎偉雄	2750	元朗區議員鄧慶業、張木林及洪屋村村代表鄧子光先生	2751	元朗區議員陳思靜、元朗天盛苑業主立案法團第八屆管理委員會	427-428
2752	吳家村村代表徐發堅	2753	元朗區議員鄧鎔耀	2754	樂湖居業主委員會	429
2755	Chan Lai Ping	2756	陳社喜	2757	Yau So Ling	430-431
2758	歐新	2759	林少偉	2760	無法辨認來信者姓名	431
2761	林玲玲	2762	Leung Wai Choi	2763	Leung Lo Chuen Kwan	431
2764	麥國鴻	2765	Sonia Chow	2766	吳佩芬	431-432
2767	陳家祥	2768	鄭銀英	2769	Wan Chi Kwong	432
2770	Wong Wai Kin	2771	Chan Tsz Yan	2772	吳貴顯	432
2773	Lam Shu Wing	2774	無法辨認來信者姓名	2775	林麗珍	432-433
2776	林泉福	2777	朱淮江	2778	杜慶韶	433
2779	張漢榮	2780	陳惠玲	2781	李滿全	433
2782	張容娣	2783	謝國威	2784	李月妹	433-434
2785	黃東升	2786	郭淑儀	2787	謝志剛	434
2788	Leung 君	2789	林錫琛	2790	無法辨認來信者姓名	434
2791	Wong Kwok Leung	2792	Ho 君	2793	李全有	434-435
2794	葉君	2795	Jacky Yu	2796	So Wah Nang	435
2797	Chan Shuk Har	2798	尹華瑋	2799	無法辨認來信者姓名	435
2800	Yau Mei Mei	2801	李詠芯	2802	無法辨認來信者姓名	435-436
2803	Leung 君	2804	黎保徽	2805	Lau Man Shun	436
2806	陳鳳儀	2807	李思達	2808	孫君	436
2809	陳秀	2810	Fung Yin Yin	2811	覃慕萍	436-437
2812	張景光	2813	林少兒	2814	黃貝霞	437
2815	Mui Lai Chu Grace	2816	張浩然	2817	Chan So Ngor	437
2818	黃柏成	2819	何燕霞	2820	鄧廣精	437-438
2821	賴嘉星	2822	曾佩玲	2823	李成德	438
2824	李金滿	2825	Tang Tsz Kwan	2826	詹開通	438
2827	呂燕鳳	2828	黎君	2829	薛永熙	438-439
2830	無法辨認來信者姓名	2831	楊秀萍	2832	李頌芹	439
2833	范雪霞	2834	梁海濤	2835	曾瑞娟	439
2836	Leung Kar Kwong	2837	Lee Chun Lung	2838	Lam Hing Chit	439-440
2839	嚴加旺、麥綺雲	2840	Chung Po Lin	2841	Lam Wai Keung	440
2842	關雪珍	2843	湯錦榮	2844	陳舶海	440
2845	Leung Hei Yee Hazel	2846	Ng Ka Ming	2847	Lai Helen	440-441

序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	頁數 Page
2848	何基明	2849	周榮燦	2850	Kuan Ming Kwong	441
2851	Tsoi Hiu Ling	2852	Lam Kam Hung	2853	Lam Sze Ting	441
2854	Lau 君	2855	余貴明	2856	歐潔蓮	441-442
2857	Fung Yin Yin	2858	Tam Mee Kam	2859	林羅	442
2860	葉建川	2861	Chin Lung	2862	Tang Chi Wing	442
2863	邱以森	2864	Chan Wan Cheung	2865	鄒小梅	442-443
2866	無法辨認來信者姓名	2867	司徒寶蓮	2868	Shirley Tong	443
2869	陳敏瑜	2870	無法辨認來信者姓名	2871	Chan 君	443
2872	雷玉瓊	2873	麥星輝	2874	趙誠	443-444
2875	聶秀媚	2876	Hui Yick Lok 、Cheng Yee Man	2877	林偉峰	444
2878	陳國建	2879	李志強	2880	鄭世民	444
2881	Wo Tak Kai	2882	張光陽	2883	林少文	444-445
2884	林仲思	2885	陳芷茵	2886	無法辨認來信者姓名	445
2887	無法辨認來信者姓名	2888	劉國華	2889	Chan 君	445
2890	梁彩花	2891	湯汝威	2892	李慧心	445-446
2893	Li Sheung Yuk	2894	盧兆箕	2895	胡志航	446
2896	關麗清	2897	許皓嵐	2898	Leong Kai Tak	446
2899	鄧錦玲	2900	Chu Kim Yee	2901	楊君	446-447
2902	鄭兆俊	2903	無法辨認來信者姓名	2904	Ho Shui Yin	447
2905	鄧君	2906	楊靈詩、何茶花	2907	文肖芳	447
2908	陳淑嫻	2909	高安民	2910	顏海榮	447-448
2911	無法辨認來信者姓名	2912	張小玲	2913	陳夢香	448
2914	Li Fung Oi	2915	Yp Siu Cheung	2916	Ng Po Hir	448
2917	Ng Fu Chuan	2918	區麗華	2919	無法辨認來信者姓名	448-449
2920	Tang So Ngor Stella	2921	Law Tat Hong	2922	Liu Yuk Oi	449
2923	劉秀琼	2924	Wong Yin Ping	2925	蔡淑貞	449
2926	黃秋瑜	2927	Chiu Lai Fong Heidi	2928	陳君	449-450
2929	陳嘉國	2930	無法辨認來信者姓名	2931	無法辨認來信者姓名	450
2932	林國鎮	2933	張君	2934	Chu Pak Yung	450
2935	陳旭旻	2936	無法辨認來信者姓名	2937	Hui Yi Fan	450-451
2938	Chiu Tse Ha	2939	Pang Wai Shing	2940	無法辨認來信者姓名	451
2941	Kwan Kin Ming	2942	戴炎鈴	2943	杜國成	451
2944	賴松	2945	無法辨認來信者姓名	2946	陳小蓮	451-452
2947	劉世齡	2948	李浩瑤	2949	文錦華	452
2950	黎仲堅	2951	鄧得銘	2952	Chan 君	452
2953	吳愛婷	2954	無法辨認來信者姓名	2955	柳下俊樹	452-453
2956	張祝英	2957	劉細鸞	2958	邱大展	453
2959	劉心怡	2960	Cheung Chun Lok	2961	Wong Yuen Man Ada	453
2962	陳蕙嫦	2963	無法辨認來信者姓名	2964	曹燕玲	453-454
2965	程君	2966	董婷婷	2967	區寧	454
2968	羅慧賢	2969	李春森	2970	無法辨認來信者姓名	454
2971	郭軍	2972	許旺鴻	2973	無法辨認來信者姓名	454-455
2974	無法辨認來信者姓名	2975	利惠全	2976	高金梅	455

序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	頁數 Page
2977	李立信	2978	張麗娟	2979	張黃燕飛	455
2980	陳麗麗	2981	鄧曉東	2982	池麗雲	455-456
2983	蔡美紅	2984	黃國業	2985	Cheung ShiuWai	456
2986	無法辨認來信者姓名	2987	余永純	2988	鍾鳳儀	456
2989	林益生	2990	Yuen 君	2991	袁秀荷	456-457
2992	張秋如	2993	何勝	2994	黃紹肇	457
2995	余觀泉	2996	Tsang Fung Sim	2997	羅君	457
2998	無法辨認來信者姓名	2999	Lee 君	3000	Leung 君	457-458
3001	陳海波	3002	馬文屏	3003	陳瑞明	458
3004	馬君	3005	Wong Yung Tat	3006	陳碧蓮	458
3007	盧潤明	3008	梁德賢、翟少英	3009	張有祥	458-459
3010	張惠良	3011	李君	3012	邱錦偉	459
3013	Maggie Yeung	3014	無法辨認來信者姓名	3015	宋美容	459
3016	蘇卓文	3017	Luk Koon Chor	3018	Chu Siu Yin	459-460
3019	黃善煊	3020	張小珠	3021	黎耀恩	460
3022	陳賢智	3023	陳金成	3024	無法辨認來信者姓名	460
3025	蔡婉恩	3026	黎自輝	3027	Wong Sun Leung	460-461
3028	無法辨認來信者姓名	3029	Chan Hok Chiu	3030	無法辨認來信者姓名	461
3031	無法辨認來信者姓名	3032	陳君	3033	蕭錦容	461
3034	吳汝文	3035	孫玉琴	3036	盧玉嬋	461-462
3037	扶銘功	3038	葉樹荃	3039	盧美珍	462
3040	胡思慧	3041	俞基方	3042	Wong Hing Tak	462
3043	康君玲	3044	任幗姮	3045	馮君	462-463
3046	王玉照	3047	梁尼亞	3048	張金英	463
3049	無法辨認來信者姓名	3050	Choi 君	3051	陳錦鈴	463
3052	張淦明	3053	李明德	3054	魏申妮	463-464
3055	吳白梅、董炳森	3056	張慧儀	3057	梁文儀	464
3058	俞筠言	3059	俞松言	3060	張文鳳	464
3061	Wat Kent Beau	3062	Chan Ming Na	3063	黃家揚	464-465
3064	邢明軍	3065	萬子偉	3066	周月華	465
3067	Lam Yuet Sin	3068	Chiu Lai Kiu	3069	張君	465
3070	無法辨認來信者姓名	3071	姜偉池	3072	冼仲德	465-466
3073	Paul Tsang	3074	Lee Fung Heung	3075	Tsang Hung Wai	466
3076	Lee Shui Wah Jolie	3077	陳秉銳	3078	Lee Miu Yee	466
3079	無法辨認來信者姓名	3080	Hui Wo Shun	3081	無法辨認來信者姓名	466-467
3082	張啟光	3083	Heung Kam Leung	3084	Lee Wai Tung	467
3085	甄仕安	3086	吳天珍	3087	湯心德、梁寶玲	467
3088	梁偉民	3089	薛健海	3090	Tang Pui Fun	467-468
3091	邱添	3092	陳玉瓶	3093	甄卓雄	468
3094	陳君	3095	梁桂生	3096	陳君	468
3097	李錦霏	3098	冼結英	3099	薛子誠	468-469
3100	Fung Lai Foon	3101	無法辨認來信者姓名	3102	Li Wai Yee	469
3103	S. Chan	3104	K S Chan	3105	連君	469
3106	Lau Wing Yung	3107	So Hon Yiu	3108	陳先生	469-470

序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	頁數 Page
3109	陳梓健	3110	章增浩	3111	Wai Oi Chun	470
3112	葉諾怡	3113	鄭家鈿	3114	侯彩弟	470
3115	鄭金樹	3116	Kwok Man Ying	3117	方智詠	470-471
3118	麥君	3119	陳麗兒	3120	無法辨認來信者姓名	471
3121	周興熾	3122	劉少萍	3123	無法辨認來信者姓名	471
3124	無法辨認來信者姓名	3125	黃秀英	3126	Chan Pak Wai	471-472
3127	林愛華	3128	陳玉娟	3129	張仲謀	472
3130	李銘鴻	3131	何盛揖	3132	江淑玲	472
3133	邱嘉偉	3134	Leung Wing Tim	3135	Danny Pang	472-473
3136	王秀珍	3137	Wong Wing Cheong	3138	陳沛輝	473
3139	無法辨認來信者姓名	3140	趙愛慈	3141	Tang Kwong Fan	473
3142	黎苑喬	3143	梁逸鋒	3144	Wong Yin Sum	473-474
3145	無法辨認來信者姓名	3146	陶支珍	3147	郭紹芬	474
3148	陳美瑤	3149	宋興明	3150	無法辨認來信者姓名	474
3151	劉鳳連	3152	陳美嬌	3153	Chan Hok Wang	474-475
3154	陳秋林	3155	呂文雅	3156	鄧榮傑	475
3157	梁炳均	3158	陳梓軒	3159	Kwan Kim Ming	475
3160	楊偉榮	3161	陳春霞	3162	無法辨認來信者姓名	475-476
3163	陳麗麗	3164	翁雄發	3165	霍紹源	476
3166	Lee Man Kit	3167	李寶源	3168	So Kwai Lin	476
3169	曾慶	3170	Ng Chi Lung	3171	Yan Yee Lam	476-477
3172	黃卡迪	3173	鄭遠威	3174	Tsai Kwei Lam	477
3175	Chan Yee Wai	3176	Ip Wai Ming	3177	Chan Yat Hei	477
3178	無法辨認來信者姓名	3179	Priscilla Wong	3180	Ho Hin Kwong	477-478
3181	Wong King Yin	3182	Mok Kim Ying	3183	Andrew Shian	478
3184	莫振邦	3185	陳進欣	3186	楊永年	478
3187	伍麗芳	3188	葉御珍	3189	Yum Wing Wah	478-479
3190	Mok Wai Ling	3191	無法辨認來信者姓名	3192	無法辨認來信者姓名	479
3193	郭少楷	3194	潘維建	3195	李志華	479
3196	甘沛泉	3197	孔斌	3198	顧明玉	479-480
3199	梁和平	3200	蕭潘林	3201	Lo Wing Foo	480
3202	Hung Ching	3203	Cheng 君	3204	Jane Hu	480
3205	練文崑	3206	Wan Lai Ying	3207	張敏秋	480-481
3208	無法辨認來信者姓名	3209	趙萬銘	3210	蔡月娣	481
3211	無法辨認來信者姓名	3212	Winnie Chu Wing Sze	3213	陳瑞琼	481
3214	Chan Pui Hei	3215	張禎珠	3216	翁雄發	481-482
3217	Kwok 君	3218	Thomas Ho	3219	Lam Siu Dan	482
3220	李鴻坤	3221	梅小玲	3222	Wong Hiu Lam	482
3223	Wong Lai Hing	3224	Li Wai Chung	3225	Lo Mei Ho	482-483
3226	Yu Hon wing	3227	陳瑪利	3228	無法辨認來信者姓名	483
3229	周清	3230	林麗玲	3231	無法辨認來信者姓名	483
3232	Tang Wai Ming	3233	冼志雄	3234	無法辨認來信者姓名	483-484
3235	仇維淼	3236	梁笑玉	3237	Ma Tim Tong	484
3238	Li Wing Shing	3239	袁勝強	3240	張健利	484

序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	頁數 Page
3241	無法辨認來信者姓名	3242	So Wai Wa	3243	Wong Sau Ngan	484-485
3244	無法辨認來信者姓名	3245	區慧芳	3246	孫慧娜	485
3247	張玉均	3248	姜漢華	3249	Wong Yuen Man	485
3250	Khan Masaoaq	3251	于斌	3252	張健恒	485-486
3253	Shum Mui	3254	Wong Siu Bik	3255	陳志輝	486
3256	無法辨認來信者姓名	3257	陳南鳳	3258	Surjani	486
3259	梁文卓	3260	林斌	3261	Eric O King Kong	486-487
3262	慕安琪	3263	Cheung Chor Luen Mandy	3264	無法辨認來信者姓名	487
3265	鄒優昌	3266	Johnny	3267	陳玉珍	487
3268	郭雅珍、張德保	3269	Chan Siu Lun	3270	Ng Tsz Ling	487-488
3271	Kwong Man Hung	3272	Cheung Wing Man	3273	林富	488
3274	Siu Yuk Ying	3275	沃根嬋	3276	沈紹晶	488
3277	閻清清	3278	無法辨認來信者姓名	3279	許容	488-489
3280	Wong Wing Kei	3281	無法辨認來信者姓名	3282	Fung Yiu Wah	489
3283	關駿鋒	3284	Wong Ka Chun	3285	Lau Shui Kwan	489
3286	蔡志輝	3287	梁玉	3288	吳偉明	489-490
3289	無法辨認來信者姓名	3290	Elaine Cheung	3291	Ng Su Hung	490
3292	脫曾玉	3293	林航	3294	林航	490
3295	張錦華	3296	譚妙蘭	3297	羅嘉儀	490-491
3298	王志祥	3299	王志祥	3300	Leung Wai Keung	491
3301	無法辨認來信者姓名	3302	麥旭航	3303	Cheung 君	491
3304	Chan Kam Wah	3305	林榮	3306	曾婉姬	491-492
3307	So Wing Han	3308	陳維思	3309	黎龍柱	492
3310	Ho Lai Wan	3311	無法辨認來信者姓名	3312	Chan Karly	492
3313	Lee Kwok Cheung	3314	Lok Yu Kit	3315	無法辨認來信者姓名	492-493
3316	無法辨認來信者姓名	3317	湯本啟明	3318	馮偉業	493
3319	Mak Wai Sum	3320	馮振成	3321	黃苹	493
3322	馬鑑釗	3323	劉小蘭	3324	Tang Pui Fong Shirly	493-494
3325	李敬文	3326	張耀明	3327	鄧錦萍	494
3328	梁紹才	3329	林啟發	3330	蔡清蓮	494
3331	蔡林麗紅	3332	何妙英	3333	蔡顯恩	494-495
3334	無法辨認來信者姓名	3335	Li Ying Man	3336	張倩寧	495
3337	Wong Kwan Kin	3338	Wong Siu	3339	Amy Chiang	495
3340	Ng Yuk Har	3341	陳慧雯	3342	無法辨認來信者姓名	495-496
3343	陳慧芳	3344	無法辨認來信者姓名	3345	陳艷蘭	496
3346	梅智光	3347	鄺志偉	3348	無法辨認來信者姓名	496
3349	Tsang Yuet Kwai	3350	Lam Mee Yee	3351	陳秉樟	496-497
3352	Lai Hoi Tat	3353	Tsang Man Wai	3354	Yue Tsz Tin	497
3355	Lam Wai Lin	3356	王敏芬	3357	Hui Ka Man Apple	497
3358	無法辨認來信者姓名	3359	無法辨認來信者姓名	3360	黃明	497-498
3361	畢嘉強	3362	林仁禧	3363	Tang Sze Wing	498
3364	林志勳	3365	畢燕開	3366	許惠玲	498
3367	黃智堅	3368	林寶櫻	3369	溫見梅	498-499

序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	頁數 Page
3370	林俊文	3371	Joe	3372	利帶紅	499
3373	Jean	3374	麥顥俊	3375	吳惠琼	499
3376	何倩桐	3377	陳威豪	3378	Chan Wai Ting	499-500
3379	Hou Suet Fun	3380	李家麟	3381	劉藝	500
3382	Kwok Siu Cheung	3383	鄭佩貞	3384	Chan Lung Wai	500
3385	Marciel	3386	陳智敏	3387	Ning Wen Juan	500-501
3388	Marie	3389	溫潔雯	3390	Wong Chun Kit	501
3391	邱渭婷	3392	李錦滿	3393	林詩琪	501
3394	無法辨認來信者姓名	3395	Chau King Shing	3396	吳淑霞	501-502
3397	謝連好	3398	陳玉妹	3399	杜文輝	502
3400	賴志遠	3401	黃蓮好	3402	黃就明	502
3403	余惠	3404	Chan Chak Hay	3405	林浩發	502-503
3406	黃文忠	3407	無法辨認來信者姓名	3408	李卓立	503
3409	胡美娟	3410	李炳權	3411	無法辨認來信者姓名	503
3412	江松青	3413	高兆威	3414	辛麗芬	503-504
3415	楊國正	3416	Tse Yiu Kong	3417	馮子麟	504
3418	林明華	3419	葉貴宏	3420	張曾雅	504
3421	張玉芳	3422	陳牡丹	3423	吳家村村事委員會會長吳小安、主席/村代表徐發堅	504-506
3424	元朗區議員程振明	3425	十八鄉鄉事委員會主席梁福元			506-509

申述書序號 3426 – 6281 載錄於第三冊(第二部分)

Public Representations with Serial Nos. 3426 – 6281 are recorded in Volume 3 (Part II)

個別人士及機構提交的書面申述書

WRITTEN REPRESENTATIONS SUBMITTED BY INDIVIDUALS AND ORGANISATIONS

序號 1 – 3425

Serial Nos. 1 – 3425

001

致選管會主席：
24/07/2018 18:35
Hide Details
From: [redacted]

To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

本人建議港鐵上環站沿線住宅改入上環選區！

002

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups

Boundary of district
[redacted] to: eacenq@reo.gov.hk 07/08/2018 21:45

Dear Sir,
It is inappropriate to divide a narrow Macdonnell Road of Hong Kong Island into its north to the Peak and south to the Wanchai districts. Please consider to modify the boundary of district by grouping the north and south sides of this narrow Macdonnell Road in either the Peak or Wanchai District.
Thanks for your kind consideration.

Best regards,
Dr Joseph Chiu
Tel. [redacted]
Sent from my iPhone

003

區議會分界意見 13/08/2018 14:08
From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

選舉事務委員會
主席：

就區議會分界的諮詢，貴會建議將中西區原本A07觀龍區及A06堅摩區的區號碼對換，本人認為沒有這個必要，這兩個區的選區劃界沒有改變，但區號就改變了，本人認為這是多餘的，而且用了多年，居民習慣了，改變造成不必要的混亂。因此，本人對此改變表示強烈反對。
謝謝！

楊開永
Yeung Hoi Wing

中西區區議員
C&W District Councillor

Whatsapp: [redacted]
Tel: [redacted] Fax: [redacted]

004



甘乃威, MH • 伍凱欣 中西區區議員 聯合辦事處
Joint Office of KAM Nai Wai, MH and NG Hoi Yan Bonnie,
Member of Central & Western District Council

敬啟者：

反對重劃中西區東華選區

本人反對重劃中西區東華選區，理由如下：

1. 東華選區及半山東選區之預計人口分別為 12904 及 16508，標準人口基數偏離百分比分別為-22.26%及-0.55%。按二零一九年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議公眾諮詢文件中的附錄 I，選區分界的劃定(I)法定準則 1(b)所指，選管會須確保該選區的人口偏離標準人口基數不超出 25%，即 12498 人至 20749 人的幅度之內。 換言之，東華選區及半山東選區均不超出法定準則的限制，沒有重劃之必要。

2. 按諮詢文件附錄 I(II)工作原則(a)段所指，人口數字在許可之幅度的現有選區，其分界會盡量保持不變。既然東華選區及半山東均不超出法定準則，選管會應根據工作守則行事，保持東華選區及半山東選區分界不變。

3. 綜合中西區預計人口數字，整個中西區的人口偏離標準人口基數均不超出 25%，因此，選管會只重劃東華選區及半山東選區，實屬針對性的選擇。

4. 東華及半山東選區已經設立超過 24 年，5 屆區議會的選民已經習慣所屬區域，對社區已建立歸屬感，突然改變所屬選區，令居民無所適從。

5. 鴨巴甸街是半山往中區的主要道路，加上鴨巴甸街是有歷史價值的街道，將其左右分為兩個區域是破壞其完整性

最後，本人促請選管會按法定準則及工作守則處理選區重劃一事，並考慮到社區獨特性及社區聯繫，撤回重劃東華選區及半山東選區之建議，維持整個中西區選區分界不變。

此致

選舉管理委員會 主席（傳真：2511 1682）

中西區區議員

伍凱欣 謹啟

2018 年 8 月 21 日

辦事處地址: [redacted]
Address: [redacted]
電話 Tel：[redacted] 傳真 Fax：[redacted]
電郵 E-mail: [redacted]

致選舉管理委員會:

反對 2019 年區議會選舉一般選區分界臨時建議

本人反對選管會公布的 2019 區議會選區劃分建議，建議提出從大坑選區割走希雲街及加路連山道一帶，併為銅鑼灣選區。這是自 1999 年第一屆區議會來首次改動大坑選區邊界。

希雲街、加路連山道歷屆以來皆是大坑選區一部分，以禮頓道為界，在交通、環境、醫療上與聖保祿小區唇齒相依。再者，在禮頓道以北，即原 B04 銅鑼灣選區，禮頓道以南即原 B07 大坑選區，就是住宅及社區用地，原先的劃分相對合理，是一個完整的版塊，生活圈一致。

是次選區劃分目的既為令各選區內「預計人口符合法例許可幅度」，按正常邏輯及合理性，選管會應從鵝頸或維園選區，劃分予銅鑼灣選區，而非如現時建議般從大坑選區割走希雲街及加路連山道一帶。

本人認為現時灣仔區區議會選區劃分相對合理，反對改劃大坑選區的臨時建議

梁樂山 啟

24-7-2018

此為範本申述共 11 份 (序號 007-017)

請將此信，並於**8月19日或之前**交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：李先生　　聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：[signature]　　地址：[redacted]

致　選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

☑ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

☑ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

☑ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

唔應該隨意搬龍門。

007

請將此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：陳錦掌　聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：　地址：[redacted]

致　選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「807 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

□ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

□ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區運繫；

□ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment





請將此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：張淑卿　聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：　地址：[redacted]

致　選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「807 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

☑ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

☑ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區運繫；

☑ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment





請將此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：鄺秋富　聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：　地址：[redacted]

致　選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「807 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

□ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

□ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區運繫；

□ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

請將此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：　聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：　地址：[redacted]

致　選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「807 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

□ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

□ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區運繫；

□ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment



014

敬啟者：

現反對此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：王大 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：[redacted] 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

□ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

□ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，牽涉個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

☒ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

反對!!!



012

敬啟者：

現反對此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：LEUNG CHOI YUK 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：[redacted] 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

☑ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

☑ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，牽涉個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

☑ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

請維持不變，不同意改變。

015

敬啟者：

現反對此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：Ronald Ong 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：[redacted] 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

✓☒ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

✓☐ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，牽涉個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

☒ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

希望大坑區議員[redacted]能夠積極跟進本區個案！
七良萬謝多謝！



013

敬啟者：

現反對此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：李建強 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：[redacted] 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

✓☒ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

✓☒ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，牽涉個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

✓☒ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

016





017





018(1)

選管會日前公佈選區臨時分界建議，其中有針對銅鑼灣區的大改動。謝斐道、駱明大廈、美漢大廈、新城大廈、海宮大廈和海都大廈劃歸灣仔區，然後將希雲街至加路連山道一帶劃入銅鑼灣區！

事實上，過度商業化的發展一直對本區帶來不同的影響，包括常住人口數字，過去十多年，我們面對過一座又一座住宅大廈收購重建成商廈，銅鑼灣區常住人口持續下降，選管會因而作出重新劃界建議，伍婉婷都理解。

然而，波斯富街至景隆街一段謝斐道，屬銅鑼灣鬧市核心地段一部分，與景隆街至柬角道一帶樓宇有整體共通性，新選區劃界影響了社區完整性，並對小區規劃與解決社區問題帶來更大困難。

選管會指出是次選區是根據人口數字作主要考慮，然而，法定準則(c)亦列明：選管會須顧及社區獨特性及地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展等)，新增別區議員的工作評價，選民可透過四年一次的選舉投票評定功過，但若經常重新劃界，選民便失去評核的機會，

如果您也認同社區完整性的重要，如果您也不想跟駱明大廈、美漢大廈、新城大廈、海宮大廈和海都大廈分手，請您跟我們一起向選管會提出反對！

Yolanda NG 伍婉婷
灣仔區議員(銅鑼灣灣選區)

019

請填妥此信，並於**8月19日或之前**交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

15/08 2018 WED 14:28 FAX 001/001

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：陳啟聰 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：[redacted] 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 ☐ 加上「X」號）

☒ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

☐ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

☐ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

020(1)

反對從銅鑼灣區劃走華明、新城、美漢、海宮及海都大廈

20/08/2018 17:56

From: [redacted]
To: "eacenq@reo.gov.hk" <eacenq@reo.gov.hk>

[法定規則C：考慮社區完整性]

四年一度的區議會選舉，跟普羅大眾小市民有何關係？

登記做選民，運用手中一票選出跟自己有共同理念的、自己認同的、相信他/她能為小社區做點事的人。再四年後，無論政績如何，只要他/她繼續參選，您還是可以運手中一票給他/她一張成績表。

可是，基於小選區有一個常住人口基數限制，在社區不斷更新之下，有些地區，面臨人口過剩或不足，選管會在選舉前一年多提出重新劃界建議，確保每個小選區人口沒有跌出16,599上下限25%範圍外。換句話說，有部分選民，即使沒有遷家，也將要在下一屆區議會選舉跟原來的區議員說再見，沒有機會透過神聖一票表達對原來那位的認同或不滿。

作為區議員，對於客觀數字反映的劃界需要，我理解。工作上，是否重劃選區，任內具體工作還是會如常，要發聲的要爭取的要服務的...還是一如既往。可是，令人納悶的是整個重新劃界考慮，並不全面。

首先，根據法定準則(a) 選舉管理委員會（"選管會"）在切實可行的情況下，須確保各建議選區人口盡量接近標準人口基數。即16 599人，(b) 倘某選區實際上不能遵從上述(a)項的規定，選管會須確保該選區的人口偏離標準人口基數不超 出 25% (即 12 449人至 20 749人的幅度之內)。這一點，在是次重新劃界建議中，沒有被充分反映，有選區人口超出一倍，也有選區人口低於基數超過30%亦未有被重新劃界。

究其原因，可能選管會「很貼心」，在這些個別選區引用了法定準則(c)作考慮，即：選管會須顧及社區獨特性及地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵 (例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展等)。

那麼，恕我「把口唔收」大膽一問，這個「法定準則(c)」，為什麼沒有在全港唯一常住人口下降的灣仔區被考慮？

銅鑼灣、鵝頸、愛群人口都低於下限，選管會建議將鵝頸區的維景樓劃入愛群區，銅鑼灣區的海宮、海都、美漢、肇明及新城大廈劃入鵝頸區，信和廣場至景隆街一段謝斐道，左右兩旁大廈從今之後屬兩個不同選區；銅鑼灣則從大坑接收希雲街至加路連山道一帶住宅大廈。

當一座又一座住宅大廈重建成商廈，銅鑼灣區常住人口持續下降，是事實。但實在真心不明白，何以要在原來常住人口低於下限的銅鑼灣劃走一個小區？左劃一個小社區、右硬塞一條街，一加一減人口增幅不大，但一個小區則因此被切割開來！

灣仔區不同小區有不同特色，盲目以數字作劃界考慮實在不智。如果你也認同社區完整性，請把握時間在8月21日前跟我們一起向選管會提意見！

020(2)

Nothing is impossible to a willing heart
若要如何 全憑自己

伍婉婷 Yolanda Ng
TEL: [redacted]
FAX:
WEBSITE: [redacted]

021

To whom it may concern:

Re. the 2019 DC election proposed constituency boundary revision,
I would like to raise my objection to the following proposal:

Tai Hang constituency: transfer of the portion of Leighton Road (near Haven Street) and west of Caroline Hill Road to Causeway Bay constituency.
Reason: There has been no change to the Tai Hang's population and the characteristic of the resident composition therein.

Proposed change of constituency: Reduction of the bulky Broadwood constituency and enlargement of Oi Kwan constituency which has insufficient electoral population and residents.

Yours faithfully,

Patrick Kwong

022

高寶齡 銀紫荊星章 太平紳士
Ko Po Ling SBS.BBS.MH. JP

選舉管理委員會
馮驊主席：

二〇一九年年底將舉行第六屆區議會選舉。選舉管理委員會日前公布來年的選區劃界諮時建議：當中十八區中共有一百二十八個選區須重新劃界，同時將新增二十一個議席。閣下強調：選區劃界是按地區人數調整劃分，當中並無政治因素。本人十分認同！但，對於按地區人數調整劃分的同時有否按地區實況？還是機械地按數字處理，對選民影響十分大，會令選民誤認為選管會沒有恪守選舉公平原則。

選舉法例列明每名區議員需要服務一萬六千五百九十九人，不能多或少於此數的百分之二十五，數字是按標準人口基數而計算出來，選管會須按此擬定區界。閣下強調：今次劃界是按地區人數調整劃分，劃分時已盡量按照地方聯繫和社區獨特性進行，過程不含政治因素。

請問？全港唯一常住人口下降的灣仔區的重劃是怎樣介界？銅鑼灣、鵝頸、愛群人口都低於下限，選管會為何建議將鵝頸區的愉景樓劃入愛群區？銅鑼灣區的海宮、海都、海殿、肇明及新城大廈劃入鵝頸區？信和廣場至最隆街一段謝斐道，左右兩旁大廈劃為屬兩個不同選區？銅鑼灣則從大坑接收希雲街至加路連山道一帶住宅大廈？令原來常住人口低已於下限的銅鑼灣選區還要劃走一個小區？左劃一個小社區、右硬塞一條街，一加一減人口增幅不大，但一個小區則因此被切割，社區的完整性被破壞！

本人曾任十多年委任議員，深深了解到地方聯繫和社區獨特性對社區發展的作用和重要，因此，特函 閣下期望能否關注到灣仔區，特別是銅鑼灣選區重劃建議對原有社區的影響和合理性。謝謝！

姓名：高寶齡

日期：21/08/2018

聯絡地址：[redacted] 電話：[redacted]
Address：[redacted]

023(1)

楊雪盈議員辦事處 Office of Clarisse Yeung, District Councillor
[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會馮驊主席：

本辦事處自七月廿三日起於社交平台收集市民對二零一九年區議會選區改劃臨時建議之意見，現要求選舉管理委員會接納。詳見附件。

灣仔區議員
楊雪盈 謹啟
二零一八年八月二十日

023(2)

再者，在規劃層面，今次打算被割走的希雲街，及加路連山道前機電署，分別份屬銅鑼灣、黃泥涌兩張分區大綱草圖。我們和周邊區民一直反對銅鑼灣過度商業化，在政府蓄勢待發要將加山政府土地改劃成商業區之際，將持異見的當區議員抽離，明顯是司馬昭之心。

選管會的理由是由於人口基數下限，於是要割街予銅鑼灣選區。但為何要從大坑下手？不難令人想到，是要蠶食灣仔唯一民主派議席的選區。灣仔區人口持續下降，如果真的以現有基準計算下，議席數目理應只有約十一席。大坑一下子跌入近乎法定下限的人口數，將會有墮進大坑行政區遊一分為二的危險，甚或因未來灣仔人口持續減少，而有被其他選區吸收的可能。政府應檢討的是在人口持續下跌的情況下，是否有需要在灣仔維持13個議席。但在選舉議席已成定局的情況下，以影響最少選區的原則，應維持選區邊界，並衡量將來有否需要刪減選區；現時選區改劃東拼西湊，將原有小社區分拆，無視規劃、不去妥善回應整個灣仔區人口下降的事實，並不健康。

今次受影響的區不只我一個，在全港有多民主派區均受影響，令人認為選管會今次是一場精心策劃的政治計算，有「棒打出頭鳥」之嫌。其他受影響的包括范國威、鄺俊宇、鄺葆賢、葉榮等等。

可是選管會的僅有的兩場諮詢會只設在九龍及新界，我對此感到極度不滿，亦促選管會於港島區舉行諮詢會。因此，我們更需要每一位市民，一人一信向選管會表達意見！無論是電郵、傳真還是親身出席，希望大家支持！

對選區改劃提出意見：
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk
郵遞：灣仔港灣道25號海港中心10樓 選舉管理委員會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
‼8月21日截止！‼

公眾諮詢會：
日期：8月1日 / 8月3日
時間：1900-2130
地點：荔枝角社區會堂 / 隆亨社區中心
（按：香港島多達17選區有重大改動，但選管會竟然於撤銷上一屆港島諮詢會的安排，令人遺憾）



023(3)

已接觸30,620人 加強推廣帖子

陳樂行和另外 269 人 136個回應 158則分享

讚好 回應 分享

從舊到新

Kelvin Yip 不如將金龍台納入大坑啦，勵德邨同金龍台同區根本九唔搭八，李文龍都無理過另一邊 3
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週 · 已編輯

Lam Chi Yuen James 佢話銅鑼灣唔夠人喎，如果要攞番希雲街要搵啲人口比銅鑼灣

讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

陳樂行 上下左右、鵝頸樂活都得啦
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Lam Chi Yuen James 鵝頸唔可以嗮 樂活可以啦 唔係有陰謀真係唔知講乜好 1
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

回覆.....

李耀宗 gerrymandering again 1
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

陳樂行 我反對改劃選區！！！ 2
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Charlton Cheung Share 卑灣仔區既街坊 1
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Stan Leung 而家政府最叻就係做手腳唔比其他人當選 1
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Casey KC Mak 爭咩呀，溝埋做大灣仔區 [redacted]！
#曲 2
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Kamo Kwok 我反對改劃選區 1
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

天天吃燒賣 每日しゅーまいを食う 所以果時唔見左有選民資料既電腦都唔查，
D大數據咪就係咁用嘅.. 政府[redacted]無論.. 1
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Fran Cisco 我強烈反對改劃選區！ 1
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Elsie Ngan 我反對改劃選區！
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

JoJo Shek 我強烈反對改劃選區！ 1
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Frankie Chi Ming Ho 我反對政劃選區 1
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Yan Kit Ng 選管會將大坑區納入銅鑼灣區既理由係:
"上述建議令選區 B02(愛群)、B03(鵝頸)
及 B04(銅鑼灣)的人口均能調整至法例
…… 查看更多 4
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Stephen So 我反對政劃選區 3
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

KK Tsang 我反對改劃選區 2
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Cleo Wong 我反對改劃選區! 2
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

023(4)

Sunny Chan 我反對政劃選區 2
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Halina Ho 我強烈反對改劃選區！ 1
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Chan Vito 我反對改劃選區！ 2
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

鄧建達 我反對改劃大坑選區！ 3
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Jason Ho 我反對改劃選區 2
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Annie So 我強烈反對改劃選區！ 1
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

KK Ho[redacted]gerrymandering [redacted] 2
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Michael Yau Theodora Yu.... 2
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

KK Ho Q01:
按選區分界建議圖於 2015 年的原區 界，選區 Q01(西貢市中心)在 2019 年的 預計人口會低於法例許可的下限。**但鑑 於社區完整性、地方聯繫、地理交通和 人口分布的考慮，調整鄰近選區的分界 以令選區 Q01(西貢市中心)的人口能調 整至法例許可幅度之內並不可行**，故此 建議選區分界維持不變，並容許其人口 繼續偏離法例許可幅度(於 2015 年的選 區分界，此選區的人口亦獲准偏離法例 許可幅度)。
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Kylie Leung 我反對改劃選區！ 1
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Raven Cheung 我反對改劃選區！ 1
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

John Cheung 我反對改劃!
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

劉均健 我反對改劃選區 1
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

梁珏基 我反對改劃選區 1
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Kristoffer Li #gerrymandering
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Lai Sze Kui Ken Bob Tse 好似係你個頭 1
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

1 個回應

Alexis Lam 我反對改劃選區
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Jason Wan 我反對改劃選區 1
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Ray De May 如果政府成功改劃選區，本區應該與毗鄰選區聯盟出選，領幾個毗鄰選區勝出，重奪話事權。
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Ray De May 我反對改劃選區
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Man Leong Leung 我反對改劃選區
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Chan Jim 我反對改劃選區！
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Gary Ka Kit Cheung 我反對改劃選區
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

023(5)

Shun Tang 政府這次這樣做等同滅絕民主派選區
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Akila Lo 我反對改劃選區!
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Carrie Kong 我反對改劃選區
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Kowk Kai Kwan 我反對改劃選區
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

MingSze Mo 我反對改劃選區
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Yvette Yanne 我反對改劃選區
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Edd Yu 我反對改劃選區
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Pete Lau 我反對改劃選區
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Kong Chan 我反對改劃選區
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Andy Wong 我反對改劃選區！
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Iris Shain 我反對改劃選區
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Osxar Fxl 我反對改劃選區
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Flora Fung 我反對改劃選區, 並已發電郵.
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Edison Chan Tsz Him 我反對改劃選區
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Flora Fung but the email cannot be sent.
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

檢視之前的回覆

楊雪盈 Clarisse Yeung 今天試過，但無事啊，可能再send一次？
讚好 · 回覆 · Clarisse Yeung的回應 · 2週 1

查看更多回覆

Frank Ho 我反對改劃選區
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Acris Cheung 我反對改劃選區
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Alex Leung 我反對改劃選區！
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Ng Yuk Ming 我反對改劃選區 1
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Brenda So 我反對改劃選區
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Ernest Ng 我反對改劃選區
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Carl Lau 我反對改劃選區!!! 2
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Jacky Yim 我反對改劃選區 2
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Lok Shan 我反對改劃選區 2

023(6)

讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Tak Cheng 我反對改劃選區 2
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Catherine Lau 我反對改劃選區 2
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Johnny Lee 我反對改劃選區 2
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Ken Tsang 我反對改劃選區。 3
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Timothy Hui 我反對改劃選區 2
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Fung Lee 我反對改劃選區 2
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Tse Wai Yue 我反對改劃選區 2
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Alex Chow 我反對改劃選區 1
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

陳寶琼 我反對改劃選區 1
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Wing Hon Ho 我反對改劃選區 1
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Sharon Tsui 我反對改劃選區 1
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Cyrus Lau 我反對改劃選區 1
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Conway Chan 我反對改劃選區 1
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Gloria Hunnie 次次亂咁cut, 搞到啲居民都轉到頭都暈埋 1
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Joseph Kwok 我反對改劃選區 1
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Amy Kong 我反對改劃選區 1
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Victor Liu 我反對改劃選區 1
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Sony Chan 我反對改劃選區
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Senia Ng 我反對改劃選區
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Alan Ho 我反對改劃選區
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Albert Wan 我反對改劃選區
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Dandan Hui 我反對改劃選區, totally...
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Winthy Mo 我反對改劃選區
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Angie Yuen 我反對改劃選區
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Laurence Woodville 何不直接在閣下的臉書設一份反對改劃選區意見書, 讓市民只須簽署便以書郵發往選舉管理委員會秘書處?!

讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Eva Ching 我反對改劃選區
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Mc Leung 我反對改劃選區
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

鄧如玉 我非常反對改劃選區！
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

徐悅怡 我反對改劃選區
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

黃絲帶 我反對改劃選區 1
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Martin Ho 我反對改劃選區。
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Elsa Wong 我反對改劃選區
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Elsa Wong 支持在港島區舉行諮詢會！
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Chi Kit Ng 我反對改劃選區
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Lee Doddar 唔該組織下希雲街街坊反對啦！
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Wong Lak 我反對改劃選區
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Peter Fung 我強烈反對改劃選區
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Wong Ming 我反對改劃選區
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Rain Lo 我反對改劃選區
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Tommy Ho 我反對改劃選區
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

譚劍 我反對改劃選區
讚好 · 回覆 · 2週

Joan Shang 我反對改劃選區
讚好 · 回覆 · 1週

Tse Kam Chai 我反對改劃選區
讚好 · 回覆 · 1週

Tse Kam Chai 直接判晒比保皇黨丫笨 1
讚好 · 回覆 · 1週

Enkas Chau 我反對改劃選區
讚好 · 回覆 · 1週

Wong Chi Ho 我非常反對改劃選區
讚好 · 回覆 · 1週

Alex Au 我反對改劃選區
讚好 · 回覆 · 1週

Vonnie Lam 我反對改劃選區!
讚好 · 回覆 · 1週

Connie Leung 我反對改劃選區
讚好 · 回覆 · 1週

Wilfred Wong 我反對改劃選區

讚好 · 回覆 · 1週

Cheong Lam 我反對改劃選區
讚好 · 回覆 · 1週

Tony Lau 我反對改劃選區
讚好 · 回覆 · 1週

Raymond Lai 我反對改劃選區
讚好 · 回覆 · 1週

Betty Chu 我反對改劃選區
讚好 · 回覆 · 1週

Betty Chu 我反對改劃選區 1
讚好 · 回覆 · 1週

Man Leong Leung 我反對改劃選區
讚好 · 回覆 · 1週

Lai Sze Kui Ken 我反對改劃選區
讚好 · 回覆 · 1週

Tang Pak Ho 我反對改劃選區
讚好 · 回覆 · 1週

Edward Chung 我反對改劃選區
讚好 · 回覆 · 5天

Benny Lau 我反對改劃選區
讚好 · 回覆 · 5天

Ivana ChumChum 我反對改劃選區
讚好 · 回覆 · 3天

Lee Doddar 反對
讚好 · 回覆 · 1天

023(9)

楊雪盈議員辦事處 Office of Clarisse Yeung, District Councilor

[redacted] [redacted] [redacted] 楊雪盈 Clarisse Yeung



致　選舉管理委員會馮驊主席：

本辦事處自七月廿三日起收集居民網上聯署，反對二零一九年區議會選區改劃臨時建議，現要求選舉管理委員會接納。詳見附件。

灣仔區議員
楊雪盈　謹啟
二零一八年八月二十日

意見	姓名	聯絡電話	電郵地址
希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不宜合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性。 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口爲由，改動選區劃界。	wong cheuk kuen	[redacted]	[redacted]
希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不宜合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性。 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫。 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口爲由，改動選區劃界。 選驊，[redacted]	張倩盈	[redacted]	[redacted]
希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不宜合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性。 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫。 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應	Stanley Lo	[redacted]	[redacted]

023(10)

以人口爲由，改動選區劃界。			
希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不宜合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性。 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫。 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口爲由，改動選區劃界。	Lau Ka Chun	[redacted]	[redacted]
希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不宜合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性。 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫。 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口爲由，改動選區劃界。	Francisco Lo	[redacted]	[redacted]
希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不宜合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性。 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫。 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口爲由，改動選區劃界。	Eppie Ho	[redacted]	[redacted]
希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不宜合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性。 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫。 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口爲由，改動選區劃界。	Connie Leung	[redacted]	[redacted]
希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不宜合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性。 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫。 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口爲由，改動選區劃界。	Liu Sau Kuen	[redacted]	[redacted]

023(11)

希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不宜合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性。 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫。 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口爲由，改動選區劃界。	Lee PO Keung	[redacted]	[redacted]
希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不宜合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性。 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫。 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口爲由，改動選區劃界。	徐金風	[redacted]	[redacted]
希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不宜合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性。 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫。 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口爲由，改動選區劃界。	陳月如	[redacted]	[redacted]
希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不宜合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性。 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫。 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口爲由，改動選區劃界。	李嘉雯	[redacted]	[redacted]
希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不宜合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性。 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫。 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口爲由，改動選區劃界。	李嘉信	[redacted]	[redacted]

023(12)

希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不宜合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性。 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫。 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口爲由，改動選區劃界。 很難相信在完全沒有理據支持下，選管會突然將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區不是一個政治考慮。	Prima YU	[redacted]	[redacted]
希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不宜合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性。 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫。 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口爲由，改動選區劃界。	Michelle	[redacted]	[redacted]
希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不宜合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性。 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口爲由，改動選區劃界。 對比2015年及2019年之對定區議會選區範圍建議 https://www.cac.gov.hk/pdf/distco/2015dc/ch/2_wc_ch.pdf https://www.cac.gov.hk/pdf/distco/2019dc/ch/2_wc(Chi).pdf 由於灣仔區議會維持13個分區不變，在計算兩次建議「標準人口基數偏離百分比」可作直接比較。 2015年灣仔區議會選區範圍與2011年基本沒有變更，單從「標準人口基數偏離百分比」於2015年只有3區偏離值低於-20%，但2019年的建議中卻增至7區偏離值低於-20%。同時亦由2015年沒有一區偏離值高於-10%，但2019年的建議中卻有3區偏離值高於-10%。 若比較「標準人口基數偏離百分比」之均方差，經計算後得出2019年區內之「標準人口基數偏離百分比」的離散程度大於2015年的建議。 足見2019年建議越偏離法律所規定的標準人口基數，並且對不同選區帶有明顯差別處理，因此選舉管理委員會的建議並不可取。 再參考統計處2016年中期人口普查結果「按區議會分區／選區、年、性別及年齡劃分的人口」，亦發現2015年建議中的人口估算，與2016年中期人口普	OMAR KAN	[redacted]	[redacted]

023(13)

選所得的人口數字相比，個別區份有明顯的差距，銅鑼灣選區在2016年的人口比2015年估算少17.6%，跑馬地選區的同期人口亦比估算少10.6%。由此可見選舉管理委員會依賴相關人口估算數據作為選區範圍建議的重要準則亦會帶有明顯誤差。 有見及此，本人向選舉管理委員會表達2019年灣仔區之暫定區議會選區範圍建議，並不可取，亦與法例原意相違背。本人亦向選舉管理委員會建議2019年灣仔區之區議會選區範圍，沿用2015年的選區範圍。			
希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不宜合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性。 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫。 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口爲由，改動選區劃界。 今次諮詢會沒有在港島區舉行，莫視希雲街和加路連山居民諮詢，不尊重民意，草率了事，含有政治成份。	Chen Chu lan	[redacted]	[redacted]
希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不宜合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性。 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫。	LO SHU KEUNG	[redacted]	[redacted]
希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不宜合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性。 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫。 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口爲由，改動選區劃界。	Jason Ng	[redacted]	[redacted]
希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不宜合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性。 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫。 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口爲由，改動選區劃界。	Betty Chu	[redacted]	[redacted]

023(14)

希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不宜合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性。 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫。 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口爲由，改動選區劃界。	Kellogg Ngai	[redacted]	[redacted]
希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不宜合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性。 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫。 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口爲由，改動選區劃界。	弘玲	[redacted]	[redacted]
希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不宜合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性。，重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫。	fung soa king	[redacted]	[redacted]
希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不宜合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性。 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫。 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口爲由，改動選區劃界。	Cheung kim hung	[redacted]	[redacted]
希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不宜合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性。 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫。 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口爲由，改動選區劃界。 選舉管理委員會今次沒有在港島區諮詢民意，隨便重新劃界，不尊重民意，表示欲怒。	Chu chi yui	[redacted]	[redacted]

023(15)

希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不宜合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性。 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫。	Maggie Chan	[redacted]	[redacted]
希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不宜合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性。	Leong Kam Ying	[redacted]	[redacted]
希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不宜合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性。	Leong Ngok Fah	[redacted]	[redacted]
希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不宜合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性。	Chu Yuk Kiu	[redacted]	[redacted]
希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不宜合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性。 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫。	James Chan	[redacted]	[redacted]
希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不宜合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性。 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫。	Jenny Fung	[redacted]	[redacted]
希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不宜合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性。 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫。 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口爲由，改動選區劃界。	Wong Chi Ho	[redacted]	[redacted]

024

致　選舉管理委員會

馮驊主席：

反對二零一九年區議會大坑選區分界建議

我們是一群希雲街／加路連山道居民。選舉管理委員會提出臨時建議，擬將希雲街、加路連山道一帶劃出一直所屬的大坑選區，改入銅鑼灣選區。選管會未有考慮法定的社區完整性及地方聯繫，對灣仔居民極為不公，詳列如下：

一、破壞地方聯繫

希雲街、加路連山道與大坑其他小社區一樣，由舊樓和小店組成，面對樓宇管理和市區重建等問題，有著共同訴求，與甲級商廈林立的銅鑼灣選區截然不同。然而，此改劃打散社區，使代議士無法聚焦社區訴求，百害而無一利。

二、分拆人口安排不合理

選管會以人口不足法定下限為由，由大坑劃走希雲加山，卻不從人口較多的樂活、司徒拔道選區人手，如此安排並不合理。

三、朝令夕改，社區問題難跟進

一旦希雲加山被劃出大坑選區，楊綠盈團隊為大廈多年跟進的樓宇維修、交通、沖厠水、消防指示等問題，進度將受影響。部份問題涉及個人私隱，法團過上的問題亦需長時間跟進處理，我們認為不宜拆散如此地區聯繫。

四、取消諮詢會，毫不尊重港島人

是次臨時建議影響17個港島選區，比上屆多逾8倍，理應多加諮詢；選管會卻倒行逆施，取消以往於的觀塘新界諮詢會，致使年老居民長途跋涉，繁忙時段坐車一小時多到沙田公眾諮詢會表達意見。以資源有限為由取消香港島的諮詢會實在荒謬，亦對港島居民毫不尊重。

基於上述原因，我們認為，選管會對大坑選區的分界建議嚴重損害希雲加山居民利益，安排亦不合理。我們要求選管會撤回建議，勿將希雲加山劃出大坑選區。

Lui Sum Lai

香港希雲街十七號至十九號A業主立案法團
OWNERS OF 17-19A, HEUNG WAN KEI STREET

香港希雲街十七號至十九號A業主立案法團　謹啟

二零一八年八月十四日

025

致　選舉管理委員會

馮驊主席：

反對二零一九年區議會大坑選區分界建議

我們是一群希雲街／加路連山道居民。選舉管理委員會提出臨時建議，擬將希雲街、加路連山道一帶劃出一直所屬的大坑選區，改入銅鑼灣選區。選管會未有考慮法定的社區完整性及地方聯繫，對灣仔居民極為不公，詳列如下：

一、破壞地方聯繫
希雲街、加路連山道與大坑其他小社區一樣，由舊樓和小店組成，面對樓宇管理和市區重建等問題，有著共同訴求，與甲級商廈林立的銅鑼灣選區截然不同。然而，此改劃打散社區，使代議士無法聚焦社區訴求，百害而無一利。

二、分拆人口安排不合理
選管會以人口不足法定下限為由，由大坑劃走希雲加山，卻不從人口較多的樂活、司徒拔道選區入手，如此安排並不合理。

三、朝令夕改，社區問題難跟進
一旦希雲加山被劃出大坑選區，楊雪盈團隊為大廈多年跟進的樓宇維修、交通、沖廁水、消防指示等問題，進度將受影響。部份問題涉及個人私隱，法團遇上的問題亦需長時間跟進處理，我們認為不宜拆散如此地區聯繫。

四、取消諮詢會，毫不尊重港島人
是次臨時建議影響17個港島選區，比上屆多逾8倍，理應多加諮詢；選管會卻倒行逆施，取消以往於的鰂魚涌公眾諮詢會，致使年老居民長途跋涉，繁忙時段坐車一小時多到沙田公眾諮詢會表達意見。以資源有限為由取消香港島的諮詢會實在荒謬，亦對港島居民毫不尊重。

基於上述原因，我們認為，選管會對大坑選區的分界建議嚴重損害希雲加山居民利益，安排亦不合理。我們要求選管會撤回建議，勿將希雲加山劃出大坑選區。

香港希雲街十三號至十五號A業主立案法團　謹啟

二零一八年八月十四日

026

致　選舉管理委員會

馮驊主席：

反對二零一九年區議會大坑選區分界建議

我們是一群希雲街／加路連山道居民。選舉管理委員會提出臨時建議，擬將希雲街、加路連山道一帶劃出一直所屬的大坑選區，改入銅鑼灣選區。選管會未有考慮法定的社區完整性及地方聯繫，對灣仔居民極為不公，詳列如下：

一、破壞地方聯繫
希雲街、加路連山道與大坑其他小社區一樣，由舊樓和小店組成，面對樓宇管理和市區重建等問題，有著共同訴求，與甲級商廈林立的銅鑼灣選區截然不同。然而，此改劃打散社區，使代議士無法聚焦社區訴求，百害而無一利。

二、分拆人口安排不合理
選管會以人口不足法定下限為由，由大坑劃走希雲加山，卻不從人口較多的樂活、司徒拔道選區入手，如此安排並不合理。

三、朝令夕改，社區問題難跟進
一旦希雲加山被劃出大坑選區，楊雪盈團隊為大廈多年跟進的樓宇維修、交通、沖廁水、消防指示等問題，進度將受影響。部份問題涉及個人私隱，法團遇上的問題亦需長時間跟進處理，我們認為不宜拆散如此地區聯繫。

四、取消諮詢會，毫不尊重港島人
是次臨時建議影響17個港島選區，比上屆多逾8倍，理應多加諮詢；選管會卻倒行逆施，取消以往於的鰂魚涌公眾諮詢會，致使年老居民長途跋涉，繁忙時段坐車一小時多到沙田公眾諮詢會表達意見。以資源有限為由取消香港島的諮詢會實在荒謬，亦對港島居民毫不尊重。

基於上述原因，我們認為，選管會對大坑選區的分界建議嚴重損害希雲加山居民利益，安排亦不合理。我們要求選管會撤回建議，勿將希雲加山劃出大坑選區。

加路連山道13, 13A, 15及15A號業主立案法團　謹啟

二零一八年八月十四日

027

致　選舉管理委員會

馮驊主席：

反對二零一九年區議會大坑選區分界建議

我們是一群希雲街／加路連山道居民。選舉管理委員會提出臨時建議，擬將希雲街、加路連山道一帶劃出一直所屬的大坑選區，改入銅鑼灣選區。選管會未有考慮法定的社區完整性及地方聯繫，對灣仔居民極為不公，詳列如下：

一、破壞地方聯繫
希雲街、加路連山道與大坑其他小社區一樣，由舊樓和小店組成，面對樓宇管理和市區重建等問題，有著共同訴求，與甲級商廈林立的銅鑼灣選區截然不同。然而，此改劃打散社區，使代議士無法聚焦社區訴求，百害而無一利。

二、分拆人口安排不合理
選管會以人口不足法定下限為由，由大坑劃走希雲加山，卻不從人口較多的樂活、司徒拔道選區入手，如此安排並不合理。

三、朝令夕改，社區問題難跟進
一旦希雲加山被劃出大坑選區，楊雪盈團隊為大廈多年跟進的樓宇維修、交通、沖廁水、消防指示等問題，進度將受影響。部份問題涉及個人私隱，法團遇上的問題亦需長時間跟進處理，我們認為不宜拆散如此地區聯繫。

四、取消諮詢會，毫不尊重港島人
是次臨時建議影響17個港島選區，比上屆多逾8倍，理應多加諮詢；選管會卻倒行逆施，取消以往於的鰂魚涌公眾諮詢會，致使年老居民長途跋涉，繁忙時段坐車一小時多到沙田公眾諮詢會表達意見。以資源有限為由取消香港島的諮詢會實在荒謬，亦對港島居民毫不尊重。

基於上述原因，我們認為，選管會對大坑選區的分界建議嚴重損害希雲加山居民利益，安排亦不合理。我們要求選管會撤回建議，勿將希雲加山劃出大坑選區。

香港希雲街廿三號至廿五號A業主立案法團　謹啟

二零一八年八月十四日

B. 灣仔區 Wan Chai District

[redacted]
議員辦事處

此為範本申述共 122 份 (序號 028-149)

選區改劃諮詢中
希雲加山
或被剔出大坑選區



七月底，選舉管理委員會公佈新一屆區議會選區分界建議，將過百個區議會分區重新劃界。一直屬於**大坑選區**的希雲街、加路連山道一帶或剔出大坑，改入**銅鑼灣選區**。

028

請填妥此信，並於**8月19日或之前**交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：吳英華　　聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：[redacted]　　地址：[redacted]

致　選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

☑ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

☑ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

☑ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

029



七月底，選舉管理委員會公佈新一屆區議會選區分界建議，將現時區議會分區重新劃界。一直屬於大坑選區的希慎街、加路連山道一帶或劃出大坑，改入銅鑼灣選區。

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：
8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時
我們會轉寄至選舉管理委員會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：Ng Wai Lan 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：[redacted] 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「807 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

□ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

□ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

□ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

[redacted]

030



七月底，選舉管理委員會公佈新一屆區議會選區分界建議，將現時區議會分區重新劃界。一直屬於大坑選區的希慎街、加路連山道一帶或劃出大坑，改入銅鑼灣選區。

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：
8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時
我們會轉寄至選舉管理委員會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：麥輝俊 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：麥輝俊 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「807 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

□ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

□ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

□ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

[redacted]

031

七月底，選舉管理委員會公佈新一屆區議會選區分界建議，將現時區議會分區重新劃界。一直屬於大坑選區的希慎街、加路連山道一帶或劃出大坑，改入銅鑼灣選區。

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：
8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時
我們會轉寄至選舉管理委員會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：Vicky Chan 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：[redacted] 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「807 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

□ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

□ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

□ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

[redacted]

032

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選舉管理委員會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：Sam Ho 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：[redacted] 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「807 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

□ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

□ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

□ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

033

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：盧嘉英 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名： 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

□ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

□ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

□ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

034

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：陳蔡淑雲 聯絡電話：

簽名： 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

□ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

□ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

□ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

035

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：DAVID CHEUNG 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名： 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

□ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

□ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

□ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

036

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名： 聯絡電話：

簽名： 地址：

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

☒ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

□ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

□ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

我住希雲大廈好久啦！理[redacted]舉達民意聲
支持你加油.

037

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：Tam Wai Po 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名： 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

☑ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

☑ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

☑ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

The consult's built up is key to ensure of the effectiveness

038

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

月15日 下午4-6時
月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：[redacted] 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名： 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

□ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

□ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

□ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

039

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：[redacted] 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名： 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

□ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

□ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

□ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

040

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：陳秀靖 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名： 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

□ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

□ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

□ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

041

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：Yip Chauk Yam Kenneth [redacted] 聯絡電話

簽名： [redacted] 地址

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

□ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

□ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

□ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

042

此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－
威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
（下時間交到希雲街街站：

5日 下午4-6時
7日 下午5-7時

會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、
路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

名：林鐵民 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名： 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

□ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

□ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

□ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

043

，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－
大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
間交到希雲街街站：

下午4-6時
下午5-7時

寄至選管會秘書處。

選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、
連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

：Li Yuk Yip 聯絡電話：[redacted]

名： 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

□ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

□ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

□ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

044

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：吳淑雲 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：吳淑雲 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

□ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

□ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

□ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

吳淑

045

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：[redacted] 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：[redacted] 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 ☐ 加上「X」號）

☐ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

☐ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

☐ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

046

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：徐金凤 [redacted] 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：Linda 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 ☐ 加上「X」號）

☐ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

☐ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

☐ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

047

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：[redacted] 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：[redacted] 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 ☐ 加上「X」號）

☐ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

☐ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

☐ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

048

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：Winglam 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：[redacted] 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 ☐ 加上「X」號）

☐ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

☐ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

☐ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

049

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：[redacted] 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：[redacted] 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 ☐ 加上「X」號）

☐ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

☐ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

☐ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

050

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：[redacted] 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：[redacted] 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 ☐ 加上「X」號）

☐ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

☐ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

☐ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

051

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：[redacted] 聯絡電話：

簽名：[redacted] 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 ☐ 加上「X」號）

☐ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

☐ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

☐ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

052

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：[redacted] 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：[redacted] 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 ☐ 加上「X」號）

☐ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

☐ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

☐ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

053

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：Kelvin Ham 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名： 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

☐ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

☐ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

☐ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

054

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：麥秀 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：麥秀 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

☐ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

☑ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

☐ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

055

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：Chan Shui Mui 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名： 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

☐ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

☐ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

☐ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

056

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：胡慧芝 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名： 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

☐ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

☐ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

☐ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

057

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：陳秀珍 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：陳秀珍 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 ☐ 加上「X」號）

☐ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

☐ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

☐ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

058

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：劉志堅、陳淑莊、劉淑勤、劉志堅、劉淑勤 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：劉淑勤 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 ☐ 加上「X」號）

☒ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

☒ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

☒ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

059

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：[illegible] YIN LING 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：[illegible] 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 ☐ 加上「X」號）

☐ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

☐ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

☐ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

060

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：[illegible] 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：[illegible] 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 ☐ 加上「X」號）

☐ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

☐ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

☐ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

061

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：Hui Man Hong 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：Hong 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 ☐ 加上「X」號）

☐ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

☐ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

☐ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

062

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名： 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名： 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 ☐ 加上「X」號）

☐ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

☐ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

☐ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

063

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：Betty Choi 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：RC 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 ☐ 加上「X」號）

☑ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

☑ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

☑ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

064

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：Choi Hoi Ki 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名： 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 ☐ 加上「X」號）

☑ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

☑ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

☑ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

065

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：CK 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：CK 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

☐ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

☐ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

☐ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

066

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：盧仲君 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：[redacted] 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

☐ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

☐ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

☐ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

067

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：WILLIAM WANG 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：William Wang 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

☑ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

☑ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

☑ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

[redacted]是一位好議員，多謝她的幫助服務本區市民

068

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：[redacted] 聯絡電話：

簽名：[redacted] 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

☐ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

☐ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

☐ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

069

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：Ho Sin Tsui 聯絡電話：

簽名： 地址：

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 ☐ 加上「X」號）

☐ 希雲街、加路連山道與鋼鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

☑ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

☐ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

070

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：[illegible] 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名： 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 ☐ 加上「X」號）

☐ 希雲街、加路連山道與鋼鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

☐ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

☐ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

071

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名 黃錦源 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 ☐ 加上「X」號）

☐ 希雲街、加路連山道與鋼鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

☐ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

☐ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

072

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：MR SAN CHUNG 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名： 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 ☐ 加上「X」號）

☐ 希雲街、加路連山道與鋼鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

☐ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

☐ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

073

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：黃煥英 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：黃煥英 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 ☐ 加上「X」號）

☐ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

☐ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

☐ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

074

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：曾敏玉 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：[redacted] 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 ☐ 加上「X」號）

☒ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

☒ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

☒ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

075

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：[redacted] 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：[redacted] 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 ☐ 加上「X」號）

☐ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

☐ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

☐ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

076

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：[redacted] 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：[redacted] 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 ☐ 加上「X」號）

☐ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

☐ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

☐ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

077

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：盧宅　　聯絡電話：

簽名：郭彩凰　　地址：[redacted]

致　選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

□ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

□ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

□ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

078

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：[redacted]　　聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：[redacted]　　地址：[redacted]

致　選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

□ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

□ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

□ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

079

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：SZE KAI　　聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：[redacted]　　地址：[redacted]

致　選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

□ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

□ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

□ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

080

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：CHAN WAI MAN　　聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：[redacted]　　地址：[redacted]

致　選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

□ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

□ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

□ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

NIL

081

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：Haine Chan 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：[redacted] 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

□ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

□ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

□ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

[redacted] has been very helpful, & I fully support her to continue to serve our community

082

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：W. N. Chan 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：[redacted] 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

□ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

□ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

□ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

[redacted] has shown enthusiasm and sincerity in solving our residential problems.

083

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：[redacted] 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名： 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

□ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

□ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

□ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

084

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名： 聯絡電話：

簽名：[redacted] 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

□ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

□ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

□ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

085

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：SHIN KA SING 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：[redacted] 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

□ 希雲街、加路連山道與鋼鐵灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

□ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

□ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

086

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：陳敏婷 聯絡電話：

簽名：陳敏婷 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

□ 希雲街、加路連山道與鋼鐵灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

□ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

□ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

087

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：孔銀蝶 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：孔 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

□ 希雲街、加路連山道與鋼鐵灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

□ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

□ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

088

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：SHIN WING KEE KI 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：[redacted] 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

□ 希雲街、加路連山道與鋼鐵灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

□ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

□ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

089

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：Steve Gordon 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：[signature] 地址：

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

☑ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

☑ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

☑ 即便與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

090

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：朱婕儀 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：[signature] 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

□ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

□ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

□ 即便與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

091

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名 楊楚鎏 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：[signature] 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

□ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

□ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

□ 即便與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

092

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：[illegible] 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：[signature] 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

□ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

□ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

□ 即便與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

093

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16-22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：關美施 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：關美施 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

☐ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

☐ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

☐ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

094

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16-22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：沈嘉成 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：沈嘉成 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

☐ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

☐ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

☐ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

095

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16-22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：朱家慈 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：Judy L 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

☒ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

☒ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

☒ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

096

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16-22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：陳菊蘭 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：Janice Chen 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

☒ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

☒ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

☒ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

港島区沒有選區改劃諮詢會，是不尊重港島區居民，極為忽視。

097

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：周玉蘭 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：[redacted] 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 ☐ 加上「X」號）

☒ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

☒ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

☒ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

098

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：朱家龍 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：[redacted] 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 ☐ 加上「X」號）

☒ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

☒ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

☒ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

099

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：[redacted] 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：[redacted] 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 ☐ 加上「X」號）

☐ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

☐ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

☐ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

100

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：[redacted] 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：[redacted] 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 ☐ 加上「X」號）

☐ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

☐ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

☐ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

101

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：[illegible] 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名： 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

□ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

□ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

□ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

[illegible]

102

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：[illegible] 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名： 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

□ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

□ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

□ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

103

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名 [illegible] 聯絡電話 [redacted]

簽名 地址：

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

□ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

□ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

□ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

104

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名： 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名： 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

□ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

□ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

□ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

105

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：卢鎮 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：卢鎮 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

□ 希雲街、加路連山道與鍋鑊灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

□ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

□ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

106

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：[illegible] 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：[illegible] 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

□ 希雲街、加路連山道與鍋鑊灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

□ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

□ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

107

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：CARRIE TU 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：[signature] 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

☒ 希雲街、加路連山道與鍋鑊灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

☒ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

☒ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

108

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：[illegible] 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：[illegible] 地址：

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

□ 希雲街、加路連山道與鍋鑊灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

□ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

☑ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

109

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：何美德 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：何美德 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

□ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

□ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

□ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

110

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：Wong KO 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：Wong KO 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

□ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

□ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

□ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

111

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：吳寶理 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名： 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

□ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

□ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

□ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

112

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：林健 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名： 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

□ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

□ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

□ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

113

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：司徒秀　聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：司徒秀　地址：[redacted]

致　選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

□ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

□ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

□ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

114

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：王珠翠　聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：王珠翠　地址：[redacted]

致　選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

□ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

□ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

□ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

115

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：Fung Yee Lin　聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：[redacted]　地址：[redacted]

致　選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

□ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

□ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

□ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

116

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：Lui Sum Ling　聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：Lui Sum Ling　地址：[redacted]

致　選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

□ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

□ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

□ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

117

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威機大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：NG SAU WAN 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：[signature] 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

□ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

□ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

□ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

118

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威機大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名： [redacted] 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名： 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

□ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

□ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

□ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

119

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威機大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：WONG HING TAT 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：[signature] 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

□ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

□ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

□ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

120

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威機大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：HUI HAU YUNG 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：[signature] 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

□ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

□ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

□ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

121

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名： 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：陳偉珠 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

□ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

□ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

□ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

122

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：Hau Wing Keung 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：[redacted] 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

□ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

□ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

□ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

123

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：陳[illegible] 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：[redacted] 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

□ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

□ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

□ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

124

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：陳冠光 聯絡電話：

簽名：陳冠光 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

□ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

□ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

□ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

想[redacted]區落第手

125

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：朱志銳 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：[signature] 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

☒ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

☒ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

☒ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

選區改劃
今次諮詢太倉促，沒能走遍區諮詢，漠視港島区民意，草草了事，是不負責政府、
往

126

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：蘇煒揚 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：[signature] 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

☑ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

☑ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

☐ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

127

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：[illegible] 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：[signature] 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

☐ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

☐ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

☐ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

128

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名： 聯絡電話：

簽名：[signature] 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

☐ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

☐ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

☐ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

129

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：[redacted] 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：[redacted] 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

□ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

□ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

□ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

130

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：CHEUNG LOK FAI 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：[redacted] 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

□ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

□ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

□ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

131

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：CHEUNG KING HIM 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：[redacted] 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

□ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

□ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

□ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

132

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：[redacted] 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：[redacted] 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

□ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

□ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

□ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

133

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：馮月明 聯絡電話：

簽名： 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 ☐ 加上「X」號）

☐ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

☐ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

☐ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

134

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：陳女士 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：陳女士 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 ☐ 加上「X」號）

☒ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

☒ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

☒ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

135

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：Dalmi Cheung C.M. 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名： 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 ☐ 加上「X」號）

☐ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

☐ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

☐ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。加山一帶，都是學校，人口自然都

136

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：翟剛萍 聯絡電話：

簽名： 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 ☐ 加上「X」號）

☒ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

☐ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

☐ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

137

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：林永森 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：[redacted] 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

☒ 希雲街、加路連山道與鍋鑊灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

☒ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

☒ 即便與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

138

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：[illegible] 聯絡電話：

簽名：[illegible] 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

□ 希雲街、加路連山道與鍋鑊灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

□ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

□ 即便與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

139

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：Lee Chung Yan 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：[redacted] 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

□ 希雲街、加路連山道與鍋鑊灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

□ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

☒ 即便與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

140

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：PAC cha cho 聯絡電話：

簽名： 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

□ 希雲街、加路連山道與鍋鑊灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

□ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

□ 即便與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

141

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：區少嘉 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：區少嘉 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

□ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

□ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

□ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

142

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：陳岩岳 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：陳岩岳 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

□ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

□ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

□ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

143

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：[illegible] 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：[illegible] 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

□ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

□ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

□ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

144

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：洗錦輝 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：[illegible] 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

☒ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

☒ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

☒ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

145

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：陳鑾祥 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：陳鑾祥 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 ☐ 加上「X」號）

☐ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

☐ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

☐ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

146

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：[redacted] 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：[redacted] 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 ☐ 加上「X」號）

☒ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

☒ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

☒ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

147

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：[redacted] 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：[redacted] 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 ☐ 加上「X」號）

☒ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

☒ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

☒ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

148

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：[redacted] 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：[redacted] 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 ☐ 加上「X」號）

☒ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

☒ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，這些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

☒ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

149

請填妥此信，並於8月19日或之前交回位於高士威道16－22號高威樓大堂的議員辦事處信箱（9樓F室）；
或於以下時間交到希雲街街站：

8月15日 下午4-6時
8月17日 下午5-7時

我們會轉寄至選管會秘書處。

反對選舉管理委員會建議將希雲街、加路連山道一帶剔出大坑選區

姓名：[redacted] 聯絡電話：[redacted]

簽名：[redacted] 地址：[redacted]

致 選舉管理委員會主席：

本人謹此提出反對改變「B07 大坑選區」劃界，理據如下：
（如該段落未能代表你的意見，請於 □ 加上「X」號）

□ 希雲街、加路連山道與銅鑼灣商業區屬兩個不同社區，社區特徵、面對的問題各異，不易合併，如此劃界將破壞社區完整性；

□ 重新劃界影響社區個案跟進，還些個案多數涉及私隱，並需要長期跟進，如此劃界將破壞社區連繫；

□ 即使與預期人口下跌，政府於2015年仍決定不理人口趨勢，保持灣仔的議席在13席。故此，現在不應以人口為由，改動選區劃界。

其他意見 Other Comment

150(1)

21/08 2018 TUE 16:21 FAX

鍾嘉敏議員辦事處
The Office of Chung Ka Man, Wan Chai District Councilor

2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議
意見書

2018年8月21日

選舉管理委員會公布的「2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，提出調整共12個現有選區分界的臨時建議，引來社會各界極大反彈及民憤，民建聯對此表示憂慮及關注。我們認為，今次涉及調整選區數目之多，前所未有，而有關臨時建議更帶來前所未有的負面影響，包括破壞社區完整性及獨特性，不顧選舉性地強行將原屬兩區或不同區的居民分離或合併，以及削弱居民享受應有的穩定及優質社區服務。

分界建議須顧及社區獨特性

選管會表示，按《選舉管理委員會條例》，選管會須檢視所有選區的現有分界，並對該些預計人口超出法例許可上限或下限的選區，適當地調整其分界，以令其預計人口符合法例許可幅度，就此，我們明白選管會的上述法定職責，然而，我們同樣期望，選管會須同時體現《選舉管理條例》第20(3)條的規定，即選管會作出分界建議時，須顧及「社區獨特性及地方聯繫的維持」，以及「有關區域或其部分的自然特徵，例如大小、形狀，以及交通方便程度及發展」。因此，我們認為，選管會提出的分界建議，不能只考慮選區人口的調整及與法例許可上限或下限的要求，必須同時顧及對社區獨特性及地方聯繫的維持等因素。

顧及居民連繫性
不應強行分拆或合併選區

因應預測人口的增加，選管會調整選區分界的同時，應當設有全面規劃同時亦須顧及居民的緊密連繫，以及社區發展完整性，不應強行分拆選區，例如將共有13座獲字的寶達邨一分為二，份屬不同選區，完全無視了社區完整性及同鄰居民的歸屬感。選管會如此欠缺詳細和完整的規劃及考慮，可謂前所未有。

[redacted]

電話 Tel:[redacted] 傳真 Fax:[redacted] 電郵 Email:[redacted]

21-AUG-2018 16:13 96% P.001

150(2)

21/08 2018 TUE 16:21 FAX

鍾嘉敏議員辦事處
The Office of Chung Ka Man, Wan Chai District Councilor

分界建議應顧及居民生活不受影響

我們認為，法例規定選管會定期檢視選區分界的立法原意，應當出於以人為本的精神。以人為本，首從顧及居民生活不受影響，讓市民享受優質及穩定的地區服務，藉此讓當區居民建立社區歸屬感，凝聚社區和諧及穩定。因此，儘管個別選區的人口預為超出法例許可，但在以人為本的精神下，選管會提出分界建議時，應情理兼備，除以數據、科學的態度考慮人口數據外，更要以人為本，考慮居民對社區已凝聚的歸屬感，以及社區完整性、連繫性及獨特性。

就此，在收集市民意見後，就灣仔區的臨時劃界建議提出意見，期望選管會從善如流，修改臨時建議：

灣仔

臨時劃界建議破壞B02「愛群」、B03「鵝頭」、B04「銅鑼灣」及B07「大坑」四個選區的社區完整性，民建聯反對臨時建議，要求維持原來的劃界安排。理由如下：

1. 「銅鑼灣」區被劃走的五座大廈，與崇光百貨後一帶的謝斐道及駱克道廟同一小社區，面對共同的交通及社區問題。此外，將「大坑」區加山一帶劃入「銅鑼灣」區，只與「銅鑼灣」相隔教堂及商廈群，卻造成同一條街道的問題涉及兩名當區區議員。我們認為加山一帶與「大坑」的社區聯繫較薄，由同一名區議員處理小社區的問題，比較名正言順，亦更有代表性。
2. 政府改動劃界的理據，是「銅鑼灣」區及「鵝頭」區人口不足，所以將「大坑」區600居民劃給「銅鑼灣」區，在「銅鑼灣」區劃走600居民給「鵝頭」區，在「鵝頭」區又劃走400居民給「愛群」區，但從數字上「銅鑼灣」區人口根本沒有改變，「鵝頭」區亦沒大出入，相反「愛群」區增加了400，「大坑」區減少了600，這種增減幾百名居民的改動作用根本不大，卻破壞了社區聯繫。

鍾嘉敏

灣仔區議員
鍾嘉敏啟

*備註：附件 "保持社區完整性簽名行動 ~ 反對愉景樓、仁誠大廈、幸福閣、摩利臣山道31號從鵝頭選區劃界上刪除" 簽名，共126人。

[redacted]

電話 Tel:[redacted] 傳真 Fax:[redacted] 電郵 Email:[redacted]

21-AUG-2018 16:13 96% P.002

150(3)

21/08 2018 TUE 16:22 FAX

保持社區完整性 簽名行動
反對 愉景樓、仁誠大廈、幸福閣、摩利臣山道31號
從鵝頸選區劃界上刪除

立延秋	[redacted]	[redacted]
梁	[redacted]	[redacted]
[redacted]	陳杰聖	[redacted]
何榮保	黃國風	[redacted]
[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]
[redacted]	陳文潮	[redacted]

21-AUG-2018 16:13 96% P.003

保持社區完整性 簽名行動

反對 愉景樓、仁誠大廈、幸福閣、摩利臣山道31號從鵝頸選區劃界上刪除

保持社區完整性 簽名行動

反對 愉景樓、仁誠大廈、幸福閣、摩利臣山道31號從鵝頸選區劃界上刪除

招金贊		

保持社區完整性 簽名行動

反對 愉景樓、仁誠大廈、幸福閣、摩利臣山道31號從鵝頸選區劃界上刪除

保持社區完整性 簽名行動

反對 愉景樓、仁誠大廈、幸福閣、摩利臣山道31號從鵝頸選區劃界上刪除

21/08 2018 TUE 15:22 FAX 150(8)

保持社區完整性 簽名行動

反對 愉景樓、仁誠大廈、幸福閣、摩利臣山道31號 從鵝頸選區劃界上刪除

	鄭玉卿	
	許光	

21/08 2018 TUE 15:22 FAX 150(9)

保持社區完整性 簽名行動

反對 愉景樓、仁誠大廈、幸福閣、摩利臣山道31號 從鵝頸選區劃界上刪除

此為範本申述共 34 份 (序號 151-184)

151



21-AUG-2018 16:43 90%

反對B04銅鑼灣選區改劃建議

歡迎各位表達意見，請填妥本
箱/電郵表格交回本辦事處，或
掃描QR CODE到網上填寫，
查詢為何要社區賽會性質

回 條

請在下表以✓代表對聯署的支持

✓ 我反對B04銅鑼灣選區改劃建議

其他意見/建議：

姓 名：[redacted]

電話/電郵：[redacted]

地 址：[redacted]

※請填妥後傳真至[redacted]或電郵[redacted]。

※除本人外，請注意本處未有委託任何人士代為收集簽名。

[redacted]

152



153



154



155



156



157



158



159



160



161



162



163



164



165

166



167



168



169



170



171



172



173



174



175



176



177



178

179

180



181

182



183



184



反對B04銅鑼灣選區改劃建議

伍婉婷

灣仔區議會 區議員 WanChai District Councilor

Office of Yolanda NG

點樣分？可以點樣？

選管會日前公佈選區劃分界建議，其中有計劃將銅鑼灣、天后、跑馬地、鵝頸、大坑東、渣甸山、摩利臣山、黃泥涌、大坑西、寶馬山、赤柱、淺水灣、浪琴園、南區、香港仔、鴨脷洲、黃竹坑、利東、海怡半島、置富花園、貿易廣場、環球大廈、君臨天下、柏傲灣、港島南中心、維港灣、維多利亞壹號、維多利亞壹號II、維多利亞壹號III、維多利亞壹號IV、維多利亞壹號V、維多利亞壹號VI、維多利亞壹號VII、維多利亞壹號VIII、維多利亞壹號IX、維多利亞壹號X、維多利亞壹號XI、維多利亞壹號XII、維多利亞壹號XIII、維多利亞壹號XIV、維多利亞壹號XV、維多利亞壹號XVI、維多利亞壹號XVII、維多利亞壹號XVIII、維多利亞壹號XIX、維多利亞壹號XX、維多利亞壹號XXI、維多利亞壹號XXII、維多利亞壹號XXIII、維多利亞壹號XXIV、維多利亞壹號XXV、維多利亞壹號XXVI、維多利亞壹號XXVII、維多利亞壹號XXVIII、維多利亞壹號XXIX、維多利亞壹號XXX、維多利亞壹號XXXI、維多利亞壹號XXXII、維多利亞壹號XXXIII、維多利亞壹號XXXIV、維多利亞壹號XXXV、維多利亞壹號XXXVI、維多利亞壹號XXXVII、維多利亞壹號XXXVIII、維多利亞壹號XXXIX、維多利亞壹號XL、維多利亞壹號XLI、維多利亞壹號XLII、維多利亞壹號XLIII、維多利亞壹號XLIV、維多利亞壹號XLV、維多利亞壹號XLVI、維多利亞壹號XLVII、維多利亞壹號XLVIII、維多利亞壹號XLIX、維多利亞壹號L、維多利亞壹號LI、維多利亞壹號LII、維多利亞壹號LIII、維多利亞壹號LIV、維多利亞壹號LV、維多利亞壹號LVI、維多利亞壹號LVII、維多利亞壹號LVIII、維多利亞壹號LIX、維多利亞壹號LX、維多利亞壹號LXI、維多利亞壹號LXII、維多利亞壹號LXIII、維多利亞壹號LXIV、維多利亞壹號LXV、維多利亞壹號LXVI、維多利亞壹號LXVII、維多利亞壹號LXVIII、維多利亞壹號LXIX、維多利亞壹號LXX、維多利亞壹號LXXI、維多利亞壹號LXXII、維多利亞壹號LXXIII、維多利亞壹號LXXIV、維多利亞壹號LXXV、維多利亞壹號LXXVI、維多利亞壹號LXXVII、維多利亞壹號LXXVIII、維多利亞壹號LXXIX、維多利亞壹號LXXX、維多利亞壹號LXXXI、維多利亞壹號LXXXII、維多利亞壹號LXXXIII、維多利亞壹號LXXXIV、維多利亞壹號LXXXV、維多利亞壹號LXXXVI、維多利亞壹號LXXXVII、維多利亞壹號LXXXVIII、維多利亞壹號LXXXIX、維多利亞壹號XC、維多利亞壹號XCI、維多利亞壹號XCII、維多利亞壹號XCIII、維多利亞壹號XCIV、維多利亞壹號XCV、維多利亞壹號XCVI、維多利亞壹號XCVII、維多利亞壹號XCVIII、維多利亞壹號XCIX、維多利亞壹號C、維多利亞壹號CI、維多利亞壹號CII、維多利亞壹號CIII、維多利亞壹號CIV、維多利亞壹號CV、維多利亞壹號CVI、維多利亞壹號CVII、維多利亞壹號CVIII、維多利亞壹號CIX、維多利亞壹號CX、維多利亞壹號CXI、維多利亞壹號CXII、維多利亞壹號CXIII、維多利亞壹號CXIV、維多利亞壹號CXV、維多利亞壹號CXVI、維多利亞壹號CXVII、維多利亞壹號CXVIII、維多利亞壹號CXIX、維多利亞壹號CXX、維多利亞壹號CXXI、維多利亞壹號CXXII、維多利亞壹號CXXIII、維多利亞壹號CXXIV、維多利亞壹號CXXV、維多利亞壹號CXXVI、維多利亞壹號CXXVII、維多利亞壹號CXXVIII、維多利亞壹號CXXIX、維多利亞壹號CXXX、維多利亞壹號CXXXI、維多利亞壹號CXXXII、維多利亞壹號CXXXIII、維多利亞壹號CXXXIV、維多利亞壹號CXXXV、維多利亞壹號CXXXVI、維多利亞壹號CXXXVII、維多利亞壹號CXXXVIII、維多利亞壹號CXXXIX、維多利亞壹號CXL、維多利亞壹號CXLI、維多利亞壹號CXLII、維多利亞壹號CXLIII、維多利亞壹號CXLIV、維多利亞壹號CXLV、維多利亞壹號CXLVI、維多利亞壹號CXLVII、維多利亞壹號CXLVIII、維多利亞壹號CXLIX、維多利亞壹號CL、維多利亞壹號CLI、維多利亞壹號CLII、維多利亞壹號CLIII、維多利亞壹號CLIV、維多利亞壹號CLV、維多利亞壹號CLVI、維多利亞壹號CLVII、維多利亞壹號CLVIII、維多利亞壹號CLIX、維多利亞壹號CLX、維多利亞壹號CLXI、維多利亞壹號CLXII、維多利亞壹號CLXIII、維多利亞壹號CLXIV、維多利亞壹號CLXV、維多利亞壹號CLXVI、維多利亞壹號CLXVII、維多利亞壹號CLXVIII、維多利亞壹號CLXIX、維多利亞壹號CLXX、維多利亞壹號CLXXI、維多利亞壹號CLXXII、維多利亞壹號CLXXIII、維多利亞壹號CLXXIV、維多利亞壹號CLXXV、維多利亞壹號CLXXVI、維多利亞壹號CLXXVII、維多利亞壹號CLXXVIII、維多利亞壹號CLXXIX、維多利亞壹號CLXXX、維多利亞壹號CLXXXI、維多利亞壹號CLXXXII、維多利亞壹號CLXXXIII、維多利亞壹號CLXXXIV、維多利亞壹號CLXXXV、維多利亞壹號CLXXXVI、維多利亞壹號CLXXXVII、維多利亞壹號CLXXXVIII、維多利亞壹號CLXXXIX、維多利亞壹號CXC、維多利亞壹號CXCI、維多利亞壹號CXCII、維多利亞壹號CXCIII、維多利亞壹號CXCIV、維多利亞壹號CXCV、維多利亞壹號CXCVI、維多利亞壹號CXCVII、維多利亞壹號CXCVIII、維多利亞壹號CXCIX、維多利亞壹號CC、維多利亞壹號CCI、維多利亞壹號CCII、維多利亞壹號CCIII、維多利亞壹號CCIV、維多利亞壹號CCV、維多利亞壹號CCVI、維多利亞壹號CCVII、維多利亞壹號CCVIII、維多利亞壹號CCIX、維多利亞壹號CCX、維多利亞壹號CCXI、維多利亞壹號CCXII、維多利亞壹號CCXIII、維多利亞壹號CCXIV、維多利亞壹號CCXV、維多利亞壹號CCXVI、維多利亞壹號CCXVII、維多利亞壹號CCXVIII、維多利亞壹號CCXIX、維多利亞壹號CCXX、維多利亞壹號CCXXI、維多利亞壹號CCXXII、維多利亞壹號CCXXIII、維多利亞壹號CCXXIV、維多利亞壹號CCXXV、維多利亞壹號CCXXVI、維多利亞壹號CCXXVII、維多利亞壹號CCXXVIII、維多利亞壹號CCXXIX、維多利亞壹號CCXXX、維多利亞壹號CCXXXI、維多利亞壹號CCXXXII、維多利亞壹號CCXXXIII、維多利亞壹號CCXXXIV、維多利亞壹號CCXXXV、維多利亞壹號CCXXXVI、維多利亞壹號CCXXXVII、維多利亞壹號CCXXXVIII、維多利亞壹號CCXXXIX、維多利亞壹號CCXL、維多利亞壹號CCXLI、維多利亞壹號CCXLII、維多利亞壹號CCXLIII、維多利亞壹號CCXLIV、維多利亞壹號CCXLV、維多利亞壹號CCXLVI、維多利亞壹號CCXLVII、維多利亞壹號CCXLVIII、維多利亞壹號CCXLIX、維多利亞壹號CCL、維多利亞壹號CCLI、維多利亞壹號CCLII、維多利亞壹號CCLIII、維多利亞壹號CCLIV、維多利亞壹號CCLV、維多利亞壹號CCLVI、維多利亞壹號CCLVII、維多利亞壹號CCLVIII、維多利亞壹號CCLIX、維多利亞壹號CCLX、維多利亞壹號CCLXI、維多利亞壹號CCLXII、維多利亞壹號CCLXIII、維多利亞壹號CCLXIV、維多利亞壹號CCLXV、維多利亞壹號CCLXVI、維多利亞壹號CCLXVII、維多利亞壹號CCLXVIII、維多利亞壹號CCLXIX、維多利亞壹號CCLXX、維多利亞壹號CCLXXI、維多利亞壹號CCLXXII、維多利亞壹號CCLXXIII、維多利亞壹號CCLXXIV、維多利亞壹號CCLXXV、維多利亞壹號CCLXXVI、維多利亞壹號CCLXXVII、維多利亞壹號CCLXXVIII、維多利亞壹號CCLXXIX、維多利亞壹號CCLXXX、維多利亞壹號CCLXXXI、維多利亞壹號CCLXXXII、維多利亞壹號CCLXXXIII、維多利亞壹號CCLXXXIV、維多利亞壹號CCLXXXV、維多利亞壹號CCLXXXVI、維多利亞壹號CCLXXXVII、維多利亞壹號CCLXXXVIII、維多利亞壹號CCLXXXIX、維多利亞壹號CCXC、維多利亞壹號CCXCI、維多利亞壹號CCXCII、維多利亞壹號CCXCIII、維多利亞壹號CCXCIV、維多利亞壹號CCXCV、維多利亞壹號CCXCVI、維多利亞壹號CCXCVII、維多利亞壹號CCXCVIII、維多利亞壹號CCXCIX、維多利亞壹號CCC、維多利亞壹號CCCI、維多利亞壹號CCCII、維多利亞壹號CCCIII、維多利亞壹號CCCIV、維多利亞壹號CCCV、維多利亞壹號CCCVI、維多利亞壹號CCCVII、維多利亞壹號CCCVIII、維多利亞壹號CCCIX、維多利亞壹號CCCX、維多利亞壹號CCCXI、維多利亞壹號CCCXII、維多利亞壹號CCCXIII、維多利亞壹號CCCXIV、維多利亞壹號CCCXV、維多利亞壹號CCCXVI、維多利亞壹號CCCXVII、維多利亞壹號CCCXVIII、維多利亞壹號CCCXIX、維多利亞壹號CCCXX、維多利亞壹號CCCXXI、維多利亞壹號CCCXXII、維多利亞壹號CCCXXIII、維多利亞壹號CCCXXIV、維多利亞壹號CCCXXV、維多利亞壹號CCCXXVI、維多利亞壹號CCCXXVII、維多利亞壹號CCCXXVIII、維多利亞壹號CCCXXIX、維多利亞壹號CCCXXX、維多利亞壹號CCCXXXI、維多利亞壹號CCCXXXII、維多利亞壹號CCCXXXIII、維多利亞壹號CCCXXXIV、維多利亞壹號CCCXXXV、維多利亞壹號CCCXXXVI、維多利亞壹號CCCXXXVII、維多利亞壹號CCCXXXVIII、維多利亞壹號CCCXXXIX、維多利亞壹號CCCXL、維多利亞壹號CCCXLI、維多利亞壹號CCCXLII、維多利亞壹號CCCXLIII、維多利亞壹號CCCXLIV、維多利亞壹號CCCXLV、維多利亞壹號CCCXLVI、維多利亞壹號CCCXLVII、維多利亞壹號CCCXLVIII、維多利亞壹號CCCXLIX、維多利亞壹號CCCL、維多利亞壹號CCCLI、維多利亞壹號CCCLII、維多利亞壹號CCCLIII、維多利亞壹號CCCLIV、維多利亞壹號CCCLV、維多利亞壹號CCCLVI、維多利亞壹號CCCLVII、維多利亞壹號CCCLVIII、維多利亞壹號CCCLIX、維多利亞壹號CCCLX、維多利亞壹號CCCLXI、維多利亞壹號CCCLXII、維多利亞壹號CCCLXIII、維多利亞壹號CCCLXIV、維多利亞壹號CCCLXV、維多利亞壹號CCCLXVI、維多利亞壹號CCCLXVII、維多利亞壹號CCCLXVIII、維多利亞壹號CCCLXIX、維多利亞壹號CCCLXX、維多利亞壹號CCCLXXI、維多利亞壹號CCCLXXII、維多利亞壹號CCCLXXIII、維多利亞壹號CCCLXXIV、維多利亞壹號CCCLXXV、維多利亞壹號CCCLXXVI、維多利亞壹號CCCLXXVII、維多利亞壹號CCCLXXVIII、維多利亞壹號CCCLXXIX、維多利亞壹號CCCLXXX、維多利亞壹號CCCLXXXI、維多利亞壹號CCCLXXXII、維多利亞壹號CCCLXXXIII、維多利亞壹號CCCLXXXIV、維多利亞壹號CCCLXXXV、維多利亞壹號CCCLXXXVI、維多利亞壹號CCCLXXXVII、維多利亞壹號CCCLXXXVIII、維多利亞壹號CCCLXXXIX、維多利亞壹號CCCXC、維多利亞壹號CCCXCI、維多利亞壹號CCCXCII、維多利亞壹號CCCXCIII、維多利亞壹號CCCXCIV、維多利亞壹號CCCXCV、維多利亞壹號CCCXCVI、維多利亞壹號CCCXCVII、維多利亞壹號CCCXCVIII、維多利亞壹號CCCXCIX、維多利亞壹號CD、維多利亞壹號CDI、維多利亞壹號CDII、維多利亞壹號CDIII、維多利亞壹號CDIV、維多利亞壹號CDV、維多利亞壹號CDVI、維多利亞壹號CDVII、維多利亞壹號CDVIII、維多利亞壹號CDIX、維多利亞壹號CDX、維多利亞壹號CDXI、維多利亞壹號CDXII、維多利亞壹號CDXIII、維多利亞壹號CDXIV、維多利亞壹號CDXV、維多利亞壹號CDXVI、維多利亞壹號CDXVII、維多利亞壹號CDXVIII、維多利亞壹號CDXIX、維多利亞壹號CDXX、維多利亞壹號CDXXI、維多利亞壹號CDXXII、維多利亞壹號CDXXIII、維多利亞壹號CDXXIV、維多利亞壹號CDXXV、維多利亞壹號CDXXVI、維多利亞壹號CDXXVII、維多利亞壹號CDXXVIII、維多利亞壹號CDXXIX、維多利亞壹號CDXXX、維多利亞壹號CDXXXI、維多利亞壹號CDXXXII、維多利亞壹號CDXXXIII、維多利亞壹號CDXXXIV、維多利亞壹號CDXXXV、維多利亞壹號CDXXXVI、維多利亞壹號CDXXXVII、維多利亞壹號CDXXXVIII、維多利亞壹號CDXXXIX、維多利亞壹號CDXL、維多利亞壹號CDXLI、維多利亞壹號CDXLII、維多利亞壹號CDXLIII、維多利亞壹號CDXLIV、維多利亞壹號CDXLV、維多利亞壹號CDXLVI、維多利亞壹號CDXLVII、維多利亞壹號CDXLVIII、維多利亞壹號CDXLIX、維多利亞壹號CDL、維多利亞壹號CDLI、維多利亞壹號CDLII、維多利亞壹號CDLIII、維多利亞壹號CDLIV、維多利亞壹號CDLV、維多利亞壹號CDLVI、維多利亞壹號CDLVII、維多利亞壹號CDLVIII、維多利亞壹號CDLIX、維多利亞壹號CDLX、維多利亞壹號CDLXI、維多利亞壹號CDLXII、維多利亞壹號CDLXIII、維多利亞壹號CDLXIV、維多利亞壹號CDLXV、維多利亞壹號CDLXVI、維多利亞壹號CDLXVII、維多利亞壹號CDLXVIII、維多利亞壹號CDLXIX、維多利亞壹號CDLXX、維多利亞壹號CDLXXI、維多利亞壹號CDLXXII、維多利亞壹號CDLXXIII、維多利亞壹號CDLXXIV、維多利亞壹號CDLXXV、維多利亞壹號CDLXXVI、維多利亞壹號CDLXXVII、維多利亞壹號CDLXXVIII、維多利亞壹號CDLXXIX、維多利亞壹號CDLXXX、維多利亞壹號CDLXXXI、維多利亞壹號CDLXXXII、維多利亞壹號CDLXXXIII、維多利亞壹號CDLXXXIV、維多利亞壹號CDLXXXV、維多利亞壹號CDLXXXVI、維多利亞壹號CDLXXXVII、維多利亞壹號CDLXXXVIII、維多利亞壹號CDLXXXIX、維多利亞壹號CDXC、維多利亞壹號CDXCI、維多利亞壹號CDXCII、維多利亞壹號CDXCIII、維多利亞壹號CDXCIV、維多利亞壹號CDXCV、維多利亞壹號CDXCVI、維多利亞壹號CDXCVII、維多利亞壹號CDXCVIII、維多利亞壹號CDXCIX、維多利亞壹號CM、維多利亞壹號CMI、維多利亞壹號CMII、維多利亞壹號CMIII、維多利亞壹號CMIV、維多利亞壹號CMV、維多利亞壹號CMVI、維多利亞壹號CMVII、維多利亞壹號CMVIII、維多利亞壹號CMIX、維多利亞壹號CMX、維多利亞壹號CMXI、維多利亞壹號CMXII、維多利亞壹號CMXIII、維多利亞壹號CMXIV、維多利亞壹號CMXV、維多利亞壹號CMXVI、維多利亞壹號CMXVII、維多利亞壹號CMXVIII、維多利亞壹號CMXIX、維多利亞壹號CMXX、維多利亞壹號CMXXI、維多利亞壹號CMXXII、維多利亞壹號CMXXIII、維多利亞壹號CMXXIV、維多利亞壹號CMXXV、維多利亞壹號CMXXVI、維多利亞壹號CMXXVII、維多利亞壹號CMXXVIII、維多利亞壹號CMXXIX、維多利亞壹號CMXXX、維多利亞壹號CMXXXI、維多利亞壹號CMXXXII、維多利亞壹號CMXXXIII、維多利亞壹號CMXXXIV、維多利亞壹號CMXXXV、維多利亞壹號CMXXXVI、維多利亞壹號CMXXXVII、維多利亞壹號CMXXXVIII、維多利亞壹號CMXXXIX、維多利亞壹號CMXL、維多利亞壹號CMXLI、維多利亞壹號CMXLII、維多利亞壹號CMXLIII、維多利亞壹號CMXLIV、維多利亞壹號CMXLV、維多利亞壹號CMXLVI、維多利亞壹號CMXLVII、維多利亞壹號CMXLVIII、維多利亞壹號CMXLIX、維多利亞壹號CML、維多利亞壹號CMLI、維多利亞壹號CMLII、維多利亞壹號CMLIII、維多利亞壹號CMLIV、維多利亞壹號CMLV、維多利亞壹號CMLVI、維多利亞壹號CMLVII、維多利亞壹號CMLVIII、維多利亞壹號CMLIX、維多利亞壹號CMLX、維多利亞壹號CMLXI、維多利亞壹號CMLXII、維多利亞壹號CMLXIII、維多利亞壹號CMLXIV、維多利亞壹號CMLXV、維多利亞壹號CMLXVI、維多利亞壹號CMLXVII、維多利亞壹號CMLXVIII、維多利亞壹號CMLXIX、維多利亞壹號CMLXX、維多利亞壹號CMLXXI、維多利亞壹號CMLXXII、維多利亞壹號CMLXXIII、維多利亞壹號CMLXXIV、維多利亞壹號CMLXXV、維多利亞壹號CMLXXVI、維多利亞壹號CMLXXVII、維多利亞壹號CMLXXVIII、維多利亞壹號CMLXXIX、維多利亞壹號CMLXXX、維多利亞壹號CMLXXXI、維多利亞壹號CMLXXXII、維多利亞壹號CMLXXXIII、維多利亞壹號CMLXXXIV、維多利亞壹號CMLXXXV、維多利亞壹號CMLXXXVI、維多利亞壹號CMLXXXVII、維多利亞壹號CMLXXXVIII、維多利亞壹號CMLXXXIX、維多利亞壹號CMXC、維多利亞壹號CMXCI、維多利亞壹號CMXCII、維多利亞壹號CMXCIII、維多利亞壹號CMXCIV、維多利亞壹號CMXCV、維多利亞壹號CMXCVI、維多利亞壹號CMXCVII、維多利亞壹號CMXCVIII、維多利亞壹號CMXCIX、維多利亞壹號CMC、維多利亞壹號CMCI、維多利亞壹號CMCII、維多利亞壹號CMCIII、維多利亞壹號CMCIV、維多利亞壹號CMCV、維多利亞壹號CMCVI、維多利亞壹號CMCVII、維多利亞壹號CMCVIII、維多利亞壹號CMCIX、維多利亞壹號CMCX、維多利亞壹號CMCXI、維多利亞壹號CMCXII、維多利亞壹號CMCXIII、維多利亞壹號CMCXIV、維多利亞壹號CMCXV、維多利亞壹號CMCXVI、維多利亞壹號CMCXVII、維多利亞壹號CMCXVIII、維多利亞壹號CMCXIX、維多利亞壹號CMCXX、維多利亞壹號CMCXXI、維多利亞壹號CMCXXII、維多利亞壹號CMCXXIII、維多利亞壹號CMCXXIV、維多利亞壹號CMCXXV、維多利亞壹號CMCXXVI、維多利亞壹號CMCXXVII、維多利亞壹號CMCXXVIII、維多利亞壹號CMCXXIX、維多利亞壹號CMCXXX、維多利亞壹號CMCXXXI、維多利亞壹號CMCXXXII、維多利亞壹號CMCXXXIII、維多利亞壹號CMCXXXIV、維多利亞壹號CMCXXXV、維多利亞壹號CMCXXXVI、維多利亞壹號CMCXXXVII、維多利亞壹號CMCXXXVIII、維多利亞壹號CMCXXXIX、維多利亞壹號CMCXL、維多利亞壹號CMCXLI、維多利亞壹號CMCXLII、維多利亞壹號CMCXLIII、維多利亞壹號CMCXLIV、維多利亞壹號CMCXLV、維多利亞壹號CMCXLVI、維多利亞壹號CMCXLVII、維多利亞壹號CMCXLVIII、維多利亞壹號CMCXLIX、維多利亞壹號CMCL、維多利亞壹號CMCLI、維多利亞壹號CMCLII、維多利亞壹號CMCLIII、維多利亞壹號CMCLIV、維多利亞壹號CMCLV、維多利亞壹號CMCLVI、維多利亞壹號CMCLVII、維多利亞壹號CMCLVIII、維多利亞壹號CMCLIX、維多利亞壹號CMCLX、維多利亞壹號CMCLXI、維多利亞壹號CMCLXII、維多利亞壹號CMCLXIII、維多利亞壹號CMCLXIV、維多利亞壹號CMCLXV、維多利亞壹號CMCLXVI、維多利亞壹號CMCLXVII、維多利亞壹號CMCLXVIII、維多利亞壹號CMCLXIX、維多利亞壹號CMCLXX、維多利亞壹號CMCLXXI、維多利亞壹號CMCLXXII、維多利亞壹號CMCLXXIII、維多利亞壹號CMCLXXIV、維多利亞壹號CMCLXXV、維多利亞壹號CMCLXXVI、維多利亞壹號CMCLXXVII、維多利亞壹號CMCLXXVIII、維多利亞壹號CMCLXXIX、維多利亞壹號CMCLXXX、維多利亞壹號CMCLXXXI、維多利亞壹號CMCLXXXII、維多利亞壹號CMCLXXXIII、維多利亞壹號CMCLXXXIV、維多利亞壹號CMCLXXXV、維多利亞壹號CMCLXXXVI、維多利亞壹號CMCLXXXVII、維多利亞壹號CMCLXXXVIII、維多利亞壹號CMCLXXXIX、維多利亞壹號CMCXC、維多利亞壹號CMCXCI、維多利亞壹號CMCXCII、維多利亞壹號CMCXCIII、維多利亞壹號CMCXCIV、維多利亞壹號CMCXCV、維多利亞壹號CMCXCVI、維多利亞壹號CMCXCVII、維多利亞壹號CMCXCVIII、維多利亞壹號CMCXCIX、維多利亞壹號CMCC、維多利亞壹號CMCCI、維多利亞壹號CMCCII、維多利亞壹號CMCCIII、維多利亞壹號CMCCIV、維多利亞壹號CMCCV、維多利亞壹號CMCCVI、維多利亞壹號CMCCVII、維多利亞壹號CMCCVIII、維多利亞壹號CMCCIX、維多利亞壹號CMCCX、維多利亞壹號CMCCXI、維多利亞壹號CMCCXII、維多利亞壹號CMCCXIII、維多利亞壹號CMCCXIV、維多利亞壹號CMCCXV、維多利亞壹號CMCCXVI、維多利亞壹號CMCCXVII、維多利亞壹號CMCCXVIII、維多利亞壹號CMCCXIX、維多利亞壹號CMCCXX、維多利亞壹號CMCCXXI、維多利亞壹號CMCCXXII、維多利亞壹號CMCCXXIII、維多利亞壹號CMCCXXIV、維多利亞壹號CMCCXXV、維多利亞壹號CMCCXXVI、維多利亞壹號CMCCXXVII、維多利亞壹號CMCCXXVIII、維多利亞壹號CMCCXXIX、維多利亞壹號CMCCXXX、維多利亞壹號CMCCXXXI、維多利亞壹號CMCCXXXII、維多利亞壹號CMCCXXXIII、維多利亞壹號CMCCXXXIV、維多利亞壹號CMCCXXXV、維多利亞壹號CMCCXXXVI、維多利亞壹號CMCCXXXVII、維多利亞壹號CMCCXXXVIII、維多利亞壹號CMCCXXXIX、維多利亞壹號CMCCXL、維多利亞壹號CMCCXLI、維多利亞壹號CMCCXLII、維多利亞壹號CMCCXLIII、維多利亞壹號CMCCXLIV、維多利亞壹號CMCCXLV、維多利亞壹號CMCCXLVI、維多利亞壹號CMCCXLVII、維多利亞壹號CMCCXLVIII、維多利亞壹號CMCCXLIX、維多利亞壹號CMCCL、維多利亞壹號CMCCLI、維多利亞壹號CMCCLII、維多利亞壹號CMCCLIII、維多利亞壹號CMCCLIV、維多利亞壹號CMCCLV、維多利亞壹號CMCCLVI、維多利亞壹號CMCCLVII、維多利亞壹號CMCCLVIII、維多利亞壹號CMCCLIX、維多利亞壹號CMCCLX、維多利亞壹號CMCCLXI、維多利亞壹號CMCCLXII、維多利亞壹號CMCCLXIII、維多利亞壹號CMCCLXIV、維多利亞壹號CMCCLXV、維多利亞壹號CMCCLXVI、維多利亞壹號CMCCLXVII、維多利亞壹號CMCCLXVIII、維多利亞壹號CMCCLXIX、維多利亞壹號CMCCLXX、維多利亞壹號CMCCLXXI、維多利亞壹號CMCCLXXII、維多利亞壹號CMCCLXXIII、維多利亞壹號CMCCLXXIV、維多利亞壹號CMCCLXXV、維多利亞壹號CMCCLXXVI、維多利亞壹號CMCCLXXVII、維多利亞壹號CMCCLXXVIII、維多利亞壹號CMCCLXXIX、維多利亞壹號CMCCLXXX、維多利亞壹號CMCCLXXXI、維多利亞壹號CMCCLXXXII、維多利亞壹號CMCCLXXXIII、維多利亞壹號CMCCLXXXIV、維多利亞壹號CMCCLXXXV、維多利亞壹號CMCCLXXXVI、維多利亞壹號CMCCLXXXVII、維多利亞壹號CMCCLXXXVIII、維多利亞壹號CMCCLXXXIX、維多利亞壹號CMCCXC、維多利亞壹號CMCCXCI、維多利亞壹號CMCCXCII、維多利亞壹號CMCCXCIII、維多利亞壹號CMCCXCIV、維多利亞壹號CMCCXCV、維多利亞壹號CMCCXCVI、維多利亞壹號CMCCXCVII、維多利亞壹號CMCCXCVIII、維多利亞壹號CMCCXCIX、維多利亞壹號CMCCC、維多利亞壹號CMCCCI、維多利亞壹號CMCCCII、維多利亞壹號CMCCCIII、維多利亞壹號CMCCCIV、維多利亞壹號CMCCCV、維多利亞壹號CMCCCVI、維多利亞壹號CMCCCVII、維多利亞壹號CMCCCVIII、維多利亞壹號CMCCCIX、維多利亞壹號CMCCCX、維多利亞壹號CMCCCXI、維多利亞壹號CMCCCXII、維多利亞壹號CMCCCXIII、維多利亞壹號CMCCCXIV、維多利亞壹號CMCCCXV、維多利亞壹號CMCCCXVI、維多利亞壹號CMCCCXVII、維多利亞壹號CMCCCXVIII、維多利亞壹號CMCCCXIX、維多利亞壹號CMCCCXX、維多利亞壹號CMCCCXXI、維多利亞壹號CMCCCXXII、維多利亞壹號CMCCCXXIII、維多利亞壹號CMCCCXXIV、維多利亞壹號CMCCCXXV、維多利亞壹號CMCCCXXVI、維多利亞壹號CMCCCXXVII、維多利亞壹號CMCCCXXVIII、維多利亞壹號CMCCCXXIX、維多利亞壹號CMCCCXXX、維多利亞壹號CMCCCXXXI、維多利亞壹號CMCCCXXXII、維多利亞壹號CMCCCXXXIII、維多利亞壹號CMCCCXXXIV、維多利亞壹號CMCCCXXXV、維多利亞壹號CMCCCXXXVI、維多利亞壹號CMCCCXXXVII、維多利亞壹號CMCCCXXXVIII、維多利亞壹號CMCCCXXXIX、維多利亞壹號CMCCCXL、維多利亞壹號CMCCCXLI、維多利亞壹號CMCCCXLII、維多利亞壹號CMCCCXLIII、維多利亞壹號CMCCCXLIV、維多利亞壹號CMCCCXLV、維多利亞壹號CMCCCXLVI、維多利亞壹號CMCCCXLVII、維多利亞壹號CMCCCXLVIII、維多利亞壹號CMCCCXLIX、維多利亞壹號CMCCCL、維多利亞壹號CMCCCLI、維多利亞壹號CMCCCLII、維多利亞壹號CMCCCLIII、維多利亞壹號CMCCCLIV、維多利亞壹號CMCCCLV、維多利亞壹號CMCCCLVI、維多利亞壹號CMCCCLVII、維多利亞壹號CMCCCLVIII、維多利亞壹號CMCCCLIX、維多利亞壹號CMCCCLX、維多利亞壹號CMCCCLXI、維多利亞壹號CMCCCLXII、維多利亞壹號CMCCCLXIII、維多利亞壹號CMCCCLXIV、維多利亞壹號CMCCCLXV、維多利亞壹號CMCCCLXVI、維多利亞壹號CMCCCLXVII、維多利亞壹號CMCCCLXVIII、維多利亞壹號CMCCCLXIX、維多利亞壹號CMCCCLXX、維多利亞壹號CMCCCLXXI、維多利亞壹號CMCCCLXXII、維多利亞壹號CMCCCLXXIII、維多利亞壹號CMCCCLXXIV、維多利亞壹號CMCCCLXXV、維多利亞壹號CMCCCLXXVI、維多利亞壹號CMCCCLXXVII、維多利亞壹號CMCCCLXXVIII、維多利亞壹號CMCCCLXXIX、維多利亞壹號CMCCCLXXX、維多利亞壹號CMCCCLXXXI、維多利亞壹號CMCCCLXXXII、維多利亞壹號CMCCCLXXXIII、維多利亞壹號CMCCCLXXXIV、維多利亞壹號CMCCCLXXXV、維多利亞壹號CMCCCLXXXVI、維多利亞壹號CMCCCLXXXVII、維多利亞壹號CMCCCLXXXVIII、維多利亞壹號CMCCCLXXXIX、維多利亞壹號CMCCCXC、維多利亞壹號CMCCCXCI、維多利亞壹號CMCCCXCII、維多利亞壹號CMCCCXCIII、維多利亞壹號CMCCCXCIV、維多利亞壹號CMCCCXCV、維多利亞壹號CMCCCXCVI、維多利亞壹號CMCCCXCVII、維多利亞壹號CMCCCXCVIII、維多利亞壹號CMCCCXCIX、維多利亞壹號CMCD、維多利亞壹號CMCDI、維多利亞壹號CMCDII、維多利亞壹號CMCDIII、維多利亞壹號CMCDIV、維多利亞壹號CMCDV、維多利亞壹號CMCDVI、維多利亞壹號CMCDVII、維多利亞壹號CMCDVIII、維多利亞壹號CMCDIX、維多利亞壹號CMCDX、維多利亞壹號CMCDXI、維多利亞壹號CMCDXII、維多利亞壹號CMCDXIII、維多利亞壹號CMCDXIV、維多利亞壹號CMCDXV、維多利亞壹號CMCDXVI、維多利亞壹號CMCDXVII、維多利亞壹號CMCDXVIII、維多利亞壹號CMCDXIX、維多利亞壹號CMCDXX、維多利亞壹號CMCDXXI、維多利亞壹號CMCDXXII、維多利亞壹號CMCDXXIII、維多利亞壹號CMCDXXIV、維多利亞壹號CMCDXXV、維多利亞壹號CMCDXXVI、維多利亞壹號CMCDXXVII、維多利亞壹號CMCDXXVIII、維多利亞壹號CMCDXXIX、維多利亞壹號CMCDXXX、維多利亞壹號CMCDXXXI、維多利亞壹號CMCDXXXII、維多利亞壹號CMCDXXXIII、維多利亞壹號CMCDXXXIV、維多利亞壹號CMCDXXXV、維多利亞壹號CMCDXXXVI、維多利亞壹號CMCDXXXVII、維多利亞壹號CMCDXXXVIII、維多利亞壹號CMCDXXXIX、維多利亞壹號CMCDXL、維多利亞壹號CMCDXLI、維多利亞壹號CMCDXLII、維多利亞壹號CMCDXLIII、維多利亞壹號CMCDXLIV、維多利亞壹號CMCDXLV、維多利亞壹號CMCDXLVI、維多利亞壹號CMCDXLVII、維多利亞壹號CMCDXLVIII、維多利亞壹號CMCDXLIX、維多利亞壹號CMCDL、維多利亞壹號CMCDLI、維多利亞壹號CMCDLII、維多利亞壹號CMCDLIII、維多利亞壹號CMCDLIV、維多利亞壹號CMCDLV、維多利亞壹號CMCDLVI、維多利亞壹號CMCDLVII、維多利亞壹號CMCDLVIII、維多利亞壹號CMCDLIX、維多利亞壹號CMCDLX、維多利亞壹號CMCDLXI、維多利亞壹號CMCDLXII、維多利亞壹號CMCDLXIII、維多利亞壹號CMCDLXIV、維多利亞壹號CMCDLXV、維多利亞壹號CMCDLXVI、維多利亞壹號CMCDLXVII、維多利亞壹號CMCDLXVIII、維多利亞壹號CMCDLXIX、維多利亞壹號CMCDLXX、維多利亞壹號CMCDLXXI、維多利亞壹號CMCDLXXII、維多利亞壹號CMCDLXXIII、維多利亞壹號CMCDLXXIV、維多利亞壹號CMCDLXXV、維多利亞壹號CMCDLXXVI、維多利亞壹號CMCDLXXVII、維多利亞壹號CMCDLXXVIII、維多利亞壹號CMCDLXXIX、維多利亞壹號CMCDLXXX、維多利亞壹號CMCDLXXXI、維多利亞壹號CMCDLXXXII、維多利亞壹號CMCDLXXXIII、維多利亞壹號CMCDLXXXIV、維多利亞壹號CMCDLXXXV、維多利亞壹號CMCDLXXXVI、維多利亞壹號CMCDLXXXVII、維多利亞壹號CMCDLXXXVIII、維多利亞壹號CMCDLXXXIX、維多利亞壹號CMCDXC、維多利亞壹號CMCDXCI、維多利亞壹號CMCDXCII、維多利亞壹號CMCDXCIII、維多利亞壹號CMCDXCIV、維多利亞壹號CMCDXCV、維多利亞壹號CMCDXCVI、維多利亞壹號CMCDXCVII、維多利亞壹號CMCDXCVIII、維多利亞壹號CMCDXCIX、維多利亞壹號CMCM、維多利亞壹號CMCMI、維多利亞壹號CMCMII、維多利亞壹號CMCMIII、維多利亞壹號CMCMIV、維多利亞壹號CMCMV、維多利亞壹號CMCMVI、維多利亞壹號CMCMVII、維多利亞壹號CMCMVIII、維多利亞壹號CMCMIX、維多利亞壹號CMCMX、維多利亞壹號CMCMXI、維多利亞壹號CMCMXII、維多利亞壹號CMCMXIII、維多利亞壹號CMCMXIV、維多利亞壹號CMCMXV、維多利亞壹號CMCMXVI、維多利亞壹號CMCMXVII、維多利亞壹號CMCMXVIII、維多利亞壹號CMCMXIX、維多利亞壹號CMCMXX、維多利亞壹號CMCMXXI、維多利亞壹號CMCMXXII、維多利亞壹號CMCMXXIII、維多利亞壹號CMCMXXIV、維多利亞壹號CMCMXXV、維



回 條

請在下表以✓代表對聯署的支持

☐我反對B04銅鑼灣選區改劃建議

其他意見/建議：

姓　名：

192



回 條

請在下表以✓代表對聯署的支持

☑我反對B04銅鑼灣選區改劃建議

其他意見/建議：

姓　名：

電話/電郵

189

回 條

請在下表以✓代表對聯署的支持

☑我反對B04銅鑼灣選區改劃建議

其他意見/建議：

姓　名：

186

回 條

請在下表以✓代表對聯署的支持

☑我反對B04銅鑼灣選區改劃建議

其他意見/建議：

姓　名：

193



回 條

請在下表以✓代表對聯署的支持

☒我反對B04銅鑼灣選區改劃建議

其他意見/建議：

姓　名：

190



回 條

請在下表以✓代表對聯署的支持

☑我反對B04銅鑼灣選區改劃建議

其他意見/建議：

姓　名：

187

回 條

請在下表以✓代表對聯署的支持

☐我反對B04銅鑼灣選區改劃建議

其他意見/建議：

姓　名：

194

回 條

請在下表以✓代表對聯署的支持

☑我反對B04銅鑼灣選區改劃建議

其他意見/建議：

姓　名：

191

回 條

請在下表以✓代表對聯署的支持

☐我反對B04銅鑼灣選區改劃建議

其他意見/建議：

姓　名：

188

B. 灣仔區 Wan Chai District

195



196



197



198



199



200

201

本人很反对銅鑼灣選区改劃建議

我叫 Ip Wai Yee 我已居住 [redacted] 30年有多

大约在5年前我开始接觸 [redacted]

議員我感覺她和她的助理们很親切

友善我跟他们建立了好像朋友的关係

實在不希望也不願意就这样分开

[redacted] 及她的助理们待人親切友善

[redacted] 議員實在很了解及照顧長者们

亦都熟悉美漢大廈居民及附近环境

无理由就此拆散我们希望 [redacted] 的辦事处

就在我居住的环境对面僅僅2分鐘的路

程方便快捷。

P.S.

本人懇求選管会撤回銅鑼湾選区重新

劃界建議。

Ip Wai Yee, Awanna

14-8-2018

202

反對選區重劃 21/08/2018 22:45

From: [redacted]

To: "eacenq@reo.gov.hk" <eacenq@reo.gov.hk>

致選管會，

本人近日從不同途徑得知選區重劃安排，深感不安，因當中看不到公平原則。如跟港城選民人口數目多於一倍，但沒有任何修正。相反，灣仔大坑區被劃走希雲街一帶舊樓。選管會的說法，是附近選區（銅鑼灣、鵝頸、愛群）人口都低於下限，所以要從大坑抽調些人。但明明司徒拔道、樂活選較鵝頸、愛群接近，為甚麼要偏偏從大坑抽調？全部選區都低於標準的話，應該整體刪減議席，絕非拿大坑區開刀。如此不公正的安排，倒不如不要重劃，故本人反對選管會重劃選區之安排。

[redacted] 選民

Kerrie Wong

203

致：選舉管理委員會

馮驊主席：

"文化力量" 對選區劃界臨時建議的意見

文化力量是一個以文化建設，文化深耕，建立公民社會為宗旨的不牟利團體。因此，我們對第六屆區議會選舉選舉管理委員會的選區劃界臨時建議提出：選舉法例列明每名區議員需要服務一萬六千五百九十九人，不能多或少於此數的百分之二十五，數字是按標準人口基數而計算出來，選管會須按此擬定區界。

本會認為：劃界在按地區人數調整劃分的基礎上，必須按照地方聯繫和社區獨特性進行，以免影響公民參與和社區發展。如原來常住人口低已於下限的銅鑼灣選區還要劃走一個小區？銅鑼灣則從大坑接收希雲街至加路連山道一帶住宅大廈？又如將鵝頸區的愉景樓劃入愛群區？銅鑼灣區的海宮、海都、美漢、肇明及新城大廈劃入鵝頸區？信和廣場至景隆街一段謝斐道，左右兩旁大廈劃為屬兩個不同選區？左劃幾座大廈、右硬塞一條街，一加一減只為人口數字。結果是人口增幅不大，但一個小區則因此被切割，社區的完整性被破壞，也令公民參與失去動力！

請主席 閣下對灣仔區重劃的選區重新進行檢視，並把地方聯繫、社區獨特性和公民參與，以及社區發展列為重點考慮因素。

文化力量

21/08/2018

電話：3165 8266 傳真：3165 8272
電郵：cpowerhk@gmail.com
地址：觀塘成業街 6 號淘富廣場 2205 室 轉

204

建議重劃 C17, C18 的建議
23/07/2018 23:31
Hide Details
From: [redacted]

To: "eacenq@reo.gov.hk" <eacenq@reo.gov.hk>

你好！

隨著未來的新單位落城，C18及 C19 將會有大量居民入伙，為免區議員未能分身於海景大廈城市花園，建議C18部份地區(電器道以南地方)歸作 C17地區負責，謝謝！

Thanks and Best Regards,

盧先生敬上

205

2019年區議會臨時建議意見 17/08/2018 21:46
From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

致選管會:

本人同意選管會建議將耀東邨耀安樓劃入上耀東(C30)選區，因上耀東多年來人口不斷下跌，不停處於選區法定可接受人口的下限，加上上耀東只有4幢公屋及2幢居屋是選區過細，只相隔一條馬路便是另一個選區不便居民...

故此本人支持選管會的決定，將耀安樓劃入上耀東選區。

梁小姐
17/8/2018

206

二零一九年區議會選區劃界意見 17/08/2018 21:54

From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

多年來耀東邨居民叫上耀東是包括耀安樓及耀豐樓，今次選管會終於將其中一幢耀安樓劃入上耀東，實在是明智之選，因為耀東邨人口老化，特別是第一期最先入伙的上耀東，很多居民因年老過身，又有後生仔女搬走，人口一定不停下跌，咁小人就選一個區議員出來，但只劃剩一幢上耀東的耀豐樓是孤立耀豐樓居民，耀豐樓和耀安樓只相隔十步，所以選管會應該一齊將耀安樓耀豐樓劃去上耀東。多謝！

一名市民 上
二零一八年八月十七日

207

2019年區議會選舉選區分界臨時建議意見(C30上耀東及C29下耀東) 18/08/2018 20:28
From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

致選管會秘書處：

今次選區劃界將耀東邨耀安樓劃到上耀東(C30)，我十分同意。

因多年黎耀安樓、耀豐樓都是用小巴及巴士出入，與上耀東居民的習慣相同，大家都是居於耀東半山。即使居於耀安樓及耀豐樓，居民亦會表示居於上耀東。

但與耀安樓距離相隔十步的耀豐樓在今次沒有劃入上耀東，本人十分失望，令到劃界欠社區完整性，因耀豐樓與耀安樓居民的習慣與上耀東相同，劃入同一選區有助上耀東區議員處理居民相同的交通訴求，例如巴士及小巴的問題，選管會應該將耀豐樓連同耀安樓一併劃入上耀東(C30)，上耀東劃入耀安樓後，人口預計百份比依然系 -20.78%，絕對可以劃埋耀豐樓入上耀東(C30)。

[redacted](請將本人姓名保密)
2018/8/18



Proposed Constituency Boundaries of "amp;Shaukeiwan (C06)" and "amp;A Kung Ngam (C07)" Constituencies for the 2019 District Council Ordinary Election 19/08/2018 01:44
From: [redacted]
To: "eacenq@reo.gov.hk" <eacenq@reo.gov.hk>
Please respond to [redacted]

Dear Sir/Madam,

I write to express my comments over the proposed constituency boundaries of "Shaukeiwan (C06)" and "A Kung Ngam (C07)" Constituencies for the 2019 District Council Ordinary Election.

My view is that one of the major estates in C07 constituency, namely **Eastway Towers**, should be transferred to the adjacent C06 constituency for the following reasons:

(a) Though the projected populations for C06 and C07 constituencies are still within the permissible range, the projected population for C06 (i.e. 14,076) falls short of the population quota (i.e. 16,599) by 15.2% while the projected population for C07 (i.e. 18,823) exceeds the population quota by 13.4%. Transferring the Eastway Towers, consisting of 3 blocks and 528 residential flats in total (with an estimated population of 2,500 to 3,000), from C07 constituency to the adjacent C06 constituency will greatly help to alleviate the huge population difference (more than 4,700) between these two constituencies;

(b) Some new residential buildings within the C07 constituency (e.g. 17 Shau Kei Wan Main Street East) will start flat in-take in 2019. Such increase in population may not be accurately included and reflected in the projected population for C07 constituency; and

(c) Having considered factors including geographical factor, community integrity and local ties, transferring Eastway Towers from C07 constituency to C06 constituency are in the best interest of both constituencies.

To enable the elected district councillors to better serve the residents in both constituencies, I should be most grateful if the Electoral Affairs Commission would favourably accede to my request stated above.

Please also note that I wish to keep my name and email address confidential. Such information should not be published for public information.

Regards,
[redacted]

209

範本申述共 28 份(序號 209 - 236)

二零一九年區議會一般選舉選區分區及名稱臨時建議

(公眾諮詢)

耀安樓下耀東(C29)分劃事宜意見

本人堅決反對選舉管理委員會計劃在 2019 年開始將耀安樓劃給上耀東(C30),即 2019 年區議會選舉在耀華樓投票;我希望保持下耀東邨不變(按 2015 年區議會選舉安排)。

[redacted]

何炳基

簽名

2018 年 8 月 15 日

210

二零一九年區議會一般選舉選區分區及名稱臨時建議
(公眾諮詢)
耀安樓下耀東(C29)分劃事宜意見

本人堅決反對選舉管理委員會計劃在 2019 年開始將耀安樓劃給上耀東(C30),即 2019 年區議會選舉在耀華樓投票;我希望保持下耀東邨不變(按 2015 年區議會選舉安排)。

耀安樓 [redacted]
2018 年 8 月 14 日
簽名

211

二零一九年區議會一般選舉選區分區及名稱臨時建議
(公眾諮詢)
耀安樓下耀東(C29)分劃事宜意見

本人堅決反對選舉管理委員會計劃在 2019 年開始將耀安樓劃給上耀東(C30),即 2019 年區議會選舉在耀華樓投票;我希望保持下耀東邨不變(按 2015 年區議會選舉安排)。

耀安樓 [redacted]
2018 年 8 月 15 日
簽名

212

二零一九年區議會一般選舉選區分區及名稱臨時建議
(公眾諮詢)
耀安樓下耀東(C29)分劃事宜意見

本人堅決反對選舉管理委員會計劃在 2019 年開始將耀安樓劃給上耀東(C30),即 2019 年區議會選舉在耀華樓投票;我希望保持下耀東邨不變(按 2015 年區議會選舉安排)。

耀安樓 [redacted]
2018 年 8 月 16 日
簽名

213

二零一九年區議會一般選舉選區分區及名稱臨時建議
(公眾諮詢)
耀安樓下耀東(C29)分劃事宜意見

本人堅決反對選舉管理委員會計劃在 2019 年開始將耀安樓劃給上耀東(C30),即 2019 年區議會選舉在耀華樓投票;我希望保持下耀東邨不變(按 2015 年區議會選舉安排)。

耀安樓 [redacted]
2018 年 8 月 15 日
簽名

214

二零一九年區議會一般選舉選區分區及名稱臨時建議
(公眾諮詢)
耀安樓下耀東(C29)分劃事宜意見

本人堅決反對選舉管理委員會計劃在 2019 年開始將耀安樓劃給上耀東(C30),即 2019 年區議會選舉在耀華樓投票;我希望保持下耀東邨不變(按 2015 年區議會選舉安排)。

耀安樓 [redacted]
2018 年 8 月 8 日
簽名

215

二零一九年區議會一般選舉選區分區及名稱臨時建議
(公眾諮詢)
耀安樓下耀東(C29)分劃事宜意見

本人堅決反對選舉管理委員會計劃在 2019 年開始將耀安樓劃給上耀東(C30),即 2019 年區議會選舉在耀華樓投票;我希望保持下耀東邨不變(按 2015 年區議會選舉安排)。

耀安樓 [redacted]
2018 年 8 月 15 日
簽名

216

二零一九年區議會一般選舉選區分區及名稱臨時建議
(公眾諮詢)
耀安樓下耀東(C29)分劃事宜意見

本人堅決反對選舉管理委員會計劃在 2019 年開始將耀安樓劃給上耀東(C30),即 2019 年區議會選舉在耀華樓投票;我希望保持下耀東邨不變(按 2015 年區議會選舉安排)。

耀安樓 [redacted]
2018 年 8 月 14 日
簽名

217

二零一九年區議會一般選舉選區分區及名稱臨時建議
(公眾諮詢)
耀安樓下耀東(C29)分劃事宜意見

本人堅決反對選舉管理委員會計劃在 2019 年開始將耀安樓劃給上耀東(C30),即 2019 年區議會選舉在耀華樓投票;我希望保持下耀東邨不變(按 2015 年區議會選舉安排)。

耀安樓 [redacted]
2018 年 8 月 17 日
簽名

218

二零一九年區議會一般選舉選區分區及名稱臨時建議
(公眾諮詢)
耀安樓下耀東(C29)分劃事宜意見

本人堅決反對選舉管理委員會計劃在 2019 年開始將耀安樓劃給上耀東(C30),即 2019 年區議會選舉在耀華樓投票;我希望保持下耀東邨不變(按 2015 年區議會選舉安排)。

耀安樓 [redacted]
2018 年 8 月 16 日
簽名

219

二零一九年區議會一般選舉選區分區及名稱臨時建議
(公眾諮詢)
耀安樓下耀東(C29)分劃事宜意見

本人堅決反對選舉管理委員會計劃在 2019 年開始將耀安樓劃給上耀東(C30),即 2019 年區議會選舉在耀華樓投票;我希望保持下耀東邨不變(按 2015 年區議會選舉安排)。

耀安樓[redacted]
2018 年 8 月 16 日
莊長勝
簽名

220

二零一九年區議會一般選舉選區分區及名稱臨時建議
(公眾諮詢)
耀安樓下耀東(C29)分劃事宜意見

本人堅決反對選舉管理委員會計劃在 2019 年開始將耀安樓劃給上耀東(C30),即 2019 年區議會選舉在耀華樓投票;我希望保持下耀東邨不變(按 2015 年區議會選舉安排)。

耀安樓[redacted]
2018 年 8 月 16 日
簽名

221

二零一九年區議會一般選舉選區分區及名稱臨時建議
(公眾諮詢)
耀安樓下耀東(C29)分劃事宜意見

本人堅決反對選舉管理委員會計劃在 2019 年開始將耀安樓劃給上耀東(C30),即 2019 年區議會選舉在耀華樓投票;我希望保持下耀東邨不變(按 2015 年區議會選舉安排)。

耀安樓[redacted]
2018 年 8 月 16 日
簽名

222

二零一九年區議會一般選舉選區分區及名稱臨時建議
(公眾諮詢)
耀安樓下耀東(C29)分劃事宜意見

本人堅決反對選舉管理委員會計劃在 2019 年開始將耀安樓劃給上耀東(C30),即 2019 年區議會選舉在耀華樓投票;我希望保持下耀東邨不變(按 2015 年區議會選舉安排)。

耀安樓[redacted]
2018 年 8 月 14 日
簽名

223

二零一九年區議會一般選舉選區分區及名稱臨時建議
(公眾諮詢)
耀安樓下耀東(C29)分劃事宜意見

本人堅決反對選舉管理委員會計劃在 2019 年開始將耀安樓劃給上耀東(C30),即 2019 年區議會選舉在耀華樓投票;我希望保持下耀東邨不變(按 2015 年區議會選舉安排)。

耀安樓[redacted]
2018 年 8 月 16 日
簽名

224

二零一九年區議會一般選舉選區分區及名稱臨時建議
(公眾諮詢)
耀安樓下耀東(C29)分劃事宜意見

本人堅決反對選舉管理委員會計劃在 2019 年開始將耀安樓劃給上耀東(C30),即 2019 年區議會選舉在耀華樓投票;我希望保持下耀東邨不變(按 2015 年區議會選舉安排)。

耀安樓[redacted]
2018 年 8 月 14 日
簽名

225

二零一九年區議會一般選舉選區分區及名稱臨時建議
(公眾諮詢)
耀安樓下耀東(C29)分劃事宜意見

本人堅決反對選舉管理委員會計劃在 2019 年開始將耀安樓劃給上耀東(C30),即 2019 年區議會選舉在耀華樓投票;我希望保持下耀東邨不變(按 2015 年區議會選舉安排)。

耀安樓[redacted]
2018 年 8 月 14 日
簽名

226

二零一九年區議會一般選舉選區分區及名稱臨時建議
(公眾諮詢)
耀安樓下耀東(C29)分劃事宜意見

本人堅決反對選舉管理委員會計劃在 2019 年開始將耀安樓劃給上耀東(C30),即 2019 年區議會選舉在耀華樓投票;我希望保持下耀東邨不變(按 2015 年區議會選舉安排)。

耀安樓[redacted]
2018 年 8 月 16 日
簽名

227

二零一九年區議會一般選舉選區分區及名稱臨時建議
(公眾諮詢)
耀安樓下耀東(C29)分劃事宜意見

本人堅決反對選舉管理委員會計劃在 2019 年開始將耀安樓劃給上耀東(C30),即 2019 年區議會選舉在耀華樓投票;我希望保持下耀東邨不變(按 2015 年區議會選舉安排)。

耀安樓[redacted]
2018 年 8 月 14 日
簽名

228

二零一九年區議會一般選舉選區分區及名稱臨時建議
(公衆諮詢)
耀安樓下耀東(C29)分劃事宜意見

本人堅決反對選舉管理委員會計劃在 2019 年開始將耀安樓劃給上耀東(C30),即 2019 年區議會選舉在耀華樓投票;我希望保持下耀東邨不變(按 2015 年區議會選舉安排)。

耀安樓 [redacted]
2018 年 8 月 14 日
簽名

229

二零一九年區議會一般選舉選區分區及名稱臨時建議
(公衆諮詢)
耀安樓下耀東(C29)分劃事宜意見

本人堅決反對選舉管理委員會計劃在 2019 年開始將耀安樓劃給上耀東(C30),即 2019 年區議會選舉在耀華樓投票;我希望保持下耀東邨不變(按 2015 年區議會選舉安排)。

耀安樓 [redacted]
2018 年 8 月 15 日
簽名

230

二零一九年區議會一般選舉選區分區及名稱臨時建議
(公衆諮詢)
耀安樓下耀東(C29)分劃事宜意見

本人堅決反對選舉管理委員會計劃在 2019 年開始將耀安樓劃給上耀東(C30),即 2019 年區議會選舉在耀華樓投票;我希望保持下耀東邨不變(按 2015 年區議會選舉安排)。

耀安樓 [redacted]
2018 年 8 月 14 日
簽名

231

二零一九年區議會一般選舉選區分區及名稱臨時建議
(公衆諮詢)
耀安樓下耀東(C29)分劃事宜意見

本人堅決反對選舉管理委員會計劃在 2019 年開始將耀安樓劃給上耀東(C30),即 2019 年區議會選舉在耀華樓投票;我希望保持下耀東邨不變(按 2015 年區議會選舉安排)。

耀安樓 [redacted]
2018 年 8 月 15 日
簽名

232

二零一九年區議會一般選舉選區分區及名稱臨時建議
(公衆諮詢)
耀安樓下耀東(C29)分劃事宜意見

本人堅決反對選舉管理委員會計劃在 2019 年開始將耀安樓劃給上耀東(C30),即 2019 年區議會選舉在耀華樓投票;我希望保持下耀東邨不變(按 2015 年區議會選舉安排)。

耀安樓 [redacted]
2018 年 8 月 14 日
簽名

233

二零一九年區議會一般選舉選區分區及名稱臨時建議
(公衆諮詢)
耀安樓下耀東(C29)分劃事宜意見

本人堅決反對選舉管理委員會計劃在 2019 年開始將耀安樓劃給上耀東(C30),即 2019 年區議會選舉在耀華樓投票;我希望保持下耀東邨不變(按 2015 年區議會選舉安排)。

耀安樓 [redacted]
2018 年 8 月 15 日
簽名

234

二零一九年區議會一般選舉選區分區及名稱臨時建議
(公衆諮詢)
耀安樓下耀東(C29)分劃事宜意見

本人堅決反對選舉管理委員會計劃在 2019 年開始將耀安樓劃給上耀東(C30),即 2019 年區議會選舉在耀華樓投票;我希望保持下耀東邨不變(按 2015 年區議會選舉安排)。

耀安樓 [redacted]
2018 年 8 月 11 日
簽名

235

二零一九年區議會一般選舉選區分區及名稱臨時建議
(公衆諮詢)
耀安樓下耀東(C29)分劃事宜意見

本人堅決反對選舉管理委員會計劃在 2019 年開始將耀安樓劃給上耀東(C30),即 2019 年區議會選舉在耀華樓投票;我希望保持下耀東邨不變(按 2015 年區議會選舉安排)。

耀安樓 [redacted]
2018 年 8 月 16 日
簽名

236

二零一九年區議會一般選舉選區分區及名稱臨時建議
(公衆諮詢)
耀安樓下耀東(C29)分劃事宜意見

本人堅決反對選舉管理委員會計劃在 2019 年開始將耀安樓劃給上耀東(C30),即 2019 年區議會選舉在耀華樓投票;我希望保持下耀東邨不變(按 2015 年區議會選舉安排)。

耀安樓 [redacted]
2018 年 8 月 18 日
簽名

237

強烈要求將西灣河選區新成街劃入下耀東選區 21/08/2018 12:02

From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

致選舉管理委員會：

西灣河現在有多個建築地盤都起緊住宅，有西灣河街11號、筲箕灣道182號及筲箕灣道225號的地盤，而成安街亦有多幢樓宇正被發展商接洽進行收購，而成安街2-4號更是掉空了多年，上述地方一旦重建成樓宇可以用盡地積比例，加上有3個地盤落成住宅及入伙，西灣河區(C28)將會有大量人口增長，以往選管會不停修改劃界，令居民難以習慣，無所適從，選管會應該預視到上述地區情況，及早劃界，而不是到人口爆滿又再修改劃界，令在分界邊緣的居民很難知道誰是服務他的區議員。

加上一個區議員做唔唞咁多野，如果一個區咁滿人口都係對居民不公平，所以建議選管會應將新成街的樓宇(新成中心3幢、樂群大廈、碧巒閣)劃去下耀東(C29)。

是次下耀東劃界後，標準人口基數偏離百分比仲係+2.81%，絕對可以容納多幾幢大廈，加上下耀東無好似西灣河咁有建築地盤，唔會預計有大量人口增長，所以將新成街的樓宇劃去下耀東是合理的決定。加上選管會已經劃走左一幢公屋耀安樓去上耀東區，下耀東絕對可以容納更多人口。希望選管會接納意見！

筲箕灣小市民
2018年8月21日

238

就西灣河(C04)、下耀東(C29)、上耀東(C30)區議會選區劃界的意見 21/08/2018 14:04

From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

上耀東在劃入耀安樓後，預計人口百份比仲係-20.78%，仲係大幅偏離標準人口基數，點解選管會唔一併將耀豐樓劃入上耀東，耀豐樓及耀安樓只係20層高的公屋，兩幢先等同上耀東一幢40層高的公屋。

咁做可以令同一條耀東邨，兩個選區的預計人口百份比唔好差咁遠，對兩個區議員都合理D，公平D。

宜家下耀東民建聯區議員的辦事處在耀豐樓，選管會係咪因為受壓及有政治考慮先唔建議一併劃耀豐樓到上耀東，因為耀豐樓與耀安樓其實只係相鄰，距離少於50米，最正常的做法是一併將耀安樓及耀豐樓劃到上耀東(C30)，而且上耀東只有13149人口，是過少。

另外，西灣河近新成街宜家都有個地盤起緊樓，人口都將會增加，而西灣河區宜家預計人口百份比已經去到+20.57%，應該劃新成街(由海富街開始的西灣河街)附近的樓宇劃入下耀東選區，為著將來可以預視到的人口增長作準備，亦令3區(西灣河, 下耀東, 上耀東) 3位區議員服務的人口不要相差太遠，對居民都公平。

239

選區分界意見 21/08/2018 15:13

From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

敬啟者：

對於 貴會就2019年區議會選舉選區分界的建議，本人有以下建議：

在東區的下耀東(C29)和上耀東(C30)選區中，本人建議將耀豐樓都劃入至上耀東選區，因為這樣做的話，將能令該兩選區的人口更接近標準人口基數。再加上，在地理位置上，耀豐樓是較接近上耀東選區。最後，這樣分界能令上下耀東選區的分界更清楚，避免市民混淆自己所屬選區。

對於以上建議，煩請代為跟進及考慮。

耀東邨居民上

240(1)

就2019年區議會選舉選區分界提出意見：阿公岩選區 (C07) 21/08/2018 15:22

From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

1 Attachment

選舉管理委員會秘書處.docx

240(2)

筲箕灣社區辦事處
SHAU KEI WAN COMMUNITY OFFICE

選舉管理委員會秘書處
灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓

就 2019 年區議會選舉選區分界提出意見：阿公岩選區 (C07)

本人認為選舉事務處基於忽視社區完整性及其獨特性，反對 2019 年區議會選舉劃界建議，要求就筲箕灣三個選區劃界：C05 愛秩序灣，C06 筲箕灣，C07 阿公岩的劃界作出反對，並要求按照法定準則下，以 2003, 2007 年的選區劃界準則下作出調整。劃定選區是最主要考慮是維持社區特色及聯繫。就選管會建議的四屆區議會選區分界，一早有損早已建立筲箕灣的社區特色和歷史，以及居民之間的緊密聯繫。並會影響筲箕灣的社區完整。 同時就筲箕灣社區發展並未能一早得到居民的實際允許。

首先，根據法定準則(a)選舉管理委員會(「選管會」)在切實可行的情況下，須確保各建議選區人口盡量接近標準人口基數。即 16,599 人，(b)倘某選區實際上不能遵從上述(a)項的規定，選管會須確保該選區的人口偏離標準人口基數不超出 25%(即 12,449 人至 20,749 人的幅度之內）。

這一點，在是次重新劃界建議中，沒有被充分反映，有選區人口超出一倍，也有選區人口低於基數超過 30% 亦未有被重新劃界。究其原因，可能選管會「很貼心」，在這些個別選區引用了法定準則(c)作考慮，選管會須顧及社區獨特性及地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵（例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展等）。但是，就 C06 筲箕灣及 C07 阿公岩的劃界當中，從來沒有考慮當中歷史的演變，在選區人口盡量接近標準人口基數同時維持社區特色及聯繫，就過往四次選管會建議的區議會選區分界，一早有損早已建立筲箕灣的社區特色和歷史，以及居民之間的緊密聯繫，並會影響筲箕灣的社區完整。

忽視社區完整性及獨特性

選管會的建議側多只注重頭兩項的原則，而往往忽略社區獨特性及地方聯繫的情況，以阿公岩的選區劃界建議，當區前區議員[redacted]曾經就劃區分界問題在 2003, 2007, 2011, 2015 年的選區劃界提出反對及劃界建議。本人曾經就 2015 年區議會選舉劃界上就法定準則考慮提出反對及建議，對於選區客觀數字反映的劃界需要，我理解。工作上，是否重劃選區，任內具體工作選是會如常，要發聲的要爭取的要服務的… …還是一如既往。可是，令人納悶的是整個重新劃界考慮，並不全面。

為阿公岩（C07）選區劃界建議，包括了東大街單號，明華大廈，阿公岩村，崇潤道的

240(3)

筲箕灣社區辦事處
SHAU KEI WAN COMMUNITY OFFICE

住宅等。一直以來[redacted]及居民有提議整合選區及社區的完整性，包括：

1) 2011 年劃界建議，有 1 項反對把愛蝶灣由愛秩序灣選區轉編入筲箕灣選區，因為愛蝶灣屬居者有其屋計劃屋苑，與筲箕灣的私人樓宇有不同的社區獨特性。
2) 2011 年劃界建議，有 28 項建議把愛蝶灣由愛秩序灣選區轉編入筲箕灣選區，而非阿公岩選區，因為愛蝶灣與阿公岩在地理及社區聯繫上都不太緊密，並考慮到愛蝶灣在愛秩序灣社區整個完整性。
3) 2011 年劃界建議，有 27 項建議由現時社雲里、金華街和筲箕灣東大街雙號而建的私人樓宇轉編入阿公岩選區，而非把愛蝶灣轉編入或能紓緩阿公岩選區未符人口規定的情況。
4) 2015 年劃界建議，有 11 項反對選管會提議將一些筲箕灣東大街單號而建的私人樓宇轉編入筲箕灣選區，方能使現時的筲箕灣選區人口維持在法例許可的幅度之內。
5) 2015 年劃界建議，有建議就社區整合性重新去劃分筲箕灣三個選區劃界劃界，包括合併筲箕灣東大街為一個選區
6) 愛蝶灣與阿公岩的發展歷史不同。前者是自 2001 年起與愛東邨一併落成的新發展項目，後者則為六十年代至七十年代期間興建的舊式樓宇；以及期間興建的明華大廈
7) 愛蝶灣與阿公岩有不同的社區獨特性，居者有其屋計劃屋苑的文化及社區需要也與舊式私人樓宇和房協旗下的康租屋有不同
8) 明華大廈的居民已習慣在聖公會主城堂幼稚園的投票站投票，轉往筲箕灣官立小學的投票站投票，會對年老居民造成不便。

<u>多年來選管會反對所有建議的原因包括：</u>

1) 就選區完整性問題，來大街過去已經在 1994 年經已分劃為兩個選區
2) 建議調整後，並不能解決筲箕灣選區的預計人口低於法例許可下限的問題
3) 建議調整後，阿公岩選區預計人口會低於法例許可的下限，而筲箕灣選區的預計人口則會超出法例許可的上限。
4) 把愛蝶灣編入阿公岩選區，方能使現時的筲箕灣選區和阿公岩選區的人口維持在法例許可的幅度之內。
5) 建議調整後，仍不能解決 2011 年的愛秩序灣預計人口則會超出法例許可的上限。

過去 7 年來，此區人口並無大幅增減，但區議會劃界建議，不停地以將阿公岩選區劃走了 4- 5 座樓宇，人數少了 1 千人予筲箕灣選區，此建議有三大問題：

1) **破壞社區完整性及獨特性。**
把愛蝶灣編入阿公岩選區，愛蝶灣的居民，無論居住的空間地理位置、生活配套，如商場、街市、休憩設施、停車場，以及社會服務機構，都是與愛秩序灣其他樓宇居民共用，

240(4)

筲箕灣社區辦事處
SHAU KEI WAN COMMUNITY OFFICE

社區問題及改善均有其一致性，但選舉委員會就將劃至阿公岩選區，不單地理位置隔了東區走廊分隔，地理上選指入了筲箕灣東大街雙號的私人樓宇，更破壞選舉的完整性和獨特性，以及居民對社區的歸屬感。

2) 選區特性複雜，令議員及居民難於解決社區問題。
阿公岩選區內已有廉租屋、租者置其屋、居屋、舊式唐樓、私人樓宇、寮屋村屋，各階層利益已分歧，該區議員難於服務不同群體的居民及處理不同利益的意見。一直以來，筲箕灣社區依照選舉委員會建議居實有三位議員作為議員代表，一直對社區問題各位有立場和意見，難於尋求共識。

3) 選區經常變動，選民亦難以適應及尋求議員協助。
阿公岩選區內的私人樓宇多居區議會均被編配去筲箕灣選區，由 2007 年開始，不停地以將阿公岩選區劃走樓宇，令阿公岩選區一些年長的居民難於適應及尋求協助。何以要在 2003 年開始不停於原來常住人口一直維持的情況下，左割一個小社區、右砍塞一個屋苑，一加一減人口增幅不大，但筲箕灣各小區則因此被切割開來！整個筲箕灣區選民猶如「人球」被踢來踢去充撐人數，社區服務受影響之餘，更被剝奪以選票去評核屆區議員的權利。

選區人口超逸基準並非硬道理 保留社區完整性更重要
過去多年選管會劃界， 由 1999 年開始，每屆區議會劃界均出現選區超逸或低於基準人口 25%，由 1999 年至 2015 年，每年分別有 14-27 個選區超過有關標準，2019 年也有 11 個選區是類似情況。自愛蝶灣人口被編入阿公岩選區，於筲箕灣其他地區較少歸屬感，可能對整個愛秩序灣的整合和發展的關注較對筲箕灣發展選深，因而影響筲箕灣和阿公岩社區發展和等及參與。一些申述，阿公岩選區內的前區議員難以同時服務兩個或以上不同的社區。

作為該區的社區主任亦就不同事務亦難以向各方當區居民反映真正需要。而筲箕灣選區分界並不能對整個筲箕灣地區發展製造兩個利成便。反而只是將人口過份習中的幾條街道等整而成，未能夠為個當區居民反映真正需要。

選民被剝奪以選票評核區議員的權利

事實上，選管會區議會選區劃界過程封閉、指引含糊及無客觀標準，只會助長流言蜚語。選管會為區議會選區劃界，前半部分組織過程由當區民政事務專員主導、向地區人士摸底，以及決定選區命名。民政事務專員大多由政務官（AO）出任，

當一座又一座住宅大廈重建成新式住宅，整個筲箕灣區估計新外來人口亦會不停上升是

240(5)

筲箕灣社區辦事處
SHAU KEI WAN COMMUNITY OFFICE

事實，即使主席不用在當區親眼看見，只需要打開報紙看到每日的地產新聞亦要明白。可是，基於小選區有一個當住人口基數限制，在社區不斷更新之下，有些地區，面臨人口過剩或不足，選管會在選舉前一年多提出重新劃界建議，確保每個小選區人口沒有跌出 16,599 上下限 25%範圍外。換句話說，有部分選民，即使沒有搬家，也將要在下一屆區議會選舉跟原來的區議員說再見，沒有機會透過神聖一票表達對原來那位的認同或不滿。

筲箕灣區不同小區有不同特色，盲目以數字作劃界考慮實在不智。然而，選管會紙上談兵式的劃界，有如「紙上談兵」，令筲箕灣地區完整性一直被割碎，亦令筲箕灣居民無所適從。

「屋邨多老人及新移民，著重民生議題及福利，居屋居民著眼法團問題，而私樓居民期關心更長遠的政治議題」，希望選管會能夠真正達到「為民所有，為民所治，為民所享」。

Eugene Chan 陳溢謙

社區組織主任
筲箕灣社區辦事處
[redacted]

241

2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議的意見 21/08/2018 16:49

From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

致選管會主席馮驊法官：

本人認為是次西灣河選區劃界，未有考慮到社區完整性及獨特性，筲箕灣老街坊一直以海晏街為界，過了海晏街便屬筲箕灣，而西灣河近年不斷有新樓宇落成，人口越來越多，是次的預計人口更加逼至2萬，本人認為選管會有低估人口，因現時很有樓宇快將入伙。

選管會應該考慮一次過的劃界，不會令居民因劃界改變而影響。是次選管會建議將下耀東(C29)的耀安樓劃入上耀東(C30)，本人認為應該連同耀豐樓一起劃入上耀東(C30)，一來可以解決上耀東多年來人口不停下降，人口過小的問題，二來下耀東(C29)可以有更大空間，劃入(C04)西灣河近新成街的私人樓宇，一次的重整，可以解決到西灣河區將來人口爆滿的問題，亦可解決上耀東人口過少的問題，請選管會大刀闊斧，一併進行改劃。

Ada

2018.8.21

242(1)

有關2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議之意見書 21/08/2018 19:16

From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

1 Attachment

有關2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議之意見書 (2).docx

香港選舉管理委員會
敬啟者：

有關2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議之意見書

政府於上月下旬公布新選區劃區建議，下屆區議會將會新增21個民選議席，即民選議 席會由現時的431個增至452個。雖然東區未受該次新選區劃區建議的影響，但基於 貴 會用作劃分選區的之法定準則及工作準則，包括劃分選區時須確保該選區的人口偏離 標準人口基數不超出25% (即 12 449人 至 20 749人的幅度之內)，以及須顧及區內的社 區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持和有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及 發展等)，東區區議會丹拿(C22)選區應需要有輕微改動。當中主要原因是 貴會竟未把 已落成在今年第三季入伙的柏蔚山的預計人口計算在內，致使如雋悅仍不劃入錦屏 (C21)選區，會做成資源不均的情況，詳見以下兩項原因。

在“標準人口基數”方面， 貴會須確保每選區的人口數字在許可幅度之內，即介乎12 449人至20 749人之間，而在2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議當中，丹 拿(C22)選區的預計人口為15 959人。雖然丹拿選區的預計人口是符合每選區人口數字 的許可幅度之內，但是本人認為 貴會所得出之丹拿(C22)選區的預計人口有不當之處 ，因為現時 貴會並未將位於繼園街的新私人屋苑柏蔚山住戶計算於預計人口之中，柏 蔚山共有611個單位，假設以每單位2.5位居民以計算柏蔚山的人口數目，該屋苑約有1 527人，而柏蔚山現時已有住戶陸續入伙，由此預見2019年的時候，丹拿(C22)選區的 預計人口會比現時15 959人多出約1 527人。而錦屏(C21)選區在2019年的預計人口則 是16 085人，當丹拿(C22)選區的預計人口因柏蔚山屋苑而多出約1 527人，而錦屏 (C21)選區的預計人口則繼續維持16 085人。 貴會應平衡上述兩區的人口數目，把柏 蔚山屋苑計算在丹拿(C22)之中，再將雋悅劃入錦屏(C21)選區之中，令到兩區人口數 目相約，鄰近地區的資源分佈方為平均。

在顧及區內的社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持和有關區域的自然特徵方面， 貴會將雋悅 劃入丹拿(C22)選區亦有不當之處。雋悅住戶除了有一條車路經丹拿區外，大部份雋 悅居民只會途經錦屏街及書局街出入屋苑(示意圖請查看圖一)，雋悅居民對社區的需 要理應與錦屏(C21)選區更為貼近。因此，與雋悅相連的錦屏(C21)選區應是更適合將雋 悅劃入其之內。

無論劃界怎變，本人亦樂意服務所有北角區市民。然而就人口及社區完整性而言，

242(2)

把 雋悅劃入錦屏(C21)選區似乎對居民的適應及資源分佈更佳。有見及此，本人現特此致 函 貴會提出上述對2019年區議會劃區的建議，希望會方能夠積極考慮上述意見，將丹 拿(C22)選區範圍重新規劃，把雋悅劃入錦屏(C21)選區，使到重新劃分的選區更貼近居 民之需要。

東區區議員
鄭達鴻謹啟
二零一八年八月二十一日

Regards,
Tat Cheng
Eastern District Councillor
Civic Party

Tel: [redacted] Fax: [redacted]
Address: [redacted]

242(3)

公民黨 CIVIC PARTY

鄭達鴻區議員辦事處
TAT CHENG District Councillor's Office

地址 Address: [redacted]
電話 Telephone: [redacted]
傳真 Fax: [redacted]
電郵 Email: [redacted]

香港選舉管理委員會

敬啟者：

有關 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議之意見書

政府於上月下旬公布新選區劃區建議，下屆區議會將會新增 21 個民選議席，即民選議席會由現時的 431 個增至 452 個。雖然東區未受該次新選區劃區建議的影響，但基於 貴會用作劃分選區的之法定準則及工作準則，包括劃分選區時須確保該選區的人口偏離標準人口基數不超出 25% (即 12 449 人 至 20 749 人的幅度之內)，以及須顧及區內的社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持和有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展等)，東區區議會丹拿(C22)選區應需要有輕微改動。當中主要原因是 貴會竟未把已落成在今年第三季入伙的柏蔚山的預計人口計算在內，致使如雋悅仍不劃入錦屏(C21)選區，會做成資源不均的情況，詳見以下兩項原因。

在“標準人口基數”方面， 貴會須確保每選區的人口數字在許可幅度之內，即介乎 12 449 人至 20 749 人之間，而在 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議當中，丹拿(C22)選區的預計人口為 15 959 人。雖然丹拿選區的預計人口是符合每選區人口數字的許可幅度之內，但是本人認為 貴會所得出之丹拿(C22)選區的預計人口有不當之處，因為現時 貴會並未將位於繼園街的新私人屋苑柏蔚山住戶計算於預計人口之中，柏蔚山共有 611 個單位，假設以每單位 2.5 位居民以計算柏蔚山的人口數目，該屋苑約有 1 527 人，而柏蔚山現時已有住戶陸續入伙，由此預見 2019 年的時候，丹拿(C22)選區的預計人口會比現時 15 959 人多出約 1 527 人。而錦屏(C21)選區在 2019 年的預計人口則是 16

242(4)

085 人，當丹拿(C22)選區的預計人口因柏蔚山屋苑而多出約 1 527 人，而錦屏(C21)選區的預計人口則繼續維持 16 085 人。 貴會應平衡上述兩區的人口數目，把柏蔚山屋苑計算在丹拿(C22)之中，再將雋悅劃入錦屏(C21)選區之中，令到兩區人口數目相約，鄰近地區的資源分佈方為平均。

在顧及區內的社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持和有關區域的自然特徵方面， 貴會將雋悅劃入丹拿(C22)選區亦有不當之處。雋悅住戶除了有一條車路路經丹拿區外，大部份雋悅居民只會途經錦屏街及書局街出入屋苑(示意圖請查看圖一)，雋悅居民對社區的需要理應與錦屏(C21)選區更為貼近。因此，與雋悅相連的錦屏(C21)選區應是更適合將雋悅劃入其之內。

無論劃界怎變，本人亦樂意服務所有北角區市民。然而就人口及社區完整性而言，把雋悅劃入錦屏(C21)選區似乎對居民的適應及資源分佈更佳。有見及此，本人現特此致函 貴會提出上述對 2019 年區議會劃區的建議，希望貴方能夠積極考慮上述意見，將丹拿(C22)選區範圍重新規劃，把雋悅劃入錦屏(C21)選區，使到重新劃分的選區更貼近居民之需要。

東區區議員
鄭達鴻謹啟
二零一八年八月二十一日

242(5)

圖一



243(1)

Fwd: Attached Image 21/08/2018 19:21
From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
1 Attachment
1276_001.pdf

243(2)

中華人民共和國香港特別行政區
Hong Kong Special Administrative Region of the People's Republic of China
東區區議會王志鐘議員辦事處
Office of Wong Chi Chung, Dominic, elected member of Eastern District Council

敬啟者:

二零一九年區議會一般選舉
選區分區及名稱臨時建議(公眾諮詢)
反對耀安樓下耀東(C29)分劃事宜

本人近日收到很多居民反映:「堅決反對選舉管理委員會計劃在 2019 年開始將耀安樓劃給上耀東(C30)，即 2019 年區議會選舉在耀華樓投票」，並對上述新安排深表遺憾。

本人和大部份居民希望保持下耀東邨不變(即按 2015 年區議會選舉安排)，認為臨時劃界建議破壞 C29「下耀東」區的社區完整性，我們反對臨時建議，要求維持原來的劃界安排，理由如下：

1. 地理環境：耀東邨以耀興道為分界，分「上耀東」及「下耀東」兩個選區，近海一邊的是「下耀東」，近山一邊的是「上耀東」，非常清晰，如單把一幢近海一邊的耀安樓劃到另一個選區，容易令居民混亂和破壞社區完整性。

2. 居民習慣：由於上述地理環境，近海一邊的下耀東邨包括耀安樓的居民，多數在下耀東或到山下留樓區活動，甚少到山上使用上耀東的設施。而且耀安樓居民一直被編配在「下耀東」選區，加上上述地理環境因素，居民屬於「下耀東」區的觀念已根深蒂固，要更改選區甚至投票地點，容易造成混亂。

故此，希望選管會維持「下耀東(C29) 的選區劃界不變(即按 2015 年區議會選舉安排)，有勞之處，謹此致謝。如有查詢，請致電 3709 6429 與本人或助理區小姐聯絡順祝
鈞安

此致
選舉管理委員會秘書處

東 區 區 議 員
王志鐘
王志鐘 先生 謹啟

2018 年 8 月 21 日

地址Address : [redacted]
電話Tel : [redacted] 傳真Fax : [redacted] E-mail : [redacted]

243(3)

反對下耀東(C29)重新分劃耀安樓事宜。 21/08/2018 19:24

From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

1 Attachment

1276_001.pdf

243(4)

中華人民共和國香港特別行政區
Hong Kong Special Administrative Region of the People's R

東區區議會王志鏗議員辦事處
Office of Wong Chi Chang, Dunble, elected member of Eastern District Council

敬啟者:

二零一九年區議會一般選舉
選區分區及名稱臨時建議(公眾諮詢)
反對耀安樓下耀東(C29)分劃事宜

本人近日收到很多居民反映:「堅決反對選舉管理委員會計劃在 2019 年開始將耀安樓劃給上耀東(C30),即 2019 年區議會選舉在耀華樓投票」,並對上述新安排深表遺憾。

本人和大部份居民希望保持下耀東邨不變(即按 2015 年區議會選舉安排),認為臨時劃界建議破壞 C29「下耀東」區的社區完整性,我們反對臨時建議,要求維持原來的劃界安排,理由如下:

1. 地理環境:耀東邨以耀興道為分界,分「上耀東」及「下耀東」兩個選區,近海一邊的是「下耀東」,近山一邊的是「上耀東」,非常清晰,如單把一幢近海一邊的耀安樓劃到另一個選區,容易令居民混亂和破壞社區完整性。

2. 居民習慣:由於上述地理環境,近海一邊的下耀東邨包括耀安樓的居民,多數在下耀東或到山下舊樓區活動,甚少到山上使用上耀東的設施。而且耀安樓居民一直被編配在「下耀東」選區,加上上述地理環境因素,居民屬於「下耀東」區的觀念已根深蒂固,要更改選區甚至投票地點,容易造成混亂。

故此,希望選管會維持「下耀東(C29) 的選區劃界不變(即按 2015 年區議會選舉安排),有勞之處,謹此致謝。如有查詢,請致電 3709 6429 與本人或助理區小姐聯絡順祝
鈞安

此致
選舉管理委員會秘書處

東 區 區 議 員
王志鏗
王志鏗 先生 謹啟

2018 年 8 月 21 日

地址Address : [redacted]
電話Tel : [redacted] 傳真Fax : [redacted] E-mail : [redacted]

244

支持選管會就C29下耀東及C30上耀東的劃界建議並要求將耀豐樓劃入上耀東
21/08/2018 19:39
From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

馮驊法官:

C04 (西灣河) 預計人口 20013人 (+20.57 %)
C29 (下耀東) 預計人口 17066人 (+2.81 %)
C30 (上耀東) 預計人口 13149人 (-20.78 %)

明顯地,西灣河人口過多,上耀東人口過少,選管會是次只將下耀東的耀安樓劃入上耀東區,明顯是不足夠,應該將耀安樓旁的耀豐樓,一同劃入上耀東區。將耀興道半山兩幢公屋(耀安樓及耀豐樓)劃至上耀東區,從而增加上耀東的人口,減少偏離標準人口基數。

及後,可以將下耀東近新成街邊界的樓宇,由西灣河區劃入下耀東區,因近新成街的居民在生活上亦在筲箕灣一帶活動多於在西灣河區,因為這邊較鄰近筲箕灣地鐵站,故此將新成中心、碧麗閣等樓宇劃入下耀東區,是保留社區的完整性,亦可舒緩西灣河臨近標準人口基數上限及區內正興建的樓宇及剛落成而入伙樓宇帶來增長的人口。

此致
選管會

245(1)

二o一九年區議會一般選舉選區分界建議分界及名稱遞交書面意見 21/08/2018 21:27

From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

致 選舉管理委員會:

本人現就 2019 年區議會選舉選區中,屬東區的 C07 選區分界提出意見。

本人認為選舉事務處基於忽視社區完整性及其獨特性,因而要求就筲箕灣三個選區劃界 – C05、C06、C07 的按照法定準則下,以 2003、2007年的選區劃界準則下作出調整。

根據法定準則(a)選舉管理委員會(「選管會」)在切實可行的情況下,須確保各建議選區人口盡量接近標準人口基數。即16,599人,(b)倘某選區實際上不能遵從上述(a)項的規定,選管會須確保該選區的人口偏離標準人口基數不超出25%(即12,449人至20,749人的幅度之內)。

這一點,在是次重新劃界建議中,沒有被充分反映,有選區人口超出一倍,也有選區人口低於基數超過30%亦未有被重新劃界。

選管會的建議則多只注重頭兩項的原則,而往往忽略社區獨特性及地方聯繫的情況,以阿公岩的選區劃界建議,當區前區議員[redacted]曾經就劃區分界問題在2003,2007, 2011, 2015年的選區劃界提出反對及劃界建議。本人曾經就2015年區議會選舉劃界上就法定準則考慮提出反對及建議,對於選區客觀數字反映的劃界需要,我理解。工作上,是否重劃選區,任內具體工作還是會如常,要發聲的要爭取的要服務的……還是一如既往。可是,令人納悶的是整個重新劃界考慮,並不全面。

為阿公岩(C067) 選區劃界建議,包括了東大街單號,明華大廈,阿公岩村,柴灣道的住宅等。一直以來[redacted]及居民有提議整合選區及社區的完整性,包括:

1) 2011年劃界建議,有1項反對把愛蝶灣由愛秩序灣選區轉編入筲箕灣選區,因為愛蝶灣屬居者有其屋計劃屋苑,與筲箕灣的私人樓宇有不同的社區獨特性。

2) 2011年劃界建議,有28項建議把愛蝶灣由愛秩序灣選區轉編入筲箕灣選區,而非阿公岩選區,因為愛蝶灣與阿公岩在地理及社區聯繫上都不太緊密。並考慮到愛蝶灣在愛秩序灣社區整個完整性。

3) 2011年劃界建議,有27項建議由現時杜賽里、禽華街和筲箕灣東大街雙號而建的私人樓宇轉編入阿公岩選區,而非把愛蝶灣轉編入或能舒緩阿公岩選區未符人口規定的情況。

4) 2015年劃界建議,有11項反對選管會提議將一些筲箕灣東大街單號而建的私人樓宇轉編入筲箕灣選區,方能使現時的筲箕灣選區人口維持在法例許可的幅度之內。

5) 2015年劃界建議,有建議就社區整合性重新去劃分筲箕灣三個選區劃界劃界,包括合併筲箕灣東大街為一個選區。

6) 愛蝶灣與阿公岩的發展歷史不同。前者是自2001年起興愛東邨一併落成的新發展項目,後者則為六十年代至七十年代期間興建的舊式樓宇;以及期間興建的明華大廈。

7) 愛蝶灣與阿公岩有不同的社區獨特性,居者有其屋計劃屋苑的文化及社區需要也與舊式私人樓宇和房協旗下的公共屋邨有不同。

245(2)

過去7年來，此區人口並無大幅增減，但區議會劃界建議，不停地以將阿公岩選區劃走了4-5座樓宇，人數少了一千人予筲箕灣選區，此建議有以下問題：

把愛秩灣編入阿公岩選區，愛秩灣的居民，無論居住的空間地理位置、生活配套，如商場、街市、休憩設施、停車場，以及社會服務機構，都是與愛秩序灣其他樓宇居民共用，社區問題及改善均有其一致性，但選舉委員會就將劃至阿公岩選區，不單地理位置隔了東區走廊分隔，地理上還插入了筲箕灣東大街雙號的私人樓宇，更破壞選舉的完整性和獨特性，以及居民對社區的歸屬感。

阿公岩選區內已有廉租屋、租者置其屋、居屋、舊式唐樓、私人樓宇、寮屋村屋，各階層利益已分歧，該區議員難於服務不同群體的居民及處理不同利益的意見。一直以來，筲箕灣社區依照選舉委員會建議落實有三位議員作為議員代表，一直對社區問題各位有立場和意見，難於尋求共識。

筲箕灣社區多屆區議會均被編配去不同的選區，由一九九九年開始，先被編與光輝圍同一選區，二零零七年又被劃去葵興選區，二零一五年終於與葵涌邨其他葵涌邨三、四期樓宇同歸於一個選區，當居民習慣了一個選區及新區議員不久，又要改劃至與葵興選區，令葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓一些年長的居民難於適應及尋求協助。

過去多年選管會劃界，由1999年開始，每屆區議會劃界均出現選區超逾或低於基準人口25%，由1999年至2015年，每年分別有14-27個選區超過有關標準，2019年也有11個選區是類似情況。自愛蝶灣人口被編入阿公岩選區，於筲箕灣其他地區較少歸屬感，可能對整個愛秩序灣的整合和發展的關注較對筲箕灣發展遜深，因而影響筲箕灣和阿公岩社區發展和等及參與。一些申述，阿公岩選區內的前區議員難以同時服務兩個或以上不同的社區。

作為該區的社區主任亦就不同事務亦難以向各方當區居民反映真正需要。而筲箕灣選區分界並不能對整個筲箕灣地區發展製造而因利成便，反而只是將人口過份集中的幾條街道篡整而成，未能夠為個當區居民反映真正需要。

筲箕灣區不同小區有不同特色，盲目以數字作劃界考慮實在不智。然而，選管會紙上談兵式的劃界，有如「紙上談兵」，令筲箕灣地區完整性一直被割碎，亦令筲箕灣居民無所適從。

市民
出雲祐二

--
Sincerely Yours,
Yuuji Izumo
Civilian Instructor
Hong Kong Air Cadet Corps
[redacted]

246(1)

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups

對劃定選區之意見
[redacted] to: eacenq@reo.gov.hk 24/07/2018 02:31

1 個附件檔
E02.png

敬啟者：
本人現就2019年區議會選舉中，油尖旺區議會中的九龍站（E02）選區之邊界提出意見。該區自1999年劃界以來，右上角以直角相交形式與其餘選區相接。然則，該角落卻有一小塊鄰近2019年的E07選區被劃入E02選區中（附圖以紅箭嘴示）。本人認為E02選區無故突出一塊與主要選區分離的陸地相當突兀，而該片狹小的陸地上現時只有海事處海港巡邏組的碼頭設施，而在可見將來亦難以發展任何供人居住之住宅。因此，選管會應考慮將該塊陸地劃入相鄰的選區（2019年的E07選區）以確保選區能按照地形而盡量正確繪製。

246(2)



247

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups

2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議
[redacted] to: eacenq@reo.gov.hk 14/08/2018 09:55

選舉管理委員會於本年7月23日公布2019年區議會選區分界及名稱的臨時建議，並展開公眾諮詢。我們於油尖旺區生活及工作，對於政府因應各區人口分佈和轉變而檢討各區區議會民選議席數目表示支持。
然而，我們認為貴會在調整一個選區的分界時，除了考慮該區的居民數目外，亦應同時考慮選區的整體性。例如油尖旺區「佐敦南」選區，原先範圍由彌敦道以西、廣東道以東、佐敦道以南至梳士巴利道以北，包括有官涌街市、海防道臨時街市、佐治五世公園、九龍公園。按照現時選管會的建議，「佐敦南」選區範圍被大幅縮減，上述兩個公園和兩個街市均一併劃入新選區「尖沙咀西」。
我們對於選管會的建議難以理解和認同，由於街市和公園對居民而言是非常重要的社區公共設施，「佐敦南」選區的議員若不直接代表該區居民對街市和公園事宜作出跟進和改善建議，除了令選區的整體性受損，亦不符合區議員均衡承擔社區責任的原則，對整個「佐敦南」選區的管理也有所影響。
我們建議選管會再詳細審視「佐敦南」選區的分界，將鄰近的佐治五世公園和官涌街市重新納入該選區範圍，有利民選議員有效服務地區居民。

順祝鈞安!

梁壽洪總幹事 [redacted]

1970地下起異

248(1)

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand pe

2019 DC ELECTION BOUNDARIES
[redacted] to: eacenq@reo.gov.hk 20/08/2018 01:47
Please respond to Tst Res

1 個附件檔

TST DC 2019 Boundaries.pdf

Dear Sirs,

Many of our members live between Nathan Road and Mody Road (TSTE).

We are astonished that having divided up our district for the 2015 elections, there are now plans to further split the TST community.

In 2015 our harbourfront and TST East were bundled with King's Park. Relatives and friends living in TST East are most dissatisfied with this arrangement as they feel they have been neglected since then and have no representation.

Now you propose to further split our community by transferring residents south of Mody Road to TST West.

People living there have nothing in common with residents of developments like The Austin and Grand Austin, just as TST East has nothing in common with King's Park both long distances from TST.

The proposal is totally in contrast to the statement that "Pursuant to the statutory requirements, apart from taking into account the projected population, the EAC must also have regard to the other statutory factors, including community identities, preservation of local ties and physical features of the concerned areas when adjusting the DCCA boundaries.

Looking at the map is is quite clear that core TST would no longer be a cohesive district but spread all over the place. In comparison to other 'compact' constituencies it is obvious that we have been given the 'left overs'.

We find this totally unacceptable and have attached our proposal. King's Park numbers can be made up by repositioning some of the YauMaTei constituencies.

E20 (why do you keep changing the numbers?) should remain intact. We understand that there are not many people living in TST West so we propose that E01 could combine West and East. Then the district could have only two constituencies, a much more efficient arrangement.
If you continue to mess around with our district we will seriously consider opting out of the election process as it is quite obvious that the district councilors for TST East and West will no longer concentrate of local issues and work for the benefit of TST in general.

We are already less than satisfied with the performance of Yau Tsim Mong District Council and your proposals would certainly make members even less accountable to the local population.

Regards
Paul Kumar
For Tsim Sha Tsui Residents Concern Group

248(2)

A registered NGO

248(3)



248(3)

249

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups

Consultation on DC boundaries
[redacted] to: eacenq@reo.gov.hk 21/08/2018 01:40

Dear Sir/Madam,

As a long time resident I object strongly to proposal to further truncate E16 TST constituency.

Between Salisbury Road and Austin Road is a tight knit community, with a sizeable population of ethnic minorities. Many residents are also owners of small businesses and have lived in the area for decades. It would be ridiculous that Mody road become a partition.

Our district was already trimmed for 2015 election.

It is unacceptable that further downsizing be introduced.

It is quite evident from the image that our district has become the Yau Tsim Mong dustbin, where all the leftovers bits are parked to make up numbers.

Mary Mulvihill

250

21日 8月2018年 1時31分

油尖旺區議會余德寶議員辦事處
Office of Yu Tak Po, Yau Tsim Mong District Council Member

選舉管理委員會
主席
馮驊先生，GBS

傳真：[redacted]

馮主席：

有關選區(E07 富柏選區)分界意見書

本人認為現時 E07 富柏選區分界合適，故此致函表示支持保留選區不變。

油尖旺區議員

余德寶 謹啟

2018年8月21日

電話/ Tel：[redacted] 傳真/ Fax：[redacted] 電郵/E-Mail：[redacted]
九龍[redacted]Mong Kok

251

深水埗區選區劃界意見
23/07/2018 17:24
Hide Details
From: [redacted]

To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

Security:

To ensure privacy, images from remote sites were prevented from downloading. Show Images

致: 選管會秘書處

本人建議 F11(幸福)選區劃界不包括荔枝角道以南及西九龍走廊以北的範圍(星匯居)，將有關範圍撥入 F12(碧匯)選區，主要有兩大原因:

1. 令選區 F12(碧匯)及 F11(幸福)的人口均能調整至更接近標準人口基數(16 599)
2. 星匯居的社區完整性、地方聯繫、地理和人口分布更適合於F12(碧匯)選區

袁海文

--

袁海文 Ramon, YUEN Hoi-man

深水埗區議員 Sham Shui Po District Councillor
特許財經分析師 Chartered Financial Analyst
民主黨中央委員會委員 Central Committee of DPHK
民主黨經濟及消費者權益政策小組召集人 Convener of DPHK Economy & Consumer Rights Policy Group

[redacted] (mobile)
(email)
(facebook)

23/7/2018

252

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups

對區議會選區畫界建議 F13 & F18
to: eacenq@reo.gov.hk 25/07/2018 14:58

荔枝角北（F18）包括長沙灣道以南的工廠區和臨時家禽市場，此部分接近荔枝角中（F13）的居民，反而和荔枝角北（F18）的居民有一大段距離。荔枝角中的區議員理應比荔枝角北的區議員關心這片地方的情況，建議將這部份納入F13，F13和F18之間以長沙灣道為界。工廠區和家禽市場理應沒有人居住，此改動不會涉及選區人口變化。

沈少雄 [redacted]

253(1)

有關2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界事宜 10/08/2018 17:26

From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

1 Attachment

有關2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界事宜.pdf

253(2)

致 選舉管理委員會

有關 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界事宜
就著於深水埗 龍坪及上白田區 (F22) 的劃界建議作出書面申訴

有關上白田 9-11 及 13 座的重建及影響：
房委會宣布 2020 年起清拆白田邨四座數字樓公屋，
而根據 2016 年
2016 人口普查 龍坪及上白田區(F23) 選區總人口為 16198 人

白田邨於 2018 年的人口總和約是 6800 人
白田上邨 9-11 座(重建搬遷期為 2018 年 9 月 - 2019 年 6 月份)
白田上邨 13 座(重建搬遷期為 2019 年 - 2020 年)

預計清拆年份	舊樓宇名稱	受影響單位數目	重建計劃期數	重建後落成年份	落成難簽物	備註
2018 年	白田商場及社區會堂	不適用	第 9 至 11 期	2018 年初（第 9 期） 2020/21 年度（第 10-11 期）	社區綜合大樓（第 9 期）及 2,000 個新建公屋單位，連同新商場（第 10-11 期）	社區綜合大樓（重建第 9 期）完成後， 社區會堂將會遷入該處
2019 年	第 13 座	約 900 個單位	第 12 期	2021/22 年度	新建小學	現有租戶在 2019/20 年度或之前遷入適合安置資源， 包括第 7 及第 8 期的新落成樓宇
2021 年	第 9 至第 11 座	約 1,650 個單位	第 13 期	2025/26 年度	2,100 個公屋單位	現有租戶在 2021/22 年度或之前遷入適合安置資源， 包括第 10 及第 11 期的新落成樓宇

根據以上資料 2019 年區選時 龍坪及上白田區 (F22) 正經歷公屋的重建期。
並會於 2019 年中及下旬有大幅度的人口變動，據悉已有部分的人口選擇調遷到深水埗區內的新建公屋單位 蘇屋邨 。而今次選舉委員會的臨時劃界建議本人懷疑未有考慮重建而調遷的人口，而下白田(F23)的選區劃界已包含了新的白田公屋興建，惟因為原邨安置的搬遷時間臨近 2019 年 7 月份，9-11 座的選民未有時間更改選民登記地址而出現錯區投票的情況。

因此，本人的建議選區劃界如下：

- 方案 1. 將 F04 的美映樓及美茹樓改為劃向龍坪及上白田區 F22，並把現有的 9-11,13 座上白田全數劃給 F22 下白田選區為一整個 白田選區，增加白田選區的社區完整性。
- 方案 2. 將現時重建中的上白田商場劃為 F22 選區，以把該區所有的選民調遷後重回同一選區內，而且並不會影響現時下白田選區的人口。
- 方案 3. 將現時石硤尾重建的 3,7 期劃入 F22 選區，以抵銷 2019 年中搬遷的人口流失。

鑑於現時選管會的方案並未有考慮重建白田邨的人口分佈，希望以上方案可以作出考慮。謝謝。

254

區議會選區劃界諮詢 - 深水埗區 16/08/2018 22:59

From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

本人現為深水埗區 南昌西選區 居民，反對香港特區政府的提議，於2019年 區議會選舉的選區劃分中 將 南昌街、醫局街 撥入南昌南選區。

查 南昌街、醫局街 於過去十多年來，已曾分別被撥歸於三個不同的南昌選區，致令該街道沿線居民要向三個不同選區 的區議員求助，缺乏服務的一致性及延續性，同時降低區議員的服務效率。

有見及此，本人希望 南昌街、醫局街 於2019年區議會選舉的選區劃分中能保留在 南昌西選區 之內。

謝謝!

C.K. Pang

255

深水埗居民聯會

通訊地址：深水埗營盤街 130-134 號 1 樓 B-D 座　電話：2386 5721　傳真：2728 9308

敬啟者：

《有關 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議事宜》

日前選舉管理委員會（下稱選管會）根據《選舉管理委員會條例》相關規定，就二零一九年區議會選舉選區分界及名稱擬定臨時建議。

就選管會對深水埗區的分界及名稱建議，本會詳細審視相關理據後，表示認同及支持。本會認為，選管會在制定相關建議時，在按照法定準則必須確保各建議選區人口盡量接近標準人口基數的前提下，同時顧及了各社區的獨特性、社區之間的聯繫，以及某些區域的共同特質。

此致

選舉管理委員會

主席　馮驊大法官

深水埗居民聯會

2018 年 8 月 17 日

RECEIVED
22 AUG 2018
REGISTRATION AND ELECTORAL OFFICE

256

有關深水埗F22龍坪及上白田選區 20/08/2018 18:05

From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

1 Attachment

doc00522020180820180206_002.jpg

選舉管理委員會

有關深水埗F22龍坪及上白田選區

選管會於2018年7月擬定二零一九年區議會一般選舉的臨時建議，深水埗龍坪及上白田選區的居民對F22分界範圍表示支持，分界範圍亦確保了龍坪及上白田的社區完整。據往屆選舉情況，居民對龍坪及上白田選區有以下建議：

1. 由於上白田邨、澤安邨、郝德傑山、帝景峰、爾登豪庭、爾登華庭及嘉珀山位置均有段距離，必須繼續維持上白田邨1個票站、澤安邨及眾私樓區1個票站，合共2個票站。

2. 但在【2018年立法會九龍西補選】情況，在並沒有諮詢的情況下，原本的澤安邨票站被分為兩個票站，而票站與票站距離不足100米，引致大批選民頻頻去錯票站，情況十分混亂。而以往澤安邨選民投開票的票站改了位置，改去地區狹窄，在門外可清楚觀察投票區情況，有被視為貧富懸殊，極不被尊重感覺，澤安邨選民票站私隱度極低，為避免錯誤及紛爭延伸至2019年區議會選舉上，必須確保只一個澤安邨票站。

3. 大批澤安邨選民到來議員辦事處、互委會及現場民政署職員投訴，不被尊重，感覺被遺棄。

澤安邨富澤樓互助委員會
澤安邨麗澤樓互助委員會
澤安邨榮澤樓互助委員會
澤安邨華澤樓互助委員會
吳美議員辦事處

2018年8月20日

257

有關深水埗F22龍坪及上白田選區 20/08/2018 18:07

From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

1 Attachment

doc00522020180820180206_001.jpg

選舉管理委員會

有關深水埗F22龍坪及上白田選區

選管會於2018年7月擬定二零一九年區議會一般選舉的臨時建議，深水埗龍坪及上白田選區F22分界範圍表示支持，分界範圍亦確保了龍坪及上白田的社區完整。據往屆選舉情況，對龍坪及上白田選區有以下建議：

1. 由於上白田邨，澤安邨、郝德傑山、帝景峰、爾登豪庭、爾登華庭及嘉珀山位置均有段距離，上白田邨、澤安邨及眾私樓區必須維持「上白田邨」及「澤安邨」兩個票站。
2. 現時上白田邨第9、10、11及13座正處於重建階段，附近樓宇及公衆設施已紛紛進行重建工程，行人通道被圍封，年老、使用輪椅及殘疾人士極之不便，如票站再設於白田天主教小學，對9,10,11座居民帶來極不便，我們建議票站設在9座地下空置店舖，為確保「上白田邨」票站不受重建影響，讓更多選民有效地往票站投票。
3. 而白田天主教小學鄰近下白田邨選民票站，即新白田社區會堂，必令上下邨選民造成大混亂。

為確保2019年深水埗龍坪及上白田選區順利進行，懇請選管會積極考慮上述建議。

9座互助委員會
11座互助委員會
吳美議員辦事處

2018年8月20日

258

反對將喜雅劃出寶麗區，使地區服務持續性受影響 20/08/2018 20:24
From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk, [redacted]

致：選舉委員會

2019年區議會一般選區劃界，選舉委員會將一直原屬於F01寶麗原區界內保安道以北近懷惠道的數座私人樓宇轉編入鄰近的選區F21李鄭屋，受影響的私人樓宇包括嘉莉閣(35戶)、寶華閣(96戶)及喜雅（1及2座共327戶）

今次選區重劃建議其中最受影響的是前身為土地發展公司（土發）的保安道/懷惠道重建項目，後由市區重建局負責的喜雅。喜雅由落成至成立法團，一直原屬於F01寶麗區界，本人一直向喜雅的住戶及法團提供服務，而另外2座較細的樓宇，都經常有住戶向本人尋求支援及服務。

2019年F01寶麗並未超逾人口上限，將上述3座一向原屬本區的私人樓宇劃出範圍，令社區服務的持續性受影響，亦對受影響居民不公平。本人反對選舉委員會就是次選區劃界改劃的建議，並要求選舉委員會繼續維持F01寶麗區的選區劃界，以使區內的服務持續性不受影響。

梁有方議員辦事處
(麥偉明代行)
電話：[redacted]
傳真：[redacted]

2018年8月18日

259

21-AUG-2018 15:09 From: [redacted]

敬啟者：

《有關 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議事宜》

日前選舉管理委員會（下稱選管會）根據《選舉管理委員會條例》相關規定，就二零一九年區議會選舉劃區分界及名稱擬定臨時建議。

本人認同貴中選管會就深水埗區的分界及名稱建議。

本人在深水埗工作，過去也有擔任政府不同公職和參與社區服務，就此建議，本人明白到選管會在制定相關建議時，必須確保各建議選區人口發量接近標準人口基數的前提下，同時顧及了各社區的完整性或社區之間的聯繫。

此致
選舉管理委員會
主席 馮驊大法官

溫國雄 MH

2018 年 8 月 20 日

260(1)

2019年區議會選舉一般選舉建議選區分界意見書(深水埗區) 21/08/2018 18:14
From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

1 Attachment

WhatsApp Image 2018-08-21 at 6.10.16 PM.jpeg

選舉管理委員會秘書處執事先生:

2019年區議會選舉一般選舉建議選區分界意見書(深水埗區)

根據《選舉管理委員會條例》(第541章)("《選管會條例》")，選管會須根據有關選舉年度內個別選區的預計人口檢視所有選區的現有分界，並對於那些預計人口超出法例許可上限或下限的選區，適當地調整其分界。調整選區分界除了符合法例規定以外，亦要保持社區之完整性，使區議員能在社區裏發揮應用的作用。唯本人發現是次深水埗區的南昌北（F03）、石硤尾(F04)及南昌東(F05)選區的一般選舉建議選區分界未有適時調整其分界，以保持社區之完整性。故特致函 貴會闡述本人對建議選區分界之意見。

首先，南昌北(F03)選區及鄰近選區如石硤尾(F04)及南昌東(F05)選區的2019年預計人口均為約一萬九千人人口統計數字顯示上述三個選區，因此是次建議之目的為保持社區之完整性，而並非調整區內人口數字為，例如南昌東選區中石硤尾邨第19、20座其實較接近石硤尾，可改劃入石硤尾選區，此舉有助居民劃分在相同的社區之中。

就此，本人將詳述南昌北(F03)、石硤尾(F04)及南昌東(F05)選區的一般選舉建議選區重劃之新建議，以保持社區之完整性。本人建議將石硤尾邨第十九及二十座的範圍(附圖黃色)撥入石硤尾選區。由於該範圍較接近石硤尾選區，皆為石硤邨的一部分，重劃該範圍有助加強和連繫社區的完整性。另外，本人建議將大埔道以北(附圖綠色)一帶範圍，維持於南昌北選區。因大埔道以北一帶只有部分屋宇建議納入石硤尾區內，而並非該地段所有屋宇皆納入石硤尾，因此，將該範圍維持在南昌北選區，能有助保持南昌一帶居民對於社區的完整性。根據本人建議所改劃之選區分界，定能有助保持社區的完整性。

本人希望 貴會認真考慮落實本人提出之相關建議，以改善深水埗內居民生活之需要，有利區議員的工作需要。希望貴 會可考慮及落實本人提出之相關建議。如有任何查詢，可致電[redacted]，與本人聯絡。

順祝

鈞安！

深水埗區議員（美孚中）
伍月蘭
謹啟
2018年8月9日

260(2)



261

2019年區議會選舉一般選舉建議選區分界意見書（深水埗區）- 離地劃區好離譜 社區完整好重要 反對改劃深水埗F03、F05及F22選區 21/08/2018 19:12
From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

離地劃區好離譜
社區完整好重要
反對改劃深水埗F03、F05及F22選區

選舉管理委員會就2019年區議會選舉劃界建議，有超過100個選區被改劃，其中不少選區的改劃上是破壞社區完整性。就深水埗F03、F05及F22選區，我們作為當區/鄰近的區議員/地區工作者，我們反對現時選管會的建議，並希望選管會可以參考我們的建議改劃有關選區。

選管會需公開選區人口數字
現時選管會的預計人口只有每一選區的人口數字，但例如在私人屋苑、舊唐樓區，區議員則未能從公開途徑了解當區人口，往往只能從經驗或戶數推算人口數字。故此，當選管會諮詢劃區建議時，當區議員根本未能根據實際人口作出建議，往往變成選管會閉門造車。

就上述三區的情況，由於F03及F04兩區預計人口將會超出標準人口基數+25%，故此選管會建議將F03選區內的大埔道、北河街、白田街和巴域街內的私人樓宇（約1500至2000人）劃去F04選區，而F04選區內的石硤尾邨美如樓及美映樓（約5000人）劃去現時的F22選區。我們認為，此舉第一沒有顧及社區完整性，第二亦反映選管會劃區只考慮數字上的需要。

石硤尾邨與白田邨幾十年與白田視之為一個完整社區，邨民活動範圍也是在這兩條邨內，例如去買餸，飲茶，或者去社區會堂等，故此在選區劃界時，也該考慮相關因素。石硤尾邨預計人口中包石硤尾邨第三、七期重建計劃，約2000人內的人口，加上第六期重建計劃，導致F04選區超越人口上限，故此把美如樓及美映樓劃去鄰近的F22選區。可是，南山大坑東、西一直跟石硤尾白田是兩個社區，中間的交雜亦較少，而美如美映的邨民大多是在石硤尾邨七層大廈時期居住至今，與石硤尾的關係甚深。我們在諮詢期內亦向美如美映居民發問卷，有接近9成居民反對改劃。

我們建議，把現時F03大埔道、南昌街、巴域街及北河街內的私人樓宇（約1500人）劃去F05，F04內的石硤尾邨3、7期（約1000人）則劃去F23（下白田選區），則美如樓美映樓可重新劃回F04選區。重新劃區後，F03、F05及F23人口會維持在人口基數以內，F03則輕微超出不足1%（約100人）。上述改劃方式，令白田及石硤尾兩區確保社區完整性，亦可以把舊私樓改劃至本身同屬舊私樓的選區，並且預計人口也不會出現嚴重偏差。

我們希望選管會可以慎重考慮上述改劃建議，確保社區完整性，便利居民生活。

深水埗區議員譚國僑
深水埗區議員何啟明
2018年8月21日

--

譚國僑議員辦事處
譚國僑

地址：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]
傳真：[redacted]

262(1)

區議會選舉2019年 暫定選區界線 意見書 21/08/2018 23:39
From: [redacted]
To: "eacenq@reo.gov.hk" <eacenq@reo.gov.hk>
1 Attachment
區議會選舉2019年.pdf

262(2)

甄啟業 Yan Kai Yip
深水埗區議會房屋事務委員會主席 The Chairman of Housing Affairs Committee of SSP DC
深水埗區議員 Since 1992 Sham Shui Po District Councilor

選舉管理委員會
馮驊大法官

區議會選舉 2019 年
暫定選區界線
意見書

本文就深水埗區議會暫定選區界線一事表達意見。我們反對就 F04 石硤尾及 F25 南山、大坑東及大坑西的重新劃界建議，主要理由是選管會未盡力估計 F04 毗鄰選區 F22 龍坪及上白田在選舉的年度即是 2019 年內的人口總數，以致得出錯誤的劃界建議。

（1）根據香港法例第 541 章《選舉管理委員會條例》第 20 條第（6）款（a）規定：「選管會須盡力估計在舉行建議的選舉年度內香港的人口總數或任何建議中的選區的人口總數（視屬何情況而定）」。

（2）根據選管會公布就 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界的劃定中的工作原則內（c）項所述：「除上文(b)項所述的情況外，凡人口超出許可幅度的現有選區，其分界及毗鄰選區的分界均會調整，以令該些選區的人口維持在法例許可幅度之內（除非有理據須基於社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持及/或自然特徵而保持該些選區分界不變）。如果有多於一個調整選區分界的方法，選管會採用影響最少選區或較少人口的方法，否則，便採用偏離標準人口基數最少的方法。」

（3）F04 石硤尾區由於人口超出許可幅度，選管會建議 F04 內美映樓及美如樓改劃到毗鄰選區 F25 之內，令 F04 及 F25 均需作出劃界調整，從而令 F04 人口總數下降至法例許可的幅度之內。

（4）與 F04 毗鄰的選區，除了 F25 之外，還有 F02,F03,F05,F22 及 F23。且看該六區人口總數比較：

F02 預計人口有 15757
F03 預計人口有 19628
F05 預計人口有 19594
F22 預計人口有 15802
F23 預計人口有 16014
F25 預計人口有 19773（包括美映及美如樓）

從以上數字看到 F25 即使加入美映美如樓約 4500 人也沒有超出許可 20748 人的上限，而且相信亦是上述毗鄰選區中人口最少約有 15273 人的選區。表面來看，是按照本文第（2）段所引選管會的工作原則(C)項調整選區劃界。

262(3)

（5）然而，當深入了解上述另一個毗鄰選區 F22 龍坪及上白田的人口變化，就會出現不同的結論。且看該區內一些人口數據：

2015 年預計總人口：16794 人
2019 年預計總人口：15802 人
白田邨第 9 座 ：原有 658 戶，現有 590 戶，1710 人
白田邨第 10 座 ：原有 419 戶，現有 360 戶，1130 人
白田邨第 11 座 ：原有 594 戶，現有 500 戶，1630 人
白田邨第 13 座 ：原有 899 戶，現有 870 戶，2670 人

（6）上述白田邨第 9、10、11 及 13 座正面對重建調遷計劃。

根據房屋署公布，在接收調遷的新樓未落成之前，會給予該四座重建大廈的住戶有「提早調遷」的選擇，計劃如下：

2018 年 4 月開始 調遷到其他選區的租金較便宜及單位面積較大的翻新單位，預計約 100 戶，即是約 300 人（100 戶x3 人）離開 F22 選區。
2018 年 9 月開始 調遷到蘇屋邨新樓，根據房屋署公布，預留 500 可戶獲安排調遷，即是約 1,500 人（500 戶x3 人）離開 F22 選區。

（7）根據房屋署公布，第 9、10 及 11 座將於 2019 年第三季（即是 2019 年 7、8、9 月）開始正式調遷預留新樓，該三座合共約 4470 人，按六四比例(4470÷7140(4 座重建總人數)×100%)扣減當中重覆計算第 9,10 及 11 座的「提早調遷」人數約 960 人((300+1500)x60%)，即是約 3,510 人(4470-960)離開 F22 選區。

（8）按照本文上述第（6）及（7）段推算，截至 2019 年度 完結之前，約有 5,310 人(300+1500+3510)調遷離開 F22 選區。

（9）因此，選區 F22 龍坪及上白田於 2019 選舉年內的總人口應該是 10,492 人(15,802-5,310)，與標準人口基數偏離 -36.8%。

（10）然而，我們亦注意到 F22 選區於 2015 年的預計人口是 16,794 人，而 2019 年的預計人口是 15,802 人，減少了 992 人。我們無法得知這 992 人是否與白田重建調遷有關，儘管如此，就當作這 992 人是重覆計算了，那麼，F22 選區於 2019 年選舉年內的總人口亦可能是 11484 人(10,492+992)，與標準人口基數偏離 - 30.8%。

（11）有鑑於上述的數字資料及合理推斷之下，F22 龍坪及上白田不單只是 F04 石硤尾所毗鄰而又最少人口的選區，而且人口低於許可的幅度，其選區分界必須加以調整。

262(4)

（12）因此，F04 石硤尾選區界線應該與毗鄰 F22 龍坪及上白田一併調整，而不應該與 F25 南山、大坑東及大坑西一併調整。事實上，選管會曾經於 2007 年區議會暫定選區劃界說明中，建議 F22 選區與 F04 選區合併成為一區。

（13）基於上述第（12）段的說明，F22 選區界線需要調整之下，毗鄰 F23 下白田選區亦因此而需要調整。

（14）根據房屋署公布，白田邨第 9,10 及 11 座重建居民將於 2019 年第三季開始調遷到 F23 下白田選區之內，該區人口將會由 16,014 人增加至 20,784 人 (16,014+4470+300)，偏離標準人口基數+25.2%。

（15）基於上述第（14）段情況，既然 F23 亦必須調整選區劃界，好應該覆蓋整個白田邨範圍成為一個選區之內，從而達致顧及社區完整性，這亦正是選管會的工作原則之一。

深水埗區議員 甄啟榮

白田邨居民協會 蕭麗娟

2018年8月21日

[redacted]

電話 TEL：[redacted] 傳真 FAX：[redacted] 電郵 Email：[redacted]

263

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups

反對G19,G20及G21 的選區分界及名稱臨時建議

01/08/2018 22:48

From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

選管會秘書處台鑒

本人[redacted](姓名保密) 反對選管會對於G19-21的選區分界及名稱臨時建議，本人認為選管會
1) 並無考慮社區的獨特性 及 地方聯繫 的維持，這點反映在G20及 G21的分界，海濱南岸在只有7座的情況下被分為2個選區，及
2) 使至 G21 選區相當接近標準人口基數偏離百分比的上限

本人在此反建議如下
1) 有關於G19 選區標準人口基數偏離百分比少於1%，G19 及G20的選區的南面分界應改以望夏街為界，同時，東面應把紫苑苑撥入G19，停橋苑撥入G20,以保持兩者標準人口基數偏離百分比約為+ 5-10%,同時，G19,應有足夠空間納入未來紅磡灣新發展區的人口增長。
2) 同時，重新撥入海濱南岸全屋苑為G20選區，以維持社區完整性；另一方面，使G21人口的標準人口基數偏離百分比維持的 +15%.

此致
[redacted]
1/8/2018

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups

2019年區議會選舉一般選舉建議選區分界意見書(九龍城區)

10/08/2018 13:28

From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

1 個附件檔

WhatsApp Image 2018-08-10 at 12.11.30 PM.jpeg

2019年區議會選舉一般選舉建議選區分界意見書(九龍城區)

根據《選舉管理委員會條例》(第541章)("《選管會條例》")，選管會須根據有關選舉年度內個別選區的預計人口檢視所有選區的現有分界，並對於那些預計人口超出法例許可上限或下限的選區，適當地調整其分界。調整選區分界除了符合法例規定以外，亦要保持社區之完整性，使區議員能在社區真發揮應用的作用。唯本人發現是次九龍城區的太子(G09)、九龍塘(G10)及龍城(G11)選區的一般選舉建議選區分界未有考慮預計人口超出法例許可上限或下限的選區，亦未適時調整其分界，以保持社區之完整性。故特致函 貴會闡述本人對建議選區分界之意見。

首先，九龍塘(G10)選區覆蓋的人口過多，超出法例許可上限， 議員需要照顧的區域過大，未能集中照顧小區內居民。從選管會資料所知，九龍塘選區的預計人口超出法例許可上限22%。實際人口亦有約21000人，是九龍城區之冠。本人認為此劃界對區議員工作造成困難之餘，亦對區內居民並不公平。由於此選區超出法例許可上限22%，議員需要照顧的區域過大，未能集中照顧小區內居民。就以九龍塘選區為例，此選區主要由畢架山、廣播道、九龍仔及蘭開夏道以北一帶獨立屋所組成，但各小區的社區問題及關注點各有不同。例如蘭開夏道以北一帶獨立屋會關注區內校巴引致擠塞問題,畢架山及廣播道居民會關注區內街道衛生問題。選區過大導致一位區議員難以兼顧多個小區的社區問題，故本人將於本意見書稍後部分詳述重劃選區之建議，以保持社區之完整性。

另外，九龍塘(G10)選區的鄰近選區如太子(G09)及龍城(G11)選區的2019年預計人口均低於法例標準約百分之十左右，人口統計數字顯示能加入更多相關之小區，以保持社區之完整性。從選管會資料所知，太子及龍城選區的2019年預計人口均約15000人，相對於九龍塘選區少約四分之一。如是次選舉能對以上三個選區重新劃界，不但能改善區議員的地區工作壓力，也可以保持社區之完整性。例如九龍塘選區近延文禮士道一帶其實較接近九龍城，可改劃入龍城選區，此舉有助居民劃分在相同的社區之中，亦

264(1)

264(2)

有助平均以上三個選區之居住人口數字。從而改善選區過大導致一位區議員難以兼顧多個小區的社區問題。

就此，本人將詳述九龍城區的太子(G09)、九龍塘(G10)及龍城(G11)選區的一般選舉建議選區重劃之新建議，以改善區議員的工作壓力及保持社區之完整性。本人建議將律倫街以南，對衡道以南及蘭開夏道以西的範圍(附圖黃色)撥入太子選區。由於該範圍較接近太子選區，重劃該範圍有助加強和連繫社區的完整性。另外，本人建議將延文禮士道以東、聯合道以西及東寶庭道以北(附圖綠色)一帶範圍，改劃到龍城選區。因該區居民主要到九龍城生活和消遣，加上與九龍塘中心地帶的距離十分遙遠。將該範圍改劃到龍城選區有助減低區議員地區工作壓力和加強保持延文禮士道一帶居民對於社區的完整性。根據本人建議所改劃之選區分界，既能減低區議員地區工作壓力和保持社區的完整性，亦改善這三區2019年至預計人口數字，並讓該三區之人口均符合法例規定。

本人希望 貴會認真考慮落實本人提出之相關建議，以改善九龍城區內居民生活之需要、有利區議會的運作及區議員工作需要。希望貴 會可早日賜覆及落實本人提出之相關建議。如有任何查詢，可致電[redacted]，與本人聯絡。

順祝
鈞安！

公民黨九龍西支部地區發展主任
溫仲然先生
謹啟

2018年8月10日

264(3)



265(1)

煥然壹居業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of 煥然壹居

地址 : 九龍城沐華街3號煥然壹居地下
Address : G/F, Tower H3, No.3 Muk Chui Street, Kowloon City.
電話/Tel : (852) 2673 8573 電郵/Email : 3mukchui@gmail.com

檔案編號 : 3MCS/IO/1/N009/18

選舉管理委員會秘書處
香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊法官，GBS

馮主席 :

有關: 啟德煥然壹居選區分配事宜

煥然壹居業主立案法團就煥然壹居（下稱屋苑）的啟德北（G12）選區分配對屋苑住戶已進行意見調查，綜合意見如下:-

我們知悉就個別需要調整分界的選區，選舉管理委員會（下稱貴會）須依照法定準則和工作原則進行調整。按照法定準則(c)項及工作原則(c)項分別所述，「選管會須顧及社區獨特性及地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展等)」以及「社區獨特性，地方聯繫的維持及/或自然特徵而保持該些選區分界不變等屬調整選區分界的考慮因素。」而我們認為，現時的選區劃界建議有部份地方與以上所引述的法定準則及工作原則有所出入。

社區的獨特性

本屋苑合共有 484 個單位，其中 322 個單位屬資助房屋，屋苑的常住人口約有 1,000 人，人口分佈屬居屋收入以上的市民。本苑的設施和規格屬私人物業，完成補地價後或直接從市區重建局以十足市價購入的單位可以作自由買賣及出租，與本區其餘私人樓宇無異。由於現時的新選區建議將啟晴邨及本屋苑納入為同一個啟德北（G12）選區，我們相信由於居民的生活背景及方式不盡相同，對民生事項的訴求、區內的資源和配套要求亦有差異，使區內缺乏統一性。本屋苑的地理位置鄰近區內其他私人樓宇，與有關私人樓宇的距離（約 75 米）比與啟晴邨的(約 150 米)還要近，而且屋苑性質及類型接近，生活背景及方式較為近似，因此我們認為將本屋苑納入啟德中及南（G14）選區與其他私人樓宇為同一選區更突顯社區獨特性及統一性。同時，我們亦認為因為同樣理由，將德朗邨的其中 3 幢樓宇與區內的其他私人屋苑歸入新的啟德中及南(G14)選區亦影響社區的獨特性及統一性。

265(2)

煥然壹居業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of 煥然壹居

地址 : 九龍城沐華街3號煥然壹居地下
Address : G/F, Tower H3, No.3 Muk Chui Street, Kowloon City
電話/Tel : (852) 2673 8573 電郵/Email : 3mukchui@gmail.com

地方聯繫的維持和區議員的資源分配

根據現有資料，G12 選區的預計人口約 14,000 人，而煥然壹居的常住人口為 1,000 人，只佔選區內的約 5%。由於啟晴邨的人口佔選區內的約 95%人口，獲選的區議員難免會按比例只會分配極少的資源和時間專注為本屋苑居民服務，對本屋苑居民享用社區資源上有所不公。而且若本屋苑在配套和生活上與啟晴邨有所衝突矛盾的時候，我們擔心在選票絕對數量的誘因下，區議員將難以持平為本屋苑調解紛爭及聆聽屋苑居民的訴求。然而，如屋苑獲納入啟德中及南（G14）選區，由於涉及不同私人樓宇，各屋苑的常住人口及選票數量不相伯仲，反而會提供誘因予獲選的區議員公平分配資源及時間。

我們的建議

我們建議將本屋苑與其他私人樓宇納入新的啟德中及南（G14）選區。這方案可以加強啟德北（G12）選區、啟德東（G13）選區及啟德中及南（G14）選區的社區獨立性及平衡資源分配，讓相關區議員更能有效收集一眾區內居民的聲音，產生協同效應。此建議並能予令人口基數偏差的範圍，拉近啟德 3 個選區的平均偏差（高低差由-15.72%到-23.77%收窄為由-17.75%到底-21.72%），我們認為屬一舉多得的方案。

我們懇請 貴會積極考慮此建議，並期待於稍後時間收到你們的回覆。

	EAC's Provisional recommendations			煥然壹居's recommendation	
Code of Constituency	Projected Population	+/- % of Population Quota	Population Quota	Proposed Suggestion	Proposed +/- % of Population Quota
G12	14,068	-15.25%	16,599	Switching 煥然壹居 to G14	13,068 -21.27%
G13	12,993	-21.72%	16,599	No change	12,993 -21.72%
G14	12,653	-23.77%	16,599	Adding 煥然壹居 from G12	13,653 -17.75%

順頌
時祺！

煥然壹居業主立案法團
第一屆管理委員會

The Incorporated Owners of 煥然壹居 業主立案法團

主席 黃宇軒 謹啟
2018 年 8 月 13 日

266

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups

擬議G20選區劃界 (申述人士其後更正選區代號應是G21) 17/08/2018 15:39

From: [redacted]
To: "eacenq@reo.gov.hk" <eacenq@reo.gov.hk>

致：選舉管理委員會秘書處

就 貴處公佈有關2019年區議會選舉編議選區劃界，本人有如下意見：

本人自2007年7月底獲選為紅磡灣區區議員以來，選區涵蓋的範圍及服務對象如現況相若，多年來居民已熟習了尋求當區區議員服務模式。

而海濱南岸自落成以來亦一直屬於黃埔西選區，但按 貴處公佈的方案，則令海濱南岸從一個完整的屋苑分割為兩個選區，有礙選區完整性，更令居民在尋求服務時無所適從，難以識別哪一區屬於哪一個選區；因此，考慮到居民的不便亦對本人服務效率的影響，本人不認同是次選區劃界的安排，冀 貴處保留原有選區的劃界。

如需進一步資料，請隨時與本人（電話：[redacted]）或本處職員[redacted]（電話：[redacted]）聯絡。

九龍城區議員（紅磡灣）
張仁康
2018年8月17日

267

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups

選區改劃 19/08/2018 12:22

From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

本人居住黃埔區，對近期的選區規劃絕不同意。為何將一個屋苑分割兩區，這是擾民，請你們能以市民福址為首要考慮，香港政府部門是服務香港市民，這才是市民繳納稅款的原因!

Sent from my Xperia™ tablet

268

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups

選區選劃意見-黃埔西 19/08/2018 10:38

From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

你好，本人黃埔西選民，意見如下：

1. **反對海濱南單劃分2個投票區域**，共7座但拿走其中2座劃另外一個選區，很難統一管理問題，難道每次屋苑有問題都請兩位議員一起來，忙要攻他們的時間，唔混就派個二打六助理來（黃埔東選區便如是，某舉貴議員大小事都唔會來，來也只為影相）。
2. 分割屋苑是不智的做法，也分化屋苑內居民，一個議員解決了共5座的問題，而另外2座未解決，你就是否會有更多的矛盾？選管會不要搬這個屋苑，你們目的是什麼？好好地無問題要搬分化，人口也跟之前差不多，多餘想法。請用心並真心為市民服務。

謝謝
海濱南岸居民

269

劉偉榮 信箋

銅紫荊星章、太平紳士

聯絡地址: [redacted]
電話: [redacted] 傳真: [redacted]

致：選舉事務處
總選舉事務主任
黃思文先生

有關２０１９年區議會選舉選區劃界事宜

本人對２０１９年黃埔西選區新劃界方案，表示支持。

此致

劉偉榮

2018年8月20日

270

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups

關於2018年區議會選區分界的意見-G15區 20/08/2018 14:29

From: [redacted]
To: "eacenq@reo.gov.hk" <eacenq@reo.gov.hk>
Please respond to Peter [redacted]

選舉管理委員會：

你好!關於2019年區議會一般選舉，區議會選區分界的公眾諮詢，本人同意 貴會關於九龍城區劃界的建議，特別是G15海心區按2015年的原區界維持不變的建議。

潘國華
2018年8月20日

271

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups

劃區 20/08/2018 16:55

From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

本人居住海濱南岸，十分支持政府這次規劃，只要做足資料發佈，清楚地解釋給市民，我覺得可行。

272

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups

規劃

20/08/2018 16:58

From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

我住在海濱南岸一座，我是支持是次劃區，來平衡每區的人口分佈，而且只隔一條馬路，我覺得沒有問題。

273

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups

就2019年區議會選舉選區分界建議提供意見

21/08/2018 10:16

From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

選舉管理委員會（選管會）現正就2019年區議會選舉選區分界建議進行諮詢，其中選管會建議將原在黃埔西選區內的海濱南岸第5及6座剔走，加入紅磡灣選區。我們對此表示強烈反對，反對原因有3點:

第一：破壞屋苑住戶聯繫

海濱南岸為一所擁有7座樓宇的私人屋苑，可是選管會建議海濱南岸第五及六座剔出黃埔西選區，令少部分居民被「踢走」於黃埔西選區之外。日後會出現同一屋苑的住戶即使面對同一個社區問題，卻要向兩個不同的區議員反映意見，難以聯繫屋苑內其他座數住戶向單一區議員表達意見，集中處理問題。

第二：破壞社區完整性

選管會將只佔海濱南岸整體面積2成多的第5及6座剔出黃埔西選區，將令黃埔西選區形狀更為「畸形」，除大型屋苑如黃埔花園、大古城和美孚新邨外，選管會過去規劃選區多數以整個私人屋苑範圍作為基礎規劃選區範圍，以現時紅磡灣選區為例，選區便包括整個紅磡灣中心及整個半島豪庭等等中型屋苑，便利居民和區議員就整體屋苑的社區事務和發展交流意見。選管會如果將佔整個屋苑面積少於3成的海濱南岸第5及6座改劃到其他選區，此絕對是錯誤的決定，破壞屋苑社區發展及令屋苑居民產生對選區範圍混淆。

第三：人口錯配極度擾民

根據選管會諮詢文件內的法定準則，任何一個選區的人口不應超出20,749人。但根據選管會的預測，改劃後的紅磡灣選區人口達20,510人，極為接近法定可容許人口上限。隨著人口自然增長，紅磡灣選區人口勢必超過法定上限，2019年區選後極大可能須再次重劃紅磡灣選區，極度擾民。

根據選管會的數據，若黃埔西選區保留海濱南岸第五及六座，其人口達20,658人，但仍未超出法定上限。另黃埔東選區人口只有16,629人，遠未觸及法定上限。因此，與其將海濱南岸「斬件」，將人口數字超標的危機由黃埔西選區推給同樣人口稠密的紅磡灣選區，我們建議選管會於2019年區議會黃埔西選區劃界中保留整個海濱南岸屋苑範圍，避免破壞海濱南岸完整性和紅磡灣選區於2023年再劃區的風險。

選管會於2019年後可再根據該區域人口流動情況，探討於2023年區議會劃界時將屋苑人口約1,000人的維港．星岸改劃去人口不足17,000人的黃埔東選區。將維港．星岸改劃入黃埔東選區後，相鄰三個選區的大小將與現時相若，及黃埔東住宅土地發展已飽和的情況下，將維港．星岸劃入黃埔東範圍有助平衡紅磡灣及黃埔西預計人口增長，並讓三個選區符合選管會標準人口基數要求，符合選管會的法定準則及工作原則。

--
Best regards,
Adrian Lu

274

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups

2019年區議會選舉選區分界意見書

21/08/2018 10:20

From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

敬啟者：

選舉管理委員會（選管會）現正就2019年區議會選舉選區分界建議進行諮詢，其中選管會建議將原在黃埔西選區內的海濱南岸第5及6座剔走，加入紅磡灣選區。本人對此表示強烈反對，反對原因有以下三點:

第一：破壞屋苑住戶聯繫

海濱南岸為一所擁有7座樓宇的私人屋苑，可是選管會建議海濱南岸第五及六座剔出黃埔西選區，令少部分居民被「踢走」於黃埔西選區之外。日後會出現同一屋苑的住戶即使面對同一個社區問題，卻要向兩個不同的區議員反映意見，難以聯繫屋苑內其他座數住戶向單一區議員表達意見，集中處理問題。

第二：破壞社區完整性

選管會將只佔海濱南岸整體面積2成多的第5及6座剔出黃埔西選區，將令黃埔西選區形狀更為「畸形」。除大型屋苑如黃埔花園、大古城和美孚新邨外，選管會過去規劃選區多數以整個私人屋苑範圍作為基礎規劃選區範圍，以現時紅磡灣選區為例，選區便包括整個紅磡灣中心及整個半島豪庭等等中型屋苑，便利居民和區議員就整體屋苑的社區事務和發展交流意見。選管會如果將佔整個屋苑面積少於3成的海濱南岸第5及6座改劃到其他選區，此絕對是錯誤的決定，破壞屋苑社區發展及令屋苑居民產生對選區範圍混淆。

第三：人口錯配極度擾民

根據選管會諮詢文件內的法定準則，任何一個選區的人口不應超出20,749人。但根據選管會的預測，改劃後的紅磡灣選區人口達20,510人，極為接近法定可容許人口上限。隨著人口自然增長，紅磡灣選區人口勢必超過法定上限，2019年區選後極大可能須再次重劃紅磡灣選區，極度擾民。

根據選管會的數據，若黃埔西選區保留海濱南岸第五及六座，其人口達20,658人，但仍未超出法定上限。另黃埔東選區人口只有16,629人，遠未觸及法定上限。因此，與其將海濱南岸「斬件」，將人口數字超標的危機由黃埔西選區推給同樣人口稠密的紅磡灣選區，我們建議選管會於2019年區議會黃埔西選區劃界中保留整個海濱南岸屋苑範圍，避免破壞海濱南岸完整性和紅磡灣選區於2023年再劃區的風險。

選管會於2019年後可再根據該區域人口流動情況，探討於2023年區議會劃界時將屋苑人口約1,000人的維港．星岸改劃去人口不足17,000人的黃埔東選區。將維港．星岸改劃入黃埔東選區後，相鄰三個選區的大小將與現時相若，及黃埔東住宅土地發展已飽和的情況下，將維港．星岸劃入黃埔東範圍有助平衡紅磡灣及黃埔西預計人口增長，並讓三個選區符合選管會標準人口基數要求，符合選管會的法定準則及工作原則。

市民
劉小姐

275

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups

選舉選區分界建議

21/08/2018 10:33

From: [redacted]
To: "eacenq@reo.gov.hk" <eacenq@reo.gov.hk>

選舉管理委員會（選管會）現正就2019年區議會選舉選區分界建議進行諮詢，其中選管會建議將原在黃埔西選區內的海濱南岸第5及6座剔走，加入紅磡灣選區。本人對此表示強烈反對，反對原因有3點:

第一：破壞屋苑住戶聯繫

海濱南岸為一所擁有7座樓宇的私人屋苑，可是選管會建議海濱南岸第五及六座剔出黃埔西選區，令少部分居民被「踢走」於黃埔西選區之外。日後會出現同一屋苑的住戶即使面對同一個社區問題，卻要向兩個不同的區議員反映意見，難以聯繫屋苑內其他座數住戶向單一區議員表達意見，集中處理問題。

第二：破壞社區完整性

選管會將只佔海濱南岸整體面積2成多的第5及6座剔出黃埔西選區，將令黃埔西選區形狀更為「畸形」。除大型屋苑如黃埔花園、大古城和美孚新邨外，選管會過去規劃選區多數以整個私人屋苑範圍作為基礎規劃選區範圍，以現時紅磡灣選區為例，選區便包括整個紅磡灣中心及整個半島豪庭等等中型屋苑，便利居民和區議員就整體屋苑的社區事務和發展交流意見。選管會如果將佔整個屋苑面積少於3成的海濱南岸第5及6座改劃到其他選區，此絕對是錯誤的決定，破壞屋苑社區發展及令屋苑居民產生對選區範圍混淆。

第三：人口錯配極度擾民

根據選管會諮詢文件內的法定準則，任何一個選區的人口不應超出20,749人。但根據選管會的預測，改劃後的紅磡灣選區人口達20,510人，極為接近法定可容許人口上限。隨著人口自然增長，紅磡灣選區人口勢必超過法定上限，2019年區選後極大可能須再次重劃紅磡灣選區，極度擾民。

根據選管會的數據，若黃埔西選區保留海濱南岸第五及六座，其人口達20,658人，但仍未超出法定上限。另黃埔東選區人口只有16,629人，遠未觸及法定上限。因此，與其將海濱南岸「斬件」，將人口數字超標的危機由黃埔西選區推給同樣人口稠密的紅磡灣選區，我們建議選管會於2019年區議會黃埔西選區劃界中保留整個海濱南岸屋苑範圍，避免破壞海濱南岸完整性和紅磡灣選區於2023年再劃區的風險。

選管會於2019年後可再根據該區域人口流動情況，探討於2023年區議會劃界時將屋苑人口約1,000人的維港．星岸改劃去人口不足17,000人的黃埔東選區。將維港．星岸改劃入黃埔東選區後，相鄰三個選區的大小將與現時相若，及黃埔東住宅土地發展已飽和的情況下，將維港．星岸劃入黃埔東範圍有助平衡紅磡灣及黃埔西預計人口增長，並讓三個選區符合選管會標準人口基數要求，符合選管會的法定準則及工作原則。

276

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups

有關2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界建議之意見

21/08/2018 12:13

From: [redacted]
To: "eacenq@reo.gov.hk" <eacenq@reo.gov.hk>

選舉管理委員會（選管會）現正就2019年區議會選舉選區分界建議進行諮詢，其中選管會建議將原在黃埔西選區內的海濱南岸第5及6座剔走，加入紅磡灣選區。我對此表示強烈反對，反對原因有3點:

第一：破壞屋苑住戶聚繫

海濱南岸為一所擁有7座樓宇的私人屋苑，可是選管會建議海濱南岸第五及六座剔出黃埔西選區，令少部分居民被「踢走」於黃埔西選區之外。日後會出現同一屋苑的住戶即使面對同一個社區問題，卻要向兩個不同的區議員反映意見，難以聚繫屋苑內其他座數住戶向單一區議員表達意見，集中處理問題。

第二：破壞社區完整性

選管會將只佔海濱南岸整體面積2成多的第5及6座剔出黃埔西選區，將令黃埔西選區形狀更為「畸形」。除大型屋苑如黃埔花園、大古城和美孚新邨外，選管會過去規劃選區多數以整個私人屋苑範圍作為基礎規劃選區範圍，以現時紅磡灣選區為例，選區便包括整個紅磡灣中心及整個半島豪庭等等中型屋苑，便利居民和區議員就整體屋苑的社區事務和發展交流意見。選管會如果將佔整個屋苑面積少於3成的海濱南岸第5及6座改劃到其他選區，此絕對是錯誤的決定，破壞屋苑社區發展及令屋苑居民產生對選區範圍混淆。

第三：人口錯配極度擾民

根據選管會諮詢文件內的法定準則，任何一個選區的人口不應超出20,749人。但根據選管會的預測，改劃後的紅磡灣選區人口達20,510人，極為接近法定可容許人口上限。隨著人口自然增長，紅磡灣選區人口勢必超過法定上限，2019年區選後極大可能須再次重劃紅磡灣選區，極度擾民。

根據選管會的數據，若黃埔西選區保留海濱南岸第五及六座，其人口達20,658人，但仍未超出法定上限。另黃埔東選區人口只有16,629人，遠未觸及法定上限。因此，與其將海濱南岸「斬件」，將人口數字超標的危機由黃埔西選區推給同樣人口稠密的紅磡灣選區，我們建議選管會於2019年區議會黃埔西選區劃界中保留整個海濱南岸屋苑範圍，避免破壞海濱南岸完整性和紅磡灣選區於2023年再劃區的風險。

選管會於2019年後可再根據該區域人口流動情況，探討於2023年區議會劃界時將屋苑人口約1,000人的維港．星岸改劃去人口不足17,000人的黃埔東選區。將維港．星岸改劃入黃埔東選區後，相鄰三個選區的大小將與現時相若，及黃埔東住宅土地發展已飽和的情況下，將維港．星岸劃入黃埔東範圍有助平衡紅磡灣及黃埔西預計人口增長，並讓三個選區符合選管會標準人口基數要求，符合選管會的法定準則及工作原則。

Get Outlook for iOS

277

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups

反對黃埔西選區改劃

21/08/2018 12:21

From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

選舉管理委員會（選管會）現正就2019年區議會選舉選區分界建議進行諮詢，其中選管會建議將原在黃埔西選區內的海濱南岸第5及6座剔走，加入紅磡灣選區，我們對此表示強烈反對，反對原因有3點:

第一：破壞屋苑住戶聚繫

海濱南岸為一所擁有7座樓宇的私人屋苑，可是選管會建議海濱南岸第五及六座剔出黃埔西選區，令少部分居民被「踢走」於黃埔西選區之外，日後會出現同一屋苑的住戶即使面對同一個社區問題，卻要向兩個不同的區議員反映意見，難以聚繫屋苑內其他座數住戶向單一區議員表達意見，集中處理問題。

第二：破壞社區完整性

選管會將只佔海濱南岸整體面積2成多的第5及6座剔出黃埔西選區，將令黃埔西選區形狀更為「畸形」，除大型屋苑如黃埔花園、大古城和美孚新邨外，選管會過去規劃選區多數以整個私人屋苑範圍作為基礎規劃選區範圍，以現時紅磡灣選區為例，選區便包括整個紅磡灣中心及整個半島豪庭等等中型屋苑，便利居民和區議員就整體屋苑的社區事務和發展交流意見，選管會如果將佔整個屋苑面積少於3成的海濱南岸第5及6座改劃到其他選區，此絕對是錯誤的決定，破壞屋苑社區發展及令屋苑居民產生對選區範圍混淆。

第三：人口錯配極度擾民

根據選管會諮詢文件內的法定準則，任何一個選區的人口不應超出20,749人，但根據選管會的預測，改劃後的紅磡灣選區人口達20,510人，極為接近法定可容許人口上限，隨著人口自然增長，紅磡灣選區人口勢必超過法定上限，2019年區選後極大可能須再次重劃紅磡灣選區，極度擾民。

根據選管會的數據，若黃埔西選區保留海濱南岸第五及六座，其人口達20,658人，但仍未超出法定上限。另黃埔東選區人口只有16,629人，遠未觸及法定上限。因此，與其將海濱南岸「斬件」，將人口數字超標的危機由黃埔西選區推給同樣人口稠密的紅磡灣選區，我們建議選管會於2019年區議會黃埔西選區劃界中保留整個海濱南岸屋苑範圍，避免破壞海濱南岸完整性和紅磡灣選區於2023年再劃區的風險。

選管會於2019年後可再根據該區域人口流動情況，探討於2023年區議會劃界時將屋苑人口約1,000人的維港．星岸改劃去人口不足17,000人的黃埔東選區。將維港．星岸改劃入黃埔東選區後，相鄰三個選區的大小將與現時相若，及黃埔東住宅土地發展已飽和的情況下，將維港．星岸劃入黃埔東範圍有助平衡紅磡灣及黃埔西預計人口增長，並讓三個選區符合選管會標準人口基數要求，符合選管會的法定準則及工作原則。

傳送自 Android 上的 Yahoo Mail

278

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups

2019年區議會選舉選區分界建議諮詢

21/08/2018 12:21

From: [redacted]
To: "eacenq@reo.gov.hk" <eacenq@reo.gov.hk>
Please respond to [redacted]

敬啟者:

選舉管理委員會（選管會）現正就2019年區議會選舉選區分界建議進行諮詢，其中選管會建議將原在黃埔西選區內的海濱南岸第5及6座剔走，加入紅磡灣選區。我對此表示強烈反對，反對原因有3點:

第一：破壞屋苑住戶聚繫

海濱南岸為一所擁有7座樓宇的私人屋苑，可是選管會建議海濱南岸第五及六座剔出黃埔西選區，令少部分居民被「踢走」於黃埔西選區之外，日後會出現同一屋苑的住戶即使面對同一個社區問題，卻要向兩個不同的區議員反映意見，難以聚繫屋苑內其他座數住戶向單一區議員表達意見，集中處理問題。

第二：破壞社區完整性

選管會將只佔海濱南岸整體面積2成多的第5及6座剔出黃埔西選區，將令黃埔西選區形狀更為「畸形」。除大型屋苑如黃埔花園、大古城和美孚新邨外，選管會過去規劃選區多數以整個私人屋苑範圍作為基礎規劃選區範圍，以現時紅磡灣選區為例，選區便包括整個紅磡灣中心及整個半島豪庭等等中型屋苑，便利居民和區議員就整體屋苑的社區事務和發展交流意見。選管會如果將佔整個屋苑面積少於3成的海濱南岸第5及6座改劃到其他選區，此絕對是錯誤的決定，破壞屋苑社區發展及令屋苑居民產生對選區範圍混淆。

第三：人口錯配極度擾民

根據選管會諮詢文件內的法定準則，任何一個選區的人口不應超出20,749人。但根據選管會的預測，改劃後的紅磡灣選區人口達20,510人，極為接近法定可容許人口上限。隨著人口自然增長，紅磡灣選區人口勢必超過法定上限，2019年區選後極大可能須再次重劃紅磡灣選區，極度擾民。

根據選管會的數據，若黃埔西選區保留海濱南岸第五及六座，其人口達20,658人，但仍未超出法定上限。另黃埔東選區人口只有16,629人，遠未觸及法定上限。因此，與其將海濱南岸「斬件」，將人口數字超標的危機由黃埔西選區推給同樣人口稠密的紅磡灣選區，我們建議選管會於2019年區議會黃埔西選區劃界中保留整個海濱南岸屋苑範圍，避免破壞海濱南岸完整性和紅磡灣選區於2023年再劃區的風險。

選管會於2019年後可再根據該區域人口流動情況，探討於2023年區議會劃界時將屋苑人口約1,000人的維港．星岸改劃去人口不足17,000人的黃埔東選區。將維港．星岸改劃入黃埔東選區後，相鄰三個選區的大小將與現時相若，及黃埔東住宅土地發展已飽和的情況下，將維港．星岸劃入黃埔東範圍有助平衡紅磡灣及黃埔西預計人口增長，並讓三個選區符合選管會標準人口基數要求，符合選管會的法定準則及工作原則。

選民
葉先生

傳送自 Android 上的 Yahoo Mail

279

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups

紅土家對黃埔西，紅磡灣選區改劃的意見

21/08/2018 12:25

From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

敬啟者：

我們是紮根於紅磡，土瓜灣的社區組織紅土家。選舉管理委員會（選管會）現正就2019年區議會選舉選區分界建議進行諮詢，其中選管會建議將原在黃埔西選區內的海濱南岸第5及6座剔走，加入紅磡灣選區，我們對此表示強烈反對，反對原因有3點:

第一：破壞屋苑住戶聚繫

海濱南岸為一所擁有7座樓宇的私人屋苑，可是選管會建議海濱南岸第五及六座剔出黃埔西選區，令少部分居民被「踢走」於黃埔西選區之外，日後會出現同一屋苑的住戶即使面對同一個社區問題，卻要向兩個不同的區議員反映意見，難以聚繫屋苑內其他座數住戶向單一區議員表達意見，集中處理問題。

第二：破壞社區完整性

選管會將只佔海濱南岸整體面積2成多的第5及6座剔出黃埔西選區，將令黃埔西選區形狀更為「畸形」，除大型屋苑如黃埔花園、大古城和美孚新邨外，選管會過去規劃選區多數以整個私人屋苑範圍作為基礎規劃選區範圍，以現時紅磡灣選區為例，選區便包括整個紅磡灣中心及整個半島豪庭等等中型屋苑，便利居民和區議員就整體屋苑的社區事務和發展交流意見。選管會如果將佔整個屋苑面積少於3成的海濱南岸第5及6座改劃到其他選區，此絕對是錯誤的決定，破壞屋苑社區發展及令屋苑居民產生對選區範圍混淆。

第三：人口錯配極度擾民

根據選管會諮詢文件內的法定準則，任何一個選區的人口不應超出20,749人，但根據選管會的預測，改劃後的紅磡灣選區人口達20,510人，極為接近法定可容許人口上限，隨著人口自然增長，紅磡灣選區人口勢必超過法定上限，2019年區選後極大可能須再次重劃紅磡灣選區，極度擾民。

根據選管會的數據，若黃埔西選區保留海濱南岸第五及六座，其人口達20,658人，但仍未超出法定上限。另黃埔東選區人口只有16,629人，遠未觸及法定上限。因此，與其將海濱南岸「斬件」，將人口數字超標的危機由黃埔西選區推給同樣人口稠密的紅磡灣選區，我們建議選管會於2019年區議會黃埔西選區劃界中保留整個海濱南岸屋苑範圍，避免破壞海濱南岸完整性和紅磡灣選區於2023年再劃區的風險。

選管會於2019年後可再根據該區域人口流動情況，探討於2023年區議會劃界時將屋苑人口約1,000人的維港．星岸改劃去人口不足17,000人的黃埔東選區，將維港．星岸改劃入黃埔東選區後，相鄰三個選區的大小將與現時相若，及黃埔東住宅土地發展已飽和的情況下，將維港．星岸劃入黃埔東範圍有助平衡紅磡灣及黃埔西預計人口增長，並讓三個選區符合選管會標準人口基數要求，符合選管會的法定準則及工作原則。

280

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups

反對重劃黃埔西及紅磡灣選區

21/08/2018 13:02

From: [redacted]
To: "eacenq@reo.gov.hk" <eacenq@reo.gov.hk>

選舉管理委員會（選管會）現正就2019年區議會選舉選區分界建議進行諮詢，其中選管會建議將原在黃埔西選區內的海濱南岸第5及6座剔走，加入紅磡灣選區。我對此表示強烈反對，反對原因有3點：

第一：破壞屋苑住戶聯繫

海濱南岸為一所擁有7座樓宇的私人屋苑，可是選管會建議海濱南岸第五及六座剔出黃埔西選區，令少部分居民被「踢走」於黃埔西選區之外。日後會出現同一屋苑的住戶即使面對同一個社區問題，卻要向兩個不同的區議員反映意見，難以聯繫屋苑內其他座數住戶向單一區議員表達意見，集中處理問題。

第二：破壞社區完整性

選管會將只佔海濱南岸整體面積2成多的第5及6座剔出黃埔西選區，將令黃埔西選區形狀更為「畸形」。除大型屋苑如黃埔花園、大古城和美孚新邨外，選管會過去規劃選區多數以整個私人屋苑範圍作為基礎規劃選區範圍，以現時紅磡灣選區為例，選區便包括整個紅磡灣中心及整個半島豪庭等等中型屋苑，便利居民和區議員就整體屋苑的社區事務和發展交流意見。選管會如果將佔整個屋苑面積少於3成的海濱南岸第5及6座改劃到其他選區，此絕對是錯誤的決定，破壞屋苑社區發展及令屋苑居民產生對選區範圍混淆。

第三：人口錯配極度擾民

根據選管會諮詢文件內的法定準則，任何一個選區的人口不應超出20,749人。但根據選管會的預測，改劃後的紅磡灣選區人口達20,510人，極為接近法定可容許人口上限。隨著人口自然增長，紅磡灣選區人口勢必超過法定上限，2019年區選後極大可能須再次重劃紅磡灣選區，極度擾民。

根據選管會的數據，若黃埔西選區保留海濱南岸第五及六座，其人口達20,658人，但仍未超出法定上限。另黃埔東選區人口只有16,629人，遠未觸及法定上限。因此，與其將海濱南岸「斬件」，將人口數字超標的危機由黃埔西選區推給同樣人口稠密的紅磡灣選區，我們建議選管會於2019年區議會黃埔西選區劃界中保留整個海濱南岸屋苑範圍，避免破壞海濱南岸完整性和紅磡灣選區於2023年再劃區的風險。

選管會於2019年後可再根據該區域人口流動情況，探討於2023年區議會劃界時將屋苑人口約1,000人的維港．星岸改劃去人口不足17,000人的黃埔東選區。將維港．星岸改劃入黃埔東選區後，相鄰三個選區的大小將與現時相若，及黃埔東住宅土地發展已飽和的情況下，將維港．星岸劃入黃埔東範圍有助平衡紅磡灣及黃埔西預計人口增長，並讓三個選區符合選管會標準人口基數要求，符合選管會的法定準則及工作原則。

Calvin

281(1)

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups

意見申述 -《2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議》

21/08/2018 18:20

From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
Sent by: [redacted]

敬啟者：

選舉管理委員會（選管會）於2018年7月公佈題為《2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議》之諮詢文件，當中提出改動九龍城區議會轄下黃埔西選區(G19)及紅磡灣選區(G20)的選區分界。本人選管會的改動建議，即將原在黃埔西選區內的海濱南岸第5及6座改劃加入紅磡灣選區的建議表示強烈反對，反對原因有3點：

第一：破壞屋苑住戶聯繫

海濱南岸為一所擁有7座樓宇的私人屋苑，可是選管會建議海濱南岸第五及六座剔出黃埔西選區，令少部分居民被「踢走」於黃埔西選區之外。日後會出現同一屋苑的住戶即使面對同一個社區問題，卻要向兩個不同的區議員反映意見，難以聯繫屋苑內其他座數住戶向單一區議員表達意見，集中處理問題。

第二：破壞社區完整性

選管會將只佔海濱南岸整體面積2成多的第5及6座剔出黃埔西選區，將令黃埔西選區形狀更為「畸形」。除大型屋苑如黃埔花園、大古城和美孚新邨外，選管會過去規劃選區多數以整個私人屋苑範圍作為基礎以規劃選區範圍，以現時紅磡灣選區為例，選區便包括整個紅磡灣中心及整個半島豪庭等等中型屋苑，便利居民和區議員就整體屋苑的社區事務和發展交流意見。選管會如果將佔整個屋苑面積少於3成的海濱南岸第5及6座改劃到其他選區，此絕對是錯誤的決定，破壞屋苑社區發展及令屋苑居民產生對選區範圍混淆。

第三：人口錯配極度擾民

根據選管會諮詢文件內的法定準則，任何一個選區的人口不應超出20,749人。但根據選管會的預測，改劃後的紅磡灣選區人口達20,510人，極為接近法定可容許人口上限。隨著人口自然增長，紅磡灣選區人口勢必超過法定上限，2019年區選後極大可能須再次重劃紅磡灣選區，極度擾民。

根據選管會的數據，若黃埔西選區保留海濱南岸第五及六座，其人口達20,658人，但仍未超出法定上限。另黃埔東選區人口只有16,629人，遠未觸及法定上限。因此，與其將海濱南岸「斬件」，將人口數字超標的危機由黃埔西選區推給同樣人口稠密的紅磡灣選區，我們建議選管會於2019年區議會黃埔西選區劃界中保留整個海濱南岸屋苑範圍，避免破壞海濱南岸完整性和紅磡灣選區於2023年再劃區的風險。

選管會於2019年後可再根據該區域人口流動情況，探討於2023年區議會劃界時將屋苑人口約1,000人的屋苑「維港．星岸」改劃去人口不足17,000人的黃埔東選區(G18)。將維港．星岸改劃入黃埔東選區後，相鄰三個選區的大小將與現時相若，及黃埔東住宅土地發展已飽和的情況下，將維港．星岸劃入黃埔東範圍有助平衡紅磡灣及黃埔西預計人口增長，並讓三個選區符合選管會標準人口基數要求，符合選管會的法定準則及工作原則。

綜合以上三點，現時諮詢文件中提及改動黃埔西選區及紅磡灣選區的選區分界的建議只是將黃埔西選區人口數字超標的危機推給同樣人口稠密的紅磡灣選區，既無法解決此兩區的人口數字面臨超標的問題，亦破壞海濱南岸住戶的社區聯繫。既然重劃建議只是解決選區人口數字超標的權宜方案，本人敦請選管會以維繫海濱南岸社區完整性為最大考量，擱置於2019年區議會黃埔西選區劃界中將海濱南岸第5及6座改劃加入紅

281(2)

磡灣選區，再於2019年後根據該區域人口流動情況，探討於2023年區議會劃界時將維港．星岸改劃入黃埔東選區。

此致

選舉管理委員會

鄺保賢醫生

2018年8月21日

鄺保賢區議員辦事處｜九龍城區議會
Office of Dr. Kwong Po Yin, Kowloon City District Council

紅磡[redacted] Hung Hom
Tel: (852)[redacted] Fax: (852)[redacted]
Facebook page: [redacted]

282

更改區議會選區
31/07/2018 16:34
Hide Details
From: [redacted]

To: "eacenq@reo.gov.hk" <eacenq@reo.gov.hk>

致選舉管理委員會秘書署,

1) 本人是九龍城沙浦道83號御豪門其中一位業主。根據本人擁有的地契顯示, 以上地址是屬九龍城區. 因此本人有理據相信, 應將上述地址納入九龍城選區。現時把地址納入黃大仙區, 是完全漠視地契的權威和作用.

2)將沙浦道83號納入黃大仙區, 是無理剝奪住在上址的市民應有的權利, 完全違反平等機會和公平公正的原則。為甚麼其他九龍城沙浦道的居民是屬於九龍城區議會選區, 唯獨沙浦道83號卻納入黃大仙區?

3)在1982年劃分地方行政區時, 沙浦道83號只是一片空地, 所以沒有人提出反對。而現在上述地址已建有一幢住着數百市民的大廈, 所以當時的劃分方法在今時今日已經不合時宜了。

基於上述三個理由, 本人現要求應將上述地址重新納入九龍城區議會選區.

謝謝.

小市民

陳俊銘謹上
(電郵地址: [redacted])

31-Jul-2018 22:36 From: [redacted] To: 25111682

283(1)

香港灣仔
港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會秘書處

選舉管理委員會
秘書處秘書處 主席:

2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議公眾意見

本人從新聞上得悉 貴會現就 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議進行公眾諮詢活動。本人現就黃大仙區慈雲山正愛選區分界提出以下的意見。

1. 減低選民投票意欲

根據 2015 年區議會換屆選舉資料，竹園北的票站設於竹園體育館，而正愛區的票站則設於慈愛苑三期肖霞幼稚園。沙田坳邨與竹園體育館及肖霞幼稚園的步行距離分別為 800 米及 400 米，兩者距離相差一倍。選民若要前往竹園體育館，須途經斜度達 1：5 的沙田坳道；相反，選民只須途經平路的慈雲山道，則能到達肖霞幼稚園。從票站的地理位置而言，肖霞幼稚園較能增加選民投票意欲，鼓勵他們履行公民責任、參與選舉投票，建設公民社會。

2. 非主要支援角色

沙田坳邨居民主要是利用慈雲山區的民生設施，例如街市、銀行、公共交通工具、郵局、圖書館等，多於竹園區的設施。此外，根據房委會資料顯示，沙田坳邨由慈正邨屋邨辦事處一併管理。若租戶有任何租務問題，前往的是慈正邨辦事處，並非竹園南邨辦事處。由見可見，在黃大仙北分區的社區規劃上，早已劃定慈雲山為沙田坳邨的後援基地，若將沙田坳邨劃入竹園北的範圍內，並不合乎現時沙田坳邨居民的生活習慣，並不

31-JUL-2018 22:58 87% P.001

31-Jul-2018 22:36 From: [redacted] To: 25111682

283(2)

乎合乎當區情況。

3. 影響區議會對沙田坳邨的角色

現時沙田坳邨居民若有任何問題，主要是向正愛區議員尋求幫忙，例如早年該區議員曾積極處理手機訊號塔輻射問題，該區議員亦定期於沙田坳邨舉辦活動或講座，聯繫沙田坳邨居民，沙田坳邨居民亦早已習慣，當出現任何問題時，向正愛區議員尋求協助。若於此時將沙田坳邨劃分予竹園北，不論是兩區區議員、或沙田坳邨居民，亦要重新適應新的社區模式，對居民造成一定的不便或障礙。

4. 日後亦須重劃選區

前發展局局長陳茂波曾發表網誌，表示政府有意搬遷沙田坳邨附近的鑽石山食水及海水配水庫，並騰出 4 公頃的土地，預期興建 2500 個單位，供超過 6000 人居住。此外，於可立小學對面的一幅空地，亦已獲區議會同意興建海關職員宿舍。因此，預期在未來十年，慈雲山區將增加接近 7000 名居民，以此次電新規定選民的原則，日後勢必大規模地重劃選區。因此，現時並未有急切性及必要性重劃選舉。政府可藉未來數年，與慈雲山區不同持分者仔細研究，制訂合適的劃界建議，以有利慈雲山區的發展。

本人希望 貴會能認真考慮上述意見，並以當區居民、社區發展及推動公民社會為主要大原則，重新審視有關的建議。

慈雲山區居民 袁先生

31-JUL-2018 22:59 87% P.002

284(1)

竹園北邨業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Chuk Yuen (North) Estate

法團檔號：CYN/18/IO/074

傳真號碼：2511 1682

香港灣仔
港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會秘書處

《2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議》諮詢市民意見
有關 H15 竹園北選區分界事宜

本人為竹園北邨業主立案法團主席，現來函就最新選區分界建議反映意見，詳情如下：

根據選舉管理委員會(下稱：選管會)就 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界的臨時建議，沙田坳邨將轉編入本選區(H15 竹園北)，本人認為該建議弊多於利，深感選管會只為符合法例許可人口因素而作出劃分，除沒有認真考慮到區議員能否為選區居民作出適切的服務，更沒有考慮到選區的實際地理環境因素。對於沙田坳邨的居民亦極不公平，從選管會標榜考慮前提的「交通方便程度」來看，本邨與沙田坳邨相距 1.4 公里的斜坡，須時約 30 分鐘才能到達，沙田坳邨居民前來本邨尋求區議員服務絕不便利；即使設有專線小巴往來，亦無疑增加了居民不必要的經濟負擔。因此，本人認為該建議並不適合。

誠然，本法團早於 2011 年已去信選管會，反映竹園北邨選區分界的意見。竹園北邨作為一個租置計劃屋邨，共有 8 座樓宇，人口基數理應符合成為獨立選區，可惜選區分界卻偏強行將其中 2 座樓宇劃入「翠竹及鵬程」選區，嚴重破壞竹園北邨居民的獨特性、凝聚力及歸屬感。對於選管會的安排，本邨居民當年已極為不滿。

豈料是次選區分界建議，又再次發生不合適的改動。將不鄰近竹園北邨的沙田坳邨納入同一選區，實在未有深入考慮到區域的自然特徵及社區發展的因素。就以「搬遷鑽石山食水及海水配水庫往岩洞可行性研究」議題上，沙田坳邨居民與竹園北邨居民在見解及爭取考慮上明顯存在差異；面對同一選區內兩種截然不同的意向考慮，作為當區區議員實在難以妥善平衡各方利益及意見。

九龍黃大仙竹園北邨榕園樓地下
G/F, Yung Yuen House, Chuk Yuen (North) Estate, Wong Tai Sin, Kowloon
電話 Telephone：2320 2170

284(2)

竹園北邨業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Chuk Yuen (North) Estate

再者，按照選管會提倡分界會盡量保持不變的考慮，如是次因應 H18(正安)及 H19(慈雲東)兩個選區預計人口超出法例許可上限，而涉及五個選區的分界改動，未免有違選管會的提倡；根據「黃大仙區的臨時建議概要」，鄰近 H19(慈雲東)的 H05(鳳德)選區，標準人口基數偏離百分比為-5.98%，在各個考慮因素包括人口基數、交通便利、發展及減少選區分界改動等，明顯更適合納入是次的選區分界改動的考慮範圍內，從而令受影響的選區分界從五個縮減至三個。

對於選管會有關 H15 竹園北選區的分界建議，本法團特此表示強烈不滿及反對。議員服務市民是以人為本，而選區劃界是以服務為本，而　貴會只以人口限制劃分，完全不考慮地理限制及服務存在對立因素，有違區議員服務市民的本質。因此，我們要求撤回有關劃界建議。

竹園北邨業主立案法團
第八屆管理委員會
主席　温育新

2018 年 8 月 1 日

九龍黃大仙竹園北邨榕園樓地下
G/F, Yung Yuen House, Chuk Yuen (North) Estate, Wong Tai Sin, Kowloon
電話 Telephone：2320 2170

285(1)

選交就2019年區議會一般選舉暫定選區範圍劃界的意見書 13/08/2018 19:59

From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

1 Attachment

STL_2018_26選區劃界.pdf

致：選管會

見附件共7頁.

施德來區議員辦事處

285(2)

黃大仙區議會 施德來議員辦事處

地址：[redacted]　電話：[redacted]　傳真：[redacted]

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

本處檔號：STL/2018/26

郵遞及電郵信件

反對黃大仙區 H02,H07 及 H09 一組 2019 暫定選區界，
要求保留 2015 年原有劃界，並提出反建議方案

本人為黃大仙區 H09 東美選區區議員施德來，本人反對黃大仙區 H02,H07 及 H09 一組的 2019 年區議會一般選舉暫定選區範圍的劃界，提出以下理據要求保留 2015 年原有劃界，並向選管會作反建議，請選管會作出考慮。

選管會現時的建議的暫定選區範圍

選區	2015 年選區人口	選區範圍包括	2019 年建議選區人口	暫定選區改動
H02 龍下	12901 (-23.95%)	黃大仙下邨(一區)	15363 (-7.45%)	加入原屬 H09 東美選區的啟德花園。
H07 新蒲崗	21677 (+27.78%)	新蒲崗、采頤花園	20022 (+20.62%)	剔除新蒲崗衍慶街一帶私人樓宇改撥入 H09 東美選區
H09 東美	17580 (+3.63%)	美東邨、衙前圍及東頭邨(部分)及啟德花園	17683 (+6.53%)	啟德花園更為撥入 H02 龍下選區。 由 H07 新蒲崗加入衍慶街一帶私人樓宇。

觸及這次改動選區劃界主要有兩個原因：
1. H02 龍下在 2019 年的預計人口會低於法例許可下限。
2. H07 新蒲崗在 2019 年的預計人口會高於法例許可上限。
3. 按 2019 年建議的人口基數是 16599 人，許可基數幅度為 12449 至 20749 人。
4. 受變動的啟德花園(按龍下選區 15363-12901=2462)估計約為 2500 人口
5. 受變動的新蒲崗衍慶街一帶私人樓宇(按東美選區 17683-17580+2500)估計約為 2600 人

285(3)

本人反對理由如下：

1. **H02 龍下選區只略低於法例許可的下限，選區有多年社區獨特性，沒有重劃必要性**
H02 龍下在 2015 年的預計人口為 12901 人，亦略高於 2019 年建議的許可人口幅度下限。因此，本人相信即使 H02 龍下的選區劃界不變，亦只會以極少的人口幅度略低於法例許可的下限。因此，H02 龍下的選區重劃必要性較低。H02 龍下在 2007 年起一直以完整的屋苑黃大仙下邨(一區)為其社區獨特性，有自身選區內的社區聯繫。因此無重劃必要。
2. **繼續接受 2015 劃界結論的 H07 新蒲崗選區有獨特社區完整性及地方聯繫**
H07 新蒲崗在 2015 年預計人口的已超出法例許可的上限(+27.78%)，即使繼續保留新蒲崗衍慶街一帶私人樓宇(約為 2600 人口)。在 2019 年的選區人口估計約為 22600 人口(+36.15%)。雖然人口是超出法例許可的上限，但《2015 年區議會選舉選區分界建議報告書》在制定 H07 新蒲崗選區超出法例許可的上限時，堅持「須保持社區完整性及地方聯繫」(附錄 V,224 頁)為理據、維持 2015 年的新蒲崗選區劃界不變。我期望選管會繼續堅守此原則，剔除重劃 H07 新蒲崗的選區劃界建議。
3. **維持新蒲崗社區完整性，減少分割對服務及居民的影響**
H07 新蒲崗本為完整的社區。基於人口增加，現時已分別由 H07 新蒲崗及 H08 東頭選區作劃分。倘若選管會建議的方案再將新蒲崗衍慶街一帶私人樓宇納入 H09 東美選區。新蒲崗區則將會由 2 個變為分屬 3 個區議會小選區，對居民及社區服務有影響，亦有違社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持及區域自然特徵。
4. **避免對啟德花園又再調動選區的困擾**
H09 東美的啟德花園共有 5 座 1200 戶，人口約為 2500 人。啟德花園於 1997 年屋苑落成後，曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起納入在 H09 東美選區內。這安排已經維持超過 10 年。今次劃界諮詢將啟德花園又再調動選區，對屋苑及居民造成困擾。而可預見 2023 年區內人口又再有大變動，屆時又或要再重劃選區，對一個人口較少的小屋苑十分困擾。加上啟德花園原屬的 H09 東美選區人口亦十分接近法例的基準，因此亦沒有重劃選區分界的必要。
5. **黃大仙 2019 手沒有重大人口變動，亦沒有新增議席，應避免重劃選區**
黃大仙區人口在 2019 年沒有重大變動，亦沒有新增議席，重劃選區的必要性較低。然而在此組選區的 H09 東美選區內的房委會美東邨重建計劃，居民將於 2020 年遷出。吐鄰此組選區重劃的 H08 東頭選區有一新公屋邨近 1000 伙將於 2020 年入伙。吐鄰 H07 新蒲崗的 H06 龍星選區房委會有大磡村發展計劃在 2020 起陸續入伙。屆時在 2023 年的人口變動較大，或許有新增議席，才處理選區分界的重劃，這樣可免除居民每屆分屬不同選區的煩擾。

因此，本人反對此組 H02,H07 及 H09 的暫定選區範圍建議，希請選管會接納，並剔除重劃此組選區分界。

雖然本人認為選區劃界不要為**最理想安排**。然而亦理解 H02 龍下選區低於人口下限，H07 新蒲崗人口倘若劃界不變則會有約 22600 人口(+36.15%)並不理想。當中我認為盡量解決 H07 選區過多人口為較重要。因此，我在此亦提出 2 項反建議予選管會作一併考慮。

285(4)

方案一：將建議在 H07 新蒲崗劃出的衍慶街一帶私人樓宇(約 2600 人)及彩虹道遊樂場等改撥入 H02 龍下選區。H09 東美選區及其餘選區維持 2015 年選區劃界不變。

特點及好處：

1. 符合法例要求對處理低於人口下限的 H02 龍下選區及高於人口上限的 H07 新蒲崗選區，令該兩個選區能符合法例的許可人口幅度。
2. 只涉及兩個選區的劃界變動，較選管會提出變動三個選區的劃界影響更少。
3. 令受是次劃界影響的人口由選管會建議方案的約 5100 人，減為 2600 人。
4. 此方案令 H02 龍下選區包括：黃大仙下邨(一區)、彩虹道遊樂場及新蒲崗衍慶街一帶私人樓宇。這選區範圍內的居民有天橋接駁、亦有公眾康體設施，劃界範圍生活圈集中，樓齡均是超過 30 年的成熟社區，有社區聯繫性。
5. 避免位於 H09 東美選區的啟德花園經常被重劃隸屬不同選區。
6. 預計在下一輪 2023 年的選區劃界檢討時，有減少變動劃界的作用。

方案一的具體劃界建議：

由於 H02 龍下選區的許可人口低於基準下限，而 H07 新蒲崗選區的許可人口高於基準上限。因此，建議將諮詢方案中的位於 H07 新蒲崗衍慶街一帶約十幢近 2600 人口的私人樓宇，連同彩虹道遊樂場、香港考試及評核局及畜色園可立中學一併劃入 H02 龍下選區。受劃界影響只涉及 H02 龍下及 H07 新蒲崗兩個選區，影響人口減為 2600 人，並**符合法例要求令 H02 及 H07 兩個選區都符合法例許可的人口幅度。**

選區	選管會方案的 2019 年建議選區人口	選管會方案的暫定選區改動	方案一的 2019 年建議選區人口	方案一的選區劃界改動
H02 龍下	15363 (-7.45%)	加入原屬 H09 東美選區的啟德花園。	約 15500 (-6.62%)	加入原屬 H07 新蒲崗衍慶街一帶私人樓宇，連同彩虹道遊樂場等。
H07 新蒲崗	20022 (+20.62%)	剔除新蒲崗衍慶街一帶私人樓宇改撥入 H09 東美選區	20022 (+20.62%)	按選管會方案將新蒲崗衍慶街一帶私人樓宇，連同彩虹道遊樂場等一併改劃到 H02 龍下選區
H09 東美	17683 (+6.53%)	啟德花園更為撥入 H02 龍下選區。 由 H07 新蒲崗加入衍慶街一帶私人樓宇。	17580(按 2015 年人口數字) (+5.91%)	選區劃界按 2015 年的劃界不變

(參見附圖一：方案一的劃界圖)

285(5)

方案二：只將 H07 新蒲崗衍慶街一帶私人樓宇(約 2600 人)加入到 H09 東美選區。其餘維持 2015 年選區劃界不變。

特點及好處：

1. 符合法例要求對處理高於人口上限的 H07 新蒲崗選區的重劃
2. 接納 H02 龍下選區在極略低於許可幅度(估計為基數-26%)以維持其社區獨特性。
3. H09 東美選區在加入新蒲崗衍慶街一帶私人樓宇(約 2600 人)後，人口為由 2015 年估計的 17580 人，增至 20180 人(+21.57%)，符合法例許可的基數。
4. 只涉及兩個選區的劃界變動，較選管會提出的三個選區的劃界變動更少。
5. 令受是次劃界影響的人口由選管會建議方案的約 5100 人，減為 2600 人。
6. 避免位於 H09 東美選區的啟德花園經常被重劃隸屬不同選區。
7. 預計在下一輪 2023 年的選區劃界檢討時，有減少變動劃界的作用。

方案二的具體劃界建議：

H02 龍下在 2015 年的預計人口為 12901 人，亦略高於 2019 年建議的許可人口幅度下限。因此，本人相信即使 H02 龍下的選區劃界不變，亦只會以極少的人口幅度略低於法例許可的下限。在接受 H02 龍下選區有其社區獨特性而允許低於基準人口幅度下限為前提，並減少選區劃界涉及選區數目及人口的影響。**因此，只按選管會提出的方案上，接納啟德花園不應經常被調動選區**，保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區，使 H09 東美選區在加入新蒲崗衍慶街一帶私人樓宇(約 2600 人)後，人口為由 2015 年估計的 17580 人，增至約 20180 人(+21.57%)，符合法例許可的基數。而 H07 新蒲崗選區則按選管會提出的方案不變。

選區	選管會方案的 2019 年建議選區人口	選管會方案的暫定選區改動	方案二的 2019 年建議選區人口	方案二的選區劃界改動
H02 龍下	15363 (-7.45%)	加入原屬 H09 東美選區的啟德花園。	約 12901(按 2015 年人口數字) (約-22.27%)	選區劃界按 2015 年的劃界不變
H07 新蒲崗	20022 (+20.62%)	剔除新蒲崗衍慶街一帶私人樓宇改撥入 H09 東美選區	20022 (+20.62%)	選區劃界按選管會建議方案不變
H09 東美	17683 (+6.53%)	啟德花園更為撥入 H02 龍下選區。 由 H07 新蒲崗加入衍慶街一帶私人樓宇。	約 20180 (約+21.57%)	保留啟德花園在 H09 東美選區。 並按選管會的建議，由 H07 新蒲崗加入衍慶街一帶私人樓宇。

(參見附圖二：方案二的劃界圖)

285(6)

在此結論重申，並 1)由於黃大仙區議會並沒有新增議席，因此最理想方案應是無須重劃選區；2)而提出上述兩個反建議均較選管會提出的方案為佳，因為：影響選區數目由 3 個減至 2 個，影響人口由 5100 人減至 2600 人；3)按法定準則要求，盡可能令各選區均符合法例許可的人口幅度，作出要求保留原有劃界及提出反建議方案。因此，懇請選舉管理委會接納本人意見保留 2015 年選區劃界不變及仔細考慮兩個劃界的反建議方案。如有查詢，可致電 [redacted] 與本人施德來聯絡

此致

選舉管理委員會

黃大仙區議會
H09 東美選區
施德來區議員

二零一八年八月十三日

285(7)

附圖一：方案一的劃界圖



方案一的建議可使 H09 東美選區的界線維持 2015 年選區分界不變，從而減少受劃界影響的選區數目(3 個減至 2 個)及人口(5100 人減至 2600 人)

方案一建議的 H02 龍下界線(黃色所示)
加入原屬 H07 新蒲崗衍慶街一帶私人樓宇，連同彩虹道遊樂場等。
H02 龍下選區人口升至法例許可的範圍內。

選管會原建議方案是將新蒲崗衍慶街一帶剔出 H07 選區。
方案一是將選管會建議的新蒲崗衍慶街一帶，連同彩虹道遊樂場等一併納入在 H02 龍下選區。
H07 新蒲崗選區人口降至法例許可的範圍內。

285(8)

附圖二：方案二的劃界圖



方案二將啟德花園保留在 H09 東美選區。並按選管會的建議，由 H07 新蒲崗加入衍慶街一帶私人樓宇。(黃色所示)H09 東美選區人口仍可維持在法例許可的範圍內。而受劃界影響的人口則可由 5100 人減至 2600 人。

方案二的建議允許 H02 龍下選區略低於人口許可下限，以維持 2015 年選區分界不變，從而減少受劃界影響的選區數目(3 個減至 2 個)及人口(5100 人減至 2600 人)

方案二的 H07 新蒲崗選區劃界，是按選管會提出的建議方案不變，使 H07 新蒲崗選區人口降至法例許可的範圍內

此為範本申述共 314 份(序號 286 – 599)

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

P.001

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，被來踢去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。
4. 請保留啟德花園原屬的 H09 東美選區

姓名：李[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

13-AUG-2018 12:37

287

15. Aug. 2018 13:15 No. 6817

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍，因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦避免盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：潘俊運 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

15-AUG-2018 13:18 P.001

288

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍，因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦避免盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：馬有勇 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：[redacted](可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

289

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍，因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦避免盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：沈曉 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：[redacted](可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

290

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍，因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦避免盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：吳朝九 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：[redacted](可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

291

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍，因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦避免盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：Lau Kwan Tai 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：[redacted](可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

292

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍，因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦避免盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：吳彩珍 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

293

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍，因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦避免盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

294

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍，因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦避免盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

295

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍，因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦避免盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

296

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民，選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區，因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區，而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

297

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區，因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

298

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區，因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

299

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

300

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

301

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

302

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區，因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

303

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區，因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

304

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

305

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區，因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超逸許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

_____

姓名：冼國泉 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

306

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區，因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超逸許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

_____

姓名：陳建明 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

307

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區，因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超逸許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

_____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

308

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區，因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超逸許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

_____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

309

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區，因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超逸許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

_____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

310

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區，因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超逸許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

_____

姓名：YAU SAU WAH 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

311

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區，因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超逸許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

_____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

312

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區，因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超逸許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

_____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

313

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區，因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超逸許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

_____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

314

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：_____ 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

315

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：Li Kong Lin 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

316

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：_____ 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

317

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：_____ 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

318

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：_____ 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

319

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：_____ 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

320

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：_____ 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

321

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：_____ 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

322

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：_____ 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

323

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：陳俊 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

324

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：黃礼光 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

325

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：鄭嘉彥 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

326

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：伍玉強 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

327

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：何杰 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：[redacted](可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

328

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：林綺玟 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

329

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

330

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

331

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：吳燕芳 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：[redacted](可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

332

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 鑽下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 鑽下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 鑽下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 鑽下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 鑽下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 鑽下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 鑽下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：[redacted] (可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

333

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 鑽下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 鑽下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 鑽下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 鑽下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 鑽下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 鑽下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 鑽下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____ (可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

334

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 鑽下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 鑽下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 鑽下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 鑽下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 鑽下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 鑽下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 鑽下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____ (可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

335

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 鑽下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 鑽下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 鑽下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 鑽下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 鑽下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 鑽下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 鑽下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____ (可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

336

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 鑽下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 鑽下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 鑽下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 鑽下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 鑽下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 鑽下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 鑽下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____ (可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

337

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 鑽下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 鑽下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 鑽下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 鑽下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 鑽下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 鑽下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 鑽下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____ (可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

338

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 鑽下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 鑽下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 鑽下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 鑽下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 鑽下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 鑽下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 鑽下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：[redacted] (可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

339

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 鑽下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 鑽下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 鑽下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 鑽下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 鑽下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 鑽下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 鑽下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____ (可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

340

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 鑽下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 鑽下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 鑽下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 鑽下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 鑽下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 鑽下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 鑽下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____ (可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

341

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區，我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：[redacted](可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

342

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

343

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

344

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

345

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. 穩定勝於一切

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

346

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：[redacted](可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

347

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

348

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：[redacted](可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

349

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

350

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區，我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍，因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區，因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]
聯絡電話：[redacted]（可選擇填寫）

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

351

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區，我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍，因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區，因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]
聯絡電話：[redacted]（可選擇填寫）

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

352

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區，我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍，因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區，因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]
聯絡電話：_____（可選擇填寫）

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

353

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區，我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍，因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted]
聯絡電話：_____（可選擇填寫）

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

354

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區，我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍，因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted]
聯絡電話：_____（可選擇填寫）

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

355

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區，我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍，因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted]
聯絡電話：_____（可選擇填寫）

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

356

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區，我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍，因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區，因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]
聯絡電話：_____（可選擇填寫）

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

357

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區，我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍，因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]
聯絡電話：_____（可選擇填寫）

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

358

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區，我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍，因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]
聯絡電話：_____（可選擇填寫）

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

359

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區，因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：[redacted]（可選擇填寫）

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

360

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區，因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：[redacted]（可選擇填寫）

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

361

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區，因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____（可選擇填寫）

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

362

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區，因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____（可選擇填寫）

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

363

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區，因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____（可選擇填寫）

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

364

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區，因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____（可選擇填寫）

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

365

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區，因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____（可選擇填寫）

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

366

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區，因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____（可選擇填寫）

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

367

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區，因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____（可選擇填寫）

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

368

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：cacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 鑽下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 鑽下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 鑽下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 鑽下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 鑽下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 鑽下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 鑽下選區，而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：Edwin Lee 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

369

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：cacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 鑽下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 鑽下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 鑽下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 鑽下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 鑽下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 鑽下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 鑽下選區，而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：楊焯芳 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：[redacted](可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

370

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：cacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 鑽下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 鑽下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 鑽下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 鑽下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 鑽下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 鑽下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 鑽下選區，而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

371

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：cacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 鑽下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 鑽下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 鑽下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 鑽下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 鑽下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 鑽下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 鑽下選區，而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：趙敏儀 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

372

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：cacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 鑽下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 鑽下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 鑽下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 鑽下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 鑽下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 鑽下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 鑽下選區，而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

373

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：cacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 鑽下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 鑽下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 鑽下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 鑽下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 鑽下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 鑽下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 鑽下選區，而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：[redacted](可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

374

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：cacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 鑽下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 鑽下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 鑽下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 鑽下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 鑽下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 鑽下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 鑽下選區，而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

375

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：cacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 鑽下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 鑽下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 鑽下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 鑽下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 鑽下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 鑽下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 鑽下選區，而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：陳[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：[redacted](可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

376

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：cacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 鑽下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 鑽下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 鑽下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 鑽下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 鑽下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 鑽下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 鑽下選區，而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

377

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：cacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

378

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：cacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

379

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：cacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

380

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：cacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

381

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：cacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

382

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：cacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

383

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：cacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

384

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：cacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

385

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：cacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：[redacted](可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

386

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：王[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

387

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

388

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：[redacted]_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

389

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：[redacted]_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

390

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：Shanfang Han 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

391

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：Lau Shi Tak 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

392

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

393

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：胡[redacted]琴 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

394

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：劉[redacted]華 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

395

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

396

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

397

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

398

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：LAM SUI WA 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：[redacted](可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

399

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

400

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：陳世先 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

401

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

402

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

403

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

404

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

405

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

406

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

407

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：[redacted]_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

408

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

409

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：So Ming Yan 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

410

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：[redacted]_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

411

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

412

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

413

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

414

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

415

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

416

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：[redacted](可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

417

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：[redacted](可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

418

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：[redacted](可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

419

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：[redacted](可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

420

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

421

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

422

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：李國華 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

423

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[illegible] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

424

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[illegible] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

425

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：林[illegible] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

426

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：陳[illegible] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

427

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[illegible] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

428

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：文[illegible] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

429

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：習[illegible] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

430

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[illegible] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

431

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區，而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：張燦田 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

432

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區，而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：鄭惠婧 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

433

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區，而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

434

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民，選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區，而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

435

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區，而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：葉志輝 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

436

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區，而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

437

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區，而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：[redacted] (可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

438

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區，而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

439

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區，而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

440

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

441

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

442

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

443

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

444

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：[redacted](可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

445

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

446

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

447

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

448

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

449

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：[redacted] (可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

450

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：WONG Pui Long 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：[redacted] (可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

451

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____ (可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

452

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：侯秀霞 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____ (可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

453

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____ (可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

454

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____ (可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

455

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：吳[illegible] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：[redacted] (可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

456

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：陳[illegible] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：[redacted] (可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

457

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：[redacted] (可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

458

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：KWONG Lai 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

459

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：[redacted](可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

460

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：謝永健 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：[redacted](可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

461

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：[redacted](可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

462

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

463

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

464

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

465

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：陳 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

466

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

467

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：劉勉卿 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

468

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：張李蒲 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

469

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

470

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：杜玉婵 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

471

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：K.C. Lee 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

472

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：劉佩珍 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

473

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

474

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

475

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

476

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

477

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：[redacted](可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

478

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

479

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

480

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：[redacted](可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

481

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：[redacted](可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

482

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

483

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：[redacted](可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

484

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：[redacted](可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

485

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民，選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

486

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民，選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區，我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

487

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民，選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區，我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

488

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民，選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

489

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民，選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

490

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

491

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：[redacted](可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

492

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民，選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區，我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

493

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：[redacted](可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

494

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：C.S. KWOK 啟德花園 [redacted]
聯絡電話：[redacted]（可選擇填寫）

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

495

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]
聯絡電話：_____（可選擇填寫）

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

496

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]
聯絡電話：_____（可選擇填寫）

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

497

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]
聯絡電話：_____（可選擇填寫）

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

498

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]
聯絡電話：_____（可選擇填寫）

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

499

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]
聯絡電話：_____（可選擇填寫）

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

500

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]
聯絡電話：_____（可選擇填寫）

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

501

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]
聯絡電話：_____（可選擇填寫）

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

502

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]
聯絡電話：_____（可選擇填寫）

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

503

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：羅佩華 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：[redacted](可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

504

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

505

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

506

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：林志強 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

507

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

508

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

509

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：鄭沛榮 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

510

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

511

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

512

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

513

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

514

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

515

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

516

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

517

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

518

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

519

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

520

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

521

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民，選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍，因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：陳德雄 啟德花園 [redacted]
聯絡電話：[redacted]（可選擇填寫）

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

522

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍，因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]
聯絡電話：_____（可選擇填寫）

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

523

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍，因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]
聯絡電話：[redacted]（可選擇填寫）

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

524

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民，選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍，因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]
聯絡電話：_____（可選擇填寫）

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

525

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍，因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]
聯絡電話：[redacted]（可選擇填寫）

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

526

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍，因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]
聯絡電話：[redacted]（可選擇填寫）

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

527

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍，因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]
聯絡電話：_____（可選擇填寫）

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

528

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍，因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. [redacted] 我們區區希望
仁心堂是我們之福。

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]
聯絡電話：[redacted]（可選擇填寫）

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

529

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍，因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]
聯絡電話：_____（可選擇填寫）

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

530

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心，家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區，因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：蔡寶鍵 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

531

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心，家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區，因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

532

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@rreo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心，家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區，因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

533

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心，家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區，因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：_____ 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

534

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心，家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區，因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

535

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心，家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區，因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

536

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心，家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區，因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：黃碧霄 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

537

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心，家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區，因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

538

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心，家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區，因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：陳玉珍 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：[redacted](可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

539

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民，選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區，我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區，而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

540

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

541

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

542

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區，而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

543

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：[redacted] (可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

544

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

545

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區，而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

546

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

547

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

548

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦避盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

549

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：Bennie 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

550

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

551

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

552

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：朱明芳 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

553

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：李慧員 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：[redacted](可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

554

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：[redacted](可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

555

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：周耀南 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

556

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：李潔蓮 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：[redacted](可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

557

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍，因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區，而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：Joshua Chan 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

558

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍，因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. [redacted]

姓名：_____ 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

559

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍，因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

560

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍，因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. [redacted]

姓名：蕭梓均 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：[redacted](可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

561

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍，因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. [redacted]

姓名：林玉珍 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：[redacted](可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

562

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍，因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

563

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍，因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：[redacted](可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

564

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍，因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. [redacted]

姓名：何濼儀 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：[redacted](可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

565

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍，因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. [redacted]

姓名：Lau Hin Ta 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：[redacted](可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

566

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：[redacted](可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

567

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：[redacted](可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

568

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：[redacted](可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

569

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

570

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

571

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

572

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：[redacted](可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

573

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

574

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

575

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民，選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區，因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

576

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民，選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區，今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區，因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

577

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民，選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區，因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

578

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民，選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區，因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

579

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民，選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區，因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

580

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民，選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區，因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：Kenny Mak 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

581

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民，選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區，因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

582

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民，選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區，因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

583

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民，選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區，因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

584

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：陳錦典 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

585

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：張玉治 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

586

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. [redacted] 一向都覺得啟德花園十分熱鬧，很多事他的分，應在啟德舉行，謝謝。

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：[redacted](可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

587(1)

2019年區議會選舉選區劃時分界意見 21/08/2018 21:57

From: [redacted]
To: "eacenq@reo.gov.hk" <eacenq@reo.gov.hk>
1 Attachment
district.jpg

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊及選管會委員

本人反對2019年區議會選舉選區劃時分界諮詢, 在黃大仙區東美選區提出意見，詳情見附件。

2018年8月21日

Wong

587(2)

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：Wong 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

588

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：何 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

589

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

590

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____(可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

591

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：[redacted](可選擇填寫)

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

592

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：cacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：趙中德 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：[redacted]（可選擇填寫）

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

593

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：cacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：Ng Ho Yin 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____（可選擇填寫）

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

594

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：cacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：Lam Man Lee 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：[redacted]（可選擇填寫）

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

595

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：cacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：Tai Yuk Yu 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：[redacted]（可選擇填寫）

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

596

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：cacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：Lam Man Sun 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：[redacted]（可選擇填寫）

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

597

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：cacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：呂末成 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：[redacted]（可選擇填寫）

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

598

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：cacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：Ng Hei Man 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____（可選擇填寫）

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

599(1)

要求保留啟德花園原屬的東美選區 (H09)
[redacted] cacenq@reo.gov.hk 19/8/2018 14:49

1 個附件檔
選舉管理委員會 H09.pdf

599(2)

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊 及 選管會
地址：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓選管會秘書處
傳真：2511 1682
電郵：cacenq@reo.gov.hk

要求保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

我是黃大仙彩虹道啟德花園居民。選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日公布 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界，計劃將我居住的啟德花園，由原屬黃大仙 H09 東美選區，改劃到 H02 龍下選區。我並不同意，要求保留在原屬的 H09 東美選區，提出以下理由：

1. 啟德花園曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起已由 H02 龍下選區改劃到 H09 東美選區。今次又再重新改劃回 H02 龍下選區，令我們好像「人球」般，搬來搬去，無所適從；
2. 啟德花園與 H09 東美選區的社區聯繫性強，啟德花園有大成街街市，部分居民的家人都住在 H09 東美選區的東頭邨，東頭邨內設有的長者中心、家庭服務中心等亦屬啟德花園服務範圍。因此保留啟德花園在原有 H09 選區，有助維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫性及自然特徵；
3. H09 東美選區預計人口在許可幅度範圍內，沒有需要修改現有分界的必要性，亦應盡量避免在改劃界線時涉及在許可人口幅度內的 H09 東美選區。因為即使 H02 龍下人口低於許可下限及 H07 新蒲崗人口超過許可上限，但 H02 龍下選區及 H07 新蒲崗選區已屬有相連的毗鄰選區，選管會的處理方法應只從 H07 新蒲崗選區調動部分界線予 H02 龍下選區。而無須變動啟德花園所屬的 H09 東美選區界線。

4. _____

姓名：[redacted] 啟德花園 [redacted]

聯絡電話：_____（可選擇填寫）

<<請於 2018 年 8 月 21 日或之前，以傳真/郵遞/電郵方式交回選管會>>

600

東九龍居民委員會 East Kowloon District Residents
九龍新蒲崗大有街32號泰力工業中心1201室
HQ: Rm1201, Leung Industrial Centre, 32 Tai Yau St, Kln, HK
電話(Tel.): 23502445 傳真(Fax): 23234445
網址(Website): www.eastkowloon.org.hk
電郵(Email): info@eastkowloon.org.hk

本會檔案 Our Ref.: 180821/LR/61/Sc/16
來函檔號 Your Ref.:

選舉管理委員會
主席 馮驊法官 台鑒：

對2019區議會選區分界臨時建議(黃大仙區)的意見

根據 貴委員會公布的 2019 區議會選區分界臨時建議，雖然黃大仙區的人口與 2015 年區議會選舉相比沒有明顯的變化，而民選議席亦維持於 25 個，卻仍然有 8 個選區的劃區分界有所改動，對於相關的選區劃界建議，東九龍居民委員會（下稱『本會』）有以下的觀點：

（1）對於選管會嚴格執行人口多寡為選區劃分的主要準則，本會予以理解，但若因此而忽視了社區的完整性，則是不顧現實，也會引來諸多無謂的指摘；

（2）將新入伙的綠置居景泰苑加入於 H07 選區（新蒲崗區）是適合的安排，將原區部分劃予 H09（東美區），雖是無奈，但不失為一個影響最小的建議；

（3）將 H09（東美區）的啟德花園劃入 H02（龍下區），動作雖大，但今到啟德花園及黃大仙下鄉一的社區重而歸一（多年前此兩屋苑原屬同一選區），算是撥亂反正的建議；

（4）H16（慈雲西區）、H17（正愛區）、H18（正安區）及 H19（慈雲東區）幾個慈雲山選區因人口不平均而致重劃，本屬無可厚非，但卻為此影響至相隔一座山頭的 H15（竹園北區），委實是匪而所思，令人瞠目結舌；

（5）H15（竹園北區）在 2015 年區議會選舉時，人口估算為 16,098 人，雖比選區所需人口標準小 5.1%，但亦合乎準則而無須變動。如今不但有變，更是將原屬慈雲山區的沙田坳邨之兩幢樓宇，翻山越嶺的劃過來，相信不但沙田坳邨的居民不願意，竹園北邨的居民也覺得摸不著頭腦。

總括而言，對選區合理變動本會並不抗拒，但選管會在重劃選區時除了考慮人口因素外，也必須要顧及實際的地域分界、居民的生活習慣、社區的完整性、道路網絡的暢通性等等其他客觀因素，才可將影響面減至最低。

未來數年，大磡村用地發展將有逾萬居民遷入，黃大仙又須要面對選區的重劃，期望選管會可以汲取經驗，在下次重劃選區時有更周詳的考慮，避免社區因選區重劃而出現矛盾和對立，影響社區的和諧性。

東九龍居民委員會
2018-08-21

601(1)

就2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界臨時建議提出意見
[redacted] to: eacenq@reo.gov.hk 19/08/2018 11:39

選舉管理委員會 主席
馮驊法官，GBS
馮主席：

本人就2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界臨時建議有以下意見：

本人建議一併調整及改動黃大仙區選區H06(龍星)、選區H20(瓊富)、選區H24(池彩)及選區H25(彩虹)的選區劃界，以更符合法例許可幅度的預計人口及更顧及社區獨特性及地方聯繫的維持。

根據法定準則，選舉管理委員會應確保各建議區人口盡量接近標準人口基數，即16 599人，而選管會亦須確保該選區的人口偏離標準人口基數不超出25% (即12449人至20749人的幅度之內)。此外，選管會須顧及社區獨特性及地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展等)。

按選區分界建議圖於2015年的原區界，選區H06(龍星)及選區H20(瓊富)在2019年的預計人口都高於標準人口基數及接近法例許可的上限，選區H06(龍星)的標準人口基數偏離百分比是+15.46%(19166人)，而選區H20(瓊富)為+13.50%(18840人)。反之，選區H24(池彩)及選區H25(彩虹)在2019年的預計人口都低於標準人口基數，分別是選區H24(池彩)為-2.39%(16202人)及選區H25(彩虹)為-10.59%(14841人)，當中選區H25(彩虹) 2019年的預計人口亦接近法例許可的下限。為了縮少選區H06(龍星)及選區H20(瓊富)人口與標準人口基數的差距，並維持選區H24(池彩)及選區H25(彩虹) 2019年的預計人口符合法例許可，本人建議將選區H24(池彩)原區界內的彩虹邨丹鳳樓及紫薇樓轉編入鄰近的選區H25(彩虹)，及同時將選區H06(龍星)原區界內的帝峯豪苑及選區H20(瓊富)原區界內的瓊軒苑轉編入鄰近的選區H24(池彩)。

其次，本人上述的改劃建議選區範圍亦顧及社區獨特性及地方聯繫的維持。彩虹邨在2015年的原區界被劃分為選區H24(池彩)及選區H25(彩虹)，此安排本身已破壞彩虹邨的完整性及社區獨特性，加上彩虹邨周邊在2019年亦不會有其他新增人口，因此將選區H24(池彩)原區界內的彩虹邨丹鳳樓及紫薇樓轉編入鄰近的選區H25(彩虹)更為顧及彩虹邨社區獨特性及地方聯繫的維持，並更顧及區域的自然特徵。

至於選區H06(龍星)原區界內的帝峯豪苑及選區H20(瓊富)原區界內的瓊軒苑在地理位置上非常接近選區H24(池彩)，甚至比原選區更為接近；加上選區H20(瓊富)將會有新公屋落成及選區H06(龍星)附近將有大磡村公屋和居屋發展，相信日後亦會有部份人口編入選區H06(龍星)內，因此將位於弁山道的帝峯豪苑及位於瓊東街的瓊軒苑一併轉編入選區H24(池彩)在更顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持及區域的自然特徵外，亦可應對選區H06(龍星)及選區H20(瓊富)未來的選區人口變化。

601(2)

本人希望選舉管理委員會能夠積極考慮上述改劃建議選區的建議。如對以上事宜有任何查詢，請致電[redacted]與本人聯絡。

胡志健

602(1)

改善香港選舉 20/08/2018 11:01

From: [redacted]
To: <eacenq@reo.gov.hk>
3 個附件檔

20180810致選舉管理委員會-何.doc 20180810致選舉管理委員會-王.doc 20180810致選舉管理委員會-區.doc

選舉管理委員會
執行先生/女士：

為改善香港的選舉安排，就此提出意見。

謝謝

東九龍居民委員會
社區幹事
郭先生

總辦事處：九龍新蒲崗大有街32號泰力工業中心1201室
電話：[redacted]
傳真：
網址：https://eastkowloon.org.hk

602(2)

竹園邨互助協進會
Chuk Yuen Estate Concern Association

香港灣仔
港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊法官

強烈要求松、柏園樓劃歸竹園北選區

維持社區完整性　反對沙田坳邨劃入竹園北選區

選管會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界的臨時建議，把沙田坳邨將轉編入竹園北選區(H15)，而沒有將竹園北邨松園樓和柏園樓劃歸竹園北選區，對此本會表示強烈反對，理由如下：

1. 劃區沒有首先考慮社區完整因素

竹園北邨一共 8 座樓宇，人口數目足夠成為獨立選區，多年來，居民對選管會將盈福苑與竹園北邨其中六座劃成個選區，卻將松園樓及柏園樓劃入翠竹及鷗程選區，深感困惑。今次選管會將沙田坳邨劃入竹園北選區，卻不將松園樓、柏園樓劃返竹園北選區，以保持社區完整性，引起居民深深不滿。本會認為，貴會應考慮將松園樓及柏園樓劃入竹園北選區，我們的建議，竹園北選區(H15)與翠竹及鷗程選區(H13)均沒有偏離標準人口基數。

2. 劃區沒有考慮歷史因素和實際地理環境因素

沙田坳邨自建邨以來都是屬於慈雲山區，與竹園北邨各有其區域自然特徵及社區發展的因素。加上竹園北邨與沙田坳邨相距 1.4 公里的斜坡，路程相距 30 分鐘，如無必要，沙田坳邨居民與竹園北邨居民不相往來，導致兩邨居民對社區的而求取向往往南轅北轍。

若強將沙田坳邨改劃竹園北選區，不但容易令居民混淆和社區矛盾，若區議員找不到大家滿意方案紓緩矛盾，會令居民有被邊緣化的感覺，埋藏社區不穩定因素。

面對同一選區內兩個不同意向，貴會必需以人為本，深入考慮區域的自然特徵及社區發展、實際地理環境因素以及完整性，本會請重新考慮其有關劃界建議。

特此來函提出意見及建議：強烈要求松園樓、柏園樓劃歸竹園北選區，反對沙田坳邨劃入竹園北選區，確保維持社區完整性及社區歷史因素。

竹園北邨業主租戶聯盟主席 何偉民

2018 年 8 月 20 日

電話：2757 7848　傳真：2757 7661　電郵：chukyuaneca@gmail.com
聯絡處：九龍黃大仙竹園南邨竹園社區中心地下黃大仙地區委員會服務中心

602(3)

竹園邨互助協進會
Chuk Yuen Estate Concern Association

香港灣仔
港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊法官

強烈要求松、柏園樓劃歸竹園北選區

維持社區完整性　反對沙田坳邨劃入竹園北選區

選管會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界的臨時建議，把沙田坳邨將轉編入竹園北選區(H15)，而沒有將竹園北邨松園樓和柏園樓劃歸竹園北選區，對此本會表示強烈反對，理由如下：

1. 劃區沒有首先考慮社區完整因素

竹園北邨一共 8 座樓宇，人口數目足夠成為獨立選區，多年來，居民對選管會將盈福苑與竹園北邨其中六座劃成個選區，卻將松園樓及柏園樓劃入翠竹及鷗程選區，深感困惑。今次選管會將沙田坳邨劃入竹園北選區，卻不將松園樓、柏園樓劃返竹園北選區，以保持社區完整性，引起居民深深不滿。本會認為，貴會應考慮將松園樓及柏園樓劃入竹園北選區，我們的建議，竹園北選區(H15)與翠竹及鷗程選區(H13)均沒有偏離標準人口基數。

2. 劃區沒有考慮歷史因素和實際地理環境因素

沙田坳邨自建邨以來都是屬於慈雲山區，與竹園北邨各有其區域自然特徵及社區發展的因素。加上竹園北邨與沙田坳邨相距 1.4 公里的斜坡，路程相距 30 分鐘，如無必要，沙田坳邨居民與竹園北邨居民不相往來，導致兩邨居民對社區的而求取向往往南轅北轍。

若強將沙田坳邨改劃竹園北選區，不但容易令居民混淆和社區矛盾，若區議員找不到大家滿意方案紓緩矛盾，會令居民有被邊緣化的感覺，埋藏社區不穩定因素。

面對同一選區內兩個不同意向，貴會必需以人為本，深入考慮區域的自然特徵及社區發展、實際地理環境因素以及完整性，本會請重新考慮其有關劃界建議。

特此來函提出意見及建議：強烈要求松園樓、柏園樓劃歸竹園北選區，反對沙田坳邨劃入竹園北選區，確保維持社區完整性及社區歷史因素。

竹園北邨業主居民權益會會長 主席 王仲恩

2018 年 8 月 20 日

電話：2757 7848　傳真：2757 7661　電郵：chukyuaneca@gmail.com
聯絡處：九龍黃大仙竹園南邨竹園社區中心地下黃大仙地區委員會服務中心

602(4)

竹園邨互助協進會
Chuk Yuen Estate Concern Association

香港灣仔
港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊法官

強烈要求松、柏園樓劃歸竹園北選區

維持社區完整性　反對沙田坳邨劃入竹園北選區

選管會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界的臨時建議，把沙田坳邨將轉編入竹園北選區(H15)，而沒有將竹園北邨松園樓和柏園樓劃歸竹園北選區，對此本會表示強烈反對，理由如下：

1. 劃區沒有首先考慮社區完整因素

竹園北邨一共 8 座樓宇，人口數目足夠成為獨立選區，多年來，居民對選管會將盈福苑與竹園北邨其中六座劃成個選區，卻將松園樓及柏園樓劃入翠竹及鷗程選區，深感困惑。今次選管會將沙田坳邨劃入竹園北選區，卻不將松園樓、柏園樓劃返竹園北選區，以保持社區完整性，引起居民深深不滿。本會認為，貴會應考慮將松園樓及柏園樓劃入竹園北選區，我們的建議，竹園北選區(H15)與翠竹及鷗程選區(H13)均沒有偏離標準人口基數。

2. 劃區沒有考慮歷史因素和實際地理環境因素

沙田坳邨自建邨以來都是屬於慈雲山區，與竹園北邨各有其區域自然特徵及社區發展的因素。加上竹園北邨與沙田坳邨相距 1.4 公里的斜坡，路程相距 30 分鐘，如無必要，沙田坳邨居民與竹園北邨居民不相往來，導致兩邨居民對社區的而求取向往往南轅北轍。

若強將沙田坳邨改劃竹園北選區，不但容易令居民混淆和社區矛盾，若區議員找不到大家滿意方案紓緩矛盾，會令居民有被邊緣化的感覺，埋藏社區不穩定因素。

面對同一選區內兩個不同意向，貴會必需以人為本，深入考慮區域的自然特徵及社區發展、實際地理環境因素以及完整性，本會請重新考慮其有關劃界建議。

特此來函提出意見及建議：強烈要求松園樓、柏園樓劃歸竹園北選區，反對沙田坳邨劃入竹園北選區，確保維持社區完整性及社區歷史因素。

竹園邨互助協進會主席 區少貞

2018 年 8 月 20 日

電話：2757 7848　傳真：2757 7661　電郵：chukyuaneca@gmail.com
聯絡處：九龍黃大仙竹園南邨竹園社區中心地下黃大仙地區委員會服務中心

603

雷啟蓮
黃大仙區議會
區議員辦事處
Office of Lui Kai Lin Wendy, Wong Tai Sin District Council Member

香港灣仔
港灣道25號
海港中心10樓
選舉管理委員會秘書處　傳真：2511 1682

就 H07 新蒲崗選區劃界意見

選委會公布「2019 年區議會擬議選區的分界及名稱的臨時建議」結果，按選區分劃的法定準則以 16599 人作為標準人口的基數，每個選區的預計人口則不能超過標準人口基數 25% 的上下限。 按此計算，目前新蒲崗選區的人數已超出上限，本人對選區被剔劃予以理解。

然而，按目前選管會建議把部分新蒲崗區舊私樓劃去，對本人而言感到無奈與不捨。畢竟已服務多年，與各個法團、互委會及各街坊透過協助維修、召開法團會議、提供服務等建立了深厚的友情。對於是次的區議會臨時選區劃分，只能無奈接受。雖然部分樓宇於選區劃分後已不再屬於 H07，但按整個新蒲崗社區完整上，我仍願意繼續為他們提供協助，讓居民繼續有社區歸屬感。

對於新落成的景泰苑被納入 H07 選區及采頤花園繼續保留，本人表示歡迎，並會協助景泰苑居民融入社區，希望選管會繼續關注大磡村在發展後社區人口的變化，作出周詳妥善安排。

順頌

台安

黃大仙區議員

雷啟蓮

二〇一八年八月廿日

新蒲崗辦事處　地址：[redacted]　電話：[redacted]　傳真：[redacted]
采頤花園辦事處　地址：[redacted]　電話：[redacted]

604(1)

有關2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議公眾諮詢 - 建議提交 (黃大仙區)

[redacted] eacenq@reo.gov.hk 20/08/2018 17:47

4 個附件檔

draft advice (H_wts) 2018-08-20 summary.pdf draft advice (H_wts) 2018-08-20 reason.pdf

draft advice (H_wts) 2018-08-20 map.pdf draft advice (H_wts) 2018-08-20 full.pdf

選舉管理委員會秘書處：

就有關2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議公眾諮詢，本人就黃大仙區之選區分界提交相關建議，詳見附件內容。

陳嘉偉 謹啟
2018年8月20日

604(2)



604(3)

就 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱之建議
相關區議會：黃大仙區

建議更改分界之選區	預計人口 (偏離百分比)	建議更改詳情	考慮因素	整體考慮
H07 新蒲崗	19,112 (-15.20%)	統一以崇齡街劃作選區分界，把東頭大廈及永樂樓一併劃出。	統一以崇齡街劃界，保持社區完整性及選區形狀容易辨認。	統一以崇齡街及東頭邨劃界，更能清晰劃分選區分界，同時令各區形狀更自然及容易辨認。 同時，亦考慮到興建中的東頭邨第八期預計將於 2020 年中入伙，估計增加約 3,000 人至 H08，人口仍在許可範圍內。
H08 東頭	17,711 (+6.70%)	併入 H07 劃出之部份，同時把榮樓、偉東樓、柏東樓劃出。	保持啟德河以東而非 H07 之部份同區一選區，同時明確以東隧道劃分與 H09 之界線，保持社區完整性及選區形狀容易辨認。	
H09 東美	17,756 (+6.97%)	放寬選管會原建議由 H07 劃出之部份，同時把榮東樓、偉東樓劃入。	明確以東隧道劃分與 H08 之界線，保持社區完整性及選區形狀容易辨認。	
H13 鑽石山 鑽竹及鑽程	12,454 (-24.97%)	把松園樓、柏園樓劃出。	只包括鑽竹花園及鑽程苑，保持社區完整性及選區形狀容易辨認。	竹園北邨 8 座大廈中的 2 座大廈原期劃分到 H13 鑽竹及鑽程，有指社區完整性，松園樓及柏園樓居民亦較有關劃分有所不滿。 因此，考慮社區完整，應把松園樓及柏園樓劃入 H15 竹園北選區。即使預計人口稍為多於許可上限，亦應優先維護許可離度，情況與 J27 觀塘城 (+49.15%) 類同。
H15 竹園北	20,994 (+26.48%)	放寬選管會原建議由 H17 劃出之沙田坳邨部份，同時把松園樓、柏園樓劃入。	完整包括竹園北邨及盈福苑，保持社區完整性及選區形狀容易辨認。	

604(4)

建議更改分界之選區	預計人口 (偏離百分比)	建議更改詳情	考慮因素	整體考慮
H16 慈雲西 → 慈樂	19,020 (+14.59%)	放寬選管會原建議由 H19 劃出之永發大廈、發強樓、寶明樓。	單一由完整的慈樂邨組成，保持社區完整性及獨特性。	安選管會預計，慈雲山 4 個選區在劃出沙田坳邨後，總預計人口仍然達到 80,935，偏離百分比由+16.99%至+24.37%，合計+87.59%，理應增設 1 個新選區，而非將不實或把增長人口勉強劃至鄰區。 從整體角度考慮劃選區，除維正邨人選慈航所可人口上限，必需分劃 2 個選區外；慈樂邨應該獨立劃作 1 個選區；慈樂苑部分住戶與邨內亦應該可以保持慈雲山西北部的之完整地劃作 1 個選區；至於輞轄里及清樹邨附一帶之私人樓宇亦可與接鄰之慈康邨組成 1 個選區；餘下的慈安苑及慈民邨，則可按地區情況與維正邨部份樓宇組成 1 個選區。 按上述劃分慈雲山為 5 個選區，各區預計人口在 14,057 至 19,020 之間，偏離百分比為-15.31%至+14.59%，適較原建議合理，各區鄰近地區亦有共通點保持社區完整性及獨特性。
H17 平安 → 慈愛	18,417 (+10.95%)	收回放寬選管會原建議劃出之沙田坳邨部份，同時劃出正德樓、正和樓、正怡樓。	完整包括沙田坳邨及慈愛苑，保持社區完整性及獨特性。	
H18 平安 → 慈正	16,089 (-3.07%)	從 H17 收回正滑樓、正和樓、正怡樓，同時劃出正明樓、正順樓、正安樓、安慶閣。	單一由維正邨（大部份）組成，保持社區完整性及獨特性。	
H19 慈雲中	15,610 (-5.96%)	結合 H18 及 H20（原 H19 慈雲東）劃出之部份。	包括維正邨（小部份）、完整的慈安苑及慈民邨，保持社區完整性及獨特性。	
H20 慈雲東 → 慈雲南	14,057 (-15.31%)	由原 H19 慈雲東劃出慈民邨、安欣閣，同時收回選管會原建議由 H19 劃出之永發大廈、發強樓、寶明樓。	完整包括慈康邨及輞轄里	15.31%至+14.59%，適較原建議合理，各區鄰近地區亦有共通點保持社區完整性及獨特性。 在新建議選區劃分下亦重個將社區完整性及獨特性。
H21 慶盛	18,840 (-13.50%)	更改選區代號。	配合慈雲山及彩虹、彩雲之選區重整。	-

604(5)

建議更改分界之選區	預計人口 (偏離百分比)	建議更改詳情	考慮因素	整體考慮
H21 彩霧東	- (-%)	選區分拆及併入 H22 及 H23。	選區整合以保持社區完整性及獨特性。	按選舉會預計，彩虹、彩霧 5 座選區總預計人口為 71,194，偏離百分比由-2.39%至-24.28%，合計-71.09%，理應劃減 1 個選區。
H22 彩霧南	20,011 (+20.56%)	從原 H21 選舉事劃入明園樓、啟業樓、彩雅邨，同時由 H23 劃入王亨樓、禮賓樓。	完整包括彩雅邨、彩霧（二）邨及清水灣道以南之彩霧（一）邨部份，保持社區完整性及選區形狀容易辨認。	從整體角度再規劃選區，彩霧 3 個選區應該整合為 2 個選區，在人口估算後，可以清水灣道把彩霧（一）邨劃為 2 區，清水灣道以南之彩霧（一）邨部份與彩霧（二）邨及彩雅邨組合成 1 選區；清水灣道以北之彩霧（一）邨部份與明園花園、豐盛街完整包括明園花園、豐盛街紀律部隊宿舍及晚翠苑組合成另 1 選區。
H23 彩霧西 → 彩霧北	20,140 (+21.33%)	從原 H21 慈雲東劃入明雅花園、豐盛街紀律部隊宿舍、峻弦，同時把王亨樓、禮賓樓劃出。	完整包括明雅花園、豐盛街紀律部隊宿舍、峻弦及清水灣道以北之彩霧（一）邨部份，保持社區完整性及選區形狀容易辨認。	至於，彩虹邨及牛池灣，過往一直把月鳳樓、紫薇樓由彩虹邨劃至牛池灣，以平均 2 區人口，略在考慮社區完整性、獨特性及選區形狀容易辨認下，應該把月鳳樓、紫薇樓劃回彩虹邨，使彩虹邨獨立劃作 1 個選區；餘下牛池灣自成 1 個選區，即使如此劃分，2 個區議會人口仍然在許可範圍內。
H24 港彩 → 牛池灣	12,843 (-22.63%)	把月鳳樓、紫薇樓劃出。	配合 H25 之整合，保持社區完整性、獨特性及選區形狀容易辨認。	
H25 彩虹	18,200 (+9.65%)	把月鳳樓、紫薇樓劃入。	單一由完整的彩虹邨組成，保持社區完整性及獨特性及選區形狀容易辨認。	按上述劃分彩虹、彩霧為 4 個選區，各區預計人口在 12,843 至 20,140 之間，偏離百分比為-22.63%至+21.33%，符合人口許可範圍，各區預在新建議選區劃分下亦更能保持社區完整性及獨特性，尤其彩霧（二）邨 5 座樓宇再無需被劃歸 3 個不同選區。

604(6)



604(7)



605

21-08-18 15:08

竹園邨互助協進會
Chuk Yuen Estate Concern Association

香港灣仔
港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊法官

強烈反對沙田坳邨劃入竹園北選區

選管會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界的臨時建議，把沙田坳邨將縛煽入竹園北選區(H15)，對此本會表示強烈反對，理由如下：

1. 劃區沒有首先考慮社區完整因素

竹園北邨一共 8 座樓宇，人口數目足夠成為獨立選區，多年來，居民對選管會將盈福苑與竹園北邨其中六座劃成個選區，卻將松園樓及柏園樓劃入【翠竹及鵬程選區】深感困惑；今次選管會重劃竹園北選區，將沙田坳邨劃入竹園北選區，令竹園北選區支離破碎之餘更是跨越山頭到慈雲山區，猶如一頭四不像。最理想的做法自然是將松園樓、柏園樓劃回竹園北選區，但此舉將令【翠竹及鵬程選區】的人口僅餘 11,000 人，嚴重低於選區人口基數的下限，故此本會認為站在保持社區的完整性，及符合選區標準人口基數的準則下，H15 竹園北選區應維持現狀不變。

2. 劃區沒有考慮歷史因素和實際地理環境因素

沙田坳邨自建邨以來都是屬於慈雲山區，與竹園北邨各有其區域自然特徵及社區發展的因素；加上竹園北邨與沙田坳邨相距 1.4 公里的斜坡，路程相距 30 分鐘，如無必要，沙田坳邨居民與竹園北邨居民互不相往來，導致兩邨居民對社區的訴求取向往往南轅北轍。

選管會若然強將沙田坳邨劃入竹園北選區，不但容易令居民混淆和社區矛盾，更會令該邨居民有被邊緣化的感覺，埋藏社區不穩定因素。

面對同一選區內兩個不同意向， 貴會必需以人為本，深入考慮區域的自然特徵及社區發展、實際地理環境因素以及完整性，本會誠盼重新考慮其有關劃界建議。

特此來函提出意見及建議：強烈反對沙田坳邨劃入竹園北選區，維持竹園北選區的現時劃界。

竹園邨互助協進會主席 區少貞

2018 年 8 月 21 日

電話：2757 7848 傳真：2757 7661 電郵：chukyuaneca@gmail.com
聯絡處：九龍黃大仙竹園南邨竹園社區中心地下黃大仙地區委員會服務中心

21-AUG-2018 16:07

606

21-08-18:18:20

選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊法官　台鑒：

反對沙田坳邨劃入竹園北選區

選管會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界的臨時建議，把沙田坳邨將轉編入竹園北選區(H15)，對此本會表示強烈反對。

竹園北邨一共 8 座樓宇，人口數目足夠成為獨立選區，但卻被劃分成為兩個選區（竹園北／翠竹及鶴徑），今次選管會重劃竹園北選區，將沙田坳邨劃入竹園北選區，令竹園北選區更加肢離破碎。

沙田坳邨自與竹園北邨各有其區域自然特徵及社區發展的因素；加上竹園北邨與沙田坳邨相距 1.4 公里的斜坡，路程相距 30 分鐘，社區連繫基本上不存在。

選管會若然強將沙田坳邨劃入竹園北選區，不但容易令居民混淆和社區矛盾，更會令該邨居民有被邊緣化的感覺。

為此，本會強烈反對沙田坳邨劃入竹園北選區，並要求保留現有竹園北選區的劃分，不要破壞社區的完整性。

竹園北邨業主租戶聯盟 權益會
蕭鉅權會長　王仲恩主席　敬啟
2018 年 8 月 21 日

回覆聯絡人：蕭鉅權（[redacted]）

607

21-08-18:16:25

竹園北邨業主租戶聯盟 Chuk Yuen North Estate Owners And Tenants Union
通訊處：九龍黃大仙竹園北邨第壹座 106 室　聯絡電話：2357 6869　6128 8637
電子郵件：chukyuennorth@hotmail.com　傳真號碼：2357 0873

選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊法官　台鑒：

反對重劃竹園北選區

本人對將沙田坳邨將轉編入竹園北選區(H15)表示強烈反對，希望選管會撤回建議，讓竹園北選區維持現狀！

沙田坳邨自建邨以來都是屬於慈雲山區，與竹園北邨各有其區域自然特徵及社區發展的因素；加上兩邨相隔整座山頭，社區消通聯繫不多，居民亦甚少往來，而兩邨居民對社區的訴求亦明顯各有分別。

面對同一選區內兩個不同的意向，本人認為選管會在劃分選區時必要考慮各個因素，包括區域的自然特徵及社區發展，實際地理環境以及完整性等因素在內。

本人選管會建議劃界不以為然，反對沙田坳邨劃入竹園北選區，以及要求維持竹園北選區。

竹園北邨業主租戶聯盟主席
何偉民　謹啟
2018 年 8 月 21 日

回覆聯絡人：何偉民([redacted])

21-AUG-2018 16:25

608

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups

反對正安區移走正怡樓劃界
[redacted] to: eacenq@rec.gov.hk　21/08/2018 23:59

有關H18,正安區
反對將正怡樓劃入正愛區，原因為多
年來都是在正安區服務，本身在地理上，居民已表達更近距離接受區議員服務，
而現在入了正愛區，變相要居民走好遠去接受服務，對此反對

609

2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱諮詢建議提出意見
23/07/2018 19:50
Hide Details
From: [redacted]

To: "eacenq@reo.gov.hk" <eacenq@reo.gov.hk>

致選管會

本人就上述選舉提出意見

區號: 觀塘

1) 支持新加安置, 安達及後翔選區, 維
1A) 反對安達導其中兩個標字劃入J13, 因會破壞社區的完整性, 而且J13人口已經達到16,599人, 再加上安達會減慶超出選管會的基數
1B) 支持安泰導明泰, 恩泰及康泰劃入J10安翔選區, 因順安及康翔有非常多戶家是已經遷出, 而且安翔皆未滿到16,599人, 當可以加入安泰等3座樓宇, 以達致平衡

2) 反對將啟明大廈劃入J20平田, 因啟明大廈是屬置, 平田邨及安田都是公屋, 而且管理方式不同, 是社區完整的大破壞

希望選管會能接納本人的建議, 多謝!

Best Regards
Lau Siu Tin

24/7/2018

610

區議會重新劃界建議
24/07/2018 04:31
Hide Details
From: [redacted]

To: "eacenq@reo.gov.hk" <eacenq@reo.gov.hk>

選舉事務委員會

本人據此要求，將觀塘翠屏邨重新規劃成兩個選區，即翠屏南及翠屏北，取代現有J01觀塘中心及J29翠屏，以集中服務翠屏南邨及翠屏北邨，而非觀塘工業區，同時毋須將一邨分拆到不同選區。

本人據此盼望上述建議獲得通過及落實，謝謝。

唯如上有錯漏，敬希見諒。

陳先生
二〇一八年七月二十四日

24/7/2018

611

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups

就重新區選劃區我有意見
[redacted] to: eacenq@reo.gov.hk 26/07/2018 21:41

你好，就重新區選劃區我有以下想法，希望向你們反映一下，
我是油塘高俊苑居民，原本區議會選區是J21(油塘東)，
這區近幾年也沒新屋村入伙，我不明白為何在重新劃定的區議會選區，竟然要成新增選區一俊翔（高俊苑及翔翔苑）？我知道觀塘區人口多了，但主要是秀茂坪一帶，另一問題來了，我個人覺得，從地理角度，高俊苑與高裕村是相連的屋村，且兩村成立年份相近，而高俊苑跟高翔苑並不是相連的屋村，就算高俊苑要跟其他屋村合併為一個選區，為何不是旁邊的高裕村呢？
再有另一個問題(可能是我想多了)，高翔苑本身是紀律部隊宿舍，這樣的合併是否包含人口以外的考慮因素？

612(1)

觀塘區議會選區範圍
30/07/2018 11:22
Hide Details
From: [redacted]

To: "cacenq@reo.gov.hk" <eacenq@reo.gov.hk>

1 Attachment

1532920623824blob.jpg

你好，

本人是藍塘安達村誌達樓居民，就代號 J13 & J14，要求整個安達村為同一個選區，因為這樣對愛達樓及誌達樓居民十分不公平，我們投訴員跟進事項亦會造成混亂，請作出合理安排及謝謝！！

30/7/2018

612(2)

地區：觀塘　　擬定區議會選區範圍

代號	擬定選區名稱	區界說明	主要屋邨 / 範圍
J13	秀茂坪中		

北	
東北	安達臣道、區界線
東	宏景街、秀茂坪道
東南	秀茂坪道
南	秀宏路
西南	秀明道
西	秀茂坪道
西北	秀茂坪道

1. 安達邨（部分）：
   安達樓
   敏達樓
2. 秀茂坪邨（部分）：
   秀麗樓
   秀智樓
   秀豐樓
   秀慧樓
   秀寶樓
   秀怡樓

J14　安達

北	安達臣道、區界線
東北	安達臣道、區界線
東	安達臣道、安秀道
東南	寶秀路
南	寶秀路
西南	秀茂坪道
西	秀茂坪道
西北	安秀道

1. 安達邨（部分）：
   智達樓
   正達樓
   俊達樓
   孝達樓
   敏達樓
   健達樓
   毅達樓
   勤達樓
   仁達樓
   智達樓

Best Regards,
Ming Yue

30/7/2018

613

馮燕華主席，

2019年區議會選舉分界，原是秀茂坪中選區的秀暉樓（長者樓）被劃分到秀茂坪南選區，不依照法定準則和工作原則調整。秀茂坪中原有人口約15000人，現加安達村2座，預計人口也是19749，秀暉樓總戶數440戶，獨居長者居多，人數估計不夠700人，加上秀暉樓預計人口20449，也附合工作原則中（12449人至20749人）點解要將一座要依靠社會各方照顧的長者樓，從完整的屋村劃出去呢？有違調整分界的原則。

今次劃區你在電視中講設有政治目的或傾向，但一定要12449至20749嗎？這樣平均，在管理服務會帶出很多問題，你們了解嗎？社會中的很多矛盾都是政府自己制造出來的，你們點唔與城市規劃合作，在設計新建時預計選區人口呢？安達臣泰邨加多兩座，分多個區不是很好嗎？？？

主席我再次強調的目的，要求將秀暉樓劃回秀茂坪中丁13區，多謝！

RECEIVED 30 JUL 2018 ELECTORAL AFFAIRS COMMISSION

楊錦華 敬上

614

強烈要求將秀暉樓劃回秀茂坪中丁13選區

敬啟者：

我是秀茂坪中秀暉樓選民，從電視報紙上得知，新的劃區將秀暉樓劃到秀茂坪南丁15區，唔明白點解要將一個完整的屋邨多座的其中一座長者樓秀暉樓劃出去，基於以下理由，我們呢座有相當多的都是獨居長者，這樣的劃區有嚟對事求助，就近的時關心事要另一条邨求助，不是好苦我們呢幫長者咩，吸！終於明白點青年上游遊行，如果我青年30年，戴帽會組一班人去選舉會議，照計，我們呢座大部份都是長者，政府是不是欺弱怕強呢？

本人強烈要求將我個秀暉樓劃回秀茂坪中丁13選區，

秀暉樓居民　李小平

RECEIVED 30 JUL 2018 ELECTORAL AFFAIRS COMMISSION

2018年7月27日

615

反對將秀茂坪中秀暉樓劃去秀茂坪南 J15 區

選管會

我是秀茂坪邨秀暉樓居民，你們於 7 月 23 日公告《2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議》將我們完整秀茂坪中其中一座秀暉樓單丁挖掘到 J15 秀茂坪南選區；我們應該是 J13 秀茂坪中選區才合理；我不知你們以什麼理由要將秀暉樓劃出去，不要只對著地圖看，實地來秀茂坪走一次好嗎？按法例的規定，選管會在調整選區分界時，除了考慮預計人口外，亦須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵等因素。你們強硬將呢座需要社區關顧的長者樓秀暉樓挖分出去，很不合情理。其於什麼呢？我不明白。

再說　J13 秀茂坪中預計人口 19749　標準人口基數+18.98。

J15 秀茂坪南預計人口 20193　標準人口基數+21.65

秀暉樓 20 層，每層 22 戶，總戶數 440，住多少人口我唔知，我估每戶 1-2 人，也只不過 600 人，綜合以上因素 600 人給回 J13 秀茂坪中有什麼問題？

我強烈要求，為方便我們呢群需要社區各界關懷同照顧的長者樓秀暉樓，劃回秀茂坪中 J13 區。希望你們能關注你們認為不是問題的問題，社區能否和諧有賴你們的決策。謝謝！

RECEIVED 30 JUL 2018 ELECTORAL AFFAIRS COMMISSION

黃美蓮

2018 年 7 月 25 日

電郵地址：[redacted]

616

敬啟者，

本人住秀茂坪中選區，點解有秀暉樓一條村係西南邊，應該將佢哋劃去秀茂坪南區，所以要求將秀暉樓遷往秀茂坪中選區J13方便我們長者投票，求助。

關偉強

RECEIVED
30 JUL 2018
ELECTORAL AFFAIRS COMMISSION

2018.7.24

617

From: 01/08/2018 13:11

秀茂坪區居民聯會

致：選舉管理委員會

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀娟樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，有別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓組大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米。

你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

你們的理據是 J13 秀茂坪中選區的預測人口數超越了上限，但實際上 J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，也不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。更何況，有多個選區的口數超越了上限（例如 J27 龍港城），你們也接受，這是雙重標準。

預測人口不能嚴重偏離實際，現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重漏報戶口申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求，我們的訴求合情合理、有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

秀茂坪區居民聯會
2018 年 8 月 1 日

地址：[redacted]

01-AUG-2018 13:28 95% P.001

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

此為範本申述共 497 份(序號 618 – 1114)

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：陳可宜 簽名：Bee

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 619

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：陳東 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 620

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：梁雪敏 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 621

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：蔡潤明 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 622

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：許惠芳 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 623

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：許宜開 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 624

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：鍾福 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 625

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：熊妙華 簽名：熊妙華

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 626

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：高清河 簽名：KO

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 627

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：陳水嬌 簽名：陳水嬌

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 628

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：楊劍連 簽名：楊劍連

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 629

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：陳鳳來 簽名：陳鳳來

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 630

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：陳淑芳 簽名：陳淑芳

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 631

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：方模修 簽名：方模修

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 632

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：陳金偉 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 633

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：李耀貴 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 634

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：李月愛 簽名：李月愛

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 635

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：蔡福弟 簽名：蔡福弟

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 636

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：鍾自然 簽名：鍾

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 637

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：陳玉珍 簽名：陳

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 638

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 639

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 640

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 641

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 642

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 643

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 644

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 645

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 646

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：鄧玉如 簽名：鄧玉如

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 647

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：葉偉杰 簽名：葉偉杰

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 648

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：黃運蘭 簽名：黃運蘭

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 649

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：黃承輝 簽名：黃承輝

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 650

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：黃笑安 簽名：黃笑安

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 651

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：李妙環 簽名：李妙環

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 652

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：劉來玲 簽名：劉來玲

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 653

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：陳素蘭 簽名：陳素蘭

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 654

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 655

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：吳淑蘭 簽名：吳淑蘭

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 656

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：石偉聖 簽名：石偉聖

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 657

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：吳碧珊 簽名：吳碧珊

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 658

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：謝秀凡 簽名：謝秀凡

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 659

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：鄭國慶 簽名：鄭國慶

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 660

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：馮珠 簽名：馮珠

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 661

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：王瑞蘭 簽名：王

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 662

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：冼譚娣 簽名：十

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 663

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：余仲 簽名：余仲

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 664

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：徐金業 簽名：徐金業

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 665

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：鄭聖煌 簽名：鄭聖煌

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 666

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：吳麗麗 簽名：吳麗麗

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 667

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：鄭堃芳 簽名：鄭堃芳

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 668

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：鄭堃淙 簽名：鄭堃淙

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 669

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 670

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：黃志強 簽名：黃志強

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 671

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：蕭規發 簽名：蕭規發

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 672

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：黃麗霞 簽名：黃麗霞

2018 年 8 月 2 日

673

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：阮均映 簽名：阮均映

2018 年 8 月 2 日

674

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：阮均红 簽名：阮均红

2018 年 8 月 2 日

675

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：黃銀玉 簽名：黃銀玉

2018 年 8 月 2 日

676

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：余李梅 簽名：余李梅

2018 年 8 月 2 日

677

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：叶女弟 簽名：叶女

2018 年 8 月 2 日

678

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：張群弟 簽名：張

2018 年 8 月 2 日

679

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：陳金容 簽名：陳

2018 年 8 月 2 日

680

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：黃越華 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 2 日

681

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 2 日

682

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

683

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：李時劍 簽名：李時劍

2018 年 8 月 2 日

684

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：陳丽芳 簽名：陳丽芳

2018 年 8 月 2 日

685

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：黃利香 簽名：黃利香

2018 年 8 月 2 日

686

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：关结兰 簽名：关结兰

2018 年 8 月 2 日

687

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：何柳芳 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

688

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

689

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：陳顯 簽名：陳

2018 年 8 月 2 日

690

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：梁丽娟 簽名：梁丽娟

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 691

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 692

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 693

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 694

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 695

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 696

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 697

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 698

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 699

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 700

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：黃惠玲 簽名：玲

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 701

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：陳秀清 簽名：陳

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 702

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：蘇燕萍 簽名：蘇燕萍

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 703

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：陳佩秋 簽名：陳佩秋

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 704

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：孫秀慈 簽名：孫

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 705

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：譚倩卿 簽名：譚倩卿

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 706

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：陳鳳蓮 簽名：陳鳳蓮

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 707

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：陳金玉 簽名：玉

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 708

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：梁南 簽名：梁

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 709

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 710

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：何清圣 簽名：何清圣

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 711

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：吴剑 簽名：吴剑

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 712

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：陳嘉桂 簽名：陳

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 713

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 714

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 715

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：李蓮芳 簽名：李蓮芳

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 716

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：趙紫兒 簽名：Jacma

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 717

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：李森 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 718

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：張少芬 簽名：張少芬

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 719

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：陳旭 簽名：陳旭

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 720

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：黃金女 簽名：女

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 721

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：李炎芳 簽名：李炎芳

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 722

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：陳永倫 簽名：陳永倫

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 723

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：陳熹豪 簽名：陳熹豪

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 724

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：白美倫 簽名：白美倫

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 725

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：CHAN Ho Mai 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 726

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：葉維好 簽名：葉維好

2018 年 8 月 2 日

727

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：鍾昊恩 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 2 日

728

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：趙玉雯 簽名：趙玉雯

2018 年 8 月 2 日

729

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：林玉玲 簽名：林

2018 年 8 月 2 日

730

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：陳秀琴 簽名：陳

2018 年 8 月 2 日

731

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：鄭文英 簽名：鄭文英

2018 年 8 月 2 日

732

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：朱

2018 年 8 月 2 日

733

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：譚翠 簽名：譚翠

2018 年 8 月 2 日

734

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：吳

2018 年 8 月 2 日

735

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 736

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 737

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：強美珠 簽名：強

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 738

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：張妹 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 739

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：陳玉珍 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 740

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：黃明好 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 741

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：黃鳳儀 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 742

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：陳永文

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 743

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 744

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：胡素沙 簽名：胡素珍

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 745

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 746

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 747

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：黃慧英 簽名：黃慧英

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 748

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：林見南 簽名：林見南

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 749

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：馬淑蓮 簽名：馬淑蓮

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 750

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：陳玉嬋 簽名：陳玉嬋

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 751

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：江秀雲 簽名：江秀雲

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 752

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：王萬金 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 753

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

754

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

755

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

756

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

757

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

758

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

759

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

760

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

761

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

762

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

763

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：李慕芝 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 2 日

764

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

765

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：楊麗貞 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 2 日

766

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 2 日

767

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：林秀娟 簽名：林秀娟

2018 年 8 月 2 日

768

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 2 日

769

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：陳玉清 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 2 日

770

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 2 日

771

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 2 日

772

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

773

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

774

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

775

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

776

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

777

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

778

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

779

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

780

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 781

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：周國友 簽名：周

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 782

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：吳丽珠 簽名：吳丽珠

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 783

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：黃凱瑜 簽名：黃凱瑜

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 784

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：朱勇 簽名：朱勇

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 785

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 786

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：譚瑞燕 簽名：譚瑞燕

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 787

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：劉麗珍 簽名：劉麗珍

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 788

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 789

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：黃震暉 簽名：黃震暉

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 790

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：黃達坤 簽名：黃達坤

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 791

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：孫凌志 簽名：孫凌志

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 792

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：朱英 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 793

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 794

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：陳坤城 簽名：陳坤城

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 795

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 796

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：何美娜 簽名：何美娜

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 797

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：陳群 簽名：陳群

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 798

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

799

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：張楚雲 簽名：張楚雲

2018 年 8 月 2 日

800

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：鄭琴風 簽名：鄭琴風

2018 年 8 月 2 日

801

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：Au Man Kit 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 2 日

802

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：WAN CHIN YU 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 2 日

803

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：翁鎮光 簽名：翁鎮光

2018 年 8 月 2 日

804

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：李倩影 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 2 日

805

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：李生 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 2 日

806

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：吳梓蓮 簽名：吳梓蓮

2018 年 8 月 2 日

807

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：刘秋娟 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 2 日

808

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

809

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：陳世基 簽名：陳世基

2018 年 8 月 2 日

810

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：李炳 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

811

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

812

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：曾元英 簽名：曾元英

2018 年 8 月 2 日

813

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

814

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

815

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

816

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

817

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：楊炳喬 簽名：楊炳喬

2018 年 8 月 2 日

818

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：李永堂 簽名：李永堂

2018 年 8 月 2 日

819

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：黃錦輝 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

820

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：黃葉婵 簽名：黃慧榮

2018 年 8 月 2 日

821

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：申國強 簽名：申國強

2018 年 8 月 2 日

822

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：楊信鳳 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

823

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

824

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：X

2018 年 8 月 2 日

825

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

826

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

827

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

828

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：梁家成 簽名：梁家成

2018 年 8 月 2 日

829

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

830

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

831

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

832

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

833

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

834

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

835

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：刘炯耀 簽名：刘

2018 年 8 月 2 日

836

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：錢志強 簽名：錢志強

2018 年 8 月 2 日

837

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

838

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：歐桂英 簽名：歐桂英

2018 年 8 月 2 日

839

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：余丽芳 簽名：余

2018 年 8 月 2 日

840

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

841

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：李桂英 簽名：李桂英

2018 年 8 月 2 日

842

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

843

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

844

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：黃筋彭 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 2 日

845

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：李翠玉 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 2 日

846

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：徐力金 簽名：徐

2018 年 8 月 2 日

847

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名： 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 2 日

848

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：陳長鴻 簽名：陳

2018 年 8 月 2 日

849

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：汪再翔 簽名：汪

2018 年 8 月 2 日

850

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：朱揚 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 2 日

851

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名： 簽名：何智芳

2018 年 8 月 2 日

852

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名： 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 2 日

853

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

854

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：吳錫金 簽名：吳錫金

2018 年 8 月 2 日

855

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：王淑珠 簽名：王

2018 年 8 月 2 日

856

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

857

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：莊永凱 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 2 日 莊永凱

858

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

859

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

860

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

861

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

862

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

863

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：黃佩蘭 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

864

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

865

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

866

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

867

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

868

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

869

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

870

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

871

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：楊志平 簽名：楊志平

2018 年 8 月 2 日

872

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：范方如 簽名：范方如

2018 年 8 月 2 日

873

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：鄭錦照 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 2 日

874

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：周順輝 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 2 日

875

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：黃淑文 簽名：黃淑文

2018 年 8 月 2 日

876

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：李美娣 簽名：李美娣

2018 年 8 月 2 日

877

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 2 日

878

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：羅振榮 簽名：羅振榮

2018 年 8 月 2 日

879

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 2 日

880

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

881

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

882

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

883

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

884

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

885

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

886

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

887

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

888

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

889

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：黃金鳳 簽名：黃金鳳

2018 年 8 月 2 日

890

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：沈三妹 簽名：沈

2018 年 8 月 2 日

891

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：鄧慶群 簽名：鄧

2018 年 8 月 2 日

892

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：麥錦泉 簽名：麥錦泉

2018 年 8 月 2 日

893

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

894

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

895

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：陳國勳 簽名：國勳

2018 年 8 月 2 日

896

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：顏偉興 簽名：顏偉興

2018 年 8 月 2 日

897

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：陳奕在 簽名：陳

2018 年 8 月 2 日

898

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：李 簽名：李

2018 年 8 月 2 日

899

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

900

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

901

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：張瑞英 簽名：張瑞英

2018 年 8 月 2 日

902

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

903

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

904

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：張彩芝 簽名：張彩芝

2018 年 8 月 2 日

905

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：陳玲 簽名：陳玲

2018 年 8 月 2 日

906

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

907

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

908

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

909

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

910

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

911

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

912

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

913

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

914

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

915

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

916

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：吳振南 簽名：吳振南

2018 年 8 月 2 日

917

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

918

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：曾芳 簽名：曾芳

2018 年 8 月 2 日

919

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

920

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：李水 簽名：李水

2018 年 8 月 2 日

921

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：陳胖 簽名：陳胖

2018 年 8 月 2 日

922

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

923

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

924

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

925

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：鍾丙丙 簽名：鍾丙丙

2018 年 8 月 2 日

926

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：海珍 簽名：海珍

2018 年 8 月 2 日

927

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：溫 簽名：溫

2018 年 8 月 2 日

928

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 2 日

929

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 2 日

930

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 2 日

931

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 2 日

932

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 2 日

933

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 2 日

934

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：呂大 簽名：呂大

2018 年 8 月 2 日

935

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：王國全 簽名：王國全

2018 年 8 月 2 日

936

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：葉觀樺 簽名：葉觀樺

2018 年 8 月 2 日

937

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：朱浚源 簽名：朱

2018 年 8 月 2 日

938

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：王見容 簽名：容

2018 年 8 月 2 日

939

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

940

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

941

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：陳福采 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

942

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：何愛蘭 簽名：何愛蘭

2018 年 8 月 2 日

943

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

944

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：黃連興 簽名：黃連興

2018 年 8 月 2 日

945

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：蔡潤芳 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

946

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

947

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：何文光 簽名：何文光

2018 年 8 月 2 日

948

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：黃惠梅 簽名：黃惠梅

2018 年 8 月 2 日

949

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

950

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

951

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

952

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

953

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：高潔環 簽名：高潔環

2018 年 8 月 2 日

954

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：徐新發銀 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

955

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

956

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

957

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：湯內英 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

958

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

959

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

960

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

961

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

962

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

963

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

964

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

965

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

966

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

967

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

968

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

969

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

970

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

971

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：譚慧 簽名：譚慧

2018 年 8 月 2 日

972

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

973

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

974

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

975

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

976

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

977

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

978

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

979

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

980

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

981

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

982

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

983

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

984

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

985

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

986

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

987

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

988

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

989

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

990

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

991

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：王秋英 簽名：王秋英

2018 年 8 月 2 日

992

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

993

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

994

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

995

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

996

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

997

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

998

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：譚淑萍 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

999

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：陳淑愛 簽名：陳淑愛

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1000

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1001

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：黃宏連 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1002

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：周秀娣 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1003

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：任瑞心 簽名：任瑞心

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1004

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：蘇財明 簽名：蘇財明

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1005

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：陳[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1006

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1007

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1008

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1009

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1010

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1011

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1012

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1013

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1014

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1015

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：潘永雄 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1016

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：潘能強 簽名：潘能強

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1017

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1018

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1019

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1020

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1021

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：陳蓮儀 簽名：陳

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1022

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：李澤銘 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1023

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1024

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：潘建佳 簽名：潘

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1025

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：陳允秀 簽名：陳允秀

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1026

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1027

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1028

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1029

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1030

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1031

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1032

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1033

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：梁柳云 簽名：云

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1034

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1035

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：Tony Ho 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1036

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1037

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1038

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1039

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1040

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1041

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1042

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：劉佩芳 簽名：劉佩芳

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1043

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：曾雪梅 簽名：曾雪梅

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1044

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：劉 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1045

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：高現平 簽名：高現平

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1046

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：吳嘉治 簽名：吳嘉治

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1047

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1048

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：李海 簽名：李海

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1049

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：黃[illegible] 簽名：黃[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1050

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：余[illegible]漢 簽名：李[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1051

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1052

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：黃子耘 簽名：黃子耘

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1053

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1054

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1055

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：陳[illegible] 簽名：陳[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1056

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1057

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1058

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1059

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1060

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：林月琴 簽名：林

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1061

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：王 [illegible] 簽名：王

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1062

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：周 [illegible] 簽名：周

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1063

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：Lam 簽名：Lam

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1064

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：羅淑霞 簽名：羅淑霞

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1065

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：伍建強 簽名：伍建強

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1066

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1067

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1068

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：陳 [illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1069

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1070

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：潘易妃 簽名：潘易妃

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1071

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1072

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1073

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1074

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1075

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1076

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：X

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1077

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1078

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[signature]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1079

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：黃佩珍 簽名：黃佩珍

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1080

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：李惠琴 簽名：李惠琴

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1081

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：蔡錦雯 簽名：蔡錦雯

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1082

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：潘志恆 簽名：潘志恆

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1083

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：趙永祥 簽名：趙永祥

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1084

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：麥向陽 簽名：[signature]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1085

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：雷雁珍 簽名：雷雁珍

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1086

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：賴桂英 簽名：賴桂英

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1087

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1088

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：李信輝 簽名：李信輝

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1089

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1090

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1091

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1092

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1093

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1094

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1095

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1096

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：林和強 簽名：林和強

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1097

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：楊燕芳 簽名：楊燕芳

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1098

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：鍾就彩 簽名：彩

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1099

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：Janice

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1100

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：李[illegible] 簽名：Alex

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1101

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：陳錫安 簽名：陳

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1102

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1103

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：陳素莉 簽名：陳素莉

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1104

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1105

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1106

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1107

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1108

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1109

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：梁情芳 簽名：梁情芳

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1110

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：楊愛蘭 簽名：楊愛蘭

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1111

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1112

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1113

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

1114

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2016 年 8 月 2 日

致：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓

選舉管理委員會秘書處(FAX：2511 1682)

要求愛達樓及誠達樓編入 J14 安達選區

安達邨由仁、善、禮、智、愛、誠、俊、賢、孝、謙、正達樓組成，愛達樓及誠達樓也是屬於安達邨的一部分，希望 貴會可以考慮保留在 J14 安達選區，以保持社區的完整性。

如有查詢，可致電[redacted]，傳真：[redacted]或郵寄[redacted]。

意見人

許有為

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會

此為範本申述共 522 份(序號 1116 – 1637)

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持。特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：周風兒 簽名：周風兒

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1117

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀泉樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1118

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀泉樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1119

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀泉樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1120

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀泉樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1121

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀泉樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1122

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀泉樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1123

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀泉樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J15 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1124

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀泉樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1125

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀泉樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1126

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀徽樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樂、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1127

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀徽樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樂、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1128

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀徽樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樂、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1129

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀徽樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樂、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1130

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀徽樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樂、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1131

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀徽樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樂、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1132

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀徽樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樂、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1133

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀徽樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樂、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1134

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀徽樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樂、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1135

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：江惠倬 簽名：江惠倬

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1136

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：呂秋蓮 簽名：呂少蓮

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1137

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1138

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：蔡興偉 簽名：蔡興偉

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1139

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1140

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：王志礼 簽名：王志礼

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1141

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：葉國華 簽名：葉國華

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1142

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：潘惠雪 簽名：潘雪妹

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1143

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：徐妹 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1144

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：李木凡 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1145

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：李嘉雯 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1146

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：周桂芳 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1147

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：黃嘉琳 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1148

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：金鴻娣 簽名：金鴻娣

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1149

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：唐富良 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1150

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1151

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：吳海娟 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1152

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：趙鏡華 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1153

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀綿樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1154

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀綿樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1155

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀綿樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：李惠容 簽名：李惠容

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1156

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀綿樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

04/08/2018 09:42 #751 P.013/064

致：選舉管理委員會

1157

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀綿樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

04-AUG-2018 09:58 P.013

致：選舉管理委員會

1158

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀綿樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：王慕芳 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1159

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀綿樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：陳金銀 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1160

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀綿樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：文紫嫻 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1161

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀綿樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1162

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樓會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，簡直「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1163

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樓會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，簡直「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1164

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樓會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，簡直「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1165

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樓會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，簡直「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1166

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樓會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，簡直「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1167

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樓會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，簡直「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1168

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樓會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，簡直「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1169

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樓會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，簡直「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1170

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樓會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，簡直「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1171

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀逸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，雖道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：周敬秀 簽名：周敬秀

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1172

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀逸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，雖道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：陳嘉伸 簽名：伸

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1173

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀逸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，雖道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：陳靜 簽名：靜

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1174

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀逸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，雖道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：陳偉連 簽名：偉

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1175

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀逸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，雖道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1176

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀逸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，雖道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1177

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀逸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，雖道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：鄭玉琴 簽名：鄭

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1178

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀逸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，雖道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：吳美儀 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1179

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀逸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，雖道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：陳芍琳 簽名：陳芍琳

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1180

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盈樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：陳璧珩 簽名：陳

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1181

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盈樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：陳佩芙 簽名：陳

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1182

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盈樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：杜倬韓 簽名：杜

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1183

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盈樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：郭仁英 簽名：郭

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1184

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盈樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：葉翠鳳 簽名：葉

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1185

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盈樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：何鎮業 簽名：何

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1186

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盈樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：陳錦 簽名：陳錦

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1187

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盈樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：K C Ho 簽名：K C Ho

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1188

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盈樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：何愛思 簽名：何愛思

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1189

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀逸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13秀茂坪中選區的人口是19749人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約600至900人，J13秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是440人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是880人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是1320人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共440戶一人單位，每個單位面積是17.09平方米，住了513人，還有10個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在J13秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018年8月3日

致：選舉管理委員會 1190

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀逸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13秀茂坪中選區的人口是19749人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約600至900人，J13秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是440人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是880人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是1320人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共440戶一人單位，每個單位面積是17.09平方米，住了513人，還有10個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在J13秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：葉[illegible] 簽名：[redacted]

2018年8月3日

致：選舉管理委員會 1191

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀逸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13秀茂坪中選區的人口是19749人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約600至900人，J13秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是440人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是880人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是1320人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共440戶一人單位，每個單位面積是17.09平方米，住了513人，還有10個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在J13秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018年8月3日

致：選舉管理委員會 1192

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀逸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13秀茂坪中選區的人口是19749人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約600至900人，J13秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是440人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是880人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是1320人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共440戶一人單位，每個單位面積是17.09平方米，住了513人，還有10個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在J13秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：蔡[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018年8月3日

致：選舉管理委員會 1193

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀逸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13秀茂坪中選區的人口是19749人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約600至900人，J13秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是440人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是880人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是1320人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共440戶一人單位，每個單位面積是17.09平方米，住了513人，還有10個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在J13秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：胡桂[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018年8月3日

致：選舉管理委員會 1194

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀逸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13秀茂坪中選區的人口是19749人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約600至900人，J13秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是440人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是880人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是1320人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共440戶一人單位，每個單位面積是17.09平方米，住了513人，還有10個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在J13秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018年8月3日

致：選舉管理委員會 1195

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀逸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13秀茂坪中選區的人口是19749人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約600至900人，J13秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是440人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是880人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是1320人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共440戶一人單位，每個單位面積是17.09平方米，住了513人，還有10個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在J13秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：黃[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018年8月3日

致：選舉管理委員會 1196

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀逸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13秀茂坪中選區的人口是19749人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約600至900人，J13秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是440人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是880人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是1320人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共440戶一人單位，每個單位面積是17.09平方米，住了513人，還有10個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在J13秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：蔡[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018年8月3日

致：選舉管理委員會 1197

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀逸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13秀茂坪中選區的人口是19749人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約600至900人，J13秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是440人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是880人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是1320人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共440戶一人單位，每個單位面積是17.09平方米，住了513人，還有10個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在J13秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018年8月3日

致：選舉管理委員會 1198

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀逸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1199

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀逸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1200

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀逸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1201

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀逸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1202

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀逸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1203

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀逸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1204

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀逸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1205

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀逸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1206

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀逸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

1207

致：選舉管理委員會

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀豪樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀賢樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是獨逼戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：張秀霞 簽名：張

2018 年 8 月 3 日

1208

致：選舉管理委員會

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀豪樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀賢樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是獨逼戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

1209

致：選舉管理委員會

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀豪樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀賢樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是獨逼戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：陳鳳娣 簽名：陳鳳娣

2018 年 8 月 3 日

1210

致：選舉管理委員會

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀豪樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀賢樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是獨逼戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：朱婵娟 簽名：朱

2018 年 8 月 3 日

1211

致：選舉管理委員會

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀豪樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀賢樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是獨逼戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

1212

致：選舉管理委員會

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀豪樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀賢樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是獨逼戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

1213

致：選舉管理委員會

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀豪樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀賢樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是獨逼戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

1214

致：選舉管理委員會

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀豪樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀賢樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是獨逼戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：高玉珍 簽名：高玉珍

2018 年 8 月 3 日

1215

致：選舉管理委員會

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀豪樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀賢樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是獨逼戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：龍社根 簽名：龍社根

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1216

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀敏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是獨追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重誤追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1217

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀敏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是獨追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重誤追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：彭伙仔 簽名：彭伙仔

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1218

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀敏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是獨追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重誤追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1219

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀敏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是獨追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重誤追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1220

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀敏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是獨追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重誤追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1221

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀敏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是獨追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重誤追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1222

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀敏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是獨追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重誤追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1223

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀敏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是獨追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重誤追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1224

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀敏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是獨追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重誤追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1225

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實，J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19745 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1226

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實，J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19745 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1227

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實，J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19745 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：張瑞雄 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1228

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實，J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1229

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實，J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：HUNG CAI MAN 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1230

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實，J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1231

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實，J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：李翠瓊 簽名：李翠瓊

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1232

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實，J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1233

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實，J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：李翠珠 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1234

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀雅樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撚迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1235

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀雅樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撚迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1236

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀雅樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撚迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：Chan K. Yan 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1237

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀雅樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撚迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：陳偉良 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1238

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀雅樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撚迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1239

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀雅樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撚迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1240

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀雅樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撚迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：吳倩兒 簽名：NG

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1241

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀雅樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撚迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1242

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀雅樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撚迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：maggie 簽名：maggie

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1243

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀徽樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1244

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀徽樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：CHEN YAN 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1245

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀徽樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：陳蘭卿 簽名：陳

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1246

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀徽樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：李錦珠 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1247

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀徽樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：周清華 簽名：周

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1248

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀徽樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1249

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀徽樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：Winnie Chen 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1250

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀徽樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1251

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀徽樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1252

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1253

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1254

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1255

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1256

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1257

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1258

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1259

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1260

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1261

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撚迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：叶冠藩 叶 簽名：_____

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1262

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撚迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：王子明 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1263

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撚迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：陳 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1264

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撚迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：朱柏吉 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1265

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撚迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：張志高 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1266

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撚迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：林 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1267

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撚迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：鍾月芳 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1268

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撚迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1269

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撚迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1270

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：趙美 簽名：美

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1271

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：加五王珍 簽名：珍

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1272

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：梁 [illegible] 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1273

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：方有采 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1274

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：麥惠娟 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1275

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：劉花 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1276

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：望 [illegible] 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1277

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：林果安 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1278

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：黃妙玲 簽名：黃

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1279

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盈樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：黃燦泊 簽名：流

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1280

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盈樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：葉瑞芙 簽名：芙

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1281

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盈樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：鄭潔嫻 簽名：鄭潔嫻

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1282

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盈樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：陳玉芳 簽名：陳

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1283

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盈樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：鍾桂花 簽名：花

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1284

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選...

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盈樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：陳沛德 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1285

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盈樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：陳雪華 簽名：陳雪華

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1286

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盈樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：鄭玉珍 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1287

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盈樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：蔡秀琴 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1288

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀徽樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撕迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撕迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：黃仕晴 簽名：黃仕晴

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1289

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀徽樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撕迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撕迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：伍仲謀 簽名：伍

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1290

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀徽樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撕迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撕迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：王惠儀 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1291

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀徽樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撕迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撕迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：蕭雨云 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1292

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀徽樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撕迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撕迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：溫穎茵 簽名：茵

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1293

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀徽樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撕迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撕迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：溫定強 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1294

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀徽樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撕迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撕迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：蔡小凍 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1295

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀徽樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撕迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撕迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：溫穎茹 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1296

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀徽樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撕迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撕迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1297

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盈樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是獨追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重獨追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：鄭元娣 簽名：鄭

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1298

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盈樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是獨追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重獨追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：俞幸香 簽名：俞幸香

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1299

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盈樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是獨追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重獨追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：蔣小羽 簽名：蔣

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1300

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盈樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是獨追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重獨追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：李勤思 簽名：李

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1301

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盈樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是獨追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重獨追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：彭鑑珍 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1302

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盈樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是獨追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重獨追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：黃希雲 簽名：黃

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1303

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盈樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是獨追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重獨追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：劉金峰 簽名：劉金峰

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1304

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盈樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是獨追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重獨追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：鄺台津 簽名：鄺

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1305

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盈樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是獨追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重獨追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：李倬云 簽名：李倬云

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1306

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀豐樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐過戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重誇迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1307

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀豐樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐過戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重誇迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：梁麟英 簽名：梁麟英

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1308

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀豐樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐過戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重誇迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：THT 簽名：THT

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1309

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀豐樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐過戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重誇迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：蔡秀文 簽名：蔡秀文

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1310

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀豐樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐過戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重誇迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1311

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀豐樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐過戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重誇迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：吳美婷 簽名：吳美婷

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1312

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀豐樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐過戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重誇迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：CHAN 簽名：TING

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1313

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀豐樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐過戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重誇迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1314

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀豐樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐過戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重誇迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1315

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盈樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實，J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1316

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盈樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實，J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1317

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盈樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實，J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1318

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盈樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實，J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1319

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盈樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實，J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1320

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盈樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實，J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1321

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盈樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實，J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1322

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盈樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實，J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1323

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盈樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實，J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1324

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實，J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是獨追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：朱 簽名：朱

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1325

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實，J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是獨追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：陳良 簽名：陳良

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1326

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實，J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是獨追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：魏仕臻 簽名：魏仕臻

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1327

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實，J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是獨追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：鄺秀月 簽名：鄺秀月

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1328

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實，J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是獨追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：鄭玉新 簽名：鄭玉新

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1329

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實，J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是獨追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：柯少球 簽名：柯少球

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1330

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實，J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是獨追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名： 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1331

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實，J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是獨追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：李能霞 簽名：李能霞

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1332

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實，J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是獨追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：楊寶琴 簽名：楊寶琴

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1333

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀澤樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實，J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：陳映瑩 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1334

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀澤樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實，J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1335

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀澤樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實，J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：陳淑芳 簽名：陳淑芳

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1336

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀澤樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實，J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：Ko Kam Lo 簽名：Ko Kam Lo

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1337

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀澤樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實，J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1338

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀澤樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實，J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：鍾娟新 簽名：鍾娟新

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1339

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀澤樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實，J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1340

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀澤樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實，J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：LO

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1341

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀澤樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實，J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：Yuen Lai Chi 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1342

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嫻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實，J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：江[redacted] 簽名：江

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1343

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嫻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實，J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：何[redacted] 簽名：何

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1344

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嫻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實，J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1345

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嫻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實，J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：林[redacted] 簽名：林

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1346

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嫻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實，J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：楊[redacted] 簽名：楊

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1347

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嫻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實，J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：馮[redacted] 簽名：馮[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1348

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嫻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實，J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：冰[redacted] 簽名：冰

2018 年 8 月 3

致：選舉管理委員會

1349

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嫻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實，J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1350

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嫻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實，J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1351

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀敏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：林曉聰 簽名：[signature]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1352

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀敏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[signature]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1353

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀敏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[signature]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1354

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀敏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[signature]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1355

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀敏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[signature]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1356

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀敏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[signature]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1357

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀敏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[signature]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1358

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀敏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[signature]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1359

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀敏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[signature]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1360

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀敏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重誇追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1361

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀敏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重誇追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1362

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀敏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重誇追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1363

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀敏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重誇追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1364

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀敏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重誇追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1365

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀敏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重誇追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1366

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀敏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重誇追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1367

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀敏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重誇追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1368

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀敏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重誇追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J15 秀茂坪中選區內人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1369

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是預追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重預追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：李超榮 簽名：李超榮

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1370

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是預追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重預追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：陳富雄 簽名：陳富雄

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1371

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是預追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重預追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：郭慧蓮 簽名：郭慧蓮

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1372

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是預追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重預追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1373

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是預追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重預追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1374

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是預追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重預追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：黃業穩 簽名：黃業穩

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1375

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是預追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重預追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：邱德維 簽名：邱德維

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1376

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是預追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重預追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：朱拖 簽名：朱拖

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1377

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是預追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重預追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1378

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀逸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀賢樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1379

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀逸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀賢樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1380

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀逸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀賢樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1381

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀逸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀賢樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1382

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀逸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀賢樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：蘇樂卿 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1383

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀逸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀賢樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：黃寶香 簽名：太太

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1384

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀逸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀賢樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：蕭金鴻 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1385

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀逸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀賢樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1386

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀逸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀賢樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1387

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1388

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1389

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1390

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1391

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：王禹謹 簽名：王禹謹

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1392

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：林華頌 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1393

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：趙玉陽 簽名：趙玉陽

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1394

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1395

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1396

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐組戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐組戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：黃金鶯 簽名：黃金鶯

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1397

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐組戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐組戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1398

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐組戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐組戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：梁頌豪 簽名：梁頌豪

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1399

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐組戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐組戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：黃賢商 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1400

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐組戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐組戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1401

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐組戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐組戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：黃偉雄 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1402

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐組戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐組戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1403

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐組戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐組戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：區明宏 簽名：區明宏

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1404

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐組戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐組戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1405

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀華樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀華樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實情人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：黃翠香 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1406

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀華樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實情人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：吳淑儀 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1407

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀華樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實情人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：譚鎮濤 簽名：譚鎮濤

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1408

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀華樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實情人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：李泳福 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1409

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀華樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實情人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：李志成 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1410

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀華樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實情人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：葉碧珊 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1411

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀華樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實情人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：何燕珠 簽名：何

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1412

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀華樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實情人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：傅成林 簽名：傅

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1413

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀華樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實情人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名： 簽名：陳婵珠

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1414

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，雖選「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1415

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J15 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，雖選「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1416

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，雖選「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1417

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，雖選「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1418

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，雖選「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1419

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，雖選「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1420

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，雖選「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1421

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，雖選「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1422

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，雖選「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1423

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀逸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀澤樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是…千多人，雖道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1424

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀逸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀澤樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是…千多人，雖道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1425

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀逸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀澤樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是…千多人，雖道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1426

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀逸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀澤樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是…千多人，雖道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1427

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀逸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀澤樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是…千多人，雖道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1428

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀逸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀澤樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是…千多人，雖道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1429

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀逸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀澤樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是…千多人，雖道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1430

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀逸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀澤樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是…千多人，雖道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1431

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀逸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀澤樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是…千多人，雖道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1432

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀寶樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實，J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撚迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座總合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：盧樹舜 簽名：盧

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1433

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀寶樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實，J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撚迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座總合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1434

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀寶樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實，J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撚迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座總合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1435

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀寶樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實，J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撚迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座總合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1436

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀寶樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實，J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撚迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座總合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1437

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀寶樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實，J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撚迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座總合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1438

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀寶樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實，J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撚迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座總合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1439

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀寶樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實，J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撚迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座總合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1440

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀寶樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實，J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撚迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座總合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1441

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀敏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是獨迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1442

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀敏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是獨迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1443

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀敏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是獨迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1444

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀敏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是獨迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1445

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀敏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是獨迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1446

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀敏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是獨迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1447

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀敏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是獨迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1448

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀敏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是獨迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1449

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀敏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是獨迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1450

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是獨逼戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 離這「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

[redacted]

姓名：邱嘉榮 簽名：邱嘉榮

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1451

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是獨逼戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 離這「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

[redacted]

姓名：劉紫鈞 簽名：劉

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1452

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是獨逼戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 離這「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

[redacted]

姓名：李潤光 簽名：李

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1453

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是獨逼戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 離這「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

[redacted]

姓名：施家宇 簽名：施

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1454

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是獨逼戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 離這「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

[redacted]

姓名：李[illegible]平 簽名：平

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1455

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是獨逼戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 離這「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

[redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1456

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是獨逼戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 離這「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

[redacted]

姓名：李[illegible]如 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1457

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是獨逼戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 離這「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

[redacted]

姓名：Hau Pui Ling 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1458

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是獨逼戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 離這「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

[redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1459

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盈樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：李瑞琴 簽名：李

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1460

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盈樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：郭謙祖 簽名：郭謙祖

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1461

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盈樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：潘幸有 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1462

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盈樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：刘玉琼 簽名：刘

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1463

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盈樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：何叫易 簽名：何

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1464

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盈樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1465

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盈樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：刘月琼 簽名：刘

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1466

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盈樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1467

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盈樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1468

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀敏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：陳靜芳 簽名：陳

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1469

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀敏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1470

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀敏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1471

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀敏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：李細壽 簽名：李細壽

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1472

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀敏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：何明 簽名：何

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1473

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀敏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：林榮濠 簽名：林

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1474

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀敏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1475

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀敏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1476

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀敏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：麥宏輝 簽名：麥

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1477

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀逸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 雖道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撚迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：麥華樂 簽名：麥

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1478

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀逸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 雖道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撚迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1479

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀逸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 雖道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撚迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1480

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀逸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 雖道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撚迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1481

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀逸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 雖道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撚迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1482

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀逸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 雖道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撚迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：張慧琳 簽名：張

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1483

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀逸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 雖道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撚迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1484

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀逸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 雖道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撚迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1485

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀逸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 雖道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撚迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1486

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重誇迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：鍾秋枝 簽名：[signature]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1487

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重誇迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：余玉蓮 簽名：[signature]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1488

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重誇迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：陳汉容 簽名：[signature]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1489

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重誇迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：王清琳 簽名：[signature]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1490

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重誇迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[signature]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1491

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重誇迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[signature]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1492

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重誇迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：林美蓉 簽名：[signature]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1493

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重誇迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：余顯英 簽名：[signature]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1494

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重誇迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：林桂鸞 簽名：[signature]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1495

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盈樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是劏房戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重劏房戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們採重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：仲新芳 簽名：仲

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1496

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盈樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是劏房戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重劏房戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：蘇雅慧 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1497

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盈樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是劏房戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重劏房戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：余老安 簽名：余

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1498

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盈樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是劏房戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重劏房戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1499

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盈樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是劏房戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重劏房戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：譚翠淳 簽名：淳

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1500

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盈樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是劏房戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重劏房戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：何美紅 簽名：何

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1501

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盈樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是劏房戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重劏房戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：林婉 簽名：林

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1502

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盈樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是劏房戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重劏房戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：何孝民 簽名：何

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1503

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盈樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是劏房戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重劏房戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：黃就和 簽名：黃

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1504

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盤樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是獨追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1505

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盤樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是獨追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：吳寶珊 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1506

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盤樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是獨追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：林丽华 簽名：林

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1507

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盤樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是獨追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1508

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盤樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是獨追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1509

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盤樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是獨追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1510

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盤樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是獨追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3

致：選舉管理委員會

1511

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盤樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是獨追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1512

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盤樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是獨追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1513

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀逸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：陳潤冰 簽名：陳

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1514

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀逸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：陳英 簽名：陳

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1515

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀逸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：周潤清 簽名：周潤清

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1516

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀逸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：陳少英 簽名：陳

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1517

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀逸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：劉某云 簽名：劉

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1518

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀逸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：吳偉民 簽名：吳

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1519

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀逸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：陳佩寧 簽名：陳

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1520

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀逸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：林遠珠 簽名：林

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1521

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀逸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：秀鈴 簽名：秀鈴

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1522

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區、這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撥迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撥迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：周土蘭 簽名：周土蘭

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1523

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區、這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撥迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撥迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1524

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區、這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撥迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撥迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：陳金榮 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1525

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區、這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撥迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撥迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1526

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區、這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撥迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撥迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1527

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區、這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撥迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撥迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：林家齊 簽名：林

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1528

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區、這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撥迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撥迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：伍海泉 簽名：伍海泉

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1529

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區、這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撥迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撥迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：張秀然 簽名：張

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1530

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶸樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區、這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撥迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撥迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：CHAN Man Ling 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1531

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 繼續「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：李偉林 簽名：李

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1532

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 繼續「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1533

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 繼續「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1534

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 繼續「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1535

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 繼續「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1536

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 繼續「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1537

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 繼續「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1538

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 繼續「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1539

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 繼續「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1540

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：朱仁達 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1541

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1542

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：李秀喜 簽名：李秀喜

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1543

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1544

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：呂珠潔 簽名：呂珠潔

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1545

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：Yu Yin Shuen 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1546

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1547

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：何玉琳 簽名：何

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1548

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1549

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀惠樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1550

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀惠樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1551

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀惠樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1552

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀惠樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：許玉心 簽名：許

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1553

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀惠樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：林秋 簽名：林

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1554

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀惠樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1555

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀惠樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：施比玉 簽名：施比玉

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1556

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀惠樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1557

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀惠樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：羅彩雲 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1558

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀敏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1559

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀敏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1560

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀敏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1561

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀敏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1562

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀敏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1563

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀敏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1564

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀敏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1565

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀敏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1566

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀敏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1567

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座總合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：李 [redacted] 簽名：李 [redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1568

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座總合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：朱 [redacted] 簽名：朱 [redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1569

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座總合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1570

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座總合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1571

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座總合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1572

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座總合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1573

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座總合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：鄭玉蓮 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1574

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座總合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1575

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座總合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1576

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：梁桂 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1577

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1578

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1579

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1580

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1581

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1582

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1583

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1584

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1585

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀徽樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撚迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座複合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1586

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀徽樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撚迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座複合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1587

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀徽樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撚迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座複合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1588

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀徽樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撚迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座複合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：黃國偉 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1589

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀徽樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撚迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座複合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：黃麗華 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1590

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀徽樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撚迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座複合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1591

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀徽樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撚迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座複合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：劉婉淑 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1592

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀徽樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撚迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座複合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：李美霞 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1593

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀徽樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撚迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座複合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1594

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：何榮華 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1595

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1596

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：李振祥 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1597

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1598

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：陳雪貞 簽名：陳

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1599

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：邵財榮 簽名：邵

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1600

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：劉小敬 簽名：劉

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1601

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1602

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀基樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：陳月明 簽名：陳

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1603

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：余妙霞 簽名：霞

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1604

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1605

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1606

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：賴彥庭 簽名：賴

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1607

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：陳宏琪 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1608

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：林佩欣 簽名：林佩欣

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1609

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1610

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1611

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1612

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：結兰 簽名：兰

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1613

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：鄧佳兼 簽名：鄧佳兼

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1614

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：黃顯 簽名：黃

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1615

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：周乃芳 簽名：周乃芳

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1616

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：李木勤 簽名：李

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1617

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：黃巧鈴 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1618

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：謝秀清 簽名：謝秀清

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1619

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：伍佩琼 簽名：伍

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1620

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：吳志明 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1621

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實，J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撚追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：潘明玉 簽名：潘

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1622

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實，J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撚追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：譚明萍 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1623

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實，J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撚追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1624

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實，J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撚追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：周艷華 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1625

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實，J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撚追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：梁喜文 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1626

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實，J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撚追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：陳勉芬 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1627

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實，J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撚追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：余化述 簽名：余化述

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1628

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實，J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撚追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會 1629

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀盛樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實，J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區，但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撚追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撚追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：TAM SAU NUI 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1630

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1631

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1632

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1633

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1634

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1635

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

1636

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你

致：選舉管理委員會

1637

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰追戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰追戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13秀茂坪中選區

包括「秀暉樓」在內，我們七個互助委員會自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持。特別是「秀暉樓」，我們在區內稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，全座樓合共440戶一人單位，每個單位面積是17.09平方米，現時住了513人，還有10個空置單位。

預測人口不能嚴重偏離實際，現時你們的預測，J13秀茂坪中選區的人口是19749人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約600至900人，J13秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將我們「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，要將「秀暉樓」分離出去，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！

既然目前是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求，我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在J13秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

秀緻樓互助委員會 秀景樓互助委員會 秀裕樓互助委員會

秀慧樓互助委員會 秀賢樓互助委員會 秀程樓互助委員會

秀暉樓互助委員會

證明正本及副本

簽署：[redacted]

2018年8月1日

秀茂坪街坊福利會

致：選舉管理委員會

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13秀茂坪中選區的人口是19749人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約600至900人，J13秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是440人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是880人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是1320人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共440戶一人單位，每個單位面積是17.09平方米，住了513人，還有10個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在J13秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

秀茂坪街坊福利會
2018年8月1日

地址：[redacted]

秀茂坪潮僑街坊孟蘭勝會

致：選舉管理委員會

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13秀茂坪中選區的人口是19749人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約600至900人，J13秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是440人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是880人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是1320人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共440戶一人單位，每個單位面積是17.09平方米，住了513人，還有10個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在J13秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

秀茂坪潮僑街坊孟蘭勝會
2018年8月2日

[redacted]

招利聖君古廟有限公司

致：選舉管理委員會

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13秀茂坪中選區的人口是19749人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約600至900人，J13秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是440人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是880人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是1320人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共440戶一人單位，每個單位面積是17.09平方米，住了513人，還有10個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在J13秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

招利聖君古廟有限公司
2018年8月2日

[redacted]

1642

From:

秀暉樓互助委員會

致：選舉管理委員會

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13秀茂坪中選區

各位高官們，請你們不要為難我們這些老人家，請你們不要虐老，請不要將我們「秀暉樓」編入J15（秀茂坪南）選區，請將我們「秀暉樓」繼續保留在J13（秀茂坪中）原區。

我們「秀暉樓」是一幢公屋樓宇，全幢合共440戶一人單位，每個單位面積是17.09平方米，故全幢都是長者一人獨居或者是二人長者家庭為主，如果戶籍內有三個人，就已經是擠迫戶，可以申請改善居住空間調遷。

「秀暉樓」大部分是獨居長者，部分是獨居的中年人或雙老，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是440人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是880人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是1320人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，即是簡單地將戶數乘3或乘3.5，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬！秀暉樓440戶，現時住了513人，還有10個空置單位。

如果你們將估算的人口貼近實際，大約600至800人，繼續保留在J13（秀茂坪中）原區界內，也不會超越人口上限，但偏偏你們就將我們「秀暉樓」的人口數將大，將我們編入鄰區，打破了我們多年來的習慣，我們都是七十幾八十幾歲的獨居老人，請不要虐待我們。

秀暉樓互助委員會

主席：李招娣 李招娣　副主席：陳錦秋 陳錦秋

秘書：何鴛芳 何鴛芳　司庫：石偉堅 石偉堅

核數：林月歡 林月歡

2018年7月31日

地址：[redacted]　電話：[redacted]

07-AUG-2018 12:43　95%　P.001

1643(1)

有關二零一九年區議會觀塘選區分界臨時建議之意見反映劃界安排對啟田大廈選民不公
07/08/2018 14:27
Hide Details
From: [redacted]

To: "eacenq@reo.gov.hk" <eacenq@reo.gov.hk>

[redacted]

1 Attachment

啟田大廈-反映觀塘區議會2019選舉劃界不公180802.jpg

香港灣仔港灣道25號海港中心10樓
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生 GBS

馮主席：

有關二零一九年區議會觀塘選區分界臨時建議之意見反映
劃界安排對啟田大廈選民不公

本法團從諮詢文件獲悉下一屆區議會選舉，觀塘平田選區(J20)及藍田選區(J19)被調整分界，啟田大廈將由藍田選區劃分至平田選區，此舉實在對啟田大廈選民極度不公！

根據紀錄，啟田大廈於過去兩屆區議會選舉中，均被劃進不同選區（即2011年屬景田選區及2015年屬藍田選區），本人認為2019年若再將本大廈重新劃進平田選區，啟田大廈將連續三屆被劃分在不同選區。

7/8/2018

1643(2)

過度頻繁轉區會令啟田大廈選民無所適從，在尋求所屬區議員服務及表達意見時造成混淆，每次選舉在不同票站投票亦會降低選民投票意欲和造成混亂，居民更難對所屬選區產生歸屬感。

懇請主席認真考慮意見，如有任何垂詢，歡迎致電聯絡，謝謝！

順祝
工作愉快！

啟田大廈業主立案法團主席
張勝松

2018年8月2日

1643(3)

啟田大廈業主立案法團

九龍藍田啟田道59-61號啟田大廈

電話：[redacted]　傳真：[redacted]

香港灣仔港灣道25號海港中心10樓
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生 GBS

馮主席：

有關二零一九年區議會觀塘選區分界臨時建議之意見反映
劃界安排對啟田大廈選民不公

本法團從諮詢文件獲悉下一屆區議會選舉，觀塘平田選區(J20)及藍田選區(J19)被調整分界，啟田大廈將由藍田選區劃分至平田選區，此舉實在對啟田大廈選民極度不公！

根據紀錄，啟田大廈於過去兩屆區議會選舉中，均被劃進不同選區（即2011年屬景田選區及2015年屬藍田選區），本人認為2019年若再將本大廈重新劃進平田選區，啟田大廈將連續三屆被劃分在不同選區。

過度頻繁轉區會令啟田大廈選民無所適從，在尋求所屬區議員服務及表達意見時造成混淆，每次選舉在不同票站投票亦會降低選民投票意欲和造成混亂，居民更難對所屬選區產生歸屬感。

懇請主席認真考慮意見，如有任何垂詢，歡迎致電聯絡，謝謝！

順祝
工作愉快！

啟田大廈業主立案法團主席
張勝松

2018年8月2日

順秀粵曲賢藝社

致：選舉管理委員會

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

順秀粵曲賢藝社
2018 年 8 月 3 日

[redacted]

聯光佛堂有限公司

致：選舉管理委員會

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

聯光佛堂有限公司
2018 年 8 月 3 日

[redacted]

新青年秀茂坪

致：選舉管理委員會

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

地址：[redacted]

觀塘地區分界--牛頭角下村 09/08/2018 23:40
From: [redacted]
To: "eacenq@reo.gov.hk" <eacenq@reo.gov.hk>

選舉管理委員會執事先生，

本人對區議會觀塘地區分界-牛頭角下村選區有所不滿.
本人居於樂雅苑. 樂雅苑----無論在地理環境上,地區設施的配置上,明明是與樂華北村緊密相連,每天的生活,購物,進食,活動及交通都與樂華村息息相關. 但偏偏區議會分界, 不知為何原因,一直
以來都與北村分開,之前屬於通過的彩盈村,及其後又歸于牛頭角下村,致使區議員對樂雅苑一帶環境設施從未作出改善建議, 如擴闊通往樂華村商場的安全過道等.
本人因此認為應將樂雅苑撥入樂華北村分區, 方便統一管理.

樂雅苑居民 Ken YU 上

1647(2)

Fw: 觀塘地區分界--牛頭角下村 16/08/2018 20:56

From: [redacted]
To: "eacenq@reo.gov.hk" <eacenq@reo.gov.hk>

----- 轉寄的郵件 -----
寄件人：[redacted]
收件人： ktdc_secretariat_gr@had.gov.hk <ktdc_secretariat_gr@had.gov.hk>
傳送日期： 2018年8月16日星期四 下午8:52:14 [GMT+8]
主旨： Fw: 觀塘地區分界--牛頭角下村

----- 轉寄的郵件 -----
寄件人：[redacted]
收件人： eacenq@reo.gov.hk <eacenq@reo.gov.hk>
傳送日期： 2018年8月9日星期四 下午11:40:15 [GMT+8]
主旨： 觀塘地區分界--牛頭角下村

選舉管理委員會執事先生.

本人對區議會觀塘地區分界-牛頭角下村選區 有所不滿.
本人居於樂雅苑. 樂雅苑---無論在地理環境上,地區設施的配置上,明明是與樂華北村緊密相連, 每天的生活,購物,進食,活動及交通都與樂華村息息相關. 但偏偏區議會分界, 不知為何原因,一直
以來都與北村分開,之前屬 於遮遮的彩盈村,及其後又歸于牛頭角下村.致使區議員對
樂雅苑一帶環境設施從未作出改善建議, 如振華道曲梯安裝升降機,曲梯斜坡樹本保養
及改善通往樂華村商場的安全通道等.
本人因此認為應將樂雅苑撥入樂華北村分區, 避免將樂雅苑邊沿化 ,方便統一管理.
況且北村人口尚欠24百份率才滿標, 而加入約五萬多的人口的景觀苑才納為屬標.
本人懇請各委員考慮上述建議, 謝謝!@

樂雅苑居民 Ken YU 上

17/8/2018

1648

寶達邨應為同一選區 10/08/2018 09:54

From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

馮驊主席：

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人，

但在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3區(達雪樓、達信樓、達佳樓)連到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達華樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

我們認為寶達邨13座樓應維持在一個選區內，保證社區完整。

而將秀明樓，秀安樓，秀富樓劃入與秀茂坪南邨作為一個選區，理據一，這幾座樓同屬於秀茂坪；理據二，地理位置更鄰近；理據三，同屬民政事務處秀茂坪分區(寶達邨13座樓屬於四順分區)。

城市智庫選舉研究委員班

10/8/2018

From: 10/08/2016 15:2

1649(1)

民建聯 觀塘支部 李慧琼立法會議員 柯創盛立法會議員 張培剛觀塘區議員

致：選舉管理委員會

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區
反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

以你們選管會的考慮因素，為使選區 J14（安達）的人口能符合法例許可幅度，將安達邨餐達樓及誠達樓轉編入鄰近的選區 J13（秀茂坪中），同時將選區 J13（秀茂坪中）原區界內的秀茂坪邨「秀暉樓」轉編入鄰近的選區 J15（秀茂坪南）。經調整後，選區 J13（秀茂坪中）的預計人口是 19749 人（+18.98%），而你們的標準是不超出 20749 人（25%）。也是說，你們預計「秀暉樓」的人口有一千多人，如果繼續留在原選區秀茂坪中 J13 的區界內，超過了人口標準。

一、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

「秀暉樓」是一幢公屋樓宇，全幢合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，故全幢都是長者獨居，或中年人獨居為主，因為如果戶籍內有三個人，就已經是擠迫戶，可以申請改善居住空間調遷。「秀暉樓」大部分是獨居長者，部分是獨居的中年人或雙老家庭。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，即是簡單地將戶數乘 3 或乘 3.5，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？荒謬至極！秀暉樓 440 戶，現時住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。綜上所述，如果將「秀暉樓」的預測人口貼近現實，大約 600 至 800 人，就不會超越 J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口標準上限。

二、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀毅樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持。特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

九龍 [redacted] KLN.
Tel: [redacted] Fax: [redacted] Email: [redacted]

10-AUG-2018 15:38 95% P.001

From: 10/08/2018 15:21

1649(2)

民建聯 觀塘支部 李慧琼立法會議員 柯創盛立法會議員 張培剛觀塘區議員

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

有 1232 個區內居民提交信件反對你們的建議。有 14 個區內團體提交信件反對你們提出的建議，包括受影響的秀暉樓互助委員會，以及秀盛樓互助委員會、秀景樓互助委員會、秀裕樓互助委員會、秀慧樓互助委員會、秀程樓互助委員會、秀賢樓互助委員會、秀茂坪區居民聯會、秀茂坪街坊福利會、新青年秀茂坪、順秀粵曲賢聯社、秀茂坪滯優街坊盂蘭勝會、招利聖君古廟有限公司、聚光佛堂有限公司。

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。既然現在是諮詢階段，希望你們真的可以細心聆聽市民意見，用心回應。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民關邨十八年同一選區的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

民建聯 觀塘支部

立法會議員 李慧琼
立法會議員 柯創盛
觀塘區議員 張培剛

2018 年 8 月 10 日

九龍 [redacted] KLN.
Tel: [redacted] Fax: [redacted] Email: [redacted]

10-AUG-2018 15:39 95% P.002

1650(1)

選管會秘書處

關於《2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議》暫定區議會選區範圍公告，原本秀茂坪中(J13)選區的服務設施大樓。在 J13，J15 都找不到？在地圖有劃在 J13 選區，是否漏了上載。

希望選管會在制訂正式建議時將服務設施大樓增加到 J13 區。致謝！

秀茂坪邨[redacted]

[redacted]

2018 年 7 月 31 日

請不要公開個人資料

1650(2)

選管會秘書處

反對將秀暉樓劃去秀茂坪南選區

《2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議》公告，將原本秀茂坪中的秀暉樓劃到 J15 秀茂坪南選區；因秀暉樓是座長者樓，全座只得 440 戶，大多數是獨居長者，很需要社區的照顧。人數也不過 600 人，根據法定人數 12 449 人至 20 749 人；現秀茂坪中 J13 人口 19749 人，加上秀暉樓及服務設施大樓(約 130 人)都不超過標準人口基數 20749，再說你們也應該考慮須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持。強硬將一個完整的社區挖一座出去，對管理服務產生的矛盾，社會的和諧穩定有一定的影響，希望你們在制訂正式建議時，慎審考慮將秀暉樓劃回秀茂坪中 J13 區。致謝！

秀茂坪邨[redacted]

[redacted]

2018 年 7 月 31 日

煩請不要公開個人資料

1651

觀塘區議會 KWUN TONG D. STRICT COUNCIL　黃春平 議員 WONG CHUN PING DISTRICT COUNCILLOR

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊, GBS :

關於反對 2019 選區劃界將秀明樓劃離秀茂坪北選區事宜

得知選委會《2019 區議會選舉劃界方案》(諮詢文件)發出後，本人認為選區劃界需要保持社區完整性和顧及選民投票習慣、生活和歷史淵源。故此，本人反對將原秀茂坪北選區的秀明樓劃離秀茂坪北選區。

此致，並祝主席
台安！

觀塘區議員 黃春平

二零一八年八月二日

地址: [redacted]
電郵E-MAIL: [redacted]
電話TEL: [redacted]
傳真FAX: [redacted]

1652(1)

致: 香港特別行政區政府 - 選舉管理委員會

抄送: 香港行政長官, 民政事務局局長, 民政事務處常任秘書長, 觀塘區議會主席, 觀塘民政事務處主任, 警民關係主任, 油翠和油塘西選區各互助委員主席.

由: 杜振光(工商管理博士), 香港中國金融協會會員, 香港大學專業進修學院(成人教育及培訓深造文憑), 北京大學哈佛大學(全球領導力)暨油塘區居民互助聯會及選區各選票持分者.

選舉管理委員會主席 - 馮驊閣下鈞鑒:

主題: 區議會暫定選區重新劃分之我見

背景及諮詢期:

本人杜振光，一九六六年入住觀塘藍田邨至二零零零年搬油塘至今，見證觀塘藍田和油塘的轉變. 現得悉二零一九年區議會一般選舉將於二零一九年年底舉行. 選舉管理委員會已就二零一九年區議會一般選舉在 18 個地方行政區內共 452 個選區的分界及名稱擬定臨時建議. 現諮詢市民意見, 諮詢期為 23/07 - 21/08. 但見選區劃分縱橫交錯, 有欠科學和行政管理的真善美, 故冒試提出暫定選區範圍劃分建議方案, 冀能重新考慮!

選區重新劃分建議圖(綠線區和黃線區)



根據地理位置的選區分析如下:

1) 一邨三選區合情, 合理, 合科學管理邏輯嗎?
2) 油塘中心和油麗邨設在同一選區融合嗎?
3) 區內老, 中, 青年和行動不便的選民若干呢?
4) 選區的行政管理有效益和效率嗎?
5) 投票站設置那裏較合嫡呢?
6) 路途遙遠對投票率有影響嗎?
7) 海報張貼, 宣傳單派發, 街站有灰色地帶嗎?
8) 選民的精神, 心理健康受困擾嗎?



1652(2)

存在問題和潛在風險如下:

1) 若一單存在三個不同政治團體.
2) 政治取向與居民的需要有差異.
3) 政治取向有異, 選民容易產生糾紛.
4) 選民前往錯誤投票站.
5) 找不到投票站導致投票百分率偏低.
6) 選民劃分混亂, 導致投錯票.
7) 投票站設立那裏合適, 方便, 易找.
8) 作為政府橋樑的角色存溝通偏導.
9) 資源配置和運用受政治左右.
10) 選民的辨認, 辨知和辨析能力會被混淆嗎?
11) 選民的政見有別, 相互攻訐, 易激發情緒病.

資源分配紊亂

1) 公屋居民的衣, 食, 住, 行的檔次需求有別.
2) 私樓業主的設施和起居品味有差異.
3) 行政管理質量要求各有高低.

如上圖所示, 假設綠線和黃線為新選區劃分如下:

1) 油翠選區組合(綠線範圍)建議
油翠苑和油麗邨五座分別為 (翠麗樓, 盈麗樓, 翠頤樓, 康麗樓, 仁麗樓)

2) 油塘西選區組合(黃線範圍)建議
油美苑, 油塘邨, 油塘中心, 鯉魚門和茶果嶺.

1652(3)

優點將包括:

1) 地區行政管理更科學, 更週轉.
2) 區域政治取向更清晰, 更能協同優化環境.
3) 民生和資源配置更得心應手.
4) 橋樑角色更貼近民意, 更能發揮功能.
5) 宣傳品派貼或噪聲派發更到位, 更稱心.
6) 選區投票站更明確, 不論長幼, 更易尋見.
7) 候選人政綱更易被辨析, 杜絕投錯票.
8) 選民同區交換意見, 減免錯判候選人資料.
9) 減免選民之間的無聊意意爭論.
10) 投票意欲將大大提高, 投票率會更高.
11) 候選人和居民意願一致, 議員後獲後更能掌握民情.
12) 地區的協同力發揮, 更能協助成為地區和政府的助力.

數據分析

表列為 2015 合資格選民的投票情況

行政區	選民總數	選票累計	百分率(%)
油尖旺	99,377	45,174	45.46
深水埗	176,706	88,725	50.21
九龍城	160,064	77,945	48.70
黃大仙	223,895	105,461	47.10
觀塘	243,437	116,037	47.67
荃灣	133,351	67,848	50.88
屯門	241,348	100,630	41.69
元朗	226,726	98,343	43.38

1652(4)

下列為油翠和油塘西選區的居住人口數據

油塘邨
單位數目: 3,600 認可人口: 10,400

油麗邨
單位數目: 8,500 認可人口: 23,000

油美苑
單位數目: 7 座 認可人口: 約 7,800

油翠苑
單位數目: 1,480 認可人口: 約 4,500

鯉魚門邨
單位數目: 3,639 認可人口: 9650

油塘中心
單位數目: 506 認可人口: 約 1,500

結論和願景

若選區劃分完整, 選民政治取向明確, 杜絕無故的惡意爭論, 有共同意欲和協同方向改善及優化社區設施, 倘居民相信投票真的可以幫助本區, 而候選人的政綱和理念更符合科學邏輯的行政管理, 選民和居民將更擁戴本區議員, 投票率將會明顯提高, 區內區民將更融和, 更能發展和諧小康之家.

作為政府和居民橋樑的區議員在推行惠民政策時, 將更有效益和效率, 將更得心應手配對民主所需求, 更能得到居民信賴和支持, 這正是共能和協同力的體現. 支持區議員等同支持區議會, 支持區議會亦等同支持民政事務處和香港特別行政區政府. 更甚者, 愛家, 愛區, 愛港, 愛國家亦由此而起.
此致

敬候示覆

恭頌 均祺

杜振光敬上
03 - 08 - 2018

3/8/2018

1653

存心為社區－新的選區（安達j14） 12/08/2018 20:34

From: [redacted]
To: "eacenq@reo.gov.hk" <eacenq@reo.gov.hk>
保留在安達選區

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups

嚴格要求：反對區議會選舉地區規劃將安達邨誠達樓及愛達樓由一個社區分劃出去，希望各界尊重社區完整，和諧氣氛！

[redacted] To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk 12/08/2018 20:53

发自我的 iPh

我對區議會選舉新劃分選區的臨時建議之意見 13/08/20

From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

選管會：

你好，我是居住在觀塘安達邨的普通市民。

選管會建議2019年觀塘區議會選舉增設安達選區（J14），但愛達樓及誠達樓將被劃分到秀茂坪中選區（J13）。

一個完整的社區分成兩個選區，完全是破壞社區的完整性，希望選管會可以認真考慮將愛達樓及誠達樓保留在安達選區，以保持我們社區的完整性。

屆時，本區區議員在服務居民時，亦會遇到不必要的妨礙。愛達樓及誠達樓的居民要到鄰邨才找得到區議員(而該區議員可能根本只熟悉秀茂坪邨，對安達邨了解不足)，間接令一部份(如行動不便)居民失去求助渠道，是相當荒謬的一回事。

請選管會接納本人意見，盡祈考慮有關安達邨的選區劃分，謝謝。

市民 林斯婷 上

13/8/2018

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

此為範本申述共 649 份(序號 1656 – 2304)

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1657

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1658

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨達_____樓_____室

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1659

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1660

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]

居民：王[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1661

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1662

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]

居民：林[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1663

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1664

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]

居民：馬[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1665

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

1666

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

1667

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

1668

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：吳秋蘭
電話：[redacted]

1669

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：翁日光
電話：[redacted]

1670

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：馮婉芳
電話：[redacted]

1671

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

1672

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：林瑞娥
電話：[redacted]

1673

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：

1674

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1675

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1676

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1677

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1678

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1679

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1680

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：何仙妹
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1681

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1682

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1683

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1684

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1685

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1686

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1687

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1688

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1689

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1690

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1691

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1692

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1693

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：王女
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1694

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：易敏君
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1695

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1696

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：馮錦全
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1697

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1698

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：林釗君
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1699

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1700

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：陳社區
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1701

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：孫小姐
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1702

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：家浪留

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1703

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1704

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：馮浪

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1705

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1706

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1707

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：李浪

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1708

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1709

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1710

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1711

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1712

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：陳國強

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1713

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1714

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：張秀琴

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1715

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話 [redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1716

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1717

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1718

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：何秀萍

電話 [redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1719

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：

電話 [redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1720

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1721

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：楊[illegible]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1722

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1723

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：梁[illegible]金
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1724

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[illegible]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1725

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[illegible]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1726

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：黃[illegible]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1727

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[illegible]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1728

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：胡[illegible]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1729

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1730

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：陳嘉兒
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1731

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1732

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1733

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1734

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：黃玉英
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1735

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1736

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：梁道榮
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1737

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1738

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：吳重宏
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1739

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：周淑琳
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1740

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：王麗英姊
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1741

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：朱燕日秋萍
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1742

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：關健
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1743

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1744

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：李達幼
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1745

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：黃定德
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1746

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：葉妙愛
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1747

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：何[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1748

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1749

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1750

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：張[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1751

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1752

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1753

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1754

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1755

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1756

反對將逢顯樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶逢選區
強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶逢邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶逢選區，在2011年人口最多逢到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逢喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逢顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶逢邨社區完整性
2. 寶逢邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶逢邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶逢地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶逢邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1757

反對將逢顯樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶逢選區
強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶逢邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶逢選區，在2011年人口最多逢到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逢喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逢顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶逢邨社區完整性
2. 寶逢邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶逢邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶逢地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶逢邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1758

反對將逢顯樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶逢選區
強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶逢邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶逢選區，在2011年人口最多逢到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逢喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逢顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶逢邨社區完整性
2. 寶逢邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶逢邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶逢地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶逢邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1759

反對將逢顯樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶逢選區
強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶逢邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶逢選區，在2011年人口最多逢到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逢喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逢顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶逢邨社區完整性
2. 寶逢邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶逢邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶逢地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶逢邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1760

反對將逢顯樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶逢選區
強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶逢邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶逢選區，在2011年人口最多逢到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逢喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逢顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶逢邨社區完整性
2. 寶逢邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶逢邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶逢地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶逢邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1761

反對將逢顯樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶逢選區
強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶逢邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶逢選區，在2011年人口最多逢到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逢喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逢顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶逢邨社區完整性
2. 寶逢邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶逢邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶逢地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶逢邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1762

反對將逢顯樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶逢選區
強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶逢邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶逢選區，在2011年人口最多逢到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逢喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逢顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶逢邨社區完整性
2. 寶逢邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶逢邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶逢地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶逢邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1763

反對將逢顯樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶逢選區
強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶逢邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶逢選區，在2011年人口最多逢到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逢喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逢顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶逢邨社區完整性
2. 寶逢邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶逢邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶逢地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶逢邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1764

反對將逢顯樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶逢選區
強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶逢邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶逢選區，在2011年人口最多逢到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逢喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逢顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶逢邨社區完整性
2. 寶逢邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶逢邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶逢地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶逢邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1765

反對將逢顯樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(逢顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：董榮
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1766

反對將逢顯樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(逢顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1767

反對將逢顯樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(逢顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1768

反對將逢顯樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(逢顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1769

反對將逢顯樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(逢顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1770

反對將逢顯樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(逢顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1771

反對將逢顯樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(逢顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1772

反對將逢顯樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逢喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(逢顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1773

反對將逢顯樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(逢顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1774

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1775

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1776

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1777

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1778

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1779

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1780

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1781

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1782

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1783

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：梁錦英
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1784

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：李滿雄
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1785

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1786

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：周金和
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1787

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1788

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：陳芳
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1789

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：鄭
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1790

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：黃秀萍
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1791

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

1792

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

1793

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

1794

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

1795

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

1796

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

1797

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

1798

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

1799

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

1800

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1801

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四頓分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：何[illegible]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1802

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：陳[illegible]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1803

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[illegible]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1804

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[illegible]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1805

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[illegible]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1806

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[illegible]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1807

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[illegible]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1808

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[illegible]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1809

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[illegible]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1810

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1811

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1812

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：王國明 [redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1813

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1814

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1815

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：何風婷
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1816

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1817

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：麥美珠
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1818

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1819

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1820

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1821

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1822

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1823

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1824

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1825

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話 [redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1826

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1827

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1828

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1829

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1830

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1831

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1832

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1833

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1834

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1835

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1836

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

1837

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人，現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民 [redacted]

電話：

1838

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人，現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

1839

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人，現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：

1840

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人，現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：

電話：

1841

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人，現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：

電話：

1842

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人，現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：

電話：

1843

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人，現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：

電話：

1844

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人，現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：

電話：

1845

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人，現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：

電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1846

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：莫建明
電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1847

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：黃艷珍
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1848

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：李偉強
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1849

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：余培鴻
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1850

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：王春機
電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1851

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1852

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1853

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1854

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1855

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1856

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：楊少華

電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1857

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1858

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1859

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1860

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1861

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：吳要琴

電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1862

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：吳地蓮

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1863

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1864

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收人和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1865

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收人和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1866

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收人和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1867

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收人和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1868

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收人和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1869

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收人和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1870

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收人和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1871

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收人和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1872

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收人和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1873

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：劉韻芝
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1874

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：郭偉強
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1875

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：吳天生
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1876

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：Blanc Lee
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1877

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：湯
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1878

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：周振伯
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1879

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1880

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：梁子強
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1881

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：陳志清
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1882

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1883

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1884

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1885

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1886

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1887

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1888

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1889

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1890

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1891

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：吳

電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1892

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：芳

電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1893

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：刘悦芳

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1894

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1895

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：岑万茹

電話 [redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1896

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：黃綺萍

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1897

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1898

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：林雪芝

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1899

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：陳潤煊

電話：[redacted]

1900

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：蘇德球

電話：_____

1901

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：周少堂

電話：_____

1902

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：李重光

電話：[redacted]

1903

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

1904

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：Tang So Kuen

電話：_____

1905

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

1906

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：梁金妹

電話：_____

1907

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：_____

1908

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：楊禾壽

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1909

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：馮驊豪

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1910

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：徐秀士朱

電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1911

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：朱[illegible]

電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1912

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：

電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1913

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：劉翠友

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1914

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：小梅

電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1915

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：吳桂去

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1916

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：

電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1917

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：陳秀英

電話：

1918

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：陳志強

電話：_____

1919

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：方英姐

電話：[redacted]

1920

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

1921

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：_____

1922

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

1923

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

1924

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：_____

1925

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：張文彬

電話：_____

1926

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：陳瑞琚

電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

1927

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：关淑霞

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

1928

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：李鎮雄

電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

1929

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：王玉兒

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

1930

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：陳

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

1931

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：_____

電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

1932

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：洪少文

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

1933

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：黃錦倫

電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

1934

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：吳華元

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

1935

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

1936

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將逵顒樓、逵佳樓、逵信樓、逵喜樓劃出寶逵選區
強烈要求寶逵邨13座維持在同一寶逵選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶逵邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶逵選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逵喜樓、逵信樓、逵佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逵顒樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶逵邨13座維持在同一寶逵選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶逵邨社區完整性
2. 寶逵邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶逵邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶逵地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶逵邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：

1937

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將逵顒樓、逵佳樓、逵信樓、逵喜樓劃出寶逵選區
強烈要求寶逵邨13座維持在同一寶逵選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶逵邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶逵選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逵喜樓、逵信樓、逵佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逵顒樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶逵邨13座維持在同一寶逵選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶逵邨社區完整性
2. 寶逵邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶逵邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶逵地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶逵邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

1938

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將逵顒樓、逵佳樓、逵信樓、逵喜樓劃出寶逵選區
強烈要求寶逵邨13座維持在同一寶逵選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶逵邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶逵選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逵喜樓、逵信樓、逵佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逵顒樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶逵邨13座維持在同一寶逵選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶逵邨社區完整性
2. 寶逵邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶逵邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶逵地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶逵邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：

1939

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將逵顒樓、逵佳樓、逵信樓、逵喜樓劃出寶逵選區
強烈要求寶逵邨13座維持在同一寶逵選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶逵邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶逵選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逵喜樓、逵信樓、逵佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逵顒樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶逵邨13座維持在同一寶逵選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶逵邨社區完整性
2. 寶逵邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶逵邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶逵地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶逵邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：

1940

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將逵顒樓、逵佳樓、逵信樓、逵喜樓劃出寶逵選區
強烈要求寶逵邨13座維持在同一寶逵選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶逵邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶逵選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逵喜樓、逵信樓、逵佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逵顒樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶逵邨13座維持在同一寶逵選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶逵邨社區完整性
2. 寶逵邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶逵邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶逵地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶逵邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

1941

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將逵顒樓、逵佳樓、逵信樓、逵喜樓劃出寶逵選區
強烈要求寶逵邨13座維持在同一寶逵選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶逵邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶逵選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逵喜樓、逵信樓、逵佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逵顒樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶逵邨13座維持在同一寶逵選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶逵邨社區完整性
2. 寶逵邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶逵邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶逵地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶逵邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

1942

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將逵顒樓、逵佳樓、逵信樓、逵喜樓劃出寶逵選區
強烈要求寶逵邨13座維持在同一寶逵選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶逵邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶逵選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逵喜樓、逵信樓、逵佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逵顒樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶逵邨13座維持在同一寶逵選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶逵邨社區完整性
2. 寶逵邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶逵邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶逵地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶逵邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

1943

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將逵顒樓、逵佳樓、逵信樓、逵喜樓劃出寶逵選區
強烈要求寶逵邨13座維持在同一寶逵選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶逵邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶逵選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逵喜樓、逵信樓、逵佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逵顒樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶逵邨13座維持在同一寶逵選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶逵邨社區完整性
2. 寶逵邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶逵邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶逵地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶逵邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

1944

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將逵顒樓、逵佳樓、逵信樓、逵喜樓劃出寶逵選區
強烈要求寶逵邨13座維持在同一寶逵選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶逵邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶逵選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逵喜樓、逵信樓、逵佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逵顒樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶逵邨13座維持在同一寶逵選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶逵邨社區完整性
2. 寶逵邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶逵邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶逵地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶逵邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：

1945

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：_____

1946

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

1947

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：_____

1948

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：陳[illegible]
電話：_____

1949

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[illegible]
電話：_____

1950

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[illegible]
電話：[redacted]

1951

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[illegible]
電話：_____

1952

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[illegible]
電話：[redacted]

1953

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[illegible]
電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1954

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人，現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：鍾[illegible]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1955

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人，現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：麥玉
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1956

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人，現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[illegible]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1957

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人，現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[illegible]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1958

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人，現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[illegible]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1959

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人，現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[illegible]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1960

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人，現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[illegible]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1961

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人，現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：張金林
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1962

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人，現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[illegible]
電話：[redacted]

14/08/2018 15:18 #0350

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1963

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]

居民：陳永娟

電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1964

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]

居民：黃鳳英

電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1965

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]

居民：[illegible]

電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1966

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]

居民：王葉木花

電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1967

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]

居民：[illegible]

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1968

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]

居民：陳根旺

電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1969

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]

居民：孫1室女東

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1970

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]

居民：辛千娟

電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1971

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]

居民：[illegible]

電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1972

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：蔣笑娟

電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1973

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：梁靜萍

電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1974

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：伍恩嫻

電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1975

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：梁玉喬玉英

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1976

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：馬瑞貴

電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1977

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：司徒女

電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1978

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：張通笑

電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1979

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：余的荣

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1980

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1981

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人，現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1982

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人，現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1983

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人，現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1984

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人，現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1985

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人，現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1986

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人，現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1987

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人，現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：陳光德

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1988

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人，現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1989

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人，現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：_____

1990

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：雲大坤

電話：[redacted]

1991

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

1992

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

1993

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

1994

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：林筠橋

電話：[redacted]

1995

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

1996

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：周煥英

電話：[redacted]

1997

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：陳金娥

電話：[redacted]

1998

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：何志強

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 1999

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：江尚婷
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2000

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2001

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：蔡元英
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2002

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2003

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2004

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2005

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2006

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2007

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：
電話：[redacted]

2008

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將逢順樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶逢選區
強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶逢邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶逢選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逢喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(逢順樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶逢邨社區完整性
2. 寶逢邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶逢邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶逢地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶逢邨[redacted]

居民：叶文理

電話：_____

2009

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將逢順樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶逢選區
強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶逢邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶逢選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逢喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(逢順樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶逢邨社區完整性
2. 寶逢邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶逢邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶逢地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶逢邨[redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：_____

2010

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將逢順樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶逢選區
強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶逢邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶逢選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逢喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(逢順樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶逢邨社區完整性
2. 寶逢邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶逢邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶逢地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶逢邨[redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

2011

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將逢順樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶逢選區
強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶逢邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶逢選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逢喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(逢順樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶逢邨社區完整性
2. 寶逢邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶逢邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶逢地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶逢邨[redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：_____

2012

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將逢順樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶逢選區
強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶逢邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶逢選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逢喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(逢順樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶逢邨社區完整性
2. 寶逢邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶逢邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶逢地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶逢邨[redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：_____

2013

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將逢順樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶逢選區
強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶逢邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶逢選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逢喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(逢順樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶逢邨社區完整性
2. 寶逢邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶逢邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶逢地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶逢邨[redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：_____

2014

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將逢順樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶逢選區
強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶逢邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶逢選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逢喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(逢順樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶逢邨社區完整性
2. 寶逢邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶逢邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶逢地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶逢邨[redacted]

居民：梁章娥

電話：_____

2015

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將逢順樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶逢選區
強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶逢邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶逢選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逢喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(逢順樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶逢邨社區完整性
2. 寶逢邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶逢邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶逢地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶逢邨[redacted]

居民：張玉英

電話：_____

2016

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將逢順樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶逢選區
強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶逢邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶逢選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逢喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(逢順樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶逢邨社區完整性
2. 寶逢邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶逢邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶逢地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶逢邨[redacted]

居民：陳小芳

電話：_____

2017

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

2018

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

2019

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話 [redacted]

2020

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

2021

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

2022

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

2023

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

2024

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

2025

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

2026

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人，現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

2027

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人，現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

2028

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人，現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

2029

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人，現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

2030

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人，現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

2031

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人，現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

2032

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人，現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

2033

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人，現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

2034

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人，現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

2035

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人，現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：

電話：

2036

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人，現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：黃柳紅

電話：

2037

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人，現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：

電話：[redacted]

2038

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人，現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：

電話：

2039

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人，現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：

電話：

2040

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人，現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：

電話：

2041

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人，現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：

電話：[redacted]

2042

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人，現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民

電話：

2043

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人，現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民

電話：

2044

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將逢順樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶逢選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逢喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逢順樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

2045

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將逢順樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶逢選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逢喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逢順樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]

居民：余[illegible]

電話：

2046

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將逢順樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶逢選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逢喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逢順樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]

居民：[illegible]

電話：

2047

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將逢順樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶逢選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逢喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逢順樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]

居民：余[illegible]

電話：[redacted]

2048

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將逢順樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶逢選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逢喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逢順樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]

居民：陳慧英

電話：[redacted]

2049

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將逢順樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶逢選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逢喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逢順樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨達[redacted]樓[redacted]室

居民：何慧珠

電話：[redacted]

2050

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將逢順樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶逢選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逢喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逢順樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]

居民：左國英

電話：

2051

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將逢順樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶逢選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逢喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逢順樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]

居民：[illegible]

電話：[redacted]

2052

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將逢順樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶逢選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逢喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逢順樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]

居民：吳結文

電話：

2053

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：YANNY

電話：[redacted]

2054

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：朱國榮

電話：[redacted]

2055

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

2056

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

2057

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：劉秀珍

電話：

2058

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：

2059

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：鍾美[illegible]

電話：[redacted]

2060

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶[illegible]
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：鍾[illegible]

電話：[redacted]

2061

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：李[illegible]

電話：

2062

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

2063

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

2064

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

2065

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

2066

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

2067

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

2068

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

2069

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

2070

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2071

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人，現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：

電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2072

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人，現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：

電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2073

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人，現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：

電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2074

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人，現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：

電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2075

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人，現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：

電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2076

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人，現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：

電話：

2077

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人，現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：

電話：

2078

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人，現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：

電話：

2079

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人，現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：

電話：

2080

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：鄭妹仔
電話 [redacted]

2081

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：
電話：

2082

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：
電話：

2083

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：黃永聯
電話：

2084

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：黃永香
電話：[redacted]

2085

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：
電話：

2086

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：吳玉珠
電話：

2087

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：陳清華
電話：[redacted]

2088

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：
電話：

2089

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：許秀雲
電話：

2090

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：徐雙妹
電話：

2091

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

2094

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：黃荃
電話：

2092

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：
電話：

2093

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：
電話：

2094

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：吳同
電話：

2095

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：
電話：

2096

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：YAN
電話：

2097

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：SIM
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2098

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2099

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2100

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2101

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2102

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2103

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2104

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2105

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2106

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：_____

2107

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：黃玉園文
電話：[redacted]

2108

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

2109

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：葉
電話：

2110

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：
電話 [redacted]

2111

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：
電話：

2112

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：
電話：[redacted]

2113

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：
電話：

2114

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：
電話：[redacted]

2115

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2116

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2117

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2118

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2119

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2120

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2121

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2122

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2123

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2124

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2125

反對將逢顯樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2126

反對將逢顯樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2127

反對將逢顯樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：

2128

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將逢顯樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2129

反對將逢顯樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話 [redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2130

反對將逢顯樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2131

反對將逢顯樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2132

反對將逢顯樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話 [redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2133

反對將逢顯樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2134

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]

居民：黃嘉賢

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2135

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2136

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]

居民：

電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2137

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]

居民：

電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2138

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨_____樓_____室

居民：

電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2139

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]

居民：

電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2140

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]

居民：

電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2141

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]

居民：

電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2142

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]

居民：

電話：

2143

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人，現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：

2144

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人，現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

2145

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人，現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

2146

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人，現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

2147

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人，現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：

2148

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人，現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

2149

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人，現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：

2150

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人，現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

2151

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人，現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2152

反對將逢顯樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逢喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逢顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2153

反對將逢顯樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逢喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逢顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2154

反對將逢顯樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逢喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逢顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2155

反對將逢顯樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逢喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逢顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2156

反對將逢顯樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逢喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逢顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2157

反對將逢顯樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逢喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逢顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2158

反對將逢顯樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逢喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逢顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2159

反對將逢顯樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逢喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逢顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2160

反對將逢顯樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逢喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逢顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2161

反對將逢順樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逢喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逢順樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：平安同人

電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2162

反對將逢順樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逢喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逢順樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：施麗娥

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2163

反對將逢順樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逢喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逢順樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：李錦源

電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2164

反對將逢順樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逢喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逢順樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：李碧華

電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2165

反對將逢順樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逢喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逢順樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2166

反對將逢順樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逢喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逢順樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：_____

電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2167

反對將逢順樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逢喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逢順樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2168

反對將逢順樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逢喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逢順樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2169

反對將逢順樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逢喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逢順樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：_____

電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2170

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2171

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2172

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2173

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2174

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2175

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：
電話：[redacted]

15/08/2018 12:32 #088

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2176

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2177

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2178

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2179

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四期分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2180

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四期分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：金淑英
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2181

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四期分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：張金棣
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2182

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四期分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：黃瓊
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2183

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四期分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：陳碧華
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2184

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四期分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：葛松安
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2185

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四期分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：陳燕文
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2186

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四期分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：黃雪蓮
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2187

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四期分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2188

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2189

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2190

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2191

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2192

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2193

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2194

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2195

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2196

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2197

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2198

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2199

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2200

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2201

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2202

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2203

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2204

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2205

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2206

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：鄭玲
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2207

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2208

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2209

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：李雪芳
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2210

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2211

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2212

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：李文雪笑
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2213

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2214

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：李玉春
電話：

2215

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：_____

電話：_____

2216

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：劉金添

電話：[redacted]

2217

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：_____

2218

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：劉振華

電話：_____

2219

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：_____

2220

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：_____

2221

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：_____

2222

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：馮金志

電話：[redacted]

2223

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2224

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：李[illegible]
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2225

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[illegible]
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2226

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2227

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：呂[illegible]
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2228

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：陳[illegible]
電話 [redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2229

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：陳漢文
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2230

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[illegible]
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2231

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：胡[illegible]
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2232

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：Kan
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2233

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四顯分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：陳健雄

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2234

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四顯分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：葉華聲

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2235

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四顯分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2236

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四顯分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2237

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四顯分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2238

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四顯分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：趙的婷

電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2239

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四顯分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2240

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四顯分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2241

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四顯分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2242

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：伍錢用
電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2243

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：楊芷雯
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2244

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：陳桂平
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2245

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：叶灵福
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2246

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨達_____樓_____室
居民：黃先秀
電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2247

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：林云飞
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2248

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2249

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2250

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2251

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2252

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2253

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2254

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：過桂英
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2255

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：陳冬桂
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2256

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2257

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：鄭潔屏
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2258

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：
電話 [redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2259

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：
電話：[redacted]

2260

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)棟到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

2261

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)棟到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

2262

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)棟到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

2263

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)棟到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

2264

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)棟到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

2265

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)棟到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

2266

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)棟到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

2267

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)棟到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

2268

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)棟到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2269

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2270

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2271

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2272

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2273

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2274

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2275

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2276

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2277

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2278

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：崔耀康
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2279

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2280

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：陳有福
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2281

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2282

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：孔瑞良
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2283

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2284

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2285

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2286

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：林芳
電話：

2287

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

2288

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

2289

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝 強烈譴責馬駿

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]

居民：堅決反對

電話：

2290

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]

居民：

電話：[redacted]

2291

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：

2292

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]

居民：

電話：

2293

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]

居民：

電話：[redacted]

2294

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]

居民：

電話：

2295

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]

居民：

電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2296

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：伙和堅

電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2297

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：黃球

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2298

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：Sin Sun

電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2299

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：Ms. TSE MEN

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2300

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：周燕雲

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2301

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：陳秉玲

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2302

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：唐日卿

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2303

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2304

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：刘金源

電話：[redacted]

2305

區議會選舉選區分界 14/08/2018 13:52
From: [redacted]
To: "eacenq@reo.gov.hk" <eacenq@reo.gov.hk>
[redacted]

就以上題目，我覺得新的一屆劃分我所屬選區應考慮給予調整。

我現居九龍牛頭角道3號 得寶花園，現屆區議會分區分界是將得寶花園與啟業邨某部份聯成一選區，於是在當年選舉日我應苑居民係需要步行20分鐘以上或搭一個站巴士去啟業投票。另一方面，在過去三年，我應苑業戶及居民完全未能接受到我區區議員的任何服務，甚至何人是代表我區的區議員都不知道。
所以，我建議新一屆區議會分界應不能跨過觀塘道，同時最好同一選區劃分，得寶花園最好聯同一些私人屋苑同一選區，免致同一選區的選民有利益衝突而令當區議員難做。
貴處如收到此郵件請覆本人以示郵件已被貴處收妥。謝謝。

傳送自 Android 上的 Yahoo Mail

2306

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups

請認真考慮將愛達樓及誠達樓保留在安達選區
[redacted] to: eacenq@reo.gov.hk 15/08/2018 08:34

致 偉大的香港政府：
請不要把一個完整的社區分成兩個選區，這樣完全是破壞社區的完整性，希望選管會可以認真考慮將愛達樓及誠達樓保留在安達選區，以保持我們社區的完整。

從我的 iPhone 傳送

14/8/2018

2307(1)

2019年觀塘區議會選舉 15/08/2018 16:56
From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

1 Attachment

39210963_1913710398651550_3577319891956400128_n.jpg

To whom it may concern,
選管會建議2019年觀塘區議會選舉
增設安泰選區（J11），
但 #明泰樓 及 #和泰樓將被劃分到安利選區（J10），
#一個社區兩個選區，唔知選舉會點諗,什麼是 #社區完整性呢？

堅決反對將明泰及和泰樓被劃分到安利區(J10),敬希慎重考慮 將明泰及和泰樓重新劃分到安泰選區(J11)

Best Regards

一選民上

2307(2)



15/8/2018

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

此為範本申述共 33 份(序號 2308 – 2340)

強烈要求安達邨11座維持在同一安達選區保持社區完整

觀塘安達邨11座樓應屬一個選區，安達選區，安達邨愛達樓及誠達樓與秀茂坪中選區距離甚遠，地理位置這樣分割不合理，應以社區完整性為優先。

本人強烈要求安達邨11座維持在同一安達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持安達邨社區完整性；
2. 安達邨是屬於民政事務署四順分區，不應將一個區劃分為兩個分區；
3. 安達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶；

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

安達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

2309

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

強烈要求安達邨11座維持在同一安達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘安達邨11座樓應屬一個選區，安達選區，安達邨愛達樓及誠達樓與秀茂坪中選區距離甚遠，地理位置這樣分割不合理，應以社區完整性為優先。

本人強烈要求安達邨11座維持在同一安達選區，理據有以下：

1.保持安達邨社區完整性；
2.安達邨是屬於民政事務署四順分區，不應將一個區劃分為兩個分區；
3.安達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶；

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

安達邨 [redacted]
居民：張惠生
電話：[redacted]

2310

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

強烈要求安達邨11座維持在同一安達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘安達邨11座樓應屬一個選區，安達選區，安達邨愛達樓及誠達樓與秀茂坪中選區距離甚遠，地理位置這樣分割不合理，應以社區完整性為優先。

本人強烈要求安達邨11座維持在同一安達選區，理據有以下：

1.保持安達邨社區完整性；
2.安達邨是屬於民政事務署四順分區，不應將一個區劃分為兩個分區；
3.安達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶；

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

安達邨 [redacted]
居民：林丽珠
電話：[redacted]

2311

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

強烈要求安達邨11座維持在同一安達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘安達邨11座樓應屬一個選區，安達選區，安達邨愛達樓及誠達樓與秀茂坪中選區距離甚遠，地理位置這樣分割不合理，應以社區完整性為優先。

本人強烈要求安達邨11座維持在同一安達選區，理據有以下：

1.保持安達邨社區完整性；
2.安達邨是屬於民政事務署四順分區，不應將一個區劃分為兩個分區；
3.安達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶；

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

安達邨 [redacted]
居民：[illegible]
電話：[redacted]

2312

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

強烈要求安達邨11座維持在同一安達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘安達邨11座樓應屬一個選區，安達選區，安達邨愛達樓及誠達樓與秀茂坪中選區距離甚遠，地理位置這樣分割不合理，應以社區完整性為優先。

本人強烈要求安達邨11座維持在同一安達選區，理據有以下：

1.保持安達邨社區完整性；
2.安達邨是屬於民政事務署四順分區，不應將一個區劃分為兩個分區；
3.安達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶；

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

安達邨 [redacted]
居民：[illegible]
電話：[redacted]

2313

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

強烈要求安達邨11座維持在同一安達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘安達邨11座樓應屬一個選區，安達選區，安達邨愛達樓及誠達樓與秀茂坪中選區距離甚遠，地理位置這樣分割不合理，應以社區完整性為優先。

本人強烈要求安達邨11座維持在同一安達選區，理據有以下：

1.保持安達邨社區完整性；
2.安達邨是屬於民政事務署四順分區，不應將一個區劃分為兩個分區；
3.安達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶；

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

安達邨 [redacted]
居民：[illegible]
電話：[redacted]

2314

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

強烈要求安達邨11座維持在同一安達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘安達邨11座樓應屬一個選區，安達選區，安達邨愛達樓及誠達樓與秀茂坪中選區距離甚遠，地理位置這樣分割不合理，應以社區完整性為優先。

本人強烈要求安達邨11座維持在同一安達選區，理據有以下：

1.保持安達邨社區完整性；
2.安達邨是屬於民政事務署四順分區，不應將一個區劃分為兩個分區；
3.安達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶；

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

安達邨 [redacted]
居民：[illegible]
電話：[redacted]

2315

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

強烈要求安達邨11座維持在同一安達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘安達邨11座樓應屬一個選區，安達選區，安達邨愛達樓及誠達樓與秀茂坪中選區距離甚遠，地理位置這樣分割不合理，應以社區完整性為優先。

本人強烈要求安達邨11座維持在同一安達選區，理據有以下：

1.保持安達邨社區完整性；
2.安達邨是屬於民政事務署四順分區，不應將一個區劃分為兩個分區；
3.安達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶；

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

安達邨 [redacted]
居民：[illegible]
電話：[redacted]

2316

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

強烈要求安達邨11座維持在同一安達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘安達邨11座樓應屬一個選區，安達選區，安達邨愛達樓及誠達樓與秀茂坪中選區距離甚遠，地理位置這樣分割不合理，應以社區完整性為優先。

本人強烈要求安達邨11座維持在同一安達選區，理據有以下：

1.保持安達邨社區完整性；
2.安達邨是屬於民政事務署四順分區，不應將一個區劃分為兩個分區；
3.安達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶；

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

安達邨 [redacted]
居民：[illegible]
電話：[redacted]

2317

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

強烈要求安達邨11座維持在同一安達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘安達邨11座樓應屬一個選區，安達選區，安達邨愛達樓及誠達樓與秀茂坪中選區距離甚遠，地理位置這樣分割不合理，應以社區完整性為優先。

本人強烈要求安達邨11座維持在同一安達選區，理據有以下：

1.保持安達邨社區完整性；
2.安達邨是屬於民政事務署四順分區，不應將一個區劃分為兩個分區；
3.安達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶；

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

安達邨 [redacted]
居民：[illegible]
電話：[redacted]

2318

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

強烈要求安達邨11座維持在同一安達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘安達邨11座樓應屬一個選區，安達選區，安達邨愛達樓及誠達樓與秀茂坪中選區距離甚遠，地理位置這樣分割不合理，應以社區完整性為優先。

本人強烈要求安達邨11座維持在同一安達選區，理據有以下：

1.保持安達邨社區完整性；
2.安達邨是屬於民政事務署四順分區，不應將一個區劃分為兩個分區；
3.安達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶；

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

安達邨 [redacted]
居民：劉[illegible]
電話：[redacted]

2319

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

強烈要求安達邨11座維持在同一安達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘安達邨11座樓應屬一個選區，安達選區，安達邨愛達樓及誠達樓與秀茂坪中選區距離甚遠，地理位置這樣分割不合理，應以社區完整性為優先。

本人強烈要求安達邨11座維持在同一安達選區，理據有以下：

1.保持安達邨社區完整性；
2.安達邨是屬於民政事務署四順分區，不應將一個區劃分為兩個分區；
3.安達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶；

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

安達邨 [redacted]
居民：[illegible]
電話：[redacted]

2320

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

強烈要求安達邨11座維持在同一安達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘安達邨11座樓應屬一個選區，安達選區，安達邨愛達樓及誠達樓與秀茂坪中選區距離甚遠，地理位置這樣分割不合理，應以社區完整性為優先。

本人強烈要求安達邨11座維持在同一安達選區，理據有以下：

1.保持安達邨社區完整性；
2.安達邨是屬於民政事務署四順分區，不應將一個區劃分為兩個分區；
3.安達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶；

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

安達邨 [redacted]
居民：何[illegible]
電話：[redacted]

2321

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

強烈要求安達邨11座維持在同一安達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘安達邨11座樓應屬一個選區，安達選區，安達邨愛達樓及誠達樓與秀茂坪中選區距離甚遠，地理位置這樣分割不合理，應以社區完整性為優先。

本人強烈要求安達邨11座維持在同一安達選區，理據有以下：

1.保持安達邨社區完整性；
2.安達邨是屬於民政事務署四順分區，不應將一個區劃分為兩個分區；
3.安達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶；

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

安達邨 [redacted]
居民：[illegible]
電話：[redacted]

2322

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

強烈要求安達邨11座維持在同一安達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘安達邨11座樓應屬一個選區，安達選區，安達邨愛達樓及誠達樓與秀茂坪中選區距離甚遠，地理位置這樣分割不合理，應以社區完整性為優先。

本人強烈要求安達邨11座維持在同一安達選區，理據有以下：

1.保持安達邨社區完整性；
2.安達邨是屬於民政事務署四順分區，不應將一個區劃分為兩個分區；
3.安達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶；

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

安達邨 [redacted]
居民：[illegible]
電話：[redacted]

2323

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

強烈要求安達邨11座維持在同一安達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘安達邨11座樓應屬一個選區，安達選區，安達邨愛達樓及誠達樓與秀茂坪中選區距離甚遠，地理位置這樣分割不合理，應以社區完整性為優先。

本人強烈要求安達邨11座維持在同一安達選區，理據有以下：

1.保持安達邨社區完整性；
2.安達邨是屬於民政事務署四順分區，不應將一個區劃分為兩個分區；
3.安達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶；

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

安達邨 [redacted]
居民：[illegible]
電話：[redacted]

2324

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

強烈要求安達邨11座維持在同一安達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘安達邨11座樓應屬一個選區，安達選區，安達邨愛達樓及誠達樓與秀茂坪中選區距離甚遠，地理位置這樣分割不合理，應以社區完整性為優先。

本人強烈要求安達邨11座維持在同一安達選區，理據有以下：

1.保持安達邨社區完整性；
2.安達邨是屬於民政事務署四順分區，不應將一個區劃分為兩個分區；
3.安達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶；

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

安達邨 [redacted]
居民：[illegible]
電話：[redacted]

2325

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

強烈要求安達邨11座維持在同一安達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘安達邨11座樓應屬一個選區，安達選區，安達邨愛達樓及誠達樓與秀茂坪中選區距離甚遠，地理位置這樣分割不合理，應以社區完整性為優先。

本人強烈要求安達邨11座維持在同一安達選區，理據有以下：

1.保持安達邨社區完整性；
2.安達邨是屬於民政事務署四順分區，不應將一個區劃分為兩個分區；
3.安達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶；

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

安達邨 [redacted]
居民：何[illegible]
電話：[redacted]

2326

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

強烈要求安達邨11座維持在同一安達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘安達邨11座樓應屬一個選區，安達選區，安達邨愛達樓及誠達樓與秀茂坪中選區距離甚遠，地理位置這樣分割不合理，應以社區完整性為優先。

本人強烈要求安達邨11座維持在同一安達選區，理據有以下：

1.保持安達邨社區完整性；
2.安達邨是屬於民政事務署四順分區，不應將一個區劃分為兩個分區；
3.安達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶；

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

安達邨 [redacted]
居民：何[illegible]
電話：[redacted]

2327

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

強烈要求安達邨11座維持在同一安達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘安達邨11座樓應屬一個選區，安達選區，安達邨愛達樓及誠達樓與秀茂坪中選區距離甚遠，地理位置這樣分割不合理，應以社區完整性為優先。

本人強烈要求安達邨11座維持在同一安達選區，理據有以下：

1.保持安達邨社區完整性；
2.安達邨是屬於民政事務署四順分區，不應將一個區劃分為兩個分區；
3.安達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶；

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

安達邨 [redacted]
居民：盧伯泽
電話：[redacted]

2328

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

強烈要求安達邨11座維持在同一安達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘安達邨11座樓應屬一個選區，安達選區，安達邨愛達樓及誠達樓與秀茂坪中選區距離甚遠，地理位置這樣分割不合理，應以社區完整性為優先。

本人強烈要求安達邨11座維持在同一安達選區，理據有以下：

1.保持安達邨社區完整性；
2.安達邨是屬於民政事務署四順分區，不應將一個區劃分為兩個分區；
3.安達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶；

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

安達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

2329

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

強烈要求安達邨11座維持在同一安達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘安達邨11座樓應屬一個選區，安達選區，安達邨愛達樓及誠達樓與秀茂坪中選區距離甚遠，地理位置這樣分割不合理，應以社區完整性為優先。

本人強烈要求安達邨11座維持在同一安達選區，理據有以下：

1.保持安達邨社區完整性；
2.安達邨是屬於民政事務署四順分區，不應將一個區劃分為兩個分區；
3.安達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶；

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

安達邨 [redacted]
居民：徐文旺
電話：[redacted]

2330

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

強烈要求安達邨11座維持在同一安達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘安達邨11座樓應屬一個選區，安達選區，安達邨愛達樓及誠達樓與秀茂坪中選區距離甚遠，地理位置這樣分割不合理，應以社區完整性為優先。

本人強烈要求安達邨11座維持在同一安達選區，理據有以下：

1.保持安達邨社區完整性；
2.安達邨是屬於民政事務署四順分區，不應將一個區劃分為兩個分區；
3.安達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶；

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

安達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

2331

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

強烈要求安達邨11座維持在同一安達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘安達邨11座樓應屬一個選區，安達選區，安達邨愛達樓及誠達樓與秀茂坪中選區距離甚遠，地理位置這樣分割不合理，應以社區完整性為優先。

本人強烈要求安達邨11座維持在同一安達選區，理據有以下：

1.保持安達邨社區完整性；
2.安達邨是屬於民政事務署四順分區，不應將一個區劃分為兩個分區；
3.安達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶；

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

安達邨 [redacted]
居民：陳蔭蓉
電話：[redacted]

2332

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

強烈要求安達邨11座維持在同一安達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘安達邨11座樓應屬一個選區，安達選區，安達邨愛達樓及誠達樓與秀茂坪中選區距離甚遠，地理位置這樣分割不合理，應以社區完整性為優先。

本人強烈要求安達邨11座維持在同一安達選區，理據有以下：

1.保持安達邨社區完整性；
2.安達邨是屬於民政事務署四順分區，不應將一個區劃分為兩個分區；
3.安達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶；

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

安達邨 [redacted]
居民：李世強
電話：[redacted]

2333

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

強烈要求安達邨11座維持在同一安達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘安達邨11座樓應屬一個選區，安達選區，安達邨愛達樓及誠達樓與秀茂坪中選區距離甚遠，地理位置這樣分割不合理，應以社區完整性為優先。

本人強烈要求安達邨11座維持在同一安達選區，理據有以下：

1.保持安達邨社區完整性；
2.安達邨是屬於民政事務署四順分區，不應將一個區劃分為兩個分區；
3.安達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶；

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

安達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

2334

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

強烈要求安達邨11座維持在同一安達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘安達邨11座樓應屬一個選區，安達選區，安達邨愛達樓及誠達樓與秀茂坪中選區距離甚遠，地理位置這樣分割不合理，應以社區完整性為優先。

本人強烈要求安達邨11座維持在同一安達選區，理據有以下：

1.保持安達邨社區完整性；
2.安達邨是屬於民政事務署四順分區，不應將一個區劃分為兩個分區；
3.安達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶；

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

安達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：

2335

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

強烈要求安達邨11座維持在同一安達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘安達邨11座樓應屬一個選區，安達選區，安達邨愛達樓及誠達樓與秀茂坪中選區距離甚遠，地理位置這樣分割不合理，應以社區完整性為優先。

本人強烈要求安達邨11座維持在同一安達選區，理據有以下：

1.保持安達邨社區完整性；
2.安達邨是屬於民政事務署四順分區，不應將一個區劃分為兩個分區；
3.安達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶；

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

安達邨 [redacted]
居民：楊秀珍
電話：[redacted]

2336

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

強烈要求安達邨11座維持在同一安達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘安達邨11座樓應屬一個選區，安達選區，安達邨愛達樓及誠達樓與秀茂坪中選區距離甚遠，地理位置這樣分割不合理，應以社區完整性為優先。

本人強烈要求安達邨11座維持在同一安達選區，理據有以下：

1.保持安達邨社區完整性；
2.安達邨是屬於民政事務署四順分區，不應將一個區劃分為兩個分區；
3.安達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶；

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

安達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

2337

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

強烈要求安達邨11座維持在同一安達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘安達邨11座樓應屬一個選區，安達選區，安達邨愛達樓及誠達樓與秀茂坪中選區距離甚遠，地理位置這樣分割不合理，應以社區完整性為優先。

本人強烈要求安達邨11座維持在同一安達選區，理據有以下：

1.保持安達邨社區完整性；
2.安達邨是屬於民政事務署四順分區，不應將一個區劃分為兩個分區；
3.安達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶；

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

安達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

2338

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

強烈要求安達邨11座維持在同一安達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘安達邨11座樓應屬一個選區，安達選區，安達邨愛達樓及誠達樓與秀茂坪中選區距離甚遠，地理位置這樣分割不合理，應以社區完整性為優先。

本人強烈要求安達邨11座維持在同一安達選區，理據有以下：

1.保持安達邨社區完整性；
2.安達邨是屬於民政事務署四順分區，不應將一個區劃分為兩個分區；
3.安達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶；

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

安達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

2339

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

強烈要求安達邨11座維持在同一安達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘安達邨11座樓應屬一個選區，安達選區，安達邨愛達樓及誠達樓與秀茂坪中選區距離甚遠，地理位置這樣分割不合理，應以社區完整性為優先。

本人強烈要求安達邨11座維持在同一安達選區，理據有以下：

1.保持安達邨社區完整性；
2.安達邨是屬於民政事務署四順分區，不應將一個區劃分為兩個分區；
3.安達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶；

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

安達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

2340

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

強烈要求安達邨11座維持在同一安達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘安達邨11座樓應屬一個選區，安達選區，安達邨愛達樓及誠達樓與秀茂坪中選區距離甚遠，地理位置這樣分割不合理，應以社區完整性為優先。

本人強烈要求安達邨11座維持在同一安達選區，理據有以下：

1.保持安達邨社區完整性；
2.安達邨是屬於民政事務署四順分區，不應將一個區劃分為兩個分區；
3.安達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶；

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

安達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

16/08/2018 12.47 2341

FAX: 2811 1683

選管會建議 2019 年觀塘區議會選舉
有關增設安泰選區 (J11)
但 #明泰樓 及 #新泰樓將被劃分到安利選區 (J10)。

致: 選舉管理委員會秘書處

本人不同意將安泰邨分拆爲兩個選區，不但損害作爲新區安泰邨社區完整性，明泰樓，和泰樓同樣是安泰邨居民，投票投訴卻要去到順利邨. 不但令居民有異類的不安感. 更影響社區團結精神.

強烈建議安泰邨整邨爲同一社區，本人不能接受分拆的安排.

2342

選區規劃大錯特錯! 安泰邨居民不是屬於安泰區? 17/08/2018 13:50

From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

您好! 本人是觀塘安泰村和泰樓住户，我剛在今早得知我們安泰村的選區規劃圖，發現和泰樓及明泰樓是劃分在順安區! 真的有點莫名其妙! 我們是安泰邨居民，不是順利順安邨居民，我們的租金也不是順安順利邨居民所付的同等價目，何解我們要與他們同區呢? 而不是安排在安泰區? 若因為安泰邨人數太多，便要將我們分拆為兩區， 那麼順利邨順安邨及順緻苑就不夠人數去投票? 相信若要找平衡點，亦不應該將我們安泰邨居民分開吧! 若然規劃署要將我們和泰樓及明泰樓的人加在利安區，試問我們不認識他們又怎會落力去投他們一票，怎樣為區內的發展事項發聲呢? 不是持份者怎樣比意見呢? 我們投票的原因很簡單: 是要投我們喜歡，屬於我們邨的及幫到我們村的人，絕對不是玩泥沙! 無端端要我們被迫去投「其他區」，那對我們「公平」嗎? 就正如我們不是在那所學校誌書，又怎會認識那所學校的內部運用呢? 要我們在那所不認識的學校投選校長，副校長又或校董，我們怎樣可以投到票呢? 說句俗嘢：「順安區，關我們咩事呢?」再者，請問政府(選委會)有否想過不同邨所持的觀點角度大有不同，未同枱開會便已經預計到要求不一樣，只會令場面尷尬，不能一體化所帶來的失敗! 請再三慎重考慮本人強烈要求還我安泰區! 希望不是有你講冇我們講啦! 否則徵詢市民意見都只不過是例行公事……表面功夫的造假! 多謝合作!

陸太敬上
[redacted]

17/8/2018

2343(1)

From: 17/08/2018 18:03 #0

中華人民共和國香港特別行政區 Hong Kong Special Administrative Region of The People's Republic of China 觀塘區議會

選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將逵顯樓、逵佳樓、逵信樓、逵喜樓劃出寶逵選區
強烈要求寶逵邨 13 座維持在同一寶逵選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶逵邨 13 座樓自 2003，2007，2011 區議會均為一個選區，寶盧選區，在 2011 年人口最多逵到 24000 多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足 21000 人，因為：1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

根據房屋委員會網站顯示，現時寶逵邨的認可人口有 22,300 人，扣除 3 座樓（逵喜樓、逵信樓、逵佳樓）人口（約 3,900 人），即寶逵選區（ J16）人口只有約 18,400 人。若按 2019 年劃區建議，寶逵選區（J16）要再劃走 1 座樓（逵願樓）到其他區，約有人口 1,300 人，即在 2019 年寶逵選舉人的人口數字將只剩下 17,100（18,400-1,300）人。但是，選管會公布的預計人口，寶逵選區（J16）在 2019 年有 20,490 人，與現時的實際人口相差 3,390（20,490-17,100）人。試問如此大的人口數目差距從何而來？

房屋委員會在其官方網站內定期公布每一條公共屋邨的認可人口數目，又以寶逵邨 13 座樓為例子作為佐證，在 2017 年 12 月 31 日，寶逵邨認可法定人口為 22,400 人，到了 2018 年 3 月 31 日，則有 22,300 人，即是三個月減少了 100 人。在人數不斷減少的成熟型屋邨，怎可能在明年突然增加 3,390 人？靠估？靠作？也應要有一個合理的譜！以此人數作為劃選區的指引，如何教市民信服選舉管理委員會？

在 2015 年人口減少，反而被分拆 3 座(逵喜樓、逵信樓、逵佳樓)撥到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來 2019 年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逵願樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

Kwun Tong

[redacted]

17-AUG-2018 18:26 96% P.001

2343(2)

From: 17/08/2018 18:04 #090 P.002/002

中華人民共和國香港特別行政區 Hong Kong Special Administrative Region of The People's Republic of China 觀塘區議會

本人強烈要求寶逵邨 13 座維持在同一寶逵選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶逵邨社區完整性
2. 寶逵邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶逵邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶逵地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

觀塘區議會副主席

洪錦鉉議員

2018/8/17

Kwun Tong

[redacted]

致：選舉管理委員會

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

此為範本申述共 22 份(序號 2344 – 2365)

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：陳[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 2345

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：陳秋娥 簽名：陳秋娥

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 2346

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：陳明秀 簽名：陳明秀

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 2347

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 2348

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 2349

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 2350

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 2351

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 2352

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 2353

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 2354

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：陳瑞婷 簽名：陳瑞婷

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 2355

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：鍾志法 簽名：鍾志法

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 2356

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：謝明雄 簽名：謝明雄

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 2357

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：羅瑞珍 簽名：羅瑞珍

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 2358

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：葉帶 簽名：葉帶

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 2359

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：周玉英 簽名：周玉英

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 2360

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：林樹鏞 簽名：林

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 2361

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：施素芬 簽名：施

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 2362

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，440 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 J15 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 2363

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 **J13** 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，**440** 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 **J15** 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：陳嘉鴻 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 2364

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 **J13** 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，**440** 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 **J15** 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：梁宝兴 簽名：梁宝兴

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會 2365

既然是諮詢，就請尊重市民的意見！

我們的要求都是合情又合理！

我要求：

將「秀暉樓」保留在 **J13** 秀茂坪中選區

預測人口不能嚴重偏離現實

「秀暉樓」全座是一人單位，**440** 戶，只有五百人，沒有千多人

J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」，沒有超越人口上限，合情合理

「秀暉樓」自開邨十多年來一直都是原有的區界內

維持原選區的原整性

保持「秀暉樓」居民一直以來的習慣性

反對將「秀暉樓」轉編入 **J15** 秀茂坪南區界內

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 2 日

致：選舉管理委員會

此為範本申述共 181 份(序號 2366 – 2546)

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持。特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2367

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2368

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：洪春珠 簽名：洪春珠

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2369

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2370

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2371

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2372

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2373

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2374

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：黃碧好 簽名：黃碧好

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2375

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：黃嘉娜 簽名：黃嘉娜

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2376

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 離道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：陳小玲 簽名：陳

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2377

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 離道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：何可欣 簽名：何可欣

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2378

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 離道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：周蓮芳 簽名：周蓮芳

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2379

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 離道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：王林女 王 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2380

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 離道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：梁國平 簽名：梁國平

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2381

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 離道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：黃翠好 簽名：黃翠好

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2382

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 離道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：周彩儀 簽名：周

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2383

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 離道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：林慧英 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2384

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 離道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2385

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2386

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：李結霞 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2387

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：鄧瑞華 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2388

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：MG SIU YIN 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2389

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2390

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2391

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2392

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2393

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2394

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2395

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：吳玉萍 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2396

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2397

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：袁素露 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2398

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：黃靄雯 簽名：黃靄雯

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2399

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：鄭松勝 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2400

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：譚萼 簽名：譚萼

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2401

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：葉成生 簽名：葉成生

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2402

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：鄧力鄉 簽名：鄧力鄉

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2403

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：何柳泉 簽名：何柳泉

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2404

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：劉柳賢 簽名：劉柳賢

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2405

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2406

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：陳中明 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2407

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：朱金歡 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2408

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：李玉梅 簽名：李

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2409

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2410

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2411

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2412

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2413

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2414

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：陳元林 陳元林 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2415

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2416

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：陳綺偉 陳綺偉 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2417

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：麥伯嫻 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2418

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：蔡像英 蔡像英 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2419

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：彭水娣 彭 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2420

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2421

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀鎏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：伍月明 簽名：伍

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2422

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀鎏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：陳田娣 簽名：陳田森

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2423

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀鎏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：黎玉英 簽名：黎

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2424

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀鎏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：李美玲 簽名：李

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2425

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀鎏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2426

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀鎏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：陳秀珠 簽名：陳

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2427

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀鎏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：梁月明 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2428

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀鎏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：曾志勉 簽名：曾志勉

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2429

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀鎏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2430

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：黃永楊 簽名：[signature]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2431

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：周[illegible]婷 簽名：[signature]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2432

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[signature]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2433

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：黃麗棠 簽名：黃麗棠

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2434

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：林偉強 簽名：林偉強

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2435

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[signature]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2436

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：彭梅英 簽名：彭梅英

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2437

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：朱肖維 簽名：朱

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2438

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀嶺樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：梁香蘭 簽名：梁

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2439

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：陳貴花 簽名：陳貴花

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2440

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2441

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2442

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2443

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：王巧金 簽名：王巧金

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2444

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：林惠治 簽名：林惠治

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2445

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：連利娜 簽名：連

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2446

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：古巧頂 簽名：古

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2447

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撰迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撰迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2448

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13秀茂坪中選區的人口是19749人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約600至900人，J13秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是440人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是880人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是1320人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共440戶一人單位，每個單位面積是17.09平方米，住了513人，還有10個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在J13秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：王惜香 簽名：王惜香

2018年8月3日

致：選舉管理委員會

2449

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13秀茂坪中選區的人口是19749人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約600至900人，J13秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是440人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是880人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是1320人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共440戶一人單位，每個單位面積是17.09平方米，住了513人，還有10個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在J13秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：黃少如 簽名：黃少如

2018年8月3日

致：選舉管理委員會

2450

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13秀茂坪中選區的人口是19749人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約600至900人，J13秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是440人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是880人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是1320人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共440戶一人單位，每個單位面積是17.09平方米，住了513人，還有10個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在J13秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：錢青 簽名：錢青

2018年8月3日

致：選舉管理委員會

2451

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13秀茂坪中選區的人口是19749人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約600至900人，J13秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是440人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是880人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是1320人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共440戶一人單位，每個單位面積是17.09平方米，住了513人，還有10個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在J13秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：馬建仙 簽名：馬

2018年8月3日

致：選舉管理委員會

2452

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13秀茂坪中選區的人口是19749人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約600至900人，J13秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是440人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是880人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是1320人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共440戶一人單位，每個單位面積是17.09平方米，住了513人，還有10個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在J13秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：王揚俊 簽名：王揚俊

2018年8月3日

致：選舉管理委員會

2453

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13秀茂坪中選區的人口是19749人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約600至900人，J13秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是440人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是880人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是1320人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共440戶一人單位，每個單位面積是17.09平方米，住了513人，還有10個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在J13秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：何詠琦 簽名：何

2018年8月3日

致：選舉管理委員會

2454

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13秀茂坪中選區的人口是19749人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約600至900人，J13秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是440人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是880人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是1320人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共440戶一人單位，每個單位面積是17.09平方米，住了513人，還有10個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在J13秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：孫浩俊 簽名：孫浩俊

2018年8月3日

致：選舉管理委員會

2455

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13秀茂坪中選區的人口是19749人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約600至900人，J13秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是440人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是880人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是1320人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共440戶一人單位，每個單位面積是17.09平方米，住了513人，還有10個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在J13秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：

2018年8月3日

致：選舉管理委員會

2456

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13秀茂坪中選區的人口是19749人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約600至900人，J13秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是440人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是880人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是1320人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共440戶一人單位，每個單位面積是17.09平方米，住了513人，還有10個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在J13秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：朱國沙 簽名：沙

2018年8月3日

致：選舉管理委員會

2457

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 離道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：陳毛鏡 簽名：陳

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2458

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 離道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：劉三鏡 簽名：三鏡

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2459

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 離道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：蘇安秀 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2460

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 離道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：麥尚謙 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2461

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 離道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2462

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 離道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：陳筠涛 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2463

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 離道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2464

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 離道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2465

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 離道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2466

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約600 至900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是17.09 平方米，住了513 人，還有10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：林秀蕙 簽名：林秀蕙

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2467

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約600 至900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是17.09 平方米，住了513 人，還有10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：施采卿 簽名：施采卿

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2468

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約600 至900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是17.09 平方米，住了513 人，還有10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：陳梓琳 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2469

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約600 至900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是17.09 平方米，住了513 人，還有10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2470

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約600 至900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是17.09 平方米，住了513 人，還有10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2471

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約600 至900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是17.09 平方米，住了513 人，還有10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2472

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約600 至900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是17.09 平方米，住了513 人，還有10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2473

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約600 至900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是17.09 平方米，住了513 人，還有10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2474

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約600 至900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是17.09 平方米，住了513 人，還有10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2475

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2476

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：施茅芳 簽名：施

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2477

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2478

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：黎少琼 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2479

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：林佩思 簽名：林佩思

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2480

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：林潤權 簽名：林潤權

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2481

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：劉惠源 簽名：劉惠源

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2482

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2483

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2484

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，　難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2485

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，　難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2486

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，　難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2487

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，　難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2488

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，　難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2489

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選[redacted]

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，　難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2490

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，　難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2491

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，　難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2492

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，　難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2493

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀敏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：Mugyie Chan 簽名：_____

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2494

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀敏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：李翠琴 簽名：李

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2495

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀敏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：楊雪英 簽名：楊

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2496

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀敏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：朱永光 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2497

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀敏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：陳寶金 簽名：金

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2498

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀敏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：王錦山 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2499

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀敏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：張玉梅 簽名：張玉梅

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2500

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀敏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：李松芳 簽名：李

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2501

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀敏樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：張平 簽名：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2502

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2503

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2504

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：陳彩芳 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2505

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2506

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2507

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2508

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2509

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2510

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2511

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：謝雪琦 簽名：謝

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2512

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：梁凡遊 簽名：梁凡遊

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2513

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭，你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：徐潤燊 簽名：徐

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2514

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：陳美琴 簽名：陳美琴

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2515

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2516

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：黃格玲 簽名：黃

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2517

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：陳錦寶 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2518

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：劉少雁 簽名：劉

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2519

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[illegible] 簽名：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2520

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 離道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2521

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 離道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘秀茂坪邨 [redacted]

姓名：CHAN Sen 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2522

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 離道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：Angel Tong 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2523

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 離道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：鄧士燕 簽名：鄧士燕

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2524

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 離道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：鷹國偉 簽名：鷹樣洪

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2525

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 離道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：麥定霞 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2526

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 離道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：黎玉芳 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2527

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 離道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2528

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 離道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2529

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2530

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2531

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：郭丽珍 簽名：郭

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2532

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2533

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2534

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2535

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2536

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2537

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重跨大，如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是擠迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人，你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人， 離譜「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重擠迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘[redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2538

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：李佩文 簽名：文

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2539

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：陳仁楠 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2540

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：林沙茉夏 簽名：林沙茉夏

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2541

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：趙富健 簽名：趙富健

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2542

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2543

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2544

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：郭詠珍 簽名：郭

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2545

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

2546

要求將「秀暉樓」保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區

反對將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區

一、維持社區原整，不要打破自開邨以來十八年的習慣

秀茂坪邨秀暉樓、秀緻樓、秀景樓、秀裕樓、秀慧樓、秀程樓、秀賢樓、服務設施大樓自開邨至今十八年，一直都是同一選區，互相扶持，特別是「秀暉樓」，街坊在區內多稱呼為「長者樓」，該座樓絕大部分是一人獨居，部分是雙老家庭。你們要將「秀暉樓」編入 J15 秀茂坪南選區，這樣會破壞了原選區原整性，打破了區內居民及「秀暉樓」的長者們十多年的習慣，令到他們無所適從。

二、預測人口要準確，不能嚴重偏離現實。J13 秀茂坪中選區加上「秀暉樓」的人口數，不會超越你們的標準，是你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大，才超越你們的標準。

現時你們的預測，J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口是 19749 人，如果你們將「秀暉樓」的人口數預測得貼近實際人口，約 600 至 900 人，J13 秀茂坪中選區就算加上「秀暉樓」，也不會超越人口總數上限，可以繼續保留在原選區。但偏偏你們就將「秀暉樓」的人口數嚴重誇大。如果家家戶戶都住一個人，就是 440 人；如果家家戶戶都住兩個人，就是 880 人；如果家家戶戶都是撐迫戶，住三個人，就是 1320 人。你們預測「秀暉樓」的人口是一千多人，難道「秀暉樓」家家戶戶都住三人以上，是嚴重撐迫戶要申請調遷嗎？完全是荒謬至極！現時「秀暉樓」全座樓合共 440 戶一人單位，每個單位面積是 17.09 平方米，住了 513 人，還有 10 個空置單位。

三、目前既然是諮詢，就請你們尊重市民的合理訴求

我們的訴求合情合理，有根有據。J13 秀茂坪中選區的人口就算加上「秀暉樓」也不會超越上限，懇請你們維持原選區原整，保持區內居民十多年的習慣，將「秀暉樓」繼續保留在 J13 秀茂坪中選區，感謝！

觀塘 [redacted]

姓名：[redacted] 簽名：[redacted]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

2547

10/08 2018 1:38 PM FAX [redacted]

中華人民共和國香港特別行政區
Hong Kong Special Administrative Region of the People's Republic of China

觀塘區議會
Kwun Tong District Council
姚柏良議員辦事處
YIU Pak-Leung Councillor Office

本函編號：E-18018

選舉管理委員會
香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生, GBS

馮主席：

有關二零一九年區議會觀塘選區分界臨時建議之意見反映
選區人口估算不合理，劃界安排對啟田大廈選民不公

本人從諮詢文件獲悉來年區議會選舉觀塘平田選區(J20)及藍田選區(J19)被調整分界，啟田大廈將由藍田選區劃分至平田選區，此舉實在對啟田大廈選民極度不公！根據紀錄，啟田大廈於過去兩屆區議會選舉中 均被劃進不同選區(即 2011 年屬景田選區及 2015 年屬藍田選區)，本人認為 2019 年若再將該大廈重新劃進半田選區，啟田大廈將連續三屆被劃分在不同選區。過度頻繁轉區只會令啟田大廈選民無所適從，在尋求所屬區議員服務及表達意見時造成混淆，每次選舉在不同票站投票亦會降低選民投票意欲和造成混亂，居民更難對所屬選區產生歸屬感。

另一方面，本人認為 貴會對平田選區於 2019 年的預測人口數據極不合理。根據房委會 7 月提供有關平田邨及安田邨戶籍人口的最新數據，現時安田邨戶數和戶籍人口分別為 720 戶及 2,876 人；平田邨戶數和戶籍人口分別為 5,492 戶及 15,060 人，兩邨人口合共為 17,936 人，然而，貴會預測平田選區於 2019 年的預期人口（連同啟田大廈人口），竟然只有 17,697 人，明顯出現數據不真實的情況。

雖然本人並不介意為更多藍田居民提供服務，但由於本區人口仍高於標準人口基數 16,599 人，本人強烈建議今屆選區劃界暫不作調整藍田各選區，待觀塘警署遷新建紀律部隊宿舍人伙後，下屆區議會選舉再重新調整藍田各個選區劃界，以免各選區過度頻繁調整，對選民造成不便！

懇請主席認真考慮本人意見，如有任何垂詢，歡迎致電 [redacted] 與本人聯絡，謝謝！

順祝
工作愉快！

觀塘區議員

姚柏良

2018 年 8 月 18 日

地址: [redacted] Address: [redacted]
電話 Tel: [redacted] 傳真 Fax: [redacted] 電子郵箱 Email: [redacted]

18-AUG-2018 13:32 [redacted] 97% P.001

2548

就區議會劃區的建議 20/08/2018 00:28
From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

選舉事務委員會馮法官高鑒：

本人住在觀塘秀茂坪南邨，對於選舉劃界有以下意見。

1． 將秀茂坪南邨五棟樓，與秀茂坪秀明樓、秀安樓、秀富樓，劃成一個選區。
2． 寶達邨１３座樓獨立一個選區
3． 秀暉樓歸返秀茂坪中選區
4． 若考慮到秀茂坪北選區人口數目問題，應將安達邨的一至兩座劃到秀茂坪北，因為有天橋直達。
5． 最好安達邨自成一個選區。

本人建議願及社區完整及交通因素等。

敬請考慮。

秀茂坪南邨居民
WONG PING SAU

file:///C:/Users/REO/AppData/Local/Temp/notesC7A056/~web4198.htm 20/8/2018

2549

敬致 選舉事務處：

關於〔2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議〕的意見

我會屬於選舉事務處 2019 年區選分界臨時建議內選區 J26 的其中一座大廈的互助委員會，對於〔2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議〕中，就 J22、J23、J24、J25、J26 五個選區分界及名稱臨時建議，本會謹此致函表示贊同。

今次的劃區臨時建議，整個油塘增加一個選區，J26（油塘西）維持原來的選區範圍不變，本會認為是好的做法，理由如下：

1. 保留 J26 不變，符合劃區建議力求影響最少選區的原則，能不變的盡量不變，避免居民人心及管理上造成混亂。
2. J26 內的翠麗樓、康麗樓、仁麗樓與油塘邨的富塘樓、貴塘樓、榮塘樓、華塘樓、美塘樓經過多年的社區磨合，八座大廈已形成團結協作的整體，許多地區活動都是聯合推行，彼此配合默契，八座大廈居民之間已形成和諧的人際關係，共同享受平和的社區氛圍。因此，八座大廈維持在 J26 選區內，符合居民的意願和利益。
3. 在地理上，上述八座大廈相連，成為一個非常統一的整體，管理上及地區活動的推展等方面都非常便利。
4. 在居民人口方面，臨時建議中 J26 符合選區人口的要求。但若將翠麗樓、康麗樓、仁麗樓（合共 2400 戶）劃出去，則居民人口大幅減少，低於選區人口下限。

總括而言，本會同意〔2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議〕中就 J22、J23、J24、J25、J26 五個選區分界及名稱臨時建議，反對將翠麗樓、康麗樓、仁麗樓三座大廈從 J26 選區中劃走。

懇請鑒納，多謝！

油塘邨貴塘樓互助委員會

二０一八年八月十五日

回郵：[redacted]

2550

敬致 選舉事務處：

贊同〔2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議〕

本會屬於選舉事務處 2019 年區選分界臨時建議內選區 J26 的其中一座大廈的互助委員會，對於〔2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議〕中，就 J22、J23、J24、J25、J26 五個選區分界及名稱臨時建議，本會謹此致函表示贊同。

貴處的劃區臨時建議，整個油塘增加一個選區，J26（油塘西）維持原來的選區範圍不變，本會認為是好的做法，理由如下：

1. 保留 J26 不變，符合劃區建議力求影響最少選區的原則，能不變的盡量不變，避免居民人心及管理上造成混亂。
2. J26 內的翠麗樓、康麗樓、仁麗樓與油塘邨的富塘樓、貴塘樓、榮塘樓、華塘樓、美塘樓經過多年的社區磨合，八座大廈已形成團結協作的整體，許多地區活動都是聯合推行，彼此配合默契，八座大廈居民之間已形成和諧的人際關係，共同享受平和的社區氛圍。因此，八座大廈維持在 J26 選區內，符合居民的意願和利益。
3. 在地理上，上述八座大廈相連，成為一個非常統一的整體，管理上及地區活動的推展等方面都非常便利。
4. 在居民人口方面，臨時建議中 J26 符合選區人口的要求。但若將翠麗樓、康麗樓、仁麗樓（合共 2400 戶）劃出去，則居民人口大幅減少，低於選區人口下限。

綜上所述，本會同意〔2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議〕中就 J22、J23、J24、J25、J26 五個選區分界及名稱臨時建議，反對將翠麗樓、康麗樓、仁麗樓三座大廈從 J26 選區中劃走。

懇請垂鑒，多謝！

油塘邨美塘樓互助委員會

二０一八年八月十五日

回郵：[redacted]

2551

敬致 選舉事務處：

贊成〔2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議〕

本會屬於選舉事務處2019年區選分界臨時建議內選區J26的其中一座大廈的互助委員會，對於〔2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議〕中，就J22、J23、J24、J25、J26五個選區分界及名稱臨時建議，本會謹此致函表示贊同。

今次的劃區臨時建議，整個油塘增加一個選區，J26（油塘西）維持原來的選區範圍不變，本會認為是好的做法，理由如下：

1. 保留J26不變，符合劃區建議力求影響最少選區的原則，能不變的盡量不變，避免居民人心及管理上造成混亂。
2. J26內的翠麗樓、康麗樓、仁麗樓與油塘邨的富塘樓、貴塘樓、榮塘樓、華塘樓、美塘樓經過多年的社區磨合，八座大廈已形成團結協作的整體，許多地區活動都是聯合推行，彼此配合默契，八座大廈居民之間已形成和諧的人際關係，共同享受平和的社區氛圍。因此，八座大廈維持在J26選區內，符合居民的意願和利益。
3. 在地理上，上述八座大廈相連，成為一個非常統一的整體，管理上及地區活動的推展等方面都非常便利。
4. 在居民人口方面，臨時建議中J26符合選區人口的要求。但若將翠麗樓、康麗樓、仁麗樓（合共2400戶）劃出去，則居民人口大幅減少，低於選區人口下限。

綜上所述，本會同意〔2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議〕中就J22、J23、J24、J25、J26五個選區分界及名稱臨時建議，反對將翠麗樓、康麗樓、仁麗樓三座大廈從J26選區中劃走。

多謝！

油塘邨富塘樓互助委員會

二〇一八年八月十五日

回郵地址：[redacted]

2552

敬致 選舉事務處：

關於〔2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議〕的意見

本會屬於選舉事務處2019年區選分界臨時建議內選區J26的其中一座大廈的互助委員會，對於〔2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議〕中，就J22、J23、J24、J25、J26五個選區分界及名稱臨時建議，本會謹此致函表示贊同。

今次的劃區臨時建議，整個油塘增加一個選區，J26（油塘西）維持原來的選區範圍不變，本會認為是好的做法，理由如下：

1. 保留J26不變，符合劃區建議力求影響最少選區的原則，能不變的盡量不變，避免居民人心及管理上造成混亂。
2. J26內的翠麗樓、康麗樓、仁麗樓與油塘邨的富塘樓、貴塘樓、榮塘樓、華塘樓、美塘樓經過多年的社區磨合，八座大廈已形成團結協作的整體，許多地區活動都是聯合推行，彼此配合默契，八座大廈居民之間已形成和諧的人際關係，共同享受平和的社區氛圍。因此，八座大廈維持在J26選區內，符合居民的意願和利益。
3. 在地理上，上述八座大廈相連，成為一個非常統一的整體，管理上及地區活動的推展等方面都非常便利。
4. 在居民人口方面，臨時建議中J26符合選區人口的要求。但若將翠麗樓、康麗樓、仁麗樓（合共2400戶）劃出去，則居民人口大幅減少，低於選區人口下限。

綜上所述，本會同意〔2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議〕中就J22、J23、J24、J25、J26五個選區分界及名稱臨時建議，反對將翠麗樓、康麗樓、仁麗樓三座大廈從J26選區中劃走。

懇請垂鑒，多謝！

油麗邨翠麗樓互助委員會

二〇一八年八月十五日

回郵：[redacted]

2553

敬致 選舉事務處：

關於〔2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議〕的意見

我們屬於選舉事務處2019年區選分界臨時建議內選區J26的其中一座大廈的互助委員會，對於〔2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議〕中，就J22、J23、J24、J25、J26五個選區分界及名稱臨時建議，本會謹此致函表示贊同。

貴處的劃區臨時建議，將整個油塘增加一個選區，J26（油塘西）維持原來的選區範圍不變，本會認為是好的做法，理由如下：

1. 保留J26不變，符合劃區建議力求影響最少選區的原則，能不變的盡量不變，避免居民人心及管理上造成混亂。
2. J26內的翠麗樓、康麗樓、仁麗樓與油塘邨的富塘樓、貴塘樓、榮塘樓、華塘樓、美塘樓經過多年的社區磨合，八座大廈已形成團結協作的整體，許多地區活動都是聯合推行，彼此配合默契，八座大廈居民之間已形成和諧的人際關係，共同享受平和的社區氛圍。因此，八座大廈維持在J26選區內，符合居民的意願和利益。
3. 在地理上，上述八座大廈相連，成為一個非常統一的整體，管理上及地區活動的推展等方面都非常便利。
4. 在居民人口方面，臨時建議中J26符合選區人口的要求。但若將翠麗樓、康麗樓、仁麗樓（合共2400戶）劃出去，則居民人口大幅減少，低於選區人口下限。

綜上所述，本會同意〔2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議〕中就J22、J23、J24、J25、J26五個選區分界及名稱臨時建議，反對將翠麗樓、康麗樓、仁麗樓三座大廈從J26選區中劃走。

懇請垂鑒，多謝！

油麗邨仁麗樓互助委員會

二〇一八年八月十五日

回郵：[redacted]

2554

敬致 選舉事務處：

贊成〔2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議〕

本會屬於選舉事務處2019年區選分界臨時建議內選區J26的一個頗有歷史的團體，對於〔2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議〕中，就J22、J23、J24、J25、J26五個選區分界及名稱臨時建議，本會謹此致函表示贊同。

今次的劃區臨時建議，整個油塘增加一個選區，J26（油塘西）維持原來的選區範圍不變，本會認為是好的做法，理由如下：

1. 保留J26不變，符合劃區建議力求影響最少選區的原則，能不變的盡量不變，避免居民人心及管理上造成混亂。
2. J26內的翠麗樓、康麗樓、仁麗樓與油塘邨的富塘樓、貴塘樓、榮塘樓、華塘樓、美塘樓經過多年的社區磨合，八座大廈已形成團結協作的整體，許多地區活動都是聯合推行，彼此配合默契，八座大廈居民之間已形成和諧的人際關係，共同享受平和的社區氛圍。因此，八座大廈維持在J26選區內，符合居民的意願和利益。
3. 在地理上，上述八座大廈相連，成為一個非常統一的整體，管理上及地區活動的推展等方面都非常便利。
4. 在居民人口方面，臨時建議中J26符合選區人口的要求。但若將翠麗樓、康麗樓、仁麗樓（合共2400戶）劃出去，則居民人口大幅減少，低於選區人口下限。因此，本會贊同〔2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議〕中就J22、J23、J24、J25、J26五個選區分界及名稱臨時建議，反對將翠麗樓、康麗樓、仁麗樓三座大廈從J26選區中劃走。

懇請垂鑒，多謝！

油塘區街坊福利會

二〇一八年八月十五日

回郵：[redacted]

2555

敬致 選舉事務處：

贊同〔2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議〕

本會屬於選舉事務處 2019 年區選分界臨時建議內選區 J26 的一個組織，對於〔2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議〕中，就 J22、J23、J24、J25、J26 五個選區分界及名稱臨時建議，本會謹此致函表示贊同。

貴處的劃區臨時建議，整個油塘增加一個選區，J26（油塘西）維持原來的選區範圍不變，本會認為是好的做法，理由如下：

1. 保留 J26 不變，符合劃區建議力求影響最少選區的原則，能不變的盡量不變，避免居民人心及管理上造成混亂。
2. J26 內的翠麗樓、康麗樓、仁麗樓與油塘邨的富塘樓、貴塘樓、榮塘樓、華塘樓、美塘樓經過多年的社區磨合，八座大廈已形成團結協作的整體，許多地區活動都是聯合推行，彼此配合默契，八座大廈居民之間已形成和諧的人際關係，共同享受平和的社區氛圍。因此，八座大廈維持在 J26 選區內，符合居民的意願和利益。
3. 在地理上，上述八座大廈相連，成為一個非常統一的整體，管理上及地區活動的推展等方面都非常便利。
4. 在居民人口方面，臨時建議中 J26 符合選區人口的要求。但若將翠麗樓、康麗樓、仁麗樓（合共 2400 戶）劃出去，則居民人口大幅減少，低於選區人口下限。

綜上所述，本會贊同〔2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議〕中就 J22、J23、J24、J25、J26 五個選區分界及名稱臨時建議，反對將翠麗樓、康麗樓、仁麗樓三座大廈從 J26 選區中劃走。

懇請鑒納，多謝！

藍田油塘四山居民協會

二〇一八年八月十五日

回郵：[redacted]

2556

敬致 選舉事務處：

關於〔2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議〕的意見

本會屬於選舉事務處 2019 年區選分界臨時建議內選區 J26 的一個組織，對於〔2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議〕中，就 J22、J23、J24、J25、J26 五個選區分界及名稱臨時建議，本會謹此致函表示贊同。

今次的劃區臨時建議，整個油塘增加一個選區，J26（油塘西）維持原來的選區範圍不變，本會認為是好的做法，理由如下：

1. 保留 J26 不變，符合劃區建議力求影響最少選區的原則，能不變的盡量不變，避免居民人心及管理上造成混亂。
2. J26 內的翠麗樓、康麗樓、仁麗樓與油塘邨的富塘樓、貴塘樓、榮塘樓、華塘樓、美塘樓經過多年的社區磨合，八座大廈已形成團結協作的整體，許多地區活動都是聯合推行，彼此配合默契，八座大廈居民之間已形成和諧的人際關係，共同享受平和的社區氛圍。因此，八座大廈維持在 J26 選區內，符合居民的意願和利益。
3. 在地理上，上述八座大廈相連，成為一個非常統一的整體，管理上及地區活動的推展等方面都非常便利。
4. 在居民人口方面，臨時建議中 J26 符合選區人口的要求。但若將翠麗樓、康麗樓、仁麗樓（合共 2400 戶）劃出去，則居民人口大幅減少，低於選區人口下限。

綜合上述，本會同意〔2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議〕中就 J22、J23、J24、J25、J26 五個選區分界及名稱臨時建議，反對將翠麗樓、康麗樓、仁麗樓三座大廈從 J26 選區中劃走。

懇請接納意見，多謝！

油塘區婦女會

二〇一八年八月十五日

回郵：[redacted]

2557

敬致 選舉事務處：

關於〔2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議〕的意見

本辦事處對於〔2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議〕中，就 J22、J23、J24、J25、J26 五個選區分界及名稱臨時建議，謹此致函表示贊同。

今次的劃區臨時建議，整個油塘增加一個選區，J26（油塘西）維持原來的選區範圍不變，本辦事處認為是好的做法，理由如下：

1. 保留 J26 的組成不變，符合劃區建議力求影響最少選區的原則，能不變的盡量不變，避免居民人心及管理上造成混亂。
2. J26 內的翠麗樓、康麗樓、仁麗樓與油塘邨的富塘樓、貴塘樓、榮塘樓、華塘樓、美塘樓經過多年的社區磨合，八座大廈已形成團結協作的整體，許多地區活動都是聯合推行，彼此配合默契，八座大廈居民之間已形成和諧的人際關係，共同享受平和的社區氛圍。因此，八座大廈維持在 J26 選區內，符合居民的意願和利益。
3. 在地理上，上述八座大廈相連，成為一個非常統一的整體，管理上及地區活動的推展等方面都非常便利。
4. 在居民人口方面，臨時建議中 J26 符合選區人口的要求。但若將翠麗樓、康麗樓、仁麗樓（合共 2400 戶）劃出去，則居民人口大幅減少，低於選區人口下限。

上述理由，本辦事處是在廣泛咨詢區內團體及居民之後，總結而成。因此，本辦事處贊同〔2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議〕中有關油塘部份的劃區建議，反對將翠麗樓、康麗樓、仁麗樓三座大廈從 J26 選區中劃走。

懇請垂鑒，多謝！

呂東孩議員辦事處

二〇一八年八月十五日

2558

敬致 選舉事務處：

同意〔2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議〕

本會屬於選舉事務處 2019 年區選分界臨時建議內選區 J26 的其中一座大廈的互助委員會，對於〔2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議〕中，就 J22、J23、J24、J25、J26 五個選區分界及名稱臨時建議，本會謹此致函表示贊同。

今次的劃區臨時建議，整個油塘增加一個選區，J26（油塘西）維持原來的選區範圍不變，本會認為是好的做法，理由如下：

1. 保留 J26 不變，符合劃區建議力求影響最少選區的原則，能不變的盡量不變，避免居民人心及管理上造成混亂。
2. J26 內的翠麗樓、康麗樓、仁麗樓與油塘邨的富塘樓、貴塘樓、榮塘樓、華塘樓、美塘樓經過多年的社區磨合，八座大廈已形成團結協作的整體，許多地區活動都是聯合推行，彼此配合默契，八座大廈居民之間已形成和諧的人際關係，共同享受平和的社區氛圍。因此，八座大廈維持在 J26 選區內，符合居民的意願和利益。
3. 在地理上，上述八座大廈相連，成為一個非常統一的整體，管理上及地區活動的推展等方面都非常便利。
4. 在居民人口方面，臨時建議中 J26 符合選區人口的要求。但若將翠麗樓、康麗樓、仁麗樓（合共 2400 戶）劃出去，則居民人口大幅減少，低於選區人口下限。

總括而言，本會同意〔2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議〕中就 J22、J23、J24、J25、J26 五個選區分界及名稱臨時建議，反對將翠麗樓、康麗樓、仁麗樓三座大廈從 J26 選區中劃走。

多謝！

油塘邨華塘樓互助委員會

二〇一八年八月十五日

回郵：[redacted]

2559

2019 區議會一般選舉選區分界 20/08/2018 18:27
From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

致選舉管理委員會

就有關建議 - 觀塘區議會景田選區的區界表示同意及支持.

Sarah Wong

2560

2019年區議會一般選舉觀塘選區分界及名稱臨時建議公眾諮詢書面申述 – 安泰邨
20/08/2018 22:01
[redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

敬啟者：

就2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議，本人反對將觀塘區議會 J10 安利選區分界作任何變更，現對此提出意見。

安泰邨為近年新屋邨，與原選區之順安邨、順利邨利業樓、利溢樓、利康樓和利富樓不同，強行分拆安泰邨部分樓宇於安利選區，做法值得商榷。根據2016年中期人口統計，安利選區之年齡中位數為55.5歲，比起全港年齡中位數（43.4歲）高約28個百分比，可見順安邨、順利邨利業樓、利溢樓、利康樓和利富樓的選民較為年長，與安泰邨的選民不同。除此之外，安泰邨之規劃、設施及佈局等與順利邨不同，而後者於1978年入伙，兩條屋邨入伙時間相差近四十年，即使定期翻新檢討邨內設施亦不能拉近兩邨之差別。

新公共屋邨比舊公共屋邨之居住環境、居民特質明顯不同，若將兩者安排於同一選區中會令當選之區議員難以服務居民，並令社區變得分散，降低選民之生活質素。同時，該選劃界方法，將標準人口基數凌駕於社區獨特性之上，忽略社區完整及地方連繫，強行分拆社區難以說服公眾。

最後，請貴會重新考慮安利選區分界，謝謝。

一名市民上

2561(1)

油塘油麗(J25)、油塘西(J26)選區 2019 年區議會選舉選區分界意見書 21/08/2018 09:33
From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

選舉管理委員會秘書處

油塘油麗(J25)、油塘西(J26)選區 2019 年區議會選舉選區分界意見書

本人現就選舉管理委員會（下稱「選管會」）提出「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」中的油麗（J25）選區分界方式表達異議。

油塘油麗邨由以往「一邨分兩區」，在新的臨時建議下蛻化為「一邨分三區」。油麗邨居民無論在居住空間位置、通道走廊、商場、休憩設施、停車場及社福機構，甚至社區問題均有其一致性及獨特之處，以前的分區「一分為二」已經是極限。

但選管會在並非沒有更好的情況下，將油麗邨劃分成三個選區，破壞社區的完整性和獨特性，以及居民對社區的歸屬感。選管會在劃分選區時只執著於人口分配，即不偏離基數上下25%，完全漠視「維持社區獨特性及地方聯繫的情況」，從而導致油麗「一劃三」的惡劣局面出現。

在臨時建議下，油麗(J25)的預計人口為16,068人，偏離標準人口基數 -3.2%，換言之油麗這個選區其實有能力承載更多選民；相反，鄰近選區油塘西(J26)，選區預計人口19,627人，大幅偏離標準人口基數+18.24%，亦為觀塘區面積最大的選區。油塘西區內有公屋、租者置其屋、木屋及鐵皮屋、舊式唐樓、洋房、私人樓宇、更有工廠區及旅遊區等，人口數目多而各利益階層分歧。令人費解及諷刺的是，油塘西選區卻包括部分油麗邨樓宇：康麗樓、翠麗樓及仁麗樓。

我明白現時一個屋邨的人口數目，未必能夠劃分成只有一個選區。不過，選管會亦應該更仔細，更靈活，及更人性化地考慮其他可行的選區劃法。因此，本人建議選管會：

一、 將油塘西(J26)內所包括的油麗邨部分樓宇（康麗樓、翠麗樓及仁麗樓），轉編入可以包含更多人口的油麗(J25)選區。
使選區 J25（油麗）及J26（油塘西）兩區的人口既同時符合法例許可幅度，油麗邨亦由「一邨分三區」變回「分兩區」，使其在可行範圍內盡量保留社區完整性。建議同時能減輕油塘工業區將來搬遷後，為油塘西帶來的人口壓力。或；

二、 現時油麗邨認可人口為22,400人，建議參考其他含大型屋苑的選區的劃分法，例如：麗港城(代號J27，偏離基數 +49.15%)、鴨脷洲邨(代號D02，偏離基數 -27.33%)、麗閣(F10，偏離基數 -21.28%)，將油麗邨內所有樓宇整合為一獨立選區。
建議雖然可能令人口基數稍高於25%，但能充分維持地區完整。

選管會現時對油塘區分界的臨時建議存在非常大的不足，本人強烈要求重新審視油塘選區的劃分法，及詳細考慮本人的建議：在J25和J26兩區不超過人口基數的上下限的情況，更有效維持社區完整性，劃出更可行、更客觀及更具人性化的選區。

2561(2)

祝

工作順利

油麗邨居民
王偉麟

21-08-18;09:30 ; 2561(3)

選舉管理委員會秘書處

油塘油麗(J25)、油塘西(J26)選區 2019 年區議會選舉選區分界意見書

本人現就選舉管理委員會（下稱「選管會」）提出「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」中的油麗（J25）選區分界方式表達異議。

油塘油麗鄰由以往「一邨分兩區」，在新的臨時建議下變化為「一邨分三區」。油麗鄰居民無論在居住空間位置、通道走廊、商場、休憩設施、停車場及社福機構，甚至社區問題均有其一致性及獨特之處，以前的分區「一分為二」已經是極限。

但選管會在並非沒有更好的情況下，將油麗鄰劃分成三個選區，破壞社區的完整性和獨特性，以及屋民對社區的歸屬感。選管會在劃分選區時只執著於人口分配，即不偏離基數上下 25%，完全漠視「維持社區獨特性及地方聯繫的情況」，從而導致油麗「一劃三」的惡劣局面出現。

在臨時建議下，油麗(J25)的預計人口為 16,068 人，偏離標準人口基數 -3.2%，換言之油麗這個選區其實有能力承載更多選民；相反，鄰近選區油塘西(J26)，選區預計人口 19,627 人，大幅偏離標準人口基數+18.24%，亦為觀塘區面積最大的選區。油塘西區內有公屋、租者置業屋、木屋及鐵皮屋、舊式唐樓、洋樓、私人樓宇、更有工廠區及旅遊區等，人口數目多而各利益階層分歧。令人費解及諷刺的是，油塘西選區卻包括部分油麗鄰樓宇：康麗樓、翠麗樓及仁麗樓。

我明白現時一個屋邨的人口數目，未必能夠劃分成只有一個選區。不過，選管會亦應該更仔細、更靈活、及更人性化地考慮其他可行的選區劃法。因此，本人建議選管會：

一、 將油塘西(J26)內所包括的油麗鄰部分樓宇（康麗樓、翠麗樓及仁麗樓），轉編入可以包含更多人口的油麗(J25)選區。

21-AUG-2018 09:53 96% P.001

21-08-18;09:30 ; 2561(4)

使選區 J25（油麗）及 J26（油塘西）兩區的人口既同時符合法例許可幅度，油麗鄰亦由「一邨分三區」變回「分兩區」，便其在可行範圍內盡量保留社區完整性。建議同時能減輕油塘工業區將來撤遷後，為油塘西帶來的人口壓力；或；

二、 現時油麗鄰認可人口為 22,400 人，建議參考其他含大型屋苑的選區的劃分法，例如：麗港城(代號 J27，偏離基數 +49.15%)、善鄰洲邨(代號 D02，偏離基數 -27.33%)、麗閣(F10，偏離基數 -21.28%)，將油麗鄰內所有樓宇整合為一獨立選區。雖然可能令人口基數稍高於 25%，但能充分維持地區完整。

選管會現時對油塘區分界的臨時建議存在非常大的不足，本人強烈要求重新審視油塘選區的劃分法，及詳細考慮本人的建議；在 J25 和 J26 兩區不超過人口基數的上下限的情況，更有效維持社區完整性，劃出更可行、更客觀及更具人性化的選區。

祝

工作順利

油麗鄰居民
王偉嶺

21-AUG-2018 09:53 96% P.002

2562

有關油塘油麗邨的選區劃分之意見 21/08/2018 11:28

From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊先生台鑒：

有關油塘油麗邨的選區劃分之意見

選舉管理委員會早前提出「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，本人欲就有關油翠（J24）、油麗（J25）及油塘西選區（J26）之分界方式提出有關意見。

油塘油麗邨在以往的區議會選區為「一邨分兩區」，分別為J25 及 J26 兩區。兩區的區議員在協調油麗邨居民的需要時，付出的時間實屬不少。在選舉管理委員會提出的新臨時建議下，油麗邨之分區將惡化為「一邨分三區」，變成 J24、J25 及 J26 三個選區。區議員在協調上會出現一定的困難和分歧，尤其油麗邨無論在居住空間、通道走廊、商場、休憩設施、停車場及社福機構，甚至社區問題均有其一致性及獨特之處，將油麗邨劃分成三個選區，會破壞該社區的完整性和獨特性，實在不利油麗邨的管理和發展。

選管會在劃分選區時，不能只執著於人口分配，完全漠視社區的聯繫。在臨時建議下，油翠（J24）的預計人口為 17,969 人，偏離標準人口基數 +8.25%；油麗（J25）的預計人口為16,068人，偏離標準人口基數 -3.2%；而鄰近選區油塘西（J26），選區預計人口19,627人，大幅偏離標準人口基數 +18.24%，亦為觀塘區面積最大的選區。加上油塘工業區將來撤遷後，當區若興建住屋，會為油塘西選區帶來的人口壓力，到時鑒定需要再次調整選區，屆然會增加不少行政的負擔。

本人認為油麗選區（J25）可以容納更多的選民人口，當中因油塘西選區包括部分油麗邨樓宇：康麗樓、翠麗樓及仁麗樓，本人建議將此三幢油麗邨樓宇編入油麗（J25）選區，就可以令油麗邨最後只會分成兩個選區，達致社區完整性之餘，亦可以舒緩油塘西（J26）選區的偏離標準人口基數的情況，同時關顧未來油塘西選區的人口壓力，促成三贏的局面。

選管會現時就油塘區分界的臨時建議存在不足，本人強烈要求重新審視油塘選區的劃分法，及詳細考慮本人的建議，以更有效維持社區完整性，劃出更可行、更客觀及更具人性化的選區。

祝

工作順利

油塘居民
Alicia Ho 敬啟

21/8/2018

2563

From: 21/08/2018 12:25

選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨 13 座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨 13 座樓自 2003，2007，2011 區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在 2011 年人口最多達到 24000 多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足 21000 人，因為：1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在 2015 年人口減少，反而被分拆 3 座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來 2019 年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本會強烈要求寶達邨 13 座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本會的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨達峰樓互委會

2018/8/17

21-AUG-2018 12:24 96% P.001

From: 21/08/2018 12:25 #1K 2564

選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨 13 座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨 13 座樓自 2003，2007，2011 區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在 2011 年人口最多達到 24000 多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足 21000 人，因為：1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在 2015 年人口減少，反而被分拆 3 座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來 2019 年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本會強烈要求寶達邨 13 座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本會的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨達翠樓互委會

2018/8/17

21-AUG-2018 12:24 95% P.002

From: 21/08/2018 12:26 2565

選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨 13 座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨 13 座樓自 2003，2007，2011 區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在 2011 年人口最多達到 24000 多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足 21000 人，因為：1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在 2015 年人口減少，反而被分拆 3 座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來 2019 年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本會強烈要求寶達邨 13 座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本會的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達社區事務促進會

2018/8/17

21-AUG-2018 12:24 95% P.003

From: 21/08/2018 12:26 2566

選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨 13 座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨 13 座樓自 2003，2007，2011 區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在 2011 年人口最多達到 24000 多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足 21000 人，因為：1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在 2015 年人口減少，反而被分拆 3 座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來 2019 年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本會強烈要求寶達邨 13 座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本會的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達婦女會

2018/8/17

21-AUG-2018 12:25 95% P.004

2567

有關觀塘區議會油翠、油麗、油塘西(J24、J25、J26)分界的意見 21/08/2018 23:58

From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊先生：

有關觀塘區議會油翠、油麗、油塘西(J24、J25、J26)分界的意見

本人認爲選管會「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」中的油翠、油麗、油塘西(J24、J25、J26)分界方式極不合理。

本人建議將油麗邨的康麗樓、翠麗樓及仁麗樓由油塘西(J26)分入油麗(J25)，原因如下：

1. 油麗邨本是一個整體，以往「一邨兩區」已不理想，在臨時建議下變成「一邨三區」，令以往區務由兩名區議員負責變成三名區議員負責，更難三人同時與房署開會及達成共識，預計社區問題要拖延更久才能解決，油麗邨居民亦會感應找哪個區議員解決社區問題。
2. 油麗邨康麗樓、翠麗樓及仁麗樓每座有約2000人，本人建議下油麗(J25)將有約22,000人。但既然麗港城(J27)爲保持一致性可以有24,757人，油麗(J25) 有約22,000人也很合理。
3. 油塘西(J26)範圍極大，居民現時已難以親身找到區議員求助，理應利用這次機會縮小分區範圍。此外，油塘西(J26)區內屋宇類型繁多，有公屋、鐵皮屋、舊唐樓、私樓大廈等，理應減少服務人數，以便提供更好的服務。
4. 油塘西(J26)減至約14,000人，仍比觀塘區人口最少的九龍灣(J02)的13,289人更多，而且油塘西(J26)的範圍比九龍灣(J02)大很多。
5. 油翠(J24)的原有選區分界相鄰油麗邨豐麗樓和盈麗樓，豐麗樓和 盈麗樓居民找油翠(J24)區議員求助較方便，油翠(J24)區議員前往豐麗樓和盈麗樓的路程也不長，預計服務質素影響不大下，本人不反對油麗邨豐麗樓和盈麗樓劃入油翠(J24)。

本人強烈要求選管會考慮本人的建議，以社區完整性及居民接受服務的質素作爲選區分界的主要考慮因素，而非僅計算居民人數。謝謝。

祝

生活愉快

市民
陳嘉言

22/8/2018

From: 21/08/2018 12:26 2568

選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將逢顯樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨 13 座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨 13 座樓自 2003，2007，2011 區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在 2011 年人口最多達到 24000 多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足 21000 人，因為：1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在 2015 年人口減少，反而被分拆 3 座(逢喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來 2019 年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逢顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本會強烈要求寶達邨 13 座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本會的訴求。

祝

工作順利

呆偉俊

寶達邨達喜樓互委會

2018/8/17

21-AUG-2018 12:25 95% P.006

From: 21/08/2018 12:26 2569

選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將逢顯樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨 13 座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨 13 座樓自 2003，2007，2011 區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在 2011 年人口最多達到 24000 多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足 21000 人，因為：1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在 2015 年人口減少，反而被分拆 3 座(逢喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來 2019 年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逢顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本會強烈要求寶達邨 13 座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本會的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨達富樓互委會

2018/8/17

21-AUG-2018 12:25 95% P.006

From: 21/08/2018 12:27 2570

選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將逢顯樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨 13 座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨 13 座樓自 2003，2007，2011 區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在 2011 年人口最多達到 24000 多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足 21000 人，因為：1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在 2015 年人口減少，反而被分拆 3 座(逢喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來 2019 年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逢顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本會強烈要求寶達邨 13 座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本會的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨達佳樓互委會

2018/8/17

21-AUG-2018 12:25 95% P.007

From: 21/08/2018 12:27 2571

選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將逢顯樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨 13 座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨 13 座樓自 2003，2007，2011 區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在 2011 年人口最多達到 24000 多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足 21000 人，因為：1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在 2015 年人口減少，反而被分拆 3 座(逢喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來 2019 年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逢顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本會強烈要求寶達邨 13 座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本會的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨達祥樓互委會

2018/8/17

21-AUG-2018 12:26 95% P.008

選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨 13 座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨 13 座樓自 2003，2007，2011 區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在 2011 年人口最多達到 24000 多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足 21000 人，因為：1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在 2015 年人口減少，反而被分拆 3 座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來 2019 年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本會強烈要求寶達邨 13 座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本會的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨達顯樓互委會

2018/8/17

選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨 13 座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨 13 座樓自 2003，2007，2011 區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在 2011 年人口最多達到 24000 多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足 21000 人，因為：1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕--代搬走；2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在 2015 年人口減少，反而被分拆 3 座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來 2019 年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本會強烈要求寶達邨 13 座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本會的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨居民協會

2018/8/17

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups

有關區議會J28景田區分界，要求將觀塘紀律部隊宿舍分離景田選區
[redacted] to: eacenq@reo.gov.hk 21/08/2018 14:11

執事先生：

本人是鯉安苑(景田選區)居民，就將會在將軍澳道建成的紀律部隊宿舍納入景田區分界提出反對。理由如下：

(一) 景田區(J28)區民人數已經是20225。標準人口基數偏離百分比為+21.84%。相比鄰近選區平田J20(17697)，翠屏J29(18780)為高。另外，麗港城J27(24757)及藍田 J19(20638)人口亦較景田區高。如果再增加景田區人口，將軍澳道建成的紀律部隊宿舍將488個，大約即976人(一戶二人計)或1952人(一戶四人計)為新增選民，如此推算，景田區會成為僅次於麗港城，成為觀塘第二大區議會人口選區。理應分散選民人口以增其代表性。另外，地理上該紀律部隊宿舍與區景花園，康田苑及鯉安苑沒有任何關聯。反而區景花園，康田苑及鯉安苑是共同使用地鐵及公共交通設施。故建議該紀律部隊宿舍納入翠屏J29分界。

(二)該紀律部隊宿舍的興建及鯉安苑居民曾經有嚴重利益問題。如此將兩組居民放在同一選區並非合適。
前特首梁振英先生於2014年中旬表示紀律部隊宿舍不足，在2014年11月突然在區議會拍板在上址興建紀律部隊宿舍。整件事鯉安苑居民並未知情，亦未充分諮詢。直至之後發現該地盤開始打樁，鯉安苑業主立案法團要求該區議員張順華及有關政府人員，入場看，結果署及民政署到鯉安苑A座地下平台與居民開會。會上有關人士表示此項目經過觀塘區議會議員支持，但是該區議員張順華並沒有就此事通知鯉安苑居民，張順華亦於該會上承認此事並沒有通知過鯉安苑居民。在該會上，鯉安苑居民由於紀律部隊宿舍阻擋鯉安苑A座及B座的景觀(這亦會影響居屋物業的售價)，亦提出設計上有效減低衝突的措施，亦被會上建築署官員以已簽訂合約為由，大部分被否決。以典建公共房屋為例，政府多數都會與受影響居民的業主立案法團直接聯絡跟進。時今次興建紀律部隊宿舍是沒有對該及該區議員張順華沒有實行諮詢。導致將來該紀律部隊宿舍的居民及鯉安苑居民問題會加深，不宜納入同一個選區。由於篇幅所限，本人亦點到即止。由於電郵保安問題，本人希望本人的電郵地址保密。

希望 貴會能從善如流，以舒緩鯉安苑(景田選區)居民之壓力。

謝謝。

市民黃先生上

2019年區議會選舉觀塘區選區分界及名稱臨時建議的意見書 21/08/2018 14:16

From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
3 Attachments

2019年區議會選舉觀塘區選區分界及名稱臨時建議的意見書.docx Kwun Tong 2019.jpg

2019年區議會選舉觀塘區選區分界及名稱臨時建議的意見書.pdf

致選舉委員會：

就2019年區議會選舉觀塘區的選區分界，本人有一份意見書提交，
請參閱附件（含完整PDF, 原檔之WORD格式及地圖JPG檔各一份）。
如就文件內容之問題或技術支援，歡迎與本人聯絡。
本人電話為[redacted]。
在此先感謝 貴會各議員，花時間去閱讀這份意見書。謝謝。

觀塘區居民
陳邁進

2019年區議會選舉
觀塘區選區分界及名稱
臨時建議之意見書

目錄

封面

目錄 P.2

前言 P.3

各選區分界及名稱的建議 P.4

結語 P.14

附件一
觀塘區各建議分區的概要 P.15

附件二
觀塘區建議選區分界地圖 P.19

前言

本人為一名觀塘區居民，曾於上屆為　貴會提出過一份劃界之建議書，唯當中之建議未為貴會所接納。本人雖感遺憾，但亦明白　貴會於人口限制下無法同意一些建議。雖然如此，本人希望再次為於八月推出2019年區議會選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議提出意見。

是次本人之提議主要是為　貴會之臨時建議中一些不合地理邏輯之選區劃分而提出建議，這些情況特別發生在秀茂坪之選區。為此本人建議將出月華選區，與觀塘中心選區合併，以平衡觀塘新增人口、法定議席及選區人口基準所帶來的不足與落差。本人將盡量按選區人口數字，及連同其他觀塘區內的規劃作出調整。

人口數據是以統計處2011年人口普查報告之樓宇群組人口（本人親自電郵該處索取資料）、2016年中期人口普查報告、房屋署在網頁上公佈的屋邨認可人口及　貴會所提供之選區人口推算等作出參考。

相關數字請參考本意見書內的附件（一）觀塘區各建議分區的概要；至於詳細修改後的選區分界請參考附件（二）的地圖。

各選區分界及名稱的建議

J01　觀塘中心 Kwun Tong Central

此選區因市區重建關係，　貴會自2011年區議會選舉以翠屏北部五座公屋大廈（翠柏樓、翠柳樓、翠棟樓、翠杯樓及翠桉樓）填充選區人口。此舉將翠屏部一分為三之問題一直為人詬病，即使上屆本人有建議過重整選區，但最終亦沒有得到修正。現本人再次向　貴會建議，請將翠屏北部剔除觀塘中心選區之範圍，並改以臨時建議內月華選區之大部分範圍及寶樂選區之和樂部來屬。

因臨時建議中此選區範圍甚大，由觀塘翠屏橫跨至牛頭角定安街一帶，地方聯繫十分鬆散。以下建議可以令各選區加強地方聯繫之餘，亦為上述之建議改動後作出選區人口上的平衡。雅麗道以西及牛頭角上邨以南，定安街一帶的區域，報至本意見書內J36牛頭角上部選區。而康寧道以西及牛頭角道以南一帶的區域，則轉至本意見書內J35定安選區。此兩個改動可令該範圍的人口更貼近附近之住宅群。而與J02九龍灣選區接壤的界線建議修改以啟福道、大業里及康德道為界，取代常怡道，令該選區涵蓋整個九龍灣商貿區。而與本意見書內J28麗港城接壤的界線亦建議改為偉發道，以令J01觀塘中心選區包含整個觀塘工業區及觀塘之政府大樓，例如觀塘法院等。

總結J01觀塘中心選區建議範圍應包括臨時建議內的：
1. J01 觀塘市中心重建範圍
2. J01 觀塘工業區
3. J26 麗港城—偉發道以西
4. J30 月華之大部分範圍，除協和街以西之長安大樓、南泰大廈、安寧大廈、建德大樓
5. J31 寶樂—和樂部
6. G14 啟德中及南一承豐道跨海天橋以南
（主要原因是該處目前及將來規劃亦從觀塘進入較近，屬觀塘區之自然延伸，因此適宜歸至此區）

J02　九龍灣 Kowloon Bay

此區主要人口來自德福花園，及以周邊之工商業區為範圍。建議將輔警總部以西之高銀國際金融中心、信和工商中心、宏天廣場及機電工程署總部大樓，由臨時建議內的J03啓業改劃給此選區，以涵蓋同類性質之物業。南邊與J01觀塘中心接壤的界線修改已於本意見書之J01觀塘中心選區內提出。以上兩項建議可以令此區覆蓋九龍灣工商業區得更全面。

另外，本來有部分區域位於觀塘繞道之外，但隨著啓德發展有新道路落成，建議略為修正並以地面之承啟道為新區界。

2575(6)

J03 啟業 Kai Yip

一如上屆，此區最大的問題是與港鐵九龍灣車廠相隔之得寶花園及部分彩盈邨亦同屬此區。本人明白 貴會在劃界時，依據選區人口基準是重要的一環。因為啟業邨及啟泰苑的人口不足支撐成一區，才會有此決定。可是，啟業邨與得寶花園、彩盈邨並沒有直接道路聯繫，更有港鐵九龍灣車廠相隔，與此區大部分的地方聯繫甚微。

因此本人建議將得寶花園及彩盈邨（盈富樓、盈安樓、盈康樓）剔除此區範圍，改以彩德邨之彩仁樓、彩義樓、彩信樓、彩誠樓來屬。後者在道路連接及地理位置上會更接近及更為適合。此方案可令選區有足夠人口，同時亦可以令選區有更強之聯繫。

同時建議將都市綠洲以北及觀塘繞道等公共設施應由J04麗晶改屬此選區，以便管理。修正區界建議跟本意見書J02九龍灣相同，應將選區區界自然延伸至路面之承啟道。

J04 麗晶 Richland （臨時建議原名：J04 麗晶 Lai Ching）

建議更改此區英文名稱，由Lai Ching改為Richland。此為麗晶花園Richland Gardens的英文名稱，以免製造「一地兩名」之混亂。此種以英文專屬名稱命名方式在其他區亦常見，本人不明白為何需要另起廣東話拼音為選區英文區名。

由於屋苑之獨特性，建議選區範圍包含其鄰近之啟仁街休憩處（臨時建議在J03啟業選區內）。修正區界建議跟本意見書J02九龍灣相同，應將選區區界自然延伸至路面之承啟道。

J05 坪石 Ping Shek

此選區所包含之彩興苑即將在2019年年中落成，不過臨時建議內的彩興苑C座彩蕙閣卻屬於J07彩德選區內。本人認為完全沒有必要在選區人口足夠容納之下，拆散社區聯繫，此舉有欠不妥。因此強烈建議修改此選區以彩興里為界，而非現時臨時建議內之彩盛里，以將彩興苑C座納入此選區之內。

J06 雙彩 Sheung Choi （臨時建議原名：J06 彩德 Choi Tak）

由於本意見書提議彩德邨之四座樓宇（彩仁樓、彩義樓、彩信樓、彩誠樓）改屬於J02啟業選區、及彩興苑C座彩蕙閣改屬J05坪石之後，需要適當人口來填充此區。在此區的幾個新公共屋邨之中，彩福邨與彩德邨兩者皆處於同一台階及有道路連接，因此在地理位置上適合置於一選區之內。

臨時建議將彩福邨及彩霞邨置於J07佐敦谷選區，但其實只要彩福邨第三期落成後，該區人口就會超出上限。因此以上方案具前瞻性，但經改動之後新增的人口亦符合選區人口基準之內，相信往後更能長遠地保持選區界線，而不用再作修改。希望 貴會能在符合選區人口基準之下，能考慮社區的地理連繫，並接納此建議。

2575(7)

J07 佐敦谷 Jordan Valley

此選區之部分改劃的建議已在本意見書內J06雙彩選區中提出，將臨時建議內屬此選區之彩福邨剔除。而原屬臨時建議內屬J03啟業之彩盈邨盈富樓、盈安樓、盈康樓則改屬此選區，以令整條彩盈邨歸於同一選區之內，可加強社區連繫及完整。同時，亦建議於臨時建議中屬J40淘大的彩頤居亦改屬此選區，以平衡各區人口及拉近社區人口特徵。

另外，彩榮路公園有部分範圍落於臨時建議內J08順天選區內，應微調選區分界，讓整個公園納入此選區範圍內。同時亦建議以彩霞道為界，將其以東之地域，包括公共泳池及遊樂場等範圍都改劃於此選區，統合自然延伸以部份以合符地理因素。

J08 順天 Shun Tin

順天選區包含了佐敦谷山上所有公共設施，但按地理因素及社區連繫，建議順利邨公園改劃至本意見書之J09安利選區，而佐敦谷公園則改屬本意見書之J10雙順選區，相信能更適合地分配給選區議員分工及管理。

J09 安利 On Lee （臨時建議原名：J10 安利 On Lee）

本區之分界部分問題已在本意見書內J08順天內提出。但今屆 貴會將山上兩座新落成之安泰邨樓宇（和泰樓、明泰樓）劃歸於此區，此安排是十分欠缺妥當。本人強烈反對這一種道路不通，但平面地圖看似相通之劃界方法。

本人強烈建議此選區之東邊界線不作任何改動，不包括安泰邨（和泰樓、明泰樓），以維持選區及安泰邨之社區完整性，選區範圍更合理。希望 貴會能慎重考慮本人之建議。

就其上述選區界線更改，建議更改選區編號順序，置於雙順選區之前。

J10 雙順 Sheung Shun （臨時建議原名：J09 雙順 Sheung Shun）

基本上支持此選區對住宅區分界上之安排，唯分界上應包含鄰近之佐敦谷公園，因它的位置與此區更為相近，比順天選區更為適合。

同時建議修正區界，將臨時建議內新清水灣道及清水灣道之間的一段狹長區域轉移給黃大仙H22彩雲南選區。

就其上述選區界線更改，建議更改選區編號順序，置於安利選區之後。

275(8)

J11 安泰 On Tai

由於本意見書對觀塘中心選區的改劃，安泰邨、安達邨之間將新增多一個選區，無須再另將邨內之樓宇劃歸於另一台階的社區。因此建議新增之安泰選區應包括安泰邨之和泰樓、明泰樓、智泰樓、居泰樓、景泰樓、恒泰樓及德泰樓。

另外，位於安達臣道9號之住宅，門牌號碼乃安泰邨附近之安達臣道範圍，為方便其居民可以於鄰近投票，此範圍應由臨時建議之西貢區Q05坑口西選區改屬於此。

J12 泰達 Tai Tat

此乃本意見書建議新增之選區，這亦是本意見書提出暫時取消月華選區，與鄰近之觀塘中心合併之原因。此選區可以將安達邨餘下之豐泰樓、盛泰樓、勇泰樓、錦泰樓，與位於同一台階之安達邨達愛樓、誠達樓、俊達樓、賢達樓、孝達樓組成。

請 貴會就社區連繫之重要性，為方便當區居民，劃分出此選區。請勿胡亂將不同台階，道路不通之樓宇置於同一選區內。

建議此選區一段觀塘區區界由安達臣道往後延至大上托山脊。因為安達臣道石礦場發展計劃將直接影響觀塘區之交通，亦避免成為西貢區的孤島。

J13 秀茂坪北 Sau Mau Ping North
（臨時建議原名：J12 秀茂坪北 Sau Mau Ping North）

在臨時建議內，秀茂坪北包括了秀茂坪邨其中已落成之十座樓宇及即將落成之秀澐樓。但由於秀茂坪邨福員廣大，為平衡鄰近選區人口及增加社區連繫，建議將其中三座最早落成的秀富樓及秀安樓改屬本意見書之J15秀茂坪南選區。

此外，亦建議將山下的聯合醫院改屬本意見書之J14晫光選區，因為在地理上劃為該區將更鄰近，醫院設施對他們影響更大，因此改劃會更為適合。

2575(9)

J14 晫麗 Hiu Lai （臨時建議原名：J30 曉麗 Hiu Lai）

聯合醫院為晫麗苑之近鄰，除了其建築群的地理位置上較近外，主要出入口都是在協和街連通。其發展及相關環境之影響對晫麗苑的居民是對直接的，而非秀茂坪邨之居民，因此將之改屬至此選區是最為貼切。

同時山下兩所中學、秀茂坪紀念公園及晫明街遊樂場一帶之範圍與翠屏北邨是近鄰，所以應改屬本意見書之J32翠屏北選區。而晫富徑之三間中學，亦應改屬本意見書之J15秀茂坪南選區，以靠近附近之建築群，以更符合社區之連繫。

就上述選區界線更改，建議選區編號可維持上屆之順序，置於秀茂坪南選區之前。

J15 秀茂坪南 Sau Mau Ping South

選管會曾經就寶達邨之社區完整性而不作分拆，唯最終在另一屆都因為人口超於基準而被迫分拆。一如本意見書之忠旨，本人極度反對一些不同台階，道路不同之劃區方法。因此，本人強烈建議寶達邨範圍改屬本意見書之J18寶達選區，而該選區會再另外劃分，容後再說。同時，秀茂坪邨秀暉樓亦維持留於上屆所屬之秀茂坪中選區，不用作出更改。

為選區人口平衡，建議秀茂坪邨之秀安樓及秀富樓改屬此選區。

J16 秀茂坪中 Sau Mau Ping Central
（臨時建議原名：J13 秀茂坪中 Sau Mau Ping Central）

此區改劃之建議已於本意見書J12泰達及J15秀茂坪南選區中提及。故此選區應該維持與上屆原有之界線，將秀暉樓保留於此。反對將安達邨愛達樓及誠達樓置於同一選區。

J17 安達 On Tat （臨時建議原名：J14 安達 On Tat）

因應本意見書J11安達及J12泰達之改劃，此選區將包括安達邨餘下之仁達樓、善達樓、智達樓、禮達樓、謙達樓及正達樓。為解決寶達邨人口超出基準而無法以一個選區容納之問題，建議寶達邨之之達祥樓、達康樓及達富樓歸於此區。此方案比起臨時建議中將達善樓、達信樓、達佳樓及達顯樓憑空劃至秀茂坪南選區為佳，因兩者雖仍處於不同台階之上，但有直接的道路連接，社區連繫上更為接近。此處理方法就像本意見書中對啟業及彩德相近。

與本意見書泰達選區相同，此選區一段觀塘區區界由安達臣道往後延至大上托山脊，以配合安達臣道石礦場發展計劃。

2575(10)

2019年區議會選舉觀塘區選區分界及名稱臨時建議的意見書

J18 寶達 Po Tat （臨時建議原名：J16 寶達 Po Tat）
經本意見書對J15秀茂坪南及J17安達之改劃後，此選區包含寶達邨之其餘範圍，即達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓、達顯樓、達貴樓、達安樓、達峰樓、達翠樓、達欣樓及達怡樓。

J19 興田 Hing Tin （臨時建議原名：J18 興田 Hing Tin）
此選區將臨時建議內的J17德田、J18興田重新組合，使各選區加強地方聯繫。建議選區範圍包括前馬游塘中堆填區、藍田公園。同時將藍田公園旁之德田邨德義樓及德樂樓歸屬此區。而與德田邨德敬樓、德禮樓不同台階之德瑞樓，則建議改至本意見書之J22德田選區，亦為平衡選區人口之更改。

就其上述選區界線更改，建議更改選區編號順序，德田選區之編號將移至平田選區之後。

J20 藍田 Lam Tin （臨時建議原名：J19 藍田 Lam Tin）
為保持社區之完整，強烈建議將啟田商場與本選區所覆蓋之啟田邨置於同一選區。同時啟田道旁達之藍田分科診所及藍田消防局亦應理同屬此區，而非山坡下、另一端之景田選區，因此建議修改此界線。而面向安田街之國際學校設屬此選區，於地理上較為適合。

J21 平田 Ping Tin （臨時建議原名：J20 平田 Ping Tin）
建議此選區包括同處於啟田道之康田苑在內，以加強屋苑對選區之社區連繫。選區人口相對上接近基準，甚或有可能輕微超越，但仍然建議作出此改動。因為當2019年中將軍澳道紀律部隊職員宿舍落成後，臨時建議內之J28景田選區人口便會超出基準，理應將康田苑歸於較為接近道路及社區建築群的一邊。

啟田商場的問題已經在本意見書J20藍田選區提及，望 貴會考慮其建議。

J22 德田 Tak Tin （臨時建議原名：J17 廣德 Kwong Tak）
在本意見書中J19興田選區已提有關德田邨重新劃分之方案，此選區將包括德田邨餘下之部份，以及康盛苑、新增之康雅苑。而廣田邨則改屬本意見書內的J23廣田選區。此改動將選區範圍集中在一起，避免範圍過大，從而可加強社區連繫。

2575(11)

2019年區議會選舉觀塘區選區分界及名稱臨時建議的意見書

J23 廣田 Kwong Tin （臨時建議原名：J21 栢雅 Pak Nga）
此選區在臨時建議內只包含康雅苑、康栢苑、及康瑞苑，人口相對上十分少。本意見書的J20德田選區已提及將人口較多之廣田邨改屬此選區，而人口較少之康雅苑改屬德田選區，令此選區範圍集中在碧雲道兩旁，加強選區內地方聯繫，亦能平衡選區人口差距。

而其中一段邊界，亦建議以瀝景路為分界，與本意見書之J24翠俊選區重新劃分，北面歸此區，南面歸翠俊選區。

因應選區劃分，建議更改選區名稱為廣田，更能反映社區所涵蓋之範圍。

J24 翠俊 Tsui Chun （臨時建議原名：J22 俊翔 Chun Cheung）
此選區為油塘新增選區之方案，將重置各選區範圍以達至平衡。此選區包括兩俊苑以及高超道北邊的所有設施，包括油塘配水庫遊樂場、鯉門道遊樂場及該處之兩所中學。然後將鯉魚門選油翠苑及油美苑一位納入此區。

高俊苑無疑可以加入本意見書之J23廣田選區，但社區連繫關係不大。若加入本意見書之J25翔怡選區或J26鯉魚門選區，人口又會超於基準，迫使其他屋苑改劃至其他選區。但同時又會引起一連串選區人口基準之問題。因此，此方案是本人反覆計算之下所得最好之結果。

經改劃後，臨時建議內之J24油翠選區將會取消。油塘中心將會撥歸至本意見書內之J26鯉魚門選區。

因應選區劃分，建議選區名稱為翠俊。

J25 翔怡 Cheung Yee （臨時建議原名：J23 油塘東 Yau Tong East）
高翔苑及高怡邨會屬於此區，由於附近一帶發展接近發展完成，即使將來港鐵油塘通風樓上蓋項目落成亦不用改劃選區界線。

因應選區劃分，建議選區名稱為翔怡。

2575(12)

2019年區議會選舉觀塘區選區分界及名稱臨時建議的意見書

J26 鯉魚門 Lei Yue Mun （臨時建議原名：J26 油塘西 Yau Tong West）
在臨時建議J24油塘西選區範圍所覆蓋之範圍實在太大，包括了整個油塘邨、部分油麗邨、鯉魚門各村落及茶果嶺村與附近私人樓宇，區內連繫甚微。因此建議分別以東區海底隧道、高輝道為界線將之一分為三。由南至北分別為J26鯉魚門、J27油塘及J28茶果嶺選區。

此區將包括鯉魚門公共屋邨、油塘中心、油塘工業區內重建之私人住宅樓宇，以及鯉魚門各個鄉村。改劃後社區連繫之元素更強，相信比臨時建議更好。由於選區將集中於鯉魚門範圍，故此選區名稱建議改名為鯉魚門。

J27 油塘 Yau Tong （臨時建議原名：J26 油塘西 Yau Tong West）
此選區同樣本為臨時建議內J26油塘西之一部分。現選區只包括整條油塘邨及油塘道以東之油麗邨五座樓宇。待將來油塘灣發展至足夠人口時，整個範圍可以一次過分劃出來（相信到時會需要一新增議席），亦不需要太大的改動去應付新增人口。由於選區範圍為油塘港鐵站附近，故此選區名稱建議改名為油塘。

J28 茶果嶺 Cha Kwo Ling （臨時建議原名：J25 油麗 Yau Lai）
此選區為臨時建議內J25油麗及J26油塘西的範圍重新組合而成，主要包括油塘道以西之油麗邨（包括即將落成之信麗樓）與茶果嶺村及茶果嶺道與繁華街一帶之私人住宅樓宇。

同時建議將偉業街以南的一段海皮及對出維多利亞港海域由臨時建議內J26麗港城選區改為納入此區範圍之內。而前茶果嶺高嶺土礦場則本意見書之J29麗港城選區。

由於茶果嶺一地名擁有歷史地位，比油麗邨更早出現，建議選區更名為茶果嶺。

J29 麗港城 Laguna City （臨時建議原名：J27 麗港城 Laguna City）
有關麗港城選區部分分界修改建議已於本意見書內J01觀塘中心及J28茶果嶺選區之中提及。茵發道一帶的設施亦只能由麗港城前往，所以應改屬此區。雖然前茶果嶺高嶺土礦場與茶果嶺村曾有一段密不可分的歷史關係，但就此處之發展計劃下應改屬此區。

J30 景田 King Tin （臨時建議原名：J28 麗港城 Laguna City）
有關景田選區的分界修改建議已於本意見書內J20藍田、J21平田提及。同時匯景花園入口之區景道應歸屬此區。其他範圍與臨時建議一致

2575(13)

2019年區議會選舉觀塘區選區分界及名稱臨時建議的意見書

J31 翠屏南 Tsui Ping South （臨時建議原名：J27 翠屏 Tsui Ping）
建議在臨時建議內屬J01觀塘中心之翠屏北部翠柏樓、翠柳樓、翠梓樓、翠棟樓，以及整條翠屏南邨組成此一選區。將翠屏北邨之樓宇改屬此選區，總好過屬於觀塘中心選區，選區之社區連繫更強。南面以觀塘道與J01觀塘中心為界。

就以上選區分界之改動，建議選區名稱為翠屏南。

J32 翠屏北 Tsui Ping North （臨時建議原名：J28 寶樂 Po Lok）
此選區將包含寶珮苑及翠屏北邨翠揚樓、翠榮樓、翠楠樓、翠梅樓、翠槐樓、翠桂樓、翠桃樓及翠蓉樓。至於晚明街的邊界亦建議包括鄰近之晚明街遊樂場。此方案即使將來晚明街公共房屋落成後亦不需要重新劃界。臨時建議內屬此選區之和樂邨將改歸J01觀塘中心。

就以上選區分界之改動，建議選區名稱為翠屏北。

J33 協康 Hip Hong （臨時建議原名：J29 協康 Hip Hong）
由於華峯園、協威園在地理上位置處於協和街，及康寧道公園一期理應與二期同屬一選區之中。建議她們由臨時建議內之J34樂華南一併改屬至此區，以加強社區連繫。同時因應本意見書中J01觀塘中心選區的重整，位於瑞和街之南泰大廈、長安大樓及協和街之安寧大廈及建德大樓亦建議改歸此選區，以保持整個協康選區之完整。

J34 康樂 Hong Lok （臨時建議原名：J36 康樂 Hong Lok）
由於本意見書對J01觀塘中心有重整建議，為平衡選區人口，建議此選區擴展至恆安街、宜安街一帶之私人樓宇，以配合對本意見書J35定安選區之改劃。

就上述選區界線更改，建議選區編號置於協康選區之後。

J35 定安 Ting On （臨時建議原名：J32 定安 Ting On）
建議選區擴展至康寧道與聯安街之間的一段牛頭角道。其南旁之私人樓宇，包括裕民中心及馬蹄徑在內。至於恆安街、宜安街一帶之私人樓宇則改歸本意見書J34康樂選區。

J36 牛頭角上邨 Upper Ngau Tau Kok Estate
（臨時建議原名：J38 牛頭角上邨 Upper Ngau Tau Kok Estate）
建議選區包含定安街、定業街及定富街一帶之私人樓宇，以平衡本意見書對J01觀塘中心界線之重整，以及減少觀塘中心選區住宅群之疏離。同時建議牛頭角政府合署及牛頭角明愛中心改屬本意見書J39牛頭角下邨之內，維持安華街之完整。

J37 樂華南 Lok Wah South （臨時建議原名：J34 樂華南 Lok Wah South）

再次重申上屆意見書之觀點：在臨時建議內，樂華南部因為人口不足而繼續需要加入協和街的協威園及華峯園，唯兩個私人屋苑與本部相距甚遠，可謂其實索然關聯，強烈反對他們劃歸於此選區之中。建議加入本屬樂華南部之輝華樓於此選區內，再加上秀茂坪紀律部隊宿舍的人口，已經足以支持一個選區。同時，因應輝華樓之改動，功樂道遊樂場亦一同改歸於此選區。

協威園及華峯園則改回本意見書內J33協康選區，以增強兩個選區地方聯繫及地理上的合理之餘，亦能平衡兩個選區相關改動帶來的人口問題。

就上述選區界線更改，建議選區編號置於牛頭角上部選區之後。

J38 樂華北 Lok Wah North （臨時建議原名：J35 樂華北 Lok Wah North）

無論是地理因素及社區聯繫上，樂雅苑之與樂華北部由建立開始就有密不可分的關聯，此乃本人居於此區廿多載之觀察及經驗所得。一如上屆之意見書，本人在此強烈建議 貴會斟酌一直忽略地理因素及社區連繫之問題，能接納此改動，使樂雅苑最終能與樂華北部置於同一選區之內。

除此之外，建議將輝華樓改回樂華南部所屬之選區內，亦即本意見書的J37樂華南選區，以平衡該選區人口。

J39 牛頭角下邨 Lower Ngau Tau Kok Estate

關於此選區的各項改動，請參閱本意見書J07佐敦谷、J36牛頭角上部、J38樂華北之部分。為平衡本意見書J40淘大選區之改動，建議將偉景樓改屬此選區。

J40 淘大 Amoy （臨時建議原名：J40 淘大 To Tai）

就本意見書之前對啟業選區之改動，建議將得寶花園改屬此選區，選區之社區連繫會更強。為免選區人口超出基準上限，建議彩頤居改屬本意見書之J08佐敦谷選區，而偉景樓改屬本意見書之J39牛頭角下邨選區。

同時建議此選區之英文名稱，改為Amoy，而非廣東話拼音To Tai，以反映此選區名稱來源乃源自淘大花園（Amoy Gardens）。此項建議與本意見書之J04麗晶選區之建議一樣，以免引起使用上之混亂。

結語

本人了解選管會過往劃界工作，會盡量避免改動原有社區所屬之選區。唯觀塘區近十年之發展非常迅速，各社區有很大變遷。實在不宜因為「過往如此」或「人口仍然合乎基準」而不作大改動，此舉令選區分界之事帶來諸多限制。而且有很多都是以前官員不了解觀塘社區所留下之錯，理應適時修正。

觀塘中心選區本來是有更好的劃分方法，本意見書直接將月華選區與之合併。此舉一來更集中選區所涵蓋之人口，二來亦可讓出一個選區給予人口增多，使選區區界更難劃分之秀茂坪區域。該些改動可以使選區不用隔山遙領幾座處於不同台階之樓宇。

本意見書一直以社區完整性為首要目標，奈何 貴會在處理安泰邨、安達邨之分界時，又再重蹈實達邨之錯誤。這些古怪之劃法都會令市民對 貴會對觀塘地理、地圖使用之認識抱有極大質疑。公眾希望的選區劃界，是一個合理，而又靈活之改動。對於一些折散屋邨、社區整體，我保守不動之劃界，市民都不樂於看見。

除此之外，期望 貴會勿因現有選區之區議員為區議會常客，擾心該些區議員能繼任與否而有所顧忌。請謹記 貴會對劃界的工作需要沒有政治考慮。

祝願 貴會將來能更精益求精，找出更好的方法處理劃界事宜，以減低公眾之爭議、批評及釋除對 貴會以「傑利蠔蠔」方式劃界之疑慮。

最後，就本意見書內對各選區的看法及建議，希望 貴會能認真看待及參考，謝謝。

觀塘區居民
陳過逆
二〇一八年八月

附件一：觀塘區各建議分區的概要

此為各分區人口之數據概要，主要參考為統計處2016年中期人口普查報告、2011年人口普查報告之樓宇群組人口、房屋署在網頁上公佈的屋邨認可人口及 貴會所提供之選區人口推算等作出參考。由於資料有限，數字上存在一定誤差。

選區代號	選區名稱	屋苑／街道名稱	樓宇名稱	群組預計人口	選區預計人口	標準人口基數偏離百分比
J01	觀塘中心	月華、天香街		12,600	18,600	12.05%
		和樂邨		5,000		
		觀塘工業區		1,000		
J02	九龍灣	德福花園		13,300	13,300	-19.87%
J03	啟業	彩德邨	仁義城信	9,200	19,950	20.19%
		啟業邨		8,950		
		啟泰苑		1,800		
J04	麗晶	麗晶花園		15,100	15,100	-9.03%
J05	坪石	坪石邨		11,350	17,050	2.72%
		彩興苑		4,200		
		清水灣道8號		1,500		
J06	雙彩	彩福邨[1]		9,100	16,650	0.31%
		彩德邨	啟敏泰賢	7,550		
J07	佐敦谷	彩盈邨		9,250	15,800	-4.81%
		彩霞邨		6,150		
		彩頤居		400		
J08	順天	順天邨		18,150	18,150	9.34%
J09	雙順	順利 紀律部隊宿舍		7,650	17,950	8.14%
		順利邨	明恒祥	6,150		
		順暉苑		4,150		
J10	安利	順安邨		7,600	13,300	-19.87%
		順利邨	富康溢業	5,700		
J11	安泰	安泰邨	明智居景恒德	16,300	16,300	-1.80%
J12	泰達	安達邨	愛誠俊賢孝	10,350	18,600	12.05%
		安泰邨	聖藝勇錦	8,250		
J13	秀茂坪北	秀茂坪邨	和逸華 雅義康樂	16,450	17,150	3.32%
		秀茂坪邨	源	700		

選區代號	選區名稱	屋苑／街道名稱	樓宇名稱	群組預計人口	選區預計人口	標準人口基數偏離百分比
J14	晚麗	晚麗苑		14,500	17,000	2.42%
		晚光街		2,500		
J15	秀茂坪中	秀茂坪邨	慈程賢 裕景緻輝	17,000	17,000	2.42%
J16	秀茂坪南	秀茂坪南邨		12,300	17,150	3.32%
		秀茂坪邨.	富安明	4,850		
J17	安達	安達邨	仁善智禮謙正	13,050	19,250	15.97%
		實達邨	祥原富	6,200		
J18	實達	實達邨	嘉信佳顯貴安 峰翠欣怡	18,200	18,200	9.65%
J19	興田	德田邨	敬禮義樂	6,950	18,200	9.65%
		興田邨		69,000		
		康華苑		4,350		
J20	藍田	藍田邨		10,100	20,600	24.10%
		啟田邨		5,650		
		康逸苑		4,850		
J21	平田	平田邨		12,250	20,300	22.30%
		安田邨		4,000		
		康田苑		2,450		
		啟田大廈		1600		
J22	德田	德田邨	康盛隆欣瑞	8,550	16,500	-0.60%
		康雅苑		5,450		
		康盛苑		2,500		
J23	康田	康田邨		7,000	14,450	-12.95%
		康裕苑		6,400		
		康瑞苑		1,050		
J24	翠傑	油美苑 紀律部隊宿舍		7,500	16,400	-1.20%
		油翠苑		4,550		
		高傑苑		4,350		
J25	翔怡	高翔苑		8,600	16,750	0.91%
		高翔苑 紀律部隊宿舍		4,800		
		高怡邨		3,350		

2575(18)

2019年區議會選舉觀塘區選區分界及名稱臨時建議的意見書

J26	鯉魚門	鯉魚門邨	生意興隆旺	12,450		
		安里西／馬環／蓮頂／馬背村		2,500		
		油塘中心		1,400		
		翠灣天下／嘉賢居		1,200		
		Ocean One / Peninsula East		1,200	18750	12.96%
J27	油塘	油麗邨	仁康草豐益	9,050		
		油塘邨		8,700	17750	6.93%
J28	茶果嶺	油麗邨	雅習智秀遇賢卓雅	16,100		
		茶果嶺村		1,000		
		繁華街		1,000		
		油麗邨	信	600	19,200	15.67%
J29	觀塘城	觀塘城		24,800	24,800	49.41%
J30	景田	匯景花園		12,400		
		翠安苑		5,350		
		將軍澳道紀律部隊宿舍		1,800	19,550	17.78%
J31	翠屏南	翠屏南邨		12,850		
		翠屏北邨	拍拍棒棒	4,500	17,350	4.52%
J32	翠屏北	翠屏北邨	福禍無門搶桃按梯	10,850		
		寶珮苑		4,950	15,800	-4.81%
J33	協康	協和、雲漢、瑞寧、嘉樂、瑞和、龍仁、崇仁、物華街	康寧道	11,050		
		祥和苑		4,000		
		雲漢邨		2,050		
		協威園／華基園		1,250	18,350	10.55%
J34	康樂	功樂、康寧道		15,650		
		明智、通明、恆安、宜安街		2,600		
		金橋華廈		1,000	19,250	15.97%

2575(19)

2019年區議會選舉觀塘區選區分界及名稱臨時建議的意見書

J35	定安	觀塘花園大廈		12,600		
		聯安街、馬蹄徑	牛頭角道、康寧道	2,950		
		裕民中心		2,100	17,650	6.33%
J36	牛頭角上邨	牛頭角上邨		15,200		
		定富、定安、定業街		4,600	19,800	19.28%
J37	樂華南	樂華南邨	安奧敏喜輝	11,600		
		秀茂坪紀律部隊宿舍		2,000	13,600	-18.07%
J38	樂華北	樂華北邨		8,950		
		樂雅苑		3,300		
		樂華南邨	展	1,900	14,150	-14.75%
J39	牛頭角下邨	牛頭角下邨		9,750		
		振華苑／安基苑		3,350		
		偉業樓／兆業樓		1,600	14,700	-11.44%
J40	淘大	淘大花園		11,000		
		嘉和園／宏光樓／利基大廈		4,600		
		得寶花園		4,000	19,600	18.08%
				總人口：	700,000	5.43%

註釋：

1. 人口增長預期不包括在2020年中落成的彩福邨第三期，人口約2,550人。

參考網站網址：

1. 2016年人口普查
   https://www.bycensus2016.gov.hk/tc/index.html

2. 2011年人口普查
   http://www.census2011.gov.hk/tc/index.html

3. 香港房屋委員會 – 物業位置及資料
   http://www.housingauthority.gov.hk/tc/global-elements/estate-locator/index.html

4. 選舉管理委員會 --2019年區議會臨時建議選區的代號、名稱和預計人口的資料
   https://www.eac.gov.hk/pdf/distco/2019dc/ch/summary_of_provision_recommend(Chi).pdf

2575(20)

2019年區議會選舉觀塘區選區分界及名稱臨時建議的意見書

附件二：觀塘區建議選區分界地圖

請閱覽下一頁，或可瀏覽以下網址以獲得更清楚的選區分界：
https://drive.google.com/open?id=1qMEfOicdNWSRCXAAQ1xlhB7SrErG7b4h&usp=sharing。

2575(21)



2576(1)

2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議公眾諮詢 21/08/2018 16:22
From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
1 Attachment
有關J03 啟業、J05坪石及J06彩德選區分界事宜.pdf

21/8/2018

2576(2)

From: 21/08/2018 15:40 #821 P.

此致
選舉管理委員會

立法會議員 胡志偉
社區主任 尹家謙

二零一八年八月二十一日

21-AUG-2018 15:37 95% P.002

2576(3)

From: 21/08/2018 15:39 #821

敬啟者:

強烈要求重整 J03 啟業、J05 坪石及 J06 彩德選區分界

根據選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會選舉選區的分界擬定的臨時建議，J03 啟業選區將會新增三座原屬 J06 彩德選區的彩盈邨樓宇，而 J05 坪石選區會包括坪石邨、清水灣 8 號及興建中的居屋單位彩興苑其中兩座(A 及 B)。而C座則被撥入 J06 彩德選區，而剔走了。按照選區人口分佈的平均性以及社區完整性這兩大原則，我們認為選舉管理委員會應將三座彩興苑居屋單位全數劃入 J05 坪石選區，而及彩德選區劃界維持不變，即啟業選區只餘下啟業邨、啟泰苑及得

根據選舉管理委員會資料顯示，目前 J05 坪石選區的預計人口為 16446，低於標準人口基數（16599）0.92%。如將彩興苑 C 座撥歸 J05 坪石選區，將令 J05 坪石選區的人口上升至較合理水平，再者，將三座彩興苑居屋單位分拆至兩個不同選區，如此小、只有大約 4000 人居住的屋苑，仍要被分拆破壞社區的完整性，。假如將彩興苑分割到兩個選區，未來將會有兩位區議員負責處理彩興苑的當區議題，難免會對拖慢了處理的進度。故此，我們希望選舉管理委員會重新考慮 J05 坪石選區的分界，將三座彩興苑居屋單位統一劃入 J05 坪石選區。選舉管理委員會理應將三座彩興苑一同劃入 J05 坪石選區，將 J05 坪石選區東面的邊界從彩盛里擴展至彩興里。

另一方面，啟業選區人口於上一屆區議會選舉時，推算為 16399 人，但是選管會預測 2019 年啟業選區人口為 20355，只是多了 3956 人，根據房屋署的數字，那三座彩盈邨的人口有大約 6000 人，即是啟業邨,啟泰苑及得寶花園的人口下跌了超過 2000 人，鑑於區內無任何大型重建工程，此人口流失幅度甚大而不尋常，因此我們懷疑選管會低估了啟業選區的人口，另一方面，彩興苑只劃一座人彩德選區，但是彩德選區的人口只由 19162 下跌至 17540，考量到該一座居屋只有 351 個單位，即使假設全數為四人家庭，那一座的人口是 1404 人，而該區失去接近三座彩盈邨接近 6000 人口，但只是補充了大約 1404 人，人口只是少了 1622 人，實在令人匪夷所思

此外，彩盈和啟業關山遙隔，只有一部 28b 巴士連繫兩區，車程動輒要 45 分鐘，若果步行，必順由彩盈山上，沿山路步行至觀塘道，進入坪石選區後才有一天橋可步行至啟業邨，對求助人甚為不便，或是步行至九龍灣地鐵站，再轉入觀塘道兩者地圖上是相連，但是沒有有效通道接駁，亦沒有共用社區設施，相反彩盈和彩福彩德合稱三彩，強行分拆實有違社區完整性

21-AUG-2018 15:36 95% P.001

2577

From: 21/08/2018 22:07 #110 P.0

選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、逐喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨 13 座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨 13 座樓自 2003，2007，2011 區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在 2011 年人口最多達到 24000 多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足 21000 人，因為：1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在 2015 年人口減少，反而被分拆 3 座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來 2019 年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本會強烈要求寶達邨 13 座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本會的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨達貴樓互委會

2018/8/17

21-AUG-2018 22:06 95% P.001

From: 21/08/2018 22:36 #114 P.00: 2578

選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨 13 座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨 13 座樓自 2003，2007，2011 區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在 2011 年人口最多達到 24000 多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足 21000 人，因為：1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在 2015 年人口減少，反而被分拆 3 座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來 2019 年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本會強烈要求寶達邨 13 座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本會的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨達怡樓互委會

2018/8/17

21-AUG-2018 22:36 95% P.002

From: 21/08/2018 20:10 #1(2579

選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨 13 座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨 13 座樓自 2003，2007，2011 區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在 2011 年人口最多達到 24000 多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足 21000 人，因為：1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在 2015 年人口減少，反而被分拆 3 座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來 2019 年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本會強烈要求寶達邨 13 座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本會的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨達安樓互委會

2018/8/17

21-AUG-2018 20:08 95% P.001

From: 21/08/2018 19:58 #107 P. 2580

選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨 13 座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨 13 座樓自 2003，2007，2011 區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在 2011 年人口最多達到 24000 多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足 21000 人，因為：1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在 2015 年人口減少，反而被分拆 3 座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來 2019 年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本會強烈要求寶達邨 13 座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本會的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨達信樓互委會

2018/8/17

21-AUG-2018 19:57 95% P.001

2581(1)

2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議(有關J05坪石選區分界事宜) 21/08/2018 18:21
From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
1 Attachment
20180801有關J05坪石選區分界事宜.pdf

致選舉管理委員會秘書處：

本人黎寶桂小姐就有關J05坪石選區分界事宜作出附件之建議，請查看，謝謝。

Best Regards,

Miss Kira Lai
Wu Chi Wai Legislative Councillor's Assistant
Community Officer

Tel.: [redacted]
Fax:
Add.:

22/8/2018

2581(2)

香港灣仔 傳真號碼： 2511 1682
港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會秘書處

有關 J05 坪石選區分界事宜

根據選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會選舉選區的分界擬定的臨時建議，J05 坪石選區中當中包括：坪石邨、清水灣 8 號及興建中的居屋單位—彩興苑。根據臨時建議的圖則，彩興苑三座居屋只有兩座（A 座及 B 座）被劃入 J05 坪石選區中，而 C 座則被撥入 J06 彩德選區（見附件 1）。按照選區人口分佈的平均性以及社區完整性這兩大原則，我們認為選舉管理委員會應將三座彩興苑居屋單位劃入 J05 坪石選區。

根據選舉管理委員會資料顯示，目前 J05 坪石選區的預計人口為 16446，低於標準人口基數（16599）0.92%。反觀 J06 彩德選區，預計人口達 17540，高於標準人口基數 5.67%，如將彩興苑 C 座撥歸 J05 坪石選區，將令兩區人口比例拉近，甚至將兩區標準人口基數偏離百分比分別調至＋3.73（J05）及－0.67（J06）（詳情見附件 2）。基於選區人口分佈平均性的原則，選舉管理委員會理應將三座彩興苑一同劃入 J05 坪石選區，將 J05 坪石選區東面的邊界從彩盛里擴展至彩興里。

再者，將三座彩興苑居屋單位分拆至兩個不同選區，破壞社區的完整性，及有礙往後制定政策和討論社區議題。假如將彩興苑分割到兩個選區，未來將會有兩位區議員負責處理彩興苑的當區議題，難免會對拖慢了處理的進度。故此，我們希望選舉管理委員會重新考慮 J05 坪石選區的分界，將三座彩興苑居屋單位統一劃入 J05 坪石選區。

如有任何問題，請致電 [redacted] 或 [redacted] 與社區主任黎小姐聯絡，謝謝。

謹祝
工作順利

立法會議員 胡志偉
社區主任 黎寶桂

二零一八年八月二十一日

2581(3)

附件 1





2581(4)

附件 2

彩興苑人口估算：

	單位數目	預計住戶人數（按平均 3 人住戶家庭計算）
A 座	557	1671
B 座	450	1350
C 座	351	1053
總數	1358	4074

J05 目前預計人口（按選舉管理委員會的分界擬定建議）：16446
J05 目前標準人口基數偏離百分比：－0.92

J05 預計人口（如將三座彩興苑劃入 J05）：17218
J05 調整後的標準人口基數偏離百分比：＋3.73

J06 目前預計人口（按選舉管理委員會的分界擬定建議）：17540
J06 目前標準人口基數偏離百分比：＋5.67

J06 預計人口（如將三座彩興苑劃入 J05）：16487
J06 調整後的標準人口基數偏離百分比：－0.67

2582

反對！！強烈反對！！油麗區(J25)新選區分界及建議 21/08/2018 19:00

From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

選管會及主席馮 驊先生：

本人現就選舉管理委員會（下稱「選管會」）提出「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」中的油麗（J25）選區分界方式表達異議及強烈反對。

油麗邨居民無論在居住空間位置、通道走廊、商場、休憩設施、停車場及社福機構，甚至社區問題均有其一致性及獨特之處，以前的分區「一分為二」已經是極限。油塘油麗邨由以往「一邨分兩區」，在新的臨時建議下惡化為「一邨分三區」破壞社區的完整性和獨特性，以及居民對社區的歸屬感，因此，我反對在新的臨時建議下惡化為「一邨分三區」。

在臨時建議下，油麗(J25)的預計人口為16,068人，偏離標準人口基數 -3.2%，換言之油麗這個選區其實有能力承載更多選民。油塘西區內有公屋、租者置其屋、木屋及鐵皮屋、舊式唐樓、洋廈、私人樓宇、更有工廠區及旅遊區等，人口數目多而各利益階層分歧。令人費解及諷刺的是，油塘西選區卻包括部分油麗邨樓宇：康麗樓、翠麗樓及仁麗樓。

因此，本人強烈建議選管會：

一、 將(J26) 油塘西 內所包括的油麗邨康麗樓、翠麗樓及仁麗樓轉編入可以包含更多人口的油麗(J25)選區。
使選區 J25（油麗）及J26（油塘西）兩區的人口既同時符合法例許可幅度，油麗邨亦由「一邨分三區」變回「分兩區」。建議同時能減輕油塘工業區將來搬遷後，為油塘西帶來的人口壓力。或；

二、 現時油麗邨認可人口為22,400人，建議參考其他合大型屋苑的選區的劃分法，例如：麗港城(代號J27，偏離基數 +49.15%)，將油麗邨內所有樓宇整合為一獨立選區。建議雖然可能令人口基數稍高於25%，但能充分維持地區完整。

選管會現時對油塘區分界的臨時建議存在非常大的不足，本人強烈要求重新審視油塘選區的劃分法，及詳細考慮本人的建議：在J25和J26兩區不超過人口基數的上下限的情況，更有效維持社區完整性，劃出更可行、更客觀及更具人性化的選區。

祝

工作順利

油塘居民

嚴偉傑

2583

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups

油塘油麗邨選區劃界之意見
[redacted] To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk 21/08/2018 19:49

選管會秘書處：

油塘油麗邨選區劃界之意見

選管會早前提出「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，本人希望 J25（油麗）及 J26（油塘西）之分界方式提出意見。

在過去的區議會選區分界中，油麗邨是「一邨分兩區」，兩個選區的區議員在平衡油麗邨居民的需要與訴求時，花了很多時間協調。 但在選管會最近的臨時建議下，油麗邨更變成「一邨分三區」，這實在不利油麗邨的社區規劃和發展。無論是油麗邨居民的居住地理位置，還是居民使用的設施例如商場、休憩場所、NGO/社福機構、邨內的走廊通道等，都顯示油麗邨是一個整體，有其一致性。 若選管會在規劃選區時不能只執著於人口分配，將油麗邨分成三個選區，這將破壞社區的完整性。

臨時建議下，油塘西選區(J26)，選區預計人口 19,627 人，大幅偏離標準人口基數達 +18.24%；相反油麗(J25)的預計人口為 16,068 人，偏離標準人口基數 -3.2%，這顯示油麗(J25)其實有能力容納更多選民。 因此，本人建議選管會將油塘西(J26)內的部分油麗邨樓宇（康麗樓、翠麗樓及仁麗樓）編入可以容納更多人口的油麗(J25)選區。這做法可令減輕油塘西(J26)大幅偏離標準人口基數的情況，亦令油麗邨只分爲兩個選區，盡可能保存社區的完整性。

選管會現時對油塘區分界的臨時建議存在不足，本人現強烈要求重新審視油塘選區的劃分法，及詳細考慮本人以上的建議，劃出更具人性化、更可行的選區。

祝

工作順利

油塘區居民
Carmen Li 敬啓

2584

就觀塘區議會油麗(J25)及油塘西(J26)選區劃分之意見 21/08/2018 22:36

From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

致選舉管理委員會：

就觀塘區議會油麗(J25)及油塘西(J26)選區劃分之意見

本人現就《2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議》中的油麗(J25)及油塘西(J26)選區劃分方式表達異議。

油塘油麗邨由以往「一分為二」變為「一分為三」，將令居民在居住環境、商場、休憩設施等社區問題上未能一併解決，本人認為以前的分區「一分為二」已經是極限，將油麗邨劃分成三個選區將破壞社區的完整性和獨特性。選管會在劃分選區時只執著於人口分配，即不偏離基數上下25%，完全莫視「維持社區獨特性及地方聯繫的情況」，從而導致油麗選區「一分為三」。

據本人所知，油麗選區的預計人口為16068人，人口是標準人口基數的 -3.2%，可見油麗選區有能力承載更多選民。相反，鄰近油塘西選區(J26) 的預計人口為19,627人，人口是標準人口基數+18.24%，加上油塘西選區(J26)區內屋苑及人口分佈過份離散，強行加上油麗邨數棟樓宇更會令地區政策不能切合區內不同屋苑及階層的需要。

本人認為選管會應更仔細考慮其他可行的選區劃法，並以社區、屋苑兩者的完整性作重要考慮，因此，本人建議選管會將油塘西選區(J26)內所包括的油麗邨部分樓宇(包括康麗樓、翠麗樓及仁麗樓)，轉編入可以包含更多人口的油麗選區(J25)。這安排可以使兩區的人口既同時符合法例許可幅度，油麗邨亦由「一分為三」變回「一分為二」。這方法除了使社區完整性得以盡量保留，同時能減輕油塘工業區搬遷後為油塘西選區(J26)帶來的人口壓力。

總括而言，本人認為選管會現時就油塘區分界的建議存在不足，並希望選委會重新審視油塘選區的劃分法及詳細考慮本人的建議，在油麗（J25）及油塘西(J26)選區不超過人口基數的上下限的情況下劃出更能維持社區完整性的選區。

祝

鈞安

油塘居民謹啟

22/8/2018

2585(1)

關於油麗油麗邨選區劃分的意見 21/08/2018 23:39

From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

選管會：

油塘油麗邨的選區劃分意見

本人現就 貴機構提出「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」中的油麗（J25）選區分界方式表達意見。

油麗邨一直以「一邨分兩區」，在。貴機構新的臨時建議下改為「一邨分三區」。油麗邨居民在居住空間位置、通道走廊、商場、休憩設施、停車場及社福機構，甚至社區問題均有其一致性及獨特之處，以前的分區「一分為二」已經是極限。現在最新的提議更會將整條屋邨各樣問題變得零散，各問題難以得到解決，再者新建議會令居民變得混淆，若想在屋邨裡發現衛生問題，要查詢該位置應向哪一位區議員表達意見，這樣會做成混亂。

選管會在沒有任何合理的理由下，將油麗邨劃分成三個選區，破壞社區的完整性和獨特性。選管會在劃分選區時只執著於人口分配，不偏離基數上下25%，完全莫視「維持社區獨特性及地方聯繫的情況」，從而導致油麗「一劃三」的惡劣局面出現。

在新的建議下，油麗(J25)的預計人口約為1萬6千人，偏離標準人口基數 -3.2%，即是油麗選區事實上是有能力承載更多選民；但鄰近選區油塘西(J26)，選區預計人口約為1萬9千人，大幅偏離標準人口基數+18.24%，亦是觀塘區內面積最大的一個選區。油塘西區內有公屋、租者置其屋、木屋及鐵皮屋、舊式唐樓、洋廈、私人樓宇、更有工廠區及旅遊區等，不但人口數目多，而且存在更多不同利益群體。令人不明白的是，這個最大的選區竟然納入了位於油麗邨的康麗樓、翠麗樓及仁麗樓。

本人認為選管會亦應該更詳細去考慮其他可行的選區劃法。因此，本人建議選管會：

一、 將油塘西(J26)內所包括的康麗樓、翠麗樓及仁麗樓，編入油麗(J25)選區。這樣可減少J26 選區的負擔，而又可以將油麗邨居民的社區問題可集中於個選區內表達，可令到兩區的人口既同時符合法例許可幅度，油麗邨亦由「一邨分三區」變回「分兩區」，使其在可行範圍內盡量保留社區完整性。建議同時能減輕油塘工業區將來搬遷後，為油塘西帶來的人口壓力。或；

二、 現時油麗邨認可人口為22,400人，選管會可以參考其他有大型屋苑選區的劃分法，例如麗港城(J27，偏離基數 +49.15%)、鴨脷洲邨(D02，偏離基數-27.33%)、麗閣(F10，偏離基數 -21.28%)，將油麗邨內所有樓宇整合為一獨立選區。建議雖然可能令人口基數稍高於25%，但能充分維持地區完整。

22/8/2018

2585(2)

基於選管會現時對油塘區分界的臨時建議存在極大不足，本人強烈要求選管會重新審視油塘選區的劃分法，及詳細考慮本人的建議：在J25和J26兩區不超過人口基數的上下限的情況，更有效維持社區完整性，劃出更可行、更客觀及更具人性化的選區。

祝

工作順利

油塘居民
張小姐

22/8/2018

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

此為範本申述共 129 份(序號 2586 – 2714)

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：

電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2587

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2588

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：LIU SZE KEUNG
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2589

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：Liu Yip CHEONG
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2590

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：陳云文
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2591

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：許銀荣
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2592

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：陳蘭卿
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2593

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：蘇惠英
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2594

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：黃
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2595

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：黃丽珠
電話：

2596

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將逢顯樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逢顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：陳[illegible]

電話：_____

2597

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將逢顯樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逢顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：張[illegible]

電話：_____

2598

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將逢顯樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逢顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[illegible]

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

2599

反對將逢顯樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逢顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：林[illegible]

電話：[redacted]

2600

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將逢顯樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逢顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[illegible]

電話：_____

2601

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將逢顯樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逢顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：李[illegible]

電話：[redacted]

2602

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將逢顯樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逢顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[illegible]

電話：_____

2603

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將逢顯樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逢顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：張[illegible]

電話：_____

2604

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將逢顯樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逢顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：云[illegible]

電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2605

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多逹到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：李[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2606

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多逹到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：林玉
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2607

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多逹到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：刘[redacted]
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2608

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多逹到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：李國明
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2609

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多逹到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2610

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多逹到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：李婉儀
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2611

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多逹到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：李[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2612

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多逹到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2613

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多逹到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

2614

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：周佩儀

電話：_____

2615

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：王五發

電話：[redacted]

2616

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[illegible]

電話：_____

2617

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[illegible]

電話：_____

2618

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[illegible]

電話：_____

2619

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[illegible]

電話：_____

2620

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[illegible]

電話：_____

2621

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[illegible]

電話：[redacted]

2622

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[illegible]

電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2623

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2624

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：陳彩凡

電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2625

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2626

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2627

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2628

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：陳琼英

電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2629

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：楊容芳

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2630

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2631

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：謝瑞輝

電話：[redacted]

2632

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

2633

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：

2634

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：

2635

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：

電話：

2636

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：

電話：

2637

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：

電話：

2638

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：

電話：[redacted]

2639

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：

電話：

2640

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：

電話：

2641

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：
電話：

2642

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：
電話：

2643

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：
電話：

2644

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：
電話：

2645

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：
電話：

2646

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：
電話：

2647

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：
電話：

2648

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：
電話：

2649

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2650

反對將逢順樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓，逢喜樓劃出寶逢選區
強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶逢邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶逢選區，在2011年人口最多逢到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逢喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逢顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶逢邨社區完整性
2. 寶逢邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶逢邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶逢地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶逢邨 [redacted]
居民：尹俊英
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2651

反對將逢順樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶逢選區
強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶逢邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶逢選區，在2011年人口最多逢到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逢喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逢顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶逢邨社區完整性
2. 寶逢邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶逢邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶逢地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶逢邨 [redacted]
居民：徐x平
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2652

反對將逢順樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶逢選區
強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶逢邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶逢選區，在2011年人口最多逢到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逢喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逢顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶逢邨社區完整性
2. 寶逢邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶逢邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶逢地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶逢邨 [redacted]
居民：陳敏敏
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2653

反對將逢順樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶逢選區
強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶逢邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶逢選區，在2011年人口最多逢到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逢喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逢顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶逢邨社區完整性
2. 寶逢邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶逢邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶逢地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶逢邨 [redacted]
居民：施秀儀
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2654

反對將逢順樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶逢選區
強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶逢邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶逢選區，在2011年人口最多逢到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逢喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逢顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶逢邨社區完整性
2. 寶逢邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶逢邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶逢地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶逢邨 [redacted]
居民：潘雪玉
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2655

反對將逢順樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶逢選區
強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶逢邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶逢選區，在2011年人口最多逢到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逢喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逢顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶逢邨社區完整性
2. 寶逢邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶逢邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶逢地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶逢邨 [redacted]
居民：劉惠坤
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2656

反對將逢順樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶逢選區
強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶逢邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶逢選區，在2011年人口最多逢到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逢喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逢顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶逢邨社區完整性
2. 寶逢邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶逢邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶逢地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶逢邨 [redacted]
居民：黃愛基
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2657

反對將逢順樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶逢選區
強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶逢邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶逢選區，在2011年人口最多逢到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逢喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逢顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶逢邨社區完整性
2. 寶逢邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶逢邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶逢地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶逢邨逢 樓 室
居民：周修意
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2658

反對將逢順樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶逢選區
強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶逢邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶逢選區，在2011年人口最多逢到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逢喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逢顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶逢邨社區完整性
2. 寶逢邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶逢邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶逢地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶逢邨 [redacted]
居民：
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2659

反對將逢順樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶逢選區
強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶逢邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶逢選區，在2011年人口最多逢到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逢喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逢順樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶逢邨社區完整性
2. 寶逢邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶逢邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶逢地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶逢邨[redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2660

反對將逢順樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶逢選區
強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶逢邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶逢選區，在2011年人口最多逢到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逢喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逢順樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶逢邨社區完整性
2. 寶逢邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶逢邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶逢地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶逢邨[redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2661

反對將逢順樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶逢選區
強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶逢邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶逢選區，在2011年人口最多逢到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逢喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逢順樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶逢邨社區完整性
2. 寶逢邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶逢邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶逢地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶逢邨[redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2662

反對將逢順樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶逢選區
強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶逢邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶逢選區，在2011年人口最多逢到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逢喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逢順樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶逢邨社區完整性
2. 寶逢邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶逢邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶逢地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶逢邨[redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2663

反對將逢順樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶逢選區
強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶逢邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶逢選區，在2011年人口最多逢到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逢喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逢順樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶逢邨社區完整性
2. 寶逢邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶逢邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶逢地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶逢邨[redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2664

反對將逢順樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶逢選區
強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶逢邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶逢選區，在2011年人口最多逢到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逢喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逢順樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶逢邨社區完整性
2. 寶逢邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶逢邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶逢地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶逢邨[redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2665

反對將逢順樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶逢選區
強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶逢邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶逢選區，在2011年人口最多逢到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逢喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逢順樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶逢邨社區完整性
2. 寶逢邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶逢邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶逢地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶逢邨[redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2666

反對將逢順樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶逢選區
強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶逢邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶逢選區，在2011年人口最多逢到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逢喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逢順樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶逢邨社區完整性
2. 寶逢邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶逢邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶逢地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶逢邨[redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2667

反對將逢順樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶逢選區
強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶逢邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶逢選區，在2011年人口最多逢到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逢喜樓、逢信樓、逢佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逢順樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶逢邨13座維持在同一寶逢選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶逢邨社區完整性
2. 寶逢邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶逢邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶逢地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶逢邨[redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

2668

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將逸顯樓、逸佳樓、逸信樓、逸喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逸喜樓、逸信樓、逸佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逸顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：_____

2669

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將逸顯樓、逸佳樓、逸信樓、逸喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逸喜樓、逸信樓、逸佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逸顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

2670

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將逸顯樓、逸佳樓、逸信樓、逸喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逸喜樓、逸信樓、逸佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逸顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

2671

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將逸顯樓、逸佳樓、逸信樓、逸喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逸喜樓、逸信樓、逸佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逸顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：_____

2672

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將逸顯樓、逸佳樓、逸信樓、逸喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逸喜樓、逸信樓、逸佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逸顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

2673

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將逸顯樓、逸佳樓、逸信樓、逸喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逸喜樓、逸信樓、逸佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逸顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

2674

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將逸顯樓、逸佳樓、逸信樓、逸喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逸喜樓、逸信樓、逸佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逸顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

2675

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將逸顯樓、逸佳樓、逸信樓、逸喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逸喜樓、逸信樓、逸佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逸顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：_____

2676

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒：

反對將逸顯樓、逸佳樓、逸信樓、逸喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(逸喜樓、逸信樓、逸佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(逸顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2677

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2678

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2679

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2680

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2681

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2682

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2683

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2684

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：何[illegible]
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2685

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再搬一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：陳[illegible]
電話：

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2686

反對將逢顯樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2687

反對將逢顯樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：張單晚

電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2688

反對將逢顯樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2689

反對將逢顯樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：鄧燕茵

電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2690

反對將逢顯樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：鄧翠安

電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2691

反對將逢顯樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2692

反對將逢顯樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：吳佩雲

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2693

反對將逢顯樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：劉榮光

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2694

反對將逢顯樓、逢佳樓、逢信樓、逢喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]

居民：李金春

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2695

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2696

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2697

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2698

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：陳海益
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2699

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2700

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2701

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2702

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2703

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：
1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨 [redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2704

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2705

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]

居民：[redacted]

電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2706

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨逵___樓___室

居民：[signature]

電話：_____

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2707

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]

居民：[signature]

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2708

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]

居民：[signature]

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2709

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]

居民：[signature]

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2710

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]

居民：[signature]

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2711

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]

居民：[signature]

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2712

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]

居民：[signature]

電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2713

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；
2. 離婚或家人離逝至家庭人口減少；
3. 房屋署寬敞戶政策，就算住戶人口減少，只要有長者都可以住在原單位。

在2015年人口減少，反而被分拆3座(達喜樓、達信樓、達佳樓)樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊，GBS 尊鑒： 2714

反對將達顯樓、達佳樓、達信樓、達喜樓劃出寶達選區
強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區
保持社區完整

觀塘寶達邨13座樓自2003，2007，2011區議會均為一個選區，寶達選區，在2011年人口最多達到24000多人。現在人口還在不斷減少中，約不足21000人，因為：

1. 房屋署調整住戶收入和資產標準，令很多住戶內年輕一代搬走；

申述人於選舉管理委員會編排序號後撤回其申述書。

[redacted]樓到另一個選區，實在不合理。未來2019年根據房屋署的數據，人口會繼續減人，更要再撥一座樓(達顯樓)給另一個選區，實在不合理。

本人強烈要求寶達邨13座維持在同一寶達選區，理據有以下：

1. 保持寶達邨社區完整性
2. 寶達邨一直以是屬於民政事務署四順分區
3. 寶達邨人口已減少至符合劃區人口的上限
4. 寶達地理位置與其他區不相近，居民應在當邨接受議員的幫扶

強烈期望選管會盡快回覆本人的訴求。

祝

工作順利

寶達邨[redacted]
居民：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

序號 2715 的來信者要求身份及內容保密

Re : 暫定荃灣選區範圍區界意見 17/08/2018 11:05

From: "[redacted]"
To: <eacenq@reo.gov.hk>

致 選管會：

本人為荃灣海濱選區議員，
就有關新選區劃界一事，本人有以下的意見：

1/. 反對將原本用開自1991年起有28年歷史的K03海濱區改為暫定K04，
原因是會引來選民不必要的混亂，有機會影響投票意欲及投錯票機會。
另外荃灣很多原有選區也被重新修改編號，會可能出現非常混亂的狀況，
故建議重新分劃的新選區才用新編號，如原K11照用及新分劃後用新K19代替暫定K03。

2/. 反對暫定K01刪除萬景峰的安排，原因收到有好多選民意見。

3/. 反對暫定K03納入萬景峰的安排，原因收到有好多選民意見。

4/. 收到好多海灣花園居民意見，要求納入暫定K03

5/. 收到很多海濱花園居民意見，認為原K03在2003年已有足夠的選民人數自成一區，
在重新劃區下應將海灣花園併入暫定的K02，即本人以上建議的全新K19選區

Thanks!

鄒秉恬議員
Tel : [redacted]

Re : 選區劃界意見 21/08/2018 13:12

From: [redacted]
To: "eacenq@reo.gov.hk" <eacenq@reo.gov.hk>

本會對貴處於荃灣區的重新劃界有以下意見：

第一
幾乎所有現有的選區名稱都作無謂的大變更，
對現有已習慣多年的選民做成混淆，
可能影響投票率及誤導

第二
柏傲灣不可能要與海景花園、麗堡花園同選區及稱為荃灣西，
祖傲灣應納入與環宇海灣同一選區(荃灣南)才合理，
因兩屋苑只分隔一條永順街，
現時這種劃界分法在已證實區的區議員並不能兼顧，
現時的海濱區議員因辦事處在最接近的鄰近，常兼顧做埋不屬當區的環宇海灣居民，
事實係現有的當區區議員並沒有辦事處設在環宇海灣附近，
居民要走到麗城花園才有服務，根本係騙人的劃界，報德街來柏傲灣也要誇張，
見現時已幫倒廢生，多區居民怨聲載道，
現建議的新劃界又是偏袒某大發黨變無改變，令人失望。

實盛怎可能在荃灣沿海地圖上亂劃一個優當是一個選區，
重複因所涉及的區域太寬而令居民得不到應有的服務，
實此不合常理的做法延續絕不合理，
本會認為荃灣西區該要以大河道做分界線即停止，
否則會愈重選亂政府一向使用的荃灣行政區劃分稱謂，

第三
為何不見了一個屋苑名? 全城匯
全城匯 在海之戀側才應該劃入荃灣西，
重新劃界的都多是不合情理的，

荃灣海濱灣灣居民協會
李小姐

2718

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups

要求將愛琴海岸劃入掃管笏選區（L12）

[redacted] to: eacenq@reo.gov.hk 24/07/2018 12:25

Please respond to Kai chun Koo

由於愛琴海岸地理上位置掃管笏，而現時掃管笏選區（L12）並不包含愛琴海岸，因此強烈要求將愛琴海岸由恒福選區（L14）改劃作掃管笏選區（L12）。

2719(1)

有關2019年區議會選區分界建議的意見

25/07/2018 00:14

Hide Details

From: [redacted]

To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

致執事先生/女士:

首先請把本人姓名保密

本人就有關屯門區改劃 L12掃管笏，L13三聖及L14恒福選區事宜發表意見。

由於三聖、恒福、掃管笏，小欖區一帶人口不斷增加，以致 貴會把新增議席的其中一席加設於該區，雖然人口增長，議席增加無可厚非，但就 貴會就該區劃分兩席一事實在不能認同，並提出建議及理由：

1. 本人建議將青盛路一帶(即為海名山及哈羅國際學校)改回 L14恒福選區及同時把L13三聖選區的大欖涌一帶村落及青發街以東一帶住宅改至L12掃管笏選區，以更為平衡三區的標準人口基數和選區及社區完整性及地方聯繫的維持，理由如下:

a. 現時該三個選區的標準人口基數為:
掃管笏 L12 [13 828 (-16.59%)]
三聖 L13 [17 237 (+3.84%)]
恒福 L14 [14 473 (-12.81%)]，三者差距不一。
根據 貴會選區分界的劃定工作原則第6項(節錄)，"凡人口超出許可幅度的現有選區，其分界及鄰近區的分界均會調整.....如有多於一個調整選區分界的方法，選管會會採用影響最少現有選區或較少人口的方法，否則，便採用偏離標準人口基數最少的方法"。
由於現時是把兩個選區之內的地方改劃分成三個選區，本人建議 貴會應該可以採用影響最少現有選區的方法，而本人上述1.的建議是把原本2015年恒福選區範圍的影響進一步減少，因為若果落實本人建議，則只影響嘉和里山路、掃管笏村路及管翠路沿路人口較少的住宅。

b. 因上述a. 所列的情況，本人提出1. 的建議亦是為顧及社區完整性及地方聯繫的維持，該屋苑與海景花園及綠月苑較為接近，反而與最現一帶兩住一個小山丘及哈羅國際學校，缺乏

25/7/2018

2719(2)

連繫，若要由巫堤前往滿名山一帶，需要經過愛琴海岸、綠月路、珠海學院等L14選區的地方才能進入，根本不能顧及掃管笏區的社區完整性。

c. 若果將青盛路(即為滿名山一帶)改回 L14恒福選區的改劃，而令L12掃管笏選區的標準人口基數減少，則本人建議同時把L13三聖選區的大欖涌一帶村落及青發街以東一帶住宅改至L12掃管笏選區，因為掃管笏區有不少村落(例如小秀村、嘉和里村、掃管笏村、小欖村等)，雖然削減人口較為低密度的大欖及青發街以東一帶會令L13三聖選區的標準人口基數略為下降，但若增加大欖及小欖的區域及村落，可令L12掃管笏選區選民更能集中關注區內村落事務，而且大欖及小欖位置鄰近，均為屯門東南設選地域，整合為一選區能顧及社區完整性及地方聯繫的維持，重要的是能夠符合在標準人口基數的許可幅度之內。

總括而言，本人希望 貴會能詳細了解並接納本人的建議。

此致

2018年7月25日

[redacted]

2720

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups

要求將愛琴海岸劃入掃管笏選區（L12）

[redacted] to: eacenq@reo.gov.hk 07/08/2018 22:35

Please respond to [redacted]

由於愛琴海岸地理上位置掃管笏，而現時掃管笏選區（L12）並不包含愛琴海岸，因此強烈要求將愛琴海岸由恒福選區（L14）改劃作掃管笏選區（L12）。

2721

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups

要求將愛琴海畔劃入掃管笏選區（L12）

[redacted] to: eacenq@reo.gov.hk 07/08/2018 22:41

Please respond to [redacted]

由於愛琴海畔地理上位置較接近，而現時掃管笏選區（L12）並不包含愛琴海畔，因此強烈要求將愛琴海畔屯區感區（L14）改劃作掃管笏選區（L12）。

2722(1)

就2019年區議會選區劃界臨時建議提出意見 09/08/2018 17:13

From: 匯政衛言 <[redacted]>

To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

1 Attachment

EHK-L-2018-062就2019年區議會選區劃界臨時建議提出意見.pdf

本函編號：[redacted]

來函編號：[redacted]

香港灣仔
港灣道25號
海港中心10樓
選舉管理委員會秘書處

敬啟者：

就2019年區議會選區劃界臨時建議提出意見

本組織致函　貴處就就選舉管理委員會的選區重新劃界臨時建議提出意見。

屯門區今屆新增了兩個議席，選管會分別增添了「欣田」及「掃管笏」選區，觀乎屯門北的劃界，本組織發現各區的人口分布差別極大，與標準人口基數的差異亦超過百分之十，另外，現時選管會劃分選區更未有考慮社區的完整性，不少選區當中的小型屋苑及鄉村被安置於距離基建的主要屋苑選區中，選民需要步行甚遠才能抵達。

選管會指在劃分選界時會盡量保留社區完整性，及確保每區人口與人口基數偏差不太大，然而，富泰、景峰、欣田及屯門鄉郊的選區人口可以劃分得更平均，使之每區的社區完整性更為完善，及人口分佈更為平均。

本組織認為可以將名賢居、聚康山莊及倚翠南庭從景峰調至富泰，而綠怡居和福亨新邨可由富泰調至屯門鄉郊，以均衡人口分佈和社區完整性，因應屯門鄉郊人口過多，本組織認為可將屯子圍和青磚圍撥至欣田，此舉亦可確保社區的完整性及人口平均分佈。

本組織希望　貴處能夠接納上述建議，並在其他區域重新考慮劃界，以確保人口平均分佈，小型社區不會被孤立，

此致
選舉管理委員會秘書處
執事先生 / 女士

9/8/2018

2722(2)

匯政衛言
召集人暨富泰

社區主任

何國豪
二零一八年八月

九日

Empowering Hong Kong
Tel [redacted]
[redacted]

9/8/2018

2722(3)

匯政衛言
Empowering Hong Kong

Address: [redacted]
Tel: [redacted] Email: [redacted]

服務團隊

何國豪 召集人
Ho Kwok Hao, Edward
富泰社區主任
Tel: [redacted]

本函編號：[redacted]
來函編號：[redacted]

香港灣仔
港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會秘書處

敬啟者：

就 2019 年區議會選區劃界臨時建議提出意見

本組織致函　貴處就就選舉管理委員會的選區重新劃界臨時建議提出意見。

屯門區今屆新增了兩個議席，選管會分別增添了「欣田」及「掃管笏」選區。觀乎屯門北的劃界，本組織發現各區的人口分布差別極大，與標準人口基數的差異亦超過百分之十。另外，現時選管會劃分選區更未有考慮社區的完整性，不少選區當中的小型屋苑及鄉村被安置於距離基建的主要屋苑選區中，選民需要步行甚遠才能抵達。

選管會指在劃分選界時會盡量保留社區完整性，及確保每區人口與人口基數偏差不太大。然而，富泰、景峰、欣田及屯門鄉郊的選區人口可以劃分得更平均，使之每區的社區完整性更為完善，及人口分佈更為平均。

本組織認為可以將名賢居、聚康山莊及倚翠南庭從景峰調至富泰，而綠怡居和福亨新邨可由富泰調至屯門鄉郊，以均衡人口分佈和社區完整性，因應屯門鄉郊人口過多，本組織認為可將屯子圍和青磚圍撥至欣田，此舉亦可確保社區的完整性及人口平均分佈。

本組織希望　貴處能夠接納上述建議，並在其他區域重新考慮劃界，以確保人口平均分佈，小型社區不會被孤立。

此致
選舉管理委員會秘書處
執事先生／女士

匯政衛言
召集人暨富泰社區主任
何國豪
二零一八年八月九日

連結社區　聯繫世代
匯政衛言

2723

敬啓者：

有關：反對 2019 年區議會選舉欣田選區合併欣田邨和其他鄰村

貴會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日就二零一九年區議會一般選區分界正進行諮詢，新建議下，欣田邨將合併其他鄉村成為一個新選區，包括：
(2) L28 欣田(新增選區)：包括欣田邨、紫田村、新慶村及茵翠豪庭一帶的範圍。

本邨居民對此建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，原因如下：

紫田村居民早於欣田邨建邨初期，已經不滿工程所產生的噪音和塵土，到之後欣田邨居民陸續入伙，更不滿新居民破壞了鄉村的寧靜，加深了公屋與鄉村之間的矛盾。

現反對　貴會合併欣田邨和其他鄉村到新選區，希望　貴會能將欣田邨分拆為獨立選區，以保障紫田村居民利益及保持社區和諧。

此 致
選舉事務委員會

紫田村居民

2018 年 7 月 31 日

2724

敬啓者：

有關：反對 2019 年區議會選舉欣田選區合併欣田邨和其他鄉村

貴會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日就二零一九年區議會一般選區分界正進行諮詢，新建議下，欣田邨將合併其他鄉村成為一個新選區，包括：
(6) L28 欣田(新增選區)：包括欣田邨、紫田村、新慶村及茵翠豪庭一帶的範圍。

本邨居民對此建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，原因如下：

本人很討厭每隔幾屆就轉換選區，令人無所適從。

現反對　貴會合併欣田邨和其他鄉村到新選區，希望　貴會能將欣田邨分拆為獨立選區，以保障紫田村居民利益及保持社區和諧。

此 致
選舉事務委員會

紫田村居民

2018 年 7 月 30 日

2725

敬啓者：

有關：反對 2019 年區議會選舉欣田選區合併欣田邨和其他鄉村

貴會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日就二零一九年區議會一般選區分界正進行諮詢，新建議下，欣田邨將合併其他鄉村成為一個新選區，包括：
(7) L28 欣田(新增選區)：包括欣田邨、紫田村、新慶村及茵翠豪庭一帶的範圍。

本邨居民對此建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，原因如下：

反對傾諮詢! 煮到理o 豪區我食!

現反對　貴會合併欣田邨和其他鄉村到新選區，希望　貴會能將欣田邨分拆為獨立選區，以保障紫田村居民利益及保持社區和諧。

此 致
選舉事務委員會

紫田村居民

2018 年 8 月 1 日

2726

敬啓者：

有關：反對 2019 年區議會選舉欣田選區合併欣田邨和其他鄉村

貴會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日就二零一九年區議會一般選區分界正進行諮詢，新建議下，欣田邨將合併其他鄉村成為一個新選區，包括：
(1) L28 欣田(新增選區)：包括欣田邨、紫田村、新慶村及茵翠豪庭一帶的範圍。

本邨居民對此建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，原因如下：
貴會未有窮及公屋居民和鄉村居民不同的需要而進行劃區。

現反對　貴會合併欣田邨和其他鄉村到新選區，希望　貴會能將欣田邨分拆為獨立選區，以保障欣田邨居民利益及保持社區和諧。

此 致
選舉事務委員會

欣田邨居民

2018 年 7 月 31 日

2727

敬啓者：

有關：反對 2019 年區議會選舉欣田選區合併欣田邨和其他鄰村

貴會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日就二零一九年區議會一般選區分界正進行諮詢，新建議下，欣田邨將合併其他鄉村成為一個新選區，包括：
(4) L28 欣田(新增選區)：包括欣田邨、紫田村、新慶村及菌翠豪庭一帶的範圍。

本邨居民對此建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，原因如下：

按欣田邨現時人口數量足以獨立成區，讓我們可以得到更適切的協助。

現反對 貴會合併欣田邨和其他鄉村到新選區，希望 貴會能將欣田邨分拆為獨立選區，以保障欣田邨居民利益及保持社區和諧。

此 致
選舉事務委員會

欣田邨居民

2018 年 8 月 1 日

2728

敬啓者：

有關：反對 2019 年選舉會選舉欣田選區合併欣田邨和其他鄰村

貴會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日就二零一九年區議會一般選區分界正進行諮詢，新建議下，欣田邨將合併其他鄉村成為一個新選區，包括：
(3) L28 欣田(新增選區)：包括欣田邨、紫田村、新慶村及菌翠豪庭一帶的範圍。

本邨居民對此建議表示失望及反對，原因如下：

貴會未有顧及公屋居民和鄉村居民不同的需要而進行劃，菌翠豪庭一直在都有選區處於相安無事，不希望有任何改變。

現反對 貴會合併欣田邨和其他鄉村到新選區，希望 貴會能將欣田邨分拆為獨立選區，以保障菌翠豪庭居民利益及保持社區和諧。

此 致
選舉事務委員會

菌翠豪庭居民

2018 年 8 月 1 日

2729

敬啓者：

有關：反對 2019 年區議會選舉欣田選區合併欣田邨和其他鄉村

貴會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日就二零一九年區議會一般選區分界正進行諮詢，新建議下，欣田邨將合併其他鄉村成為一個新選區，包括：
(9) L28 欣田(新增選區)：包括欣田邨、紫田村、新慶村及菌翠豪庭一帶的範圍。

本邨居民對此建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，原因如下：

紫田村居民早於欣田邨建邨初期，已經不滿工程所產生的噪音和塵土，到之後欣田邨居民屢屢入伙，更不滿新居民破壞了鄉村的寧靜，加深了公屋與鄉村之間的矛盾。

現反對 貴會合併欣田邨和其他鄉村到新選區，希望 貴會能將欣田邨分拆為獨立選區，以保障紫田村居民利益及保持社區和諧。

此 致
選舉事務委員會

紫田村居民

2018 年 7 月 31 日

2730

敬啓者：

有關：反對 2019 年區議會選舉欣田選區合併欣田邨和其他鄉村

貴會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日就二零一九年區議會一般選區分界正進行諮詢，新建議下，欣田邨將合併其他鄉村成為一個新選區，包括：
(5) L28 欣田(新增選區)：包括欣田邨、紫田村、新慶村及菌翠豪庭一帶的範圍。

本邨居民對此建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，原因如下：
我係本地人，不喜歡與外來人同區，希望 貴會尊重老人家建議。

現反對 貴會合併欣田邨和其他鄉村到新選區，希望 貴會能將欣田邨分拆為獨立選區，以保障紫田村居民利益及保持社區和諧。

此 致
選舉事務委員會

紫田村居民

2018 年 7 月 31 日

2731

敬啓者：

有關：反對 2019 年區議會選舉欣田選區合併欣田邨和其他鄉村

貴會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日就二零一九年區議會一般選區分界正進行諮詢，新建議下，欣田邨將合併其他鄉村成為一個新選區，包括：
(8) L28 欣田(新增選區)：包括欣田邨、紫田村、新慶村及青翠苑一帶的範圍。

本邨居民對此建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，原因如下：

不應只按欣田選區的人口數量劃成區，而是要按社區完整性及獨特性，讓我們可以得到更適切的協助。

現反對 貴會合併欣田邨和其他鄉村到新選區，希望 貴會能將欣田邨分拆為獨立選區，以保障欣田邨居民利益及保持社區和諧。

此 致
選舉事務委員會

欣田邨居民

2018 年 7 月 31 日

2732(1)

Submission on Provisional Recommendations on Boundaries and Names of District Council Constituencies for the 2019 District Council Ordinary Election 20/08/2018 12:34

From: Jen Lau <[redacted]>
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

1 Attachment

屯門_就L12 L13及L14選區劃界提出建議 v5.pdf

Dear Sir/Madam,

Please find attached NPP's submission regarding provisional boundaries of L12, L13 and L14 (Tuen Mun).

Best Regards,
Jen

Jen Lau
Head, Policy and Research, New People's Party
Tel: [redacted]
Fax: [redacted]
e-mail: [redacted]
Address: [redacted]
Kong
website: [redacted]

20/8/2018

2732(2)

新民黨
New People's Party

2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議

屯門區議會
就掃管笏(L12)三聖(L13)及恆福(L14)選區劃界提出建議

背景資料

1. 在區議會一般選舉之前，選舉管理委員會（下稱「選管會」）須根據《選舉管理委員會條例》(第 541 章)，就區議會選區分界向行政長官提出建議。
2. 根據立法會政制事務委員會的文件，預計第六屆區議會選舉將於 2019 年 11 月舉行。根據政府資料，到 2019 年，全港合共有 452 席民選議席和 27 席當然議席，當中屯門區議會的民選議席增加 2 席。
3. 根據選舉管理委員會（下稱「選管會」）的法定準則及工作原則，2019 年區議會選舉選區的標準人口基數為 16,599 人，選管會須確保該選區的人口偏離標準人口基數不超出 25%，換而言之，每個選區的人口數字在許可幅度應介乎於 12,449 人至 20,749 人之間。
4. 在人口數字外，選管會在制訂選區分界時，亦會顧及社區獨特性及地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵。
5. 根據《二零一五年區議會選舉選區分界建議報告書》，三聖(L13)及恆福(L14)的人口已分別超出及接近許可偏離幅度的 25%[1]。根據原來的區界劃分，兩個選區於 2019 年的預計人口將會超出法例許可上限，因此選管會建議增加新選區掃管笏(L12)，以吸納新的人口。
6. 在符合選管會劃界準則的前提下，現就掃管笏(L12)及三聖(L13)的劃界提出建議。

選區特徵

7. 根據《2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議》，選管會建議新增名為掃管笏(L12)的新選區。新區將由私人住宅及鄉村組成，當中包括星堤、帝滿灣、NAPA 及滿名山，鄉村則有小欖村、掃管笏村、嘉和里村、稔灣村及小秀村，預測人口為 13,828 人。
8. 根據選管會最新建議，三聖(L13)選區將由私人住宅、公共屋邨及鄉村所組成。私人住宅包括黃金海岸、浪濤灣、龜龍半島、龍珠島、瑜翠園及春和海景花園。
9. 三聖邨屬房委會的租住屋邨，於 1980 落成，現時的人口約為 5 044 人。
10. 三聖(L13)選區內有四條相連的鄉村，包括大欖涌村、聯安新村、大欖胡屋及大欖黃屋，位於小欖的東面，鄰近掃管笏(L12)，居民人數約為 850 人[2]。
11. 恆福(L14)選區由私人屋苑組成，包括恆福花園、海景花園、愛琴海岸、星堤、翠濤居、青榕台、碧翠花園等。為了令人口數目合符法例許可要求，選管會已建議將掃管笏村、嘉和里村、稔灣村及小秀村等鄉村轉納入掃管笏(L12)。

[1]三聖(L13)的人口數目於 2015 年區議會選舉前已超出標準人口基數許可幅度，選管會基於須保持社區獨特性和地方聯繫，以及地理和交通的考慮，維持其分界不變。
[2] 根據郵政局及規劃署的數據推算。

2732(3)

建議方案

12. 在考慮人口分佈、地理環境、社區獨特性等因素後，我們建議新選區的劃界：
13. 將位於三聖(L13)內的四條鄉村，即大欖涌村、聯安新村、大欖黃屋及大欖胡屋轉撥入掃管笏(L12)(見表一)，以改善人口分佈，照顧社區獨特性及保持當區地方聯繫。
14. 恆福(L14)的劃界則按照選管會最新建議，維持不變。

表一、建議方案的屋苑及鄉村分佈

掃管笏(L12)	三聖(L13)	恆福(L14)
1. 星堤	1. 浪濤灣	1. 愛琴海岸
2. 嘉和里	2. 龜龍半島	2. 碧翠花園
3. NAPA	3. 香港黃金海岸	3. 青山灣花園
4. 帝滿灣	4. 龍珠島	4. 容龍居
5. 滿名山	5. 瑜翠園	5. 恒福花園
6. 小欖村、掃管笏村、稔灣村及小秀村	6. 三聖邨	6. 翠濤居
7. ***大欖涌村、聯安新村、大欖黃屋及大欖胡屋***	7. 春和海景花園	7. 海景花園
		8. 青榕臺

方案的理據

<u>改善人口分佈</u>

15. 根據選管會現時的建議，掃管笏(L12)的人口數目為 13,828 人，較標準人口基數少 16.69%。同時三聖(L13)的人口為 17,237 人，較標準人口基數高出 3.84%。將大欖涌村、聯安新村、大欖黃屋及大欖胡屋由三聖(L13)轉撥至掃管笏(L12)，令兩個選區的人數均更接近標準基數(見表二)，有助改善人口分佈。

表二、掃管笏(L12)、三聖(L13)及恆福(L14)的人口分佈

	2015 年 (預計人口)	2019 年 (選管會建議)	2019 年 (優化建議)
掃管笏(L12)	-	13,828 人 (-16.69%)	14,678 人 (-11.57%)
三聖(L13)	21,285 人 (+25.48%)	17,237 人 (+3.84%)	16,387 人 (-1.28%)
恆福(L14)	20,700 人 (+22.02%)	14,473 人 (-12.81%)	14,473 人 (-12.81%)

2732(4)

社區獨特性

16. 掃管笏(L12)內的小欖村、掃管笏村（包括嘉和里村及小秀村）及稔灣村，與位於三聖內的大欖涌村（包括大欖黃屋及大欖胡屋）與聯安新村，同屬屯門鄉事委員會的鄉村，我們認為在符合改善人口分佈的前提下，將所有鄉村撥入掃管笏(L12) 的做法，較能體現社區完整性的原則。
17. 由於鄉村居民的背景及關注較為類似，我們認為，將三聖(L13)內的四條鄉村轉編入掃管笏(L12)，能夠改善現時將鄉村分佈在兩個選區的安排，更能夠照顧當地社區的獨特需要。

地理環境及地方聯繫

18. 大欖涌村、聯安新村、大欖黃屋及大欖胡屋位於小欖以東，與掃管笏及小欖，同樣位於青山公路大欖段以北。因此以青山公路大欖段為界，將大欖涌撥入掃管笏(L12)區內，較能配合當區的地理環境及自然特徵。
19. 在地方聯繫方面，大欖涌過往與小欖屬同一選區，小欖村鄉村居民及帝濤灣附近的小欖村居民，與大欖涌一帶鄉村居民所關注的事項相約，包括鄉村式發展、青山公路大欖段的交通情況及屯門公路巴士轉乘站的發展等。新民黨提出的優化方案較選管會的建議更能維持原有社區聯繫。

減少對現有選區的影響

20. 建議改劃方案只涉及更改兩個現有選區，而且對區內主要屋苑沒有帶來影響。因此我們認為方案實際可行，符合選管會的工作原則。

總結

21. 基於人口分佈、社區獨特性、地理環境及地方聯繫等因素，我們認為將*大欖涌村、聯安新村、大欖黃屋及大欖胡屋*轉編入掃管笏(L12) 的做法，更為符合選管會的工作原則。
22. 我們希望選管會能考慮以上提出的理據，採納建議方案。

2018 年 8 月

3
Flat D-F, 11/F, China Overseas Building, 139 Hennessy Road, Wanchai, Hong Kong
香港灣仔軒尼詩道 139 號中國海外大廈 11 樓 D-F 室
Tel: (852) 3100 0079 Fax: (852) 3100 0087 Website: www.npp.org.hk

2733(1)

中華人民共和國香港特別行政區
Hong Kong Special Administrative Region of the People's Republic of China

屯門區議會 Tuen Mun District Council
歐志遠議員(市中心選區) District Councillor Au Chi Yuen

檔案編號：ACY/18/0/020 郵遞及聯絡

2018 年 8 月 21 日

選舉管理委員會
香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊

敬啓者：

有關屯門市中心區(L01)的選區劃界，選管會擬把明務街段切割出市中心，併入新墟區，本人謹表反對。

1. 市中心區是多年前的既定規劃與街坊，明務街、利源樓，美基樓（以下簡稱該段街道）等居民都是老街坊，在那生活了幾十年，他們對區於市中心區有著深厚的感情。
2. 在地政和規劃發展管理上，把該段街道突兀地劃出硬塞入新墟區，非常奇怪及不協調。
3. 該段街道的人口不多、完全不影響市中心的人口分佈。我作為該區的議員，即便人口稍多，但仍很樂意為他們服務。
4. 選管會多次舉辦過幾場公聽會，主席所表述如何劃界的準則令人失望。單以人口分佈來決定，罔顧地區整體規劃和居民的意願。市民在一個區內生活，把其一生的希望和未來投入，是有感情和社區鄰里關係的，並不是在一張平面圖上左劃右劃就可以。

P.1

香港屯門[redacted] 議員：[redacted]
[redacted] Tuen Mun, Hong Kong
電話：[redacted] 傳真：[redacted] 電郵：[redacted]

2733(2)

中華人民共和國香港特別行政區
Hong Kong Special Administrative Region of the People's Republic of China

屯門區議會 Tuen Mun District Council
歐志遠議員(市中心選區) District Councillor Au Chi Yuen

5. 本人完全不同意選管會硬把 16599 人作為一個選區的基數，反而應該以一個整體區分來決定。例如屯門市中心區，多年來管理亂劃非常良好，將來就算增加人口，也只是區議員工作量略為提高，對政府管理而言，由於是整個屯門區的，根本沒有影響。但以選管會的 16599 人一個選區假設，現在市中心正不斷增建房屋，可謂見籠屜升，那是否每次選舉區要改劃？一髮牽全身，市中心周圍施政，涉及旁邊的區份又要改。
6. 屯門區的 L23 (預計人口 12881)、L15(預計人口 13428) 、L16(預計人口 12544)、L17(預計人口 13434)把 L15、16、17 三區合共 39406 人，那為何不三合二併上呢?

所以本人反對是次改劃，要求屯門市中心區選界維持不變。

歐志遠
屯門區議員
市中心選區

P.2

香港屯門[redacted] 議員：[redacted]
[redacted] Tuen Mun, Hong Kong
電話：[redacted] 傳真：[redacted] 電郵：[redacted]

2733(3)



2733(4)


TUEN MUN
井頭上村北
Tsing Tau Sheung Tsuen North
井頭上村南
Tsing Tau Sheung Tsuen South
MACLEHOSE TRAIL
TUEN MUN TRAIL
CASTLE PEAK RD - SAN HUI
TUEN HUNG ROAD
TUEN MUN HEUNG SZE WUI ROAD
PUI TO ROAD
HO POON ST
TUEN MUN
Tuen Mun Cultural Square
Bus Depot
Kwai Chung Bus Depot
Light Rail Depot
Tuen Mun Park
SAN ON STREET
TIN HAU ROAD

2733(5)


TUEN MUN
井頭上村北
Tsing Tau Sheung Tsuen North
井頭上村南
Tsing Tau Sheung Tsuen South
MACLEHOSE TRAIL
TUEN MUN TRAIL
CASTLE PEAK RD - SAN HUI
TUEN HUNG ROAD
TUEN MUN HEUNG SZE WUI ROAD
PUI TO ROAD
HO POON ST
TUEN MUN
Tuen Mun Cultural Square
Bus Depot
Kwai Chung Bus Depot
Light Rail Depot
Tuen Mun Park
SAN ON STREET
TIN HAU ROAD

2734(1)

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups

對2019年區議會選時建議的書面申述 元朗區[M14洪福、M17盛欣、M18天盛] 及 [M31逸澤、M32天恒]

23/07/2018 18:55

From: [redacted]
To: "eacenq@reo.gov.hk" <eacenq@reo.gov.hk>

你好:

本人得悉 2019 年法定的選區人口為: (12 449 人 至 20 749 人之間), 並瀏覽有關數據後，對2組選區有以下建議：

A組: [M14洪福、M17盛欣、M18天盛] 把原建議之3 區合併為 2 區 [洪欣 及 天盛 2區]
B組: [M31逸澤、M32天恒] 把原建議之 2 區分拆為 3 區 [逸澤、恒澤及天恒 3區]

其方式及理據如下:

A組

1)由於M14及M17人數接近法定人數下限之邊緣(-23.84及-22.27%)，因此，建議**屏欣苑及洪福邨劃為一個選區** (洪欣)。洪欣區將約有 19158人。其標準人口基數偏離百分比約為15.4%。

2) 本人建議之「天盛」選區，與2015年區議會選區界線相同。其標準人口基數偏離百分比約為25%，接近法定人數上限。

3)規劃方面，屏欣苑**不屬**《天水圍分區計劃大綱圖》之內。若屏欣苑及屬《天水圍分區計劃大綱圖》之天盛苑的其中一部份劃為同一個選區，則不能維持「地方連繫」，妨礙日後就天水圍或屏山／未來洪水橋新發展區等進一步設施規劃。

4) 洪福邨及屏欣苑均同屬屏山及未來洪水橋新發展區，地理位置相近，所以合併為一區亦合理。

5) 此建議可保持天盛苑、洪福邨及屏欣苑之**完整性**。

6) 屏欣苑尚未入伙。若於2019年屏欣苑仍然人口不足或未及完成選民更改地址或登記，將嚴重影響投票結果

B組

B1)由於M31及M32人數接近法定人數上限之邊緣(+22.85及+23.29%)。因此，建議把該2個相鄰選區分拆成3區。分拆後，大部份天恒邨會成為新天恒選區。剩餘小部份天恒邨會與一半天澤邨成為新區「恒澤」。另外一半天澤邨和原先屬逸澤選區之天逸邨部份劃為新「逸澤」選區。

每個新區人口約為13619，其標準人口基數偏離百分比約為 -17.95%

B2)從「地方連繫」來說，M31逸澤、M32天恒、M33宏逸，亦可分為4個選區，分別為

天恒大部份
天恒小部份 + 天澤
天逸
俊宏軒

以上 A組及B組須同時執行，與保持元朗區4個新增議席。

有關資料

M31 逸澤 20392 (+22.85%)
M32 天恒 20465 (+23.29%)
M14 洪福 12641 (-23.84%)
M17 盛欣 12903 (-22.27%)
M18 天盛 14278 (-13.98%)

[redacted]先生

2734(2)

2735(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0132

敬啟者：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉元朗天盛選區劃分事宜

本法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函(信件編號: TSC/IO/L/17/011 及 TSC/IO/L/18/065) 貴會反映有關 2019 年區議會選舉元朗天盛選區劃分事宜，當時法團已多次強烈反對有關切割天盛選區安排。而 貴會於 2017 年 9 月 22 日及 2018 年 6 月 6 日書面回覆(信件編號: L/M(2) to REO CR/14/5/DC-19(Pt.1))，表示根據法定準則，選管會在擬定選區分界的建議時，在切實可行的情況下，須確保各選區人口應盡量接近標準人口基數(即香港人口總數 ÷ 民選議席總數)，並須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)，並已收悉本苑的意見。

過去多屆區議會選舉，天盛苑本只設有一個選區，此安排合情合理，惟法團於 2018 月 7 月 23 日得悉 貴會已就 2019 年區議會選舉分界展開諮詢，並得悉本苑將劃分為兩個選區，包括：(M18)天盛及(M17)盛欣。法團對此安排極為不滿，完全漠視了本苑 6,580 戶及 23,000 位居民的意見，忽略了社區的完整性，只按本子辦事，完全未有了解地區的實際情況。法團現再次向 貴會**反對**新的選區建議，有關原因如下：

1. 天盛苑入伙已 18 年，法團成立十多年，屋苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響法團管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 過去天盛苑本是一個選區，理據充分，不能因新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」落成便需要重新劃分；而屏欣苑亦應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

續下頁

2735(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0065

法團現就 貴會將天盛苑劃分為天盛及盛欣兩個選區表達強烈反對，並要求 貴會重新考慮居民意見及維持天盛苑選區的安排，否則， 貴會當就有關安排提出實質理據，而法團亦會就有關安排向傳媒投訴。

如對上述事宜有任何查詢，請於辦公時間致電[redacted]與[redacted]先生或[redacted]小姐聯絡。

此致
選舉管理委員會
主席 馮驊先生

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
第八屆管理委員會
主席 甘天成 啟

副本致： (1) 選舉事務處
(2) 元朗民政事務處器樂主任主管 — [redacted]

2018 年 7 月 23 日

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups

發放者: [redacted] to: eacenq@reo.gov.hk 30/07/2018 23:14

本人有關最近聽聞天盛苑的選區會以往的一個選區分為二個選區,本人也是天盛苑的居民,是由天盛苑最初入伙至今都是住在天盛苑,對天盛苑的發展及經過都經歷過,本人認為因天盛苑的地域是整體一區,沒有必要分開為二區的選區,如分開為二區,只會有分化混亂及爭拗的後果,如在一區內有二個議員,二位議員不同立場(因現在不同政黨的政治目的,有部份選舉為求議席,不擇手段增加爭拗及出位的行為,更有虛假的陳述),只會令天盛苑更加複雜,到最後影響及傷害都是天盛苑居民,只有一些政客想增加議席而會贊成分區,沒有理會居民的感受,況且變成二個區域只會增加不必要的支援和支出,相反利用這些支援來加強其他的需要還好.如現在安排現有的區議員的辦事處位置及支援,都處理很久及很難才安排在屋苑外的實體舖內,如還要分二區更加難安排及處理?
區議員的原意是令居民的意見及需要反映給政府溝通渠道,如這樣分區,不但未能反映居民的需要,只令分化居民,分區對本人及本苑的居民只有百害無一利,敬希貴會深思考慮天盛苑居民需要的是和諧及安寧的居所,不要令天盛處於政客們為求政治目標及爭拗的地方,不要分化本苑的居民,謝謝!

SAMUEL KWOK
2018年7月30日
從「Windows 郵件」送出

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups

[redacted] to: eacenq@reo.gov.hk 01/08/2018 22:50

執事先生:
有關最近天盛苑分區的問題,話說天盛苑由1個選區劃分成二個選區,首先天盛苑地理上和實體的是整體一區,根本沒有必要劃成二區,在行政上和居民的劃分都會產生混亂及無可適從,況且,如得一個選區,更能發揮區議員在居民與政府之間的橋樑,如把好好的整體屋苑劃分二個或多個選區,之後只會產生政治爭拗,把原本整體寧靜的環境,變成被人利用政治的本錢及分化社區,有如現今的政治(有一些所謂爭取民主,實為提倡港獨及分化中國香港的整體),有如中國和香港也是整體,不可分化中國和香港的關係!我們及天盛苑的街坊只想有個整體安寧的屋苑,敬請貴會謹慎能給予我們一個安寧及不被作政客們的做SHOW的舞台,萬二分謝謝!!!
郭生[redacted]居民)
從「Windows 郵件」送出

2738(1)

中華人民共和國香港特別行政區
HKSAR of the People's Republic of China
元朗區議會
YUEN LONG DISTRICT COUNCIL

湛家雄 議員 鍾錶相區部 緊急熱線 立即接之 Daniel K.H. CHAM B.B.S. M.H. S.H. J.P. Councillor

香港新界元朗
海港中心十樓
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊法官 GBS

馮主席,

2019 年區議會選舉的元朗天水圍選區劃界意見

本人之選區為元朗『嘉湖南』選區,包括嘉湖山莊樂湖居、資湖居、翠湖居。本人曾在 4 月 26 日及 5 月 14 日去信閣下,反映就 2019 年區議會選舉的元朗天水圍選區劃界建議。本人對公佈的天水圍嘉湖南及嘉湖北的暫定選區範圍表示強烈反對。

由於嘉湖南及嘉湖北內各屋苑及其居住人口與 2015 年選區劃界時基本上變動不大,為顧及社區獨特性及地方聯繫的維持、有關區域的自然特徵,本人反對將原來在嘉湖北範圍內的麗湖居 1 至 3 座樓宇劃入嘉湖南選區。我要求上述選區劃界原與過去 19 年一樣,維持不變。

按上述選區劃界,嘉湖南選區人口 17,332 人(偏差-2.17%),則公佈的暫定選區範圍將麗湖居三座樓宇劃入嘉湖南選區,使人口增至 18,631 (-12.24%),建議並不合理及破壞嘉湖南及嘉湖北的選舉範圍內屋苑的社區完整性。本人曾與嘉湖南及嘉湖北屋苑的居民和 6 個代表 15899 多戶的業主委員會作出諮詢,結果顯示全部持份者皆反對暫定選區範圍,上述持份者要求:

(a)保留現時嘉湖南選區內之原有屋邨『樂湖居』、『資湖居』及『翠湖居』保留於同一選區中,而嘉湖北選區內之原有屋邨『景湖居』、『美湖居』及『麗湖居』的所有樓宇保留於同一選區中。上述要求符合《選舉管理委員會條例》第 20 條(a)之規定:- ***「選管會在劃分有關區議會選區的建議時,『須顧及社區獨特性及地方聯繫的維持、有關區域的自然特徵,例如大小、形狀,以及交通方便程度及發展』***。由於樂湖居、資湖居及翠湖居的社區和麗湖居、景湖居及美湖居社區的地理位置相距甚遠,步行需要近 20 分鐘,並由面積龐大的天水圍公園分割。自 90 年代居民開始入住以來,在過去廿多年來嘉湖南及嘉湖北已各自形成兩個獨立的社區。過去 19 年來在 5 次選舉中,嘉湖南及嘉湖北內的各屋苑的所有樓宇皆劃在同一選區中,此乃歷史之事實及兩個獨立的完整社區因素。

(b)現時嘉湖南及嘉湖北內各自屋苑之居民利益及對社區服務及設施之訴求,社區聯繫等,均為兩個訴求不同的獨立社區;很多時在區議會的議題或要求亦有衝直突的情況,例如交通問題(天水圍東部及西部對交通及巴士要求經常不同),一位區議員難以代表不同訴求。

(c)我強烈要求在選區分界時,應考慮上述因素及居民之要求和意願,維持麗湖居的 1-3 座在嘉湖北選區內。本人代表之居民、及『樂湖業主委員會』、『資湖業主委員會』和『翠湖居業主委員會』均希望政府尊重社區完整性及過去之決定,維持上述 3 個屋苑在嘉湖南選區

有關元朗[redacted] Yuen Long, N.T.
電話 Tel: [redacted] 傳真 Fax: [redacted] 電郵 E-mail: [redacted]

2738(2)

內,而不加入麗湖居 1-3 座樓宇。而事月民議員(嘉湖北選區)代表的麗湖居、嘉湖居及美湖居和有關的三個業主委員會皆要求維持上述 3 個屋苑的所有樓宇在同嘉湖北選區內。

(d)『嘉湖北』選區自 1999 年成為一個立選區以來,近 19 年都是維持景湖居、美湖居及麗湖居在同一選區內,雖然其人口多年來都比選區人口基數高(上成為 23223 人,高 36.6%),但由於考慮到符合《選舉管理委員會條例》***第 20 條(a)***之規定,所以多年來都維持在同一選區中,居民亦要求在 2019 年選舉仍同一安排。

(e)***按法例的規定,選管會在調整選區分界時,除了考慮預計人口外,亦須顧及社區獨特、地方聯繫的維持,以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素。選管會不能只硬性依從法例許可幅度的要求,而不顧及上述的其他法定因素。因此,如有需要,為顧及這些法定因素,選管會可以建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度。***

(f)***貴會公開表示,會一如以往,在擬定劃界建議時,應該採用影響最少現有選區或較少人口的方法,以減少與調整分界可能對選民造成的不便。調整選區分界可以有不同的方法,並無唯一或絕對的方案,選管會需要考慮各方面的因素,主要考慮人口數字,亦會顧及其他法定因素。***

有關條例第 20(5)條已授予 貴會權力:選管會若認為第 20 條(a)項所提述的考慮事項使其有需要或適宜不嚴格地按人口數字行事,則 貴會可不嚴格地按這兩項規定行事。故上述選區人口不以平均數值劃分,是完全可以接受的。***本人已將上述意見請教居民及業主委員會,他們皆支持上述建議,並認為第 20 條(a)才是最重要的考慮因素。***我、[redacted]議員及上述 6 個業主委員會及 6 個屋苑的居民均強烈要求選管會考慮及接納維持現時嘉湖南及嘉湖北選區範圍的建議。

湛家雄
二〇一八年七月二十八日

2739(1)

嘉湖山莊 Kingswood Villas
麗湖居業主委員會
Lynwood Court Estate Owners' Committee

麗湖/總字/第 028 號/17-19

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮鄉先生

馮主席：

根據選舉管理委員會（下稱選管會）於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居（下稱本苑）的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南），而選管會基於嘉湖北區在 2019 年的預計人口將超出法例許可的上限作為考慮因素。

嘉湖山莊麗湖居業主委員會（下稱委員會）對於選管會是項建議，完全沒有顧及本苑整體性及業視居民實際需求，實難以理解及接納。

(一) 嘉湖山莊嘉湖居、美湖居及麗湖居相繼於 1998 年入伙，一直維持 9,096 個住宅單位，人口數字應不會有太大改變；但據選管會資料嘉湖北區於 2019 年預計的人口將會偏離超出法例許可的 21.19%，本會對於該估計數字感到質疑及費解；

(二) 嘉湖山莊麗湖居由 10 座住宅物業及 416 個車位組成，而根據屋苑公契所規定，每座物業均有 2 位座代表參與業主委員會工作，所以每座物業均有相互聯繫關係，因此，絕不可單靠人口數字為調整選區分界的考慮基礎；

(三) 選管會強行將一個屋苑肢解分拆，將會嚴重破壞屋苑的完整性，不但令屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會因議員選區分界不清，而造成混淆，對於反映民生事務時，亦難以分辨其所屬議員。

第一之二頁

新界元朗天水圍嘉湖山莊麗湖居管理服務中心轉 電話：2447 7622 傳真：2448 7067
C/O Management Services Centre, Lynwood Court Kingswood Villas, Tin Shui Wai, Yuen Long, N.T. Tel:2447 7622 Fax:2448 7067

2739(2)

嘉湖山莊 Kingswood Villas
麗湖居業主委員會
Lynwood Court Estate Owners' Committee

(四) 政府一方面鼓勵市民登記做選民，履行公民責任，但選管會調整嘉湖北的選區時卻「倒行逆施」、「本末倒置」，將本苑選民之實際需要置諸不理；依照選區分界建議，第一座至第三座選民若要盡公民本份投票時，便要步行不少於 30 分鐘路程，才可到達所屬選區的投票站，而第四座至第十座之選民只須步行約 8 分鐘，便可到達嘉湖北之所屬票站，故此有關安排大大減選民的投票意欲；

(五) 一個屋苑被拆分為不同選區分界，雖然亦非尋常之事，但多幅大型屋苑，例如：第一號、美孚新村、黃埔花園；但相對只有 2 千多個單位的麗湖居，卻有兩位議員一起工作，便會令議員的工作變得架屋疊床、浪費資源，

另方面，下屆區議會選舉，雖能勝出尚屬未知之數，若嘉湖南及嘉湖北當選之議員分屬不同黨派，日後處事難免因政治理念不同，而出現意見相左之情況，這樣對我們麗湖居之選民而言，實在不堪設想。

(六) 在地區工作上，當區議員定必為其選區的民眾爭取利益，但若資源設施分配因地理上出現衝突時，嘉湖南區議員在別無選擇之下，難免會先照顧「大眾」-（聚湖居、貿湖居及聚湖居）而犧牲「少眾」-（麗湖居第一座至第三座），這個分界建議正正埋下社區分化的伏線。

綜合以上各點，選舉管理委員會為要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化、未有切實評估實際環境及業視居民利益的方法作重整選區範圍，導致本苑強行被拆分入不同選區，做法極不公平及不能接納，為保障麗湖居的統一性及居民利益，本會不排除會以「一人一信」向選管會反對本苑被拆分為兩個選區的建議。

麗湖居第十一屆業主委員會
主席 殷曾立 謹啟
二零一八年七月二十七日

副本抄送： 嘉湖北區 [redacted]
嘉湖山莊麗湖居各業戶

第二之二頁

新界元朗天水圍嘉湖山莊麗湖居管理服務中心轉 電話：2447 7622 傳真：2448 7067
C/O Management Services Centre, Lynwood Court Kingswood Villas, Tin Shui Wai, Yuen Long, N.T. Tel:2447 7622 Fax:2448 7067

02/08 2018 16:03 FAX

2740(1)

錦田鄉事委員會
Kam Tin Rural Committee
新界錦田錦田公路 133 號電話：24761525 傳真：24434535
133 Kam Tin Road Kam Tin N.T Tel:24761525 Fax:24434535

香港灣仔港灣道25號海港中心10樓 (郵寄及傳真：2511 1682)

選舉管理委員會秘書處

反對模範鄉被納入元朗 M36 區(新田)選區

敬啟者：

模範鄉向來屬於「錦田」選區，與錦田鄉內其他三個村落(即蓮吉鄉、華盛村及英龍村)自 1973 年已合組「蓮吉模範鄉華盛四村圍坊互助會」(四村會)，促進社區活動的組織，共同爭取四個村落的社福利益。由於模範鄉與新田如之間並沒有任何值得的聯繫，保留模範鄉於「錦田」選區內，以便「錦田」選區的議員代表模範鄉的村民實屬合理。

就地域因素而言，模範鄉、華盛村及蓮吉鄉均位處於新田公路以東，外來的交通均需經蓮吉鄉路進入村內，村落間的地理連結大大推動了相互之間頻繁的交往，鞏固了一個完整的村落社區。若模範鄉脫離「錦田」選區，不但會破壞社區的完整性，更會大大削弱了地區之間的聯繫性。

由錦田鄉以外的區議員干預「四村會」範圍以內的地區事務，容易引起在處理鄉郊事務上的意見分化。由選區的人口比例結構可推算，若模範鄉被歸入「新田」選區，當選的議員極可能來自新田鄉，相信模範鄉的村民也會擔心當選的議員會偏重新田鄉居民的利益(尤其在爭取地區公共工程上)。

現時，蓮吉鄉、模範鄉以及華盛村的村民可通過「四村會」及「錦田」選區的議員反映村民意見，倘若強行加插一位外區議員的意見，有可能把社區問題政治化，觀及區議會成立以來，元朗六鄉均有各自的選區，每鄉均有劃分鄉界為記，彼此之間從未有跨鄉代表的例況，模範鄉有歷史以來都屬錦田鄉界，其亦為錦田鄉事會管轄之村落，故選管會之建議實屬只顧性依

02-AUG-2018 16:26 97% P.001

02/08 2018 16:03 FAX

2740(2)

錦田鄉事委員會
Kam Tin Rural Committee
新界錦田錦田公路 133 號電話：24761525 傳真：24434535
133 Kam Tin Road Kam Tin N.T Tel:24761525 Fax:24434535

從法例許可幅度的要求，而忽略鄉郊社區的獨特性和地區聯繫，實屬本末倒置，這與選管會主席在諮詢文件所說的「選管會不能只硬性依從法例許可幅度的要求，而不顧及上述的其他法定因素。因此，如有需要，為顧及這些法定因素，選管會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度。」有一段很大的距離，

故此，本會及當區議員強烈反對模範鄉納入 M36 選區。如有任何查詢，請致電 2476 1525 與鄙小姐聯絡！

(二零一九年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議公眾諮詢文件) 主席的話：

「按法例的規定，選管會在調整選區分界時，除了考慮預計人口外，亦須顧及社區的獨特性、地方的聯繫維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素。選管會不能只硬性依從法例許可幅度的要求，而不顧及上述的其他法定因素。因此，如有需要，為顧及這些法定因素，選管會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度。」

錦田鄉事委員會主席：鄭賀年
鄭賀年

元朗區議會(錦田)議員：鄧卓然
鄧卓然

2018 年 8 月 1 日

02-AUG-2018 16:26 97% P.002

2741(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對2019年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對2019年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[redacted]閣([redacted]座)

住戶姓名	簽署	住戶姓名	簽署
蔡[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
楊金榜	楊金榜	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
謝[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	許順儀	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	黃燕玲	黃燕玲
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	Tam Shuk Kum	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	謝[illegible]	[illegible]

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第1頁

2741(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對2019年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對2019年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[redacted]閣([redacted]座)

住戶姓名	簽署	住戶姓名	簽署
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	CHAN [illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	Mandy	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
LISE WING	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
MAK MEI HAR	[illegible]	[illegible]	
CHENG MAN [illegible]	[illegible]	LI Yuet Ha	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第2頁

2741(3)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對2019年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對2019年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[redacted]閣([redacted]座)

住戶姓名	簽署	住戶姓名	簽署
[illegible]	[illegible]	陳鳳英	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	Yu Suiling	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第3頁

2741(4)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對2019年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對2019年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[redacted]閣([redacted]座)

住戶姓名	簽署	住戶姓名	簽署
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第4頁

2741(5)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[redacted]閣（[redacted]座）

住戶姓名	簽署	住戶姓名	簽署
陳慧君		黃儀正	
鄧[illegible]		戴玉瑩	
張艾敏	張艾敏	葉惠貞	
冼[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		LAM HOW CHEONG	
CHAN SUK LAN		林潤權	
[illegible]		楊麗嫻	
CHOI SUET JING		蔡琼琳	
[illegible]		梁[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第5頁

2741(6)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[redacted]閣（[redacted]座）

住戶姓名	簽署	住戶姓名	簽署
張永平		陳宇鳳	
黃慧琪		徐紫瀅	
蘇淑芳		郭維東	
梁儉		趙美賢	
楊恩恩		張宛琪	
Ho kuni wah		劉浩然	
Ho Man Ying		[illegible]	
張最欣		[illegible]	
黃楓恩		[illegible]	
TSANG CHAK YAN		鄭耀萍	
鄧偉昌		[illegible]	
郭麗蓮		[illegible]	

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第6頁

2741(7)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[redacted]閣（[redacted]座）

住戶姓名	簽署	住戶姓名	簽署
[illegible]			/
[illegible]			
李[illegible]			
[illegible]			
[illegible]			
[illegible]			
梁仲[illegible]			
[illegible]			

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第7頁

2741(8)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[redacted]閣（[redacted]座）

住戶姓名	簽署	住戶姓名	簽署
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		張[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		黃樂宜	
[illegible]		黃澤[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		劉淑恩	
[illegible]		梁六女	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		黃[illegible]	
[illegible]		王秀貞	王秀貞
[illegible]		[illegible]	

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第1頁

2741(9)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[■]閣([■]座)

住戶姓名	簽署	住戶姓名	簽署
黃銀秋		伍瑞泰	
梁淑釗		姚健文	
梁啟俊		星智鏡	
陳向全		姚盛琦	
鍾頴怡		周萬堯	
容國成		曾玉英	
容嘉慧		李仲賢	
陳鈺雯		葉麗琳	
陳凱倩		李仲基	
林彩玉		李仲堂	
樂賢坤		梁鉅樂	
	劉嘉濱	趙樂行	

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第2頁

2741(10)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[■]閣([■]座)

住戶姓名	簽署	住戶姓名	簽署
湯瑞珊		陳偉祥	
鍾雪麗		倫坤榮	倫坤榮
葉寶強		陳慧霞	
Koon Chi Hung		郭志良	
梁群英		方詠琪	
謝浩發		李文妹	
張智華		麥秋紅	麥秋紅
鄭喬生		郭宇泰	
何志偉		董紀雲	
黃俊賢	Eric Wong	黃珍	
陳七兒		黃耀忠	
鄧婉雯		高木蓮	

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第3頁

2741(11)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[■]閣([■]座)

住戶姓名	簽署	住戶姓名	簽署
Cheung Sau Ping		歐綺雯	
梅小明		袁業俊	
鍾振業		袁錦月	
Lo Hon Tan		胡啟蕊	
KU Ka Sik		李啟培	
陳澤鈞	Carson	李文仲	
鍾瑞明		李偉賢	
何玉如		李鑾彬	
曾郭周		總綠麒	
黃欣瑜		李惠萍	
黃正宏	黃正宏	林志偉	
黃集倫		蕭振鴻	

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第4頁

2741(12)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[■]閣([■]座)

住戶姓名	簽署	住戶姓名	簽署
溫宏基	溫宏基	文金有	
Tse Bik Yee			
Angus Leung			
林翠芹	林翠芹		
梁炯泉			
文樹源			
鄭瑞棠			
黃嘉恩			
蕭炳輝			
林慧儀			
張明祖	張明祖		

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第5頁

2741(13)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[■]閣（[■]座）

住戶姓名	簽署	住戶姓名	簽署
談梓朗			
CHAN KA KIN			

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第 1 頁

2741(14)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[■]閣（[■]座）

住戶姓名	簽署	住戶姓名	簽署

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第 2 頁

2741(15)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[■]閣（[■]座）

住戶姓名	簽署	住戶姓名	簽署

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第 3 頁

2741(16)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[■]閣（[■]座）

住戶姓名	簽署	住戶姓名	簽署

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第 4 頁

2741(17)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[ ]閣（[ ]座）

住戶姓名	簽　署	住戶姓名	簽　署
WONG SHU		LAU KAM TIN	
陳華英		陳凤好	陳
WONG WAI MAN		董楊穗	
陳寶石	陳	So Kung Ma	
LOONG KAM SHEUNG		Chan Yuen Kee	
鍾倉旗		吳添有	吳添有
鍾宏軍	鍾宏軍	黃雪蓉	蓉
文禮兄	文禮兄	唐海生	生
走掛根	走掛根	唐秀芳	芳
李宝芝	李宝芝	唐海敏	敏
李鎮幫	李鎮幫	余兒宝	
Tam Chi Kei		宋振輝	

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第 5 頁

2741(18)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[ ]閣（[ ]座）

住戶姓名	簽　署	住戶姓名	簽　署
黃碧霞	霞	葉敏慧	
黃健華		林翠芝	
周桐鑫		鄭年穎	
劉劍雄		蔣嘉駿	
鄧詠琳		吳國富	富
區陽元興		邱鴻幸	
鄭婉芳	芳	張俊莉	
區桂英			
葉鑾倫			
司徒惠			
志偉			
區紹基		李廣大	

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第 6 頁

2741(19)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[ ]閣（[ ]座）

住戶姓名	簽　署	住戶姓名	簽　署
陳梅琼	琼	陳秀紅	陳
林佩珊		陳婉芸	
李志强		簡婉瑩	
周偉文		陳鑑忠	陳鑑忠
張永輝		黃海清	黃海清
簡約珊		冼恩穎	
黃惠欣		王秋萍	王秋萍
周美華		王	
鄧妙連	鄧妙連	孫	
視見發	視見發	鄭子良	良
鄧惠娟	鄧惠娟	梁芳	梁
		鄺福志	

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第 7 頁

2741(20)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[ ]閣（[ ]座）

住戶姓名	簽　署	住戶姓名	簽　署
關民忠		張秀蓉	
陳秀靖	陳秀靖	王瑞蘭	
黃志聖		張麗芳	
蔡秀卿		鄭名仲	
李思韻		李健華	
李榮		關細讓	
蕭桃		黃開源	
李惠國		陳士光	
馮家銘			
張仕雄			
李賀美		Tsoi Carman	
劉志君		林敬琦	

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第 8 頁

2741(21)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[ ]閣 ([ ] 座)

住戶姓名	簽　署	住戶姓名	簽　署
Lam Lan Chi		LEUNG KWAI KEUNG	
陳志坤			
		Wu Hon Ng	
Stefanie Cheng		Law Ip Cheong	
		Cheung Shun Ha	
		YEUNG HIU HEI	
Wong Ping			
WONG TING PONG			
Cheung Yuk Long			
Chan Tsz Fung			

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第 9 頁

2741(22)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[ ]閣 ([ ] 座)

住戶姓名	簽　署	住戶姓名	簽　署
葉善晴		WONG WAI NGOR	
黃穎來		王照強	
Chan Yuet Sim		王美盈	
Kwan Tsz Wai		王美儀	
霍穎軒			
Tse Kit Yu			
CHAN LAI YU			

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第 10 頁

2741(23)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[ ]閣 ([ ] 座)

住戶姓名	簽　署	住戶姓名	簽　署

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第 11 頁

2741(24)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[ ]閣 ([ ] 座)

住戶姓名	簽　署	住戶姓名	簽　署
		Lee Chai Fan	
		Law Sham Kit	
		TIEN Lic Ha	
		Law Sin Ha	

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第 12 頁

2741(25)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[redacted]閣（[redacted]座）

住戶姓名	簽　署	住戶姓名	簽　署
洪[illegible]鏞	鏞	尹[illegible]英	
陳[illegible]邦		任[illegible]輝	
林[illegible]氣		黃[illegible]琪	
梁[illegible]冠		李[illegible]雄	
秋[illegible]忠		李[illegible]賢	
劉[illegible]福		李[illegible]耀	
謝[illegible]楠		曾[illegible]耀	
謝[illegible]衡		[illegible]	
張[illegible]瑩		YUEN [illegible] ON	
[illegible]		MAN [illegible] KUEN	
[illegible]		李[illegible]華	
張[illegible]希		[illegible]	

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第13頁

2741(26)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[redacted]閣（[redacted]座）

住戶姓名	簽　署	住戶姓名	簽　署
馮[illegible]誠	馮	劉[illegible]華	華
劉[illegible]恩		[illegible]妹	妹
陳[illegible]康		鄭[illegible]燕	鄭
LIN [illegible] LIAN		[illegible]志成	鄭
MAO CHUN		陳[illegible]雲	
楊根	楊	李[illegible]慧	
謝[illegible]永	謝	[illegible]成娣	
陳[illegible]亨	陳	陳[illegible]聰	聰
NG CHIU YUI		[illegible]	陳雲英
鄭美琼	鄭	楊[illegible]生	
葉[illegible]金		陳[illegible]連	
葉[illegible]強		許[illegible]鵬	

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第14頁

2741(27)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[redacted]閣（[redacted]座）

住戶姓名	簽　署	住戶姓名	簽　署
陳鳳嬋 Chan Fung Sim			

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第15頁

2741(28)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[redacted]閣（[redacted]座）

住戶姓名	簽　署	住戶姓名	簽　署
鍾[illegible]均		林鏡[illegible]珠	林鏡珠
CHEUNG WAI SHAN		曹[illegible]文	
鄺[illegible]芳		李[illegible]業	李
關[illegible]威		何[illegible]枝	何
[illegible]		區[illegible]良	
[illegible]		馮[illegible]保	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
梁[illegible]妹		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
李[illegible]超		[illegible]	
[illegible]		黃[illegible]軍	黃秋軍

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第1頁

2741(29)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[redacted]閣（[redacted]座）

住戶姓名	簽署	住戶姓名	簽署

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第2頁

2741(30)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[redacted]閣（[redacted]座）

住戶姓名	簽署	住戶姓名	簽署

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第3頁

2741(31)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[redacted]閣（[redacted]座）

住戶姓名	簽署	住戶姓名	簽署

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第4頁

2741(32)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[redacted]閣（[redacted]座）

住戶姓名	簽署	住戶姓名	簽署

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第5頁

2741(33)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[redacted]閣([redacted]座)

住戶姓名	簽署	住戶姓名	簽署
吳國輝		韓振強	
吳家業		盧華平	
吳家雯		何[illegible]	
趙富盛		關[illegible]	
高煥德		關[illegible]	
高俊勳		關[illegible]	
高俊浩		林創基	
高惠婷		唐業亮	
雷淑芬		林喜堅	
萬福華		林佩瑜	
梁青芙		黃燕涵	
羅炳田		袁月群	

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第6頁

2741(34)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[redacted]閣[redacted]座)

住戶姓名	簽署	住戶姓名	簽署
Wong Ka Fai		馮冕明	
Lee Chun Tung		張桂發	
劉靜		潘應培	
陳明		潘文軒	
關民健		潘曉舟	
Kenny Kwan		關志玉	
Lau Ka Ting		陳[illegible]	
Tenny Lee		黃中堅	
Ho Tak Yin		黃[illegible]	
鍾子彥		戴秀梅	
張[illegible]		謝碧如	
SHUM Lam Yee		謝碧琪	

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第7頁

2741(35)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[redacted]閣([redacted]座)

住戶姓名	簽署	住戶姓名	簽署
何玉珍		陳振強	
陳永輝		黃文英	
林欣		陳紹明	
冼小英		陳瑞鑾	
余文強		[illegible]	
黃惠輝		NG Tsz Fung	
黃家怡		陳錦棠	
鄭宝誠		吳小妹	
鄭心言		陳澤生	
鄭昨言		陳妙瑤	
劉松		梁卓軒	
吳偉明		譚[illegible]	

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第8頁

2741(36)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[redacted]閣([redacted]座)

住戶姓名	簽署	住戶姓名	簽署
伍靜嫻		[illegible]	
彭啸潼		[illegible]	
葉嘉泉		[illegible]	
吳鎮清		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
Suki Tang		[illegible]	
Cheung Hong		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第9頁

2741(37)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[redacted]閣([redacted]座)

住戶姓名	簽署	住戶姓名	簽署
[redacted]			

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第 10 頁

2741(38)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[redacted]閣([redacted]座)

住戶姓名	簽署	住戶姓名	簽署
[redacted]			

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第 1 頁

2741(39)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[redacted]閣([redacted]座)

住戶姓名	簽署	住戶姓名	簽署
[redacted]			

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第 2 頁

2741(40)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[redacted]閣([redacted]座)

住戶姓名	簽署	住戶姓名	簽署
[redacted]			

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第 3 頁

2741(41)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[redacted]閣 ([redacted]座)

住戶姓名	簽署	住戶姓名	簽署
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第4頁

2741(42)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[redacted]閣 ([redacted]座)

住戶姓名	簽署	住戶姓名	簽署
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第5頁

2741(43)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[redacted]閣 ([redacted]座)

住戶姓名	簽署	住戶姓名	簽署
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第1頁

2741(44)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[redacted]閣 ([redacted]座)

住戶姓名	簽署	住戶姓名	簽署
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第2頁

2741(45)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[redacted]閣（[redacted]座）

住戶姓名	簽　署	住戶姓名	簽　署
馬劍明		CHAN Ka Kuen	
Yuen Ming Chau			
CHAK CAI KUEN			
鄭杰謙			
羅嘉俊			
曾俊陽			
梁文彪			
鄭銳			
CHEUNG Kwong Yu			
袁帆浩			
Lam Nay Kuen			
CHAN WING HONG			

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第 3 頁

2741(46)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[redacted]閣（[redacted]座）

住戶姓名	簽　署	住戶姓名	簽　署
翁健聲		蕭偉強	
鄭偉全		立建重	
麥寶鋤		黃佩琳	
廖超雄		盧浩彥	
黃惠芳		詹玉英	
陸東華		潘宏聰	
何兆康		高倩霞	
莊婉嫻		魔嘉惠	
楊雅賢		鍾嘉寶	
陳景欣		麥華勝	
陳燦揚		劉鑑華	
岑月好	岑月好	滿玉秀	

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第 1 頁

2741(47)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[redacted]閣（[redacted]座）

住戶姓名	簽　署	住戶姓名	簽　署
羅耀祖		黃雪儀	
Tsang Lai Ming		Poon Ka Lung	
李國光		Cha Chi Pong	
Lau Hon Lung		黃振洪	
Chiu Kin Kwan		鄧妙英	
[illegible]		楊國藩	
蕭海淨		Lai Yuet Ling	
余珂盈		李軒承	LHS
Yu Kwan Nang		Leung Kwong Hung	
吳海軍		李楊	
黃德榮		李楊	
王志龍		[illegible]	

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第 2 頁

2741(48)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[redacted]閣（[redacted]座）

住戶姓名	簽　署	住戶姓名	簽　署
周國平		[illegible]	
李慧瑜		Chan Koon Wah	
冼碧妹		NG SUET MUI	
Cen Chi		TANG KOON WAH	
鄧琬錡		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
黃浩然		[illegible]	
黃詠賢		徐仲平	
葉珊		[illegible]	
HO CHUN YIN		NG WAI KIT	
盧凡芊		YAU SIU PING	
[illegible]		CHAN SHUK WAN	

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第 3 頁

2741(49)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[redacted]閣（[redacted]座）

住戶姓名	簽　署	住戶姓名	簽　署
張珊珊		Jane Tang	
宣相基		Luibao Chang	
Mak Chok Ka		KAT Suk Wan	
Terry Lau		LAI CAI FAN	
Peng Shuk Ki		Chan Chi Ping	
CHEUNG CHI MIU		CHAN YAT KUI	
張永強		朱寧珊	
JOYCE KWOK		高[illegible]	
Kwok Ioh Yic		何國強	
Lee Ka Kee		[illegible]	
Ung Hok Yan		[illegible]	
CHAN KIN MAN		王偉雄	

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第 4 頁

2741(50)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[redacted]閣（[redacted]座）

住戶姓名	簽　署	住戶姓名	簽　署
梁浩文嫻		Ng Yiuma	
陳[illegible]		[illegible]	
		[illegible]	
王[illegible]		[illegible]	
張建林		梁鳳雯	
霍英雄		麥嘉龍	
[illegible]			
[illegible]			
[illegible]			
[illegible]			

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第 5 頁

2741(51)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[redacted]閣（[redacted]座）

住戶姓名	簽　署	住戶姓名	簽　署
[illegible]		何[illegible]	
[illegible]		Wong Yin Tong	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		Chung Shing Hei	
[illegible]		WONG Yuk Ling	
李悅貴	李悅貴	NG WING FAI	
朱子源		LAM SAU YING	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		Tang Wai Chi	
劉啟遠		Ho Ming Chi	
[illegible]		林偉豪	林偉豪
謝詩欣		Lei Hoi In	

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第 1 頁

2741(52)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[redacted]閣（[redacted]座）

住戶姓名	簽　署	住戶姓名	簽　署
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		葉[illegible]	
[illegible]		Cindy So	
鄧[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		黎[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第 7 頁

2741(53)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對2019年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對2019年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[redacted]閣([redacted]座)

住戶姓名	簽署	住戶姓名	簽署
黎瑞琼	琼	陳美琳	
[illegible]		張心書	
Alice [illegible]		Chang Chun Yan	
Helen Chung		[illegible]	
梁華琴		Chang Wing Ka	
黃淑芳		[illegible]	
吳明愛		Lee Ch. Leung	
celina		[illegible]	
Bella		[illegible]	
Wong Bor		[illegible]	
鄺丽萍		Chan Hei Man	
張雪文		Chan Yu Hung	

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第3頁

2741(54)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對2019年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對2019年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[redacted]閣([redacted]座)

住戶姓名	簽署	住戶姓名	簽署
[illegible]		[illegible]	
梁嘉珍		梁靚玲	Pauline
[illegible]		易紀光	
[illegible]		楊霞	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
李永偉		蔣淑萍	
[illegible]		陳玉萍	
羅國鴻		麥煥超	
[illegible]		任樂良	
[illegible]		王林	
謝燕琴		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第4頁

2741(55)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對2019年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對2019年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[redacted]閣([redacted]座)

住戶姓名	簽署	住戶姓名	簽署
陳玉芳	陳玉芳	梁杏芳	
李俊雄	RAY	Wong Choi Mei	
陳佩珍	Cherry	[illegible]	
李非	Rex	CHENG CHUN NIN	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
易惠嫦		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
Chu Man Yin		[illegible]	

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第5頁

2741(56)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對2019年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對2019年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[redacted]閣([redacted]座)

住戶姓名	簽署	住戶姓名	簽署
梁華婷		楊玉清	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
馬國邦		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
李永安		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		So Kin Kong	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		CHUNG CHI CHEUNG	
[illegible]		TSANG CHEUNG TAT	

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第6頁

2741(57)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛____閣(__座)

住戶姓名	簽署	住戶姓名	簽署
[redacted]			

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第4頁

2741(58)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛__閣(__座)

住戶姓名	簽署	住戶姓名	簽署
[redacted]			

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第1頁

2741(59)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛__閣(__座)

住戶姓名	簽署	住戶姓名	簽署
[redacted]			

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第2頁

2741(60)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛__閣(__座)

住戶姓名	簽署	住戶姓名	簽署
[redacted]			

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第3頁

2741(61)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑[redacted]閣[redacted]座）

住戶姓名	簽　署	住戶姓名	簽　署

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第4頁

2741(62)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑[redacted]閣[redacted]座）

住戶姓名	簽　署	住戶姓名	簽　署

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第5頁

2741(63)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑[redacted]閣[redacted]座）

住戶姓名	簽　署	住戶姓名	簽　署

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第6頁

2741(64)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑[redacted]閣（[redacted]座）

住戶姓名	簽　署	住戶姓名	簽　署

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第1頁

2741(65)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[redacted]閣([redacted]座)

住戶姓名	簽　署	住戶姓名	簽　署
陳惠祐		鄭愛霞	
李志輝		蔡里芬	
盧立卿	盧立卿	[redacted]	
李[redacted]		[redacted]	
[redacted]		[redacted]	
Chan Wai Man		[redacted]	
Leung Man Wai		[redacted]	
So Wai Lam		[redacted]	
Lee Sze Lam		[redacted]	
[redacted]		[redacted]	
鄭兆雄		[redacted]	
楊成基		[redacted]	

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第 2 頁

2741(66)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[redacted]閣([redacted]座)

住戶姓名	簽　署	住戶姓名	簽　署
[redacted]		[redacted]	
馬道生		[redacted]	
[redacted]		[redacted]	
Leung Wai Ling		[redacted]	
Dick Chan		[redacted]	
SIN WAI LIN		[redacted]	
[redacted]		Ray kwan	Ray.
[redacted]		Ava Kong	Ava.
[redacted]		[redacted]	Kwan
[redacted]		Chan Wai Chun	
[redacted]		Chan wai kwan	Chan wai kwan
[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]	

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第 3 頁

2741(67)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[redacted]閣([redacted]座)

住戶姓名	簽　署	住戶姓名	簽　署
[redacted]		Charles Li	
[redacted]		[redacted]	
[redacted]		[redacted]	
[redacted]		[redacted]	
[redacted]		[redacted]	
[redacted]		[redacted]	
[redacted]		[redacted]	
[redacted]		Wong Yuen Fu	
[redacted]		TIN SIU SHAN	
[redacted]		[redacted]	
[redacted]		[redacted]	
[redacted]		[redacted]	

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第 4 頁

2741(68)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[redacted]閣([redacted]座)

住戶姓名	簽　署	住戶姓名	簽　署
[redacted]		[redacted]	
[redacted]		[redacted]	
[redacted]		[redacted]	
[redacted]		[redacted]	
[redacted]		[redacted]	
[redacted]		[redacted]	
[redacted]		[redacted]	
[redacted]		[redacted]	
[redacted]		[redacted]	
[redacted]		[redacted]	
[redacted]		[redacted]	
[redacted]		[redacted]	

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第 5 頁

2741(69)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛____閣([redacted]座)

住戶姓名	簽署	住戶姓名	簽署
Si			

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第 6 頁

2741(70)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[redacted]閣([redacted]座)

住戶姓名	簽署	住戶姓名	簽署

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第 1 頁

2741(71)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑[redacted]盛[redacted]閣([redacted]座)

住戶姓名	簽署	住戶姓名	簽署

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第 2 頁

2741(72)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[redacted]閣([redacted]座)

住戶姓名	簽署	住戶姓名	簽署

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第 3 頁

2741(73)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[redacted]閣（[redacted]座）

住戶姓名	簽　署	住戶姓名	簽　署
魏小芳			
蔡冠林			

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第4頁

2741(74)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[redacted]閣（[redacted]座）

住戶姓名	簽　署	住戶姓名	簽　署
Mau Hoi Lam			

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第5頁

2741(75)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[redacted]閣（[redacted]座）

住戶姓名	簽　署	住戶姓名	簽　署
Yip Ching			
Lo Shuk Ching			
PAU CAI CHU	Pau Cai Chu		
Ng Chui Fan			
		Paul Kaur	Kaur Paul
		Veerpal Kaur	Veerpal Kaur
		Sukhmann Kaur	Kaur

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第6頁

2741(76)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[redacted]閣（[redacted]座）

住戶姓名	簽　署	住戶姓名	簽　署
		Wong Siu Lan	
		Tse Wai Ling	
		Yiu Ka Ho	
		Lee Ka Ho	

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第7頁

2741(77)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[redacted]閣（[redacted]座）

住戶姓名	簽　署	住戶姓名	簽　署
葉振泉	葉振泉	Wai Kuen Ng	
梁德卿	梁德卿	Lam Yin Ming	
黃嘉松	黃嘉松	陳錦宗	
黃明華	黃明華	黃錦華	
林穎辭			
鄧劉建		謝新惠	謝新惠
楊遠章		林偉恒	
潘燎昌		蔡一新	Choi Yat San
Lai Lok Tin			
		Chan Kwai Heung	Chan
張鄭卿	張鄭卿	李祖禮	

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第8頁

2741(78)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[redacted]閣（[redacted]座）

住戶姓名	簽　署	住戶姓名	簽　署
李建榮		張舒文	
		陳健欣	
		Sandy Wong	
		Vivien Fung	

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第9頁

2741(79)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court

反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割簽名大行動

強烈反對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割為盛欣(M17)及天盛(M18)兩個選區，要求天盛苑維持一個天盛單獨選區，不要被分割及與其他屋苑/屋邨合組選區。

天盛苑盛[redacted]閣（[redacted]座）

住戶姓名	簽　署	住戶姓名	簽　署
陳江海	陳江海		
吳莉亨	吳莉亨		
陳榮光	陳榮光		
劉作水	劉作水		
李榮安			
陳龍水			
鄧劍生			
張偉明			
黃炳光			
譚振傑			
Siu Ting			

*以上資料只供用作向選舉管理委員會反映意見用途。

第10頁

2742(1)

嘉湖山莊 Kingswood Villas 景湖居 業主委員會 Kenswood Court Estate Owners' Committee

檔案索引：景湖/ecc/2016-2018/071

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心十樓
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊法官 GBS

馮主席：

有關 2019 年區議會選舉嘉湖南及嘉湖北新選區劃界事宜

本會對公佈的天水圍嘉湖南及嘉湖北暫定選區範圍方案表達強烈反對及不滿。

本會認為保留現時嘉湖南及嘉湖北選區範圍及界線符合選區範圍內屋苑的社區完整性。本會反對將原來在嘉湖北範圍內的麗湖居第 1 至第 3 座樓宇不合理地劃入嘉湖南選區。本會了解持份者皆反對上述暫定選區範圍。由於嘉湖南及嘉湖北內各屋苑人口基本上變動不大，而嘉湖南選區及嘉湖北選區社區的地理位置相距甚遠，當中由面積龐大的天水圍公園分割，步行需要近 20 分鐘，南北兩區居民對自己區內的交通、公園和康樂設施等訴求亦會不一致。

如現時兩區都有不同的巴士和輕鐵路線，若強行把嘉湖北範圍內的麗湖居第 1 至第 3 座樓宇劃入嘉湖南選區，則議員要照顧兩區選民，可能會忽略麗湖居第 1 至第 3 座居民的訴求，反之亦然。**一個跨區的議員，如何能同時滿足兩區居民的不同意願和要求？把嘉湖北範圍內的麗湖居第 1 至第 3 座樓宇劃入嘉湖南選區，只會造成地區居民分裂和令居民無所適從，不利於建立和諧社區，亦無法在議會上正確反映當區居民的意願！**

麗湖居自居民入住以來，已自成一個獨立屋苑及與嘉湖北各座形成不可分割的小社區。為顧及社區獨特性和地方聯繫的維持及有關區域的自然特徵，所以自 2000 年以來 5 次的區議會選舉中，麗湖居均整個屋苑歸納入嘉湖北的選區分界內，其原因是選管會在劃界時均採用了社區完整性的準則。本會強烈要求今次選區劃界亦應一如以往，考慮上述準則，維持麗湖居的第 1 至第 3 座在嘉湖北選區內，以保持社區之完整性及符合居民之意願。雖然『嘉湖北』人口多年來都比選區人口基數高，但由於考慮到《選舉管理委員會條例》第 20 條(a)之規定，所以多年來都維持在同一選區中。居民亦要求不作改變。

正如 貴會公開表示，一如以往，在擬定選區劃界建議時，應該採用以影響最少現有選區的方案以減少因調整分界可能對選民造成的不便。有關條例第 20(5)條已授予 貴會權力：**<選管會若認為第 20 條(a)項所提述的考慮事項使其有需要或適宜不嚴格地按人口數字行事，則選管會可不嚴格地按這兩項規定行事>。**

第一之二頁

2742(2)

嘉湖山莊 Kingswood Villas 景湖居 業主委員會 Kenswood Court Estate Owners' Committee

檔案索引：景湖/ooc/2016-2018/071

按法例的規定，選管會在調整選區分界時，除了考慮有關選區人口外，亦須顧及社區獨特性和地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素。選管會不能只硬性依從法例許可幅度的要求，而不顧及上述的其他法定因素。

本會及居民均強烈要求維持現時嘉湖南及嘉湖北選區範圍的建議。

嘉湖山莊景湖居業主委員會

主席 謝建星 證敏

2018 年 8 月 1 日

新界元朗天水圍天龍路二號嘉湖山莊景湖居管理服務中心轉 電話：2448 8826 傳真：2447 2328
c/o Management Services Centre, Kenswood Court, Kingswood Villas, 2 Tin Lung Road, Tin Shui Wai, Yuen Long, N.T. Tel : 2448 8826 Fax : 2447 2328

2743

嘉湖山莊 Kingswood Villas 賞湖居 業主委員會 Sherwood Court Estate Owners' Committee

檔案索引：賞湖/總字/第 025 號/2018

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心十樓
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊法官 GBS

馮主席，

對嘉湖南及嘉湖北選區 2019 年區議會選舉的劃界意見

本會對公佈的天水圍嘉湖南及嘉湖北的暫定選區範圍表示強烈反對，有關建議並不合理及破壞嘉湖南及嘉湖北的選區範圍內屋苑的社區完整性，由於上述選區內各屋苑及人口基本上變動不大，為顧及社區獨特性及地方聯繫的維持、有關區域的自然特徵，本會反對將原來在嘉湖北範圍內的麗湖居 1 至 3 座樓宇劃入嘉湖南選區。

在過去 19 年 5 次區議會選舉中，由於社區完整性及獨立社區的因素，嘉湖南及嘉湖北內的各屋苑的所有樓宇皆劃在同一選區中。 貴會應考慮上述因素及居民之要求和意願，維持麗湖居的 1-3 座在嘉湖北選區內，以保持社區之完整性。雖然『嘉湖北』人口多年來都比選區人口基數高，但由於考慮到符合《選舉管理委員會條例》*第 20 條(a)之規定：- 選管會在劃訂有關區議會選區的建議時—「須顧及社區獨特性及地方聯繫的維持、有關區域的自然特徵，例如大小、形狀，以及交通方便程度及發展」*，所以多年來都維持在同一選區中。居民亦要求不作改變。

本會認為維持現時嘉湖南選區及嘉湖北選區範圍附合《選舉管理委員會條例》第 20 條(a)之規定。由於嘉湖南選區及嘉湖北選區社區的地理位置相距甚遠，步行需要近 20 分鐘，並由面積近 14 公頃龐大的天水圍公園分割。而自 90 年代居民入住以來，嘉湖南及嘉湖北已各自形成兩個獨立及不可分割的社區。

按法例的規定，選管會在調整選區分界時，除了考慮人口外，亦須顧及社區獨特、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素。選管會不能只硬性依從法例許可幅度的要求，而不顧及上述的其他法定因素。

本會要求 貴會在擬定劃界建議時，應該採用影響最少現有選區以減少因調整分界可能對選民造成的不便的準則。選管會若認為第 20 條(a)項所提述的考慮事項使其有需要或適宜不嚴格地按人口數字行事，則 貴會可不嚴格地按這兩項規定行事。

本會及居民均強烈要求維持現時嘉湖南及嘉湖北選區範圍的建議。

主席- 甄均棠
二 0 一八年八月一日

新界元朗天水圍天湖路三號嘉湖山莊賞湖居管理服務中心轉 電話：2448 1186 傳真：2617 1408
c/o Management Services Centre, Sherwood Court, Kingswood Villas, 3 Tin Wu Road, Tin Shui Wai, Yuan Long, N.T. Tel : 2448 1186 Fax : 2617 1408

2744

嘉湖山莊 Kingswood Villas 翠湖居業主委員會 Chestwood Court Estate Owners' Committee

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心十樓
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊法官 GBS

馮主席，

2019 年區議會選舉 - 嘉湖南及嘉湖北選區劃界意見

本會對公佈的天水圍嘉湖南及嘉湖北的暫定選區範圍表示強烈反對。本會認為保留現時嘉湖南選區及嘉湖北選區範圍及界線符合《選舉管理委員會條例》第 20 條(a)之規定：- *選管會在劃訂有關區議會選區的建議時，「須顧及社區獨特性及地方聯繫的維持、有關區域的自然特徵，例如大小、形狀，以及交通方便程度及發展」*。

本會反對將原來在嘉湖北範圍內的麗湖居 1 至 3 座樓宇劃入嘉湖南選區。有關建議並不合理及破壞嘉湖南及嘉湖北的選區範圍內屋苑的社區完整性，本會了解持份者皆反對暫定選區範圍。由於嘉湖南及嘉湖北內各屋苑人口基本上變動不大，而嘉湖南選區及嘉湖北選區社區的地理位置相距甚遠，步行需要近 20 分鐘，並由面積龐大的天水圍公園分割，加上自居民開始入住以來，已各自形成兩個獨立及不可分割的社區。為顧及社區獨特性及地方聯繫的維持、有關區域的自然特徵，所以自 2000 年來，近 19 年在 5 次區議會選舉中，嘉湖南及嘉湖北內的各屋苑的所有樓宇皆在同一選區中，原因是貴會在劃界時採用了社區完整性的準則。本會強烈要求今次劃界亦應一如以往，考慮上述準則，維持麗湖居的 1-3 座在嘉湖北選區內，以保持社區之完整性及符合居民之意願。雖然『嘉湖北』人口多年來都比選區人口基數高，但由於考慮到《選舉管理委員會條例》第 20 條(a)之規定，所以多年來都維持在同一選區中，居民亦要求不作改變。

正如 貴會公開表示，一如以往，在擬定劃界建議時，應該採用影響最少現有選區以減少因調整分界可能對選民造成的不便。有關條例第 20(5)條已授予 貴會權力：選管會若認為第 20 條(a)項所提述的考慮事項使其有需要或適宜不嚴格地按人口數字行事，則 貴會可不嚴格地按這兩項規定行事。

按法例的規定，選管會在調整選區分界時，除了考慮人口外，亦須顧及社區獨特、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素。選管會不能只硬性依從法例許可幅度的要求，而不顧及上述的其他法定因素。因此，如有需要，為顧及這些法定因素，選管會可以建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度。

本會及居民均強烈要求維持現時嘉湖南及嘉湖北選區範圍的建議。

主席- 劉康妮
二 0 一八年八月二日

新界元朗天水圍嘉湖山莊翠湖居管理處轉 電話：2448-8032 傳真：2448-7811
C/O Management office, Chestwood Court, Kingswood Villas, Tin Shui Wai, Yuen Long, N.T. Tel#:2448-8032 Fax#:2448-7811

2745(1)

嘉湖山莊 Kingswood Villas 美湖居 業主委員會 Maywood Court Estate Owners' Committee

檔案索引 :美湖居/第十屆/業委會/2018-2020/010
日 期 :2018 年 7 月 30 日

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心十樓
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊法官 GBS

馮主席，

有關 2019 年區議會選舉嘉湖南及嘉湖北新選區劃界事宜

本會對公佈的天水圍嘉湖南及嘉湖北暫定選區範圍方案表達強烈反對及不滿。

本會認為保留現時嘉湖南及嘉湖北選區範圍及界線符合選區範圍內屋苑的社區完整性。本會反對將原來在嘉湖北範圍內的麗湖居第 1 至第 3 座樓宇劃入嘉湖南選區。本會了解持份者皆反對上述暫定選區範圍。由於嘉湖南及嘉湖北內各屋苑人口基本上變動不大，而嘉湖南選區及嘉湖北選區社區的地理位置相距甚遠，並由面積龐大的天水圍公園分割，步行需要近 20 分鐘。加上麗湖居自居民入住以來，已自成一個獨立屋苑及不可分割的小社區。為顧及社區獨特性和地方聯繫的維持及有關區域的自然特徵，所以自 2000 年以來 5 次的區議會選舉中，麗湖居均整個屋苑歸納入嘉湖北的選區分界內，其原因是選管會在劃界時均採用了社區完整性的準則。本會強烈要求今次選區劃界亦應一如以往，考慮上述準則，維持麗湖居的第 1 至第 3 座在嘉湖北選區內，以保持社區之完整性及符合居民之意願。雖然『嘉湖北』人口多年來都比選區人口基數高，但由於考慮到《選舉管理委員會條例》第 20 條(a)之規定，所以多年來都維持在同一選區中。居民亦要求不作改變。

新界元朗天水圍天龍路九號嘉湖山莊美湖居管理服務中心轉 電話：2448 0844 傳真：2445 5077
c/o Management Services Centre, Maywood Court, Kingswood Villas, 9 Tin Lung Road, Tin Shui Wai, Yuen Long, N.T. Tel : 2448 0844 Fax : 2445 5077

2745(2)

嘉湖山莊 Kingswood Villas 美湖居 業主委員會 Maywood Court Estate Owners' Committee

檔案索引 :美湖居/第十屆/業委會/2018-2020/010
日　　期 :2018 年 7 月 30 日

正如 貴會公開表示，一如以往，在擬定選區劃界建議時，應該採用以影響最少現有選區的方案以減少因調整分界可能對選民造成的不便。有關條例第 20(5)條已授予 貴會權力：<**選管會若認為第 20 條(a)項所提述的考慮事項使其有需要或適宜不嚴格地按人口數字行事，則選管會可不嚴格地按這兩項規定行事**>。

按法例的規定，選管會在調整選區分界時，除了考慮有關選區人口外，亦須顧及社區特性和地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素。選管會不能只硬性依從法例許可幅度的要求，而不顧及上述的其他法定因素。

本會及居民均強烈要求維持現時嘉湖南及嘉湖北選區範圍的建議。

美湖居第十屆業主委員會

陳婉萍

主席　陳婉萍　謹啟

第 2 之 2 頁

新界元朗天水圍天盛路九號嘉湖山莊美湖居管理服務中心樓　電話：2448 0844　傳真：2445 5077
c/o Management Services Centre, Maywood Court, Kingswood Villas, 9 Tin Lung Road, Tin Shui Wai, Yuen Long, N.T.　Tel: 2448 0844　Fax: 2445 5077

2746

八鄉鄉事委員會 PAT HEUNG RURAL COMMITTEE

新界元朗八鄉上村4號V　電話：2488 6633　2488 6409　傳真：2488 8056　電郵：office@patheung.com
4V, SHEUNG TSUEN, PAT HEUNG, YUEN LONG, N.T.　TEL: 2488 6633　2488 6409　FAX: 2488 8056　E-mail: office@patheung.com

本會檔號：PHRC/201/2015/19/02

香港灣仔
港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會秘書處

敬啟者：

有關 2019 年區議會一般選舉區分界及名稱臨時建議提出意見

有關上述標題事，本鄉事會就有關元朗區議會八鄉南及八鄉北兩區選舉分界事提出意見如下。本鄉事會贊成將原本屬於八鄉南選區範圍的吳家村劃撥八鄉北選區範圍內，同時尊重選舉管理委員會的建議，因八鄉南人口不斷增加，有助選舉人口平均分配。

此致
選舉管理委員會秘書處

八鄉鄉事委員會主席鄧瑞民

2018 年 8 月 3 日

2747(1)

元朗區議會主席
CHAIRMAN of YUEN LONG DISTRICT COUNCIL
WILSON. HO KIT SHUM. JP
沈豪傑太平紳士

本函編號：(1)WS-OD-044-2018

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊法官, GBS：

就 2019 年元朗區議會選區分界臨時建議提出意見

貴會早前公布 2019 年區議會選舉選區分界臨時建議，並進行為期三十天公眾諮詢。其中元朗區因應人口增加，建議新增四個選區，另外多個選區亦需重新劃界，以配合標準人口基數。

本人理解選管會基於選區人口變動而作出相應安排，避免一些選區人口過份偏離標準基數而造成失衡現象。但除了人口因素以外，維持社區完整性也是相當重要元素。本人認為今次臨時建議缺乏這個方向，故特函提出反對，並希望 貴會積極考慮以下意見：

1. 保持 M11 十八鄉東以鄉村原居民及居民為主的選區；
2. 將臨時建議 M10 十八鄉北選區的 GRAND YOHO 納入 M04 元龍選區，令性質類近並相互連接的 YOHO MIDTOWN、YOHO TOWN 及新元朗中心歸納為同一選區，突顯社區完整性；

[redacted]
Tel: [redacted] Fax: [redacted] Email: [redacted]

2747(2)

元朗區議會主席
CHAIRMAN of YUEN LONG DISTRICT COUNCIL
WILSON. HO KIT SHUM. JP
沈豪傑太平紳士

3. 將四頭朗善邨、石塘村、東成里，及錦田岐嶺、蕭嶺合併成為新增選區；
4. 保持 M37 錦田以鄉村原居民及居民為主的選區。

元朗區議會主席

沈豪傑

沈 豪 傑　謹啟

二零一八年八月十日

[redacted]
Tel: [redacted] Fax: [redacted] Email: [redacted]

2748

屏山鄉鄉事委員會

Ping Shan Heung Rural Committee

新界元朗安寧路 139-147 號二樓 1/F, No. 139-147, On Ning Road, Yuen Long, N.T.H.K.

☎ 2477 3886 🖷 2476 2468

香港灣仔
港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓

選舉管理委員會秘書處：

有關 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議
（新增選區 M14 洪福）

據選管會的考慮因素在 2019 年的預計人口會超出法例許可的上限，故此需要增加新選區以吸納超出人口。建議在選區 M13(屏山南)原區界內洪福邨的位置劃定新增的選區 M14(洪福)，令選區 M13(屏山南)及 M14(洪福)的人口均能調整至法例許可幅度之內。

新增選區 M14(洪福)主要範圍為洪福邨，服務對象是公屋居民。新的建議分界圍將其中劃分地區為 YL54 號屏山鄉鄉事委員會-石埗村、灰沙圍、洪屋村及橋頭圍原居民的殯葬區。原本由 M13(屏山南)改為 M14(洪福)選區，劃分地區範圍內亦沒有人口居住，認為不符合選管會的考慮因素。日後亦會增加原居民和公屋居民衝突機會。

基於上述原因，本鄉對選管會以上建議作出強烈反對。

屏山鄉鄉事委員會 主 席：曾樹和
曾樹和

首副主席：鄧達善
鄧達善

副 主 席：
莫永堅

二零一八年八月十四日

2749

黎偉雄區議員辦事處 OFFICE OF LAI WAI HUNG, DISTRICT COUNCILLOR

地址：[redacted] Add.：[redacted]
電話：[redacted] 傳真：[redacted] Tel：[redacted] Fax：[redacted]

收件者：選管會秘書處 (傳真：2511 1682) 本處檔號：M04-07-2018
遞送方式：傳真

敬啓者：

2019 年區議會選區分界元朗八鄉南(M39)的意見

本辦事處現就 2019 年區議會選區分界元朗八鄉南(M39)提在反對意見。

八鄉吳家村一直是歸入八鄉南選區，從地理環境看，八鄉南及北部分以錦田河作分界，界線清晰。此外，政府積極發展八鄉南及錦田區，沿吳家村至上村的整段錦上路，是八鄉南的主要道路。本人、吳家村至上村的錦上路沿線村代表及村民一致要求擴闊錦上路，倘若吳家村被編入八鄉北選區，將削弱爭取的力度，將吳家村由八鄉南區編至八鄉北選區，容易令村民混淆，壓縮村民投票意欲，從 貴處資料顯示，八鄉南在 2019 年的預計人口是 20,545，仍在標準人口基數即 16599 人的不超出 25%的幅度以內，仍未違反法定準則。

本人認爲基於地理環境，以及地區整體發展，都不宜將吳家村編配入八鄉北選區，本人強烈要求吳家村繼續列入八鄉南選區，好讓吳家村的選民與其他錦上路沿線選民共同爭取錦上路一帶的合理權益，改善民生，爲錦上路沿線的發展共同發表意見。

此致

選管會秘書處

元朗區議員黎偉雄 台啓

2018 年 8 月 20 日

20-AUG-2018 18:40 98% P.001

2750(1)

香港 灣仔 港灣道 25 號
選舉事務委員會
主席 馮驊法官

馮驊法官閣下：

2019 年區議會選區分界
修訂 M14 選區與 M16 選區的劃界新安排

現謹就上述標題事宜，要求貴處將 M16（屏山中）選區與 M14（洪福邨）選區作出修正，理由如下：

1) 從歷史關係而言，附圖一橙色部分，向為 M16（屏山中）選區內洪屋村的村界範圍。從歷史關係、人脈、文化及生活方式等方面來說，都是與該村有不可分割的密切關係，

2) 從人文角度而言，洪福邨乃於 2015 年 6 月才落成入伙的新公共屋邨，而附圖一橙色部分則為有數百年歷史的洪屋村原居民聚區。選舉區安置了洪屋村原居民的歷代先祖，這些墳塋與洪水橋或洪水橋新市鎮的居民及洪福邨的鄰居拉不上任何關係。

3) 從地理環境而言，洪天路為一南北共八線道（行車天橋及地面均為南北行各兩線道）。洪天路正好將洪屋村與洪福邨分開，鄰居和村民各自生活在不同的空間、地域文化中，目前都是和諧共處的。（見附圖二）

4) 從生活層面而言，附圖一橙色部分有著洪屋村村民經洪天路往返的行車通道，倘若他日因該幅土地被劃入別區選區，該選區的居民便可有得無恐地擅自建築土地的未來發展規劃，甚至要求取消或遷移離區，嚴重威脅和剝削洪屋村原居民的傳統權益。

根據《香港特別行政區基本法》第 40 條『"新界"原居民的合法傳統權益受香港特別行政區的保護。』，我們堅決反對將附圖橙色部分由 M16（屏山中）選區劃入 M14（洪福邨）選區。

謹請馮驊法官閣下及選舉管理委員會考慮以上四項理據，重新以洪天路為分界線，將橙色部分劃歸屏山中選區。

元朗區議員 鄧慶業 鄧慶業
元朗區議員 張木林 張木林
洪屋村村代表 鄧子光 鄧子光

（附圖兩份）

二零一八年八月二十日

2750(2)

附圖一 M16 選區及爭議地點（橙色）位置圖



2750(3)

附圖二　洪福邨、洪天路和洪屋村的位置圖



2751(1)

敬啟者：

有關：反對 2019 年區議會選舉元朗天盛選區劃分事宜

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日、7 月 23 日致函(信件編號：TSC/IO/L/17/011、TSC/IO/L/18/065 及 TSC/IO/L/18/0147)　貴會，反對 2019 年區議會選舉元朗天盛選區被分割成兩個選區(包括：(M18)天盛及(M17)盛欣)事宜，貴會早前只回覆表示收悉法團的意見。

續早前法團委託元朗區議員(天盛)[redacted]先生於本年 8 月 3 日於公眾諮詢大會中親手遞交的 1,759 個居民聯署簽名反對是次選區分界，此外，法團亦於苑內發出全苑問卷調查，邀請居民就是次區議會選區表達意見，於短短的兩星期共收集到 1,280 位業主回應，當中 98.4%居民反對　貴會就 2019 年區議會選舉元朗天盛選區分界的建議，要求維持天盛苑單一獨立選區，只有 1.6%居民支持新建議。法團重申如下：

1. 天盛苑入伙已 18 年，法團成立十多年，屋苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響法團管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 過去天盛苑本是一個選區，理據充分，不能因新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」落成便需要重新劃分，而屏欣苑亦應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活，此外，現時屏欣苑仍未入伙，故該苑居民亦未能就選區分界提出建議；及
5. 貴會新建議下，元朗很多選區亦超越標準人口基數，更超越兩萬人亦未見再分拆選區，而天盛(M18)及盛欣(M17)選區預計人口合共約兩萬七千人，各選區亦較標準人口基數偏差分別為-13.98%及-22.27%，而鄰近選區天耀(M19)及耀祐(M20)選區　預計人口分別 12,734 及 13,916 人，標準人口基數偏差分別為-23.28%及-16.16%，除非有其他額外政治因素，法團相信及建議只需重新整合天耀(M19)及耀祐(M20)，便可歸納「屏欣苑」的選民，同時，亦可維持天盛苑全苑作為單一選區的安排，而預計人口亦與超越標準人口基數的選區相若。

吾等現就隨函附上居民反對 2019 年區議會選舉元朗天盛選區被分割成兩個選區的問卷，並要求　貴會重新慎重考慮居民意願及維持天盛苑選區的安排，以免破壞地區和諧。

此致
選舉管理委員會
主席　馮驊先生

陳思靜
元朗區議員
陳思靜　啟

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
第八屆管理委員會

2018 年 8 月 20 日

2751(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108　Fax: 2254 2109　E-mail: info@tinshing.net　Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0147

敬啟者：

有關：反對 2019 年區議會選舉元朗天盛選區劃分事宜

本法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日、7 月 23 日致函(信件編號：TSC/IO/L/17/011、TSC/IO/L/18/065 及 TSC/IO/L/18/0147)　貴會，反對 2019 年區議會選舉元朗天盛選區被分割成兩個選區(包括：(M18)天盛及(M17)盛欣)事宜，貴會早前只回覆表示收悉法團的意見。

續早前法團委託元朗區議員(天盛)[redacted]先生於本年 8 月 3 日於公眾諮詢大會中親手遞交的 1,759 個居民聯署簽名反對是次選區分界，此外，法團亦於苑內發出全苑問卷調查，邀請居民就是次區議會選區表達意見，於短短的兩星期共收集到 1,280 位業主回應，當中 98.4%居民反對　貴會就 2019 年區議會選舉元朗天盛選區分界的建議，要求維持天盛苑單一獨立選區，只有 1.6%居民支持新建議。法團重申如下：

1. 天盛苑入伙已 18 年，法團成立十多年，屋苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響法團管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 過去天盛苑本是一個選區，理據充分，不能因新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」落成便需要重新劃分，而屏欣苑亦應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活，此外，現時屏欣苑仍未入伙，故該苑居民亦未能就選區分界提出建議；及
5. 貴會新建議下，元朗很多選區亦超越標準人口基數，更超越兩萬人亦未見再分拆選區，而天盛(M18)及盛欣(M17)選區預計人口合共約兩萬七千人，各選區亦較標準人口基數偏差分別為-13.98%及-22.27%，而鄰近選區天耀(M19)及耀祐(M20)選區　預計人口分別 12,734 及 13,916 人，標準人口基數偏差分別為-23.28%及-16.16%，除非有其他額外政治因素，法團相信及建議只需重新整合天耀(M19)及耀祐(M20)，便可歸納「屏欣苑」的選民，同時，亦可維持天盛苑全苑作為單一選區的安排，而預計人口亦與超越標準人口基數的選區相若。

續下頁

2751(3)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108　Fax: 2254 2109　E-mail: info@tinshing.net　Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0147

法團現就隨函附上居民反對 2019 年區議會選舉元朗天盛選區被分割成兩個選區的問卷，並要求　貴會重新慎重考慮居民意願及維持天盛苑選區的安排，以免破壞地區和諧。

如對上述事宜有任何查詢，請於辦公時間致電[redacted]與[redacted]先生或[redacted]小姐聯絡。

此致
選舉管理委員會
主席　馮驊先生

甘天成

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
第八屆管理委員會
主席　甘天成　啟

副本致：　(1)　選舉事務處
　　(2)　元朗民政事務處聯絡主任主管　－　[redacted]

附件：問卷 1,215 份 (有關問卷載錄於第三冊(第二部分)序號 3426-4697)

2018 年 8 月 20 日

2752

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups

反映2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議的意見

16/08/2018 12:25

From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

香港灣仔
港灣道25號
海港中心10樓
選舉管理委員會秘書處
eacenq@reo.gov.hk
執事先生/小姐台鑒：

選舉管理委員會建議將**吳家村**編入八鄉北(M38)的區議會選區。看過署方網上提供的資料後，我和我村居民都一致尊重及贊同選舉管理委員會的建議。

這社區而言，很多的政府政策，例如: 交通，都是南北互為影響的。相信這個建議會令區議會更能吸納準確的民意，向政府反映，利益民生。

如需更多資詢請致電找我，電話:[redacted]。

順祝時祺！

吳家村村代表
徐發堅 謹上

2753

中華人民共和國香港特別行政區
Hong Kong Special Administrative Region of the People's Republic of China

元朗區議會 Yuen Long District Council
鄧銘耀區議員辦事處 Tang Yung Yiu District Councillor Office

（郵寄）

本函檔案：D2018-010015DCM_ML

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會.

有關 2019 年選區重劃分界(M38 & M39)事宜

本人為八鄉北(M38)之區議員，就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年選舉選區分界臨時建議中有一些意見，現特致函詳述，敬希 貴會能重新審視上述之臨時建議。

就選區分界臨時建議的細則顯示，吳家村及江夏圍將劃分至(M38)八鄉北的選區當中。八鄉北與八鄉南之選區長久以來均是以錦田河為界清晰劃分區域，同是位於錦田河以南，處於錦上路邊出，何以卻成了插花地！ 此外就重新劃界後亦不見得南北兩方的預計人口會有所拉近、既沒有增加議席，那為何有重劃之必要？此舉只會令到吳家村及江夏圍的村民有所混亂，無所適從。在貴會改動選區的考慮因素當中說明是預計八鄉南的人口在 2019 年會超出法例許可的上限，而實質上吳家村經歷了 2017 年之收地事件後已人去樓空，所以有關之預計人口是有待商榷。

故此為避免造成選區混亂，本人懇請 貴會能正視村民的憂慮，重新審視選舉選區分界。

元朗(八鄉北)區議員
鄧銘耀

日期：2018 年 7 月 26 日

地址：[redacted]
Address: [redacted]
電話 Tel: [redacted] 傳真 Fax: [redacted] 電子郵件 Email: [redacted]

2754

嘉湖山莊
Kingswood Villas

樂湖居業主委員會
Locwood Court Estate Owners' Committee

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心十樓
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊法官 GBS

馮主席，

2019 年區議會選舉的嘉湖南及嘉湖北選區劃界意見

本會對公佈的天水圍嘉湖南及嘉湖北的暫定選區範圍表示強烈反對，原因如下：

由於嘉湖南及嘉湖北的內各屋苑及其居住人口與 2015 年選區劃界時基本上變動不大，為顧及社區獨特性及地方聯繫的維持、有關區域的自然特徵，本會反對將原來在嘉湖北範圍內的麗湖居 1 至 3 座樓宇劃入嘉湖南選區。有關建議並不合理及破壞嘉湖南及嘉湖北的選區範圍內屋苑的社區完整性，本會了解持份者皆反對暫定選區範圍。

本會認為保留現時嘉湖南選區及嘉湖北選區範圍及界線符合《選舉管理委員會條例》第 20 條(a)之規定：- *選管會在制訂有關區議會選區的建議時，「須顧及社區獨特性及地方聯繫的維持、有關區域的自然特徵，例如大小、形狀，以及交通方便程度及發展」*，由於嘉湖南選區及嘉湖北選區社區的地理位置相距甚遠，步行需要近 20 分鐘，並由面積龐大的天水圍公園分割，而自 90 年代居民開始入住以來，已各自形成兩個獨立及不可分割的社區。

自 2000 年來，近 19 年 5 次區議會選舉中，嘉湖南及嘉湖北內的各屋苑的所有樓宇皆在同一選區中，此乃歷史之事實及獨立的完整社區。本會強烈要求考慮上述因素及居民之要求和意願，維持麗湖居的 1-3 座在嘉湖北選區內，以保持社區之完整性及符合居民之意願。當然『嘉湖北』人口多年來都比選區人口基數高（上屆為 23223 人，高 36.9%），但由於考慮到《選舉管理委員會條例》第 20 條(a)之規定，所以多年來都維持在同一選區中。居民亦要求不作改變。

按法例的規定，選管會在調整選區分界時，除了考慮人口外，亦須顧及社區獨特、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素。選管會不能只硬性依從法例許可偏度的要求，而不顧及上述的其他法定因素。因此，如有需要，為顧及這些法定因素，選管會可以建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度。

正如 貴會公開表示，一如以往，在擬定劃界建議時，應該採用影響最少現有選區以減少因調整分界可能對選民造成的不便。有關條例第 20(5)條已授於 貴會權力：選管會若認為第 20 條(a)項所提述的考慮事項使其有需要或適宜不嚴格地按人口數字行事，則 貴會可不嚴格地按這兩項規定行事。本會及居民均強烈要求維持現時嘉湖南及嘉湖北選區範圍的建議。

主席- 黃任民
二〇一八年七月三十一日

新界元朗天水圍嘉湖山莊樂湖居業管理服務中心轉 電話：2448-0882 傳真：2448-3718
C/O Management Services Centre, Locwood Court, Kingswood Villas, Tin Shui Wai, Yuen Long, N.T. Tel:2448-0882 Fax:2448-3718

此為範本申述共 668 份(序號 2755– 3422)

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: CHAN LAI PING
業戶簽名: Chan Lai Ping
日期: 14/8/2018

2756

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: enemq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及須視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及須視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳桂基
業戶簽名:
日期: 15/8/19

2757

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: enemq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及須視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及須視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: YAU Sui Kee
業戶簽名:
日期: 13-8-2019

2758

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: enemq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及須視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及須視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名:
日期: 13-8-2019

2759

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: enemq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及須視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及須視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 林寶基
業戶簽名:
日期: 14/8/2019

2760

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: enemq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及須視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及須視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 朱慶昌
業戶簽名:
日期: 14/8

2761

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: enemq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及須視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及須視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 林鈞強
業戶簽名:
日期: 17-8-2019

2762

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: enemq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及須視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及須視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: LEUNG Lai Kee
業戶簽名:
日期: 13/8/19

2763

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: enemq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及須視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及須視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: LEUNG Ka Shuen Kwan
業戶簽名:
日期: 13-8-2019

2764

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: enemq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及須視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及須視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 李國強
業戶簽名: 李國強
日期: 2019 8 14

2765

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacem@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: Emma Chow
業戶簽名:
日期: 14.8.2018

2766

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacem@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 吳伸茶
業戶簽名:
日期: 14-8-2018

2767

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacem@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳金先
業戶簽名:
日期: 14/8/2018

2768

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacem@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 謝 [redacted]
業戶簽名:
日期: 14/8/2018

2769

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacem@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: [redacted]
業戶簽名:
日期: 2018-8-15

2770

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacem@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: [redacted]
業戶簽名:
日期: 14-8-2018

2771

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacem@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: Cathy Tsz Yan
業戶簽名:
日期: 15/8/2018

2772

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacem@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 吳 [redacted]
業戶簽名:
日期: 15-8-2018

2773

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacem@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: [redacted]
業戶簽名:
日期: 16-8-2018

2774

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacom@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿足居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙至聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿足居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳宗誠 張[illegible]
業戶簽名: [illegible]
日期: 2018 Aug. 16

2775

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacom@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿足居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙至聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿足居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 林[illegible]
業戶簽名: [illegible]
日期: 16/8/2018

2776

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacom@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿足居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙至聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿足居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 麥[illegible]
業戶簽名: [illegible]
日期: 16-8-2018

2777

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacom@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿足居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙至聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿足居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 朱海江
業戶簽名: 朱海江
日期: 16.8.2018

2778

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacom@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿足居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙至聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿足居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 紅[illegible]
業戶簽名: [illegible]
日期: 16-8-2018

2779

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacom@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿足居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙至聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿足居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 張[illegible]
業戶簽名: [illegible]
日期: 16.8.18

2780

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacom@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿足居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙至聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿足居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳[illegible]
業戶簽名: 陳[illegible]
日期: 2018. 8. 15

2781

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacom@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿足居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙至聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿足居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 李[illegible]
業戶簽名: [illegible]
日期: 16/8/2018

2782

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacom@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿足居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙至聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿足居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 張[illegible]
業戶簽名: 張[illegible]
日期: 2018年8月15日

2783

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉納入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊雅湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿足居民實際需求。雅湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙至聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對雅湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳[illegible]
業戶簽名:
日期: 16-8-2018

2784

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉納入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊雅湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿足居民實際需求。雅湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙至聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對雅湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 李月林
業戶簽名: 李月林
日期: 15-8-2018

2785

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉納入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊雅湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿足居民實際需求。雅湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙至聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對雅湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: [illegible]
業戶簽名: [illegible]
日期: 15 8 2018

2786

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉納入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊雅湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿足居民實際需求。雅湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙至聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對雅湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: [illegible]
業戶簽名:
日期: 16-8-18

2787

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉納入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊雅湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿足居民實際需求。雅湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙至聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對雅湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: [illegible]
業戶簽名:
日期: 16-8-18

2788

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉納入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊雅湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿足居民實際需求。雅湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙至聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對雅湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: [illegible]
業戶簽名:
日期: 16-8-18

2789

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉納入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊雅湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿足居民實際需求。雅湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙至聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對雅湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 林錫璋
業戶簽名: 林錫璋
日期: 15-8-2018

2790

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉納入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊雅湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿足居民實際需求。雅湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙至聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對雅湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: [illegible]
業戶簽名:
日期: 2018-8-16

2791

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉納入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊雅湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿足居民實際需求。雅湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙至聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對雅湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: [illegible]
業戶簽名:
日期: 14-8-18

2792

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacom@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本區苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙亞聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: Ho Yuen Yee
業戶簽名:
日期: 16/8/2018

2793

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacom@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本區苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙亞聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名:
日期: 16-8-2018

2794

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacom@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本區苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙亞聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名:
日期: 16-8-2018

2795

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacom@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本區苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙亞聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名:
日期: 2018 8 15

2796

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacom@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本區苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙亞聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: So Wah Wang
業戶簽名:
日期: 2018.08.17

2797

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacom@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本區苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙亞聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: CHAN SHUK MAR
業戶簽名:
日期: 15 Aug 2018

2798

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacom@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本區苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙亞聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名:
日期: 17.8.2018

2799

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacom@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本區苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙亞聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名:
日期:

2800

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacom@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本區苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙亞聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: Yau Mei Mei
業戶簽名:
日期: 16-8-2018

2801

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對經重劃居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊 — 嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及選視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 李[illegible]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 1

2802

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊 — 嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及選視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 黃[illegible]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 15-8-2018

2803

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對經重劃居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊 — 嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及選視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: [illegible]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 2018.8.17

2804

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊 — 嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及選視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 黎[illegible]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 16/8/2018

2805

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊 — 嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及選視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: [illegible]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 16-8-2018

2806

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對經重劃居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊 — 嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及選視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳[illegible]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 15-8-2018

2807

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊 — 嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及選視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 李[illegible]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 2018.8.16

2808

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊 — 嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及選視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: [illegible]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 16-8-18

2809

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊 — 嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及選視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳[illegible]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 2018.8.15

2810

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座歸編入嘉湖南選區

就維選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及其視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不應以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及損視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: Fung Yun Yan
業戶簽名:
日期: 14/8/2018

2811

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座歸編入嘉湖南選區

就維選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及其視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不應以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及損視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 黃某伴
業戶簽名:
日期: 15/8/18

2812

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座歸編入嘉湖南選區

就維選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及其視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不應以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及損視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 張某光
業戶簽名:
日期: 15/8/18

2813

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座歸編入嘉湖南選區

就維選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及其視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不應以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及損視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 馬[illegible]
業戶簽名:
日期: 16-8-2018

2814

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座歸編入嘉湖南選區

就維選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及其視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不應以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及損視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 吳[illegible]
業戶簽名:
日期: 15 Aug 2018

2815

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座歸編入嘉湖南選區

就維選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及其視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不應以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及損視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: MUI LAI CHAN 梅麗貞
業戶簽名:
日期: 2018-8-15

2816

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座歸編入嘉湖南選區

就維選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及其視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不應以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及損視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 張[illegible]
業戶簽名:
日期: 15/8/16

2817

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座歸編入嘉湖南選區

就維選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及其視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不應以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及損視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: CHAN Sz Wan
業戶簽名:
日期: 16/8/16

2818

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座歸編入嘉湖南選區

就維選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及其視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不應以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及損視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 張[illegible] ZHANG [illegible]
業戶簽名:
日期: [illegible]

2819

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將夏慤街第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊夏慤街的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，夏慤街為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減慰居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對夏慤街居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 何張富 [illegible]
業戶簽名:
日期: 2018.4.15

2820

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減慰居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 劉成森
業戶簽名:
日期: 11-8-2018

2821

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減慰居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 王基昆
業戶簽名:
日期: 16-08-2018

2822

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將夏慤街第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊夏慤街的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。夏慤街為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減慰居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對夏慤街居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 曾佩瑜
業戶簽名:
日期: 16-8-2018

2823

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將夏慤街第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊夏慤街的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。夏慤街為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減慰居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對夏慤街居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 李金瀾
業戶簽名:
日期: 16-8-2018

2824

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將夏慤街第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊夏慤街的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。夏慤街為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減慰居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對夏慤街居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 李金瀾
業戶簽名:
日期: 17/8/2018

2825

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減慰居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: Tang Tsz kwan
業戶簽名:
日期: 2018 - 8 - 17.

2826

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減慰居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 廖國新
業戶簽名:
日期: 15-8-2018

2827

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減慰居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 易惠珮
業戶簽名:
日期: 15-8-2018

2828

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacomg@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖路第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就擬選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉劃區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 張秀萍
業戶簽名: 張
日期: 18/8/18

2829

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacomg@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖路第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就擬選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉劃區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 張秀萍
業戶簽名: 張
日期: 18/8/2018

2830

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacomg@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖路第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就擬選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉劃區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 黃偉光
業戶簽名: 黃
日期: 17-8-2018

2831

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacomg@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖路第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就擬選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉劃區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 張秀萍
業戶簽名: 張秀萍
日期: 18/8/18

2832

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacomg@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖路第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就擬選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉劃區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 李錦輝
業戶簽名: 李錦輝
日期: 18/8/2018

2833

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacomg@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖路第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就擬選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉劃區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 何淨儀
業戶簽名: 何
日期: 17-8-2018

2834

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacomg@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖路第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就擬選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉劃區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 張瀛涛
業戶簽名: 張
日期: 12-8-2018

2835

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacomg@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖路第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就擬選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉劃區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳錦雄
業戶簽名: 陳錦雄
日期: 14/8/2018

2836

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacomg@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖路第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就擬選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉劃區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Leung Lap Kwong
業戶簽名:
日期: 14 Aug 2018

2837

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將鹿頸居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Lee Chun Lung
業戶簽名: Lee Chun Lung
日期: 15-Aug-18

2838

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將鹿頸居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: LAM Wan Kin
業戶簽名:
日期: 13.8.2018

2839

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將鹿頸居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 羅加滿 馬德雲
業戶簽名: 羅加滿 馬德雲
日期: 14-8-2018

2840

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: CHANG Po Lin
業戶簽名:
日期: 13/08/2018

2841

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將鹿頸居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Lam Wai Ka-ch
業戶簽名:
日期: 16-8-2018

2842

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將鹿頸居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 關雪娟
業戶簽名:
日期: 15-8-2018

2843

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 馮駿強
業戶簽名:
日期: 15-8-2018

2844

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳錦源
業戶簽名:
日期: 15-8-2018

2845

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Leung Hoi Ko Hazel
業戶簽名:
日期: 14 Aug 2018

2846

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: NG PAK KUNG
業戶簽名:
日期: 14/8/2018

2847

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: LAU HELEN
業戶簽名:
日期: 14.8.2018

2848

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名:
日期: 14.8.2018

2849

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 周榮耀
業戶簽名:
日期: 14/8/2018

2850

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: KWAN Alvin Kaiang
業戶簽名:
日期: 13-08-2018

2851

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: TSE HIU LING
業戶簽名:
日期: 13-8-2018

2852

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: LAM KAM HUNG
業戶簽名:
日期: 13/8/2018

2853

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: LAM SZE TING
業戶簽名:
日期: 13/08/2018

2854

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Lau Kam Hung
業戶簽名:
日期: 15/8/2018

2855

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
灣港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacom@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 馬貴雄
業戶簽名: 馬貴雄
日期: 12-08-2018

2856

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
灣港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacom@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 歐灌連
業戶簽名:
日期: 13-8-2018

2857

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
灣港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacom@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Fung Yiu Tim
業戶簽名:
日期: 16/8/2018

2858

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
灣港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacom@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: TAM MEE YEUK
業戶簽名:
日期: 16-08-2018

2859

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
灣港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacom@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名:
日期: 16-08-2018

2860

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
灣港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacom@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名:
日期: 17-8-2018

2861

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
灣港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacom@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: CHAN LAU YU
業戶簽名:
日期: 16/08/2018

2862

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
灣港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacom@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: FUNG CHI WING
業戶簽名:
日期: 13-8-2018

2863

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
灣港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacom@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 許文彬
業戶簽名:
日期: 15.8.2018

2864

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Chen Wen Chuang
業戶簽名: A. Chen
日期: 16/09/2019

2865

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 張小明
業戶簽名: 張小明
日期: 16-8-2018

2866

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [redacted]
業戶簽名: [redacted]
日期: 16/8/2018

2867

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [redacted]
業戶簽名: [redacted]
日期: 13-8-18

2868

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [redacted]
業戶簽名: [redacted]
日期: 13.8.2018

2869

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳敏儀
業戶簽名: [redacted]
日期: 13/8/2018

2870

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳雪明
業戶簽名: [redacted]
日期: 14-8-2018

2871

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Chan Kong Wai
業戶簽名: [redacted]
日期: 16/8/2018

2872

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [redacted]
業戶簽名: [redacted]
日期: 13/8/2018

2873

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉事務處委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至《嘉湖南》選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，最免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 黃[illegible]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 15-8-2018

2874

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉事務處委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至《嘉湖南》選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，最免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: [signature]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 14-8-2018

2875

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉事務處委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至《嘉湖南》選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，最免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: [signature]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 15/8/2018

2876

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉事務處委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至《嘉湖南》選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，最免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: Hui Yuk Lok & Cheung Yee Man
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 2018/8/14

2877

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉事務處委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至《嘉湖南》選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，最免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: [signature]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 15-8-18

2878

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉事務處委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至《嘉湖南》選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，最免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳國強
業戶簽名: 陳國強
日期: 16-8-2018

2879

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉事務處委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至《嘉湖南》選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，最免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 李[illegible]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 15-8-2018

2880

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉事務處委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至《嘉湖南》選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，最免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: [signature]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 15-8-2018

2881

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉事務處委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至《嘉湖南》選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，最免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: [illegible]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 16-8-2018

2882

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacom@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將覃湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊覃湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，覃湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的普選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對覃湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 張文陽
業戶簽名:
日期: 15/8/2018

2883

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacom@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將覃湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊覃湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，覃湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的普選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對覃湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 林少文
業戶簽名:
日期: 2018-8-14

2884

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacom@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將覃湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊覃湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，覃湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的普選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對覃湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 盛華強
業戶簽名:
日期: 15-8-2018

2885

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacom@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將覃湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊覃湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，覃湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的普選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對覃湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳子重
業戶簽名:
日期: 15-8-2018

2886

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacom@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將覃湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊覃湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，覃湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的普選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對覃湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: Wong Pak Wah
業戶簽名:
日期: 15/08/2018

2887

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacom@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將覃湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊覃湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，覃湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的普選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對覃湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: Lo Kam Nan
業戶簽名:
日期: 15.8.2018

2888

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacom@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將覃湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊覃湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，覃湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的普選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對覃湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 劉國華
業戶簽名:
日期: 15 Aug 2018

2889

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacom@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將覃湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊覃湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，覃湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的普選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對覃湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: Chu Yuk Kiu Ida
業戶簽名:
日期: 15.8.2018

2890

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacom@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將覃湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊覃湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，覃湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的普選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對覃湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 張彩花
業戶簽名:
日期: 15/8/18

2891

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿，

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滋視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滋視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 潘沈英
業戶簽名:
日期: 14-8-18

2892

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿，

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滋視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滋視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 李惠心
業戶簽名: 李惠心
日期: 14-8-2018

2893

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿，

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滋視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滋視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: LI SHEUNG YUK
業戶簽名:
日期: 14-8-18

2894

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿，

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滋視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滋視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 黃先生
業戶簽名: 黃先生
日期: 14-8-2018

2895

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滋視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滋視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 梁先生
業戶簽名: 梁先生
日期: 14/8/18

2896

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿，

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滋視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滋視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 龍雪青
業戶簽名: 龍雪青
日期: 14-8-2018

2897

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿，

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滋視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滋視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 鄭維業
業戶簽名:
日期: 13/8/2018

2898

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿，

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滋視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滋視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: LEUNG YAU FAK
業戶簽名:
日期: 2018-8-13

2899

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿，

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滋視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滋視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名:
日期: 13-8-2018

2900

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacsrg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘時程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會委將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: CHU KIM YEE
業戶簽名:
日期: 15-8-18

2901

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacsrg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘時程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會委將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 楊鋼 林木
業戶簽名: 楊鋼林木
日期: 14-8-2018

2902

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacsrg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘時程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會委將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 黎秋儀
業戶簽名:
日期: 14-8-2018

2903

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacsrg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘時程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會委將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名:
日期: 13-8-2018

2904

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacsrg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘時程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會委將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: HO SHUI YUK
業戶簽名:
日期: 14.8.2018

2905

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacsrg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘時程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會委將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: Tang Hon Wei
業戶簽名:
日期: 14.8.2018

2906

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacsrg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘時程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會委將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 楊鑑當
業戶簽名: 何秀花
日期: 2018年8月16日

2907

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacsrg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘時程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會委將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 文尚彬
業戶簽名:
日期: 2018、8、16日

2908

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacsrg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘時程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會委將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳法鋼
業戶簽名:
日期: 15.8.2018

2909

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）指派的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對選區居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [redacted]
業戶簽名: [redacted]
日期: 15/8/2018

2910

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）指派的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對選區居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [redacted]
業戶簽名: [redacted]
日期: 16 Aug 2018

2911

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）指派的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對選區居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [redacted]
業戶簽名: [redacted]
日期: 14-8-2018

2912

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）指派的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對選區居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [redacted]
業戶簽名: [redacted]
日期: 13/8/2018

2913

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）指派的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對選區居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [redacted]
業戶簽名: [redacted]
日期: 16.8.2018

2914

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）指派的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對選區居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [redacted]
業戶簽名: [redacted]
日期: 14/8/2018

2915

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）指派的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對選區居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [redacted]
業戶簽名: [redacted]
日期: 15/8/2018

2916

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）指派的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對選區居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [redacted]
業戶簽名: [redacted]
日期: 14/8/2018

2917

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）指派的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對選區居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [redacted]
業戶簽名: [redacted]
日期: 15-8-2018

2918

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本區苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳[illegible]
業戶簽名: [illegible]
日期: 18-8-18

2919

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本區苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [illegible]
業戶簽名: [illegible]
日期: 16.8.2018

2920

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本區苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: TANG SO HEUNG STELLA
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 16 Aug 18

2921

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本區苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [illegible]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 14-8-2018

2922

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本區苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [illegible]
業戶簽名: [illegible]
日期: 2018.8.16

2923

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本區苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [illegible]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 13-8-2018

2924

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本區苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [illegible]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 15-8-2018

2925

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本區苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [illegible]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 15-8-2018

2926

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本區苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [illegible]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 14/8/2018

2927

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及須視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及須視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: Chui Lai Fun 許麗芬
業戶簽名:
日期: Aug 15, 2018

2928

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及須視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及須視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳[illegible]
業戶簽名:
日期: 2018.8.2

2929

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及須視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及須視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳[illegible]
業戶簽名:
日期: 15-8-2018

2930

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及須視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及須視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: [illegible]
業戶簽名:
日期: 16/8/2018

2931

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及須視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及須視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: [illegible]
業戶簽名:
日期: 15-8-2018

2932

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及須視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及須視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: [illegible]
業戶簽名:
日期: 15-8-2018

2933

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及須視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及須視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: [illegible]
業戶簽名:
日期: 15-8-2018

2934

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及須視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及須視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: [illegible]
業戶簽名:
日期: 16-8-2018

2935

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及須視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及須視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: [illegible]
業戶簽名:
日期: 14-8-2018

2936

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: caconq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖邨第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉事務委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖邨的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滙視居民實際需求。嘉湖邨為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滙視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 黃永洪
業戶簽名:
日期: 2018-8-16

2937

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: caconq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖邨第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉事務委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖邨的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滙視居民實際需求。嘉湖邨為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滙視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: Wai Yi Dan
業戶簽名:
日期: 16-8-2018

2938

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: caconq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖邨第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉事務委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖邨的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滙視居民實際需求。嘉湖邨為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滙視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: Chu Tse Ha
業戶簽名:
日期: 16.8.2018

2939

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: caconq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖邨第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉事務委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖邨的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滙視居民實際需求。嘉湖邨為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滙視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: Jennifer Ma
業戶簽名:
日期: 16.8.2018

2940

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: caconq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖邨第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉事務委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖邨的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滙視居民實際需求。嘉湖邨為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滙視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: Yuen Lai Kwok
業戶簽名:
日期: 16.8.18

2941

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: caconq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖邨第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉事務委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖邨的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滙視居民實際需求。嘉湖邨為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滙視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: Chan Kin Kwok
業戶簽名:
日期: 16.8.2018

2942

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: caconq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖邨第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉事務委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖邨的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滙視居民實際需求。嘉湖邨為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滙視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 戴業成
業戶簽名:
日期: 16-8-18

2943

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: caconq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖邨第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉事務委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖邨的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滙視居民實際需求。嘉湖邨為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滙視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 莊宏敏
業戶簽名:
日期: 14/8/2018

2944

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: caconq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖邨第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉事務委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖邨的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滙視居民實際需求。嘉湖邨為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滙視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 翁杰
業戶簽名:
日期: 14-8-2018

2945

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 余淑蓮
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 2018. 8. 15

2946

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳小蓮
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 13-8-2018

2947

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 劉世麟
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 14-8-2018

2948

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 余淑蓮
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 13. 8. 2018

2949

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 黃錦華
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 15-8-2018

2950

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 蔡仲光
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 15-8-2018

2951

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 鄧偉強
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 14/8/18

2952

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 伍錦霖
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 15-8-2018

2953

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名:
日期:

2954

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本區苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名:
日期: 16-8-2018

2955

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本區苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 柳下浩樹
業戶簽名: Magdalena Jaskiel
日期: 16.8.2018

2956

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本區苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 蔡祝英
業戶簽名:
日期: 26 - 8 - 2018

2957

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本區苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 孫旭宏
業戶簽名:
日期: 16/8/2018

2958

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本區苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名:
日期: 16/8/2018

2959

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本區苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 劉伯明
業戶簽名:
日期: 15-8-2018

2960

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本區苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Cheung Chun Lok
業戶簽名:
日期: 2018.8.15

2961

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本區苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Wong Yuen Man
業戶簽名:
日期: 16.8.2018

2962

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本區苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名:
日期: 16.8.18

2963

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就擬選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 馬偉雄
業戶簽名:
日期: 14/8/2018

2964

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就擬選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 曾俊華
業戶簽名:
日期: 14/8/2018

2965

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就擬選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名: 程翰章
日期: 15-8-2018

2966

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就擬選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 葉劉淑儀
業戶簽名:
日期: 16-8-2018

2967

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就擬選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 區諾軒
業戶簽名:
日期: 15-8-2018

2968

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就擬選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 葉劉淑儀
業戶簽名:
日期: 15/08/2018

2969

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就擬選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 李泰基
業戶簽名:
日期: 15-8-2018

2970

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就擬選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 葉鏡源
業戶簽名:
日期: 16-8-2018

2971

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就擬選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 郭偉
業戶簽名:
日期: 15/08/2018

2972

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及濱視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及濱視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名:
日期: 13/8/2018

2973

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及濱視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及濱視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名:
日期: 13/08/2018

2974

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及濱視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及濱視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名:
日期:

2975

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及濱視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及濱視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名:
日期: 16-8-18

2976

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及濱視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及濱視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名:
日期: 16-8-18

2977

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及濱視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及濱視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名:
日期:

2978

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及濱視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及濱視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名:
日期: 16-8-2018

2979

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及濱視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及濱視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名:
日期: 2018. 8. 16

2980

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及濱視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及濱視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名:
日期: 2018-8-16

2981

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮錦先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: ecenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滙視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滙視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 張婉華
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 16-8-2018

2982

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮錦先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: ecenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滙視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到滙視混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滙視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 張婉華
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 16-8-2018

2983

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮錦先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: ecenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滙視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到滙視混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滙視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 張婉華
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 16-8-2018

2984

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮錦先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: ecenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滙視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到滙視混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滙視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 黃國青
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 14/8/2018

2985

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮錦先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: ecenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滙視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到滙視混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滙視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: CHEUNG YIU SUN
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 17/8/2018

2986

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮錦先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: ecenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滙視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到滙視混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滙視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [illegible]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 9/8/2018

2987

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
滙錦先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: ecenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滙視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到滙視混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滙視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 余永統
業戶簽名: 余永統
日期: 16-8-2018

2988

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮錦先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: ecenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滙視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到滙視混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滙視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 鍾國儀
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 15-8-2018

2989

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮錦先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: ecenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滙視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到滙視混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滙視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 林嘉生
業戶簽名: 林嘉生
日期: 15/8/2018

2990

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及與鄰居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對屬嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及與鄰居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Yuen Yuet Wah
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 15/8/18

2991

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及與鄰居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及與鄰居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [illegible]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 15 AUG 18

2992

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及與鄰居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及與鄰居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [illegible]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 15-8-2018

2993

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及與鄰居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對屬嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及與鄰居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 何雅
業戶簽名: 何雅
日期: 15-8-2018
15-8-2018

2994

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及與鄰居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及與鄰居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 管理室
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 15/8/2018

2995

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及與鄰居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及與鄰居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [illegible]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 15/8/2018

2996

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及與鄰居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及與鄰居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: TSANG FUNG SIN
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 14.8.2018

2997

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及與鄰居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及與鄰居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [illegible]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 14/8/2018

2998

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及與鄰居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及與鄰居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [illegible]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 14-8-2018

2999

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmo@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將鏗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊鏗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滋擾居民實際需求，鏗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對鏗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滋擾居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Lee Kwok Wai
業戶簽名: _____
日期: 16-8-2018

3000

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmo@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將鏗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊鏗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滋擾居民實際需求，鏗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對鏗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滋擾居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Leung Kwok Wai
業戶簽名: _____
日期: 16-8-2018

3001

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmo@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將鏗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊鏗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滋擾居民實際需求，鏗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對鏗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滋擾居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳海儀
業戶簽名: 陳海儀
日期: 15-8-2018

3002

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmo@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將鏗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊鏗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滋擾居民實際需求，鏗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對鏗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滋擾居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 馬文彥
業戶簽名: 馬文彥
日期: 15-8-2018

3003

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmo@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將鏗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊鏗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滋擾居民實際需求，鏗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對鏗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滋擾居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳端明
業戶簽名: 陳端明
日期: 15-8-2018

3004

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmo@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將鏗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊鏗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滋擾居民實際需求，鏗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對鏗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滋擾居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 王明發
業戶簽名: 王明發
日期: 15-8-2018

3005

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmo@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將鏗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊鏗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滋擾居民實際需求，鏗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對鏗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滋擾居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: KONG YUNG TAT
業戶簽名: _____
日期: 16-8-2018

3006

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmo@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將鏗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊鏗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滋擾居民實際需求，鏗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對鏗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滋擾居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳鏗明
業戶簽名: 陳鏗明
日期: 2018年8月15日

3007

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmo@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將鏗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊鏗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滋擾居民實際需求，鏗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對鏗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滋擾居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 張鏗明
業戶簽名: 張鏗明
日期: 2018年8月15日

3008

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 梁德安 雅卢茶
業戶簽名: 雅卢茶 梁德安
日期: 14/8/2018

3009

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 張有祥
業戶簽名: 張有祥
日期: 14/8/2018

3010

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 張宗堯
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 13.8.2018

3011

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [illegible]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 2018-8-15

3012

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [illegible]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 15/8/18

3013

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: MADAM YEUNG
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 15/8/2018

3014

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [illegible]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 16.8.2018

3015

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [illegible]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 16-8-2018

3016

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [illegible]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 16-8-2018

3017

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: caceng@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將鞏湖房第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉事管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊鞏湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，鞏湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至《嘉湖南》選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對鞏湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: HUE KWAN CHOR
業戶簽名:
日期: 17 Aug 2018

3018

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: caceng@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將鞏湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉事管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊鞏湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，鞏湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至《嘉湖南》選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對鞏湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [redacted]
業戶簽名:
日期: 17/8/2018

3019

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: caceng@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將鞏湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉事管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊鞏湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，鞏湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至《嘉湖南》選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對鞏湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名:
日期: 17/8/2018

3020

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: caceng@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將鞏湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉事管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊鞏湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，鞏湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至《嘉湖南》選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對鞏湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 張小燦
業戶簽名: 張小燦
日期: 17/8/2018

3021

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: caceng@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將鞏湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉事管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊鞏湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，鞏湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至《嘉湖南》選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對鞏湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 李翠恩
業戶簽名:
日期: 16.8.2018

3022

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: caceng@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將鞏湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉事管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊鞏湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，鞏湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至《嘉湖南》選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對鞏湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 王錦超
業戶簽名:
日期: 17-8-2018

3023

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: caceng@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將鞏湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉事管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊鞏湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，鞏湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至《嘉湖南》選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對鞏湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳
業戶簽名: 陳金成
日期: 2018-8-16

3024

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: caceng@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將鞏湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉事管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊鞏湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，鞏湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至《嘉湖南》選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對鞏湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名:
日期: March 16, 2019

3025

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: caceng@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將鞏湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉事管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊鞏湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，鞏湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至《嘉湖南》選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對鞏湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 蔡城翼
業戶簽名:
日期: 16.8.2018

3026

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacsmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖路第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由嘉湖 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的管理議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 蔡自強
業戶簽名: 蔡.
日期: 28-8-16

3027

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacsmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由嘉湖 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的管理議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: WONG SUN CHUNG
業戶簽名:
日期: 17-8-2018

3028

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacsmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由嘉湖 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的管理議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Ho Kam Ho
業戶簽名:
日期: 17-8-2018

3029

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacsmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由嘉湖 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的管理議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Chan Mek Chiu
業戶簽名:
日期: 17-8-2018

3030

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacsmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由嘉湖 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的管理議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名:
日期: 17-8-2018

3031

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacsmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由嘉湖 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的管理議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名:
日期: 17/8/2018

3032

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacsmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由嘉湖 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的管理議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名:
日期: 17-8-2018

3033

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacsmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由嘉湖 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的管理議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名:
日期: 17-8-18

3034

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacsmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由嘉湖 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的管理議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 吳汝文
業戶簽名: 吳汝文
日期: 16-8-2018

3035

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: csoenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉翔南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉翔南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到滿滿困難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的管選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將調區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 張玉強
業戶簽名: 張玉強
日期: 16-8-2018

3036

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: csoenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到滿滿困難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的管選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將調區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 馬玉輝
業戶簽名: 馬玉輝
日期: 16/8/2018

3037

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: csoenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入新湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到滿滿困難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的管選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將調區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 林偉光
業戶簽名: 林偉光
日期: 16-8-2018

3038

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: csoenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到滿滿困難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的管選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將調區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 李楊英
業戶簽名:
日期: 16-8-2018

3039

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: csoenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到滿滿困難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的管選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將調區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 區美芝
業戶簽名: 區美芝
日期: 2018-8-16

3040

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: csoenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到滿滿困難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的管選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將調區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 吳思慧
業戶簽名:
日期: 17-8-2018

3041

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: csoenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到滿滿困難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的管選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將調區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 俞英方
業戶簽名: 俞英方
日期: 15.8.2018

3042

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: csoenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉翔南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到滿滿困難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的管選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將調區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: Wong Hing Tak
業戶簽名:
日期: 15/8/2018

3043

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: csoenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到滿滿困難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的管選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將調區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 張玉鈴
業戶簽名: 張玉鈴
日期: 20-8-8-15

3044

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的發展性及滿足居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將嘉區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 白開太
業戶簽名:
日期: 15-8-2018

3045

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的發展性及滿足居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 馮東銀
業戶簽名:
日期: 15-8-2018

3046

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的發展性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 王王 [illegible]
業戶簽名:
日期: 15/08/2018

3047

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的發展性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 梁 [illegible]
業戶簽名:
日期: 15/08/2018

3048

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的發展性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳 [illegible]
業戶簽名:
日期: 2018-8-15

3049

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的發展性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名:
日期: 15-8-18

3050

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的發展性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: choi kwok ling
業戶簽名: choi kwok ling
日期: 15-8-2018

3051

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的發展性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳鵬鵬
業戶簽名:
日期: 14-8-2018

3052

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的發展性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 黃淦利
業戶簽名:
日期: 14-8-2018

3053

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacovq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 李明華
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 14-8-2018

3054

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacovq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 魏申郁
業戶簽名: 魏申郁
日期: 14-8-2018

3055

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacovq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 呂倩婷
業戶簽名: 黃美娟
日期: 14-8-2018

3056

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacovq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 張容儀
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 15-8-2018

3057

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacovq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 梁文儀
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 15/8/2018

3058

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacovq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 劉竹音
業戶簽名: 劉竹音
日期: 15. 8. 2018

3059

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacovq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 俞松雪
業戶簽名: 俞松雪
日期: 15. 8. 2018

3060

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacovq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 我之祺
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 15-8-18

3061

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacovq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: WAI KWAI BEAU
業戶簽名: 屈健揮
日期: 15-8-2018

3062

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居苑一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到溝通困難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口建致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: CHAN MAN MA
業戶簽名:
日期: 15-8-2018

3063

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到溝通困難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口建致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名:
日期: 15-08-2018

3064

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到溝通困難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口建致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名:
日期: 2018-8-10

3065

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1683

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到溝通困難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口建致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名:
日期: 17-5-2018

3066

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到溝通困難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口建致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名:
日期: 2018-8-13

3067

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到溝通困難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口建致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: Lau Yuet Sin
業戶簽名:
日期: 13-8-2018

3068

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到溝通困難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口建致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名:
日期: 16-8-2018

3069

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到溝通困難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口建致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名:
日期: 14-8-18

3070

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到溝通困難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口建致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名:
日期: 14-8-18

3071

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖西第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名:
日期: 15-8-2018

3072

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖西第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名:
日期: 15-8-2018

3073

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖西第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: Bai Feng
業戶簽名:
日期: 16 Aug 2018

3074

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖西第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: Lee Wai Hung
業戶簽名:
日期: 16-8-2018

3075

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖西第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: Tsoi Hung Wai
業戶簽名:
日期: 14-8-18

3076

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖西第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: LEE SHAU WAH JULIE
業戶簽名:
日期: 14 Aug 2018

3077

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖西第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名:
日期: 15-8-2018

3078

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖西第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名:
日期: 14/8/2018

3079

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖西第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: Way Sha Shui
業戶簽名:
日期: 2018.8.15

3080

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑分為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: Ms. Lu Shan
業戶簽名:
日期: 17-8-2018

3081

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑分為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: Ms Ho
業戶簽名:
日期: 8.8.2018

3082

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑分為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 張秋芳
業戶簽名:
日期: 2018-08-17

3083

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑分為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: Howard KAN (JENNY)
業戶簽名:
日期: 17.8.2018

3084

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑分為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名: Lau Wai Tung
日期: 17.8.2018

3085

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑分為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 鄭作堂
業戶簽名: 鄭作堂
日期: 2018.8.17

3086

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑分為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 袁玉珊
業戶簽名:
日期: 17-8-2018

3087

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑分為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 梁仁發
業戶簽名:
日期: 17-8-2018

3088

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑分為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名: 梁偉成
日期: 17.8.2018

3089

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口盡致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 黃偉強
業戶簽名: 黃
日期: 16 Aug 2018

3090

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口盡致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: TANG PUI TAN
業戶簽名:
日期: 16-8-2018

3091

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口盡致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 郭偉
業戶簽名:
日期: 17-8-2018

3092

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口盡致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳子雄
業戶簽名: 陳子雄
日期: 16-8-2018

3093

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口盡致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 張偉雄
業戶簽名:
日期: 20.8.8.17

3094

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口盡致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 鍾利生
業戶簽名:
日期: 20.8.8.17

3095

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口盡致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳子雄
業戶簽名:
日期: 17.8.18

3096

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口盡致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳[illegible]
業戶簽名:
日期: 17.8.18

3097

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口盡致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 李錦雄
業戶簽名:
日期: 17/08/2018

3098

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就總選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口盡致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 鄭結雯
業戶簽名: 雯
日期: 17/12/2018

3099

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就總選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口盡致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 蘇永銳
業戶簽名: 銳
日期: 16-12-2018

3100

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就總選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口盡致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: Fong Un Tong
業戶簽名: 方
日期: 16.12.2018

3101

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就總選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口盡致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名:
日期: 16-8-18

3102

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就總選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口盡致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: LI WAI YAT
業戶簽名:
日期: 16-08-2018

3103

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就總選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口盡致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: S. Chan
業戶簽名:
日期: 17/8/18

3104

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就總選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口盡致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: K S CHAN
業戶簽名:
日期: 17/8/18

3105

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就總選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口盡致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名:
日期: 16-8-18

3106

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就總選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口盡致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: LAU WING YAU
業戶簽名:
日期: 16.8.2018

3107

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座歸入置湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊置湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。置湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對置湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Ng Hon Yiu
業戶簽名:
日期: 2018/08/16

3108

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座歸入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊置湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。置湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對置湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳先生
業戶簽名: C. Wong
日期: 15/8/2018

3109

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉歸入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊置湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。置湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對置湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳梓健
業戶簽名:
日期: 15 Aug 2018

3110

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉歸入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊置湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。置湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對置湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名: 李鑑浩
日期: 2018年8月

3111

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉歸入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊置湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。置湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對置湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: WAI KIT CHAN
業戶簽名:
日期: 15/8/2018

3112

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉歸入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊置湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。置湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對置湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 鄭錦忠
業戶簽名:
日期: 15/8

3113

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉歸入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊置湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。置湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對置湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 鄭家如
業戶簽名:
日期: 15 Aug 2018

3114

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉歸入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊置湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。置湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對置湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 徐惠弟
業戶簽名:
日期: 15-8-2018

3115

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉歸入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊置湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。置湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對置湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名: 鄭金財
日期: 2018年8月19

3116

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿足居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: Kwok Man Ying
業戶簽名:
日期: 15 Aug 2018

3117

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 李新記
業戶簽名:
日期: 14/8/2018

3118

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 李新記
業戶簽名:
日期: 14/8/2018

3119

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳丽金
業戶簽名:
日期: 15-8-2018

3120

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: Kwong Chuk Yin
業戶簽名:
日期: 16, 8, 18

3121

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 周樂強
業戶簽名:
日期: 15-8-2018

3122

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 關卓祥
業戶簽名:
日期: 15-8-2018

3123

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名:
日期: 15-8-18

3124

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名:
日期: 14-8-18

3125

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至〈嘉湖南〉選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘時程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 黃秀華
業戶簽名: 黃秀華
日期: 八月 8 日 2018

3126

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至〈嘉湖南〉選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘時程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: CHAN PAK LAI
業戶簽名:
日期: 16. 8. 2018

3127

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至〈嘉湖南〉選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘時程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 黃寶華
業戶簽名: 黃寶華
日期: 16-08-18

3128

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至〈嘉湖南〉選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘時程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳玉娟
業戶簽名:
日期: 16-8-2018

3129

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至〈嘉湖南〉選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘時程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳卉琪
業戶簽名:
日期: 16/8/2018

3130

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至〈嘉湖南〉選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘時程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 老錢鴻
業戶簽名:
日期: 16-8-18

3131

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至〈嘉湖南〉選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘時程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 何盛輝
業戶簽名:
日期: 15-8-2018

3132

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至〈嘉湖南〉選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘時程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 江振杰
業戶簽名:
日期: 16/8/2018

3133

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至〈嘉湖南〉選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘時程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 鄧秀萍
業戶簽名:
日期: 16.8.2018

3134

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: KEUNG WING TIM
業戶簽名: K. W. T. Keung
日期: 16/08/2018

3135

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Danny Pang
業戶簽名:
日期: 16.8.2018

3136

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 王家芳
業戶簽名:
日期: 16-8-2018

3137

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Wong Wing Cheung
業戶簽名:
日期: 16-8-2018

3138

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 葉行輝
業戶簽名:
日期: 15/8/2018

3139

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 梁永健
業戶簽名:
日期: 16-8-2018

3140

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 趙愛霞
業戶簽名:
日期: 15-8-18

3141

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Tsang Kwong Ho
業戶簽名:
日期: 15/8/18

3142

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 李俊鴻
業戶簽名:
日期: 15/8/18

3143

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖市選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滨視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滨視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 吳兆輝
業戶簽名:
日期: 16/10/2018

3144

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滨視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滨視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: WONG Yin Suen
業戶簽名:
日期: 16/10/2018

3145

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滨視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滨視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名:
日期: 14/10/2018

3146

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滨視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滨視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳志強
業戶簽名:
日期: 14-8-18

3147

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滨視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滨視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名:
日期: 14/8/2018

3148

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滨視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滨視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳景銳
業戶簽名:
日期: 15/8/2018

3149

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滨視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滨視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 朱芳明
業戶簽名:
日期: 2018年8月16日

3150

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滨視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滨視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Chan To Kiu
業戶簽名:
日期: 15-8-2018

3151

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滨視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滨視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 劉國雄
業戶簽名:
日期: 2018年8月16日

3152

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: cacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳秀娟
業戶簽名: 陳秀娟
日期: 16-7-18

3153

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: cacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Chan Hak wo-f
業戶簽名:
日期: 14-8-2018

3154

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: cacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳秀娟
業戶簽名:
日期: 2018-08-16

3155

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: cacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 呂文雅
業戶簽名: 呂文雅
日期: 14-8-2018

3156

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: cacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳榮傑
業戶簽名: 陳榮傑
日期: 15-8-18

3157

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: cacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳榮傑
業戶簽名: 陳榮傑
日期: 16/8/2018

3158

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: cacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳詩寧
業戶簽名:
日期: 15-8-2018

3159

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: cacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: KAN KIM KIN G
業戶簽名:
日期: 14-8-2018

3160

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: cacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳秀娟
業戶簽名:
日期: 16-8-18

3161

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至〈嘉湖南〉選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳春霞
業戶簽名:
日期: 15-8-2018

3162

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至〈嘉湖南〉選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 何
業戶簽名:
日期: 15-8-2018

3163

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至〈嘉湖南〉選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳春霞
業戶簽名:
日期: 16 AUG 2018

3164

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至〈嘉湖南〉選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 鄧秋燕
業戶簽名:
日期: 16/8/18

3165

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至〈嘉湖南〉選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 雷紀海
業戶簽名:
日期: Aug 16, 2018

3166

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至〈嘉湖南〉選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: LEE MAN KIT
業戶簽名:
日期: 8 14/8/2018

3167

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至〈嘉湖南〉選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳
業戶簽名:
日期: 13-8-2018

3168

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至〈嘉湖南〉選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Ng Kwai Lin
業戶簽名:
日期: 16/8/2018

3169

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至〈嘉湖南〉選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 曾
業戶簽名:
日期: 16/8/2018

3170

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到滿滿而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的會選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Ma Oi Lun
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 17.8.18

3171

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到滿滿而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的會選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Yau Tze Lam
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 17.8.18

3172

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到滿滿而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的會選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [redacted]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 14-8-18

3173

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到滿滿而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的會選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 鄧遠風
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 18-8-2018

3174

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到滿滿而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的會選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: TSE (Kwok Kin)
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 15-8-2018

3175

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到滿滿而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的會選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Chan Yee Lai
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 15-8-18

3176

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到滿滿而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的會選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: IP WAI MING
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 15-8-18

3177

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到滿滿而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的會選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Chan Yat Hei
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 15-8-18

3178

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到滿滿而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的會選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [redacted]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 16-8-18

3179

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口盡致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Priscilla Wong
業戶簽名:
日期: 16.8.2018

3180

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口盡致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Ho Hin Kwan
業戶簽名:
日期: 15-08-2018

3181

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口盡致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Wong King Sin
業戶簽名:
日期: Aug 15, 2018

3182

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口盡致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Mok Kim Ying
業戶簽名:
日期: 15/8/2018

3183

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口盡致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: ANDREW SHAW
業戶簽名:
日期: 15/08/2018

3184

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口盡致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [redacted]
業戶簽名: KSW Mok
日期: 15/8/2018

3185

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口盡致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳嘉欣
業戶簽名:
日期: 2018.8.16

3186

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口盡致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 楊永年
業戶簽名:
日期: Aug 14 2018

3187

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口盡致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [redacted]
業戶簽名:
日期: 15.8.2018

3188

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖邨第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漢視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漢視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 葉御珍
業戶簽名: 葉御珍
日期: 15-8-2018

3189

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漢視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漢視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Yuk Wing Wah
業戶簽名:
日期: 15/8/18

3190

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漢視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漢視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Mak Wai Ling
業戶簽名:
日期: 14-8-2018

3191

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漢視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漢視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 黃仕傑
業戶簽名:
日期: 14-8-2018

3192

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漢視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漢視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 梁子傑
業戶簽名:
日期: 14-8-2018

3193

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漢視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漢視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 李卓樑
業戶簽名:
日期: 16-8-2018

3194

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漢視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漢視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 馮健達
業戶簽名:
日期: 2018年8月14日

3195

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漢視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漢視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 張希華
業戶簽名:
日期: 14-8-2018

3196

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漢視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漢視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 葉御珍
業戶簽名:
日期: 14-8-2018

3197

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將蘆湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊蘆湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，蘆湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對蘆湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 張明玉
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 14-8-2018

3198

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將蘆湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊蘆湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，蘆湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對蘆湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 簡明玉
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 14-08-2018

3199

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將蘆湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊蘆湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，蘆湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對蘆湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 梁伯亨
業戶簽名: 梁伯亨
日期: 14-8-2018

3200

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將蘆湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊蘆湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，蘆湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對蘆湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 蕭蘊林
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 14-8-2018

3201

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將蘆湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊蘆湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，蘆湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對蘆湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: LO WING FOO
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 15-8-18

3202

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將蘆湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊蘆湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，蘆湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對蘆湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Hilda Chan
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 14/8/2018

3203

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將蘆湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊蘆湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，蘆湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對蘆湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Chan
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 14/8/2018

3204

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將蘆湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊蘆湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，蘆湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對蘆湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Julie Hui
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 14-8-18

3205

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將蘆湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊蘆湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，蘆湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對蘆湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 練文雄
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 15-8-2018

3206

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至〈嘉湖南〉選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: WAN LAI YING
業戶簽名: Wan lai Ying
日期: 14/8/2018

3207

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至〈嘉湖南〉選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 張敏敏
業戶簽名: 張敏敏
日期: 15.8.2018

3208

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至〈嘉湖南〉選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名:
日期: 15-8-2018

3209

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至〈嘉湖南〉選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 黃嘉敏
業戶簽名:
日期: 2018.8.15

3210

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至〈嘉湖南〉選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名:
日期: 15-08-2018

3211

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至〈嘉湖南〉選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 黃志堅
業戶簽名:
日期: 15/8/18

3212

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至〈嘉湖南〉選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Kwok Chok Sze
業戶簽名:
日期: 15 Aug 2018

3213

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至〈嘉湖南〉選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳瑞琪
業戶簽名:
日期: 16.8.2018

3214

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至〈嘉湖南〉選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Chan Pui Hei
業戶簽名: Nil
日期: 15-8-18

3215

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到滿滿而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: [illegible]
業戶簽名: [illegible]
日期: 16/8-18

3216

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到滿滿而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: [illegible]
業戶簽名: [illegible]
日期: 16/8-18

3217

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到滿滿而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: [illegible]
業戶簽名: [illegible]
日期: 16-8-18

3218

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到滿滿而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: THOMAS HO
業戶簽名: [illegible]
日期: 16 AUG, 2018

3219

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到滿滿而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: LAM SIU DAN
業戶簽名: [illegible]
日期: 16/8/2018

3220

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到滿滿而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳鴻偉
業戶簽名: [illegible]
日期: 16/8/2018

3221

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到滿滿而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: [illegible]
業戶簽名: [illegible]
日期: 16/8/18

3222

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到滿滿而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: DANNY WU CHAN
業戶簽名: [illegible]
日期: 16 Aug 2018

3223

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到滿滿而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: WONG LAI MING
業戶簽名: [illegible]
日期: 14-8-18

3224

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: Li Wai Chung
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 14-8-18

3225

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: LO MEI HO
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 2018-8-16

3226

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: YU HON WING
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 2018. 8. 16

3227

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳鴻烈
業戶簽名: 陳鴻烈
日期: 2018-8-16

3228

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 古鴻文先生
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 2018.8.14

3229

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 周清
業戶簽名: 周清
日期: 15-8-2018

3230

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 林伯強
業戶簽名: 林伯強
日期: 14-8-2018

3231

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: [signature]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 14-8-2018

3232

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: TANG WAI MING
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 2018. 8. 14.

3233

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮歸先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 冯志雄
業戶簽名:
日期: 14-8-2018

3234

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮歸先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 林[illegible]
業戶簽名:
日期: 14-8-18

3235

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮歸先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 林[illegible]
業戶簽名:
日期: 14-8-2018

3236

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮歸先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 梁[illegible]
業戶簽名:
日期: 14-8-2018

3237

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮歸先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: MATTHEW TONG
業戶簽名:
日期: 14/8/2018

3238

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮歸先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Li Wing Sheung
業戶簽名:
日期: 14.8.2018

3239

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮歸先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 吳[illegible]
業戶簽名:
日期: 13/8/2018

3240

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮歸先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 張[illegible]
業戶簽名:
日期: 14/8-2018

3241

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮歸先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 張[illegible]
業戶簽名:
日期: 14-8-2018

3242

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacomq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的會選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口盡致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: SO WAI LA
業戶簽名:
日期: 15/8/2018

3243

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacomq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的會選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口盡致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: WONG, SHA-MAN
業戶簽名:
日期: 15th August 2018

3244

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacomq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的會選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口盡致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Ernest Tang
業戶簽名:
日期: 15/8/2018

3245

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacomq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的會選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口盡致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 梁慧芳
業戶簽名:
日期: 15-8-2018

3246

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacomq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的會選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口盡致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 梁慧娜
業戶簽名:
日期: 13 August 2018.

3247

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacomq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的會選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口盡致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 張玉妙
業戶簽名:
日期: 15 - 8 - 2018

3248

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacomq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的會選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口盡致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 吳滿華
業戶簽名:
日期: 13.8.2018

3249

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacomq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的會選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口盡致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: WANG YUEN MAN
業戶簽名:
日期: 14 Aug 2018

3250

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacomq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的會選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口盡致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: KHAN MASHARIL
業戶簽名:
日期: 14-8-2018

3251

3251

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 李[illegible]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 15.8.2018

3252

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 黃[illegible]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 15/8/18

3253

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: SHUM MUI
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 15/8/2018

3254

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: Wong Siu Bik
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 15.8.18

3255

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳志輝
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 17.8.2018

3256

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: [illegible]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 20/8/2018

3257

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳[illegible]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 2018-8-17

3258

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: SIU FAI
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 17 Aug 2018

3259

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 梁[illegible]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 17-8-2018

3260

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉納入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 張[illegible]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 16-Apr-2018

3261

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉納入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: ERIC KWOK KONG
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 16/4/2018

3262

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉納入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 蔡[illegible]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 13.8.2018

3263

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉納入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: Cheung Chor Lusi Mandy
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 14-8-2018

3264

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉納入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: [illegible]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: [illegible]

3265

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉納入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 鄺[illegible]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 14-8-2018

3266

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉納入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: [illegible]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 15-Aug-2018

3267

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉納入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳[illegible]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 13/8/2018

3268

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉納入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 郭[illegible]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 16/8/2018

3269

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮檉先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: Chan Siu Lun
業戶簽名:
日期: 17-8-2018

3270

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮檉先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: Ng Ka Ling
業戶簽名:
日期: 15-8-2018

3271

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮檉先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: Kwong Man Mint
業戶簽名:
日期: 14-8-2018

3272

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮檉先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: Cheung Ching Man
業戶簽名:
日期: 14. 8. 2018

3273

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮檉先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名:
日期: 14/8/2018

3274

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮檉先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: Lau Yuk Ying
業戶簽名:
日期: 14-8-2018

3275

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮檉先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 林銳強
業戶簽名:
日期: 14-8-2018

3276

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮檉先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 王銳強
業戶簽名:
日期: 14-8-2018

3277

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮檉先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 關諒驊
業戶簽名:
日期: 2018年8月14日

3278

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就關於選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [redacted]
業戶簽名: [redacted]
日期: [redacted]

3279

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就關於選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [redacted]
業戶簽名: [redacted]
日期: [redacted]

3280

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就關於選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [redacted]
業戶簽名: [redacted]
日期: 14-8-2018

3281

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就關於選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [redacted]
業戶簽名: [redacted]
日期: 2018年8月14日

3282

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就關於選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: FUNG YIU WAH
業戶簽名: [redacted]
日期: 14-8-2018

3283

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就關於選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [redacted]
業戶簽名: [redacted]
日期: 14/8/2018

3284

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就關於選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: WONG Wai Chun
業戶簽名: [redacted]
日期: 13-8-2018

3285

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就關於選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [redacted]
業戶簽名: [redacted]
日期: 13-8-2018

3286

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就關於選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [redacted]
業戶簽名: [redacted]
日期: 14-8-18

3287

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙至聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，事要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 黎[illegible]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 13/8/2018

3288

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙至聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，事要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [illegible]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 13-8-2018

3289

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙至聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，事要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [illegible]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 13/8/2018

3290

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M23（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙至聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，事要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [illegible]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 13-8-2018

3291

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙至聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，事要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [illegible]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 14-8-2018

3292

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙至聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，事要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [illegible]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 13/8/2018

3293

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙至聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，事要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [illegible]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 15/8/18

3294

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙至聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，事要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [illegible]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 15/8/18

3295

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙至聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，事要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [illegible]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 14/8/2018

3296

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漢視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漢視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 趙妙蘭
業戶簽名: Tsha
日期: 16.8.2018

3297

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漢視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漢視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 溫希儀
業戶簽名: L
日期: 15 Aug 2018

3298

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漢視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漢視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 王志祥
業戶簽名: 王志祥
日期: 14/8/18

3299

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漢視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漢視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 王志祥
業戶簽名: 王志祥
日期: 14/8/18

3300

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漢視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漢視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: Leung Wai Kan
業戶簽名: LK
日期: 15-08-2018

3301

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漢視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漢視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名:
日期: 16-8-18

3302

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漢視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漢視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名:
日期: 2018-8-16

3303

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漢視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漢視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: Cheung
業戶簽名:
日期: 14/8/18

3304

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漢視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漢視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: CHAN KAM WAH
業戶簽名:
日期: 14- Aug- 2018

3305

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@eo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至〈嘉湖南〉選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [redacted]
業戶簽名: [redacted]
日期: 2018. 8. 14

3306

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@eo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至〈嘉湖南〉選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [redacted]
業戶簽名: [redacted]
日期: 14/8/2018

3307

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@eo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至〈嘉湖南〉選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: SO WING HAN
業戶簽名: [redacted]
日期: 14/8/2018

3308

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@eo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至〈嘉湖南〉選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [redacted]
業戶簽名: [redacted]
日期: 14-8-2018

3309

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@eo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至〈嘉湖南〉選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [redacted]
業戶簽名: [redacted]
日期: 14-8-2018

3310

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@eo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至〈嘉湖南〉選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [redacted]
業戶簽名: [redacted]
日期: 14-8-18

3311

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@eo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至〈嘉湖南〉選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [redacted]
業戶簽名: [redacted]
日期: 16.8.2018

3312

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@eo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至〈嘉湖南〉選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: CHAU KAPIU
業戶簽名: [redacted]
日期: Aug 15 2018

3313

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@eo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至〈嘉湖南〉選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Lee Kwok Cheung
業戶簽名: [redacted]
日期: 13 Aug 2018

3314

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: LUK YU KIT
業戶簽名:
日期: 17/8/2018

3315

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名:
日期: 2018-8-4

3316

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名:
日期: 17-8-2018

3317

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 楊本隆明
業戶簽名: 楊本隆明
日期: 2018-8-17

3318

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 馮偉業
業戶簽名:
日期: 17.8.2018

3319

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: LAW WAI SUM
業戶簽名:
日期: 16-8-2018

3320

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名:
日期: 17 AUG 2018

3321

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 黃華
業戶簽名:
日期: 16/8/2018

3322

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名:
日期: 2018 8 17

3323

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉擬定的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: [redacted]
業戶簽名: [redacted]
日期: 17-8-2018

3324

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉擬定的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: Tan Pui Fawn, Shirly
業戶簽名: [redacted]
日期: 15-8-2018

3325

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉擬定的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 王宏文
業戶簽名: [redacted]
日期: 15-08-2018

3326

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉擬定的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 黃錦明
業戶簽名: [redacted]
日期: 16.8.2018

3327

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉擬定的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 鄺錦倫
業戶簽名: [redacted]
日期: 16-8-2018

3328

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉擬定的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 黃錦倫
業戶簽名: [redacted]
日期: 15.8.18

3329

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉擬定的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 林慈儀
業戶簽名: [redacted]
日期: 14-8-2018

3330

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉擬定的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 黃鴻基
業戶簽名: [redacted]
日期: 15-8-2018

3331

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉擬定的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 吳伍玩紅
業戶簽名: [redacted]
日期: 2018. 8. 15

3332

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊鄰係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對選區造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 何所榮
業戶簽名: 何所榮
日期: 16/8/2018

3333

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊鄰係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對選區造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 蔡健恩
業戶簽名: Edd
日期: 2018. 8. 15

3334

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊鄰係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對選區造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名:
日期: 15.8.18

3335

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊鄰係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對選區造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Li Yuqi Ann
業戶簽名:
日期: 16/8/2018

3336

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊鄰係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對選區造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名: Cindy
日期: 16/8/2018

3337

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊鄰係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對選區造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Kwok Kuen Kin
業戶簽名:
日期: 15.8.18

3338

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊鄰係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對選區造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Lam Kwok Sui
業戶簽名:
日期: 15. 8. 18.

3339

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊鄰係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對選區造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Amy Chiang
業戶簽名: Amy
日期: Aug 15, 2018

3340

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊鄰係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對選區造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Ng Yuk Har
業戶簽名:
日期: 16-8-2018

3341

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳慕雯
業戶簽名: 陳慕雯
日期: 17-08-2018

3342

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳慕雯
業戶簽名: 陳慕雯
日期: 18-8-18

3343

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳慕雯
業戶簽名:
日期: 17/8/2018

3344

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 潘立明
業戶簽名:
日期: 2018-8-17

3345

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳慕雯
業戶簽名:
日期: 17-8-2018

3346

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 楊智杰
業戶簽名: Yeung Chi Kit
日期: 17-8-18

3347

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 鄭志傑
業戶簽名:
日期: 2018-8-16

3348

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: Yeung Ping Hung Tony
業戶簽名:
日期: 16 Aug 2018

3349

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: Tsang Yuet Kwan
業戶簽名:
日期: 15-8-2018

3350

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將藍湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊藍湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，藍湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對藍湖帶來造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: Lau Mei Yee
業戶簽名: Lau Mei Yee
日期: 16-08-2018

3351

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將藍湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊藍湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，藍湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對藍湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳素輝
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 16 Aug 2018

3352

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將藍湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊藍湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，藍湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對藍湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: LAU Mei Yee
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 14/8/2018

3353

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將藍湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊藍湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，藍湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對藍湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: WONG MAN WAH
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 14-8-18

3354

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將藍湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊藍湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，藍湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對藍湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: YUE TSZ TIN
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 15/8/2018

3355

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將藍湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊藍湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，藍湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對藍湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: Lau Mei Lin
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 2018.8.15.

3356

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將藍湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊藍湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，藍湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對藍湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 王燕芬
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 2018-8-13

3357

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將藍湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊藍湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，藍湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對藍湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: HUI KA MAN 許嘉敏
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 15-8-2018

3358

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將藍湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊藍湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，藍湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對藍湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: WONG MAN WAH
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 15-8-2018

3359

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮錦先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的書選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 何偉勝
業戶簽名:
日期: 16/8/2018

3360

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮錦先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的書選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名: 黃明
日期: 16-8-18

3361

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮錦先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的書選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 朱著強
業戶簽名:
日期: 15-8-2018

3362

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮錦先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的書選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 林仰雄
業戶簽名: 林
日期: 八月十五日

3363

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮錦先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的書選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Ho Sze Wing
業戶簽名:
日期: 16-8-18

3364

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮錦先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的書選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 朱紀勇
業戶簽名:
日期: 16-8-2018

3365

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮錦先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的書選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 牛鐵開
業戶簽名:
日期: 16-8-18

3366

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮錦先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的書選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 鄧惠珍
業戶簽名:
日期: 16/8/2018

3367

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮錦先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的書選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 黃賀好
業戶簽名:
日期: 16-8-2018

3368

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊鄰係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 林燦文
業戶簽名:
日期: 16-8-2018

3369

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊鄰係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 張慧敏
業戶簽名:
日期: 16-8-2018

3370

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊鄰係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 林燦文
業戶簽名:
日期: 16-8-2018

3371

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊鄰係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Joe
業戶簽名:
日期: 16-8-18

3372

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊鄰係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 林燦文
業戶簽名:
日期: 15-8-18

3373

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊鄰係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Tea
業戶簽名:
日期: 16-8-18

3374

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊鄰係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 黃寶儀
業戶簽名:
日期: 16-8-2018

3375

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊鄰係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 黃寶儀
業戶簽名:
日期: 16-8-2018

3376

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊鄰係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 何清輝
業戶簽名:
日期: 16/8/2018

3377

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入將區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳 [illegible]
業戶簽名: [illegible]
日期: 17 08 2018

3378

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: CHAN WAI TANG
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 15-8-2018

3379

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: HON SUET YUN
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 17 Aug 18

3380

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 李 [illegible]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 17/8/2018

3381

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 劉 [illegible]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 17/8/2018

3382

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Kwok Siu CHEUNG
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 16 - 8 - 2018

3383

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 鄭 [illegible]
業戶簽名: 鄭 [illegible]
日期: 16/8/2018

3384

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Chan Chung Wai
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 15.8.2018

3385

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@re...
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Mercel
業戶簽名: Mercel
日期: 八月十六日

3386

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漢視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的書選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漢視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳智勇
業戶簽名: Chan Chi Yung
日期: 16 / 08 / 2018

3387

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漢視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的書選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漢視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: WING Wan Jun
業戶簽名: Wing Wan Jun
日期: 16.08.2018

3388

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漢視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的書選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漢視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: Mark C
業戶簽名: Mark C
日期: 八月十六日

3389

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漢視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的書選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漢視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 張秀賢
業戶簽名: 張秀賢
日期: 16-8-2018

3390

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漢視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的書選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漢視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: Wong Chun Kit
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 16.8.2018

3391

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漢視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的書選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漢視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: [signature]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 16.8.2018

3392

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漢視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的書選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漢視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 李錦儒
業戶簽名: 李錦儒
日期: 2018-8-14

3393

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漢視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的書選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漢視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名: 許錦強
日期: 15-8-18

3394

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漢視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的書選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漢視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名:
日期: 16-8-18

3395

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: cacesq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: CHAN KING SHING
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: August 2018

3396

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: cacesq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 王遠明
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 16-8-18

3397

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: cacesq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 謝惠明
業戶簽名: 謝惠明
日期: 2018年8月16

3398

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: cacesq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名: 陳玉妹
日期: 16.8.18

3399

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: cacesq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 杜文輝
業戶簽名:
日期: 16.8.18

3400

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: cacesq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 賴[illegible]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 17/8/16

3401

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: cacesq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 黃[illegible]
業戶簽名:
日期: 16-8-18

3402

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: cacesq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 黃[illegible]
業戶簽名:
日期: 16-8-18

3403

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: cacesq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 余惠
業戶簽名: 余惠
日期: 2018-8-16

3404

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉源庭選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: CHAN CHUK HAY
業戶簽名:
日期: Aug 15/2018

3405

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 林浩基
業戶簽名: 林浩基
日期: 15-8-2018

3406

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 董家忠
業戶簽名:
日期: 15-8-2018

3407

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名:
日期: 15-8-2018

3408

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 李崇安
業戶簽名:
日期: 15-8-2018

3409

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 林美娟
業戶簽名:
日期: 15-8-2018

3410

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 李炳權
業戶簽名: 李炳權
日期: 15-8-2018

3411

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名: 蓮登傑
日期: 15-8-18

3412

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 江惠青
業戶簽名: 江惠青
日期: 15/8 2018

3413

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: _____
業戶簽名: 高兆康
日期: 15/8/18

3414

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: _____
業戶簽名: 李錦容
日期: 15/8/18

3415

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 楊國正
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 15.8.18

3416

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Poon Yiu Kong
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 15/8

3417

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 馮宇強
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 1st August 2018

3418

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 林佩華
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 15-8-2018

3419

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: _____
業戶簽名: 葉寶泉
日期: 15-8-2018

3420

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊嘉湖居 第 座 樓 室
業戶姓名: 張潔雅
業戶簽名: 張潔雅
日期: 2018.8.15

3421

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊嘉湖居 第 座 樓 室
業戶姓名: [signature]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 2018-8-16

3422

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
灣港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
鍾騏先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmg@eac.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

鍾主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座劃入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）劃納入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本區苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，需要步行至〈嘉湖南〉選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，聽免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，確保取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊嘉湖居第 座 樓 室
業戶姓名: 陳[illegible]
業戶簽名: 陳
日期: 8-15-2018

3423

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups

反映2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱應時建議的意見

18/08/2018 12:24

From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

香港灣仔
港灣道25號
海港中心10樓
選舉管理委員會秘書處
eacenq@reo.gov.hk
執事先生/小姐台鑒：
看過署方網上提供的資料後，已向村民咨詢過，本村委會和我村居民都一致尊重及贊同選舉管理委員會的建議：將吳家村編入八鄉北(M38)的區議會選區。
我們相信，這個建議能貫穿這個社區南北兩個地域，有利區議會更準確吸納影響整個社區政策的的民意，向政府反映。感謝政府的安排。
順祝時祺！
吳家村村事委員會
會長吳小安 主席/村代表徐發堅謹上

3424(1)

中華人民共和國香港特別行政區
元朗區議會 程振明 議員辦事處
辦事處：[redacted] 電話：[redacted] 傳真：[redacted]

敬啟者：

建議將水蕉老圍及南坑村
劃入M11(十八鄉東)選區內

現時 M11 (十八鄉東) 及 M12 (十八鄉西) 建議暫定選區是將崇正新村由 M12 (十八鄉西) 轉編入鄰近的選區 M11 (十八鄉東), 但現時兩區的預計人口分別是 M11 (十八鄉東) 13766 人, 而 M12 (十八鄉西) 是 19402 人, 兩區預計人口相距近 5636 人。

綜觀 M11 (十八鄉東) 選區範圍內, 現時沒有任何大型屋苑將會落成, 未來幾年的人口應該在預計之內, 但反觀 M12 (十八鄉西) 在短期內會有大量小型屋宇入伙, 人口將會不斷增加。故我們建議將水蕉老圍及南坑村約 2000 居民由 M12 (十八鄉西) 編入 M11 (十八鄉東) 選區, 此舉既可平衡兩區人口的距離, 更不會破壞地方聯繫的維持。

鑑於上述的因素, 懇請 貴會能積極考慮我們的建議, 適當地調整兩區的分界。有勞之處, 心感銘謝！

此致
選舉管理委員會主席台鑒

元朗區議會議員 程振明

附上建議圖
二零一八年八月十三日

(申述人士其後提交補充資料，載錄於序號 3424(3)-3424(5))

3424(2)



建議範圍位置

3424(3)

中華人民共和國香港特別行政區
元朗區議會 程振明 議員辦事處
辦事處：[redacted] 電話：[redacted] 傳真：[redacted]

敬啟者：

建議將紅蕉田村
劃入M11(十八鄉東)選區內

早前本處曾致函 貴會建議將水蕉老圍及南坑村由 M12 (十八鄉西) 轉編入 M11 (十八鄉東)。近期收到上述居民的意見表示建議將紅蕉田村一併劃入 M11 (十八鄉東) 選區內。

理由是自古以來, 水蕉老圍、南坑村及紅蕉田村是一脈相承、同宗同祖, 有 (一圍三村) 的稱號。在村務、行政及祖堂事宜, 都經常涉及三村, 共同討論和決定。

鑑於上述的因素, 懇請 貴會能積極考慮我們的建議, 保留地方聯繫的維持。有勞之處, 心感銘謝！

此致
選舉管理委員會主席台鑒

程振明

程振明 敬上

附上建議圖
二零一八年九月廿六日

3424(4)



建議位置

3424(5)

中華人民共和國香港特別行政區
元朗區議會 程振明 議員辦事處
辦事處：[redacted] 電話：[redacted] 傳真：[redacted]

敬啟者：

補充資料
建議將紅鬍田村劃入 M11（十八鄉東）選區內

本處於二零一八年九月廿六日曾致函 貴會，建議將水蕉老圍及南坑村由 M12（十八鄉西）調編入 M11（十八鄉東），此為補充資料，須請 貴會辦理，有勞之處，心感銘謝！

此致
選舉管理委員會主席台鑒

程振明 敬上

二零一八年十月五日

3425(1)

十八鄉鄉事委員
Shap Pat Heung Rural Committee
香港新界元朗攸田路1號 Address：1, Yau Tin Tsai Road, Yuen Long, Hong Kong
Tel：2476 2264 Fax：2476 5757 Web-www: shappatheung.com E-mail: shappatheung2005@yahoo.com.hk

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉事務處
總選舉事務主任

敬啟者：

要求修訂區議會選區分界圖－元朗區 M11 及 M12

2019 年將進行新一屆區議會選舉，貴處按既定指引在 2018 年 7 月公佈新一屆區議會「一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，市民如有意見可在諮詢期內表達。

鄉近元朗市的近郊十八鄉，居住人口急促增長，貴處因而在來屆設立 M05 十八鄉中（附圖中橙色區域）、M10 十八鄉北（附圖中粉紅色區域）、M11 十八鄉東（附圖中藍色區域）及 M12 十八鄉西（附圖中綠色區域）4 個選區，較上屆有所增添。

參考資料，貴處已將崇正新村（一）及崇正新村（二）撥入 M11 選區，使人口符合法例許可幅度。惟整理後 M11 及 M12 選區人口分佈依然不均，相差竟逾 6000 人。現特修函要求修訂選界，將附圖黃色區域（水蕉老圍及南坑村）一併撥入 M11 十八鄉東，使本新選區人口平均分佈，便於管理和處理居民投訴和求助。

本人謹代表本鄉居民向貴處表達意見，期望閣下接納並落實趨近修訂。

連附件

梁福元
十八鄉鄉事委員會主席
梁福元

二零一八年八月九日

(申述人士其後提交補充資料，載錄於序號 3425(6)-3425(9))

3425(2)

— 29 —

建議選區名稱及代號（預計人口（偏離百分比））	建議選區範圍（確實範圍見夾附的選區分界建議圖及暫定選區範圍區界說明）	選管會的考慮因素
十八鄉北（新增選區）M10 [14 251 (-14.15%)]	包括山貝涌口村以東、青山公路－潭尾段以西、錦田河以南及 Grand Yoho 和元朗公路以北的範圍。	按選區分界建議圖於 2015 年的原區界，選區 M11（十八鄉東）（包括新增選區 M10（十八鄉北））及 M12（十八鄉西）在 2019 年的預計人口均會超出法例許可的上限，故此需要增加新選區以安納超出的人口。建議：
十八鄉東 M11 [13 766 (-17.07%)]	包括東成里、竹新村、朗善邨、崇正新村、海頌村及崇山新村的範圍。	(i) 在選區 M11（十八鄉東）原區界內元朗公路以北的位置劃定新增的選區 M10（十八鄉北）；及
十八鄉西 M12 [19 402 (+16.89%)]	包括田寮村、水蕉老圍、黃泥墩村及橫洲村的範圍。 建議由 M12 撥入水蕉老圍及南坑村到 M11 使選民數目均等，便於處理居民投訴及求助。	(ii) 為使選區 M12（十八鄉西）的人口能符合法例許可幅度，將選區原區界內的崇正新村（一）及崇正新村（二）轉撥入鄰近的選區 M11（十八鄉東）。

3425(3)



建議修訂 M11 及 M12 選區，將黃色所示地域劃入 M11，使鄰近現時村落人口相約，規劃更趨完善。

3425(4)

元朗區的臨時建議概要
Summary of Provisional Recommendations for Yuen Long District

選區代號 Code of Constituency	選區名稱 Name of Constituency	預計人口 Projected Population	偏離人口基數組別百分比 +/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
M01	豐年 Fung Nin	19 009	+14.50%
M02	元朗中心 Yuen Long Centre	13 542	-6.37%
M03	馬適 Fung Cheung	15 976	-3.75%
M04	元龍 Yuen Lung	13 761	-17.10%
M05	十八鄉中 Shap Pat Heung Central	20 582	+24.00%
M06	水邊 Shui Pin	18 727	+12.82%
M07	南屏 Nam Ping	13 508	-18.62%
M08	壆圍 Pek Long	13 849	-16.57%
M09	元朗東頭 Yuen Long Tung Tau	13 186	-20.56%
M10	十八鄉北 Shap Pat Heung North	14 251	-14.15%
M11	十八鄉東 Shap Pat Heung East	13 766	-17.07%
M12	十八鄉西 Shap Pat Heung West	19 402	+16.89%
M13	屏山南 Ping Shan South	16 555	-0.27%
M14	洪福 Hung Fuk	12 641	-23.84%
M15	厦村 Ha Tsuen	15 332	-7.63%
M16	屏山中 Ping Shan Central	15 036	-9.42%
M17	成發 Shing Yan	12 902	-22.27%
M18	天盛 Tin Shing	14 278	-13.98%
M19	天耀 Tin Yiu	12 734	-23.28%
M20	耀悅 Yiu Yau	13 916	-16.16%
M21	錦慈 Tsz Yau	14 562	-12.27%
M22	青朗南 Kingswood South	18 631	+12.24%
M23	瑞安 Shui On	17 756	+6.97%
M24	瑞華 Shui Wah	14 960	-9.87%
M25	錦華 Chung Wah	16 867	+1.61%
M26	錦角 Chung Pak	15 741	-5.17%
M27	青朗北 Kingswood North	20 117	+21.19%
M28	悅恩 Yuet Yan	18 671	+12.48%
M29	晴景 Ching King	19 077	+14.93%
M30	富恩 Fu Yan	19 671	+18.51%
M31	逸澤 Yat Chak	20 392	+22.85%
M32	天福 Tin Heng	20 465	+23.29%
M33	宏逸 Wang Yat	18 418	+10.96%
M34	屏山北 Ping Shan North	14 237	-14.23%
M35	錦慈花園 Fairview Park	20 038	+20.72%
M36	新田 San Tin	19 916	+19.98%
M37	錦田 Kam Tin	20 493	+23.46%
M38	八鄉北 Pat Heung North	13 491	-18.72%
M39	八鄉南 Pat Heung South	20 545	+23.77%
	總數 Total :	648 999	

將 M12 選區中現有的水蕉老圍及南坑村撥入 M11，
以平均人口及便於處理居民投訴和求助。

3425(5)



3425(6)

十八鄉鄉事委員會
Shap Pat Heung Rural Committee
香港新界元朗教忠路 1 號 Address : 1,Yau Tin East Road,Yuen Long, Hong Kong
Tel : 2476 2264 Fax : 2476 3757 Web :www.shappatheung.com E-mail: shappatheung2005@yahoo.com.hk

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉事務處
總選舉事務主任

敬啟者：

要求修訂區議會選區分界圖－元朗區 M11 及 M12
補充資料

本會曾於 2018 年 8 月 9 日致函貴署，提交修訂區議會 M11 及 M12 選區分界圖，今提交補充資料，敬請考慮及接納。

本會建議將現有擬於 M12 選區內的紅鬃田村(見附件黃色所示位置)及較早前建議的水蕉老圍及南坑村一併納入 M11 選區，概因所建議調整的 3 條村落為同一氏族，純因地緣關係分支作 3 條村。請考慮地區完整性將之歸一同撥至 M11 選區，並使人口分佈更趨平均及配合元朗鄉郊的未來發展。

本人謹代表十八鄉居民向貴處送呈補充資料，期望閣下接納並落實題述修訂。

十八鄉鄉事委員會主席
梁福元

連附件

二零一八年十月三日

3425(7)


TAI TONG ROAD EAST
TAI TONG ROAD
TAI TONG
YUEN KUI
Kam Tong
Shui Tau Lo Wai
Hill Wood
Hung Uk Tin Tsuen
Chun Wah Villa
Pacific View Villas
Chun Wah Villa Phase II
Pacific View Villas Phase II
Hung Uk Tin Tsuen
Yin Ming Gon
Shui Tau Lo Wai
Kam Tong
Shui Tau San Tsuen
Chun Wah Villa Phase 1
Hill Wood
Shui Tau San Tsuen
Shui Tau Lo Wai
Kam Tong
Shui Tau Lo Wai
Shui Tau San

第三冊
VOLUME 3
第二部分:申述書(序號 3426 – 6281)
PART II : PUBLIC REPRESENTATIONS (SERIAL NOS. 3426 – 6281)

目錄
TABLE OF CONTENTS

個別人士及機構提交的書面申述
WRITTEN REPRESENTATIONS SUBMITTED BY INDIVIDUALS AND ORGANISATIONS

序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	頁數 Page
申述書序號 1 – 3425 載錄於第三冊(第一部分) Public Representations with Serial Nos. 1 – 3425 are recorded in Volume 3 (Part I)						
元朗區 Yuen Long District						
3426	郭宇泰	3427	曾美連	3428	Au Wai Leung	1-3
3429	梁鉅榮	3430	吳廣勝	3431	梁美好	3-5
3432	鄧耀良	3433	陳女士	3434	無法辨認來信者姓名	5
3435	邱珊珊	3436	Li Yuk King	3437	鍾偉文	5-6
3438	黃福興	3439	黃女士	3440	余明琛	6-7
3441	李錦福	3442	葉愛嫦	3443	無法辨認來信者姓名	7-8
3444	陳偉有	3445	潘秀英	3446	胡秀麗	8-9
3447	李淑君	3448	陳鴻威	3449	郭志民	9-10
3450	梁太	3451	謝浩發	3452	區玉鳴	10-11
3453	陳萬訢	3454	梁樹好	3455	陳錦輝	11-12
3456	區淑敏	3457	Lui Sut Fan	3458	胡耀麟	12-13
3459	梁根娣	3460	麥國平	3461	麥健生	13-14
3462	黃志偉	3463	黃瑞蘭	3464	陳月英	14-15
3465	胡燕文	3466	楊女士	3467	鄺健維	15-16
3468	李宇冲	3469	王志標	3470	楊女士	16-17
3471	胡佩華	3472	鄧彩英	3473	羅彩蘭	17-18
3474	吳華勝	3475	Chan Kam Wing	3476	戚國全	18-19
3477	李政先生	3478	盧沃因	3479	Law Sun	19-20
3480	無法辨認來信者姓名	3481	覃女士	3482	梁麗娟	20-21
3483	梁永德	3484	柯榮輝	3485	無法辨認來信者姓名	21-22
3486	何燕湘	3487	周兆永	3488	劉名洋	22-23
3489	李華	3490	So Chui Wa、 謝錦德	3491	無法辨認來信者姓名	23-24
3492	劉先生	3493	張志強	3494	Cheng Wood Keung	24-25
3495	黎錦明	3496	顏霜	3497	Tang Wai Choi	25-26
3498	李秀屏	3499	張君	3500	周家偉	26-27

序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	頁數 Page
3501	孫偉光	3502	鄭永光	3503	梁煒棟	27-28
3504	梁敏儀	3505	陳霞	3506	陳永康	28-29
3507	周玉蓮	3508	劉政玉	3509	雷婉燕	29-30
3510	無法辨認來信者姓名	3511	鄭維嘉	3512	李鳳棣	30-31
3513	楊成基、鄭興祖女士	3514	無法辨認來信者姓名	3515	陸惠志	31-32
3516	羅家偉	3517	嚴瑜	3518	陳暉文	32-33
3519	Chu Ki Kau	3520	黃正培	3521	譚錦堅	33-34
3522	康惠玲	3523	張先生	3524	梁佩儀	34-35
3525	李少玲	3526	廖子暉	3527	林卓玫	35-36
3528	周煥轉	3529	陳福安	3530	Lo Yuk Hing	36-37
3531	文君	3532	NY Cheung	3533	Lo Yuen Yee	37-38
3534	錢惠紅	3535	宗先生	3536	黃慧怡	38-39
3537	Foo Siu Hoi	3538	李筠栢	3539	蘇偉倫	39-40
3540	馮愛蓮	3541	Chan Kin Yu	3542	Chow Wai Chu	40-41
3543	張彩珠	3544	冼惠蓮	3545	無法辨認來信者姓名	41-42
3546	文樹鴻	3547	郭展鋒	3548	張先生	42-43
3549	黃倩兒	3550	Wong Chi Wah	3551	張詠儀	43-44
3552	無法辨認來信者姓名	3553	吳釗明	3554	黎湛韶	44-45
3555	張錦強	3556	何觀嬌	3557	周永強	45-46
3558	羅鳳	3559	陳玉光	3560	Edmond	46-47
3561	張偉成	3562	Wong Mei Sze	3563	周永熙	47-48
3564	羅騰暉	3565	袁廣達	3566	張沛華	48-49
3567	文金有	3568	馬淑琴	3569	陳偉棠	49-50
3570	張先生	3571	羅照亮	3572	李潤來	50-51
3573	陳偉賢	3574	林志勝、簡坤賢	3575	張耀東	51-52
3576	陳兆輝	3577	黃正宏	3578	葉麗青	52-53
3579	周業萍	3580	吳金勝	3581	彭照雄	53-54
3582	勞健成	3583	鍾慧堅	3584	陳月嬋	54-55
3585	鄧秀霞	3586	袁錦得	3587	羅凱傑	55-56
3588	黎太	3589	葉寶強	3590	陳慧娥	56-57
3591	何君	3592	鄺穎琴	3593	關祺彪	57-58
3594	Tse Pik Yee	3595	鄧偉嬌	3596	李炳成	58-59
3597	洪珍玲	3598	來信者沒有署名	3599	馮振榮	59-60
3600	吳彬	3601	司徒其威	3602	鄧約翰	60-61
3603	潘幼珠	3604	容國成	3605	梁啟釗	61-62
3606	Li Ho Kei	3607	梁耀輝	3608	陳基	62-63
3609	曾榜華	3610	無法辨認來信者姓名	3611	官先生	63-64
3612	陳文通	3613	馬德成	3614	姚麗玲	64-65
3615	方志榮	3616	何仲文	3617	黎先生	65-66
3618	廖瑞棠	3619	無法辨認來信者姓名	3620	黃偉成	66-67
3621	陳永亮	3622	無法辨認來信者姓名	3623	無法辨認來信者姓名	67-68
3624	梁倩嫻	3625	姚惠平	3626	何耀輝	68-69
3627	來信者沒有署名	3628	溫小紅	3629	Fung Yiu Ning	69-70
3630	無法辨認來信者姓名	3631	陳月雲	3632	胡麗堅	70-71

序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	頁數 Page
3633	吳先生	3634	湯瑞珮	3635	梁太	71-72
3636	溫寶寶	3637	楊美霞	3638	黃日初	72-73
3639	梁群英	3640	陳美妮	3641	郭大衛	73-74
3642	Chong Siu Pong	3643	黃美好	3644	周萬善	74-75
3645	翟增然	3646	夏玲	3647	Cheung Sai Kwong	75-76
3648	無法辨認來信者姓名	3649	許水蓮	3650	陳錫輝	76-77
3651	Yeung Yuen Hong	3652	Ng Wai Lee	3653	黃劍唐	77-78
3654	謝福蓮	3655	張錦燕	3656	無法辨認來信者姓名	78-79
3657	黃炳堂	3658	呂玉潔	3659	李永源	79-80
3660	林秀欽	3661	李華	3662	陳耀明	80-81
3663	張淑卿	3664	黃彩妹	3665	王明釗	81-82
3666	陳昌奎	3667	吳少英	3668	Yeung Kia Man	82-83
3669	蔡惠斌	3670	無法辨認來信者姓名	3671	林旭希	83-84
3672	周仁傑	3673	Tse Wai Ling	3674	無法辨認來信者姓名	84-85
3675	劉健鋒	3676	郭志雄	3677	羅耀庭	85-86
3678	黃標球	3679	羅月明	3680	李燕媚	86-87
3681	李健瑩	3682	黃少萍	3683	Jenny	87-88
3684	何淑裘	3685	郭家年	3686	陳紹棠	88-89
3687	王錦雲、王錦霞	3688	霍本立	3689	張偉明	89-90
3690	秦炯儔	3691	陳惠芳	3692	陳成發	90-91
3693	鍾琼英	3694	Yim Mei Yee	3695	曾惠英	91-92
3696	Wong Mau Ming	3697	Ng Shui Fan	3698	Lok Wai Jing	92-93
3699	林碧書	3700	蔡方寧	3701	Kong Yuk Man	93-94
3702	來信者沒有署名	3703	蔣先生	3704	姚福柱	94-95
3705	陳妙珍	3706	朱惠貞	3707	Yeung Muk Tung	95-96
3708	梁月娥	3709	鄧錦坤	3710	吳廼業	96-97
3711	梁少偉	3712	王秀華	3713	陳鴻輝	97-98
3714	賴詠詩	3715	陳國明	3716	Cheung Chi Hung	98-99
3717	吳志新	3718	Ma Pui Wah	3719	黃世祥	99-100
3720	袁偉成	3721	莫國華、李彥芳	3722	王月珍	100-101
3723	陳樂輝	3724	梁啟鋒	3725	吳道揚	101-102
3726	Brian Sin	3727	Shiu Wing Yee	3728	趙美賢	102-103
3729	鄧國慶、蔡麗芳	3730	賴帝送	3731	黃芳	103-104
3732	歐陽永能	3733	黃燕嫻	3734	梁彩	104-105
3735	杜佳	3736	藍健財	3737	莫太	105-106
3738	Yu Sui Ling	3739	翟志良	3740	張家輝	106-107
3741	王松坤	3742	鄧偉昌	3743	李世偉	107-108
3744	黃惠明	3745	馮楗深	3746	方廣澤	108-109
3747	Ng Man Man	3748	李兆淇	3749	容樂	109-110
3750	Lee Kin Sun	3751	黎家風	3752	陳首業	110-111
3753	陳志瑩	3754	劉鳳卿	3755	Ma Suk Yan	111-112
3756	Leung Tai Kam	3757	林英宇	3758	何素霞	112-113
3759	Wong 先生	3760	黃慧騏	3761	連碧芳	113-114
3762	黃漢甘	3763	陳壽松	3764	無法辨認來信者姓名	114-115

序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	頁數 Page
3765	賴亦廉	3766	趙森強	3767	梁錦昌	115-116
3768	陶女士	3769	無法辨認來信者姓名	3770	周錫祥	116-117
3771	Ng Siu Kuen	3772	吳子祥	3773	李君	117-118
3774	李永安	3775	梁君	3776	李農發	118-119
3777	Tse Fung Ying	3778	陳慧君	3779	蔡培君	119-120
3780	鄧彩玉	3781	梁燕平	3782	沈燕玲	120-121
3783	楊志光、鄭新娟	3784	丁崇章	3785	李秀嫻	121-122
3786	吳君	3787	梁木根	3788	岑琼珠	122-123
3789	鍾月琴	3790	陳景華	3791	梁錦鴻	123-124
3792	陳遠昌	3793	梁月玲	3794	梁金	124-125
3795	無法辨認來信者姓名	3796	馮祖煥	3797	郭仁福	125-126
3798	潘煥森	3799	李月霞	3800	李漢、李錦華	126-127
3801	麥美豪	3802	潘偉成	3803	溫國強	127-128
3804	鄧先生	3805	李兆麟	3806	尤漢輝	128-129
3807	陳金娣	3808	楊芷諾	3809	邝玉	129-130
3810	楊金鎊	3811	Chan Suk Lan	3812	許枚	130-131
3813	李榮光	3814	羅寶輝	3815	W.S. Kee	131-132
3816	伍君	3817	馮雁玲	3818	盧可華	132-133
3819	潘大剛	3820	雲子峯	3821	謝景容	133-134
3822	廖志國	3823	趙葵顏	3824	Leung Yu To	134-135
3825	無法辨認來信者姓名	3826	周青松	3827	王志歡	135-136
3828	邱偉光	3829	鄧世昌	3830	梁容歡	136-137
3831	Li Yuk Sum	3832	陳細女	3833	盧家亮	137-138
3834	鄭祖順	3835	王慈香	3836	劉玉珍	138-139
3837	李先生	3838	羅國榮	3839	梁培全	139-140
3840	李華創	3841	Chiu Lai Fong	3842	Chan Man Ho	140-141
3843	張麗萍	3844	黃惠民	3845	黃瑞琼	141-142
3846	黃張好娟	3847	無法辨認來信者姓名	3848	張光榮	142-143
3849	鄭成	3850	張寶瑛	3851	黃達光	143-144
3852	翁秋華	3853	龍祖堅	3854	蘇燕萍	144-145
3855	李先生	3856	Cheung Wing Yan	3857	吳興妹	145-146
3858	蔡鳳珍	3859	陳細英	3860	周偉國	146-147
3861	謝乃勇	3862	無法辨認來信者姓名	3863	王玉金	147-148
3864	許錦清	3865	蔡寶粧	3866	蔡麗珍	148-149
3867	林玉清	3868	張永平	3869	梁仲民、陳鳳英	149-150
3870	楊慧芳	3871	李樹幫	3872	譚淑琴	150-151
3873	李錦棠	3874	無法辨認來信者姓名	3875	張美瑩	151-152
3876	梁偉成	3877	Chan Chung Kin	3878	祝見發	152-153
3879	祝見發	3880	陳兆文	3881	鍾有群	153-154
3882	Mak Wai Ting	3883	蔣康杰	3884	劉帶雄	154-155
3885	李淑華	3886	何婉儀	3887	何小姐	155-156
3888	梁女士	3889	蔡茂生	3890	楊美華	156-157
3891	姚卓顯	3892	Kwok Mei Lin	3893	陳君	157-158
3894	蕭麗發	3895	無法辨認來信者姓名	3896	楊金生	158-159

序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	頁數 Page
3897	李玉好	3898	施偉民	3899	李婉兒	159-160
3900	莫志雲	3901	李建新	3902	潘加寧	160-161
3903	黎志華	3904	黃順佳	3905	Lai Ming Hong	161-162
3906	文錦祥	3907	馮嘉華	3908	黃先生	162-163
3909	江太	3910	Tam Soo Chu	3911	黃女士	163-164
3912	Ng Hoi Yin	3913	林慧君	3914	衞君	164-165
3915	王湛	3916	無法辨認來信者姓名	3917	胡永龍	165-166
3918	劉志強	3919	Wong Yuk Ching	3920	So Suk Fan	166-167
3921	布健兒	3922	陳宣平	3923	陳惠蓮	167-168
3924	無法辨認來信者姓名	3925	龔運來	3926	李賀美	168-169
3927	Dik Wai Chung	3928	Wong Sau Loi	3929	Wong 女士	169-170
3930	李惠國、蕭桃	3931	Lam Yin King	3932	樊女士	170-171
3933	馮國強	3934	無法辨認來信者姓名	3935	王金評	171-172
3936	李廣大	3937	鄭雅儀	3938	鄭惠琴	172-173
3939	蘇沛鈞	3940	楊玲玲	3941	王雪	173-174
3942	無法辨認來信者姓名	3943	Wong Chiu Fung	3944	郭洪傑	174-175
3945	葉鳳儀	3946	曾德輝	3947	鄧永佳	175-176
3948	陳英傑	3949	林太	3950	簡帝松	176-177
3951	伍輝群	3952	王麗鳳	3953	李天養	177-178
3954	吳昌敏	3955	梁艷嫦	3956	鄧江全	178-179
3957	鍾志強	3958	鄭來正	3959	鄭文思	179-180
3960	林威然	3961	梁妙儀	3962	林倬匡	180-181
3963	無法辨認來信者姓名	3964	Lai Sek Kam	3965	黃光榮	181-182
3966	吳國昌	3967	朱佳麗	3968	譚潔貞	182-183
3969	秦偉生	3970	李繼光	3971	曾彩膺	183-184
3972	周偉文	3973	呂基華	3974	黃玉媚	184-185
3975	文嘉輝	3976	黃鴻	3977	陳士光	185-186
3978	陳玉蓮	3979	Chan Yuk Fong	3980	來信者沒有署名	186-187
3981	霍少立	3982	周偉文	3983	馮國樑	187-188
3984	謝錦榮	3985	阮健昇	3986	伍永鴻	188-189
3987	So King Man	3988	江家豐	3989	蘇燕梅	189-190
3990	談熾基	3991	Tsui Kuei Ching	3992	歐志明	190-191
3993	李煥容	3994	方偉富	3995	尹瑞珍	191-192
3996	丘惠卿	3997	周樹明	3998	Leung Sai Loi	192-193
3999	Law 君	4000	張思穎	4001	馮家銘	193-194
4002	劉英華	4003	杭惠雲	4004	李揚華	194-195
4005	曾慶翁	4006	黃淑嫻	4007	何靜儀	195-196
4008	Wong 女士	4009	呂嚴江	4010	陳煥全	196-197
4011	胡秀玲	4012	周仲添	4013	陳美仙	197-198
4014	Chan Tsz Chun	4015	黎婉珊	4016	詹偉光	198-199
4017	陳群芳	4018	何先生	4019	劉國華	199-200
4020	何潤蘇	4021	陳偉業	4022	梁啟賢	200-201
4023	盧翠玲	4024	吳添有	4025	張少芬	201-202
4026	林慶棠	4027	Ng Kim Hung	4028	無法辨認來信者姓名	202-203

序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	頁數 Page
4029	謝良金	4030	陳愛清	4031	連志雄	203-204
4032	滕仲德	4033	陳淑芬	4034	張鴻	204-205
4035	Chan Chun Ngor	4036	Wong Wing Chi	4037	陳錫初	205-206
4038	蔡健康	4039	盧偉民	4040	黎耀基	206-207
4041	陳女士	4042	林健康	4043	Kuet Sau Ling Christine	207-208
4044	Wong Sui King	4045	林琦虹	4046	范雅珊	208-209
4047	宋錦添	4048	黃少群	4049	郭秀梅	209-210
4050	薛珍麗	4051	黃燕橋	4052	徐麗英	210-211
4053	張國明	4054	無法辨認來信者姓名	4055	張子航	211-212
4056	姚聘隆	4057	盧惠恩	4058	黃金喜	212-213
4059	朱笑華	4060	陳桂玲	4061	張銀如	213-214
4062	何泳波	4063	梁雪連	4064	劉細偉	214-215
4065	鍾太	4066	Lai Kim Mei	4067	陳貽起	215-216
4068	潘建邦	4069	貢健基	4070	陳彩珍	216-217
4071	宋嘉儀	4072	黃紹廷	4073	Yu Ling	217-218
4074	葉鳳珊	4075	鄧國海	4076	無法辨認來信者姓名	218-219
4077	蔡愛治	4078	雷素霞	4079	Pun Loi Yu	219-220
4080	陳翠儀	4081	張金屏	4082	葉德文	220-221
4083	劉國強	4084	張舜華	4085	黃熙芝	221-222
4086	陳全根	4087	陳志強	4088	Sit Hoi Yan	222-223
4089	郭少金	4090	Lam Yan Ping	4091	何麗芬	223-224
4092	無法辨認來信者姓名	4093	陳嘉儀	4094	黃金妹	224-225
4095	梁鳳玲	4096	蔡婉華	4097	黃偉光	225-226
4098	Lee Mei Lin	4099	蔡珍彩	4100	林玉清	226-227
4101	王嘉麗	4102	駱璟仁	4103	陳炳南	227-228
4104	鍾志業	4105	梅家聲	4106	黃小鳳	228-229
4107	翁麗珍	4108	曾令忠	4109	梁啟源	229-230
4110	Lui Dik Man	4111	莫群英	4112	蔡潔儀	230-231
4113	朱慧敏	4114	李錦明	4115	梁德逢	231-232
4116	張植明	4117	馬錦祥	4118	蔣春梅	232-233
4119	Wong Hoi Chi	4120	陳錦榮	4121	陳樹興	233-234
4122	劉家雄	4123	徐麗冰	4124	Fan Lai Yan	234-235
4125	麥寶貞	4126	溫博彥	4127	陳志坤	235-236
4128	謝仲輝	4129	陳建文	4130	唐惠晶	236-237
4131	徐麗琪	4132	陳智豪	4133	麥美霞	237-238
4134	劉淑儀	4135	潘潔玲	4136	蔡敏輝	238-239
4137	吳先生	4138	鄧錦裳	4139	陳耀榕	239-240
4140	陳景欣	4141	鄭金嫦	4142	Chan 女士	240-241
4143	Chan Chi Ping	4144	陳玉均	4145	Lo Pui Yi	241-242
4146	李斯鴻	4147	余藝強	4148	陳蕙貞	242-243
4149	廖文龍	4150	周國華	4151	蕭偉強	243-244
4152	曾女士	4153	麥華勝	4154	謝金杏	244-245
4155	Shing Fung Ling	4156	陳發	4157	李麗珠	245-246
4158	黃先生	4159	Ching Shing	4160	李德鑑	246-247

序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	頁數 Page
4161	王偉良	4162	陳燕玲	4163	何愛華	247-248
4164	Fung Kam Ho	4165	李軒承	4166	周君	248-249
4167	馮偉明	4168	Lung Fung Ling	4169	曾麗紅	249-250
4170	趙女士	4171	Sam Chui	4172	徐女士	250-251
4173	文景忠	4174	梁子文	4175	莫忠懷	251-252
4176	潘彥豪	4177	許少文	4178	陳福培	252-253
4179	鄺愛霞	4180	Wong Hing Lun	4181	陳玉娟	253-254
4182	黃宏森	4183	陳惠冰	4184	Kwok King Hung	254-255
4185	郭燕霞	4186	徐志華	4187	唐俊強	255-256
4188	孫雲國、甘裕婉	4189	陳淑賢	4190	蕭潤權	256-257
4191	蘇碧嬋	4192	Kong Yin Sang	4193	謝華烈	257-258
4194	李女士	4195	李玉雲	4196	李祺雄	258-259
4197	徐玉珍	4198	張群生	4199	林鑑輝	259-260
4200	唐寶娟	4201	周素華	4202	王志堅	260-261
4203	黃發輝	4204	李鎮洪	4205	Mr. Yuen	261-262
4206	Lam Koon Wan	4207	吳城偉	4208	陳燕玲	262-263
4209	曹潔明	4210	勞燦鴻	4211	廖月玲	263-264
4212	陳秀明	4213	潘振中	4214	Wong Kin Fai	264-265
4215	Chan Kai Wah	4216	Tam Siu Wa Avvy	4217	陳偉光	265-266
4218	無法辨認來信者姓名	4219	郭波	4220	無法辨認來信者姓名	266-267
4221	黃啟志	4222	蘇笑初	4223	張冠文	267-268
4224	許美華	4225	Wong Fei Ling	4226	林烽、郭子萍	268-269
4227	朱斌芳	4228	楊玉坤	4229	蔡冠林	269-270
4230	陳錦旺	4231	楊麗珍	4232	王經緯	270-271
4233	吳小瓊	4234	劉鳳英	4235	魏國海	271-272
4236	韓曼芳	4237	尹秋玲	4238	霍志誠	272-273
4239	趙美琴	4240	林秀霜	4241	梁妹仔	273-274
4242	鄭佩馨	4243	伍德亮	4244	李崇慧	274-275
4245	林賢駒	4246	溫先生	4247	陸景良	275-276
4248	溫文泰	4249	張惠卿	4250	羅女士	276-277
4251	麥海林	4252	Li Chung Yu	4253	Yam Kit Hing	277-278
4254	陳萬強	4255	蔡家輝	4256	黃少蘭	278-279
4257	李惠兒	4258	田國俊	4259	莫庭華	279-280
4260	陳錫興	4261	Tsui San Wa	4262	鄺天文	280-281
4263	李煒基	4264	李景茹	4265	李樂賜	281-282
4266	李燕英	4267	蘇麗賢	4268	陳伯謙	282-283
4269	何錦輝	4270	司徒惠蘭	4271	吳漢朝	283-284
4272	無法辨認來信者姓名	4273	何先生	4274	張先生	284-285
4275	陳麗兒	4276	陳鳳薇	4277	葉華	285-286
4278	余先生	4279	丁流德	4280	廖雪珍	286-287
4281	何佩玲	4282	Pang Kit Hung	4283	吳惠芬	287-288
4284	梁念漢	4285	Leung Ka Yi	4286	黃碧華	288-289
4287	洪集明	4288	彭智明	4289	容沛詩	289-290
4290	來信者沒有署名	4291	陳先生	4292	李樹安	290-291

序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	頁數 Page
4293	Yau Kit Yi	4294	Alan Wong	4295	李天福	291-292
4296	Wong Yip Ting	4297	梁太	4298	黃君	292-293
4299	李女士	4300	丘少僑	4301	Jackie Wong	293-294
4302	李瑞華	4303	林桂勝	4304	唐小燕	294-295
4305	王彬	4306	蘇建琼	4307	鄧作中	295-296
4308	劉慶秋	4309	石旭坤	4310	李悅貴	296-297
4311	Chung Siu Chu Judy	4312	無法辨認來信者姓名	4313	Lo Kwok Ching	297-298
4314	鍾潔玲	4315	黃燕恒	4316	曾鳳儀	298-299
4317	尹振華	4318	李銀清	4319	李廣雄	299-300
4320	何錦樹	4321	任麥煥娟	4322	陳志雄	300-301
4323	Wong Kam Yee	4324	胡平有	4325	梁翠青	301-302
4326	江靜嫻	4327	翁潔賦	4328	鄺更勝	302-303
4329	張笑容	4330	何玉輝	4331	Wong Kan Lan	303-304
4332	林偉明	4333	麥敬芳	4334	黃偉方	304-305
4335	曾長達	4336	林惠英	4337	張烙華	305-306
4338	梁錦英	4339	陳玉芳	4340	陳佩儀	306-307
4341	李小妹	4342	林志雄	4343	陳景暉	307-308
4344	余理行	4345	Kok Tai Kam	4346	梁玉芬	308-309
4347	賴國輝	4348	林君	4349	無法辨認來信者姓名	309-310
4350	余碧雫	4351	紀志明	4352	鍾婉微	310-311
4353	嚴啟森	4354	古小紅	4355	Lam 女士	311-312
4356	黃惠芳	4357	何月蓮	4358	Lau Ka Wah	312-313
4359	無法辨認來信者姓名	4360	Cheong Siu Tung	4361	伍麗賢	313-314
4362	黃德泉	4363	梁浩超	4364	Ms Huang	314-315
4365	謝兆祥	4366	何俊彥	4367	無法辨認來信者姓名	315-316
4368	葉振強	4369	Tang Chi Tak	4370	曾妙琼	316-317
4371	郭金嬋	4372	丘建勳	4373	郭玉英	317-318
4374	文玉芹	4375	鄧美蘭	4376	曾廣穎	318-319
4377	英雪媚	4378	邱志生	4379	Wendy	319-320
4380	李淑琴	4381	蕭淑霞	4382	黃振洪	320-321
4383	無法辨認來信者姓名	4384	無法辨認來信者姓名	4385	趙先生	321-322
4386	梁榮釗	4387	陸潤開	4388	陳鳳蓮	322-323
4389	羅耀祖	4390	何志偉	4391	李女士	323-324
4392	蕭海洋	4393	溫旋	4394	詹英英	324-325
4395	穆炳文	4396	陳晨兒	4397	蘇靄碧	325-326
4398	Hung Wai Nam	4399	周金勝	4400	王志龍	326-327
4401	李君	4402	陳家玉	4403	施永比	327-328
4404	郭志文	4405	梁叔嫻	4406	葉家潤	328-329
4407	姚敬茼	4408	彭育群	4409	徐鏡波	329-330
4410	周偉光	4411	馮健成	4412	Yu Kun Chau	330-331
4413	黃憬然	4414	張樂慈	4415	劉達輝	331-332
4416	李為誠	4417	陳紫文	4418	馮劍明	332-333
4419	徐美莉	4420	Tai Sui Heung	4421	方志傑	333-334
4422	梁麗	4423	萬綺霞	4424	Wong 女士	334-335

序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	頁數 Page
4425	王女士	4426	謝先生	4427	蘇女士	335-336
4428	李焯鈞、梁玉蓮	4429	李綺梅	4430	無法辨認來信者姓名	336-337
4431	蔡錦華	4432	張太	4433	戴潔瑜	337-338
4434	范淑貞	4435	譚遺娟	4436	黃浩倫	338-339
4437	孔健強	4438	黃妙芝	4439	馮志基	339-340
4440	黃言好	4441	關健強	4442	謝景洪	340-341
4443	丘淼源	4444	陳美果	4445	Li Yat	341-342
4446	關卓賢	4447	趙月娥	4448	王麗俐	342-343
4449	無法辨認來信者姓名	4450	Ng 先生	4451	翁潔珍	343-344
4452	陳先生	4453	馮晃明	4454	梁太	344-345
4455	彭志明	4456	Cheung wai Sham	4457	林燕妘	345-346
4458	劉亮權	4459	李廣榮	4460	陳麗貞	346-347
4461	林水清	4462	Tang Wai Shung	4463	陳美冰	347-348
4464	周美好	4465	Lam Kim Fan	4466	陳澤民	348-349
4467	張雪玲	4468	彭炳財	4469	蘇寶璣	349-350
4470	無法辨認來信者姓名	4471	關兆威	4472	Ng Wing Yi	350-351
4473	梁志森	4474	Fung Lai Sheung	4475	來信者沒有署名	351-352
4476	陳少蘭	4477	趙群香	4478	莫偉民	352-353
4479	戴秀梅	4480	簡子誠	4481	袁月群	353-354
4482	黃杏娟	4483	Chan Wai Ching	4484	劉琳	354-355
4485	無法辨認來信者姓名	4486	胡劍苗	4487	Lau Kwok Hing	355-356
4488	陳女士	4489	無法辨認來信者姓名	4490	Wong Ka Fai	356-357
4491	馮妙娟	4492	鄺愛芬	4493	羅國治	357-358
4494	莫嘉鳳	4495	梁國湛	4496	陸佩雲	358-359
4497	無法辨認來信者姓名	4498	賀玉霞	4499	梁國華	359-360
4500	鍾志揚	4501	陳雅蓮	4502	歐陽秀嫻	360-361
4503	李月好	4504	梅萬頤	4505	黃月珍	361-362
4506	梁伯勤	4507	Tam 先生	4508	Pang 女士	362-363
4509	羅少龍	4510	無法辨認來信者姓名	4511	吳啟成	363-364
4512	吳愛	4513	丘灼祺	4514	鄭嘉倫	364-365
4515	何玉玲	4516	林乙聰	4517	溫錦招	365-366
4518	葉祐青	4519	陳敬文	4520	張立斌	366-367
4521	李趣	4522	Shun 女士	4523	陳振海	367-368
4524	無法辨認來信者姓名	4525	Chan Man Kwong	4526	Miss Law	368-369
4527	陳銘康	4528	萬福華	4529	無法辨認來信者姓名	369-370
4530	黃麗媚	4531	無法辨認來信者姓名	4532	歐陽壽時	370-371
4533	鄭金水	4534	龔順娥	4535	馬成昌	371-372
4536	楊桂盛	4537	陳振文	4538	黃佩儀	372-373
4539	吳惠儀	4540	無法辨認來信者姓名	4541	李文智	373-374
4542	Ng Ching Han	4543	麥翠紅	4544	無法辨認來信者姓名	374-375
4545	羅軒	4546	汪先生	4547	Lee Chun Tung	375-376
4548	雷淑芷	4549	麥家恩	4550	魏文錦	376-377
4551	曾雅文	4552	方家美	4553	張金麗	377-378
4554	陳子宏	4555	陳瑩瑩	4556	黎月嫦	378-379

序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	頁數 Page
4557	李頌恩	4558	李大超	4559	無法辨認來信者姓名	379-380
4560	張美奈	4561	李潔瑩	4562	黃詩詠	380-381
4563	鄭文倩	4564	無法辨認來信者姓名	4565	江志豪	381-382
4566	曾淑儀	4567	鄭龍波	4568	游國華	382-383
4569	陳瑞華	4570	張達文	4571	夏存霖	383-384
4572	Chu Chun Lee	4573	王瑞英	4574	無法辨認來信者姓名	384-385
4575	葉柱根	4576	陳孟豪	4577	Lee Chi Leung	385-386
4578	梁肇華	4579	唐玉田	4580	劉珮如	386-387
4581	胡新煌	4582	余玉欽	4583	陸正雄	387-388
4584	無法辨認來信者姓名	4585	吳榮輝	4586	李振濤	388-389
4587	梁劍華	4588	李孔誠	4589	黃國基	389-390
4590	曾偉珍	4591	吳鴻偉	4592	蘇美儀	390-391
4593	馮碧莉	4594	吳志傑	4595	鄭俊年	391-392
4596	李月微	4597	無法辨認來信者姓名	4598	周劍康	392-393
4599	梁國偉	4600	麥淑梅	4601	謝燕琴	393-394
4602	陳昭宇	4603	黃敏琴	4604	陳德明	394-395
4605	姚廣強	4606	黃妙芬	4607	區志華	395-396
4608	鍾貴有	4609	王國興	4610	何少康	396-397
4611	何思慧	4612	馮群妹	4613	鄧嘉好	397-398
4614	黃太	4615	羅祖光	4616	何惠芳	398-399
4617	梁麗常	4618	廖君	4619	吳先生	399-400
4620	高志明	4621	趙女士	4622	范麗玲	400-401
4623	張譯文	4624	冼君	4625	梁卓榮	401-402
4626	陳妙珍	4627	陳偉超	4628	無法辨認來信者姓名	402-403
4629	王富強	4630	王志歡	4631	無法辨認來信者姓名	403-404
4632	Yip Ching	4633	Lo Shuk Ching	4634	Yip Wing	404-405
4635	黃成康	4636	陳晨濤	4637	無法辨認來信者姓名	405-406
4638	陳婉冰	4639	李智雅	4640	馬楚蘭	406-407
4641	黎玉媚	4642	無法辨認來信者姓名	4643	麥佑勤	407-408
4644	黃君	4645	甘家諾	4646	張綺霞	408-409
4647	張麗珍	4648	許文舉	4649	Kui Chung Shing	409-410
4650	林桂文	4651	謝惠賢	4652	李詠詩	410-411
4653	Lin Yu Lung	4654	林茜真	4655	戴樹榮	411-412
4656	吳拱欣	4657	蘇裕添	4658	Lok Kam Fung	412-413
4659	Ip Lai Ying	4660	全瑾	4661	伍子文	413-414
4662	Ngo Ching Yee	4663	周女士	4664	吳集香	414-415
4665	吳麗芳	4666	Yin Kei Leung	4667	羅金福	415-416
4668	李女士	4669	Jak Ho	4670	廖定英	416-417
4671	Hon Chi	4672	梁艷好	4673	陳澤林	417-418
4674	鄭路文	4675	覃愛華	4676	張英波	418-419
4677	無法辨認來信者姓名	4678	李耀球	4679	林耀光	419-420
4680	劉秀萍	4681	葉福全	4682	黃建英	420-421
4683	布美英	4684	梁廣根	4685	黃鑽萍	421-422
4686	鄭紫美	4687	潘偉建	4688	劉惠清	422-423

序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	頁數 Page
4689	郭繼泉	4690	潘標	4691	曾耀華	423-424
4692	鄒偉生	4693	陳君	4694	廖萍	424-425
4695	楊軍	4696	張先生	4697	Mr. Yiu	425-426
4698	周淑芬	4699	游水生	4700	Yim Chuen Yu	427-428
4701	Fong Yin Fong	4702	梁開榮	4703	Lee Chi Shing	428
4704	Ng Chi Ming	4705	管蘭嬌	4706	陳興華	428
4707	鍾麗	4708	任彩彤	4709	任永源	428-429
4710	任君	4711	梁承科	4712	CheungWai Hei	429
4713	曹源興	4714	曹芯瑜	4715	袁蘭	429
4716	李雪梅	4717	張秀妹	4718	Chiu Huen	429-430
4719	Fung Siu Mei	4720	Chan Pik Kam	4721	Wong Chi Kan	430
4722	Tam Mee Kam	4723	楊耀光	4724	Wong Chak Ho	430
4725	陳子穎	4726	Lee Kwan Yung	4727	Li Yee Lui	430-431
4728	Tsang Pong Shing	4729	Chiu Wing Kwong	4730	鄺美施	431
4731	陳潔冰	4732	Yu Chui Ping	4733	黃連娣	431
4734	何艷紅	4735	阮倩琴	4736	Chiu Suk Yi	431-432
4737	田忠民	4738	無法辨認來信者姓名	4739	包于煌	432
4740	Poon Hang	4741	張英良	4742	黃小姐	432
4743	彭康廷	4744	無法辨認來信者姓名	4745	何金勝、黃連娣	432-433
4746	何健偉、黃連娣	4747	李春及、黃連娣	4748	趙麗冰	433
4749	Lai Kit Yee	4750	段玉紅	4751	鄧志輝	433
4752	陳智銘	4753	Koh Kwok Wah	4754	曾綺雲	433-434
4755	歐陽偉洪	4756	黃沛球	4757	Fan Chui Wah	434
4758	Yau Yu Yu	4759	杜健祥	4760	Leung Kevin	434
4761	沅棋	4762	陳念紅	4763	梁眾民	434-435
4764	Shiu Lai King	4765	Chan See Wing	4766	陸美麗	435
4767	楊國興	4768	倪燕輝	4769	楊振田	435
4770	黃振光	4771	Mr Tse	4772	翁漢松	435-436
4773	區鳳賢	4774	黃漢心	4775	劉偉連	436
4776	俞雪紅	4777	胡立權	4778	顏朝陽	436
4779	李偉樂	4780	梁愛璋	4781	Koon Lok Weng	436-437
4782	黃芊欣	4783	文偉強	4784	梁雪儀	437
4785	Wong Chun Man	4786	To Chi Chung	4787	陳嘉熙	437
4788	李君	4789	方焯榮	4790	蘇美卿	437-438
4791	張少芬	4792	羅君	4793	郭惠英	438
4794	蔡保源	4795	蔡樂晴	4796	Lau Wing Sze	438
4797	柳碧薇	4798	無法辨認來信者姓名	4799	莊美茹	438-439
4800	李素蓮	4801	呂幹新	4802	鄧文興	439
4803	周文龍	4804	黃敏華	4805	無法辨認來信者姓名	439
4806	Cheng Chee Kwan	4807	周頌儀	4808	陳君	439-440
4809	Shin Lai Chu	4810	鄭志勤	4811	Chung Yik Cheung	440
4812	羅美梅	4813	So Wai Chi	4814	Lau Wai Hang	440
4815	陳偉文	4816	Kwan Pik Ha	4817	袁麗娟	440-441
4818	陳伯良	4819	尹力奮	4820	吳淑嫻	441

序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	頁數 Page
4821	李鈞池	4822	Lau Sheung Ling	4823	Lau Sze Sze	441
4824	Cathing Pang	4825	蕭以恩	4826	蕭志朗	441-442
4827	劉麗容	4828	李錦志	4829	Kwong Chung Ming	442
4830	胡銘傑	4831	胡翠琴	4832	胡偉光	442
4833	胡銘欣	4834	陸偉強	4835	Chim Pak Hong	442-443
4836	Chim Lee Hung	4837	鄭俊鳳	4838	周翠儀	443
4839	謝君	4840	邵證瀛	4841	Yau Wing Yeung	443
4842	H. S. Ip	4843	鄧珮琳	4844	林潭波	443-444
4845	歐添運	4846	李素雯	4847	Tsang Hing Leung	444
4848	Lin Kam Chuen	4849	Cheung Ka Lun	4850	Leung Kwok Ki	444
4851	Tang Chun Ho	4852	周啟基	4853	馮基澔	444-445
4854	Hui Wing Yin	4855	劉美珍	4856	Ma Po Yee	445
4857	Wong Mei Lan	4858	Chan Kin Sang	4859	Yuen Man Kei	445
4860	Chan Lai Pun	4861	蔡國強	4862	葉耀宗	445-446
4863	無法辨認來信者姓名	4864	霍艷馨	4865	俞錦全	446
4866	Law Wai Lin	4867	楊彬慈	4868	楊洛天	446
4869	Kwan Ching Wai	4870	楊碧珍	4871	許益敏	446-447
4872	黃紹雄	4873	無法辨認來信者姓名	4874	Lai Cheuk Yan Esther	447
4875	郭英傑	4876	許炳輝	4877	蔣佩玲	447
4878	丘玉芳	4879	鄧詩韻	4880	Foo Lin Shing	447-448
4881	朱劍雄	4882	Lo Siu Fan	4883	香鳳英	448
4884	Chan Kam Fung	4885	Ng Ka Chiu	4886	Lam Yuen Yi	448
4887	蔡輝	4888	丘文浩	4889	陳永泰	448-449
4890	Siu Po Ching	4891	Carol Chan	4892	林柱安	449
4893	Xu Wen Ying	4894	Wong Sau Ping	4895	蔡秋華	449
4896	To Oi Lin Irene	4897	Lau Ka Wing	4898	劉譪新	449-450
4899	Law Yee Tak	4900	Jimmy Chan	4901	梁捷	450
4902	鄭毅彬	4903	趙秀麗、趙晨希	4904	趙晨希	450-451
4905	北朗居民陳東	4906	四村會、模範鄉居民協會主席羅志明及載有模範鄉 164 個居民簽署	4907	林生	451-457
4908	瑞心樓互助委員會	4909	新民黨	4910	來信者沒有署名	457-458
4911	來信者要求身份及內容保密					458

北區 North District

4912	來信者要求身份保密	4913	業主居民聯會-上水翠麗花園義務秘書江秀卿	4914	北區區議員侯福達	459-460
4915	上水區鄉事委員會主席	4916	來信者沒有署名	4917	Winnie Lam	460

大埔區 Tai Po District

4918	沙田區居民關沛浚	4919	大埔頭太湖山莊居民江少琼	4920	P14 寶雅選區選民 Lego Man	461

序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	頁數 Page
4921	大埔區議員陳灶良	4922	P10 承峰居民廖錫光	4923	大埔鄉事委員會主席張學明、副主席陳笑權、副主席張國棟	461-462
4924	來信者沒有署名	4925	水圍原居民鄧友發	4926	大埔聯益鄉代表張國棟	463-464
4927	香港經濟民生聯盟主席盧偉國立法會議員, SBS, MH, JP、副主席林健鋒立法會議員, GBS, JP、副主席梁美芬立法會議員, SBS, JP、大埔區議員陳灶良議員, MH、李華光議員、羅曉楓議員、沙田區議員莫錦貴議員, BBS、社區幹事陳銘傑	4928	李浩然	4929	無法辨認來信者姓名	464-465
4930	大埔林村居民(無法辨認來信者姓名)	4931	大埔林村居民黃巧明	4932	大埔林村居民張金穩	465-466
4933	大埔居民(無法辨認來信者姓名)	4934	大埔居民黃勝展	4935	陳仲媛	466-467
4936	無法辨認來信者姓名	4937	朱雪芳	4938	大埔林村居民(無法辨認來信者姓名)	467
4939	大埔區市民(無法辨認來信者姓名)	4940	大埔區市民 Ng Wai Chi	4941	大埔居民陳桂好	468
4942	大埔林村居民(無法辨認來信者姓名)	4943	無法辨認來信者姓名	4944	大埔區市民黃瑞琴	468-469
4945	大埔林村居民(無法辨認來信者姓名)	4946	大埔居民林詠怡	4947	大埔花園業主立案法團主席盧家堯	469-470
4948	Resident of Tai Po District (無法辨認來信者姓名)	4949	Resident of Tai Po District Tonny Chan	4950	Resident of Tai Po District Rayman Chan	470
4951	Resident of Tai Po District Gary Ho	4952	Resident of Tai Po District (無法辨認來信者姓名)	4953	大埔居民(無法辨認來信者姓名)	471
4954	大埔居民 Wai Hung William Chan	4955	大埔居民(無法辨認來信者姓名)	4956	大埔居民羅志明	471-472
4957	大埔居民黃麗梅	4958	大埔居民(無法辨認來信者姓名)	4959	大埔居民羅燕桃	472-473

序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	頁數 Page
4960	大埔居民丘慧艷	4961	大埔林村居民(無法辨認來信者姓名)	4962	大埔林村居民陳三帶	473
4963	大埔林村居民(無法辨認來信者姓名)	4964	大埔林村居民(無法辨認來信者姓名)	4965	陳伯樂	474
4966	陳黃遠英	4967	李癸嬌	4968	Chan Tim Loi	474-475
4969	鍾玉光	4970	温錦榮	4971	黃啟東	475-476
4972	林智賢	4973	無法辨認來信者姓名	4974	無法辨認來信者姓名	476
4975	張銘賢	4976	張邨雄	4977	姚佳蓮	477
4978	大埔林村居民劉文邦	4979	大埔林村居民(無法辨認來信者姓名)	4980	大埔林村居民(無法辨認來信者姓名)	477-478
4981	何子清	4982	黎敏璇	4983	無法辨認來信者姓名	478-479
4984	李金連	4985	劉桂英	4986	黎連娟	479
4987	大埔居民陳小玲	4988	大埔林村居民 Oscar	4989	大埔林村居民郭華喜	480
4990	大埔林村居民 Keith	4991	大埔林村居民黎兆珠	4992	大埔花園居民林康廣	480-481
4993	大埔花園居民唐禕端	4994	陳玉玲	4995	無法辨認來信者姓名	481-482
4996	無法辨認來信者姓名	4997	大埔林村居民凌娟	4998	大埔區議員任啟邦	482-483
4999	無法辨認來信者姓名	5000	大埔區議員鄧銘泰	5001	陳家進	483-484
5002	大埔林村居民丘連春	5003	大埔居民(無法辨認來信者姓名)	5004	大埔居民程偉儀	484
5005	大埔區市民(無法辨認來信者姓名)	5006	羅樂民	5007	大埔區市民(無法辨認來信者姓名)	485
5008	無法辨認來信者姓名	5009	大埔居民 Cheung Choi Chu	5010	大埔林村居民丘卓謙	485-486
5011	大埔區議員周炫瑋	5012	太和邨麗和樓互助委員會曾慶榮	5013	大埔林村居民 Lo	486-487
5014	大埔頭原居民鄧佩達太平紳士	5015	大埔區市民(無法辨認來信者姓名)	5016	大埔區市民(無法辨認來信者姓名)	487-488
5017	大埔區市民(無法辨認來信者姓名)	5018	大埔林村居民(無法辨認來信者姓名)	5019	大埔居民(無法辨認來信者姓名)	488-489
5020	大埔林村居民 Fion	5021	大埔居民程碧英	5022	太和邨業主立案法團主席任肖雲女士	489-490
5023	太和邨亨和樓互助委員會主席王德明先生	5024	太和邨翠和樓互助委員會(無法辨認來信者姓名)	5025	逸瓏灣 I 業主委員會主席陳德昌先生及逸瓏灣 II 業主委員會主席呂啟源先生	490-491
5026	承峰業主委員會主席楊楚健	5027	太和邨福和樓互助委員會(無法辨認來信者姓名)	5028	選民 Grace	491-492

序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	頁數 Page
西貢區 Sai Kung District						
5029	Kelvin Mak	5030	來信者沒有署名	5031	西貢居民 Carina Chan	493
5032	西貢區議員方國珊	5033	太平村互助委員會主席馬逢文先生	5034	市民(無法辨認來信者姓名)	493-494
5035	市民(無法辨認來信者姓名)	5036	選民王汝榮	5037	選民胡雪蓮	495
5038	市民胡雪招	5039	市民陳漢民	5040	市民(無法辨認來信者姓名)	495-496
5041	市民高淑芬	5042	市民(無法辨認來信者姓名)	5043	市民(無法辨認來信者姓名)	496-497
5044	Chan Kit Chung	5045	黃竹山新村原居民代表鍾天生先生及黃竹山新村居民代表鍾觀生先生	5046	北港坳原居民代表劉玉華先生及北港坳居民代表劉偉權先生	497
5047	西貢市中心市民	5048	立法會議員容海恩	5049	寶盈花園(將軍澳)業主立案法團第五屆管理委員主席伍長球	498-499
5050	西貢區議員 范國威、林少忠、梁里、鍾錦麟、呂文光及黎銘澤	5051	運亨居民朱學	5052	來信者要求身份保密	499-500
5053	西貢區議員李家良	5054	慧安園業主立案法團及載有慧安園 54 個居民簽署	5055	香港市民歐陽先生	500-503
5056	康景區選民黃諾行	5057	劉偉卓			503-504
沙田區 Sha Tin District						
5058	來信者沒有署名	5059	顯徑街坊會主席蘇偉洋暨執行委員	5060	傅家莉	505
5061	Hung Wai Ying	5062	來信者要求身份保密	5063	Mau Shue Kai	505-506
5064	沙田區議員丘文俊	5065	翠嘉選民陳卓熙	5066	劉國強	506-507
5067	劉文輝	5068	劉小華	5069	劉錦興	508
5070	劉漢興	5071	劉偉光	5072	劉志聰	508
5073	劉胥應元	5074	劉育明	5075	無法辨認來信者姓名	508
5076	何影秀	5077	鄧秀蘭	5078	無法辨認來信者姓名	509
5079	李錦年	5080	張政安	5081	張彩霞	509
5082	無法辨認來信者姓名	5083	無法辨認來信者姓名	5084	無法辨認來信者姓名	509
5085	江凱玲	5086	無法辨認來信者姓名	5087	麥海永	510
5088	姚秀雲	5089	戴匡培	5090	戴年有(村長)	510
5091	洪福(村長)	5092	戴偉國	5093	戴慶華	510
5094	戴慶光	5095	戴慶文	5096	戴觀興	511
5097	黃簡	5098	李怡	5099	譚翠儀	512

序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	頁數 Page
5100	馮錦勳	5101	鄒萍	5102	陳燕萍	512-513
5103	何生	5104	譚瑞蓮	5105	來信者沒有署名	513-514
5106	載有 1544 個市民簽署	5107	頌安居民 Alice Hung	5108	乙明邨居民協會	514-528
5109	水泉澳邨居民協會	5110	沙田居民權益會	5111	明恩樓互助委員會	528-529
5112	盧德明	5113	龐永昌	5114	來信者沒有署名	529-530
5115	沙田區議員李世鴻	5116	楊創禧	5117	羅鑽鳳	530-531
5118	李漢華	5119	莫幹才	5120	Law Yuet Kam	531-532
5121	羅芝英	5122	李衍慧	5123	卓少娟	532
5124	無法辨認來信者姓名	5125	張佳全	5126	Leung Ka Wah	533
5127	Kwok Lai Sze	5128	Chan Pui Wing	5129	葉海清	533-534
5130	無法辨認來信者姓名	5131	戴強	5132	戴國榮	534-536
5133	戴志強	5134	戴志明	5135	戴志成	536
5136	戴平	5137	Tai Wai Leung	5138	戴詠詩	536
5139	戴詠雪	5140	謝新年	5141	戴漢強	536-537
5142	戴添	5143	戴伍桂	5144	戴亞松	537
5145	李家碧	5146	無法辨認來信者姓名	5147	劉蓮娣	537
5148	戴偉光	5149	戴志光	5150	戴新財	537-538
5151	王洪嬌	5152	戴天保	5153	張細妹	538
5154	戴灶生	5155	戴觀來	5156	戴金恩	538
5157	戴觀成	5158	無法辨認來信者姓名	5159	戴漢明	538-539
5160	戴文	5161	無法辨認來信者姓名	5162	無法辨認來信者姓名	539
5163	Tai Wai Hung	5164	無法辨認來信者姓名	5165	無法辨認來信者姓名	539
5166	無法辨認來信者姓名	5167	無法辨認來信者姓名	5168	無法辨認來信者姓名	539-540
5169	劉戊生	5170	池曉慧	5171	洪國銘	540
5172	洪漢平	5173	洪君平	5174	洪月雲	540
5175	朱雲娣	5176	朱礎瑩	5177	陳順娣	540-541
5178	洪觀保	5179	洪記	5180	曾瑞安	541
5181	洪福興	5182	陳鮮花	5183	洪偉明	541
5184	洪偉良	5185	洪偉祥	5186	洪志成	541-542
5187	洪偉強	5188	洪觀榕	5189	黃大偉	542-543
5190	楊九(村長)	5191	鄭亞平	5192	洪麗華	543
5193	鄧偉昌	5194	方森泉	5195	王翠娥	544
5196	沙田區議員李永成	5197	沙田區議員葉榮	5198	沙田區議員趙柱幫	544-545
5199	沙田區議員龐愛蘭, BBS, JP	5200	來信者要求身份及內容保密			546

葵青區 Kwai Tsing District

5201	Chrissy Tai	5202	林亞堂	5203	黃佑豪	547
5204	梁穎嫻	5205	姜梅珍	5206	莊文虎	548
5207	葵涌邨居民權益關注組	5208	葵青區議員黃潤達	5209	載有 666 個市民簽署	548-566
5210	林素妹	5211	黎婦娥	5212	朱先生	567
5213	劉愛民、黃佩齊	5214	吳漢華、鍾攜弟	5215	鄭標	568
5216	梁樹妹	5217	鄧麗群	5218	無法辨認來信者姓名	569
5219	潘美卿	5220	黃彬	5221	賴芷穎	569-570

序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	頁數 Page
5222	無法辨認來信者姓名	5223	譚桂蓮	5224	葵青區議員陳笑文	570-571
5225	李少琴	5226	倪鈞霖	5227	葵青區議員徐曉杰	571-572
5228	王秀鏡	5229	青衣居民關注組	5230	石籬二邨居民	572-574
5231	嘉翠園居民梁小姐	5232	徐錦全	5233	無法辨認來信者姓名	574-575
5234	陳無為	5235	黃麗燕	5236	王秀雲	575-576
5237	鄭松平	5238	吳惠聰	5239	陳劍芳	576
5240	林孝琴	5241	林美玉	5242	林雪蓮	577
5243	Miss Chan Yu Sze					577

離島區 Islands District

5244	長洲市民張明強	5245	長洲鄉事委員會	5246	離島區議員李桂珍	578
5247	無法辨認來信者姓名	5248	林潔聲	5249	陳德明	579-580
5250	馬炳根	5251	范妙琼	5252	王志強	580
5253	溫基	5254	鍾翠玲	5255	廖馬帶	580
5256	黃紫蓮	5257	陸尚禮	5258	羅雪蘭	580-581
5259	劉沛林	5260	劉漢榮	5261	曹秋明	581
5262	香港釣網養殖漁民聯會	5263	長洲建造業運輸聯會	5264	李桂珍區議員辦事處	581
5265	長洲中興街街坊值理會	5266	長洲關公忠義亭值理會有限公司	5267	四邑慈善堂有限公司	581-582
5268	香港漁民互助社長洲辦事處	5269	長洲順德同鄉會	5270	海燕體育會有限公司	582
5271	長洲婦女會	5272	長洲五邑同鄉會有限公司	5273	佛教慧因法師紀念中學李卓興校長	582
5274	長洲東莞會所有限公司	5275	長洲潮州會所	5276	港九水上漁民福利促進會(長洲分會)	582-583
5277	青華體育會有限公司	5278	長洲龍船會	5279	長洲華威酒店員工	583
5280	長洲海陸豐文娛康樂協進會	5281	長洲惠潮府有限公司	5282	長洲東勝花炮會有限公司	583
5283	長洲西灣媽勝堂值理會	5284	長洲彩艇會	5285	離島區羽毛球會	583-584
5286	長洲粵樂會	5287	國民學校	5288	長洲北社街坊會	584
5289	長洲興隆街街坊會	5290	長洲新興街街坊會	5291	香港長洲坪洲中山同鄉會	584
5292	長洲居民聯誼會	5293	長洲東堤新村居民聯誼會	5294	長洲大新街街坊會	584-585
5295	長洲小販聯誼會	5296	長洲發展協會	5297	長洲西灣自助第 3 村	585
5298	長洲西灣應善良美經援村	5299	西灣美經援村	5300	鄭禮富	585
5301	彭華根	5302	楊枝業	5303	翁志明	585-587
5304	陳健文	5305	黃輝民	5306	鍾紫慧	588
5307	廖珍妹	5308	黃新蘭	5309	冼振雄	588
5310	陳啟智	5311	陳啟榮	5312	陳國輝	588

序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	頁數 Page
5313	曹秋明	5314	黃紫筠	5315	黃志文	589
5316	黎財亮	5317	練倩春	5318	梁文浩	589
5319	無法辨認來信者姓名	5320	羅火好	5321	郭予端	589
5322	周寶珠	5323	吳樹妹	5324	馮樹生	590
5325	郭木好	5326	盧福嬌	5327	吳金有	590
5328	劉嘉怡	5329	陳水金	5330	伍艷卿	590
5331	劉佩儀	5332	李月霞	5333	黃亞妹	591
5334	黃亞嬌	5335	陳來勝	5336	黎觀好	591
5337	黎帶好	5338	馮金好	5339	郭妹	591
5340	羅五仔	5341	趙金美	5342	黃秀珍	592
5343	黃二嬌	5344	Leung Yuk Chun	5345	Chan Chi Ping	592
5346	Carmen Leung	5347	Wong King Chi	5348	張燕芬	592
5349	尹如芳	5350	尹笑儀	5351	T B Wong	593
5352	Chan Sze Man	5353	張婉明	5354	吳玉娟	593
5355	吳凱欣	5356	陳崇珍	5357	高世蓮	593
5358	姜文鳳	5359	范妙琼	5360	黎金娣	594
5361	李官球	5362	湯少英	5363	陳珍妹	594
5364	周有妹	5365	劉澤標	5366	馮德華	594
5367	余家麟	5368	Ng Keen Fai	5369	楊根開	595
5370	陳宥亘	5371	Lam Lai Wah	5372	劉英	595
5373	黃繼好	5374	羅添	5375	郭耀坤	595
5376	黃觀勝	5377	曾雪梅	5378	黃與	596
5379	陳嘉麗	5380	翁桂芬	5381	Chan Ka Fuen	596
5382	無法辨認來信者姓名	5383	張奕群	5384	羅亞嫒	596
5385	黃初八	5386	黃火妹	5387	黃亞坤	597
5388	黃水	5389	朱啟光	5390	張金水	597
5391	梁嫒娣	5392	吳玉芳	5393	溫海茵	597
5394	羅耀強	5395	張木勝	5396	Wong Yee Lai	598
5397	吳細妹	5398	黎根妹	5399	無法辨認來信者姓名	598
5400	曹月鳳	5401	張茶	5402	Siu Wai Sheung	598
5403	吳惠芬	5404	黃慧勤	5405	陳金成	599
5406	Yolanda Hui	5407	李牛	5408	Fok Sin Man	599
5409	鄧成熹	5410	鄧太平	5411	張佛妹	599
5412	盧梅青	5413	鄭少華	5414	郭秀美	600
5415	無法辨認來信者姓名	5416	無法辨認來信者姓名	5417	陳若彩	600
5418	鄭玉英	5419	郭予展	5420	朱細妹	600
5421	Kwok Yu Chuen	5422	梁洪	5423	Shin Hoi Kwong	601
5424	溫錦明	5425	無法辨認來信者姓名	5426	張少峰	601
5427	布家玲	5428	黎美	5429	梁炳華	601
5430	馮振明	5431	黎勝仔	5432	羅林德	602
5433	羅啤仔	5434	吳容帶	5435	黎金福	602
5436	杜根樹	5437	陳華德	5438	樊愛群	602
5439	萬福娣	5440	馮錦興	5441	馮錦金	603
5442	王金	5443	蔡偉明	5444	馮帶勝	603

序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	頁數 Page
5445	陳木根	5446	陳樹根	5447	梁國明	603
5448	無法辨認來信者姓名	5449	黎耀雄	5450	羅初發	604
5451	吳華帶	5452	周樹有	5453	陳買根	604
5454	溫志強	5455	陳金勝	5456	姜亞根	604
5457	何桂生	5458	陳基	5459	梁福興	605
5460	周志成	5461	張華勝	5462	黃兼	605
5463	吳兆明	5464	吳根	5465	吳孖指	605
5466	蔡國羅	5467	陳根	5468	吳偉雄	606
5469	張樹福	5470	甘時	5471	郭木根	606
5472	張金水	5473	黃福	5474	彭彩鳳	606
5475	梁秤平	5476	樊常	5477	何金福	607
5478	陳少廣	5479	羅初五	5480	溫北根	607
5481	陳有	5482	黎灶	5483	郭錦英	607
5484	梁亞妹	5485	梁麗英	5486	羅維芳	608
5487	談悅新	5488	陳帶喜	5489	黎木喜	608
5490	黎金好	5491	郭得光	5492	無法辨認來信者姓名	608
5493	張淑儀	5494	勞麗貞	5495	葉志文	609
5496	黎帶好	5497	李成坤	5498	Leung Yiu Tung	609
5499	范有好	5500	袁坤	5501	鄭妹	609
5502	羅根勝	5503	黎開坤	5504	無法辨認來信者姓名	610
5505	吳釘	5506	盧明威	5507	周好	610
5508	黃金有	5509	劉珮然	5510	劉珮漩	610
5511	劉兆南	5512	鄭沾霖	5513	姜美蓮	611
5514	王奕妹	5515	麥少萍	5516	吳轉芳	611
5517	吳珠明	5518	無法辨認來信者姓名	5519	馮新有	611
5520	Ma Chi Kwong	5521	馮麗群	5522	劉偉元	612
5523	郭亞勝	5524	吳灶福	5525	張伙妹	612
5526	張惠珍	5527	M. T. Yau	5528	無法辨認來信者姓名	612
5529	梁景和	5530	盧容水	5531	陳素珍	613
5532	郭金妹	5533	Ching Sau Ha	5534	楊水妹	613
5535	黎金開	5536	無法辨認來信者姓名	5537	玲君	613
5538	Li Kwai Ying	5539	黃碧玉	5540	羅來娣	614
5541	盧金妹	5542	無法辨認來信者姓名	5543	蔡慧思	614
5544	吳蒂娜	5545	何志聲	5546	無法辨認來信者姓名	614
5547	周靜香	5548	林津華	5549	姜亞根	615
5550	周寶玉	5551	鄭亞水	5552	Leung Kwai Yin	615
5553	陳馮金	5554	無法辨認來信者姓名	5555	麥錦銘	615
5556	阮美萍	5557	阮燕坤	5558	溫桂金	616
5559	何金木	5560	鄭啟然	5561	張亞基	616
5562	楊紹明	5563	黃志偉	5564	杜帶娟	616
5565	Lai Ka Wai	5566	梁容好	5567	無法辨認來信者姓名	617
5568	阮燕紅	5569	鄭帶娣	5570	黃健棠	617
5571	吳仁添	5572	杜根樹	5573	鄭仕好	617
5574	張麗芬	5575	無法辨認來信者姓名	5576	梁玉芳	618

序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	頁數 Page
5577	劉來貴	5578	楊容貴	5579	林潔英	618
5580	徐灼華	5581	鄭金彩	5582	梁偉明	618
5583	馮素群	5584	陳志雄	5585	鄭洪	619
5586	吳水威	5587	樊志偉	5588	Lam Ho Sun	619
5589	冼月華	5590	陳漢榮	5591	郭麗娟	619
5592	郭柔珊	5593	李偉強	5594	郭麗蓉	620
5595	李泳筱	5596	林汝平	5597	白麗	620
5598	陳兒	5599	Hanim Purwanti	5600	Lai Yau Ho	620
5601	黃後至	5602	羅美蓮	5603	羅志輝	621
5604	羅愛好	5605	黎嘉琪	5606	黎慧嘉	621
5607	羅景燊	5608	黎詠恩	5609	鄭樹妹	621
5610	吳妹頭	5611	黎淑明	5612	Leung Ka Ming	622
5613	吳贊育	5614	石帶娣	5615	羅英	622
5616	羅志偉	5617	Ng Yau Ling	5618	黎鳳雲	622
5619	顏倫慶	5620	劉小麗	5621	劉靜英	623
5622	江保喜	5623	胡家齊	5624	胡家朗	623
5625	曾穎心	5626	曾瑪洲	5627	無法辨認來信者姓名	623
5628	李少芳	5629	羅保琼	5630	姜美娟	624
5631	郭雪芳	5632	方麗梅	5633	陳玉航	624
5634	無法辨認來信者姓名	5635	羅火妹	5636	鄭美芝	624
5637	陳嘉賜	5638	王佩英	5639	無法辨認來信者姓名	625
5640	郭麗珍	5641	冼笑群	5642	胡麗嫦	625
5643	Lam Yee Ping	5644	Wong Sui Wah	5645	伍金玲	625
5646	林運轉	5647	李亮儀	5648	鄭瑞菊	626
5649	吳潔麗	5650	Ng Yee Wah	5651	無法辨認來信者姓名	626
5652	史健美	5653	郭美卿	5654	王楚華	626
5655	郭慧文	5656	郭偉豪	5657	楊枝業	627
5658	吳珠顏	5659	馮火妹	5660	鄭金樹	627
5661	蔡碧瑤	5662	郭麗英	5663	無法辨認來信者姓名	627
5664	無法辨認來信者姓名	5665	吳海滿	5666	吳海冬	628
5667	吳文傑	5668	陳佩珠	5669	黃蘭	628
5670	曾福娣	5671	于易	5672	林晉灝	628
5673	劉佛妹	5674	Lam Yuen Bon	5675	郭珠英	629
5676	黃恩愛	5677	Lee Wai Yee	5678	陳六妹	629
5679	余群英	5680	何雪萍	5681	馮家俊	629
5682	Fung Yu Hung	5683	馮宇軒	5684	陳文光	630
5685	王仁勇	5686	奚振森	5687	離島區議員郭平	630-632
5688	長洲街坊會郭卓堅	5689	離島區議員鄺官穩	5690	離島區議會主席周玉堂	632-636
5691	來信者沒有署名	5692	逸東邨居民代表	5693	陳少雲	636-639
5694	鄧少荷	5695	Chu Mary Agcaoili	5696	朱炳光	640
5697	黃聯泉	5698	趙敏菁	5699	周明	640
5700	黃凱程	5701	何贊熾	5702	沈榮森	640
5703	李葵珍	5704	單梅英	5705	無法辨認來信者姓名	641
5706	熊媛元	5707	林國基	5708	楊海萍	641

序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	頁數 Page
5709	林欣	5710	無法辨認來信者姓名	5711	Yiu Wing Hong	641
5712	陳偉業	5713	陳家錦	5714	無法辨認來信者姓名	642
5715	麥麗娟	5716	鍾志深	5717	Samina	642
5718	李智坤	5719	黃恩熙	5720	江曉妍	642
5721	莫月娥	5722	王筱雅	5723	周田榮	643
5724	陳俊文	5725	Cheng Hiu Yan	5726	陳駱基	643
5727	黃麗萍	5728	溫少琼	5729	馮素湄	643
5730	無法辨認來信者姓名	5731	無法辨認來信者姓名	5732	洪振昇	644
5733	梁淑英	5734	彭小康	5735	江家良	644
5736	郭少芬	5737	Lau Wan Ping	5738	李鎮榮	644
5739	劉巧賢	5740	曾宇全	5741	周淑媛	645
5742	余秀玲	5743	無法辨認來信者姓名	5744	Tang Kan	645
5745	Siu Kei Tat	5746	無法辨認來信者姓名	5747	楊玉瑜	645
5748	無法辨認來信者姓名	5749	吳翠玉	5750	陳麗妃	646
5751	張美娟	5752	陳燕萍	5753	無法辨認來信者姓名	646
5754	無法辨認來信者姓名	5755	伍謂杭	5756	周廣偉	646
5757	馮榮錦	5758	馮成煥	5759	馮碧妃	647
5760	馮小燕	5761	周鳳琴	5762	Chiu Yuen Hang	647
5763	張月肖	5764	無法辨認來信者姓名	5765	李鳳英	647
5766	賴穎苗	5767	利美英	5768	張鳳蘭	648
5769	劉瑜德	5770	Wendy	5771	黎俊龍	648
5772	彭兆群	5773	Leung Sau Hing	5774	陳美卿	648
5775	無法辨認來信者姓名	5776	伍志成	5777	陶燕萍	649
5778	吳寶連	5779	Lee Sze Lui	5780	李龍	649
5781	黃凱莉	5782	應國榮	5783	無法辨認來信者姓名	649
5784	Wong Hing Pang	5785	Wong Fuk Hung	5786	李瑞萍	650
5787	黃進英	5788	單秀鳳	5789	無法辨認來信者姓名	650
5790	蕭鐘偉	5791	祁建煥	5792	無法辨認來信者姓名	650
5793	劉妹	5794	邱土娣	5795	文美嬌	651
5796	方欣葵	5797	琼君	5798	連君	651
5799	蔣東儒	5800	陳朋傑	5801	趙文	651
5802	梁煥好	5803	無法辨認來信者姓名	5804	陸潤富	652
5805	Funhiyi	5806	無法辨認來信者姓名	5807	林麗嬋	652
5808	楊雪怡	5809	陳思敏	5810	張嘉敏	652
5811	Chu Lei Ho	5812	周俊志	5813	梁子鋒	653
5814	甄沃光	5815	無法辨認來信者姓名	5816	劉曉晴	653
5817	朱蓮開	5818	莊泓楷	5819	陳秋永	653
5820	梁麗坤	5821	無法辨認來信者姓名	5822	無法辨認來信者姓名	654
5823	無法辨認來信者姓名	5824	黃敏兒	5825	王翰揚	654
5826	王芷欣	5827	Wong Siu Ping	5828	無法辨認來信者姓名	654
5829	無法辨認來信者姓名	5830	Chan Yuen Yung	5831	陳伯林	655
5832	陳婉嫦	5833	雷遇送	5834	謝美蓮	655
5835	葉健民	5836	馮秀珍	5837	馮楚珍	655
5838	李玉花	5839	李燕琴	5840	張一成	656

序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	頁數 Page
5841	張琬靖	5842	盧金嬋	5843	梁家輝	656
5844	吳禮雲	5845	馮寶生	5846	李瑞萍	656
5847	梁嘉芬	5848	李文輝	5849	甘秀玲	657
5850	K. C. Chiu	5851	Ho Shun Kiu	5852	Chiu Tsz Hang	657
5853	無法辨認來信者姓名	5854	Alan Ching	5855	陳欽祥	657
5856	李棠兒	5857	陳玉平	5858	Cheung Wai Kin	658
5859	無法辨認來信者姓名	5860	翁偉寧	5861	譚柏寧	658
5862	吳小玲	5863	薛球	5864	詹襯崧	658
5865	無法辨認來信者姓名	5866	黃少玲	5867	林志強	659
5868	黎順國	5869	鍾瑞群	5870	杜群蘭	659
5871	黃艷連	5872	楊芝娟	5873	李麗容	659
5874	張錦蓮	5875	張金水	5876	吳東樺	660
5877	列錦新	5878	無法辨認來信者姓名	5879	關紹源	660
5880	布美嫦	5881	譚念愛	5882	蔡植發	660
5883	黃錦勝	5884	黃笑	5885	陳珠	661
5886	吳麗珍	5887	黃文聯	5888	黃靜儀	661
5889	張碧茜	5890	陳美卿	5891	盧立光、駱大妹	661
5892	Lai Tsz Hin Rick	5893	黎仕寧	5894	陳馭	662
5895	陳偉鄰	5896	Kwok Kwai Lin	5897	殷葵興	662
5898	區彩娥	5899	黃浩鏘	5900	Pang Lo Sze	662
5901	Cheung Mui Siu	5902	黃梓茵	5903	郭衛儀	663
5904	王銘	5905	駱玉梅	5906	黃玉倫	663
5907	無法辨認來信者姓名	5908	鄺玲艷	5909	何志偉	663
5910	無法辨認來信者姓名	5911	無法辨認來信者姓名	5912	張君	664
5913	陳靖泓	5914	陳兆榮	5915	無法辨認來信者姓名	664
5916	李群弟	5917	王炳榮	5918	無法辨認來信者姓名	664
5919	王春庭	5920	Tsui Man Shan	5921	李紫玲	665
5922	鄺月娥	5923	無法辨認來信者姓名	5924	鄭佳怡	665
5925	Wong Sau Man	5926	黃寶珠	5927	盤偉信	665
5928	胡利花	5929	無法辨認來信者姓名	5930	梁永成	666
5931	鍾昭洪	5932	Rauandip Kaui	5933	何國聰	666
5934	陳君	5935	李琼梅	5936	Leung Kam Ping	666
5937	Leung Pak Sheung	5938	Yan Mei Luen	5939	無法辨認來信者姓名	667
5940	鄭捷超	5941	周麗麗	5942	陳月好	667
5943	無法辨認來信者姓名	5944	Leung 君	5945	Ngai Yuen Ting	667
5946	黃鉆月	5947	Mr. Chow	5948	無法辨認來信者姓名	668
5949	楊妙玲	5950	Lai Hon Leung	5951	無法辨認來信者姓名	668
5952	Lee Siu Ping Anna	5953	楊振英	5954	周生	668
5955	無法辨認來信者姓名	5956	何家智	5957	Ho Ying Oi	669
5958	Eric Hung	5959	吳國棟	5960	Lai Ka Ching	669
5961	Lai Ka Ho	5962	潘偉娟	5963	吳君麗	669
5964	Cho Hiu Kit	5965	梁君	5966	無法辨認來信者姓名	670
5967	蕭杏蓮	5968	麥小萍	5969	關文健	670
5970	王穎賢	5971	無法辨認來信者姓名	5972	姜惠芬	670

序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	頁數 Page
5973	劉偉葉	5974	張治傑	5975	陳靖雯	671
5976	Wong Tsz Ki	5977	楊益娣	5978	Yeung 君	671
5979	Kwok Ka Hei	5980	黃伴興	5981	無法辨認來信者姓名	671
5982	無法辨認來信者姓名	5983	Cheng Fung Nga	5984	李小玖	672
5985	冼嘉威	5986	江福娣	5987	無法辨認來信者姓名	672
5988	無法辨認來信者姓名	5989	無法辨認來信者姓名	5990	邢萊賽	672
5991	Cheung 君	5992	無法辨認來信者姓名	5993	陳永成	673
5994	劉美珍	5995	潘道炎	5996	無法辨認來信者姓名	673
5997	劉美英	5998	梁寶財	5999	Daljitkal	673
6000	曹振華	6001	Ho Kwong Sing	6002	鄧雅如	674
6003	無法辨認來信者姓名	6004	陳少嬌	6005	Lam Yuk Mui	674
6006	Tiela Devi Rai	6007	無法辨認來信者姓名	6008	無法辨認來信者姓名	674
6009	吳萬祥	6010	莊馮德	6011	曾柳嫻	675
6012	彭仕球	6013	周明輝	6014	無法辨認來信者姓名	675
6015	譚海鳴	6016	陳翠玲	6017	陳詠欣	675
6018	林芳蓮	6019	陳祥春	6020	許振奐	676
6021	Yuen Fung Man	6022	無法辨認來信者姓名	6023	馮偉明	676
6024	陳君	6025	劉風坤	6026	Shrestha Mankajc	676
6027	無法辨認來信者姓名	6028	陳玉嬌	6029	蘇嘉良	677
6030	馬美珍	6031	Cheung Pui Man	6032	無法辨認來信者姓名	677
6033	無法辨認來信者姓名	6034	秦君	6035	無法辨認來信者姓名	677
6036	郭文萍	6037	利寶葵	6038	Iqra Mohammad	678
6039	Munir Begum	6040	伍小玲	6041	吳志海	678
6042	Kung Yim Mei	6043	Ko Yuen Ming	6044	Cheung Kim Ben	678
6045	王逸龍	6046	鄺素玲	6047	李淑明	679
6048	車珠梅	6049	Tsang Lam	6050	Eunice Chan	679
6051	溫松威	6052	何金水	6053	朱倫娣	679
6054	黃賽美	6055	Shun Hoi Yuen	6056	無法辨認來信者姓名	680
6057	Tse Kit Man	6058	王慶儀	6059	朱鳳群	680
6060	趙來富	6061	無法辨認來信者姓名	6062	鄭美瑛	680
6063	袁志暉	6064	黃志威	6065	Yip Ka Man	681
6066	無法辨認來信者姓名	6067	朱曉婷	6068	陳小華	681
6069	劉士梅	6070	劉桂花	6071	林桂蘭	681
6072	無法辨認來信者姓名	6073	香秀霞	6074	凌妙玲	682
6075	無法辨認來信者姓名	6076	Chow Yuk Kwan	6077	無法辨認來信者姓名	682
6078	周名欣	6079	無法辨認來信者姓名	6080	陳錦培	682
6081	周倩婷	6082	Tse Cheuk Ling	6083	無法辨認來信者姓名	683
6084	譚林	6085	林秀足	6086	無法辨認來信者姓名	683
6087	無法辨認來信者姓名	6088	胡兆榮	6089	Chow Ching	683
6090	無法辨認來信者姓名	6091	Sammy Wong	6092	無法辨認來信者姓名	684
6093	無法辨認來信者姓名	6094	蔡李麗明	6095	無法辨認來信者姓名	684
6096	范錦國	6097	梁麗文	6098	沈榮森	684
6099	陳永界	6100	無法辨認來信者姓名	6101	吳坤華	685
6102	黃權東	6103	黃俊鍵	6104	陳蓉勤	685

序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	頁數 Page
6105	梁佩英	6106	鄧小姐	6107	李萍	685
6108	李文健	6109	潘國忠	6110	鄭月明	686
6111	王寶成	6112	無法辨認來信者姓名	6113	談舜基	686
6114	孫蘭芬	6115	周詠欣	6116	梁坤正	686
6117	無法辨認來信者姓名	6118	鄒月琴	6119	運英	687
6120	王嫣如	6121	姚義衡	6122	王文專	687
6123	無法辨認來信者姓名	6124	Man Ng Chung	6125	梁健華	687
6126	劉潤娟	6127	劉少容	6128	孟灼英	688
6129	無法辨認來信者姓名	6130	無法辨認來信者姓名	6131	洪秀棉	688
6132	黃健文	6133	無法辨認來信者姓名	6134	Li Ki Wai	688
6135	何啟春	6136	洪愛萍	6137	無法辨認來信者姓名	689
6138	Chan Yin Tung	6139	Tommy So	6140	Fung Chi Yuen	689
6141	林偉廉	6142	陳俊樂	6143	無法辨認來信者姓名	689
6144	無法辨認來信者姓名	6145	鍾嬋英	6146	無法辨認來信者姓名	690
6147	無法辨認來信者姓名	6148	無法辨認來信者姓名	6149	Yeung Lai Fan	690
6150	Chan Wai Chun	6151	Lee Wing Sze	6152	曾景峰	690
6153	李群弟	6154	郭秀敏	6155	關淑婷	691
6156	吳豪坤	6157	黎翠葵	6158	洪福海	691
6159	林玉媛	6160	黃家瑜	6161	汪如才	691
6162	李妮珍	6163	黃琬貽	6164	黃鈺貽	692
6165	Wong Wai Sze	6166	Leung Kiu Yan	6167	何婉珊	692
6168	葉志文	6169	馮英龍	6170	楊自來	692
6171	梁俊傑	6172	無法辨認來信者姓名	6173	林進利	693
6174	梁錦麟	6175	無法辨認來信者姓名	6176	梁偉漢	693
6177	無法辨認來信者姓名	6178	Wo 君	6179	無法辨認來信者姓名	693
6180	無法辨認來信者姓名	6181	蘆美秋	6182	Wong Yin Hung	694
6183	張永	6184	劉茹娜	6185	潘正峰	694
6186	梁美英	6187	張錦華	6188	姜瑞泉	694
6189	無法辨認來信者姓名	6190	無法辨認來信者姓名	6191	李嘉茵	695
6192	黃偉洪	6193	無法辨認來信者姓名	6194	馮樹強	695
6195	黃麗明	6196	卓雪紅	6197	Hon Fong	695
6198	蔡劍青	6199	孫紅梅	6200	何順玲	696
6201	林瑞蓮	6202	孫美群	6203	無法辨認來信者姓名	696
6204	無法辨認來信者姓名	6205	陳太	6206	林富成	696
6207	蘇綺玲	6208	楊彩嬋	6209	謝培智	697
6210	邱小南	6211	李洪熙	6212	談穎苗	697
6213	Chan Yu Mui	6214	張倩君	6215	無法辨認來信者姓名	697
6216	陳錦華	6217	方積優	6218	張愛華	698
6219	Ling 君	6220	Pak Tsz Kim	6221	袁玉珍	698
6222	陳蘭英	6223	無法辨認來信者姓名	6224	楊鳳仙	698
6225	楊金興	6226	鍾玉娣	6227	無法辨認來信者姓名	699
6228	梁雄深	6229	無法辨認來信者姓名	6230	阮國強	699
6231	張惠萍	6232	謝英	6233	簡悅喜	699
6234	何妙娟	6235	賴運帶	6236	李桂英	700

序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	序號 Serial No.	個別人士/機構名稱 Name of Individual/ Organisation	頁數 Page
6237	李翠萍	6238	李明珠	6239	郭仲文	700-701
6240	長洲居民黃善鴻	6241	離島區議員余麗芬	6242	梁國豪	701-703
6243	長洲居民莫小姐	6244	前任區議員鄺國威	6245	逸東邨一眾互助委員會主席	703-706
6246	Parran Lau					706-707

多於一個地方行政區 More than One District

6247	劉敬康	6248	A Registered Voter	6249	本地觀點	708-717
6250	何先生	6251	立法會議員區諾軒	6252	黃先生	717-719
6253	劉梓峰	6254	市民區進軒	6255	九龍東居民張家朗	719-724
6256	來信者沒有署名	6257	民建聯研究主任姚灼華	6258	莫某	724-741
6259	Wong 君	6260	實政圓桌召集人田北辰	6261	來信者要求身份及內容保密	742

一般事項 General Issues

6262	來信者沒有署名	6263	來信者沒有署名	6264	來信者沒有署名	743
6265	來信者沒有署名	6266	民主黨	6267	立法會議員胡志偉、社區顧問莫嘉嫻、社區主任尹家謙及黎寶桂	743-744
6268	Robinson, Dundas	6269	來信者沒有署名	6270	林志浩	744-745
6271	屯門區議員古漢強	6272	新界西居民	6273	來信者沒有署名	745-746
6274	李宏峯	6275	民主動力召集人趙家賢博士	6276	謝先生	746-750
6277	自由黨	6278	香港市民 Jeffery Cheung 暨立法會區議會(第二)功能界別選民 LW Mai	6279	香港人 Y.T.Wan	750-751
6280	Karen Wong	6281	香港小市民			751

個別人士及機構提交的書面申述書

WRITTEN REPRESENTATIONS SUBMITTED BY INDIVIDUALS AND ORGANISATIONS

序號 3426 – 6281

Serial Nos. 3426 – 6281

此為範本申述共 1272 份(序號 3426– 4697)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

當案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下:

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括:

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區): 包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛: 包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?

☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：郭宇春 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3427(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區實特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛豐閣、盛樂閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛禮閣、盛義閣、盛信閣、盛守閣、盛誠閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛德閣。

…/2

3427(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感關注及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛苑區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：_____(先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3428(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區實特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛豐閣、盛樂閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛禮閣、盛義閣、盛信閣、盛守閣、盛誠閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛德閣。

…/2

3428(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感關注及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛苑區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted](先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3429(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區實特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛豐閣、盛樂閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛禮閣、盛義閣、盛信閣、盛守閣、盛誠閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛德閣。

…/2

3429

3429(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感關注及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛苑區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted](先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3430(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSCIOM/LT8/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直都有單一選區候選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有將天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區關繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屬苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會協成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」人仗產影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關鍵的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛峰閣、盛彩閣、盛雅閣、盛賢閣和盛豪閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛恒閣、盛雅閣、盛守閣、盛加閣、盛修閣、盛業閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3430(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSCIOM/LT8/0140

法團對新選區選舉區界及選舉反對，認為此選區完全未有考慮本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新選區，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團認為選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故此為有需要再次收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行簡易調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請按要問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3431(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSCIOM/LT8/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直都有單一選區候選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有將天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區關繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屬苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會協成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」人仗產影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關鍵的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛峰閣、盛彩閣、盛雅閣、盛賢閣和盛豪閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛恒閣、盛雅閣、盛守閣、盛加閣、盛修閣、盛業閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3431(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSCIOM/LT8/0140

法團對新選區選舉區界及選舉反對，認為此選區完全未有考慮本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新選區，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團認為選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故此為有需要再次收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行簡易調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請按要問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3432(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSCIOM/LT8/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直都有單一選區候選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有將天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區關繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屬苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會協成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」人仗產影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關鍵的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛雅閣、盛賢閣和盛豪閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛恒閣、盛雅閣、盛守閣、盛加閣、盛修閣、盛業閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3432(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSCIOM/LT8/0140

法團對新選區選舉區界及選舉反對，認為此選區完全未有考慮本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新選區，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團認為選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故此為有需要再次收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行簡易調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請按要問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3433(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑公共運輸交匯處第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@finshing.net Website: finshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/J18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直採用單一選區兩議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

位新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區關懷，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及割裂，影響居苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛苑區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關係的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛碩閣、盛顯閣、盛智閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛蘭閣、盛彪閣、盛翔閣、盛雄閣、盛豪閣、盛亨閣、盛基閣、盛海閣、盛泰閣、盛譽閣、盛輝閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3433(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑公共運輸交匯處第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@finshing.net Website: finshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/J18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民感受及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會積極向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3434(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑公共運輸交匯處第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@finshing.net Website: finshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/J18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直採用單一選區兩議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

位新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區關懷，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及割裂，影響居苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛苑區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關係的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛碩閣、盛顯閣、盛智閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛蘭閣、盛彪閣、盛翔閣、盛雄閣、盛豪閣、盛亨閣、盛基閣、盛海閣、盛泰閣、盛譽閣、盛輝閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3434(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑公共運輸交匯處第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@finshing.net Website: finshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/J18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民感受及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會積極向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3435(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑公共運輸交匯處第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@finshing.net Website: finshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/J18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直採用單一選區兩議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

位新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區關懷，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及割裂，影響居苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛苑區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關係的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛碩閣、盛顯閣、盛智閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛蘭閣、盛彪閣、盛翔閣、盛雄閣、盛豪閣、盛亨閣、盛基閣、盛海閣、盛泰閣、盛譽閣、盛輝閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3435(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑公共運輸交匯處第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@finshing.net Website: finshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/J18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民感受及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會積極向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3436(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視為單一選區參選，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新落成「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及困擾，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新落成屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新型屋產有專資區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及關應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛明閣、盛賢閣、盛寶閣和盛麗閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛新閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛安閣、盛信閣、盛平閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛耀閣、盛坤閣和盛疆閣。

…/2

3436(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區。倘若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回條，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，敬希業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：LI Yuk 李旭 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3437(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視為單一選區參選，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新落成「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及困擾，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新落成屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新型屋產有專資區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及關應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛明閣、盛賢閣、盛寶閣和盛麗閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛新閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛安閣、盛信閣、盛平閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛耀閣、盛坤閣和盛疆閣。

…/2

3437(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區。倘若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回條，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，敬希業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：鍾偉文 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3438(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視為單一選區參選，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新落成「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及困擾，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新落成屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新型屋產有專資區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及關應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛明閣、盛賢閣、盛寶閣和盛麗閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛新閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛安閣、盛信閣、盛平閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛耀閣、盛坤閣和盛疆閣。

…/2

3438(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團要求選舉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉會提出反對切割天盛選區。倘若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回條，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，敬希業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：黃旭堯 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3439(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆區「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，屬民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屆屏顯苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新屆屏顯苑有專屬選區議員，反放更多資源協助屏顯苑居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會新區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛榮閣、盛聖閣、盛智閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛雲閣、盛志閣、盛輝閣、盛悅閣、盛福閣、盛豐閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3439(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團雖然理解選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，即會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大廈收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3440(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Loug Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆區「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，屬民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屆屏顯苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新屆屏顯苑有專屬選區議員，反放更多資源協助屏顯苑居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會新區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛榮閣、盛聖閣、盛智閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛雲閣、盛志閣、盛輝閣、盛悅閣、盛福閣、盛豐閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3440(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團雖然理解選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，即會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大廈收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3441(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆區「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，屬民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屆屏顯苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新屆屏顯苑有專屬選區議員，反放更多資源協助屏顯苑居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會新區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛榮閣、盛聖閣、盛智閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛雲閣、盛志閣、盛輝閣、盛悅閣、盛福閣、盛豐閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3441(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團雖然理解選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，即會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大廈收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3442(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/OOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有鄰近天盛選區會被分割，法團曾時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

值新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區關繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屆屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新屆屋苑有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選舉分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛明閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛雅閣、盛志閣、盛遠閣、盛程閣、盛翔閣、盛亨閣、盛業閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛輝閣、盛坤閣和盛圓閣。

…/2

3442(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/OOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選舉管會仍有可能基於某些不明朗因素維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，特此「簽」、「反」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶如仍要問卷，最遲 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3443(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/OOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有鄰近天盛選區會被分割，法團曾時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

值新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區關繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屆屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新屆屋苑有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選舉分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛明閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛雅閣、盛志閣、盛遠閣、盛程閣、盛翔閣、盛亨閣、盛業閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛輝閣、盛坤閣和盛圓閣。

…/2

3443(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/OOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選舉管會仍有可能基於某些不明朗因素維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，特此「簽」、「反」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶如仍要問卷，最遲 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3444(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/OOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有鄰近天盛選區會被分割，法團曾時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

值新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區關繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屆屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新屆屋苑有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選舉分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛明閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛雅閣、盛志閣、盛遠閣、盛程閣、盛翔閣、盛亨閣、盛業閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛輝閣、盛坤閣和盛圓閣。

…/2

3444(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/OOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選舉管會仍有可能基於某些不明朗因素維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，特此「簽」、「反」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶如仍要問卷，最遲 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3445(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSCIOA/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有領閣天盛選區會籌分組，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑仍單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日要求選舉委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛將區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加選舉行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋興建「屏欣苑」，人依而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」。惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 選區(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛泰閣、盛昭閣、盛雲閣、盛豐閣和盛揚閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛景閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛豪閣、盛亨閣、盛超閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛輝閣。

…/2

3445(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSCIOA/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會跟進向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：_____(先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3446(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSCIOA/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有領閣天盛選區會籌分組，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑仍單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日要求選舉委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛將區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加選舉行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋興建「屏欣苑」，人依而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」。惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 選區(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛泰閣、盛昭閣、盛雲閣、盛豐閣和盛揚閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛景閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛豪閣、盛亨閣、盛超閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛輝閣。

…/2

3446(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSCIOA/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會跟進向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：_____(先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3447(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSCIOA/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有領閣天盛選區會籌分組，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑仍單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日要求選舉委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛將區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加選舉行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋興建「屏欣苑」，人依而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」。惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 選區(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛泰閣、盛昭閣、盛雲閣、盛豐閣和盛揚閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛景閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛豪閣、盛亨閣、盛超閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛輝閣。

…/2

3447(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSCIOA/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會跟進向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：_____(先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3448(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/J18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直低投票率、區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有聯閱天盛選區參選分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區歸屬，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散浪費，造成浪費，並增加區苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居組屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居組屋有專責區議員，足以更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛翠閣、盛翹閣、盛雅閣和盛麗閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛誠閣、盛悅閣、盛信閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛帶閣、盛坤閣和盛疆閣。

…/2

3448(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/J18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 25 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，並認為有向選舉事務處收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行調查問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陳鴻成 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3449(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/J18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直低投票率、區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有聯閱天盛選區參選分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區歸屬，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散浪費，造成浪費，並增加區苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居組屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居組屋有專責區議員，足以更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛翠閣、盛翹閣、盛雅閣和盛麗閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛誠閣、盛悅閣、盛信閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛帶閣、盛坤閣和盛疆閣。

…/2

3449(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/J18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 25 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，並認為有向選舉事務處收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3450(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/J18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直低投票率、區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有聯閱天盛選區參選分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區歸屬，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散浪費，造成浪費，並增加區苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居組屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居組屋有專責區議員，足以更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛翠閣、盛翹閣、盛雅閣和盛麗閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛誠閣、盛悅閣、盛信閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛帶閣、盛坤閣和盛疆閣。

…/2

3450(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/J18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 25 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新選區，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選舉管理委員會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有必要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行調查問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會提出反對選舉管理委員會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3451(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團事處
I/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆區「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屆區選苑「屏欣苑」入伙的影響天盛選區，而新屆區有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛暉閣、盛韻閣、盛賢閣和盛陽閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛康閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛聆閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛德閣。

…/2

3451(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團事處
I/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的屆民簽名交予選管會。

法團發盛選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集屆民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，法團將會撤銷向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3452(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團事處
I/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆區「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屆區選苑「屏欣苑」入伙的影響天盛選區，而新屆區有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛暉閣、盛韻閣、盛賢閣和盛陽閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛康閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛聆閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛德閣。

…/2

3452(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團事處
I/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的屆民簽名交予選管會。

法團發盛選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集屆民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，法團將會撤銷向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3453(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團事處
I/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆區「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屆區選苑「屏欣苑」入伙的影響天盛選區，而新屆區有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛暉閣、盛韻閣、盛賢閣和盛陽閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛康閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛聆閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛德閣。

…/2

3453(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團事處
I/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的屆民簽名交予選管會。

法團發盛選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集屆民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，法團將會撤銷向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3454(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區選員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會製造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加選舉行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屆屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新屆居民有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」。惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛晴閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛禮閣、盛亨閣、盛順閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3454(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤慨及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的屆民簽名交予選管會。

法團雖電選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集屆民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃分的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3455(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區選員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會製造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加選舉行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屆屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新屆居民有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」。惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選舉正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛晴閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛禮閣、盛亨閣、盛順閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3455(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤慨及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的屆民簽名交予選管會。

法團雖電選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集屆民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃分的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3456(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區選員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會製造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加選舉行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屆屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新屆居民有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」。惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選舉正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛晴閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛禮閣、盛亨閣、盛順閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3456(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤慨及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的屆民簽名交予選管會。

法團雖電選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集屆民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃分的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3457(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直都有單一區議員選舉，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一宣有參與天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日發函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地理環境，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會將成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加開支行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責選區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛明閣、盛智閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛豐閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛南閣、盛李閣、盛旭閣、盛峰閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3457(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議劃線減價及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃分割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會嚴謹向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 **2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前**投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作協助天選苑及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3458(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直都有單一區議員選舉，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一宣有參與天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日發函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地理環境，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會將成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加開支行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責選區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛明閣、盛智閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛豐閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛南閣、盛李閣、盛旭閣、盛峰閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3458(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議劃線減價及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃分割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會嚴謹向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 **2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前**投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作協助天選苑及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3459(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直都有單一區議員選舉，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一宣有參與天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日發函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地理環境，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會將成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加開支行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責選區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛明閣、盛智閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛豐閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛南閣、盛李閣、盛旭閣、盛峰閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3459(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議劃線減價及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃分割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會嚴謹向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 **2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前**投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作協助天選苑及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3460(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被劃入一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有參與天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有共識特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對地域居民分化及割裂，影響區苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛苑區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」。惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛翠閣、盛輝閣、盛寶閣和盛逸閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天瑞苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛樺閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3460(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對香港講求感情及強烈反對，認為此舉讓完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新選區，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選舉管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3461(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被劃入一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有參與天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有共識特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對地域居民分化及割裂，影響區苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛苑區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」。惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛翠閣、盛輝閣、盛寶閣和盛逸閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天瑞苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛樺閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3461(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對香港講求感情及強烈反對，認為此舉讓完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新選區，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選舉管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3462(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被劃入一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有參與天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有共識特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對地域居民分化及割裂，影響區苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛苑區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」。惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛翠閣、盛輝閣、盛寶閣和盛逸閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天瑞苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛樺閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3462(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建講求感情及強烈反對，認為此舉讓完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新選區，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選舉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3463(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshkg.net Website: tsshkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直都有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有使用天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屆「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區凝聚，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響區苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屆屆屆苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新屆屆應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助屆民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聚集的連貫，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」。惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛和閣、盛賢閣、盛寶閣及盛欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛豐閣、盛志閣、盛輝閣、盛祥閣、盛麒閣、盛亨閣、盛福閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛順閣。

…/2

3463(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshkg.net Website: tsshkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日發函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區，同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的屆民簽名交予選管會。

法團對選舉管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集屆民意見，作為「反對」意見較多，法團將會向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥選票，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3464(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshkg.net Website: tsshkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直都有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有使用天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屆「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區凝聚，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響區苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屆屆屆苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新屆屆應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助屆民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聚集的連貫，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」。惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛和閣、盛賢閣、盛寶閣及盛欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛豐閣、盛志閣、盛輝閣、盛祥閣、盛麒閣、盛亨閣、盛福閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛順閣。

…/2

3464(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshkg.net Website: tsshkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日發函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區，同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的屆民簽名交予選管會。

法團對選舉管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集屆民意見，作為「反對」意見較多，法團將會向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥選票，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3465(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshkg.net Website: tsshkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直都有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有使用天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屆「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區凝聚，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響區苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屆屆屆苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新屆屆應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助屆民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聚集的連貫，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」。惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛和閣、盛賢閣、盛寶閣及盛欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛豐閣、盛志閣、盛輝閣、盛祥閣、盛麒閣、盛亨閣、盛福閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛順閣。

…/2

3465(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshkg.net Website: tsshkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日發函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區，同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的屆民簽名交予選管會。

法團對選舉管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集屆民意見，作為「反對」意見較多，法團將會向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥選票，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3466(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直都有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聚集，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及斷裂，影響居苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屬苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在設定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛羽閣、盛翹閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛達閣、盛俊閣、盛榮閣、盛學閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛都閣、盛坤閣和盛運閣。

…/2

3466(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶同聲提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：楊 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作基本調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3467(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直都有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聚集，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及斷裂，影響居苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屬苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在設定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛羽閣、盛翹閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛達閣、盛俊閣、盛榮閣、盛學閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛都閣、盛坤閣和盛運閣。

…/2

3467(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶同聲提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作基本調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3468(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直都有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聚集，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及斷裂，影響居苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屬苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在設定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛羽閣、盛翹閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛達閣、盛俊閣、盛榮閣、盛學閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛都閣、盛坤閣和盛運閣。

…/2

3468(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶同聲提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作基本調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3469(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/L/180140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有商擬天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會協成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋選苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛福閣、盛賢閣和盛滿閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛圓閣。

…/2

3469(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/L/180140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：王 [redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3470(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/L/180140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有商擬天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會協成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋選苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛福閣、盛賢閣和盛滿閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛圓閣。

…/2

3470(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/L/180140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☑ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3471(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/L/180140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有商擬天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會協成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋選苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛福閣、盛賢閣和盛滿閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛圓閣。

…/2

3471(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/L/180140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3472(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3472(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：鄧彩英 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3473(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3473(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：羅若蘭 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3474(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3474(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3475(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsoling.net Website: tsoling.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛雄閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3475(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsoling.net Website: tsoling.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：(先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3476(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsoling.net Website: tsoling.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛雄閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3476(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsoling.net Website: tsoling.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：(先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3477(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsoling.net Website: tsoling.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛雄閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3477(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsoling.net Website: tsoling.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：(先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3478(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團首分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛願閣、盛賢閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛廉閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

3478(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要繼選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3479(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團首分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛願閣、盛賢閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛廉閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

3479(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要繼選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3480(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團首分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛願閣、盛賢閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛廉閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

3480(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要繼選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3481(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天瑞苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人仗而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛薇閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛豐閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鴻閣、盛亨閣、盛坦閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3481(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，即會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3482(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人仗而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛薇閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛豐閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鴻閣、盛亨閣、盛坦閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3482(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，即會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3483(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天瑞苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人仗而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛薇閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛豐閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鴻閣、盛亨閣、盛坦閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3483(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，即會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3484(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛區閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛信閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3484(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：林紫琪 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3485(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛區閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛信閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3485(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3486(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛區閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛信閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3486(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3487(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshkg.net Website: tsshkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛暉閣、盛賢閣和盛謙閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛衛閣。

…/2

3487(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshkg.net Website: tsshkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：周[redacted](先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3488(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshkg.net Website: tsshkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛暉閣、盛賢閣和盛謙閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛衛閣。

…/2

3488(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshkg.net Website: tsshkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted](先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3489(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshkg.net Website: tsshkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛暉閣、盛賢閣和盛謙閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛衛閣。

…/2

3489(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshkg.net Website: tsshkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted](先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3490(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛蘊閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛誠閣、盛悅閣、盛康閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

So Chui Wah
業主姓名：蘇翠華 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3490(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內。歡迎業戶聯繫提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3491(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛蘊閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛誠閣、盛悅閣、盛康閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3491(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶聯繫提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3492(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛蘊閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛誠閣、盛悅閣、盛康閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3492(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選舉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶聯繫提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3493(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2109 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對協成居民分化及割裂，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人仕而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛德閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛龍閣。

…/2

3493(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂選舉管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：張志強 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3494(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對協成居民分化及割裂，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人仕而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛德閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛龍閣。

…/2

3494(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂選舉管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3495(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2109 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對協成居民分化及割裂，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人仕而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛德閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛龍閣。

…/2

3495(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂選舉管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3496(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSCIO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區關繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屬苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天澤苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛福閣、盛翼閣和盛健閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 大盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3496(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSCIO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3497(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSCIO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區關繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屬苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛福閣、盛翼閣和盛麗閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 大盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3497(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSCIO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3498(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSCIO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區關繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屬苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛福閣、盛翼閣和盛麗閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 大盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3498(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSCIO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3499(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛豐閣。

…/2

3499(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：張 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3500(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛豐閣。

…/2

3500(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陳 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3501(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛豐閣。

…/2

3501(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陳 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3502(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛撰區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，屬民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示，「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛薰閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛蘭閣。

…/2

3502(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3503(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，屬民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示，「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛薰閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛蘭閣。

…/2

3503(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3504(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，屬民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示，「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛薰閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛蘭閣。

…/2

3504(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團憂慮選舉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3505(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsahkg.net Website: tsahkg.net

檔案編號：TSCJO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛薇閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛李閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛輝閣。

…/2

3505(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsahkg.net Website: tsahkg.net

檔案編號：TSCJO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陳霞 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3506(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsahkg.net Website: tsahkg.net

檔案編號：TSCJO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛薇閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛李閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛輝閣。

…/2

3506(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsahkg.net Website: tsahkg.net

檔案編號：TSCJO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陳永康 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3507(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsahkg.net Website: tsahkg.net

檔案編號：TSCJO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛薇閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛李閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛輝閣。

…/2

3507(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsahkg.net Website: tsahkg.net

檔案編號：TSCJO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3508(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加選苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛禮閣。

…/2

3508(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3509(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加選苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛禮閣。

…/2

3509(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3510(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加選苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛禮閣。

…/2

3510(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3511(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穗閣、盛寶閣和盛麗閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鴻閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

3511(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再表出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3512(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穗閣、盛寶閣和盛麗閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鴻閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

3512(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3513(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穗閣、盛寶閣和盛麗閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鴻閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

3513(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3514(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlmg.net Website: tsshlmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選舉分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛盈閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雋閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3514(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlmg.net Website: tsshlmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3515(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlmg.net Website: tsshlmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選舉分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛盈閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雋閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3515(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlmg.net Website: tsshlmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3516(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlmg.net Website: tsshlmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選舉分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛盈閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雋閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3516(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlmg.net Website: tsshlmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3517(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區間卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區關繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在確定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關係的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛麗閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3517(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團深感選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3518(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區間卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區關繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在確定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關係的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛麗閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3518(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團深感選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☑ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3519(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區間卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區關繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在確定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關係的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛麗閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3519(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團深感選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3520(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
UF., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛賢閣、盛寶閣和盛薇閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3520(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
UF., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要處選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
□ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：黃志強 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3521(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
UF., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體、社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛賢閣、盛寶閣和盛薇閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3521(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
UF., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要處選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
□ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陳燕珍 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3522(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
UF., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛賢閣、盛寶閣和盛薇閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3522(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
UF., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要處選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
□ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：唐惹玲 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3523(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
I/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛福閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

3523(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
I/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團去新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3524(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
I/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛福閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

3524(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
I/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3525(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
I/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛福閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

3525(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
I/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3526(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區歸屬，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3526(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，把對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：黃子暉 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3527(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區歸屬，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3527(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：林子欣 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3528(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區歸屬，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3528(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3529(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視為單一區議員選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛臨閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛圓閣。

…/2

3529(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團深表選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陳福強(先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3530(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視為單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛臨閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛圓閣。

…/2

3530(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團深表選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：La Yuk Hung(先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3531(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視為單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛臨閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛圓閣。

…/2

3531(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團深表選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☒ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：文 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3532(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlng.net Website: tsshlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區間卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛讚閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3532(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlng.net Website: tsshlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要重選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3533(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlng.net Website: tsshlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區間卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛讚閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3533(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlng.net Website: tsshlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要重選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3534(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlng.net Website: tsshlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區間卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛讚閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3534(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlng.net Website: tsshlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要重選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3535(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛盈閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3535(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團促請選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：宋 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3536(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛盈閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3536(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團促請選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3537(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛盈閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3537(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團促請選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3538(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tshwking.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛俊閣、盛熙閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3538(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tshwking.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會即止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶隨時提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：李錦楠 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3539(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tshwking.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛俊閣、盛熙閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3539(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tshwking.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會即止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶隨時提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：黃偉俊 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3540(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tshwking.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛俊閣、盛熙閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3540(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tshwking.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會即止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶隨時提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：馮宏達 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3541(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛揚閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3541(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3542(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛揚閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3542(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3543(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛揚閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3543(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3544(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對於居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛翠閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3544(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3545(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對於居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛翠閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3545(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3546(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對於居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛翠閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3546(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3547(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOVL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑，入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛碩閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

3547(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOVL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃界切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3548(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOVL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑，入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛碩閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

3548(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOVL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃界切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3549(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOVL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑，入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛碩閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

3549(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOVL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃界切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3550(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3550(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各區大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3551(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3551(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各區大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3552(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3552(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各區大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3553(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響選苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛明閣、盛麗閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛輝閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3553(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：吳創明 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3554(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響選苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛明閣、盛麗閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛輝閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3554(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團憂慮選舉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：蒼浩郎 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3555(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響選苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛明閣、盛麗閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛輝閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3555(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團憂慮選舉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3556(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示，「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」。惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛昌閣及屏欣苑，及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛誠閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

3556(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：何穎芳 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3557(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體、社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示，「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」。惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛昌閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛誠閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

3557(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團要求選舉管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：周永強 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3558(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示，「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」。惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛昌閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛誠閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

3558(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3559(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Sau Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選舉分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3559(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Sau Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3560(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Sau Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選舉分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3560(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Sau Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3561(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Sau Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選舉分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3561(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Sau Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3562(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3562(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：WONG MEI SUE (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3563(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3563(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3564(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3564(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3565(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛翠閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 大盛：包括天盛苑盛豐閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛順閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛謙閣。

…/2

3565(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：支慶連 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3566(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛翠閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 大盛：包括天盛苑盛豐閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛順閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛謙閣。

…/2

3566(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：張汝華 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3567(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛翠閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 大盛：包括天盛苑盛豐閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛順閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛謙閣。

…/2

3567(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：支慶連 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3568(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然疆界(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛寶閣和盛輝閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛添閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3568(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，於於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：馬錫琴 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3569(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然疆界(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛寶閣和盛輝閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛添閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3569(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選舉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陳偉業 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3570(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

7/8/18 收.

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

✓ 1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
✓ 2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
✓ 3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
✓ 4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然疆界(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

✓ (1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛寶閣和盛輝閣及屏欣苑；及
✓ (2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛添閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3570(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：張 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3571(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
I/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區學羣，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋樂苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聚羣的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛動閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3571(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
I/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：曾照光 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3572(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
I/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區學羣，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋樂苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聚羣的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛動閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3572(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
I/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：李鴻泰 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3573(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
I/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區學羣，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋樂苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聚羣的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛動閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3573(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
I/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉管理委員會。

法團憂慮選舉管理委員會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉管理委員會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陳展鵬 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3574(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直依有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屆屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新屆屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛順閣、盛馨閣和盛薰閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛建閣、盛悅閣、盛德閣、盛亨閣、盛昭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3574(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團屢次選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：林右勝 簡坤炎 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3575(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直依有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屆屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新屆屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛順閣、盛馨閣和盛薰閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛建閣、盛悅閣、盛德閣、盛亨閣、盛昭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3575(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團屢次選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：張[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3576(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直依有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屆屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新屆屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛順閣、盛馨閣和盛薰閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛建閣、盛悅閣、盛德閣、盛亨閣、盛昭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3576(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團屢次選舉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陳[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3577(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛翠閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

3577(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要應選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3578(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛翠閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

3578(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要應選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3579(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛翠閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

3579(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要應選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3580(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsohing.net Website: tsohing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛程閣、盛暉閣、盛賢閣和盛薰閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3580(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsohing.net Website: tsohing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3581(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsohing.net Website: tsohing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛程閣、盛暉閣、盛賢閣和盛薰閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3581(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsohing.net Website: tsohing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3582(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsohing.net Website: tsohing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛程閣、盛暉閣、盛賢閣和盛薰閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3582(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsohing.net Website: tsohing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3583(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區關繫，居民安居樂業，分劃天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分劃天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛韻閣、盛賢閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3583(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：鍾基堅(先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3584(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區關繫，居民安居樂業，分劃天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分劃天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛韻閣、盛賢閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3584(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陳月嬋(先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3585(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區關繫，居民安居樂業，分劃天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分劃天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛韻閣、盛賢閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3585(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉管理委員會。

法團要求選舉管理委員會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉管理委員會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted](先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3586(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛碧閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛逸閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3586(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團查悉選管會仍有可能基於其些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：袁錦得 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3587(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛碧閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛逸閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3587(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團查悉選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：羅凱傑 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3588(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛碧閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛逸閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3588(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團查悉選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：黎太 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3589(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然界線(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選舉分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛盛閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛誠閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3589(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3590(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然界線(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選舉分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛盛閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛誠閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3590(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3591(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然界線(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選舉分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛盛閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛誠閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3591(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3592(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分拆，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛福閣、盛賢閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛誠閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛馨閣。

…/2

3592(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3593(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分拆，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛福閣、盛賢閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛誠閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛馨閣。

…/2

3593(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3594(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分拆，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛福閣、盛賢閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛誠閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛馨閣。

…/2

3594(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3595(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsnlsg.net Website: tsnlsg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛翹閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛機閣、盛悅閣、盛揚閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3595(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsnlsg.net Website: tsnlsg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3596(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsnlsg.net Website: tsnlsg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛翹閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛機閣、盛悅閣、盛揚閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3596(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsnlsg.net Website: tsnlsg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3597(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsnlsg.net Website: tsnlsg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛翹閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛機閣、盛悅閣、盛揚閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3597(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsnlsg.net Website: tsnlsg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3598(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，亦增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3598(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：_____ (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3599(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，亦增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3599(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：馮振泉 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3600(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，亦增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3600(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：吳[illegible] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3601(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsabing.net Website: tsabing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區關繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新興屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛區閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謹閣、盛悅閣、盛頌閣、盛亭閣、盛逸閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3601(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsabing.net Website: tsabing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全罔視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3602(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsabing.net Website: tsabing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區關繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新興屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛區閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謹閣、盛悅閣、盛頌閣、盛亭閣、盛逸閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3602(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsabing.net Website: tsabing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全罔視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3603(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsabing.net Website: tsabing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區關繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新興屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛區閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謹閣、盛悅閣、盛頌閣、盛亭閣、盛逸閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3603(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsabing.net Website: tsabing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全罔視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3604(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛源閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3604(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，以表「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3605(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛源閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3605(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，以表「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3606(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛源閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3606(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，以表「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3607(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/LJ18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛曦閣。

…/2

3607(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/LJ18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：梁耀輝 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3608(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/LJ18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛曦閣。

…/2

3608(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/LJ18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陳[illegible] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3609(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/LJ18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛曦閣。

…/2

3609(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/LJ18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[illegible] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3610(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分劃天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分劃天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛毓閣、盛翹閣、盛賢閣和盛瑩閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3610(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要重選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分劃天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3611(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分劃天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分劃天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛毓閣、盛翹閣、盛賢閣和盛瑩閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3611(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要重選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分劃天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3612(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分劃天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分劃天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛毓閣、盛翹閣、盛賢閣和盛瑩閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3612(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要重選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分劃天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3613(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshkg.net Website: tsshkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」。惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛誠閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3613(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshkg.net Website: tsshkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：馬德成 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3614(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshkg.net Website: tsshkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」。惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛誠閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3614(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshkg.net Website: tsshkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3615(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshkg.net Website: tsshkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」。惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛誠閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3615(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshkg.net Website: tsshkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3616(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlng.net Website: tsshlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人仕而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛智閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛蘭閣。

…/2

3616(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlng.net Website: tsshlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：何仲文 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3617(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlng.net Website: tsshlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人仕而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛智閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛蘭閣。

…/2

3617(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlng.net Website: tsshlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主簽名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3618(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlng.net Website: tsshlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人仕而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛智閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛蘭閣。

…/2

3618(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlng.net Website: tsshlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3619(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區影響，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賓閣和盛暘閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛動閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛德閣、盛亨閣、盛凱閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3619(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3620(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區影響，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賓閣和盛暘閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛動閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛德閣、盛亨閣、盛凱閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3620(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3621(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區影響，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賓閣和盛暘閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛動閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛德閣、盛亨閣、盛凱閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3621(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團要求選舉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3622(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@thsbing.net Website: thsbing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛暉閣、盛賢閣和盛盈閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3622(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@thsbing.net Website: thsbing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作足次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3623(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@thsbing.net Website: thsbing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛暉閣、盛賢閣和盛盈閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3623(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@thsbing.net Website: thsbing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作足次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3624(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@thsbing.net Website: thsbing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛暉閣、盛賢閣和盛盈閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3624(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@thsbing.net Website: thsbing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作足次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3625(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關係的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛浦閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3625(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：姚*平 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3626(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關係的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛浦閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3626(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：何*輝 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3627(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關係的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛浦閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3627(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☒ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：_____ (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3628(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一環天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視為單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」。惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛盈閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛豐閣。

…/2

3628(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一環天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3629(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一環天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視為單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」。惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛盈閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛豐閣。

…/2

3629(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一環天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3630(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一環天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視為單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」。惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛盈閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛豐閣。

…/2

3630(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一環天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3631(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下:

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛輝閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

3631(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陳[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3632(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下:

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛輝閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

3632(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3633(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下:

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選舉會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選舉會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛輝閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

3633(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團憂慮選舉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3634(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」人仕而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛寶閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

3634(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶聯繫提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3635(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」人仕而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛寶閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

3635(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶聯繫提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3636(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」人仕而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛寶閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

3636(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶聯繫提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3637(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區間卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛譽閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛滿閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

3637(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：楊某敏 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3638(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區間卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛譽閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛滿閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

3638(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：黃[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3639(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區間卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛譽閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛滿閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

3639(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：梁[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3640(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛朝閣、盛賢閣和盛逸閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛康閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3640(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陳美娟 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3641(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛朝閣、盛賢閣和盛逸閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛康閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3641(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：郭大衛 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3642(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛朝閣、盛賢閣和盛逸閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛康閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3642(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3643(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛朗閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛邇閣。

…/2

3643(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3644(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛朗閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛邇閣。

…/2

3644(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3645(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛朗閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛邇閣。

…/2

3645(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3646(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將大盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛暉閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謀閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3646(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團獲悉選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3647(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將大盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛暉閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謀閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3647(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團獲悉選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3648(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛暉閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謀閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3648(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團獲悉選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3649(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsahkg.net Website: tsahkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛紹閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛臨閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛誠閣、盛悅閣、盛泰閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3649(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsahkg.net Website: tsahkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3650(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsahkg.net Website: tsahkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛紹閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛臨閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛誠閣、盛悅閣、盛泰閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3650(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsahkg.net Website: tsahkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3651(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsahkg.net Website: tsahkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛紹閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛臨閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛誠閣、盛悅閣、盛泰閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3651(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsahkg.net Website: tsahkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3652(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向屋宇管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屋屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有共通特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛滿閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3652(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分劃天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各盛大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名: [redacted] (先生/女士) 住址: [redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3653(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有共通特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛滿閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3653(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分劃天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各盛大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名: [redacted] (先生/女士) 住址: [redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3654(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有共通特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛滿閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3654(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分劃天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各盛大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名: [redacted] (先生/女士) 住址: [redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3655(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的連貫，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匡閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3655(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：張[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3656(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的連貫，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匡閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3656(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3657(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的連貫，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匡閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3657(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3658(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛誠閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3658(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議感深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要選選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3659(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛誠閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3659(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議感深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要選選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3660(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛誠閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3660(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議感深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要選選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3661(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsohlng.net Website: tsohlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人仗而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聚繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3661(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsohlng.net Website: tsohlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：_____(先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3662(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsohlng.net Website: tsohlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人仗而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聚繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3662(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsohlng.net Website: tsohlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：_____(先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3663(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsohlng.net Website: tsohlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人仗而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聚繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3663(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsohlng.net Website: tsohlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：_____(先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3664(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
I/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天慈苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天慶選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」。惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛建閣、盛悅閣、盛輝閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3664(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
I/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3665(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
I/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」。惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛建閣、盛悅閣、盛輝閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3665(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
I/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3666(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
I/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天慈苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天慶選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」。惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛建閣、盛悅閣、盛輝閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3666(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
I/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3667(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選舉分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3667(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：吳少英 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3668(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選舉分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3668(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3669(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選舉分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3669(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3670(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsahlng.net Website: tsahlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛媛閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雋閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛蘭閣。

…/2

3670(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsahlng.net Website: tsahlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3671(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsahlng.net Website: tsahlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛媛閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雋閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛蘭閣。

…/2

3671(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsahlng.net Website: tsahlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團憂慮選舉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ■ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3672(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsahlng.net Website: tsahlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛媛閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雋閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛蘭閣。

…/2

3672(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsahlng.net Website: tsahlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團憂慮選舉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3673(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2109 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛韻閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛豫閣。

…/2

3673(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2109 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：Tse Wai Ling (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3674(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2109 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛韻閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛豫閣。

…/2

3674(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2109 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3675(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2109 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛韻閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛豫閣。

…/2

3675(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2109 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3676(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視為單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」人仕而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛豐閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛源閣。

…/2

3676(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而繼持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3677(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視為單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」人仕而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛豐閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛源閣。

…/2

3677(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而繼持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3678(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視為單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」人仕而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛豐閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛源閣。

…/2

3678(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而繼持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3679(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛蔚閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛紳閣和盛譽閣。

…/2

3679(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3680(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛蔚閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛紳閣和盛譽閣。

…/2

3680(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3681(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛蔚閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛紳閣和盛譽閣。

…/2

3681(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉管理委員會。

法團憂慮選舉管理委員會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉管理委員會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3682(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一種天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛麗閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛興閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3682(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一種天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[illegible] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3683(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一種天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區 一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛麗閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛興閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3683(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一種天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[illegible] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3684(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一種天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛麗閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛興閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3684(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一種天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☒ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[illegible] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3685(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSCIOI/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選舉分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3685(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSCIOI/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：辛某年 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3686(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSCIOI/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選舉分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3686(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSCIOI/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陳紹雲 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3687(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSCIOI/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選舉分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3687(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSCIOI/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

王醉雲
業主姓名：王醉霞 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3688(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天朗苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛逸閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛運閣。

…/2

3688(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此詳議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3689(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天朗苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛逸閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛運閣。

…/2

3689(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：張保明 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3690(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天朗苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛逸閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛運閣。

…/2

3690(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3691(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsbshkg.net Website: tsbshkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙前影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及融合地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛輝閣、盛譽閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3691(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsbshkg.net Website: tsbshkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陳惠芳 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3692(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsbshkg.net Website: tsbshkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙前影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及融合地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛輝閣、盛譽閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3692(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsbshkg.net Website: tsbshkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陳秀英 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3693(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsbshkg.net Website: tsbshkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙前影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及融合地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛輝閣、盛譽閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3693(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsbshkg.net Website: tsbshkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☑ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3694(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsahing.net Website: tsahing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示，「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛南閣、盛賢閣和盛蘊閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛豐閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛慶閣。

…/2

3694(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsahing.net Website: tsahing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3695(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsahing.net Website: tsahing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示，「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛南閣、盛賢閣和盛蘊閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛豐閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛慶閣。

…/2

3695(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsahing.net Website: tsahing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3696(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsahing.net Website: tsahing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示，「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛南閣、盛賢閣和盛蘊閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛豐閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛慶閣。

…/2

3696(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsahing.net Website: tsahing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉管理委員會。

法團憂慮選舉管理委員會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3697(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
I/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，大盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3697(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
I/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，以配合「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：Ng Siu Fai (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3698(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
I/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3698(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
I/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，以配合「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：Lok Wai Jo (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3699(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
I/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3699(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
I/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，以配合「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：林瑞芳 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3700(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會以對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛蘭閣。

…/2

3700(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3701(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛蘭閣。

…/2

3701(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3702(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛蘭閣。

…/2

3702(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：_____ (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3703(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及選舉地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛乾閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛滿閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛裕閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛圍閣。

…/2

3703(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3704(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及選舉地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛乾閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛滿閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛裕閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛圍閣。

…/2

3704(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3705(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及選舉地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛乾閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛滿閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛裕閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛圍閣。

…/2

3705(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3706(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@thahing.net Website: thahing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區間卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示，「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛逸閣、盛賢閣和盛圓閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勢閣、盛志閣、盛輝閣、盛悅閣、盛泰閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3706(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@thahing.net Website: thahing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團或會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3707(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@thahing.net Website: thahing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區間卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示，「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛逸閣、盛賢閣和盛圓閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勢閣、盛志閣、盛輝閣、盛悅閣、盛泰閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3707(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@thahing.net Website: thahing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團或會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3708(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@thahing.net Website: thahing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區間卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示，「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛逸閣、盛賢閣和盛圓閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勢閣、盛志閣、盛輝閣、盛悅閣、盛泰閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3708(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@thahing.net Website: thahing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團或會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3709(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼 - 元朗天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區間卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對協成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天瑞苑碧彩閣、盛昭閣、盛暉閣、盛賢閣和盛猛閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛動閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛泰閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛蘭閣。

…/2

3709(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼 - 元朗天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要盡選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛新選，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關建議。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：鄭錦坤 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3710(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼 - 元朗天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區間卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對協成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天瑞苑碧彩閣、盛昭閣、盛暉閣、盛賢閣和盛猛閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛動閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛泰閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛蘭閣。

…/2

3710(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼 - 元朗天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要盡選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3711(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼 - 元朗天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區間卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對協成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛暉閣、盛賢閣和盛猛閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛動閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛泰閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛蘭閣。

…/2

3711(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼 - 元朗天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要盡選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3712(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋原有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛智閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛滿閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

3712(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團屢次獲悉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3713(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋原有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛智閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛滿閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

3713(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團屢次獲悉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3714(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋原有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛智閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛滿閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

3714(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團屢次獲悉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3715(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割大盛選區只會對造成居民分化及混亂，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛臻閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛鴻閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛羅閣。

…/2

3715(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉大盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陳國明 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3716(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割大盛選區只會對造成居民分化及混亂，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛臻閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛鴻閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛羅閣。

…/2

3716(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉大盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：Chan Kin Wai (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3717(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割大盛選區只會對造成居民分化及混亂，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛臻閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛鴻閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛羅閣。

…/2

3717(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉大盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：余志新 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3718(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個榮譽，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然障礙(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天慈苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛明閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛匯居及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛豪閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛潤閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛慶閣。

…/2

3718(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建構深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：HA PUI WAH (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3719(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個榮譽，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然障礙(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天慈苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛明閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛匯居及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛豪閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛潤閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛慶閣。

…/2

3719(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建構深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：黃生祥 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3720(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個榮譽，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然障礙(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天慈苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛明閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛匯居及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛豪閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛潤閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛慶閣。

…/2

3720(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建構深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3721(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵抗單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區重特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛毓閣、盛寶閣和盛疆閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛藝閣、盛志閣、盛濠閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3721(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。故此現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3722(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵抗單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區重特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛毓閣、盛寶閣和盛疆閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛藝閣、盛志閣、盛濠閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3722(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3723(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵抗單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區重特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛毓閣、盛寶閣和盛疆閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛藝閣、盛志閣、盛濠閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3723(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3724(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛福閣、盛賢閣和盛圓閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛圓閣。

…/2

3724(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：＿＿＿＿＿＿ (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3725(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛福閣、盛賢閣和盛圓閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛圓閣。

…/2

3725(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團憂慮選舉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3726(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛福閣、盛賢閣和盛圓閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛圓閣。

…/2

3726(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團憂慮選舉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3727(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直撥有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團曾時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

誰新居民「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居民屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新屋屬應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑逸彩閣、逸暉閣、盛暉閣、盛賢閣和盛蕙閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、嘉誠閣、盛恒閣、盛輝閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛豪閣。

…/2

3727(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3728(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直撥有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團曾時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

誰新居民「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居民屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新屋屬應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑逸彩閣、逸暉閣、盛暉閣、盛賢閣和盛蕙閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、嘉誠閣、盛恒閣、盛輝閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛豪閣。

…/2

3728(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3729(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直撥有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團曾時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

誰新居民「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居民屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新屋屬應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑逸彩閣、逸暉閣、盛暉閣、盛賢閣和盛蕙閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、嘉誠閣、盛恒閣、盛輝閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛豪閣。

…/2

3729(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3730(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛廣場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被劃入一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的專一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屆「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛區只會對地成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選舉分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛雅閣、盛賢閣和盛福閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛謀閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3730(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛廣場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因繼續分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：賴惠送 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3731(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛廣場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被劃入一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的專一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屆「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛區只會對地成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選舉分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛雅閣、盛賢閣和盛福閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛謀閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3731(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛廣場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因繼續分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3732(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛廣場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被劃入一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的專一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屆「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛區只會對地成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選舉分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛雅閣、盛賢閣和盛福閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛謀閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3732(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛廣場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因繼續分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3733(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃分調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被分單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區關繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及割裂，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加開支行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關愛的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛裕閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3733(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：黃燕卿 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3734(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃分調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被分單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區關繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及割裂，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加開支行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關愛的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛裕閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3734(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3735(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃分調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被分單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區關繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及割裂，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加開支行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關愛的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛裕閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3735(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3736(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直連有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新近區「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反映任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居民跟原苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居民理應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛晴閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛熹閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3736(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3737(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直連有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆居「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居民跟原苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居民理應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛晴閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛熹閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3737(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3738(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直連有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆居「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居民跟原苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居民理應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛晴閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛熹閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3738(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3739(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直張有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團曾時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新近聞「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成社民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛明閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛區閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛裕閣、盛泰閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛彬閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛疆閣。

…/2

3739(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3740(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直張有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團曾時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新近聞「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成社民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛明閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛區閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛裕閣、盛泰閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛彬閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛疆閣。

…/2

3740(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3741(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直張有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團曾時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新近聞「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成社民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛明閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛區閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛裕閣、盛泰閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛彬閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛疆閣。

…/2

3741(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3742(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區歸屬，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關聚的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛逸閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛添閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3742(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：鄧偉昌 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3743(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區歸屬，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關聚的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛逸閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛添閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3743(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：李[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3744(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區歸屬，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關聚的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛逸閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛添閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3744(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：黃[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3745(1)

元朝天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃分調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直都有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有聞悉天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆期「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屆屋苑「屏欣苑」人仕而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛區閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛達閣、盛恒閣、盛輝閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3745(2)

元朝天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朝天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3746(1)

元朝天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃分調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直都有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有聞悉天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆期「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屆屋苑「屏欣苑」人仕而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛區閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛達閣、盛恒閣、盛輝閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3746(2)

元朝天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朝天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3747(1)

元朝天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃分調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直都有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有聞悉天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆期「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屆屋苑「屏欣苑」人仕而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛區閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛達閣、盛恒閣、盛輝閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3747(2)

元朝天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朝天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3748(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛廣場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有機關天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加選務行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛明閣、盛源閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛添閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泰閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛厚閣。

…/2

3748(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛廣場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：李桃清 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3749(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛廣場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有機關天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加選務行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛明閣、盛源閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛添閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泰閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛厚閣。

…/2

3749(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛廣場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉管理委員會。

法團憂慮選舉管理委員會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉管理委員會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：宏樂 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3750(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛廣場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有機關天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加選務行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛明閣、盛源閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛添閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泰閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛厚閣。

…/2

3750(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛廣場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：LEE KIN SUN (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3751(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsshing.net Website: tlsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有爭取天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區歸屬，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加選舉行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應存專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，並選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛平閣、盛凱閣、盛賢閣和盛建閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛俊閣、盛輝閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3751(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsshing.net Website: tlsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶隨時提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3752(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsshing.net Website: tlsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有爭取天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區歸屬，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加選舉行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應存專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，並選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛平閣、盛凱閣、盛賢閣和盛建閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛俊閣、盛輝閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3752(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsshing.net Website: tlsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶隨時提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3753(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsshing.net Website: tlsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有爭取天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區歸屬，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加選舉行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應存專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，並選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛平閣、盛凱閣、盛賢閣和盛建閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛俊閣、盛輝閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3753(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsshing.net Website: tlsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶隨時提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3754(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聚焦，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加照料行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關愛的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛燕閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3754(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：劉鳳娟 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3755(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聚焦，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加照料行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關愛的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛燕閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3755(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主簽名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3756(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聚焦，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加照料行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關愛的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛燕閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3756(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團憂慮選舉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3757(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向建制事務管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛寶閣和盛區閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3757(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3758(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向建制事務管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛寶閣和盛區閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3758(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3759(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向建制事務管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛寶閣和盛區閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3759(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3760(1)

元朝天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聚集，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新屏居應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聚集的連貫，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，檢選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛荷閣、盛蓮閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛興閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛豐閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3760(2)

元朝天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要感選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朝天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3761(1)

元朝天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聚集，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新屏居應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聚集的連貫，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，檢選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛荷閣、盛蓮閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛興閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛豐閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3761(2)

元朝天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要感選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朝天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3762(1)

元朝天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聚集，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新屏居應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聚集的連貫，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，檢選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛荷閣、盛蓮閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛興閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛豐閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3762(2)

元朝天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要感選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朝天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3763(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshking.net Website: tsshking.net

檔案編號：TSC/OM/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直獲有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區歷史，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛溢閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛頌閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛德閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨居、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3763(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshking.net Website: tsshking.net

檔案編號：TSC/OM/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陳嘉裕 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3764(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshking.net Website: tsshking.net

檔案編號：TSC/OM/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直獲有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區歷史，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛溢閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛頌閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛德閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨居、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3764(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshking.net Website: tsshking.net

檔案編號：TSC/OM/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3765(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshking.net Website: tsshking.net

檔案編號：TSC/OM/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直獲有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區歷史，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛溢閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛頌閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛德閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨居、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3765(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshking.net Website: tsshking.net

檔案編號：TSC/OM/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3766(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直連有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

鑑新同業「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區特點，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對區內居民分化及割裂，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔，及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性，地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3766(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：蔣森宏 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3767(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直連有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

鑑新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區特點，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對區內居民分化及割裂，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔，及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性，地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3767(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：張彩雲 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3768(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直連有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

鑑新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區特點，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對區內居民分化及割裂，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔，及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性，地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3768(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團要求選舉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☑ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3769(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對追居民分化及斷開，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋置苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區聯繫性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛容閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3769(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會積極向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：(先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3770(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對追居民分化及斷開，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋置苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區聯繫性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛容閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3770(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會積極向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3771(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對追成居民分化及斷開，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區聯繫性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛容閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3771(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會積極向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3772(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃分調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響區苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加選苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛頤閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛豐閣。

..2

3772(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行簡易調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：_____(先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3773(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃分調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響區苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加選苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛頤閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛豐閣。

..2

3773(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行簡易調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☑ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：_____(先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3774(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃分調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響區苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加選苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛頤閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛豐閣。

...2

3774(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行簡易調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：_____(先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3775(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsahlng.net Website: tlsahlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分劃天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分劃天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛沼閣、盛頤閣、盛寶閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛誠閣、盛悅閣、盛燕閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3775(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsahlng.net Website: tlsahlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3776(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsahlng.net Website: tlsahlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分劃天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分劃天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛沼閣、盛頤閣、盛寶閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛誠閣、盛悅閣、盛燕閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3776(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsahlng.net Website: tlsahlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3777(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsahlng.net Website: tlsahlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分劃天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分劃天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛沼閣、盛頤閣、盛寶閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛誠閣、盛悅閣、盛燕閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3777(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsahlng.net Website: tlsahlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3778(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區選民選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」部將人仕，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區歸屬感，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對現居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區務行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人仕而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方團體的維持，以及有關區域的自然疆界(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛碩閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛豐閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛滿閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3778(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區，同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集得的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陳碧屏 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3779(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區選民選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」部將人仕，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區歸屬感，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對現居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區務行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人仕而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方團體的維持，以及有關區域的自然疆界(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛碩閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛豐閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛滿閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3779(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集得的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：羅培基 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3780(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」部將人仕，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區歸屬感，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對現居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區務行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人仕而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方團體的維持，以及有關區域的自然疆界(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛碩閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛豐閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛滿閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3780(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集得的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：蔡劍玉 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3781(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉，首有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛翹閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛安閣、盛德閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

3781(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：梁淑平 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3782(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉，首有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛翹閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛安閣、盛德閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

3782(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3783(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉，首有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛翹閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛安閣、盛德閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

3783(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3784(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視為單一選區議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新近屆「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區務行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛明閣、盛賢閣、盛實閣和盛區閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛動閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛俊閣、盛豪閣、盛亨閣、盛加閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛基閣。

…/2

3784(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天將選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3785(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視為單一選區議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新近屆「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區務行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛明閣、盛賢閣、盛實閣和盛區閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛動閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛俊閣、盛豪閣、盛亨閣、盛加閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛基閣。

…/2

3785(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3786(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視為單一選區議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新近屆「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區務行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛明閣、盛賢閣、盛實閣和盛區閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛動閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛俊閣、盛豪閣、盛亨閣、盛加閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛基閣。

…/2

3786(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3787(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlg.net Website: tsshlg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區間卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有關「區議員議席」自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 大盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新屋屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛暉閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛俊閣、盛康閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛慶閣。

…/2

3787(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlg.net Website: tsshlg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3788(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlg.net Website: tsshlg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區間卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有關「區議員議席」自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新屋屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛暉閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛俊閣、盛康閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛慶閣。

…/2

3788(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlg.net Website: tsshlg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3789(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlg.net Website: tsshlg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區間卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有關「區議員議席」自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新屋屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛暉閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛俊閣、盛康閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛慶閣。

…/2

3789(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlg.net Website: tsshlg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3790(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑與區選成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聚集，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及割裂，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」人伙前影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區實特性、地方聚集的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛冠閣、盛薈閣、盛資閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛豐閣、盛志閣、盛捷閣、盛俊閣、盛雋閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3790(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，於於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3791(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑與區選成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聚集，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及割裂，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」人伙前影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區實特性、地方聚集的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛冠閣、盛薈閣、盛資閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛豐閣、盛志閣、盛捷閣、盛俊閣、盛雋閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3791(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，於於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3792(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑與區選成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聚集，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及割裂，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」人伙前影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區實特性、地方聚集的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛冠閣、盛薈閣、盛資閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛豐閣、盛志閣、盛捷閣、盛俊閣、盛雋閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3792(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，於於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3793(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
Ut., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，大盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個龐大、社區本身有其獨特性及地區歸屬，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方觀感的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛翹閣、盛賢閣和盛區閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雋閣、盛享閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛蕙閣。

…/2

3793(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
Ut., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際現況，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：梁 [redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3794(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
Ut., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，大盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個龐大、社區本身有其獨特性及地區歸屬，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方觀感的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛翹閣、盛賢閣和盛區閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雋閣、盛享閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛蕙閣。

…/2

3794(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
Ut., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：梁 [redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3795(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
Ut., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個龐大、社區本身有其獨特性及地區歸屬，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方觀感的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛翹閣、盛賢閣和盛區閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雋閣、盛享閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛蕙閣。

…/2

3795(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
Ut., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3796(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃分調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被劃入單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有業關天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天慶苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及割裂，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋原有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區特性、地方關愛的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑威彩閣、盛堅閣、盛商閣、盛賢閣和盛區閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3796(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議案全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行簡卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3797(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃分調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被劃入單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天慶苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及割裂，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋原有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區特性、地方關愛的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑威彩閣、盛堅閣、盛商閣、盛賢閣和盛區閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3797(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行簡卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3798(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃分調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被劃入單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天慶苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及割裂，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋原有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區特性、地方關愛的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑威彩閣、盛堅閣、盛商閣、盛賢閣和盛區閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3798(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行簡卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3799(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視作單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區歸屬，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加選區的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛熙閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑，及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛捷閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3799(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂選選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：_____(先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3800(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區歸屬，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加選區的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛熙閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛捷閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3800(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂選選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：_____(先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3801(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視作單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區歸屬，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加選區的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛熙閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛捷閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3801(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂選選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：_____(先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3802(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tbsbing.net Website: tbsbing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有團隊天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區關係，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加總預行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，故選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛荷閣、盛蘭閣、盛寶閣和盛恒閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛動閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛修閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3802(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tbsbing.net Website: tbsbing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：潘偉旭 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3803(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tbsbing.net Website: tbsbing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有團隊天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區關係，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加總預行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，故選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛荷閣、盛蘭閣、盛寶閣和盛恒閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛動閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛修閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3803(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tbsbing.net Website: tbsbing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團憂慮選舉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3804(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tbsbing.net Website: tbsbing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有團隊天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區關係，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加總預行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，故選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛荷閣、盛蘭閣、盛寶閣和盛恒閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛動閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛修閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3804(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tbsbing.net Website: tbsbing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團憂慮選舉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3805(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加開支行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，按放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方觀點的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑毓彩閣、盛昭閣、盛翹閣、盛賢閣和盛遠閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛浩閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3805(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，以證明現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，法團將會撤銷向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區的建議。

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3806(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加開支行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，按放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方觀點的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑毓彩閣、盛昭閣、盛翹閣、盛賢閣和盛遠閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛浩閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3806(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，以表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3807(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加開支行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，按放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方觀點的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑毓彩閣、盛昭閣、盛翹閣、盛賢閣和盛遠閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛浩閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3807(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，以表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3808(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有業關天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選舉分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彤閣、盛昭閣、盛曜閣、盛寶閣和盛輝園及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛恒閣、盛亮閣、盛羽閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3808(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選委會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3809(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有業關天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選舉分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彤閣、盛昭閣、盛曜閣、盛寶閣和盛輝園及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛恒閣、盛亮閣、盛羽閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3809(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選委會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3810(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有業關天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選舉分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彤閣、盛昭閣、盛曜閣、盛寶閣和盛輝園及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛恒閣、盛亮閣、盛羽閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3810(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選委會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☒ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3811(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天瑞選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

修新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區歸屬，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加選舉行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」人伙前影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛裕閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛雅閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛泰閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3811(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：CHAN Suk Ling (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3812(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天瑞選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

修新屋屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區歸屬，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加選舉行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙前影響天盛選區，而新屋屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛裕閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛雅閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛泰閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3812(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3813(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天瑞選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

修新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區歸屬，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加選舉行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」人伙前影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛裕閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛雅閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛泰閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3813(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3814(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示，「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關係的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛泰閣、盛賢閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛樂閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛翼閣。

…/2

3814(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3815(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示，「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關係的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛泰閣、盛賢閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛樂閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛翼閣。

…/2

3815(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：W. S. Kee (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3816(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示，「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關係的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛泰閣、盛賢閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛樂閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛翼閣。

…/2

3816(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3817(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsslong.net Website: tsslong.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聚集，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區政行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關愛的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛津閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3817(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsslong.net Website: tsslong.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3818(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsslong.net Website: tsslong.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聚集，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區政行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關愛的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛津閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3818(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsslong.net Website: tsslong.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3819(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsslong.net Website: tsslong.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聚集，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區政行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關愛的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛津閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3819(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsslong.net Website: tsslong.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3820(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直連同單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

鄰新屋苑「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區議行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區實特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛沿閣、盛暉閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盈：包括天盛苑盛雲閣、盛志閣、盛揚閣、盛悅閣、盛康閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3820(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建選深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團屢次向選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內。歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3821(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直連同單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

鄰新屋苑「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區議行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區實特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛沿閣、盛暉閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盈：包括天盛苑盛雲閣、盛志閣、盛揚閣、盛悅閣、盛康閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3821(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建選深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團屢次向選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3822(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直連同單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

鄰新屋苑「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區議行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區實特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛沿閣、盛暉閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盈：包括天盛苑盛雲閣、盛志閣、盛揚閣、盛悅閣、盛康閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3822(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團屢次向選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3823(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有有資區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛裕閣、盛輝閣、盛寶閣和盛盛閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛潔閣、盛悅閣、盛振閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3823(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議近全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3824(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有有資區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛裕閣、盛輝閣、盛寶閣和盛盛閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛潔閣、盛悅閣、盛振閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3824(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉管理委員會。

法團憂慮選舉管理委員會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉管理委員會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3825(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有有資區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛裕閣、盛輝閣、盛寶閣和盛盛閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛潔閣、盛悅閣、盛振閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3825(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉管理委員會。

法團憂慮選舉管理委員會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉管理委員會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3826(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直獲有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛韻閣、盛寶閣和盛遠閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛雨閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3826(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：周青秋 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]
簽名：[signature]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3827(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直獲有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛韻閣、盛寶閣和盛遠閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛雨閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3827(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：王先生 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3828(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直獲有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛韻閣、盛寶閣和盛遠閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛雨閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3828(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：邱偉光 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3829(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有落閘天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響居苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛明閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛福閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

3829(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：鄭先生 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3830(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有落閘天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑居民造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響居苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛明閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛福閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

3830(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陳先生 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3831(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有落閘天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑居民造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響居苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛明閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛福閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

3831(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：Li Yuk Sum (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3832(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直就有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區影響，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新屋屋苑有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛圖閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛逸閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛順閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛良閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3832(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要處選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再表出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陳○生 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3833(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直就有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區影響，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新屋屋苑有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛圖閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛逸閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛順閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛良閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3833(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要處選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3834(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直就有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區影響，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新屋屋苑有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛圖閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛逸閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛順閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛良閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3834(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要處選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3835(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」部落人伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屆屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而該屆屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，監選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛安閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛興閣。

…/2

3835(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3836(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」部落人伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屆屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而該屆屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，監選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛安閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛興閣。

…/2

3836(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3837(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」部落人伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屆屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而該屆屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，監選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛安閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛興閣。

…/2

3837(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3838(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳媒天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新西蘭「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區歸屬，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加選務行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屋邨屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居民應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區實特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，進選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛暉閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛創閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3838(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3839(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳媒天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新西蘭「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區歸屬，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加選務行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屋邨屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居民應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區實特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，進選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛暉閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛創閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3839(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3840(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳媒天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新西蘭「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區歸屬，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加選務行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屋邨屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居民應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區實特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，進選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛暉閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛創閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3840(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/~~女士~~) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3841(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/OM/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛韻閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3841(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/OM/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉大盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：(張龍年)(先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3842(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/OM/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛韻閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3842(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/OM/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉大盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[illegible] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3843(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/OM/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛韻閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3843(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/OM/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉大盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：張龍年 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3844(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新區議「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聚集，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加選區的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及選舉地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛麒閣、盛寶閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛誠閣、盛悅閣、盛信閣、盛孝閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3844(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☑ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：黃惠民 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3845(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新區議「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聚集，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加選區的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及選舉地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛麒閣、盛寶閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛誠閣、盛悅閣、盛信閣、盛孝閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3845(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：黃惠琼 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3846(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新區議「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聚集，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加選區的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及選舉地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛麒閣、盛寶閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛誠閣、盛悅閣、盛信閣、盛孝閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3846(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：黃張秋娟 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3847(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOrL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，決團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區關聯，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屆屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新屆應有專責區議員，按放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關愛的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛明閣、盛強閣、盛賢閣和盛楠閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛振閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3847(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOrL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各屆大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3848(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOrL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，決團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區關聯，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屆屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新屆應有專責區議員，按放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關愛的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛明閣、盛強閣、盛賢閣和盛楠閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛振閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3848(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOrL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各屆大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3849(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOrL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，決團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區關聯，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屆屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新屆應有專責區議員，按放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關愛的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛明閣、盛強閣、盛賢閣和盛楠閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛振閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3849(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOrL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各屆大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3850(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSCIO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新邨區「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對地成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新邨加入屏欣苑，人伙前影響天盛選區，而新邨應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛朗閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛頤閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛智閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛典閣、盛方閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3850(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSCIO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要重選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：張宗緣 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3851(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSCIO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新邨區「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對地成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新邨加入屏欣苑，人伙前影響天盛選區，而新邨應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛朗閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛頤閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛智閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛典閣、盛方閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3851(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSCIO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要重選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：黃建光 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3852(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSCIO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新邨區「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對地成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新邨加入屏欣苑，人伙前影響天盛選區，而新邨應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛朗閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛頤閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛智閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛典閣、盛方閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3852(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSCIO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要重選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3853(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

鄰新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整邊，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聚集，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋鄰苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，區新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聚集的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛明閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛建閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛孝閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛爾閣。

…/2

3853(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3854(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

鄰新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整邊，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聚集，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋鄰苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，區新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聚集的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛明閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛建閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛孝閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛爾閣。

…/2

3854(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3855(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

鄰新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整邊，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聚集，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋鄰苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，區新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聚集的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛明閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛建閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛孝閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛爾閣。

…/2

3855(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3856(1)

元朝天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團基於亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屋屋「厭欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屋屋苑「厭欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新屋屋理有專責區議員，沒故更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛灿閣、盛碧閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及厭欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3856(2)

元朝天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：Cheung Wing Kin (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朝天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3857(1)

元朝天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團基於亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屋屋「厭欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屋屋苑「厭欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新屋屋理有專責區議員，沒故更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛灿閣、盛碧閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及厭欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3857(2)

元朝天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朝天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3858(1)

元朝天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團基於亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屋屋「厭欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屋屋苑「厭欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新屋屋理有專責區議員，沒故更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛灿閣、盛碧閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及厭欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3858(2)

元朝天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朝天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3859(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Sau Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@thsbing.net Website: thsbing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天水圍一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屬「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區歸屬，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加選舉行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛圖閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛泰閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛章閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3859(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Sau Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@thsbing.net Website: thsbing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陳婉英 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3860(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Sau Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@thsbing.net Website: thsbing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屬「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區歸屬，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加選舉行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛圖閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛泰閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛章閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3860(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Sau Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@thsbing.net Website: thsbing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：周伊園 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3861(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Sau Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@thsbing.net Website: thsbing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屬「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區歸屬，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加選舉行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛圖閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛泰閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛章閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3861(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Sau Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@thsbing.net Website: thsbing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：謝月英 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3862(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Sau Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區需要，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屆屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方鄰里的維持，以及有關區域的自然界線(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天澤苑盛彩閣、盛晴閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛蕙閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛燕閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

.../2

3862(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Sau Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☒ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陳[illegible] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3863(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Sau Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區需要，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屆屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方鄰里的維持，以及有關區域的自然界線(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天澤苑盛彩閣、盛晴閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛蕙閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛燕閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

.../2

3863(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Sau Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☒ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：王玉[illegible] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3864(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Sau Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區需要，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屆屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方鄰里的維持，以及有關區域的自然界線(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛晴閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛蕙閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛燕閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

.../2

3864(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Sau Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：許錦清 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3865(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區劃界，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方劃界的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛明閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛瑧及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛豐閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3865(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：蔡曼芸 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3866(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區劃界，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方劃界的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛明閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛瑧及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛豐閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3866(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：蔡曼芸 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3867(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區劃界，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方劃界的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛明閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛瑧及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛豐閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3867(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3868(1)

元朝天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉事務委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聲譽，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛晴閣、盛晴閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

3868(2)

元朝天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朝天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3869(1)

元朝天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉事務委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聲譽，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛晴閣、盛晴閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

3869(2)

元朝天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朝天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3870(1)

元朝天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉事務委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聲譽，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛晴閣、盛晴閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

3870(2)

元朝天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☒ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朝天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3871(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Sau Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃分調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團曾經亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區歸屬，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加開支行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方歸屬的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，並選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛頌閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛翰閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3871(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Sau Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團獲悉選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3872(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Sau Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃分調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團曾經亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區歸屬，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加開支行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方歸屬的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，並選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛頌閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛翰閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3872(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Sau Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團獲悉選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3873(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Sau Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃分調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團曾經亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區歸屬，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加開支行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方歸屬的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，並選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛頌閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛翰閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3873(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Sau Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團獲悉選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3874(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tshaing.net Website: tshaing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視為單一區議員選區，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響原苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屆苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屬苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛寶閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛恆閣、盛毅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

3874(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tshaing.net Website: tshaing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選舉管理委員會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：梁[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3875(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tshaing.net Website: tshaing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視為單一區議員選區，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響原苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屆苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屬苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛寶閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛恆閣、盛毅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

-/2

3875(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tshaing.net Website: tshaing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選舉管理委員會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3876(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tshaing.net Website: tshaing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視為單一區議員選區，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響原苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屆苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屬苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛寶閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛恆閣、盛毅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

3876(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tshaing.net Website: tshaing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選舉管理委員會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3877(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆「昇欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區關繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「昇欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新屆應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛明閣、盛朝閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及昇欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛興閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3877(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回條，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：CHAN CHUNG KIN (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3878(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆「昇欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區關繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「昇欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新屆應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛明閣、盛朝閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及昇欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛興閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3878(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回條，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3879(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「昇欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區關繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選舉一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屆屋屋苑「昇欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新屆屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛明閣、盛朝閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及昇欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛興閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3879(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回條，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3880(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被劃單一區議員選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加服務行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雪閣、盛浩閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛蘭閣。

…/2

3880(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陳兆文 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3881(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被劃單一區議員選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加服務行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雪閣、盛浩閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛蘭閣。

…/2

3881(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：鄧有輝 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3882(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被劃單一區議員選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加服務行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雪閣、盛浩閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛蘭閣。

…/2

3882(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3883(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsslong.net Website: tsslong.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人仕而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責保議員，設放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示，「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區特性，地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀，交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛暉閣、盛賢閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛誠閣、盛悅閣、盛添閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3883(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsslong.net Website: tsslong.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3884(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsslong.net Website: tsslong.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人仕而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責保議員，設放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示，「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區特性，地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀，交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛暉閣、盛賢閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛誠閣、盛悅閣、盛添閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3884(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsslong.net Website: tsslong.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3885(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsslong.net Website: tsslong.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人仕而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責保議員，設放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示，「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區特性，地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀，交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛暉閣、盛賢閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛誠閣、盛悅閣、盛添閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3885(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsslong.net Website: tsslong.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3886(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直獲有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有業關天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人仕而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛懿閣及屏欣苑，及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雨閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛榮閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3886(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：何小姐 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3887(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直獲有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有業關天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人仕而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛懿閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雨閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛榮閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3887(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：何小姐 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3888(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直獲有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有業關天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人仕而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛懿閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雨閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛榮閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3888(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3889(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsmbg.net Website: tlsmbg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有鄉閭天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下簡 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人仕而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛明閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛誠閣、盛恆閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泰閣、盛聲閣、盛坤閣和盛圍閣。

…/2

3889(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsmbg.net Website: tlsmbg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各屋大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：徐某某 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3890(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsmbg.net Website: tlsmbg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有鄉閭天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下簡 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人仕而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛明閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛誠閣、盛恆閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泰閣、盛聲閣、盛坤閣和盛圍閣。

…/2

3890(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsmbg.net Website: tlsmbg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各屋大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3891(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsmbg.net Website: tlsmbg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有鄉閭天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下簡 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人仕而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛明閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛誠閣、盛恆閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泰閣、盛聲閣、盛坤閣和盛圍閣。

…/2

3891(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsmbg.net Website: tlsmbg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各屋大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3892(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區間卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天慈苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有個關天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 嘯欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛紹閣、盛雅閣、盛寶閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛德閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3892(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切斷天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3893(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區間卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天慈苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有個關天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 嘯欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛紹閣、盛雅閣、盛寶閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛德閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3893(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切斷天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切斷天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3894(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區間卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天慈苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有個關天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 嘯欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛紹閣、盛雅閣、盛寶閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛德閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3894(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切斷天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切斷天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3895(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆誕「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區歸屬，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性，地方團聚的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛輝閣、盛賀閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛康閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3895(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3896(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆誕「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區歸屬，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性，地方團聚的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛輝閣、盛賀閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛康閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3896(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團憂慮選舉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3897(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆誕「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區歸屬，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性，地方團聚的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛輝閣、盛賀閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛康閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3897(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團憂慮選舉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3898(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直都有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「昇欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「昇欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及運應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及昇欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛源閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3898(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：施偉光 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3899(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直都有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「昇欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「昇欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及運應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及昇欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛源閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3899(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團憂慮選舉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：李銳光 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3900(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直都有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「昇欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「昇欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及運應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及昇欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛源閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3900(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團憂慮選舉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：黃志勇 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3901(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓元盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsheung.net Website: tsheung.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被劃入單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分劃天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分劃天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屆屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新屆屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助屆民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛暉閣、盛賢閣和盛逸閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3901(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓元盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsheung.net Website: tsheung.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑屆民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉大盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：李建新 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3902(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓元盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsheung.net Website: tsheung.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被劃入單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分劃天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分劃天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屆屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新屆屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助屆民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛暉閣、盛賢閣和盛逸閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3902(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓元盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsheung.net Website: tsheung.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑屆民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉大盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3903(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓元盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsheung.net Website: tsheung.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被劃入單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分劃天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分劃天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屆屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新屆屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助屆民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛暉閣、盛賢閣和盛逸閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3903(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓元盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsheung.net Website: tsheung.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑屆民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉大盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3904(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有聞悉天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居民入住「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關係的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛虹閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛豐閣、盛志閣、盛譽閣、盛悅閣、盛康閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3904(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3905(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有聞悉天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關係的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛虹閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛豐閣、盛志閣、盛譽閣、盛悅閣、盛康閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3905(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3906(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有聞悉天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關係的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛虹閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛豐閣、盛志閣、盛譽閣、盛悅閣、盛康閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3906(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3907(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tsslong.net Website: tsslong.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直連有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區鄰舉，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響保安管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區政行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然疆界(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛泊閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛樂閣、盛悅閣、盛康閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3907(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tsslong.net Website: tsslong.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3908(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tsslong.net Website: tsslong.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直連有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區鄰舉，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響保安管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區政行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然疆界(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛泊閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛樂閣、盛悅閣、盛康閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3908(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tsslong.net Website: tsslong.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3909(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tsslong.net Website: tsslong.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直連有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區鄰舉，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響保安管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區政行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然疆界(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛泊閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛樂閣、盛悅閣、盛康閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

6.19 1115
31 55 0926 受

…/2

3909(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tsslong.net Website: tsslong.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3910(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsahlng.net Website: tsahlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直都有單一個議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區歸屬，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響經營管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，按教更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關係的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛區閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛樂閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3910(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsahlng.net Website: tsahlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：ZHU SeaChu (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3911(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsahlng.net Website: tsahlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直都有單一個議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區歸屬，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響經營管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，按教更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關係的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛區閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛樂閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3911(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsahlng.net Website: tsahlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3912(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsahlng.net Website: tsahlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直都有單一個議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區歸屬，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響經營管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，按教更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關係的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛區閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛樂閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3912(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsahlng.net Website: tsahlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：NG Hei Yu (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3913(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlmg.net Website: tsshlmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新屋屋苑有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將大盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛韻閣、盛賢閣和盛福閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛湘閣、盛享閣、盛泊閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

...f2

3913(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlmg.net Website: tsshlmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集民意，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區、而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，於於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：_____(先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3914(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlmg.net Website: tsshlmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新屋屋苑有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將大盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛韻閣、盛賢閣和盛福閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛湘閣、盛享閣、盛泊閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

...f2

3914(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlmg.net Website: tsshlmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集民意，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區、而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[signature] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3915(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlmg.net Website: tsshlmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新屋屋苑有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將大盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛韻閣、盛賢閣和盛福閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛湘閣、盛享閣、盛泊閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

...f2

3915(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlmg.net Website: tsshlmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集民意，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區、而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[signature] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3916(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshking.net Website: tsshking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人仕而影響天盛選區，而新屋屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選舉分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛盈閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛駿閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛發閣、盛泰閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3916(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshking.net Website: tsshking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3917(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshking.net Website: tsshking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人仕而影響天盛選區，而新屋屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選舉分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛盈閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛駿閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛發閣、盛泰閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3917(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshking.net Website: tsshking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3918(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshking.net Website: tsshking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人仕而影響天盛選區，而新屋屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選舉分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛盈閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛駿閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛發閣、盛泰閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3918(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshking.net Website: tsshking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團憂慮選舉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3919(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區間卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被劃入單一區議員選區，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有業關天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加選區的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加運作行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屆屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新屆屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛裕閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛潮閣、盛悅閣、盛康閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3919(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各盛大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3920(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區間卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被劃入單一區議員選區，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有業關天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加選區的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加運作行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屆屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新屆屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛裕閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛潮閣、盛悅閣、盛康閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3920(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各盛大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3921(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區間卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被劃入單一區議員選區，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有業關天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加選區的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加運作行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屆屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新屆屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛裕閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛潮閣、盛悅閣、盛康閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3921(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各盛大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3922(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視乎單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區歸屬，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區務行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋選苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及選應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關係的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛豐閣、盛賢閣和盛潤閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛恒閣、盛秀閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3922(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
~~□ 贊成切割選區~~ ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陳宣華 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3923(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視乎單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區歸屬，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區務行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋選苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及選應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關係的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛豐閣、盛賢閣和盛潤閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛恒閣、盛秀閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3923(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
□ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陳宣華 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3924(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視乎單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區歸屬，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區務行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋選苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及選應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關係的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛豐閣、盛賢閣和盛潤閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛恒閣、盛秀閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3924(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉管理委員會。

法團憂慮選舉管理委員會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉管理委員會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
□ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3925(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsthoa.net Website: tsthoa.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「昇欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屆屋苑「昇欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新屆屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑威彩閣、盛和閣、盛頤閣、盛寶閣和盛匯閣及昇欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛熹閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛豐閣。

…/2

3925(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsthoa.net Website: tsthoa.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂選舉事務會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3926(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsthoa.net Website: tsthoa.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「昇欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屆屋苑「昇欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新屆屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑威彩閣、盛和閣、盛頤閣、盛寶閣和盛匯閣及昇欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛熹閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛豐閣。

…/2

3926(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsthoa.net Website: tsthoa.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂選舉事務會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：李賀英 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3927(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsthoa.net Website: tsthoa.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「昇欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屆屋苑「昇欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新屆屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑威彩閣、盛和閣、盛頤閣、盛寶閣和盛匯閣及昇欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛熹閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛豐閣。

…/2

3927(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsthoa.net Website: tsthoa.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] 先生/女士 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3928(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區歸屬，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加選席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛益閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛德閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3928(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各屋大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名 Wong Siu Loi (先生/女士) 住址: [redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3929(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區歸屬，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加選席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛益閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛德閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3929(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各屋大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址: [redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3930(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區歸屬，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加選席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選舉分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛益閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛德閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3930(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各屋大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址: [redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3931(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區凝聚，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關係的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛熙閣、盛智閣和盛誠閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛泉閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛昇閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3931(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。故匯現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3932(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區凝聚，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關係的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛熙閣、盛智閣和盛誠閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛泉閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛昇閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3932(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。故匯現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3933(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區凝聚，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關係的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛熙閣、盛智閣和盛誠閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛泉閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛昇閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3933(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。故匯現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3934(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天晉苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，大盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天慈選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋居有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選舉，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛碧閣、盛蘭閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛怡閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3934(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天晉苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3935(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天晉苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，大盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋居有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛碧閣、盛蘭閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛怡閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勢閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛怡閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3935(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天晉苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3936(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天晉苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，大盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋居有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛碧閣、盛蘭閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛怡閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勢閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛怡閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3936(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天晉苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉管理委員會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3937(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直就有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區影響，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屆屏欣苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新屆屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在確定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區選特性，地方團體的聯持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛碩閣、盛雅閣、盛賢閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛德閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛輝閣。

…/2

3937(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團重視選舉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：梁翊儀 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3938(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直就有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區影響，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屆屏欣苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新屆屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在確定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區選特性，地方團體的聯持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛碩閣、盛雅閣、盛賢閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛德閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛輝閣。

…/2

3938(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團重視選舉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：蘇惠琴 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3939(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直就有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區影響，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屆屏欣苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新屆屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在確定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區選特性，地方團體的聯持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛碩閣、盛雅閣、盛賢閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛德閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛輝閣。

…/2

3939(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團重視選舉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：蘇沛然 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3940(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區事務，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區等特性，地方事繁的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛耀閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛豐閣。

…/2

3940(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主發揮意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3941(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區事務，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區等特性，地方事繁的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛耀閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛豐閣。

…/2

3941(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3942(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區事務，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區等特性，地方事繁的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛耀閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛豐閣。

…/2

3942(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3943(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天瑞苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天瑞苑已為一個整邊，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新加區應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」。惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛皓閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天瑞苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛耀閣、盛悅閣、盛翩閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3943(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會，

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：Wong Chiu Fung (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3944(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天瑞苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天瑞苑已為一個整邊，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新加區應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」。惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛皓閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天瑞苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛耀閣、盛悅閣、盛翩閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3944(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會，

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：曾兆豐 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3945(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整邊，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」。惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛皓閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天瑞苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛耀閣、盛悅閣、盛翩閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3945(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會，

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：葉鴻儀 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3946(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshbg.net Website: tsshbg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向屋宇署事務委員會(下稱 "屋管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉事務委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加選區的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，屋管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關係的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛裕閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛康閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛豐閣。

…/2

3946(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshbg.net Website: tsshbg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3947(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshbg.net Website: tsshbg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向屋宇署事務委員會(下稱 "屋管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉事務委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加選區的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，屋管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關係的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛裕閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛康閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛豐閣。

…/2

3947(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshbg.net Website: tsshbg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3948(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshbg.net Website: tsshbg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向屋宇署事務委員會(下稱 "屋管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉事務委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加選區的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關係的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛裕閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛康閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛豐閣。

…/2

3948(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshbg.net Website: tsshbg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3949(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshking.net Website: tsshking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被打單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛暉閣、盛悅閣、盛康閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛雅閣、盛泉閣、盛樂閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛康閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛雅閣、盛泉閣、盛樂閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3949(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshking.net Website: tsshking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3950(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshking.net Website: tsshking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被打單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛暉閣、盛悅閣、盛康閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛雅閣、盛泉閣、盛樂閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛康閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛雅閣、盛泉閣、盛樂閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3950(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshking.net Website: tsshking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3951(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshking.net Website: tsshking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被打單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛暉閣、盛悅閣、盛康閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛雅閣、盛泉閣、盛樂閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛康閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛雅閣、盛泉閣、盛樂閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3951(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshking.net Website: tsshking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3952(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直設有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人仕而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛電閣、盛航閣、盛強閣、盛輝閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛逸閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛強閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3952(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：王[redacted](先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3953(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直設有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人仕而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 20:9 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛強閣、盛賢閣和盛輝閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛逸閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛強閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3953(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：李[redacted](先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3954(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直設有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人仕而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛電閣、盛航閣、盛強閣、盛輝閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛逸閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛強閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3954(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：朱[redacted](先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3955(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlong.net Website: tsshlong.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區影響，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及割裂，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關係的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛智閣和民德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛傑閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3955(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlong.net Website: tsshlong.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此舉嚴重全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選舉會仍有可能基於某些不引原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3956(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlong.net Website: tsshlong.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區影響，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及割裂，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關係的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛智閣和民德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛傑閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3956(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlong.net Website: tsshlong.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此舉嚴重全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選舉會仍有可能基於某些不引原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3957(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlong.net Website: tsshlong.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區影響，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及割裂，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關係的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛智閣和民德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛傑閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3957(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlong.net Website: tsshlong.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此舉嚴重全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3958(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直設有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居民區屏欣苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居民應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛暉閣。

…/2

3958(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3959(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直設有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居民區屏欣苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居民應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛暉閣。

…/2

3959(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3960(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直設有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居民應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛暉閣。

…/2

3960(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3961(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOI/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區制衡，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方團體的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛福閣、盛賢閣和盛盈閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天威苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛安閣、盛雅閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛疆閣。

…/2

3961(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOI/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3962(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOI/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區制衡，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方團體的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛福閣、盛賢閣和盛盈閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛安閣、盛雅閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛疆閣。

…/2

3962(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOI/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3963(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOI/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區制衡，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方團體的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛福閣、盛賢閣和盛盈閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛安閣、盛雅閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛疆閣。

…/2

3963(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOI/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3964(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
UF., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聚集，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(部增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛慶閣及盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛恒閣、盛禮閣、盛享閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛輝閣。

…/2

3964(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
UF., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛海區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：Lai Suk Kam (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3965(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
UF., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聚集，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(部增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛慶閣及盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛恒閣、盛禮閣、盛享閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛輝閣。

…/2

3965(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
UF., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3966(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
UF., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 20.8 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聚集，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新建選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛慶閣及盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛恒閣、盛禮閣、盛享閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛輝閣。

…/2

3966(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
UF., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3967(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
UF, Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2554 2108 Fax: 2554 2109 E-mail: info@tsshking.net Website: tsshking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛容閣、盛翠閣、盛輝閣、盛雲閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛輝閣。

…/2

3967(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
UF, Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2554 2108 Fax: 2554 2109 E-mail: info@tsshking.net Website: tsshking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」者更較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：朱佳麗 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3968(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
UF, Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2554 2108 Fax: 2554 2109 E-mail: info@tsshking.net Website: tsshking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛容閣、盛翠閣、盛輝閣、盛雲閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛輝閣。

…/2

3968(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
UF, Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2554 2108 Fax: 2554 2109 E-mail: info@tsshking.net Website: tsshking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」者更較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：譚潔貞 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3969(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
UF, Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2554 2108 Fax: 2554 2109 E-mail: info@tsshking.net Website: tsshking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛容閣、盛翠閣、盛輝閣、盛雲閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛輝閣。

…/2

3969(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
UF, Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2554 2108 Fax: 2554 2109 E-mail: info@tsshking.net Website: tsshking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團憂慮選舉管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：李偉生 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3970(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSCIOL/J18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有鄉議天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終大盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，服務更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛朝閣、盛賢閣和盛匡閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛琳閣、盛儀閣、盛禮閣、盛孝閣、盛鴻閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛暉閣。

…/2

3970(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSCIOL/J18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：李盛光 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3971(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSCIOL/J18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有鄉議天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終大盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛朝閣、盛賢閣和盛匡閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛琳閣、盛儀閣、盛禮閣、盛孝閣、盛鴻閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛暉閣。

…/2

3971(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSCIOL/J18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3972(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSCIOL/J18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有鄉議天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終大盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛朝閣、盛賢閣和盛匡閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛琳閣、盛儀閣、盛禮閣、盛孝閣、盛鴻閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛暉閣。

…/2

3972(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSCIOL/J18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3973(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshkg.net Website: tsshkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直按有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加選舉行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定劃區分界的建議時須顧及社區實際性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 選區(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

3973(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshkg.net Website: tsshkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3974(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshkg.net Website: tsshkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直按有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加選舉行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定劃區分界的建議時須顧及社區實際性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 選欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

3974(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshkg.net Website: tsshkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3975(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshkg.net Website: tsshkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直按有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加選舉行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定劃區分界的建議時須顧及社區實際性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 選欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

3975(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshkg.net Website: tsshkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3976(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2198 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛商區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

鄰近居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團再分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛寶閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛澤閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3976(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2198 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑區民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3977(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2198 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛商區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛寶閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛澤閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3977(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2198 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑區民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3978(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2198 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛商區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

鄰近居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團再分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛寶閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛澤閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3978(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2198 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☑ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3979(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各大盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視為單一區議員選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛福閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛俊閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛泰閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

3979(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶聯繫提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：CHAU YIU, Tonia (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3980(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視為單一區議員選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛福閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛俊閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛泰閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

3980(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶聯繫提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：_____ (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3981(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視為單一區議員選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛福閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛俊閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛泰閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

3981(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶聯繫提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：霍少立 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3982(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天瑞邨區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會影響成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加原苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋原苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，面新居屋居有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性，地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3982(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3983(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會影響成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加原苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋原苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，面新居屋居有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性，地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3983(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3984(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會影響成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加原苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋原苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，面新居屋居有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性，地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3984(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3985(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsshing.net Website: tlsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/L18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應社區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雅閣、盛芝閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛輝閣。

…/2

3985(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsshing.net Website: tlsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/L18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團屢次選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：反切使者 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3986(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsshing.net Website: tlsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/L18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應社區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雅閣、盛芝閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛輝閣。

…/2

3986(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsshing.net Website: tlsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/L18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團屢次選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：反切使者 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3987(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsshing.net Website: tlsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/L18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應社區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雅閣、盛芝閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛輝閣。

…/2

3987(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsshing.net Website: tlsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/L18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團屢次選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：Ko King Man (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3988(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/LJ18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，按放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛潤閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3988(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/LJ18/0140

法團對新建築深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶親臨提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：江○○ (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3989(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/LJ18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，按放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛潤閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3989(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/LJ18/0140

法團對新建築深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶親臨提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：蘇○○ (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3990(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/LJ18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，按放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛潤閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

3990(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/LJ18/0140

法團對新建築深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶親臨提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陳○○ (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3991(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞大盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」。惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛祺閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麒閣。

…/2

3991(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新選議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」票見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3992(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」。惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛祺閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麒閣。

…/2

3992(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」票見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3993(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」。惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選舉正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛祺閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麒閣。

…/2

3993(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」票見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3994(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新區議「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛薈閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛恆閣、盛孝閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤頌和盛麗閣。

…/2

3994(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮居管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3995(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新區議「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛薈閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛恆閣、盛孝閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤頌和盛麗閣。

…/2

3995(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3996(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新區議「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛薈閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛恆閣、盛孝閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤頌和盛麗閣。

…/2

3996(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3997(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsahkg.net Website: tlsahkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員選席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛福閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛德閣、盛悅閣、盛鴻閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛翠閣。

…/2

3997(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsahkg.net Website: tlsahkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建築深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3998(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsahkg.net Website: tlsahkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員選席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛福閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛德閣、盛悅閣、盛鴻閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛翠閣。

…/2

3998(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsahkg.net Website: tlsahkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建築深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

3999(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsahkg.net Website: tlsahkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員選席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛福閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛德閣、盛悅閣、盛鴻閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛翠閣。

…/2

3999(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsahkg.net Website: tlsahkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建築深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4000(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區影響，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛翠閣、盛源閣、盛智閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛豪閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛穆閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛輝閣。

…/2

4000(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：張恩賴 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4001(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑區區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區影響，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛翠閣、盛源閣、盛智閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛豪閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛穆閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛輝閣。

…/2

4001(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：潘宏麟 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4002(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑區區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區影響，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛翠閣、盛源閣、盛智閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛豪閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛穆閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛輝閣。

…/2

4002(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4003(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛寶閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛添閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

4003(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：抗惠寧 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4004(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛寶閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛添閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

4004(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛苑選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：李獨華 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4005(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛寶閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛添閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

4005(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4006(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函屋務管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區特性，地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選舉分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛輝閣、盛寶閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛達閣、盛悅閣、盛頌閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛聖閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

4006(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：黃[redacted](先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向區舉管理委員會反映用途)

4007(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區特性，地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選舉分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛輝閣、盛寶閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛達閣、盛悅閣、盛頌閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛聖閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

4007(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：何[redacted](先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向區舉管理委員會反映用途)

4008(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區特性，地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選舉分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛輝閣、盛寶閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛達閣、盛悅閣、盛頌閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛聖閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

4008(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted](先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向區舉管理委員會反映用途)

4009(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下:

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括:

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛御閣、盛賢閣和盛盛閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛保閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4009(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民實際及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4010(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下:

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括:

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛御閣、盛賢閣和盛盛閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛保閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4010(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民實際及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4011(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下:

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括:

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛御閣、盛賢閣和盛盛閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛保閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4011(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民實際及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4012(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
I/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsheing.net Website: tsheing.net

檔案編號：TSCIOM/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散浪費，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」。惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將大盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛薈閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛慧閣、盛悅閣、盛康閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

4012(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
I/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsheing.net Website: tsheing.net

檔案編號：TSCIOM/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對該建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團深盼選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要即收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4013(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
I/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsheing.net Website: tsheing.net

檔案編號：TSCIOM/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散浪費，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」。惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將大盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛薈閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛慧閣、盛悅閣、盛康閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

4013(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
I/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsheing.net Website: tsheing.net

檔案編號：TSCIOM/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對該建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團深盼選舉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4014(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
I/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsheing.net Website: tsheing.net

檔案編號：TSCIOM/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散浪費，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」。惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將大盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛薈閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛慧閣、盛悅閣、盛康閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

4014(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
I/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsheing.net Website: tsheing.net

檔案編號：TSCIOM/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對該建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團深盼選舉管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要即收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4015(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，涉嫌當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有共獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及割裂，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及連繫地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛智閣和盛譽閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛昌閣、盛綸閣、盛泉閣、盛翠閣、盛坤閣和盛耀閣。

…/2

4015(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4016(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有共獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及割裂，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及連繫地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛智閣和盛譽閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛昌閣、盛綸閣、盛泉閣、盛翠閣、盛坤閣和盛耀閣。

…/2

4016(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4017(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有共獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及割裂，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及連繫地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛智閣和盛譽閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛昌閣、盛綸閣、盛泉閣、盛翠閣、盛坤閣和盛耀閣。

…/2

4017(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4018(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，房民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加區席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居民屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區實特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選舉分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穗閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

...12

4018(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要重選選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：何[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4019(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，房民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加區席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居民屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區實特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選舉分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穗閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

...12

4019(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團要重選選舉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4020(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，房民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加區席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居民屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區實特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選舉分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穗閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

...12

4020(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團要重選選舉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：何[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4021(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直設有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分劃天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響區苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分劃天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙前影響天盛選區，而新居屋原有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛恒閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛賢閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4021(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建築深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予該管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陳偉業 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4022(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直設有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分劃天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響區苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分劃天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙前影響天盛選區，而新居屋原有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛恒閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛賢閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4022(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建築深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予該管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：梁啟耀 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4023(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直設有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分劃天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響區苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分劃天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙前影響天盛選區，而新居屋原有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛恒閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛賢閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4023(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建築深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予該管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4024(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tshking.net Website: tshking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散浪費，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛駿閣、盛賢閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛業閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛翔閣、盛珍閣、盛景閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4024(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tshking.net Website: tshking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：吳冰有 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4025(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tshking.net Website: tshking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散浪費，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛駿閣、盛賢閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛業閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛翔閣、盛珍閣、盛景閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4025(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tshking.net Website: tshking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：張生芳 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4026(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tshking.net Website: tshking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散浪費，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛駿閣、盛賢閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛業閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛翔閣、盛珍閣、盛景閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4026(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tshking.net Website: tshking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：林慶棠 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4027(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋應冠「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛經閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛濤閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛華閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛經閣。

…/2

4027(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂選選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4028(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋應冠「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛經閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛濤閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛華閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛經閣。

…/2

4028(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂選選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4029(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋應冠「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛經閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛濤閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛華閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛經閣。

…/2

4029(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂選選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4030(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直只有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆區「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區關繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穗閣、盛賢閣和盛延閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛康閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4030(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 22 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團委員會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 **2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前**投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
□ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4031(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直只有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆區「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區關繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穗閣、盛賢閣和盛延閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛康閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4031(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團委員會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 **2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前**投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
□ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4032(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直只有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆區「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區關繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穗閣、盛賢閣和盛延閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛康閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4032(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團委員會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 **2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前**投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
□ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4033(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛俊閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛昌閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛德閣，

…/2

4033(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行簡卷調查，希望有更多業主發表意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陳[illegible]先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4034(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛俊閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛昌閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛德閣，

…/2

4034(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行簡卷調查，希望有更多業主發表意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[illegible](先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4035(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛俊閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛昌閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛德閣，

…/2

4035(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行簡卷調查，希望有更多業主發表意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[illegible](先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4036(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: hos@tsshking.net Website: tsshking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新興區「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛享閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4036(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: hos@tsshking.net Website: tsshking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要重提選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回函，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶聯署提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：Wong Ly Ming (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4037(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: hos@tsshking.net Website: tsshking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新興區「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛享閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4037(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: hos@tsshking.net Website: tsshking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要重提選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回函，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶聯署提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陳健源 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4038(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: hos@tsshking.net Website: tsshking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新興區「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛享閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4038(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: hos@tsshking.net Website: tsshking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要重提選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回函，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶聯署提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：蔡健康 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4039(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tshsbg.net Website: tshsbg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居選「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居選選苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區團特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛福閣、盛寧閣和盛強閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天晴苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛德閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛華閣、盛景閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4039(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tshsbg.net Website: tshsbg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區，同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要選選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4040(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tshsbg.net Website: tshsbg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居選「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居選選苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區團特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛福閣、盛寧閣和盛強閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天晴苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛德閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛華閣、盛景閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4040(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tshsbg.net Website: tshsbg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區，同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要選選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4041(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tshsbg.net Website: tshsbg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居選「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居選選苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區團特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛福閣、盛寧閣和盛強閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天晴苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛德閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛華閣、盛景閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4041(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tshsbg.net Website: tshsbg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區，同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要選選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4042(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直都有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛撰弄一位區議員議席已能提供予物地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新加入選區有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方鞏繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛景閣、盛頤閣、盛寶閣和盛逸閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛星閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4042(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團鑒於選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶隨時提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
□ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4043(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直都有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛撰弄一位區議員議席已能提供予物地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新加入選區有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方鞏繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛景閣、盛頤閣、盛寶閣和盛逸閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛星閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4043(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團鑒於選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶隨時提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
□ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4044(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直都有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛撰弄一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新加入選區有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方鞏繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛景閣、盛頤閣、盛寶閣和盛逸閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛星閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4044(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團鑒於選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶隨時提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
□ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4045(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛暉閣、盛資閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鴻閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4045(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要繼續選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，倘若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：林靖中 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作居民調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4046(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛暉閣、盛資閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鴻閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4046(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要繼續選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作居民調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4047(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛暉閣、盛資閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鴻閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4047(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要繼續選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作居民調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4048(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshking.net Website: tsshking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函區選委會查詢任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙前影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑嘉彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛增閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4048(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshking.net Website: tsshking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區，同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4049(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshking.net Website: tsshking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙前影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑嘉彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛增閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4049(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshking.net Website: tsshking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區，同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4050(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshking.net Website: tsshking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙前影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選舉分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑嘉彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛增閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4050(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshking.net Website: tsshking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區，同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4051(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有鄰閱天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，best 放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛修閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

4051(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團希望選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4052(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有鄰閱天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，best 放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛修閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

4052(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團希望選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4053(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有鄰閱天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，best 放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛修閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

4053(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團希望選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☑ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4054(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼 一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事務處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直都有單一區議員編席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛盛閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛勝閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4054(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事務處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要重選選管會仍有可能基於其他不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作意次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4055(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事務處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直都有單一區議員編席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛盛閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛勝閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4055(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事務處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要重選選管會仍有可能基於其他不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作意次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4056(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事務處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直都有單一區議員編席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛盛閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛勝閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4056(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事務處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要重選選管會仍有可能基於其他不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作意次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4057(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直只有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珊閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4057(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，兩收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂選區管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶隨時提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作蒐集及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4058(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直只有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珊閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4058(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，兩收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂選區管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶隨時提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☑ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4059(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直只有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珊閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4059(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，兩收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂選區管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶隨時提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4060(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSCIOL/L18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直行傳開天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一原區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及保險，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括大盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛強閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4060(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSCIOL/L18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的屆時簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4061(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSCIOL/L18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直行傳開天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及保險，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括大盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛強閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4061(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSCIOL/L18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的屆時簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4062(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSCIOL/L18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直行傳開天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及保險，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括大盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛強閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4062(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSCIOL/L18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的屆時簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4063(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsmbg.net Website: tsmbg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃分諮詢

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆聽「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屆屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示，「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」。惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛逸閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4063(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsmbg.net Website: tsmbg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大型收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：梁雲連(先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4064(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsmbg.net Website: tsmbg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃分諮詢

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆聽「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屆屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示，「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」。惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛逸閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4064(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsmbg.net Website: tsmbg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大型收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陳細彩(先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4065(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsmbg.net Website: tsmbg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃分諮詢

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆聽「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屆屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示，「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」。惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛逸閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4065(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsmbg.net Website: tsmbg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大型收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：錢木(先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4066(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: tsfo@tsabing.net Website: tsabing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直設有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對協成居民分化及影響，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛與區會區成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔，及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」人仄而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的會面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛碧閣、盛暉閣、盛賢閣和盛耀閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛德閣、盛俊閣、盛雅閣、盛亭閣、盛翠閣、盛裕閣、盛泉閣、盛樂閣、盛坤閣和盛毅閣。

…/2

4066(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: tsfo@tsabing.net Website: tsabing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4067(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: tsfo@tsabing.net Website: tsabing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直設有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對協成居民分化及影響，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛與區會區成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」人仄而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的會面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛碧閣、盛暉閣、盛賢閣和盛耀閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛德閣、盛俊閣、盛雅閣、盛亭閣、盛翠閣、盛裕閣、盛泉閣、盛樂閣、盛坤閣和盛毅閣。

…/2

4067(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: tsfo@tsabing.net Website: tsabing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☑ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4068(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: tsfo@tsabing.net Website: tsabing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直設有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對協成居民分化及影響，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛與區會區成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」人仄而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的會面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛碧閣、盛暉閣、盛賢閣和盛耀閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛德閣、盛俊閣、盛雅閣、盛亭閣、盛翠閣、盛裕閣、盛泉閣、盛樂閣、盛坤閣和盛毅閣。

…/2

4068(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: tsfo@tsabing.net Website: tsabing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4069(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlmg.net Website: tsshlmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員獲悉已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」人仕而影響大盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區連繫性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

4069(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlmg.net Website: tsshlmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議感到憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見？
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☒ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4070(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlmg.net Website: tsshlmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員獲悉已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」人仕而影響大盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區連繫性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

4070(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlmg.net Website: tsshlmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議感到憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見？
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4071(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlmg.net Website: tsshlmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員獲悉已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」人仕而影響大盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區連繫性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

4071(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlmg.net Website: tsshlmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議感到憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見？
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4072(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsohkg.net Website: tsohkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/OM/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」現時入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然界線(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛耀閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛儀閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛輝閣。

…/2

4072(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsohkg.net Website: tsohkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/OM/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：王鄺廷 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4073(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsohkg.net Website: tsohkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/OM/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」現時入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然界線(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛耀閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛儀閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛輝閣。

…/2

4073(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsohkg.net Website: tsohkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/OM/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：Yu Ling (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4074(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsohkg.net Website: tsohkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/OM/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」現時入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然界線(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛耀閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛儀閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛輝閣。

…/2

4074(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsohkg.net Website: tsohkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/OM/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4075(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshkg.net Website: tsshkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直張有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」。惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛明閣、盛勤閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛輝閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛智閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4075(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshkg.net Website: tsshkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選舉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有必要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陳國新 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4076(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshkg.net Website: tsshkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直張有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」。惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛明閣、盛勤閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛輝閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛智閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4076(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshkg.net Website: tsshkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有必要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4077(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshkg.net Website: tsshkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直張有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」。惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛明閣、盛勤閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛輝閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛智閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4077(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshkg.net Website: tsshkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4078(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區劃繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，但選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

4078(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4079(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區劃繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

4079(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4080(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區劃繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

4080(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團憂慮選舉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4081(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一幢天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區歸聚，居民安居樂業，分割天盛居區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天威：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛裕閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4081(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一幢天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，數迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：盛[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4082(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一幢天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區歸聚，居民安居樂業，分割天盛居區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天威：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛裕閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4082(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一幢天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，數迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：葉[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4083(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一幢天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區歸聚，居民安居樂業，分割天盛居區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天威：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛裕閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4083(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一幢天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，數迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4084(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區劃墾，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛豪閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4084(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見？
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：張錦華 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4085(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區劃墾，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛豪閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4085(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉管理委員會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見？
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：黃能生 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4086(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區劃墾，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛豪閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4086(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉管理委員會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見？
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陳生根 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4087(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞大盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及關應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4087(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團促選選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4088(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4088(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團促選選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4089(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及關應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4089(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團促選選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4090(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，以圖當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，進而管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 屏欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛暉閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛菊閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛翰閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛疇閣。

…/2

4090(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4091(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，以圖當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，進而管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛暉閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛菊閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛翰閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛疇閣。

…/2

4091(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4092(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，以圖當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛暉閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛菊閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛翰閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛疇閣。

…/2

4092(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4093(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一座天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天晉苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑仍單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屆屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛福閣、盛亨閣、盛順閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4093(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一座天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4094(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一座天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑仍單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屆屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛福閣、盛亨閣、盛順閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4094(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一座天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4095(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一座天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑仍單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屆屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛福閣、盛亨閣、盛順閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4095(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一座天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4096(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼、環天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsabldg.net Website: tsabldg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛福閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛俊閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4096(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一環天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsabldg.net Website: tsabldg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：蔡婉萍 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4097(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼、環天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsabldg.net Website: tsabldg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛福閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛俊閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4097(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一環天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsabldg.net Website: tsabldg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[illegible] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4098(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼、環天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsabldg.net Website: tsabldg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛福閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛俊閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4098(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一環天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsabldg.net Website: tsabldg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[illegible] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4099(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屋屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天碩選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛暉閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4099(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4100(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屋屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛暉閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4100(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4101(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屋屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛暉閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4101(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4102(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4102(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：駱[redacted]仁 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4103(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4103(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陳[redacted]翔 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4104(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4104(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted]志[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4105(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛顯閣。

…/2

4105(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4106(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛顯閣。

…/2

4106(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4107(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛顯閣。

…/2

4107(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4108(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛明閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4108(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛苑區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4109(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛明閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4109(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛苑區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：梁欣琼 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4110(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛明閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4110(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛苑區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：LUI OIK MAN (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4111(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsahkg.net Website: tlsahkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直設有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑仍單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分劃天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分劃天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，設放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛蘊閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛俊閣、盛典閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4111(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsahkg.net Website: tlsahkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分劃天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4112(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsahkg.net Website: tlsahkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直設有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑仍單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分劃天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分劃天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，設放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛蘊閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛俊閣、盛典閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4112(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsahkg.net Website: tlsahkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分劃天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4113(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsahkg.net Website: tlsahkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直設有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑仍單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分劃天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分劃天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，設放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛蘊閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛俊閣、盛典閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4113(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsahkg.net Website: tlsahkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分劃天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4114(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsaiking.net Website: tsaiking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOI/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔，及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，故選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛暉閣、盛賢閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛揚閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4114(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsaiking.net Website: tsaiking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOI/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，數週業戶親臨提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：李錦明 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4115(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsaiking.net Website: tsaiking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOI/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔，及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，故選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛暉閣、盛賢閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛揚閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4115(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsaiking.net Website: tsaiking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOI/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，數週業戶親臨提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☑ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：梁偉達 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4116(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsaiking.net Website: tsaiking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOI/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 大盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔，及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，故選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛暉閣、盛賢閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛揚閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4116(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsaiking.net Website: tsaiking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOI/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，數週業戶親臨提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：張振明 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4117(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛頌閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4117(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，以確保居民權益。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回條，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4118(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛頌閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4118(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，以確保居民權益。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回條，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4119(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛頌閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4119(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，以確保居民權益。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回條，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4120(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlng.net Website: tsshlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直派有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛興閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛翹閣。

…/2

4120(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlng.net Website: tsshlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4121(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlng.net Website: tsshlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直派有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛興閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛翹閣。

…/2

4121(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlng.net Website: tsshlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4122(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlng.net Website: tsshlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直派有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛興閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛翹閣。

…/2

4122(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlng.net Website: tsshlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4123(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性，地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4123(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新選區深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區，同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：徐[redacted](先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4124(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性，地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4124(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted](先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4125(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性，地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4125(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新選區深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted](先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4126(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsablog.net Website: tsablog.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 20.8 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，能選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛薇閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛翩閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4126(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsablog.net Website: tsablog.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的諮議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4127(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsablog.net Website: tsablog.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，能選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛薇閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛翩閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4127(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsablog.net Website: tsablog.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的諮議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4128(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsablog.net Website: tsablog.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，能選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛薇閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛翩閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4128(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsablog.net Website: tsablog.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的諮議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☑ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4129(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天晉苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對區成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，而選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛暉閣。

…/2

4129(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陳達文 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4130(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對區成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，而選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛暉閣。

…/2

4130(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選舉管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：唐惠賜 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4131(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對區成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，而選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛暉閣。

…/2

4131(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選舉管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：徐為騰 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4132(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshktg.net Website: tsshktg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選舉分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4132(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshktg.net Website: tsshktg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶隨時提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4133(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshktg.net Website: tsshktg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4133(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshktg.net Website: tsshktg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶隨時提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4134(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshktg.net Website: tsshktg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選舉分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4134(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshktg.net Website: tsshktg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶隨時提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4135(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛韻閣、盛賢閣和盛疆閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4135(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區，同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：馮燦玲 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4136(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛韻閣、盛賢閣和盛疆閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4136(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：黎敏輝 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4137(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛韻閣、盛賢閣和盛疆閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4137(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團憂慮選舉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4138(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

致天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃分調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4138(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團盼望選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4139(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

致天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃分調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4139(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團盼望選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4140(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

致天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃分調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4140(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團盼望選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4141(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑會場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlg.net Website: tsshlg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑數分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛福閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛俊閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4141(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑會場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlg.net Website: tsshlg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此缺議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選舉管理委員會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4142(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑會場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlg.net Website: tsshlg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑數分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛福閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛俊閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4142(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑會場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlg.net Website: tsshlg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選舉管理委員會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：CHAN (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4143(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑會場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlg.net Website: tsshlg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑數分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛福閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛俊閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4143(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑會場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlg.net Website: tsshlg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選舉管理委員會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：Chan Chi Ping (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4144(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分劃天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分劃天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋團有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛輔閣。

…/2

4144(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憑選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4145(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分劃天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分劃天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋團有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛輔閣。

…/2

4145(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憑選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4146(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分劃天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分劃天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋團有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛輔閣。

…/2

4146(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憑選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4147(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlmhkmg.net Website: tlmhkmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散浪費，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛福閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛雋閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4147(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlmhkmg.net Website: tlmhkmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶請隨提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：朱警強 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4148(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlmhkmg.net Website: tlmhkmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散浪費，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛福閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛雋閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4148(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlmhkmg.net Website: tlmhkmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶請隨提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陳蕙貞 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4149(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlmhkmg.net Website: tlmhkmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散浪費，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛福閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛雋閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4149(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlmhkmg.net Website: tlmhkmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶請隨提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☑ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4150(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天悅苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區影響，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區務行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示，「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛康閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

4150(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：周[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4151(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區影響，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區務行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示，「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛康閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

4151(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：蕭[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4152(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區影響，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區務行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示，「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛康閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

4152(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：曾[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4153(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsmbing.net Website: tlsmbing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天瑞苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛雲閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4153(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsmbing.net Website: tlsmbing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要盡選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4154(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsmbing.net Website: tlsmbing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛雲閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4154(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsmbing.net Website: tlsmbing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要盡選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4155(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsmbing.net Website: tlsmbing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛雲閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4155(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsmbing.net Website: tlsmbing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要盡選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4156(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃分調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直只有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛豪閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛翩閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4156(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陳[illegible] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4157(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃分調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直只有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛豪閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛翩閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4157(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[illegible] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4158(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃分調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直只有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛豪閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛翩閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4158(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[illegible] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4159(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsahkg.net Website: tsahkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及選舉地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛臨閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛輝閣。

…/2

4159(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsahkg.net Website: tsahkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行簡卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：SHING SHING (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4160(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsahkg.net Website: tsahkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及選舉地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛臨閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛輝閣。

…/2

4160(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsahkg.net Website: tsahkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行簡卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4161(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsahkg.net Website: tsahkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及選舉地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛臨閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛輝閣。

…/2

4161(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsahkg.net Website: tsahkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行簡卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4162(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天竺選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

4162(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望為更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回函，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陳[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4163(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天竺選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

4163(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望為更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回函，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：何[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4164(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

4164(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團憂慮選舉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛苑區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛苑區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望為更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉會提出反對切割天盛苑區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回函，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛苑區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4165(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑商場附翼一座天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穗閣、盛賢閣和盛福閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛港閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4165(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑商場附翼一座天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4166(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑商場附翼一座天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穗閣、盛賢閣和盛福閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛港閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4166(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑商場附翼一座天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4167(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑商場附翼一座天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穗閣、盛賢閣和盛福閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛港閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4167(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑商場附翼一座天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4168(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsahkg.net Website: tlsahkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋盛苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活，

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，進選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛寶閣和盛暉閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雋閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

4168(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsahkg.net Website: tlsahkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各盛大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4169(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsahkg.net Website: tlsahkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋盛苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活，

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，進選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛寶閣和盛暉閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雋閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

4169(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsahkg.net Website: tlsahkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各盛大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4170(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsahkg.net Website: tlsahkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋盛苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活，

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，進選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛寶閣和盛暉閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雋閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

4170(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsahkg.net Website: tlsahkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各盛大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4171(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

致天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響選苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區：包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4171(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會即止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：Sau Chau (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4172(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響選苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4172(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會即止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4173(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響選苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4173(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會即止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4174(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雍閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4174(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：梁子文 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4175(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雍閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4175(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：蔡志權 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4176(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雍閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4176(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：潘秀英 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4177(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛德閣。

…/2

4177(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：蘇少文(先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4178(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛德閣。

…/2

4178(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted](先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4179(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛德閣。

…/2

4179(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted](先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4180(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛俊閣、盛雄閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛圓閣。

…/2

4180(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：Wong Hoi Lai (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4181(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛俊閣、盛雄閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛圓閣。

…/2

4181(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陳王鴻 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4182(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛俊閣、盛雄閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛圓閣。

…/2

4182(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：黃私森 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4183(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsmking.net Website: tlsmking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居民屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括大盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛富閣、盛實閣和盛暉閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛建閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4183(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsmking.net Website: tlsmking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陳惠琴 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4184(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsmking.net Website: tlsmking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居民屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛富閣、盛實閣和盛暉閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛建閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4184(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsmking.net Website: tlsmking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團憂慮選舉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4185(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsmking.net Website: tlsmking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居民屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛富閣、盛實閣和盛暉閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛建閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4185(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsmking.net Website: tlsmking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團憂慮選舉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4186(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsahkg.net Website: tlsahkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4186(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsahkg.net Website: tlsahkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶親臨提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：孫志華 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4187(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsahkg.net Website: tlsahkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4187(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsahkg.net Website: tlsahkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶親臨提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4188(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsahkg.net Website: tlsahkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4188(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsahkg.net Website: tlsahkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶親臨提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4189(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直設有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛智閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4189(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團深感選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉大盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，恕會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4190(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直設有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛智閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4190(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團深感選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉大盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，恕會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4191(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直設有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛智閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4191(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團深感選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉大盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，恕會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4192(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一座天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
LP., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區歸屬，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4192(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一座天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
LP., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割大盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉大盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：King Yin Sang (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4193(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一座天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
LP., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區歸屬，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4193(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一座天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
LP., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割大盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉大盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：謝幸芳 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4194(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一座天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
LP., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區歸屬，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4194(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一座天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
LP., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉大盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：李 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4195(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天發商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSCIO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留，

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛盈閣、盛實閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

4195(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天發商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSCIO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區，同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂選舉管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶額外提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：李玉雲 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4196(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天發商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSCIO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛盈閣、盛實閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

4196(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天發商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSCIO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂選舉管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶額外提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4197(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天發商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSCIO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛盈閣、盛實閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

4197(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天發商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSCIO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂選舉管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶額外提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4198(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
Ufr., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新民屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛暉閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雋閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4198(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
Ufr., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而繼持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：張勝生 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4199(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
Ufr., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新民屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛暉閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雋閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4199(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
Ufr., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而繼持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向居管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：林鑑輝 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4200(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
Ufr., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新民屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛暉閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雋閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4200(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
Ufr., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而繼持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：孫家偉 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4201(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼 一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2169 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選舉分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛暖閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雋閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4201(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2169 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團擔憂選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見？
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：＿＿＿＿(先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4202(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼 一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2169 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選舉分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛暖閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雋閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4202(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2169 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉管會。

法團擔憂選舉管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見？
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：王志恩 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4203(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼 一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2169 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選舉分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛暖閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雋閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4203(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2169 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見？
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：黃慧婷 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4204(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直採有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛俊閣、盛雋閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4204(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議嚴重全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朝天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4205(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直採有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛俊閣、盛雋閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4205(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4206(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直採有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛俊閣、盛雋閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4206(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4207(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」鄰將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示，「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛安閣、盛船閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4207(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4208(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」鄰將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示，「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛安閣、盛船閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4208(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團憂慮選舉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (發生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4209(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」鄰將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區 一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示，「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選舉分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛安閣、盛船閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4209(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團憂慮選舉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4210(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直獲有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛頤閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4210(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂選選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶隨時提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4211(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直獲有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛頤閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4211(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶隨時提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☑ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4212(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直獲有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛頤閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4212(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶隨時提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4213(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsalong.net Website: tsalong.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOJ/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及連繫地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的總按，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛寶閣和盛福閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4213(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsalong.net Website: tsalong.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOJ/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座入口收集箱內，歡迎業戶隨時提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陳振中 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4214(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsalong.net Website: tsalong.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOJ/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及連繫地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的總按，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛寶閣和盛福閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4214(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsalong.net Website: tsalong.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOJ/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座入口收集箱內，歡迎業戶隨時提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：Zhang Xing Fa (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4215(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsalong.net Website: tsalong.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOJ/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及連繫地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的總按，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛寶閣和盛福閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4215(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsalong.net Website: tsalong.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOJ/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座入口收集箱內，歡迎業戶隨時提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：CHAN WAI WAH (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4216(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分拆，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛福閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4216(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，法團將會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陳偉光 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4217(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分拆，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛福閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4217(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團憂慮選舉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，法團將會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陳偉光 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4218(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分拆，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛福閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4218(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團憂慮選舉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，法團將會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4219(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一環天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地理服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛福閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

4219(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一環天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建築深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行簡易調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4220(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一環天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛福閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

4220(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一環天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建築深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉管理委員會。

法團憂慮選舉管理委員會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行簡易調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉管理委員會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4221(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一環天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛福閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

4221(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一環天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建築深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行簡易調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4222(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsdmg.net Website: tsdmg.net

檔案編號：TSCIO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛暉閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛泊閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4222(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsdmg.net Website: tsdmg.net

檔案編號：TSCIO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：蔡笑勤 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作居民調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4223(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsdmg.net Website: tsdmg.net

檔案編號：TSCIO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛暉閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛泊閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4223(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsdmg.net Website: tsdmg.net

檔案編號：TSCIO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主簽名：張雅文 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作居民調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4224(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsdmg.net Website: tsdmg.net

檔案編號：TSCIO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛暉閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛泊閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4224(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsdmg.net Website: tsdmg.net

檔案編號：TSCIO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：許美華 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作居民調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4225(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4225(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行簡卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：Wong Tai Lun (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4226(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4226(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉管理委員會。

法團要求選舉管理委員會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行簡卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉管理委員會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☑ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：林偉[illegible] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4227(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4227(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及無視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉管理委員會。

法團要求選舉管理委員會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行簡卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉管理委員會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陳[illegible] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4228(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞大盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響歷苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加歷苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋歷苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性，地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛暐閣、盛賢閣和盛珮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛順閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4228(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4229(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響歷苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加歷苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋歷苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性，地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛暐閣、盛賢閣和盛珮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛順閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4229(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4230(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響歷苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加歷苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋歷苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性，地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛暐閣、盛賢閣和盛珮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛順閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4230(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4231(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視作單一區議員選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有共享特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4231(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選舉管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：楊燕珍 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4232(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視作單一區議員選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有共享特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4232(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選舉管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4233(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視作單一區議員選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋 屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有共享特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4233(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選舉管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4234(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天晉苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選舉分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛疇閣。

…/2

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：刘贝英 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4234(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。鈞若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：刘贝英 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4235(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天晉苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選舉分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛疇閣。

…/2

4235(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。鈞若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：魏国泰 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4236(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天晉苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選舉分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛疇閣。

…/2

4236(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。鈞若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4237(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已足夠供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛區閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

4237(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選舉管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座人堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶親蒞提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4238(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已足夠供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛區閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

4238(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選舉管理委員會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座人堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶親蒞提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4239(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已應提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛區閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

4239(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選舉管理委員會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座人堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶親蒞提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4240(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃分調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛彬閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛龍閣。

…/2

4240(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：林雪卿 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4241(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃分調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛彬閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛龍閣。

…/2

4241(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4242(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃分調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛彬閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛龍閣。

…/2

4242(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選舉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4243(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4243(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶額External提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4244(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4244(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶額External提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4245(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4245(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉管理委員會。

法團憂慮選舉管理委員會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉管理委員會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶額External提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4246(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分劃天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分劃天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活、

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛翠閣、盛霖閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4246(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集住戶意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶親臨提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4247(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分劃天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分劃天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛翠閣、盛霖閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4247(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集住戶意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶親臨提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4248(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分劃天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分劃天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛翠閣、盛霖閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4248(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集住戶意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶親臨提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4249(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛德閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4249(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：張美娜 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4250(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛德閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4250(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團憂慮選舉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[illegible] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4251(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛德閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4251(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[illegible] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4252(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛雅閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4252(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：Le Chung Yu (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4253(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛雅閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4253(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：Yan Kit Hin (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4254(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛雅閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4254(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陳嘉雄 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4255(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsahkg.net Website: tlsahkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區小得以保留。

恒新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛暉閣、盛賢閣和盛暉閣及屏欣苑，及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛松閣、盛雋閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4255(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsahkg.net Website: tlsahkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：黃雪輝 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4256(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsahkg.net Website: tlsahkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區小得以保留。

恒新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛暉閣、盛賢閣和盛暉閣及屏欣苑，及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛松閣、盛雋閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4256(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsahkg.net Website: tlsahkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：黃文蘭 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4257(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsahkg.net Website: tlsahkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區小得以保留。

恒新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛暉閣、盛賢閣和盛暉閣及屏欣苑，及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛松閣、盛雋閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4257(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsahkg.net Website: tlsahkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：李惠兒 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4258(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一層天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsdmg.net Website: tsdmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋議有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛逸閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛滿閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛裕閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛毓閣。

…/2

4258(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一層天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsdmg.net Website: tsdmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新選舉感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業主踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：田國榮 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4259(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一層天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsdmg.net Website: tsdmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋議有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛逸閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛滿閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛裕閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛毓閣。

…/2

4259(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一層天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsdmg.net Website: tsdmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：葉庭華 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4260(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一層天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsdmg.net Website: tsdmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋議有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛逸閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛滿閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛裕閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛毓閣。

…/2

4260(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一層天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsdmg.net Website: tsdmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4261(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlng.net Website: tsshlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏蔽「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛薈閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛泰閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4261(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlng.net Website: tsshlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：TSUI SAN WAI (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4262(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlng.net Website: tsshlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏蔽「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛薈閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛泰閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4262(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlng.net Website: tsshlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4263(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlng.net Website: tsshlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏蔽「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛薈閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛泰閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4263(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlng.net Website: tsshlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4264(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsheling.net Website: tsheling.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團前分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、晞珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛蘭閣。

…/2

4264(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsheling.net Website: tsheling.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[illegible] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4265(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsheling.net Website: tsheling.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團前分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、晞珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛蘭閣。

…/2

4265(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsheling.net Website: tsheling.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[illegible] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4266(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsheling.net Website: tsheling.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團前分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、晞珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛蘭閣。

…/2

4266(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsheling.net Website: tsheling.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[illegible] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4267(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlng.net Website: tsshlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示，「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4267(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlng.net Website: tsshlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4268(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlng.net Website: tsshlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示，「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4268(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlng.net Website: tsshlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4269(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlng.net Website: tsshlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示，「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4269(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlng.net Website: tsshlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4270(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃分調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛撰區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人仗面影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛利閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛區閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4270(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4271(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃分調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛撰區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人仗面影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛區閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4271(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4272(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區劃分調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛撰區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人仗面影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛區閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4272(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4273(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被劃入元朗區議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋選有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛延閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4273(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4274(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被劃入元朗區議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋選有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛延閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4274(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4275(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被劃入元朗區議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋選有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛延閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4275(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陳震宏 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4276(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsahkg.net Website: tsahkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛願閣、盛賢閣和盛雅閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4276(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsahkg.net Website: tsahkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陳[redacted](先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4277(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsahkg.net Website: tsahkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛願閣、盛賢閣和盛雅閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4277(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsahkg.net Website: tsahkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：葉[redacted](先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4278(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsahkg.net Website: tsahkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區 一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛願閣、盛賢閣和盛雅閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4278(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsahkg.net Website: tsahkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：余[redacted](先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4279(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛虹閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛龍閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4279(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶隨時提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☑ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：丁[redacted](先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉事務委員會反映用途)

4280(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛虹閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛龍閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4280(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶隨時提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted](先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉事務委員會反映用途)

4281(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛虹閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛龍閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4281(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶隨時提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：何[redacted](先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉事務委員會反映用途)

4282(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4282(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向議會管理委員會反映用途)

42831)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4283(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4284(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4284(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向議會管理委員會反映用途)

4285(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一座天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlg.net Website: tsshlg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示，「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛豐閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛修閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4285(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一座天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlg.net Website: tsshlg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團深感選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名 [redacted] (先生/女士) 住址: [redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4286(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一座天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlg.net Website: tsshlg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示，「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛豐閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛修閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4286(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一座天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlg.net Website: tsshlg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團深感選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名 [redacted] (先生/女士) 住址: [redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4287(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一座天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlg.net Website: tsshlg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示，「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛豐閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛修閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4287(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一座天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlg.net Website: tsshlg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團深感選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名: [redacted] (先生/女士) 住址: [redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4288(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新屆屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雋閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4288(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：劉先明 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4289(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新屆屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雋閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4289(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4290(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新屆屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雋閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4290(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：_____ (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4291(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一座天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及融應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛臨閣、盛賢閣和盛雅閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雋閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛修閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

4291(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一座天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂選區選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4292(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一座天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及融應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選舉分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛臨閣、盛賢閣和盛雅閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雋閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛修閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

4292(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一座天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂選區選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4293(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一座天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及融應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛臨閣、盛賢閣和盛雅閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雋閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛修閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

4293(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一座天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂選區選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4294(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsahkg.net Website: tlsahkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直劃有單一區議員選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屆屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新屆屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助屋民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛尊閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛俊閣、盛信閣、盛孚閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛翹閣。

…/2

4294(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsahkg.net Website: tlsahkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：Alan Wong (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4295(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsahkg.net Website: tlsahkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直劃有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屆屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新屆屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助屋民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛尊閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛俊閣、盛信閣、盛孚閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛翹閣。

…/2

4295(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsahkg.net Website: tlsahkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：_____ (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4296(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsahkg.net Website: tlsahkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直劃有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屆屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新屆屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助屋民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛尊閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛俊閣、盛信閣、盛孚閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛翹閣。

…/2

4296(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsahkg.net Website: tlsahkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：Wong Yip Tong (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4297(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshking.net Website: tsshking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及割裂，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛信閣、盛禮閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4297(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshking.net Website: tsshking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內。歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[illegible] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4298(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshking.net Website: tsshking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及割裂，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛信閣、盛禮閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4298(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshking.net Website: tsshking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☑ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[illegible] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4299(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshking.net Website: tsshking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及割裂，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛信閣、盛禮閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4299(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshking.net Website: tsshking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[illegible] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4300(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有共享特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4300(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4301(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有共享特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4301(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4302(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有共享特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4302(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4303(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直都有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋擁有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性，地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛源閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4303(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：蘇[illegible](先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4304(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直都有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋擁有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性，地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛源閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4304(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[illegible](先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4305(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直都有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋擁有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性，地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛源閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4305(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[illegible](先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4306(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一宣有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員選席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活、

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性，地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛都閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛滙閣。

…/2

4306(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：蘇建強 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4307(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一宣有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員選席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性，地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛都閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛滙閣。

…/2

4307(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4308(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一宣有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員選席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活、

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性，地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛都閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛滙閣。

…/2

4308(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4309(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsoldlg.net Website: tsoldlg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各大盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下:

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分劃天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分劃天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括:

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區): 包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛: 包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛渭閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4309(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsoldlg.net Website: tsoldlg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4310(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsoldlg.net Website: tsoldlg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各大盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下:

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分劃天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分劃天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括:

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區): 包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛: 包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛渭閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4310(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsoldlg.net Website: tsoldlg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4311(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsoldlg.net Website: tsoldlg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各大盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下:

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分劃天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分劃天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括:

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區): 包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛: 包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛渭閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4311(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsoldlg.net Website: tsoldlg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4312(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2154 2108 Fax: 2154 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在厘定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛區閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛恆閣、盛信閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4312(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2154 2108 Fax: 2154 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛與區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4313(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2154 2108 Fax: 2154 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在厘定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛區閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛恆閣、盛信閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4313(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2154 2108 Fax: 2154 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛與區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4314(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2154 2108 Fax: 2154 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在厘定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛區閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛恆閣、盛信閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4314(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2154 2108 Fax: 2154 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛與區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4315(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視為單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區聯繫性，地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛輝閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4315(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：黃熙雅 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4316(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視為單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區聯繫性，地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛輝閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4316(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4317(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視為單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區聯繫性，地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛輝閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4317(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4318(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsmbing.net Website: tlsmbing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天慈苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性，地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛德閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4318(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsmbing.net Website: tlsmbing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：李銀清 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4319(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsmbing.net Website: tlsmbing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性，地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛德閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4319(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsmbing.net Website: tlsmbing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：李慶輝 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4320(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsmbing.net Website: tlsmbing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天慈苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性，地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛德閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4320(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tlsmbing.net Website: tlsmbing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[illegible] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4321(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛盈閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4321(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4322(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛盈閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4322(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團憂慮選舉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陳志雄 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4323(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛盈閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4323(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團憂慮選舉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4324(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼 一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應屯門生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛菊閣、盛蘭閣、盛寶閣和盛福閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛琪閣、盛悅閣、盛德閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛景閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4324(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼 一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4325(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼 一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應屯門生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛菊閣、盛蘭閣、盛寶閣和盛福閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛琪閣、盛悅閣、盛德閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛景閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4325(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼 一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4326(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼 一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應屯門生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛菊閣、盛蘭閣、盛寶閣和盛福閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛琪閣、盛悅閣、盛德閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛景閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4326(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼 一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4327(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: Info@tlsohkg.net Website: tlsohkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分劃天盛選區只會製造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選舉一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分劃天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛誼閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛俊閣、盛悅閣、盛典閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4327(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: Info@tlsohkg.net Website: tlsohkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4328(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: Info@tlsohkg.net Website: tlsohkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分劃天盛選區只會製造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分劃天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛誼閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛俊閣、盛悅閣、盛典閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4328(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: Info@tlsohkg.net Website: tlsohkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4329(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: Info@tlsohkg.net Website: tlsohkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分劃天盛選區只會製造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分劃天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛誼閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛俊閣、盛悅閣、盛典閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4329(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: Info@tlsohkg.net Website: tlsohkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4330(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2109 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直獲有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示，「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，能選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛雅閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛澍閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4330(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2109 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4331(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2109 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直獲有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示，「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，能選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛雅閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛澍閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4331(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2109 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4332(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2109 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直獲有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示，「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，能選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛雅閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛澍閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4332(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2109 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4333(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屆屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新屆屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示，「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，但選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛賢閣和盛麗閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛俊閣、盛景閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4333(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4334(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屆屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新屆屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示，「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，但選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選舉分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛賢閣和盛麗閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛俊閣、盛景閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4334(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☑ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4335(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屆屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新屆屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示，「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，但選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選舉分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛賢閣和盛麗閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛俊閣、盛景閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4335(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4336(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新近屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分劃天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分劃天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛雄閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4336(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要讓選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4337(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分劃天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分劃天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛雄閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4337(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要讓選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4338(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分劃天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分劃天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛雄閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4338(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要讓選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4339(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示，「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛翩閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4339(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座人堂收集箱內，敬希業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陳玉芳 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4340(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議、原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示，「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛翩閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4340(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座人堂收集箱內，敬希業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陳佩儀 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4341(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示，「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛翩閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4341(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座人堂收集箱內，敬希業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：李小姐 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4342(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一座天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響區苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛信閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4342(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一座天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶聆聽提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：林灼雄 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4343(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一座天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響區苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛信閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4343(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一座天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團憂慮選舉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶聆聽提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陳采曄 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4344(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一座天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響區苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛信閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4344(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一座天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團憂慮選舉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶聆聽提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：余理行 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4345(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2154 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsmlmg.net Website: tsmlmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及選舉地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛輝閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛俊閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4345(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2154 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsmlmg.net Website: tsmlmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂選選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4346(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2154 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsmlmg.net Website: tsmlmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及選舉地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛輝閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛俊閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4346(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2154 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsmlmg.net Website: tsmlmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂選選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4347(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2154 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsmlmg.net Website: tsmlmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及選舉地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛輝閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛俊閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4347(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2154 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsmlmg.net Website: tsmlmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂選選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4348(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshking.net Website: tsshking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新民屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4348(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshking.net Website: tsshking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂選選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4349(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshking.net Website: tsshking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新民屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4349(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshking.net Website: tsshking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂選選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4350(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshking.net Website: tsshking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新民屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4350(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshking.net Website: tsshking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂選選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4351(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑商場附第一號天資苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛韻閣、盛賢閣和盛盈閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4351(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑商場附第一號天資苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☒ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4352(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑商場附第一號天資苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛韻閣、盛賢閣和盛盈閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4352(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑商場附第一號天資苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☒ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4353(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑商場附第一號天資苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛韻閣、盛賢閣和盛盈閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4353(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑商場附第一號天資苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民實際意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☒ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4354(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直都有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分劃天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分劃天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛頤閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4354(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：古小姐 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4355(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直都有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分劃天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分劃天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛頤閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4355(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：Lam (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4356(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直都有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分劃天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分劃天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛頤閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4356(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：黃惠芳 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4357(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直設有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天瓏苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和滴珠閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4357(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各屋大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：石月蓮 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4358(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直設有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天瓏苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和滴珠閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4358(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各屋大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4359(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直設有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天瓏苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛麗閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4359(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各屋大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4360(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: hdo@tsaking.net Website: tsaking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛豐閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛泰閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4360(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: hdo@tsaking.net Website: tsaking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行簡卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[illegible] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4361(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: hdo@tsaking.net Website: tsaking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛豐閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛泰閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4361(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: hdo@tsaking.net Website: tsaking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行簡卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[illegible] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4362(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: hdo@tsaking.net Website: tsaking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛豐閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛泰閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4362(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: hdo@tsaking.net Website: tsaking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行簡卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：黃德泉 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4363(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示，「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選舉分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛動閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4363(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：梁[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4364(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示，「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛動閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4364(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☑ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4365(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示，「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛動閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4365(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4366(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛路福利第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，面新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4366(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛路福利第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全罔視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憑選舉管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4367(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛路福利第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，面新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4367(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛路福利第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全罔視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憑選舉管理委員會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4368(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛路福利第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，面新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4368(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛路福利第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全罔視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憑選舉管理委員會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4369(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/LJ18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛和閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括大盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛翠閣、盛坤閣和盛輝閣。

…/2

4369(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/LJ18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要重選選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4370(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/LJ18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛和閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括大盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛翠閣、盛坤閣和盛輝閣。

…/2

4370(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/LJ18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要重選選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4371(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/LJ18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛和閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛翠閣、盛坤閣和盛輝閣。

…/2

4371(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/LJ18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要重選選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4372(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛與區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4372(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要建議選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛與區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女仕) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4373(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4373(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要建議選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛與區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女仕) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4374(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛與區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4374(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要建議選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛與區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女仕) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4375(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSCIO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區關係，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關係的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑嘉彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛蘭閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛誠閣、盛悅閣、盛德閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4375(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSCIO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陳某蘭 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4376(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSCIO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區關係，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關係的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑嘉彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛蘭閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛誠閣、盛悅閣、盛德閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4376(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSCIO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4377(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSCIO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區關係，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關係的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑嘉彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛蘭閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛誠閣、盛悅閣、盛德閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4377(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSCIO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4378(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選舉分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛麗閣、盛寶閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛逸閣、盛志閣、盛濤閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4378(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 **2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前**投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4379(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選舉分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛麗閣、盛寶閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛逸閣、盛志閣、盛濤閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4379(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 **2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前**投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☒ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4380(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選舉分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛麗閣、盛寶閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛逸閣、盛志閣、盛濤閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4380(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 **2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前**投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4381(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshking.net Website: tsshking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/LJ18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分散天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新界區區議員「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4381(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshking.net Website: tsshking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/LJ18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4382(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshking.net Website: tsshking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/LJ18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4382(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshking.net Website: tsshking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/LJ18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4383(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshking.net Website: tsshking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/LJ18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分散天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新界區區議員「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選舉分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4383(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshking.net Website: tsshking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/LJ18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不可抗因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4384(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛韻閣、盛賢閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4384(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4385(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛韻閣、盛賢閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4385(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團憂慮選舉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4386(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛韻閣、盛賢閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4386(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團憂慮選舉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4387(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直連有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新興屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛沼閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛輝閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛豐閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4387(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陳鳳貴 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4388(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，大盛苑一直都有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新興屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛輝閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛豐閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4388(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陳鳳貴 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4389(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直都有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新興屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛輝閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛豐閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4389(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4390(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛暉閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛康閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4390(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4391(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛暉閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛康閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4391(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：_____ (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4392(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛暉閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛康閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4392(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4393(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛路場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛嘉閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4393(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛路場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4394(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛路場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛嘉閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4394(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛路場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4395(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛路場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛嘉閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4395(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛路場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4396(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsslmg.net Website: tsslmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視乎單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選舉造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，即括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛融閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4396(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsslmg.net Website: tsslmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4397(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsslmg.net Website: tsslmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視乎單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛融閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4397(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsslmg.net Website: tsslmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4398(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsslmg.net Website: tsslmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視乎單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛融閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4398(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsslmg.net Website: tsslmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4399(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsslmg.net Website: tsslmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛暉閣、盛賢閣和盛經閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4399(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsslmg.net Website: tsslmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起署名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4400(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsslmg.net Website: tsslmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛暉閣、盛賢閣和盛經閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4400(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsslmg.net Website: tsslmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起署名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉管理委員會。

法團憂慮選舉管理委員會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉管理委員會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4401(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsslmg.net Website: tsslmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛暉閣、盛賢閣和盛經閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4401(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsslmg.net Website: tsslmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起署名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4402(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Sau Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛裕閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4402(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Sau Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂選選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：蔡容玉 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管委會反映用途)

4403(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Sau Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛裕閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4403(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Sau Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂選選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：施永光 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4404(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Sau Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛裕閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4404(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Sau Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂選選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：郭志文 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4405(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

5天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視為單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新開屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛輝閣、盛寶閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛康閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4405(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於其他不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：梁叔嫻(先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4406(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

8天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視為單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新開屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛輝閣、盛寶閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛康閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4406(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：黃[illegible](先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4407(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

8天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視為單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新開屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛輝閣、盛寶閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛康閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4407(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☑ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[illegible](先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4408(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被視為單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛薇閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛逸閣、盛志閣、盛瑋閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛裕閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4408(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：彭[illegible] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4409(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被視為單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛薇閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛逸閣、盛志閣、盛瑋閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛裕閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4409(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[illegible] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4410(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被視為單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛薇閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛逸閣、盛志閣、盛瑋閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛裕閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4410(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[illegible] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4411(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛薈閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛康閣、盛李閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4411(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：馮 [redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4412(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛薈閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛康閣、盛李閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4412(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4413(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛薈閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛康閣、盛李閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4413(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4414(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視為單一區議員選區，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會影響本苑選區區議席數目，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區特性、地方聯繫的總持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛薈閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛燊閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4414(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：張紫薇 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉委員會反映用途)

4415(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視為單一區議員選區，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區特性、地方聯繫的總持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛薈閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛燊閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4415(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：劉小姐 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉事務委員會反映用途)

4416(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視為單一區議員選區，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區區議席數目造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區特性、地方聯繫的總持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛薈閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛燊閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4416(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團憂慮選舉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：李[illegible] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4417(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞大盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屋屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分劃天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分劃天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛薈閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雋閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4417(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，即會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陳紫文 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次簡查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4418(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞大盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屋屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分劃天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分劃天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛薈閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雋閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4418(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，即會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4419(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞大盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屋屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分劃天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分劃天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛薈閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雋閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4419(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，即會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4420(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
Ufr., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔，及
4. 反對新屆屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新屆屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的連貫，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛朗閣、盛賢閣和盛誼閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4420(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
Ufr., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行簡易調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：Tan Siu Lobo先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4421(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
Ufr., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屆屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新屆屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的連貫，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛朗閣、盛賢閣和盛誼閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4421(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
Ufr., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行簡易調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4422(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
Ufr., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屆屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新屆屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的連貫，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛朗閣、盛賢閣和盛誼閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4422(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
Ufr., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行簡易調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4423(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛臨閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4423(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：萬錦霞 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4424(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛臨閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4424(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4425(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛臨閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4425(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：王 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4426(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsthmg.net Website: tsahmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有共獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匡閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛德閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4426(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsthmg.net Website: tsahmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂選選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4427(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsthmg.net Website: tsahmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有共獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匡閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛德閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4427(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsthmg.net Website: tsahmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂選選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4428(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsthmg.net Website: tsahmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有共獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匡閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛德閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4428(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsthmg.net Website: tsahmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團憂選選舉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選舉的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見!

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4429(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlg.net Website: tsshlg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區關繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛韻閣、盛寶閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛榆閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4429(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlg.net Website: tsshlg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對該建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4430(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlg.net Website: tsshlg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區關繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛韻閣、盛寶閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛榆閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4430(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlg.net Website: tsshlg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對該建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4431(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlg.net Website: tsshlg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區關繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛韻閣、盛寶閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛榆閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4431(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlg.net Website: tsshlg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對該建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4432(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆2015年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱"選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於2017年8月21日及2018年4月18日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成社區分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於7月23日就2019年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛暉閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4432(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於2018年7月23日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於7月27日至8月3日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就2019年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於2018年8月20日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對2019年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：張大 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018年8月6日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4433(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆2015年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱"選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於2017年8月21日及2018年4月18日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於7月23日就2019年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛暉閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4433(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於2018年7月23日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於7月27日至8月3日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就2019年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於2018年8月20日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對2019年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018年8月6日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4434(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆2015年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱"選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於2017年8月21日及2018年4月18日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於7月23日就2019年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛暉閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4434(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於2018年7月23日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於7月27日至8月3日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就2019年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於2018年8月20日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對2019年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018年8月6日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4435(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聚焦，居民安居樂業，分劃天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分劃天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛翹閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛誠閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4435(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分劃天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陳遠娣 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4436(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聚焦，居民安居樂業，分劃天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分劃天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛翹閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛誠閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4436(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團憂慮選舉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分劃天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：黃遠倫 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4437(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聚焦，居民安居樂業，分劃天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分劃天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛翹閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛誠閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4437(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團憂慮選舉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分劃天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4438(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 20:8 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天瞰選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示，「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」。惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天瑜苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛暘閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4438(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：黃 [redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4439(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天瓏苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示，「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」。惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛暘閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4439(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：過 [redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4440(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示，「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」。惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天瑜苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛暘閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4440(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天瞰選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：黃 [redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4441(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分劃天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分劃天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙前影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示，「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛佩閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4441(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4442(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分劃天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分劃天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙前影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示，「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛佩閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4442(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本地居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4443(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分劃天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分劃天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙前影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示，「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛佩閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4443(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4444(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsslong.net Website: tsslong.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分劃天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分劃天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示，「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛暉閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛滿閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4444(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsslong.net Website: tsslong.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交選管會。

法團還關注管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陳美儀 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4445(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsslong.net Website: tsslong.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分劃天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分劃天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示，「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛暉閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛滿閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4445(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsslong.net Website: tsslong.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分劃天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：LI YAT (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4446(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsslong.net Website: tsslong.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分劃天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分劃天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示，「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛暉閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛滿閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4446(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsslong.net Website: tsslong.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團還關注管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分劃天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：關卓賢 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4447(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tshsbing.net Website: tshsbing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，大盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區歸屬，居民安居樂業，分劃天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分劃天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選舉分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4447(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tshsbing.net Website: tshsbing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4448(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tshsbing.net Website: tshsbing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區歸屬，居民安居樂業，分劃天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分劃天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選舉分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4448(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tshsbing.net Website: tshsbing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4449(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tshsbing.net Website: tshsbing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區歸屬，居民安居樂業，分劃天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分劃天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選舉分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4449(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tshsbing.net Website: tshsbing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4450(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tsshlbg.net Website: tsshlbg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞大盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，當新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4450(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tsshlbg.net Website: tsshlbg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，即會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：NG (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4451(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tsshlbg.net Website: tsshlbg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，當新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4451(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tsshlbg.net Website: tsshlbg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，即會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4452(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tsshlbg.net Website: tsshlbg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，當新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4452(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tsshlbg.net Website: tsshlbg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，即會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4453(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛燕閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4453(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：潘某明 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4454(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛燕閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4454(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團憂慮選舉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：張大 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4455(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選舉分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛燕閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4455(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選舉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：劉志明 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4456(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被劃入一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示，「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性，地方聯繫的總和，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛熙閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4456(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：CHEUNG Wai Sum (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4457(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被劃入一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示，「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性，地方聯繫的總和，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛熙閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4457(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團憂慮選舉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4458(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被劃入一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示，「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性，地方聯繫的總和，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛熙閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4458(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團憂慮選舉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4459(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視為單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響區苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛朝閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4459(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民實顧及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：李漢華 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4460(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視為單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響區苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛朝閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4460(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陳敬文 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4461(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視為單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響區苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛朝閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4461(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：林水清 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4462(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分劃天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及融匯地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」。惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛和閣、盛毅閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛智閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4462(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4463(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分劃天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及融匯地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」。惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛和閣、盛毅閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛智閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4463(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4464(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分劃天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及融匯地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方關繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」。惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛和閣、盛毅閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛智閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4464(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團憂慮選舉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4465(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區區成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 20.8 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛將區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛晉閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛康閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4465(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：LAM Lai Fan (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4466(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區區成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛將區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛晉閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛康閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4466(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團憂慮選舉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4467(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區區成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛將區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛晉閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛康閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4467(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團憂慮選舉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4468(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshkg.net Website: tsshkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視為單一區議員選區，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛朗閣、盛賢閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天晉苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛信閣、盛德閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4468(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshkg.net Website: tsshkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作景次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4469(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshkg.net Website: tsshkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視為單一區議員選區，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會反映任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛朗閣、盛賢閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天晉苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛信閣、盛德閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4469(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshkg.net Website: tsshkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作景次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4470(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshkg.net Website: tsshkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視為單一區議員選區，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會反映任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛朗閣、盛賢閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天晉苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛信閣、盛德閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4470(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshkg.net Website: tsshkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作景次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4471(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlog.net Website: tsshlog.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方鄰里的連貫，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」。惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雋閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

4471(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlog.net Website: tsshlog.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4472(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlog.net Website: tsshlog.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方鄰里的連貫，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」。惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雋閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

4472(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlog.net Website: tsshlog.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。[redacted]時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4473(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlog.net Website: tsshlog.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方鄰里的連貫，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」。惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雋閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

4473(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlog.net Website: tsshlog.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4474(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛堅閣、盛都閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天晉苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鴻閣、盛亨閣、盛居閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4474(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：Fung Lai Shui 馮先生 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4475(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛堅閣、盛都閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天晉苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鴻閣、盛亨閣、盛居閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4475(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議。反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：_____(先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4476(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛堅閣、盛都閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天晉苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鴻閣、盛亨閣、盛居閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4476(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陳少蘭 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4477(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛恆閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

...12

4477(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較少，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朝天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4478(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛恆閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

...12

4478(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較少，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朝天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4479(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛恆閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

...12

4479(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較少，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4480(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4480(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4481(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4481(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：袁月群 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

反對分割

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4482(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4482(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：黃志明 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4483(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsslmg.net Website: tsslmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」。惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛寶閣和盛暉閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛滿閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4483(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsslmg.net Website: tsslmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區。而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：Chan Tai Ching (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4484(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsslmg.net Website: tsslmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」。惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛寶閣和盛暉閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛滿閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4484(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsslmg.net Website: tsslmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團憂慮選舉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區。而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：_____ (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天境苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4485(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsslmg.net Website: tsslmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」。惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛寶閣和盛暉閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛滿閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4485(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsslmg.net Website: tsslmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團憂慮選舉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區。而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☑ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：_____ (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4486(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新近推「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛智閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4486(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內。歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：胡欽[illegible] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4487(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新興屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛智閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4487(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內。歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[illegible] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4488(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屋置「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛智閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4488(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內。歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[illegible] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4489(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，按放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛豐閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4489(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4490(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，按放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛豐閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4490(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4491(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，按放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛豐閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4491(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團憂慮選舉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4492(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛滿閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4492(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天悅選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4493(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛滿閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4493(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天悅選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：羅國治 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4494(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛滿閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4494(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天悅選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：葉喜鳳 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4495(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛商業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直就有單一區議員議席，自上屆2015年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於2017年8月21日及2018年4月18日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示，「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於7月23日就2019年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4495(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於2018年7月23日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於7月27日至8月3日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就2019年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於2018年8月20日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對2019年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：梁國雄 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018年8月6日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4496(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直就有單一區議員議席，自上屆2015年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於2017年8月21日及2018年4月18日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示，「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於7月23日就2019年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4496(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於2018年7月23日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於7月27日至8月3日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就2019年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於2018年8月20日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對2019年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陸楓雪 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018年8月6日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4497(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直就有單一區議員議席，自上屆2015年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於2017年8月21日及2018年4月18日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示，「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於7月23日就2019年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4497(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於2018年7月23日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於7月27日至8月3日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就2019年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於2018年8月20日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對2019年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018年8月6日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4498(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，大盛苑一直就有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛圖閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛恆閣、盛燕閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4498(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：賀玉春 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4499(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，大盛苑一直就有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛圖閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛恆閣、盛燕閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4499(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4500(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直就有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛圖閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛恆閣、盛燕閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4500(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4501(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsslong.net Website: tsslong.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛翠閣。

…/2

4501(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsslong.net Website: tsslong.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團雖獲選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陳雅蓮 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4502(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsslong.net Website: tsslong.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛翠閣。

…/2

4502(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsslong.net Website: tsslong.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團雖獲選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4503(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsslong.net Website: tsslong.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛翠閣。

…/2

4503(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsslong.net Website: tsslong.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團雖獲選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4504(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛暉閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛曜閣。

…/2

4504(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉大盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

簽名：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4505(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛暉閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛曜閣。

…/2

4505(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉大盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4506(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛暉閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛曜閣。

…/2

4506(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4507(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聚集，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛惠閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4507(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：Tam (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會以映用途)

4508(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聚集，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛惠閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4508(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會以映用途)

4509(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聚集，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛惠閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4509(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4510(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聲譽，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛匯閣、盛賢閣和盛強閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛泓閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4510(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區，同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起業名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會，

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4511(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聲譽，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛匯閣、盛賢閣和盛強閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛泓閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4511(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區，同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起業名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會，

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 H

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4512(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聲譽，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛匯閣、盛賢閣和盛強閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛泓閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4512(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區，同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起業名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會，

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4513(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛須區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛蘊閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛輝閣。

…/2

4513(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團看悉選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4514(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛須區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛蘊閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛輝閣。

…/2

4514(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團看悉選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4515(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛須區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選舉分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛蘊閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛輝閣。

…/2

4515(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團看悉選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4516(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直設有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聚集，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聚集的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4516(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會，

法團要重申選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：林文賢 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4517(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直設有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聚集，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聚集的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4517(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會，

法團要重申選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：逸錦超 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4518(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直設有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聚集，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聚集的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4518(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附置一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會，

法團要重申選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：葉祐青 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4519(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，選舉管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛毫閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4519(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會，

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，例若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陳敬之 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4520(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，選舉管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛毫閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4520(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，例若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4521(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，選舉管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛毫閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4521(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，例若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4522(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，屬民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供予夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屆屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新屆屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將大盛分劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛麟閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4522(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：Shun (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4523(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，屬民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供予夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屆屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新屆屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將大盛分劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛麟閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4523(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陳振強 (先生/女士)、住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4524(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，屬民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供予夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屆屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新屆屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將大盛分劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛麟閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4524(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：盧文輝 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4525(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsmbmg.net Website: tsmbmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區密繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛暉閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛順閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4525(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsmbmg.net Website: tsmbmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4526(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsmbmg.net Website: tsmbmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區密繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛暉閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛順閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4526(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsmbmg.net Website: tsmbmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4527(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsmbmg.net Website: tsmbmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區密繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛暉閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛順閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4527(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsmbmg.net Website: tsmbmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4528(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然疆界(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天峻：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4528(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割大盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割大盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[illegible] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4529(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然疆界(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天峻：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4529(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割大盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割大盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[illegible] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4530(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然疆界(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天峻：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4530(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割大盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割大盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[illegible] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4531(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居區應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在設定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛駿閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4531(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4532(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居區應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在設定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛駿閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4532(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4533(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居區應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在設定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛駿閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4533(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4534(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區歸屬，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4534(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團重申選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4535(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區歸屬，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4535(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團重申選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4536(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區歸屬，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4536(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團重申選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4537(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlng.net Website: tsshlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選委會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聚集，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人仕而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聚集的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛湘閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4537(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlng.net Website: tsshlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建築深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會，

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而繼持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陳振文 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4538(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlng.net Website: tsshlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聚集，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人仕而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聚集的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛湘閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4538(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlng.net Website: tsshlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建築深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會，

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而繼持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4539(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlng.net Website: tsshlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聚集，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人仕而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聚集的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛湘閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4539(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlng.net Website: tsshlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建築深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會，

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而繼持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：吳惠儀 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4540(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsalmg.net Website: tsalmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天慨苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛頤閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛頌閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4540(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsalmg.net Website: tsalmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[signature] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4541(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsalmg.net Website: tsalmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛頤閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛頌閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4541(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsalmg.net Website: tsalmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[signature] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4542(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsalmg.net Website: tsalmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛頤閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛頌閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4542(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsalmg.net Website: tsalmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：Ng Chung Hau (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4543(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛寶閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛讚閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4543(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：_____ (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4544(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛寶閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛讚閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4544(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：_____ (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4545(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛寶閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛讚閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4545(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：_____ (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4546(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlng.net Website: tsshlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區劃界，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方團體的連繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛虹閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛動閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4546(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlng.net Website: tsshlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區，同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：王 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4547(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlng.net Website: tsshlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區劃界，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方團體的連繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛虹閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛動閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4547(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlng.net Website: tsshlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4548(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlng.net Website: tsshlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區劃界，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方團體的連繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛虹閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛動閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4548(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlng.net Website: tsshlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4549(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區劃聚，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聚落的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雋閣、盛亨閣、盛居閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛疆閣。

…/2

4549(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新延續深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，決定現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4550(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區劃聚，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聚落的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雋閣、盛亨閣、盛居閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛疆閣。

…/2

4550(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新延續深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，決定現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4551(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區劃聚，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聚落的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雋閣、盛亨閣、盛居閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛疆閣。

…/2

4551(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新延續深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，決定現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☒ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4552(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直都有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及關應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛裕閣、盛耀閣、盛賢閣和盛臻閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛卿閣。

…/2

4552(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4553(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直都有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及關應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛裕閣、盛耀閣、盛賢閣和盛臻閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛卿閣。

…/2

4553(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4554(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直都有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及關應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛裕閣、盛耀閣、盛賢閣和盛臻閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛卿閣。

…/2

4554(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4555(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛輝閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛潤閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4555(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陳[redacted](先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4556(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛輝閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛潤閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4556(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted](先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4557(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛輝閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛潤閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4557(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted](先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4558(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一標天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsslong.net Website: tsslong.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聚集，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聚集的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛菊閣、盛心閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛豐閣。

…/2

4558(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一標天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsslong.net Website: tsslong.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶隨時提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：李永銘 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4559(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一標天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsslong.net Website: tsslong.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聚集，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聚集的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛菊閣、盛心閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛豐閣。

…/2

4559(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一標天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsslong.net Website: tsslong.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選舉管理委員會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶隨時提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4560(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一標天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsslong.net Website: tsslong.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聚集，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聚集的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛菊閣、盛心閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛豐閣。

…/2

4560(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一標天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsslong.net Website: tsslong.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選舉管理委員會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶隨時提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4561(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專屬區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛虹閣、盛頌閣、盛譽閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4561(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：李○○ (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4562(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專屬區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛虹閣、盛頌閣、盛譽閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4562(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：黃○○ (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4563(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專屬區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛虹閣、盛頌閣、盛譽閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4563(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：鄺○○ (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4564(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsslmg.net Website: tsslmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛盈閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4564(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsslmg.net Website: tsslmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：_____(先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4565(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsslmg.net Website: tsslmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛盈閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4565(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsslmg.net Website: tsslmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：_____(先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4566(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsslmg.net Website: tsslmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛盈閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4566(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsslmg.net Website: tsslmg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：曾淑儀(先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4567(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsahoa.net Website: tsahoa.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/LJ18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一宣有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屬法行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛裕閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛圓閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛誠閣、盛悅閣、盛雋閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

4567(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsahoa.net Website: tsahoa.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/LJ18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區，同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4568(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsahoa.net Website: tsahoa.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/LJ18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一宣有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屬法行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛圓閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛誠閣、盛悅閣、盛雋閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

4568(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsahoa.net Website: tsahoa.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/LJ18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4569(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsahoa.net Website: tsahoa.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/LJ18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一宣有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屬法行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛裕閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛圓閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛誠閣、盛悅閣、盛雋閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

4569(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsahoa.net Website: tsahoa.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/LJ18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉管理委員會。

法團憂慮選舉管理委員會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉管理委員會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4570(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshkg.net Website: tsshkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屆屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及運應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛迎閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4570(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshkg.net Website: tsshkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4571(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshkg.net Website: tsshkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屆屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及運應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛迎閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4571(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshkg.net Website: tsshkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4572(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshkg.net Website: tsshkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屆屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及運應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛迎閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4572(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshkg.net Website: tsshkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4573(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛圓閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

4573(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：王淑英 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4574(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛圓閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

4574(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4575(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛圓閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

4575(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4576(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙前影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性，地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛誠閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4576(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，即會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶隨時提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陳[redacted](先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4577(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙前影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性，地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛誠閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4577(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，即會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶隨時提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted](先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4578(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員選席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙前影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性，地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛誠閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4578(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，即會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶隨時提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted](先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4579(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsthkg.net Website: tsthkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛順閣。

…/2

4579(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsthkg.net Website: tsthkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全罔視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☑ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4580(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsthkg.net Website: tsthkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛順閣。

…/2

4580(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsthkg.net Website: tsthkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全罔視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：劉珮如 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4581(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsthkg.net Website: tsthkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛順閣。

…/2

4581(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsthkg.net Website: tsthkg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全罔視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4582(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天晟苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 大盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛誠閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4582(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：余子敏 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4583(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 大盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選舉分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛誠閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4583(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陸孟琪 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4584(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選舉分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛誠閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4584(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4585(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區實特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛區閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛康閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4585(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：吳榮輝 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4586(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區實特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛區閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛康閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4586(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4587(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區實特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛區閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛康閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4587(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4588(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新屏障應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4588(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團於 5 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於其些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉大盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，數迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[illegible] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4589(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新屏障應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4589(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉大盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，數迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：黃國基 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4590(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新屏障應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選舉分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4590(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉大盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，數迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[illegible] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4591(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛誼閣、盛悅閣、盛樂閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4591(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4592(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛誼閣、盛悅閣、盛樂閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4592(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4593(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛誼閣、盛悅閣、盛樂閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4593(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4594(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區間卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛區閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛凱閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4594(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4595(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛區閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛凱閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4595(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4596(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛區閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛凱閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4596(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4597(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛動閣、盛志閣、盛詩閣、盛悅閣、盛燕閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4597(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團獲悉選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：王永沈顧問 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4598(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛動閣、盛志閣、盛詩閣、盛悅閣、盛燕閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4598(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團獲悉選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：周劍康 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4599(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛動閣、盛志閣、盛詩閣、盛悅閣、盛燕閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4599(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團獲悉選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4600(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛弘閣、盛翔閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛翔閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4600(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區，同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：李 沛 岡 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4601(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛弘閣、盛翔閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛翔閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4601(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：謝 惠 琴 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4602(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛弘閣、盛翔閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛翔閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4602(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4603(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshieg.net Website: tsshieg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4603(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshieg.net Website: tsshieg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動以對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：黃[redacted](先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4604(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshieg.net Website: tsshieg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4604(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshieg.net Website: tsshieg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動以對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陳[redacted](先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4605(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshieg.net Website: tsshieg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4605(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshieg.net Website: tsshieg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動以對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted](先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4606(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/LJ18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人仕而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛卓閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛圖閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛惠閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4606(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/LJ18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4607(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/LJ18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人仕而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛卓閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛圖閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛惠閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4607(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/LJ18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4608(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/LJ18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人仕而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛卓閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛圖閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛惠閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4608(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/LJ18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團憂慮選舉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4609(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，檢選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 曦欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昕閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛區閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛建閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麒閣。

…/2

4609(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：王國光 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4610(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，檢選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 曦欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昕閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛區閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛建閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麒閣。

…/2

4610(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：何[illegible] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4611(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，檢選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昕閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛區閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛建閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麒閣。

…/2

4611(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：何[illegible] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4612(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlng.net Website: tsshlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛蕙閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4612(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlng.net Website: tsshlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要應選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶隨時提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4613(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlng.net Website: tsshlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛蕙閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4613(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlng.net Website: tsshlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要應選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶隨時提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4614(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlng.net Website: tsshlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛蕙閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4614(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlng.net Website: tsshlng.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要應選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶隨時提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4615(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區關係，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區務行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天晉苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛燕閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4615(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶隨時提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4616(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區關係，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區務行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天晉苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛燕閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4616(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶隨時提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4617(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區關係，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區務行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天晉苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛燕閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4617(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶隨時提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4618(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附設一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛寶閣和盛臨閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛燕閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4618(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附設一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4619(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附設一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛寶閣和盛臨閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛燕閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4619(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附設一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4620(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附設一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛寶閣和盛臨閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛燕閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4620(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附設一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4621(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlg.net Website: tsshlg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團首分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區群聚，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聚集的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛逸閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛動閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛熙閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4621(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlg.net Website: tsshlg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4622(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlg.net Website: tsshlg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團首分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區群聚，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聚集的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛逸閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛動閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛熙閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4622(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlg.net Website: tsshlg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4623(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlg.net Website: tsshlg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團首分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區群聚，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聚集的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛逸閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛動閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛熙閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4623(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlg.net Website: tsshlg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4624(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshieg.net Website: tsshieg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選舉分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4624(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshieg.net Website: tsshieg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4625(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshieg.net Website: tsshieg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選舉分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4625(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshieg.net Website: tsshieg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4626(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshieg.net Website: tsshieg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選舉分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4626(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshieg.net Website: tsshieg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4627(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和屏欣苑及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4627(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 20/18 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陸偉超 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4628(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和屏欣苑及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4628(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4629(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和屏欣苑及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4629(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4630(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓元盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshbig.net Website: tsshbig.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛明閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛泰閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4630(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓元盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshbig.net Website: tsshbig.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：王忠欣 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4631(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓元盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshbig.net Website: tsshbig.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛明閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛泰閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4631(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓元盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshbig.net Website: tsshbig.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4632(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓元盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshbig.net Website: tsshbig.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛明閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛德閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛泰閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4632(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓元盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshbig.net Website: tsshbig.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：YIP CHING (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4633(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛享閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4633(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：Lo Shuk Ching (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4634(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛享閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4634(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：YIP WING (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4635(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛享閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4635(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4636(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshking.net Website: tsshking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛曉閣、盛賢閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4636(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshking.net Website: tsshking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4637(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshking.net Website: tsshking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛曉閣、盛賢閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4637(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshking.net Website: tsshking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團憂慮選舉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4638(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshking.net Website: tsshking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛曉閣、盛賢閣和盛蓮閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4638(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshking.net Website: tsshking.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團憂慮選舉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4639(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
I/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsabhg.net Website: tsabhg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛圖閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

4639(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
I/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsabhg.net Website: tsabhg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：李智偉 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4640(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
I/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsabhg.net Website: tsabhg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛圖閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

4640(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
I/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsabhg.net Website: tsabhg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：馬楚蘭 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4641(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
I/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsabhg.net Website: tsabhg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛圖閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

4641(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
I/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsabhg.net Website: tsabhg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：李志偉 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4642(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛澤閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4642(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區，同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4643(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛澤閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4643(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區，同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4644(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛賢閣和盛澤閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4644(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區，同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4645(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛曉閣、盛賢閣和盛蘊閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亭閣、盛尚閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛爾閣。

…/2

4645(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區，同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4646(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛曉閣、盛賢閣和盛蘊閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亭閣、盛尚閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛爾閣。

…/2

4646(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區，同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4647(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選舉分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛曉閣、盛賢閣和盛蘊閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亭閣、盛尚閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛爾閣。

…/2

4647(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區，同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4648(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsabing.net Website: tsabing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛龍閣。

…/2

4648(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsabing.net Website: tsabing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：對文舉 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4649(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsabing.net Website: tsabing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛龍閣。

…/2

4649(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsabing.net Website: tsabing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：Kui Cheung Shing (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4650(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsabing.net Website: tsabing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛順閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛龍閣。

…/2

4650(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsabing.net Website: tsabing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4651(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聚繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔，及
4. 反對新屆屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新屆屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助屆民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的連符，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛泰閣、盛軒閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4651(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全罔視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4652(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聚繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔，及
4. 反對新屆屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新屆屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助屆民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的連符，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛泰閣、盛軒閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4652(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全罔視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4653(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聚繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔，及
4. 反對新屆屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新屆屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助屆民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的連符，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛泰閣、盛軒閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4653(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全罔視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4654(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聚繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然疆界(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛熙閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛彩閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4654(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切斷天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☑ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：林尚基 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4655(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聚繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然疆界(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛熙閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛彩閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4655(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切斷天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4656(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聚繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然疆界(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛熙閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亭閣、盛旭閣、盛彩閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4656(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2199 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切斷天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4657(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區關繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及影響，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的答覆回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛裕閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛福閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛俊閣、盛強閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4657(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要應選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：蘇詩漢 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4658(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區關繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及影響，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的答覆回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛裕閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛福閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛俊閣、盛強閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4658(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要應選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：Lai Kam Fong (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4659(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 「選管會」)反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區關繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及影響，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的答覆回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛裕閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛福閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛俊閣、盛強閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4659(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要應選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4660(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛碩閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛穆閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4660(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：左瑋 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4661(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛碩閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛穆閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4661(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：鮑子昊 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4662(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛碩閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛穆閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4662(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：Ng Chung Fai (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4663(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區間卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聚集，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛議區一位民議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屆屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新屆屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聚集的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛耀閣、盛賢閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛誠閣、盛悅閣、盛康閣、盛孝閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛珊閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4663(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4664(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區間卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聚集，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛議區一位民議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屆屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新屆屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聚集的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛耀閣、盛賢閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛誠閣、盛悅閣、盛康閣、盛孝閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛珊閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4664(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4665(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區間卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聚集，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛議區一位民議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新屆屋苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新屆屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在劃定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聚集的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛耀閣、盛賢閣和盛運閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛誠閣、盛悅閣、盛康閣、盛孝閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛珊閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4665(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而堅持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4666(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsmbing.net Website: tsmbing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛華閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4666(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsmbing.net Website: tsmbing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名: [redacted] (先生/女士) 住址: [redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4667(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsmbing.net Website: tsmbing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選舉分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛華閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4667(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsmbing.net Website: tsmbing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名: [redacted] (先生/女士) 住址: [redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4668(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsmbing.net Website: tsmbing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛顯閣、盛賢閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛華閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4668(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsmbing.net Website: tsmbing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團憂慮選舉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☑ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名: [redacted] (先生/女士) 住址: [redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4669(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOJL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有鄰閭天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選務管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區影響，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屆苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屆苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屆苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區特性、地方關聚的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛朝閣、盛賢閣和環翠閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亭閣、盛頤閣、盛珍閣、盛景閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛豐閣。

…/2

4669(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOJL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選事管理委員會反映用途)

4670(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOJL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有鄰閭天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選務管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區影響，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屆苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屆苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屆苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區特性、地方關聚的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛朝閣、盛賢閣和環翠閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亭閣、盛頤閣、盛珍閣、盛景閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛豐閣。

…/2

4670(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOJL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選事管理委員會反映用途)

4671(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOJL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有鄰閭天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選務管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區影響，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屆苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屆苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屆苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區特性、地方關聚的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛朝閣、盛賢閣和環翠閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亭閣、盛頤閣、盛珍閣、盛景閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛豐閣。

…/2

4671(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOJL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選事管理委員會反映用途)

4672(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直就有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一議席亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區實際性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛穗閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛輝閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4672(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4673(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直就有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一議席亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區實際性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛穗閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛輝閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4673(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4674(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直就有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一議席亦得以保留。

惟新屆屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區實際性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頌閣、盛賢閣和盛穗閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛輝閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4674(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團要求選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4675(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛麗閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛信閣、盛義閣、盛守閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4675(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：李[illegible] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4676(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛麗閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛信閣、盛義閣、盛守閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4676(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：張[illegible] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4677(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛頤閣、盛賢閣和盛麗閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛信閣、盛義閣、盛守閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4677(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshing.net Website: tsshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOM/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[illegible] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4678(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlg.net Website: tsshlg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屬苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」人仕而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛國閣、盛寶閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛康閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4678(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlg.net Website: tsshlg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團屢次選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：李耀球 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4679(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlg.net Website: tsshlg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屬苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」人仕而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛國閣、盛寶閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛康閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4679(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlg.net Website: tsshlg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團屢次選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：林耀光 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4680(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlg.net Website: tsshlg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屬苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」人仕而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛國閣、盛寶閣和盛匯閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛康閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4680(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元朗天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlg.net Website: tsshlg.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團屢次選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見，法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥回卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：劉惠華 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4681(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被劃入屯門區議員選區，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區團契性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛翹閣、盛賢閣和盛頤閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天晟：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛揚閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛輝閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛爾閣。

…/2

4681(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4682(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被劃入屯門區議員選區，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區團契性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛翹閣、盛賢閣和盛頤閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天晟：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛揚閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛輝閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛爾閣。

…/2

4682(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團憂慮選舉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4683(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被劃入屯門區議員選區，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區團契性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛翹閣、盛賢閣和盛頤閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天晟：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛揚閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛輝閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛爾閣。

…/2

4683(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附第一期天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉會。

法團憂慮選舉會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選舉會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4684(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑地鋪附第一號天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區間卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」。惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛智閣和盛滿閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

4684(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑地鋪附第一號天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：梁[illegible] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4685(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑地鋪附第一號天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區間卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」。惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛智閣和盛滿閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

4685(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑地鋪附第一號天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[illegible] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4686(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑地鋪附第一號天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區間卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直祇有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏苑「屏欣苑」人伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」。惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穎閣、盛智閣和盛滿閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛鼎閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麟閣。

…/2

4686(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑地鋪附第一號天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[illegible] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4687(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區歸屬，居民安居樂業，分劃天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分劃天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區務行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」人仕而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區團結性、地方歸屬的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛御閣、盛悅閣、盛滿閣及盛通閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛輝閣、盛悅閣、盛滿閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛慶閣。

…/2

4687(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分劃天盛選區的決定，又再次提出本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：潘偉康 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4688(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區歸屬，居民安居樂業，分劃天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分劃天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區務行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」人仕而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區團結性、地方歸屬的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛御閣、盛悅閣、盛滿閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛輝閣、盛悅閣、盛滿閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛慶閣。

…/2

4688(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分劃天盛選區的決定，又再次提出本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：劉惠清 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4689(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分劃，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區歸屬，居民安居樂業，分劃天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分劃天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加區務行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」人仕而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區團結性、地方歸屬的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛御閣、盛悅閣、盛滿閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛輝閣、盛悅閣、盛滿閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛馨閣、盛坤閣和盛慶閣。

…/2

4689(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界元水圍天盛苑天盛商場附屬一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選舉管理委員會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選舉管理委員會。

法團憂慮選舉管理委員會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分劃天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4690(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlng.net Website: tsshlng.net

檔案編號：TSCIOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聚集，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性，地方鄰里的連繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛譽閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛愛閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛柏閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4690(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlng.net Website: tsshlng.net

檔案編號：TSCIOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4691(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlng.net Website: tsshlng.net

檔案編號：TSCIOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聚集，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性，地方鄰里的連繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛譽閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛愛閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛柏閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4691(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlng.net Website: tsshlng.net

檔案編號：TSCIOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4692(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlng.net Website: tsshlng.net

檔案編號：TSCIOL/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直視有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聚集，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屏欣苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性，地方鄰里的連繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛輝閣、盛賢閣和盛譽閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛愛閣、盛志閣、盛雄閣、盛悅閣、盛雅閣、盛亨閣、盛柏閣、盛泉閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4692(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tsshlng.net Website: tsshlng.net

檔案編號：TSCIOL/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見，各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4693(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然疆界(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛明閣、盛輝閣、盛寶閣和盛輝閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛樂閣、盛豐閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛翠閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4693(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：陳 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4694(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然疆界(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛明閣、盛輝閣、盛寶閣和盛輝閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛樂閣、盛豐閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛翠閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4694(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動以反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4695(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直抵有單一區議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會")反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區聯繫，居民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及糾紛，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「**在釐定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然疆界(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)**」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛明閣、盛輝閣、盛寶閣和盛輝閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛樂閣、盛豐閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛珍閣、盛泉閣、盛翠閣、盛坤閣和盛麗閣。

…/2

4695(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2254 2108 Fax: 2254 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見。倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4696(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2554 2108 Fax: 2554 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有第一屆議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區歸屬，屋民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及割裂，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穗閣、盛賢閣和盛謙閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛頌閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛揚閣、盛景閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛德閣。

…/2

4696(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2554 2108 Fax: 2554 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☑ 贊成切割選區 ☐ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：張 (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

有競爭有進步!!!

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

4697(1)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2554 2108 Fax: 2554 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

各天盛苑業戶：

有關：2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區問卷調查

過去多屆的區議會選舉，天盛苑一直被有第一屆議員議席，自上屆 2015 年區議會選舉一直有傳聞天盛選區會被分割，法團當時亦曾向選舉管理委員會(下稱 "選管會") 反映意見，最終天盛苑的單一選區亦得以保留。

惟新居屋「屏欣苑」即將入伙，預計可能會對本苑選區造成影響，故此，法團曾分別於 2017 年 8 月 21 日及 2018 年 4 月 18 日致函選舉管理委員會反對任何更改天盛選區分界的建議，原因如下：

1. 天盛苑已為一個整體，社區本身有其獨特性及地區歸屬，屋民安居樂業，分割天盛選區只會對造成居民分化及割裂，影響屋苑管理及運作；
2. 現時天盛選區一位區議員議席已能提供足夠地區服務及資源，未見有增加議席的需要；
3. 分割天盛選區會造成地區資源分散重疊，造成浪費，並增加屋苑行政負擔；及
4. 反對新居屋屋苑「屏欣苑」入伙而影響天盛選區，而新居屋應有專責區議員，投放更多資源協助居民了解及適應地區生活。

當時，選管會的書面回覆表示「在擬定選區分界的建議時須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵(例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展)」，惟選管會於 7 月 23 日就 2019 年區議會選區分界正式發出諮詢，建議將天盛苑劃分為兩個選區，包括：

(1) M17 盛欣(新增選區)：包括天盛苑盛彩閣、盛昭閣、盛穗閣、盛賢閣和盛謙閣及屏欣苑；及
(2) M18 天盛：包括天盛苑盛勤閣、盛志閣、盛謙閣、盛悅閣、盛頌閣、盛亨閣、盛旭閣、盛揚閣、盛景閣、盛譽閣、盛坤閣和盛德閣。

…/2

4697(2)

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Yuen Long Tin Shing Court
新界天水圍天盛苑天盛商場附翼一樓天盛苑業主立案法團辦事處
1/F., Ancillary Facilities Block, Tin Shing Shopping Centre, Tin Shing Court, Tin Shui Wai, New Territories.
Tel: 2554 2108 Fax: 2554 2109 E-mail: info@tinshing.net Website: tinshing.net

檔案編號：TSC/IO/L/18/0140

法團對新建議深感憤怒及強烈反對，認為此建議完全漠視本苑居民意願及忽視本苑實際環境，故已於 2018 年 7 月 23 日致函選管會反對新建議，反對切割天盛選區。同時，法團亦於 7 月 27 日至 8 月 3 日發起簽名行動反對，將收集到的居民簽名交予選管會。

法團憂慮選管會仍有可能基於某些不明原因而維持分割天盛選區的決定，又再次漠視本苑的意願，故認為有需要再收集居民意見。法團現就 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的建議進行問卷調查，希望有更多業主表達意見，倘若「反對」意見較多，法團將會繼續向選管會提出反對切割天盛選區，而若「贊成」意見較多，則會停止再提出有關意見。各業戶請填妥問卷，並於 2018 年 8 月 20 日(星期一)下午一時或以前投入各座大堂收集箱內，歡迎業戶踴躍提出意見！

1.本人對 2019 年區議會選舉天盛選區被切割的意見?
☐ 贊成切割選區 ☑ 反對切割選區

業主姓名：[redacted] (先生/女士) 住址：[redacted]

元朗天盛苑業主立案法團

2018 年 8 月 6 日

(以上收集資料的目的只用作是次調查及向選舉管理委員會反映用途)

此為範本申述共 205 份(序號 4698 – 4902)

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27〈嘉湖北〉轉編入選區 M22〈嘉湖南〉的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分劃的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至〈嘉湖南〉選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 周敏芳
業戶簽名: 芳
日期: 2018 年 8 月 17 日

4699

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將屬湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的編夠文件中，嘉湖山莊屬湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿足居民實際需求，屬湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屬苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到滿滿而離以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至《嘉湖南》選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對屬湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 林[illegible]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 18-08-2018

4700

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將屬湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的編夠文件中，嘉湖山莊屬湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，屬湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屬苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到滿滿而離以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至《嘉湖南》選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對屬湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [illegible]
業戶簽名: YIM CHUEN YIU
日期: 18-08-2018

4701

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將屬湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的編夠文件中，嘉湖山莊屬湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，屬湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屬苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到滿滿而離以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至《嘉湖南》選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對屬湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Fonda Yin Fong
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 18-08-2018

4702

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將屬湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的編夠文件中，嘉湖山莊屬湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，屬湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屬苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到滿滿而離以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至《嘉湖南》選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對屬湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [illegible]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 2018年8月17日

4703

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將屬湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的編夠文件中，嘉湖山莊屬湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，屬湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屬苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到滿滿而離以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至《嘉湖南》選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對屬湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [illegible]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: [illegible]

4704

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將屬湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的編夠文件中，嘉湖山莊屬湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，屬湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屬苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到滿滿而離以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至《嘉湖南》選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對屬湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [illegible]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: AUG 18, 2018

4705

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將屬湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的編夠文件中，嘉湖山莊屬湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，屬湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屬苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到滿滿而離以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至《嘉湖南》選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對屬湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [illegible]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 18-8-2018

4706

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將屬湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的編夠文件中，嘉湖山莊屬湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，屬湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屬苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到滿滿而離以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至《嘉湖南》選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對屬湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [illegible]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 2018年8月18日

4707

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將屬湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的編夠文件中，嘉湖山莊屬湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，屬湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屬苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到滿滿而離以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至《嘉湖南》選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對屬湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [illegible]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 18-8-2018

4708

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將屬湖景第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊屬湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屬苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，屬湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊鄰係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對屬湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 任業揚
業戶簽名:
日期: 17.8.2018

4709

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將屬湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊屬湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屬苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，屬湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊鄰係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對屬湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 任業揚
業戶簽名:
日期: 19.8.2018

4710

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將屬湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊屬湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屬苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，屬湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊鄰係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對屬湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 任業揚
業戶簽名:
日期: 19.8.2018

4711

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將屬湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊屬湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屬苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，屬湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊鄰係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對屬湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 張家齊
業戶簽名:
日期: 17/8/2018

4712

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將屬湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊屬湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屬苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，屬湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊鄰係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對屬湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: CHEUNG WAI HUT
業戶簽名:
日期: 19-8-2018

4713

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將屬湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊屬湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屬苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，屬湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊鄰係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對屬湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 黃淑儀
業戶簽名:
日期: 19-8-2018

4714

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將屬湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊屬湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屬苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，屬湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊鄰係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對屬湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 黃志強
業戶簽名:
日期: 19-8-2018

4715

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將屬湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊屬湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屬苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，屬湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊鄰係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對屬湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 袁蘭
業戶簽名:
日期: 19-8-2018

4716

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將屬湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊屬湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屬苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，屬湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊鄰係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對屬湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 危智雅
業戶簽名:
日期: 17/8/2018

4717

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮歸先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，事要步行至《嘉湖南》選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘時程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 冼 惠 儀
業戶簽名: 冼惠儀
日期: 18 - 8 - 17

4718

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮歸先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，事要步行至《嘉湖南》選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘時程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: CHU HUEN
業戶簽名:
日期: 18/8/2018

4719

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮歸先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，事要步行至《嘉湖南》選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘時程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: FUNG SIU MEI
業戶簽名:
日期: 18/8/2018

4720

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮歸先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，事要步行至《嘉湖南》選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘時程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: WONG CHI KAN
業戶簽名:
日期: 18 - 8 - 2018

4721

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮歸先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，事要步行至《嘉湖南》選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘時程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: WONG CHI KAN
業戶簽名:
日期: 13 - 8 - 2018

4722

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮歸先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，事要步行至《嘉湖南》選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘時程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: TAM MAK KAM
業戶簽名:
日期: 16 - 08 - 2018

4723

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮歸先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，事要步行至《嘉湖南》選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘時程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 楊 弘 義 友
業戶簽名:
日期: 17/8/2018

4724

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮歸先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，事要步行至《嘉湖南》選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘時程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: WANG CHAK HO
業戶簽名:
日期: 13 - 8 - 2018

4725

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮歸先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，事要步行至《嘉湖南》選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘時程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的《嘉湖南》及《嘉湖北》選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳 3 鎮
業戶簽名:
日期: 17/8/18

4726

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漢視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到溝通困難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漢視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: [illegible]
業戶簽名:
日期: 19 Dec 18

4727

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漢視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到溝通困難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漢視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: Li Yan Lui
業戶簽名:
日期: 8-19-2018年

4728

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漢視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到溝通困難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漢視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: Tsang Pui Shing
業戶簽名:
日期: 8-19-2018年

4729

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漢視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到溝通困難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漢視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: Chu Wing Yuen
業戶簽名:
日期: 18/8/2018

4730

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漢視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到溝通困難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漢視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 馬嘉儀
業戶簽名:
日期: 19-8-2018

4731

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漢視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到溝通困難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漢視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳潔冰
業戶簽名:
日期:

4732

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漢視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到溝通困難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漢視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: Yu Chau Ping
業戶簽名:
日期: 18 Aug 18

4733

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漢視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到溝通困難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漢視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 黃莊婷
業戶簽名:
日期: 2018年 8月 15日

4734

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漢視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到溝通困難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漢視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: [illegible]
業戶簽名:
日期: 18.8.2018

4735

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmg@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座歸編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊鄰係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）票區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，最免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 伍偉基
業戶簽名:
日期: 17/8/18

4736

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmg@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座歸編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊鄰係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，最免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: CHIU SUE YI
業戶簽名:
日期: 18/8/2018

4737

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmg@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座歸編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊鄰係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，最免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 田宏民
業戶簽名: 田宏民
日期: 18-8-18

4738

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmg@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座歸編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊鄰係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，最免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳
業戶簽名:
日期: 2018.8.18

4739

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmg@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座歸編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊鄰係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，最免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名:
日期: 18/8/2018

4740

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmg@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座歸編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊鄰係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，最免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Pon Hang
業戶簽名:
日期: 18/08/2018

4741

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmg@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座歸編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊鄰係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，最免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名:
日期: 18/8/18

4742

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmg@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座歸編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊鄰係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，最免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名:
日期: 19/8/2018

4743

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmg@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座歸編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊鄰係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，最免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名:
日期: 19 Aug 2018

4744

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmvj@no.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊置湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，置湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有緊互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘時間，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對置湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳錢強
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 18.8.2018

4745

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmvj@no.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊置湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，置湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有緊互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘時間，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對置湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 何偉傑
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 2018 8月 18日

4746

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmvj@no.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊置湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，置湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有緊互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對置湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 何偉傑
業戶簽名: 黃海蟾
日期: 2018年 8月 18日

4747

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmvj@no.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊置湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，置湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有緊互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對置湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳嘉名
業戶簽名: 黃海蟾
日期: 2018 8月 18日

4748

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmvj@no.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊置湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，置湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有緊互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對置湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 黃朗豪
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 19/8/18

4749

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmvj@no.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊置湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，置湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有緊互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對置湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: [illegible]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 19-8-2018

4750

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmvj@no.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊置湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，置湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有緊互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對置湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 黃志強
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 8.19 2018

4751

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmvj@no.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊置湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，置湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有緊互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對置湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 梁志雄
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 18-8-18

4752

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmvj@no.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊置湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，置湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有緊互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對置湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳嘉名
業戶簽名: 陳嘉名
日期: 19-8-18

4753

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮錦先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: cacmq@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘時程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Koh Kuok Wah
業戶簽名:
日期: 20 Aug 2018

4754

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮錦先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: cacmq@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘時程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 曾綺雯
業戶簽名:
日期: 20. 8. 2018

4755

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮錦先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: cacmq@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘時程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 歐陽偉強
業戶簽名:
日期: 18. 8. 2018

4756

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮錦先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: cacmq@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘時程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 吳錦雄
業戶簽名:
日期: 17. 8. 2018

4757

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮錦先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: cacmq@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘時程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: FAN CHUI WAH
業戶簽名:
日期: 18/8/18

4758

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮錦先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: cacmq@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘時程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Yau Yu Yi
業戶簽名:
日期: 18-8-2018

4759

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮錦先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: cacmq@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘時程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 林偉雄
業戶簽名:
日期: 19/8/2018

4760

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮錦先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: cacmq@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘時程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Leung Kwun
業戶簽名:
日期: 19.8.18

4761

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮錦先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: cacmq@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘時程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Miki Lee
業戶簽名:
日期: 19-8-18

4762

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將屬湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊屬湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，屬湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊鄰係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對屬湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳金紅
業戶簽名: Iris
日期: 19-8-18

4763

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將屬湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊屬湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，屬湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊鄰係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對屬湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 梁家良
業戶簽名: Liang
日期: 19-8-2018

4764

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將屬湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊屬湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，屬湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊鄰係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對屬湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Sam Lai Kuen
業戶簽名: 黎麗娟
日期: 19-8-18

4765

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將屬湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊屬湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，屬湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊鄰係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對屬湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: CHAN Sze Wing
業戶簽名:
日期: 18-8-18

4766

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將屬湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊屬湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，屬湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊鄰係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對屬湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 張偉勤
業戶簽名:
日期: 19.8.18

4767

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將屬湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊屬湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，屬湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊鄰係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對屬湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 黃同傑
業戶簽名:
日期: 19-8-2018

4768

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將屬湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊屬湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，屬湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊鄰係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對屬湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 梁振南
業戶簽名:
日期: 19.8.2018

4769

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將屬湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊屬湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，屬湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊鄰係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對屬湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 楊振田
業戶簽名:
日期:

4770

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將屬湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊屬湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，屬湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊鄰係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對屬湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 黃振永
業戶簽名:
日期:

4771

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮歸先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座歸編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊置湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）歸編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。置湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關魚，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，最免對置湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: MR. TSE
業戶簽名:
日期: 19/8/2018

4772

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮歸先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座歸編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊置湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）歸編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。置湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關魚，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，最免對置湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名:
日期: 20.8.18

4773

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮歸先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座歸編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊置湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）歸編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。置湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關魚，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，最免對置湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 16/F
業戶簽名:
日期: 17-8-2018

4774

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮歸先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座歸編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊置湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）歸編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。置湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關魚，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，最免對置湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 黃漢光
業戶簽名:
日期: 18-8-18

4775

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮歸先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座歸編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊置湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）歸編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。置湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關魚，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，最免對置湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 張小偉
業戶簽名:
日期: 18-8-2018

4776

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮歸先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座歸編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊置湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）歸編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。置湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關魚，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，最免對置湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳傑生
業戶簽名:
日期: 17/8/2018

4777

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮歸先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座歸編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊置湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）歸編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。置湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關魚，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，最免對置湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 胡文輝
業戶簽名:
日期: 17/8/2018

4778

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮歸先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座歸編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊置湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）歸編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。置湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關魚，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，最免對置湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 張新陽
業戶簽名:
日期: 16/8/18

4779

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮歸先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座歸編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊置湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）歸編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。置湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關魚，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，最免對置湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳偉強
業戶簽名:
日期: 17.8.2018

4780

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27〈嘉湖北〉轉編入選區 M22〈嘉湖南〉的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屆苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至〈嘉湖南〉選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳愛舜
業戶簽名: 舜
日期: 17.8.218

4781

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27〈嘉湖北〉轉編入選區 M22〈嘉湖南〉的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屆苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至〈嘉湖南〉選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Kwai lok wah
業戶簽名:
日期: 18/8/2018

4782

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27〈嘉湖北〉轉編入選區 M22〈嘉湖南〉的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屆苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至〈嘉湖南〉選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 黃幸好
業戶簽名:
日期: 18-8-18

4783

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27〈嘉湖北〉轉編入選區 M22〈嘉湖南〉的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屆苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至〈嘉湖南〉選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 文偉強
業戶簽名:
日期: 18-8-2018

4784

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27〈嘉湖北〉轉編入選區 M22〈嘉湖南〉的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屆苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至〈嘉湖南〉選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 葉雪麗
業戶簽名:
日期: 18-8-2018

4785

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27〈嘉湖北〉轉編入選區 M22〈嘉湖南〉的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屆苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至〈嘉湖南〉選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: LEUNG CHUN HAN
業戶簽名:
日期: 19. Aug 2018

4786

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27〈嘉湖北〉轉編入選區 M22〈嘉湖南〉的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屆苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至〈嘉湖南〉選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Ta Chi Chong
業戶簽名:
日期: 19.8.18

4787

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27〈嘉湖北〉轉編入選區 M22〈嘉湖南〉的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屆苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至〈嘉湖南〉選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳嘉誌
業戶簽名:
日期: 19-08-2018

4788

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27〈嘉湖北〉轉編入選區 M22〈嘉湖南〉的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屆苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至〈嘉湖南〉選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 李[illegible]
業戶簽名:
日期: 19-8-2018

4789

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座劃入嘉湖南選區

就綠選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屆苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的選苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的選苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的選苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對選苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減損居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 方燦榮
業戶簽名: 方燦榮
日期: 2018年8月17日

4790

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座劃入嘉湖南選區

就綠選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屆苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的選苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的選苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的選苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對選苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減損居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 鄧美儀
業戶簽名: 鄧
日期: 18/8/2018

4791

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座劃入嘉湖南選區

就綠選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屆苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的選苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的選苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的選苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對選苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減損居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 張介若
業戶簽名: 張介若
日期: 18-8-18

4792

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座劃入嘉湖南選區

就綠選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屆苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的選苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的選苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的選苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對選苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減損居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 羅嘉儀
業戶簽名:
日期: 18/8/18

4793

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座劃入嘉湖南選區

就綠選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屆苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的選苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的選苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的選苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對選苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減損居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 鄧惠芝
業戶簽名: 鄧惠芝
日期: 17.8.2018

4794

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座劃入嘉湖南選區

就綠選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屆苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的選苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的選苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的選苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對選苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減損居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 鄧惠芝
業戶簽名:
日期: 17.8.2018

4795

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座劃入嘉湖南選區

就綠選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屆苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的選苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的選苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的選苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對選苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減損居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳雲鳴
業戶簽名:
日期: 17.8.2018

4796

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座劃入嘉湖南選區

就綠選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屆苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的選苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的選苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的選苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對選苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減損居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Law Wing Gie
業戶簽名:
日期: 18/8/2018

4797

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座劃入嘉湖南選區

就綠選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屆苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的選苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的選苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的選苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對選苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減損居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 鄭惠儀
業戶簽名:
日期: 18/8/2018

4798

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座劃入嘉湖南選區

就諮選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉納入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，隨免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 張某華
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 16-8-2018

4799

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座劃入嘉湖南選區

就諮選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉納入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，隨免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 鄭某如
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 18-8-2018

4800

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座劃入嘉湖南選區

就諮選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉納入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，隨免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 李某雄
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 16-08-2018

4801

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座劃入嘉湖南選區

就諮選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉納入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，隨免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 馬某雄
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 18/8/18

4802

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座劃入嘉湖南選區

就諮選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉納入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，隨免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 鄭某華
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 18/8/08

4803

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座劃入嘉湖南選區

就諮選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉納入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，隨免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 周某輝
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 18-8-18

4804

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座劃入嘉湖南選區

就諮選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉納入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，隨免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 黃某華
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 18-08-18

4805

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座劃入嘉湖南選區

就諮選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉納入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，隨免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 楊某華
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 18/8/2018

4806

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座劃入嘉湖南選區

就諮選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉納入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，隨免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: CHONG CHEE KWONG
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 19-8-2018

4807

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 周婉儀
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 18-8-2018

4808

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [signature]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 18/8/18

4809

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: SHIN CAI CHU
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 17-8-18

4810

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [signature]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 2018.8.17

4811

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: HEUNG YIK CHEUNG
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 14.8.18

4812

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [signature]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 18/8/2018

4813

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Say Wai Chi
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 18/8/2018

4814

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: LAU WAI HANG
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 18/8/2018

4815

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [signature]
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 18/8/2018

4816

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@eo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將屬湖居第一座至第三座劃入嘉湖南選區

就選舉事務管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊屬湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。屬湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的會選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，勢免對屬湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Kwan Pik Ha.
業戶簽名:
日期: 18.8.18

4817

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@eo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將屬湖居第一座至第三座劃入嘉湖南選區

就選舉事務管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊屬湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。屬湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的會選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，勢免對屬湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [illegible]
業戶簽名:
日期: 18/8/2018

4818

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@eo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將屬湖居第一座至第三座劃入嘉湖南選區

就選舉事務管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊屬湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。屬湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的會選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，勢免對屬湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [illegible]
業戶簽名:
日期: 18/8/2018

4819

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@eo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將屬湖居第一座至第三座劃入嘉湖南選區

就選舉事務管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊屬湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。屬湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的會選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，勢免對屬湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [illegible]
業戶簽名:
日期: 18/8/2018

4820

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@eo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將屬湖居第一座至第三座劃入嘉湖南選區

就選舉事務管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊屬湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。屬湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的會選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，勢免對屬湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [illegible]
業戶簽名:
日期: 17-8-2018

4821

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@eo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將屬湖居第一座至第三座劃入嘉湖南選區

就選舉事務管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊屬湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。屬湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的會選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，勢免對屬湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [illegible]
業戶簽名:
日期: 17-8-2018

4822

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@eo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將屬湖居第一座至第三座劃入嘉湖南選區

就選舉事務管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊屬湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。屬湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的會選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，勢免對屬湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [illegible]
業戶簽名:
日期: 14/8/2018

4823

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@eo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將屬湖居第一座至第三座劃入嘉湖南選區

就選舉事務管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊屬湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。屬湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的會選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，勢免對屬湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [illegible]
業戶簽名:
日期: 14/8/2018

4824

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@eo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將屬湖居第一座至第三座劃入嘉湖南選區

就選舉事務管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊屬湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。屬湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的會選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，勢免對屬湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: [illegible]
業戶簽名:
日期: 14/8/2018

4825

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmo@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的結詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊鄰係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至〈嘉湖南〉選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減損居民的投票意欲。最後，若〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 黃必茵
業戶簽名: 黃必茵
日期: 17-8-2018

4826

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmo@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的結詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊鄰係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至〈嘉湖南〉選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減損居民的投票意欲。最後，若〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 黃志麟
業戶簽名:
日期: 17-8-2018

4827

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmo@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的結詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊鄰係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至〈嘉湖南〉選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減損居民的投票意欲。最後，若〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 劉慶安
業戶簽名: 劉慶安
日期: 18-8-2018

4828

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmo@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的結詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊鄰係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至〈嘉湖南〉選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減損居民的投票意欲。最後，若〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 李錦光
業戶簽名:
日期: 18-8-2018

4829

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmo@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的結詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊鄰係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至〈嘉湖南〉選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減損居民的投票意欲。最後，若〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Kwong Chung Ming
業戶簽名:
日期: 2018-8-14

4830

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmo@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的結詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊鄰係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至〈嘉湖南〉選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減損居民的投票意欲。最後，若〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 簡啟恩
業戶簽名:
日期: 2018. 8.19.

4831

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmo@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的結詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊鄰係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至〈嘉湖南〉選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減損居民的投票意欲。最後，若〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 楊華林
業戶簽名:
日期: 2018. 8.19.

4832

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmo@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的結詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊鄰係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至〈嘉湖南〉選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減損居民的投票意欲。最後，若〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 胡偉光
業戶簽名:
日期: 2018. 8.19.

4833

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmo@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的結詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊鄰係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至〈嘉湖南〉選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減損居民的投票意欲。最後，若〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 胡偉民
業戶簽名:
日期: 2018. 8.19.

4834

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊置湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屆苑的整體性及漢視居民實際需求。置湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對置湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維數平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漢視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 陸倩強
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 18-8-2018

4835

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊置湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屆苑的整體性及漢視居民實際需求。置湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對置湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維數平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漢視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: CHAN PAK HONG
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 18-8-2018

4836

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊置湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屆苑的整體性及漢視居民實際需求。置湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對置湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維數平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漢視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: CHAN LAI HUNG
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 18-8-2018

4837

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊置湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屆苑的整體性及漢視居民實際需求。置湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對置湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維數平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漢視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 鄺俊園
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 16-8-2018

4838

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊置湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屆苑的整體性及漢視居民實際需求。置湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對置湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維數平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漢視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 周秀儀
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 16/8/2018

4839

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊置湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屆苑的整體性及漢視居民實際需求。置湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對置湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維數平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漢視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 鍾智成
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 17-8-2018

4840

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊置湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屆苑的整體性及漢視居民實際需求。置湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對置湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維數平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漢視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 陸倩強
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 17 Aug 2018

4841

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊置湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屆苑的整體性及漢視居民實際需求。置湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對置湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維數平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漢視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: TAK WING TSUNG
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: Aug. 18. 2018

4842

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacesg@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊置湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屆苑的整體性及漢視居民實際需求。置湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對置湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維數平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漢視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: H.S. IP
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: Aug 18, 2018

4843

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮聯先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@rro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖西選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，勢免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

[redacted]

嘉湖山莊
業戶姓名: 陳 瑞 城
業戶簽名:
日期: 17-8-18

4844

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮聯先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@rro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求，嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，勢免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

[redacted]

嘉湖山莊
業戶姓名: 林 雅 璇
業戶簽名: 林雅璇
日期: 18. 8 - 2018

4845

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮聯先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@rro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，勢免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

[redacted]

嘉湖山莊
業戶姓名: 歐添意
業戶簽名:
日期: 14-8-2018

4846

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮聯先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@rro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，勢免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

[redacted]

嘉湖山莊
業戶姓名: 李美芝
業戶簽名:
日期: 18 AUG 2018

4847

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮聯先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@rro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，勢免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

[redacted]

嘉湖山莊
業戶姓名: Tang Hing Leung
業戶簽名:
日期: 17/8/2018

4848

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮聯先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@rro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，勢免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

[redacted]

嘉湖山莊
業戶姓名: Lam Kam Chuen
業戶簽名:
日期: 18-8-2018

4849

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮聯先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@rro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，勢免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

[redacted]

嘉湖山莊
業戶姓名: CHEUNG Hing Lun
業戶簽名:
日期: 18/8/18

4850

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮聯先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@rro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，勢免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

[redacted]

嘉湖山莊
業戶姓名: LEUNG Kwok Lei
業戶簽名:
日期: 18-8-2018

4851

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮聯先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@rro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，勢免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

[redacted]

嘉湖山莊
業戶姓名: CHAN Chun Ho
業戶簽名:
日期: 18/8/2018

4852

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮卿先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacosq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊置湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。置湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯製冷機，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至〈嘉湖南〉選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對置湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 周[illegible]
業戶簽名:
日期: 18-8-2018

4853

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮卿先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacosq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊置湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。置湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯製冷機，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至〈嘉湖南〉選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對置湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 馮[illegible]
業戶簽名:
日期: 2018-8-17

4854

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮卿先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacosq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊置湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。置湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯製冷機，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至〈嘉湖南〉選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對置湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Hui Kwok Yin
業戶簽名:
日期: 18-8-2018

4855

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮卿先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacosq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊置湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。置湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯製冷機，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至〈嘉湖南〉選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對置湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 馮某[illegible]
業戶簽名:
日期: 18-8-2018

4856

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮卿先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacosq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊置湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。置湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯製冷機，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至〈嘉湖南〉選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對置湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: MA PO YEE
業戶簽名:
日期: 18-08-18

4857

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮卿先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacosq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊置湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。置湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯製冷機，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至〈嘉湖南〉選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對置湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Wong Man Lai
業戶簽名:
日期: 19/8/2018

4858

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮卿先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacosq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊置湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。置湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯製冷機，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至〈嘉湖南〉選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對置湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: CHAN KIN SANG
業戶簽名:
日期: 18/8/18

4859

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮卿先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacosq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊置湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。置湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯製冷機，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至〈嘉湖南〉選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對置湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: YUEN HATN KEE
業戶簽名:
日期: 17/08/2018

4860

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮卿先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacosq@ro.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊置湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。置湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯製冷機，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至〈嘉湖南〉選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對置湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: CHAN LAI PUN
業戶簽名:
日期: 17/8/2018

4861

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊置湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屆苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。置湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減損居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的會議議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對置湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 黎國強
業戶簽名:
日期: 18/8/2018

4862

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊置湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屆苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。置湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減損居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的會議議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對置湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 朱雅榮
業戶簽名: 叶教芹
日期: 18.8.2018

4863

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊置湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屆苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。置湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減損居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的會議議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對置湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Linda Yuen Mark
業戶簽名:
日期: 18/8/18

4864

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊置湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屆苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。置湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減損居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的會議議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對置湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 梁鴻強
業戶簽名:
日期: 18-8-2018

4865

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊置湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屆苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。置湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減損居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的會議議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對置湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 周錦全
業戶簽名:
日期: 18-8-2018

4866

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊置湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屆苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。置湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減損居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的會議議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對置湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Lam Wai Lun
業戶簽名:
日期: 18/8/2018

4867

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊置湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屆苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。置湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減損居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的會議議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對置湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 楊浩生
業戶簽名:
日期: 19.8.2018

4868

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊置湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屆苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。置湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減損居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的會議議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對置湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 楊浩生
業戶簽名:
日期: 19.8.2018

4869

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊置湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屆苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。置湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減損居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的會議議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對置湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Yuen Ching Kei
業戶簽名:
日期: 18 Aug 2018

4870

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將屬湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊屬湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。屬湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，勢免對屬湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 楊某強
業戶簽名:
日期: 19/8/18

4871

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將屬湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊屬湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。屬湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，勢免對屬湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 許某強
業戶簽名:
日期: 18/8/18

4872

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將屬湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊屬湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。屬湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，勢免對屬湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 黃某強
業戶簽名:
日期: 19/8/2018

4873

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將屬湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊屬湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。屬湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，勢免對屬湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名:
業戶簽名:
日期: 19 8 2018

4874

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將屬湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊屬湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。屬湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，勢免對屬湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 林[illegible]
業戶簽名:
日期: 19 8 2018

4875

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將屬湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊屬湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。屬湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，勢免對屬湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 鄭某偉
業戶簽名: 鄭某偉
日期: 19/8/2018

4876

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將屬湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊屬湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。屬湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，勢免對屬湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 許[illegible]
業戶簽名:
日期: 19-7-2018

4877

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將屬湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊屬湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。屬湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，勢免對屬湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 蘇[illegible]
業戶簽名:
日期: 2018.8.18

4878

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將屬湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊屬湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。屬湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，勢免對屬湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 任[illegible]
業戶簽名:
日期: 19-8-2018

4879

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉納入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的經擬文件中，嘉湖山莊置湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉納入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。置湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，雖免對置湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 鄧特丽
業戶簽名:
日期: 19/8/2018

4880

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉納入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的經擬文件中，嘉湖山莊置湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉納入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。置湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，雖免對置湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: FOO I SIN SHING
業戶簽名:
日期: 19th AUG, 2018

4881

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉納入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的經擬文件中，嘉湖山莊置湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉納入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。置湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，雖免對置湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 余倒成
業戶簽名:
日期: 19-8-18

4882

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉納入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的經擬文件中，嘉湖山莊置湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉納入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。置湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，雖免對置湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: LO SIU FAN
業戶簽名:
日期: 2018-8-19

4883

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉納入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的經擬文件中，嘉湖山莊置湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉納入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。置湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，雖免對置湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 馬鳳英
業戶簽名:
日期: 19-8-18

4884

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉納入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的經擬文件中，嘉湖山莊置湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉納入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。置湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，雖免對置湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: CHAN KWA FUNG
業戶簽名:
日期: 19/8/18

4885

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉納入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的經擬文件中，嘉湖山莊置湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉納入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。置湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，雖免對置湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: LAU KWOK CHUNG
業戶簽名:
日期: 18.8.2018

4886

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉納入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的經擬文件中，嘉湖山莊置湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉納入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。置湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，雖免對置湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: LAM YUEN YI
業戶簽名:
日期: 18.8.2018

4887

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@mo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將置湖居第一座至第三座轉納入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的經擬文件中，嘉湖山莊置湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉納入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。置湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯繫關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆，選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見，此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲，最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，雖免對置湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 蔡輝
業戶簽名: 蔡輝
日期: 19-8-2018

4888

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 朱文英
業戶簽名:
日期: 2018-8-19

4889

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳永泰
業戶簽名:
日期: 19.8.2018

4890

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: Sou Ka Chu
業戶簽名:
日期: 20/8/2018

4891

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: CHOI CHAN
業戶簽名:
日期: Aug 19 2018

4892

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 林志安
業戶簽名:
日期: 17-8-2018

4893

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: XuWen Ying
業戶簽名:
日期: 2018/8/18

4894

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: WONG SAU PUNG
業戶簽名:
日期: 18/08/2018

4895

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: 蔡永華
業戶簽名:
日期: 18-8-2018

4896

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊嘉湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及滿視居民實際需求。嘉湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯緊關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對嘉湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及滿視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊[redacted]
業戶姓名: Tso Oi Lin (Rose)
業戶簽名:
日期: 19.8.2018

4897

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮錦先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@eac.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將屬湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊屬湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屆苑的整體性及漢視居民實際需求。屬湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屬苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而離以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對屬湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漢視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: LAU KA WING
業戶簽名:
日期: 19-8-2018

4898

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮錦先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@eac.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將屬湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊屬湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屆苑的整體性及漢視居民實際需求。屬湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屬苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而離以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對屬湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漢視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 梁錦新
業戶簽名:
日期: 19-8-2018

4899

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮錦先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@eac.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將屬湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊屬湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屆苑的整體性及漢視居民實際需求。屬湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屬苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而離以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對屬湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漢視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: LAW YUK TAK
業戶簽名:
日期: 8月19日 2018年

4900

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮錦先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@eac.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將屬湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊屬湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屆苑的整體性及漢視居民實際需求。屬湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屬苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而離以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對屬湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漢視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

Remark: I denied such arrangement and it is obviously confused for the election. No matter what an authority should adopt ONE CLEAR basis instead of repeatedly "North" and "South" Regional views. thanks!

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: Jimmy chan
業戶簽名:
日期: 18th August 2018

4901

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮錦先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@eac.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將屬湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊屬湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屆苑的整體性及漢視居民實際需求。屬湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屬苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而離以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對屬湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漢視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 梁 [illegible]
業戶簽名:
日期: 18/8/2018

4902

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮錦先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacmq@eac.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將屬湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊屬湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屆苑的整體性及漢視居民實際需求。屬湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屬苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而離以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至（嘉湖南）選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對屬湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口維致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漢視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的（嘉湖南）及（嘉湖北）選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊 [redacted]
業戶姓名: 陳鎮輝
業戶簽名:
日期: 18.8.2018

4903

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將嘉湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至〈嘉湖南〉選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊麗湖居 [redacted] 室
業戶姓名: 趙秀媛
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 18/8/18

嘉湖山莊麗湖居 [redacted] 室
業戶姓名: 趙嘉希
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 18/8/18

4904

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

選舉管理委員會
電郵地址: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
傳真號碼: 2511 1682

馮主席：

反對將麗湖居第一座至第三座轉編入嘉湖南選區

就據選舉管理委員會於 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區的諮詢文件中，嘉湖山莊麗湖居的第一座至第三座將由選區 M27（嘉湖北）轉編入選區 M22（嘉湖南）的安排表示非常不滿。

本人認為選管會的做法，完全沒有顧及本屋苑的整體性及漠視居民實際需求。麗湖居為一個統一而不可分割的屋苑，每座物業均有雙互聯雙關係，絕不單以平均選區人口為由而強行將一個完整的屋苑分拆。選管會將一個只有 10 幢住宅的屋苑拆為兩個不同選區，不但對屋苑行政及管理造成混亂，居民亦會感到混淆而難以向所屬議員反映民生意見。此外，一旦落實有關選區分界後，對第一座至第三座居民投票時亦造成嚴重不便，單要步行至〈嘉湖南〉選區的投票站，便要花上超過 30 分鐘路程，大大減退居民的投票意欲。最後，若〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉的當選議員的政治立場或理念有所不同時，難免對麗湖居造成極度分化，更會令居民陷入一個政治化的困局。

對於選管會要將選區預計人口達致平衡，卻採取一個欠人性化，未有切實評估實際環境及漠視居民利益的做法，本人不會接納，並強烈要求選管會維持現時沿用的〈嘉湖南〉及〈嘉湖北〉選區分界的安排。

嘉湖山莊麗湖居 [redacted] 室
業戶姓名: 趙嘉希
業戶簽名: [signature]
日期: 18/8/18

21-AUG-2018 09:34 98% P.001

4905

敬啟者:

本人反對2019年暫定元朗區議會選區範圍，有關北朗選區修改建議， 不應將該處的私樓劃走。
原因:該處很本是住宅區，人口及結構成分沒有改變。
建議: 應從元朗中心選區將元朗安寧路劃入東頭選區或元龍選區分拆為二。

北朗居民陳東上
11.8.2018

4906(1)

有關2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界-元朗模範鄉 21/08/2018 10:25
From: [redacted]
To: "eacenq@reo.gov.hk" <eacenq@reo.gov.hk>
Please respond to [redacted]

致:選舉管理委員會秘書處

有關2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界-元朗模範鄉，謹附上由以下協會及居民就模範鄉選區分界表達表達強烈反對的信件，

附件(1):「新界元朗逢吉模範榮基華盛四村街坊互助會」(四村會)
附件(2):「模範鄉居民協會」
附件(3): 模範鄉居民聯署
附件(4): 四村會及模範鄉居民協會全人的居民聯署

羅志明
(四村會、模範鄉居民協會主席)
TEL: [redacted]

4906(2)

有關2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界-元朗模範鄉 21/08/2018 10:28
From: [redacted]
To: "eacenq@reo.gov.hk" <eacenq@reo.gov.hk>
Please respond to [redacted]

3 Attachments

附件1-四村會.pdf 附件2-模範鄉居民協會.pdf 附件3-模範鄉居民聯署.pdf

致：選舉管理委員會秘書處

有關2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界-元朗模範鄉，謹附上由以下協會及居民就模範鄉選區分界表達表達強烈反對的信件。

附件(1):「新界元朗逢吉模範榮基華盛四村街坊互助會」(四村會)

附件(2):「模範鄉居民協會」

附件(3): 模範鄉居民聯署

羅志明

(四村會、模範鄉居民協會主席)

TEL:[redacted]

4906(3)

香港灣仔 (郵寄及傳真: 2511 1682)
港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會秘書處

敬啟者:

有關 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界臨時建議
強烈要求保留模範鄉納入「錦田」選區

本會「新界元朗逢吉模範榮基華盛四村街坊互助會」(四村會)由逢吉鄉、模範鄉、榮基村及華盛村在 1973 年合組成立，本會成立目的為促進四個村落的地區發展及為村民爭取福利。多年來本會與錦田鄉事委員會及「錦田」選區民選議員維持良好溝通及合作，村民透過本會及「錦田」選區民選議員反映意見，協助村民解決不少地區性問題。倘若將模範鄉剔除於「錦田」選區之外，等同破壞四村會的完整性及四個村落之間的緊密聯繫。

而且，模範鄉向來屬於錦田鄉界範圍，若然由錦田鄉以外的區議員處理模範鄉的地區事務，在處理鄉郊事務時容易出現意見分歧的情況，或會引起不必要的糾紛和衝突，甚至會損害到居民的利益。

因此，我們強烈要求將模範鄉保留在「錦田」選區內，維持選區與鄉界範圍的一致性，方便協調居民之間的溝通和保持緊密的聯繫。

如有任何問題，請致電[redacted]與羅志明先生聯絡。

新界元朗
逢吉 模範 榮基 華盛
四村街坊互助會有限公司

新界元朗
逢吉模範榮基華盛
四村街坊互助會
羅志明 主席

逢吉鄉
蔡佩玲 村代表

2018 年 8 月 2 日

4906(4)

香港灣仔 (郵寄及傳真: 2511 1682)
港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會秘書處

敬啟者:

有關 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界臨時建議
強烈要求保留模範鄉納入「錦田」選區

本會「模範鄉居民協會」成立多年，為村民爭取福利及促進模範鄉的地區發展。模範鄉向來納入「錦田」選區，本會亦一直與錦田鄉事委員會及「錦田」選區民選議員保持緊密聯繫，本會多年來代表村民向區議員反映地區事務意見、共同協力改善地區環境及協助村民解決不少地區性問題。本會認為將模範鄉保留在「錦田」選區內，維持由熟悉本區的錦田鄉事委員會及「錦田」選區議員去處理模範鄉的地區事務較為妥善。

根據元朗六鄉村界範圍，模範鄉是納入錦田鄉界範圍，若將模範鄉剔除於「錦田」選區之外，劃入另一選區「新田」，原屬錦田鄉和錦田選區的模範鄉居民將會變成「錦田鄉的居民，但非錦田選區的居民」，令居民產生混亂。此外，居民現時的登記地址為錦田模範鄉，居民或需就選區改變而要更改登記地址，此舉將引起居民的不便。

我們強烈要求將模範鄉保留在「錦田」選區內，避免引起居民不便和不必要的混亂。

如有任何問題，請致電[redacted]與羅志明先生聯絡。

模範鄉居民協會
羅志明 主席

逢吉鄉
蔡佩玲 村代表

2018 年 8 月 2 日

4906(5)

香港灣仔 (郵寄及傳真: 2511 1682)
港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會秘書處

敬啟者:

有關 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界臨時建議
強烈要求保留模範鄉納入「錦田」選區

我們是模範鄉的居民，一直都是屬於「錦田」選區的居民，多年來與錦田鄉事委員會及「錦田」選區民選議員保持緊密聯繫和溝通。對於選區分界一事，政府事前完全沒有咨詢本鄉居民的意見，知悉模範鄉在來屆區議會選區分界被劃入另一選區，我們感到震驚及無奈，且反對劃入新田區。

一直以來，我們在地區事務上與「模範鄉居民協會」及「新界元朗逢吉模範榮基華盛四村街坊互助會」維持良好關係，我們與逢吉鄉、榮基村及華盛村亦屬友好村落，共同為社區凝聚力及地區事務努力。若將模範鄉剔除於「錦田」選區之外，將破壞四個村落之間緊密的聯繫。另外，我們亦憂慮份屬「新田鄉」的民選議員會否忽略我等份屬「錦田鄉」村民的利益。

因此，我們強烈要求將模範鄉保留在「錦田」選區內，維持選區與村界範圍的一致性，方便協調居民之間的溝通和保持緊密的聯繫。

如有任何問題，請致電[redacted]與羅志明先生聯絡。

模範鄉居民 聯署

2018 年 8 月 2 日

模範鄉居民聯署簽名:

羅志明 梁月鼎 梁嘉慧
鄺偉陽 鄺偉舜
羅志文

4906(6)

模範鄉居民聯署簽名:

去永樂		
吳綺雯		
吳綺敏		
溫銀金		
周琼		
謝國金		
陳秀好		
楊綺強		
張志明		
梁月秋		
陳伯證		
黃源明		

4906(7)

模範鄉居民聯署簽名:

譚[illegible]	黃玉華	黃惠珍
黃鴻華	李建芳	李華輝
陳錦華	黃潤泰	林德民
周燕芬	陳惠桃	陳佩雯
陳振創	莫裔彬	潘惠
陳振璋	蕭[illegible]遠	莫錦泉
陳振麟	蕭炳耀	張智軒
賴國祥	蕭本忠	楊[illegible]儀
鄒詠珊	蕭秉權	張鳳珍
霍偉基	蕭建業	楊俊傑
邱雲清	黃笑群	黎國蓮
霍綺芬	李慶鴻	陳溢其
霍啟東	陳佩茵	鄧[illegible]而

4906(8)

模範鄉居民聯署簽名:

方金生	模[illegible]鄉	[illegible]
郭麗娜	蕭[illegible]倫	陳之豪
方業華	陳[illegible]樊	鄧[illegible]
方業城	陳潮健	方[illegible]生
	陳[illegible]芳	金城
	鄧[illegible]然	張坤仇
鄧佩玲	張迪生	張[illegible]然
陳建昌	陳[illegible]根	周笑進
張[illegible]興	陳[illegible]賢	陳建明
袁珮君	袁[illegible]於	趙[illegible]珊
黃伏財	張金福	張[illegible]雄
張健平	賴[illegible]輝	楊[illegible]輝

4906(9)

張[illegible]興	何偉達	
方東錦賢	鄭[illegible]新	
陳[illegible]		
陳[illegible]明		
陳建聰		
黃珮君		
周笑進		
徐慧		
劉正生		
劉卓楠		
劉[illegible]彰		
何[illegible]森		

模範鄉居民聯署簽名:

4906(10)

模範鄉居民聯署簽名:

梁月開	鄧[illegible]	盧潤如
大連發	劉紹基	羅泉權
[illegible]	張梓豪	何明芳
張金勝	李燕珍	鄧詠賢
張志明	[illegible]	黃的葉
李竹卿	謝冬妹	黃鳳恩
梁成煌	黃蓮華	

4906(11)

Re: 有關2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界-元朗模範鄉 21/08/2018 10:30
From: [redacted]
To: "cacenq@reo.gov.hk" <cacenq@reo.gov.hk>
Please respond to [redacted]

1 Attachment

附件4-四村會及模範鄉居民協會全人的居民聯署.pdf

附件(4): 四村會及模範鄉居民協會全人的居民聯署

[redacted] 在 2018年8月21日星期二 上午 10:28:31 [GMT+8] 寫道：

致:選舉管理委員會秘書處

有關2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界-元朗模範鄉，謹附上由以下協會及居民就模範鄉選區分界表達表達強烈反對的信件。

附件(1):「新界元朗逢吉模範榮基華盛四村街坊互助會」(四村會)

附件(2):「模範鄉居民協會」

附件(3): 模範鄉居民聯署

羅志明

(四村會、模範鄉居民協會主席)

TEL: [redacted]

4906(12)

致:選舉管理委員會（選管會）

<u>強烈反對將模範鄉由 M37 選區轉編入 M36 選區事宜</u>

本會現在就貴署將模範鄉由選區 M37(錦田)原區界內的模範鄉轉編入鄰近的選區 M36(新田)的事宜，提出強烈反對。

模範鄉一直屬於錦田區範圍內, 多年來都是由錦田鄉鄉事委員會 及錦田區區議員跟進和處理本鄉的大小事務，包括人口老化、道路設施的維修和增建、環境衛生、路陷水浸等大小問題，關顧關心，親力親為，為村民服務，工作無間。

現在貴署將模範鄉撥入新田選區, 新田區的範圍大而廣闊，本村細小，實不可能得到足夠的關注。而且本村合資格選民大多數是上了年紀的長者，選舉時根本無法自行到達新田進行投票，請官員多多體恤民情，不要為難諸多行動不便的長者。

為此我們希望貴署能收成成命，將模範鄉由 M36(新田) 選區更改回去 M37(錦田) 選區。

隨件附上村民簽名，以表訴求。

新界元朗
逢吉 模範 榮基 華盛
四村街坊互助會有限公司

四村街坊互助委員會謹上

2018年8月2日

4906(13)

致:選舉管理委員會（選管會）

有關 貴署將模範鄉由選區 M37(錦田)原區界內的模範鄉轉編入鄰近的選區 M36(新田)。本會模範鄉居民協會，強烈反對。

模範鄉屬於錦田區範圍內，一直都是錦田鄉鄉事委員會，及錦田區區議員跟進處理村內大小事務，人口老化、道路設施、環境衛生、街燈路陷、蚊患水浸.....林林總總問題關顧關心，親力親為，為村民服務，工作無間。

現在 貴署將模範鄉撥入新田選區，新田區範圍大位置廣闊，本村細可能得到關注處理? 本村人數雖然不多，合資格選民人數大多數是上了年紀老人家及上班一族。老人家行動不便，如何去新田那麼遙遠投一票。想去都困難，簡直不何理喻?

希望 貴署能將模範鄉由 M36(新田) 選區更改去 M37(錦田) 選區。

隨件附上村民簽名，以表訴求。

感謝!

模範鄉居民協會全人
2018年8月2日

4906(14)

姓名	住址
羅志明	[redacted]
梁月鏡	[redacted]
蔡佩珊	[redacted]
黎華峰	[redacted]
張慧康	[redacted]
黃潔珊	[redacted]
鄭潤聲	[redacted]
鍾彩蓮	[redacted]
盧潤忠	[redacted]
黃錦忠	[redacted]
余程遠	[redacted]
梁鑫	[redacted]
鍾志明	[redacted]
羅家權	[redacted]
潘詠賢	[redacted]
張子揚	[redacted]
梁嘉鏡	[redacted]
鍾惠洪	[redacted]
羅家強	[redacted]
黃炳榮	[redacted]

4906(15)

姓名	住址
潘素楚	[redacted]
楊紹強	〃
鄭澤成	〃
黃西平	〃
鄭超	[redacted]
李根林	[redacted]
沈厚誼	[redacted]
李彩紫	[redacted]
李啟連	[redacted]
梁成炘	[redacted]
曹蓉華	[redacted]
林明珍	[redacted]
鍾順全	[redacted]
黃慕儀	[redacted]
羅衍江	[redacted]
黃明健成	[redacted]
葉美琼	[redacted]
潘錦亮	[redacted]
黃志均	[redacted]
潘桂賢	[redacted]

4906(16)

姓名	住址
鄭洪	[redacted]
陳連發	[redacted]
袁珮昌	[redacted]
張廣興	[redacted]
陳建昌	[redacted]
陳創賢	[redacted]
陳子里明	[redacted]
譚志强	[redacted]
黃清華	[redacted]
陳錦華	[redacted]
周慈芬	〃
陳振創	〃
陳振強	〃
陳振龍	〃
賴國祥	[redacted]
鄧詠明	[redacted]
黃桂才	[redacted]
張鳳連	[redacted]
陳淦其	[redacted]

4906(17)

姓名	住址 [redacted]
李紹祥	[redacted]
何祖強	〃
霍偉基	[redacted]
鄧雲清	[redacted]
霍綺芳	[redacted]
霍啟東	[redacted]
黃玉霞	[redacted]
李建芳	[redacted]
莫富明	[redacted]
黃閩泰	[redacted]
蕭柳雅	[redacted]
蕭志強	[redacted]
蕭遠樂	[redacted]
黃文輝	[redacted]
蕭本熙	[redacted]
蕭秉雅	[redacted]
張日勤	[redacted]
黃玉明	同上
黃桂才	同上
孔惠芳	同上

4906(18)

姓名	住址
陳培發	[redacted]
陳潮傑	〃
陳潤芳	〃
鄧春照	〃
鄧聚	〃
方秀芳	〃
全城	〃
莫康福	[redacted]
張坤作	
鄧佩珍	
張迪生	
張鈞鵬	
黃欣妹	[redacted]
張健平	〃
賴細娣	〃
徐馨	[redacted]
劉正生	
劉卓輝	
劉永乾	

4906(19)

姓名	住址
林有譽	[redacted]
邁開國	[redacted]
潘永權	[redacted]
潘健培	[redacted]
潘一家	[redacted]
潘敬倫	[redacted]
潘耀雪	[redacted]
潘賢敏	[redacted]
鍾嘉恩	[redacted]
方金才	[redacted]
郭麗娟	[redacted]
何昌盛	[redacted]
楊玉姮	[redacted]
肖業伸	[redacted]
余永錦	[redacted]
蘇國[illegible]	[redacted]
陳志雲	〃
張[illegible]	[redacted]
趙詠[illegible]	[redacted]
張金[illegible]	〃

4906(20)

姓名	住址
黃惠珍	[redacted]
陳佩茵	〃
李[illegible]	〃
李華邦	〃
林德民	〃
陳佩雯	〃
莫錦泉	[redacted]
梁燒,	〃
吳永傑	[redacted]
溫銀金	〃
吳綺雯	〃
吳嘉欣	〃
周[illegible]	[redacted]
潘素梅	[redacted]
梅紹強	〃
張志[illegible]	[redacted]
謝國金	[redacted]
黃源明	[redacted]
黃[illegible]	〃
黃[illegible]	[redacted]

4906(21)

姓名	住址
何[illegible]	[redacted]
何[illegible]	
朱[illegible]	
[illegible]少芳	[redacted]
黃瀚[illegible]	[redacted]

4907

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups

支持成立天水圍區議會

21/08/2018 13:33

From: [redacted]
To: "eacenq@reo.gov.hk" <eacenq@reo.gov.hk>
Please respond to [redacted]

我（林生）本人在內的天水圍及洪水橋等市民都支持成立天水圍區議會，希望你們考慮我們的想法，謝謝。

傳送自 Android 上的 Yahoo Mail

4908

瑞心樓互助委員會

地址：新界天水圍天瑞邨瑞心樓地下 ☎:2180 6108 Fax：2180 6108

選舉管理委員會

馮驊法官台鑒

總選舉事務主任黃思文先生

有關 選區的分界投票事宜

本會收到天瑞邨瑞心樓街坊投訴，表示選管會分界投票出現擾民之嫌?立法會選擇被分配 M24 天瑞區，(瑞龍樓，瑞心樓，瑞泉樓，瑞意樓，瑞勝樓,瑞國樓)，而投票站設在東華三院姚達之紀念小學，對於瑞心樓街坊非常方便，投票意欲增加，反而到區議會選舉卻將瑞心樓分去瑞愛區，投票站設於天水圍社區中心，路程太遠令投票意欲下降。

其二，根據選管會公佈資料顯示元朗區 M23 瑞愛 Shui Oi 17 756 +6.97%，而 M24 瑞華 Shui Wah 14 960 -9.87%，兩區人數相差 2796 人。第二不公 M23 瑞愛區分九座，(愛潮閣，愛濤閣，瑞業樓，瑞林樓，瑞滿樓，瑞豐樓，瑞輝樓，瑞財樓，瑞心樓)，而 M24 瑞華區只得七座，(瑞龍樓，瑞泉樓，瑞意樓，瑞勝樓,瑞國樓，華祐樓，華萃樓)，根據以上分析有欠公正。

特此，本會專函 貴會，謹請 貴會將於 2019 年區議會選區分界時，一定要尊重選民投票意欲，將瑞心樓歸回立法會選區分配，讓瑞心樓街坊重回同一投票站投票權利，以確保瑞心樓街坊不會因不同投票站而影響到行使選民權利與責任。

有勞之處，謹此先謝。如有任何查詢，請致電 21806108 與本會聯絡。

瑞心樓互助委員會
麥雅玲，李鍬燕，黎旺成
黃美華，黃瑞雪，黃子賢
陳德仁，全體委員 謹啟

2018 年 8 月 17 日

4909(1)

新民黨 New People's Party

2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議
元朗區議會
就元龍(M04)、十八鄉北(M10)、十八鄉東(M11)、十八鄉西(M12)及錦田(M37)選區劃界提出建議

背景資料

1. 在區議會一般選舉之前，選舉管理委員會（下稱「選管會」）須根據《選舉管理委員會條例》(第 541 章)，就區議會選區分界向行政長官提出建議。
2. 根據立法會政制事務委員會的文件，預計第六屆區議會選舉將於 2019 年 11 月舉行。根據政府資料，到 2019 年，全港合共有 452 席民選議席和 27 席當然議席，當中屯門區議會的民選議席增加 2 席。
3. 根據選舉管理委員會（下稱「選管會」）的法定準則及工作原則，2019 年區議會選舉選區的標準人口基數為 16,599 人，選管會須確保該選區的人口偏離標準人口基數不超出 25%。換而言之，每個選區的人口數字在許可幅度應介乎於 12,449 人至 20,749 人之間。
4. 在人口數字外，選管會在制訂選區分界時，亦會顧及社區獨特性及地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵。
5. 由於十八鄉東(M11)及錦田(M37)內陸續有大型屋苑落成，人口大幅上升。按照 2015 年的選區分界建議，十八鄉東(M11)及十八鄉西(M12)年的預計人口均會超出法例許可的上限，故此選管會認為需增加新選區十八鄉北(M10)，以吸納超出的人口。
6. 在符合選管會劃界準則的前提下，現就元龍(M04)、十八鄉北(M10)、十八鄉東(M11)、十八鄉西(M12)及錦田(M37)的劃界提出建議。

選區特徵

7. 十八鄉北(M10) — 根據《2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議》，選管會建議新增名為十八鄉北(M10)的新選區。根據選管會建議，新選區包括私人屋苑 Grand Yoho，鄉村則包括南邊圍、西邊圍、山邊村、山貝涌口村及大圍村等，預計人口為 14,251 人。
8. 十八鄉東(M11) — 一直以來，十八鄉東(M11)主要由鄉村組成。根據選管會現時的建議，公共屋邨朗善邨將被納入十八鄉東(M11)選區範圍內。朗善邨於 2017 年落成入伙，人口約為 3,100 人。除朗善邨外，十八鄉東(M11)由多條鄉村組成，當中的港頭村及塘頭埔村屬十八鄉鄉事委員會轄下的鄉村。
9. 錦田(M37) —選管會建議錦田(M37)的選區劃界不變，過往選區主要由錦田鄉事委員會轄下鄉村組成，包括逢吉鄉、高埔村、水尾村及水頭村。由於區內近年內有新的發展，例如 Park Yoho 及爾巒等私人屋苑，2019 年的預計人口為 20,493，較標準人口基數高出 23.46%，接近法定上限。由於 Park Yoho 仍在興建當中，在全面落成入伙後，將令該區人口進一步上升。
10. 元龍(M04) —元龍(M04)為 2015 年新增的選區，由私人屋苑新元朗中心、Yoho Mid Town 及 Yoho Town 組成，預計 2019 年的人口將為 13,761 人，較標準人口基數低 17.10%。

Flats D-F, 11/F, China Overseas Building, 139 Hennessy Road, Wanchai, Hong Kong
香港灣仔軒尼詩道 139 號中國海外大廈 11 樓 D-F 室
Tel: (852) 3100 0079 Fax: (852) 3100 0087 Website: www.npp.org.hk

4909(2)

建議方案

11. 新界原居民村有其獨特的社會及文化背景，選管會應維持十八鄉東(M11)及錦田(M37)以鄉村為主的做法。在考慮社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫及長遠人口分布的原則後，我們建議元龍(M04)、十八鄉北(M10)、十八鄉東(M11)、十八鄉西(M12)及錦田(M37)的選區劃改作以下修改：
    a) 將現時位於十八鄉北(M10)的 Grand Yoho 改為撥入元龍(M04)。
    b) 在十八鄉東(M11)及錦田(M37)之間設立新選區，由朗善邨、爾巒、Park Yoho 等私人屋苑組成，並加入東成里及石塘村兩條鄉村。
    c) 十八鄉東(M11)應維持以十八鄉鄉事委員會轄下鄉村為主的選區。
    d) 錦田(M37)應維持以錦田鄉事委員會轄下鄉村為主的選區。

表一、建議方案的屋苑及鄉村分佈

新選區	十八鄉東 (M11)	十八鄉西 (M12)	元朗 (M04)	錦田 (M37)
1. 朗善邨	1. 南邊圍	1. 振輝花園	1. 新元朗中心	1. 逢吉鄉
2. 爾巒	2. 西邊圍	2. 振華業景花園	2. Yoho Mid Town	2. 錦豐臺
3. Park Yoho	3. 山邊村	3. 振華花園第一期/第二期	3. Yoho Town	3. 高埔村
4. 東成里	4. 山貝涌口村	4. 南坑排	*4. Grand Yoho*	4. 麥景窩居
5. 石塘村	5. 大圍村	5. 南坑村		5. 水尾村
	6. 竹新村	6. 水蕉老圍		6. 水頭村
	7. 麗豪新村	7. 田寮村		7. 威皇花園
	8. 港頭村	8. 黃泥墩村		8. 泰康村
	9. 塘頭埔村	9. 楊家村		9. 旭日花苑二期
	10. 祭正新邨			10. 旭日花苑三期

提出方案的理據

社區完整性及獨特性

11. 根據選管會提出的方案，將 Grand Yoho 撥入十八鄉北(M10)，與南邊圍、西邊圍、山邊村、山貝涌口村及大圍村組成一個選區，實質上將原來十八鄉東(M11)一分為二，令同屬十八鄉鄉事委員會的港頭村及塘頭埔村撥歸十八鄉東(M11)，與其他原居民村分隔，做法並不理想。同時，由於 Grand Yoho 為高密度的私人住宅發展項目，居民的背景、文化及習俗與將新界原居民差異極大，選管會的建議未有考慮該區一直以鄉村為主，破壞當區的社區獨特性。
12. 我們認為，為確保社區完整性及獨特性，選管會應維持十八鄉東(M11)以十八鄉鄉事委員會轄下鄉村為主，而 Grand Yoho 則應撥入元龍(M04)。
13. 按照同一原則，現時錦田(M37)內的私人屋苑 Park Yoho 及爾巒亦應撥入新選區，以確保社區原整性。

Flats D-F, 11/F, China Overseas Building, 139 Hennessy Road, Wanchai, Hong Kong
香港灣仔軒尼詩道 139 號中國海外大廈 11 樓 D-F 室
Tel: (852) 3100 0079 Fax: (852) 3100 0087 Website: www.npp.org.hk

地理環境及地方聯繫

14. 元龍(M04)由新元朗中心、Yoho Town 及 Yoho Mid Town 組成，地理上與 Grand Yoho 連接。而且三個私人屋苑屬由同一發展商負責的發展，三個屋苑由商場及大規模行人天橋連接，關注的議題亦較為接近。因此將 Yoho Town、Yoho Mid Town 及 Grand Yoho 劃入同一選區，較符合區內居民已逐步建立的社區聯繫。

長遠改善人口分佈

15. 錦田(M37)的人口仍不斷上升，預計整個 Park Yoho 落成後，住戶數目將由現時的 1,026 戶上升至超過 3,000 戶，令錦田(M37) 的人口上漲至 26,493 人，大幅超過法定上限。

16. 長遠而言，由朗善邨、爾巒及 Park Yoho 組成新選區，對改善各區的人口分佈有正面作用，避免錦田(M37)因人口超出上限而需要再次更改選區範圍。

表二、元龍(M04)、十八鄉北(M10)、十八鄉東(M11)、十八鄉西(M12)及錦田(M37)的人口分布

	2019年 (選管會建議)	2019年 (優化建議)
十八鄉北(M10) (新增選區)	14,251 (-14.15%)	15,100[1] (-9.03%)
十八鄉東 (M11)	13,766 (-17.07%)	18,017 (+8.54%)
十八鄉西 (M12)	19402 (+16.89%)	19,402 (+16.89%)
元龍(M04)	13,761 (-17.10%)	19,661 (+18.45%)
錦田(M37)	20493 (+23.46%)	15,518 (-6.51%)

總結

17. 我們認為選管會的建議形同將十八鄉東(M11)一分為二，嚴重破壞當區的社區完整性，亦未有充份考慮新界鄉村的社區獨特性。

18. 新民黨建議，在十八鄉東(M11)及錦田(M37)撥出新落成的公共屋邨及私人屋苑，包括朗善邨、爾巒及 Park Yoho，設立一個新選區，長遠既能改善人口分佈，亦能減少對新界原居民社區的影響，做法可取，希望選管會能考慮以上提出的理據，採納建議方案。

2018年8月

[1] Park Yoho 全面落成入伙後的預計人口數目

Flats D-F, 11/F, China Overseas Building, 139 Hennessy Road, Wanchai, Hong Kong
香港灣仔軒尼詩道 139 號中國海外大廈 11 樓 D-F 室
Tel: (852) 3100 0079 Fax: (852) 3100 0087 Website: www.npp.org.hk

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups

就建議劃定21個新增選區及調整128個現有選區的分界意見

21/08/2018 20:09

From: [redacted]

To: "eacenq@reo.gov.hk" <eacenq@reo.gov.hk>

是次臨時建議涉及改劃現時M18（天盛）、M22（嘉湖北）和M27（嘉湖北）選區劃界，其餘區內15個選區則維持不變。

臨時總議指出，由於預計M16（晨山中）及M18選區的人口明年將超出法例許可的上限，故此需要增加新選區以吸納超出的人口，選委會建議在M16劃出全新的M17（盛欣）選區，新選區包含即將入伙的屏欣苑及五幢原屬M18的天盛苑（彩、昭、慶、賀、臻）樓宇。

臨時總議亦擬出將原屬M27選區內的置富嘉湖街場及麗湖居第一座至三座納入M22選區，以確保涉者人口不超出法例許可幅度。

有部分已嚴重超出法例許可幅度的選區劃界則維持不變，例如M31（逸灣）和M32（天恒），兩者的標準人口基數偏離百分比*分別錄22.85%和23.29%。

*「標準人口基數」指將香港總人口除以在區議會一般選舉中選出的民選議員總數所得之數字。由於當局預測本港人口直到明年6月30日為止有7502600人，在除以452個民選議席後，因而得出16599人的「標準人口基數」。選管會須確保某些實際上不能避免上述規定的選區人口不超出「標準人口基數」±25%（12449至20749人）的幅度之內。

- 影響 -

由於法例規定選委會在劃定選區時，需顧及社區獨特性及地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然界限（例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展等），因此若某選區若只偏離標準人口基數±25%幅度內而又具有以上合理理由，委員會可毋須獲劃。

不過有相關選區內的居民反映，如果選區內意外劃分成兩個選區，日後區議員將更難介入當區屋苑的管理問題，因此反對有關建議，有受影響的現任區議員質疑，選委會在制定臨時建議時有政治考慮，更有人批評選委會在籌劃選區工作上是「外行人管內行人」。

不含病毒。www.avast.com

序號 4911 的來信者要求身份及內容保密

4912(1)

就「2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」中，對於北區鳳翠選區及天平西選區的分界建議 15/08/2018 19:58
From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

敬啟者：

在日前， 貴會發表了「2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，並就此展開公眾諮詢。其中有關北區的選區分界方面， 貴會表示因應上水鄉郊選區於2019年的預計人口會超出法例許可的上限，建議將原屬上水鄉郊選區的大頭嶺以及松柏塱一帶鄉村地區從該選區剔出，並劃入鳳翠選區。

自1999年區議會選舉起，翠麗花園一直被編配與上水圍以及其多條衛星村落（天平山村、華山村、虎地坳村等等）合組成「鳳翠」選區。惟在鳳翠選區當中，除翠麗花園屬高密度發展的政府資助出售屋苑之外，選區內其他住宅區均為原居民村落、寮屋區、農地及棕地等鄉郊地區，在社區特徵、社區性質的角度而言，翠麗花園明顯與鳳翠選區內其他部分稍格不入。加上廖氏原居民亦與天平山村、華山村、虎地坳村等「衛星村落」具密切的社區聯繫（如：有不少的廖氏原居民於該等村落居住、出任村代表或持有土地房產等），使選區人口以居於上水圍的廖氏原居民為首的鄉郊居民為主，亦因此間接導致鳳翠選區在歷來每次區議會選舉中，由廖氏原居民當選並出任當區議席。鳳翠選區在當區鄉村原居民出任區議員的情況下，使區議員處理地區事務時，只領重與其具密切聯繫的上水圍及其一帶的鄉村地區，從而忽略翠麗花園的地區事務，正如在2016至2017年發生的天平山村違例經營燒烤場噪音及空氣污染滋擾翠麗花園一帶民居的事件當中，當區區議員卻未有介入事件（如：分別聯絡受影響居民及相關政府機關以了解及反映有關情況）。加上一直以來，翠麗花園與上水圍及鳳翠選區內的其他村落的居民，雙方在日常生活中從不十分依賴對方的屋苑/村落內的社區配套設施。從上述的理由可見，翠麗花園被劃入以鄉郊地區為主的鳳翠選區內，明顯與 貴會在處理區議會選區分界中所採取的「法定準則」中提及的「顧及社區獨特性及地方聯繫的維持」，出現了非常明顯的偏差。

然而，在「2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」中， 貴會竟建議將原屬上水鄉郊選區的大頭嶺以及松柏塱一帶鄉村地區，於翌年區議會起劃入至鳳翠選區。大頭嶺以及松柏塱一帶的鄉村村落，雖與上水圍一帶的鄉村地區同屬上水鄉事委員會轄下村落；惟與翠麗花園有一段距離，且社區特徵及性質有明顯差異。此舉不但未有改善長久以來北區的選舉選區劃分當中，未能影響翠麗花園與鳳翠選區其他鄉村部分之間「社區獨特性及地方聯繫的維持」的情況之餘，更將有關的情況進一步惡化：從而導致社區中的訴求因選區界區議會議席劃分不妥而未能有效地在議會層面上獲反映及處理。對於 貴會執意提出此等毀壞社區特性的選區劃界建議，本人深感遺憾及無奈。

為有效地反映翠麗花園與鄰近周邊社區的「社區獨特性及地方聯繫的維持」，本人建議翠麗花園應從鳳翠選區中剔出。根據政府統計處「2016年中期人口統計」的數據顯示，翠麗花園於2016年有6,111名居住人口，以此人口數字推算，若翠麗花園在是次選區分界建議中從鳳翠選區中剔出，鳳翠選區的預計居住人口為12,555，比法定人口基數（16,599）少於約24.36%，仍能維持於不能超出或少於法定人口基數25%的法定許可幅度內。再者，於翠麗花園的毗鄰選區當中，翠麗花園與天平西選區內的天平邨，均同屬政府資助出售房屋（「居者有其屋」屋苑及「租者置其屋」屋邨），兩者社區性質相同，而兩者居民所關注的社區議題及訴求理應非常相近；再者，相比上水圍及天平山村等村落，翠麗花園與天平西選區更具密切的社區聯繫，當中包括以下幾點：

- 翠麗花園居民經常使用位於天平西選區內的北區運動場、龍琛路體育館及上水游泳池等社區設施，當中北區運動場內的公眾行人通道，更為大多數翠麗花園居民步行往來上水市中心地區的必經之路
- 位於天平西選區內的奕翠園，因屋苑內未有購物設施，當區居民亦經常到鄰近的翠麗花園商場光顧
- 負責交通規劃的政府機關以及公共交通營辦商，於路線規劃上，亦會為翠麗花園及天平邨安排同一組巴士路線，服務兩個屋邨/屋苑的居民往來北區區內外（例如：翠麗花園及天平邨居民均

4912(2)

同樣使用九巴270線往來上水港鐵站及上水市中心）

此外，在是次選區分界建議中，天平西選區的預計居住人口為13,050，比法定人口基數少於約21.38%，若將翠麗花園的6,111名居住人口增加至天平西選區後，天平西選區的預計居住人口為19,161，比法定人口基數多出約15.43%，仍能維持於不能超出或少於法定人口基數25%的法定許可幅度內。

	原建議			建議將翠麗花園6,111名人口從N15劃入N14後		
	選區人口	與法定人口基數（16,599）相差百分比	是否維持於法定許可幅度（±25%）內	選區人口	與法定人口基數（16,599）相差百分比	是否維持於法定許可幅度（±25%）內
N14 天平西	13,050	-21.38%	✓	19,161	+15.43%	✓
N15 鳳翠	18,666	+12.45%	✓	16,599	-24.36%	✓

基於上述的多項因素，加上翠麗花園從鳳翠選區剔出後，人口數目不足以獨立成為一個能符合法定許可幅度的選區，以及 貴會須根據《區議會條例》內所訂立的各個區議會所擁有的民選議席數目進行選區分界工作，未能在是次選區分界建議中再額外增加或減少區議會選區的情況下。故此，本人建議 貴會應在2019年區議會選舉的正式選區分界中，將翠麗花園從鳳翠選區（N15）剔出，並劃入天平西選區（N14）。此安排能從各選區的人口分佈、社區獨特性、維持社區聯繫這些因素下取得平衡，更有效地反映社區內的人口特徵。至於大頭嶺以及松柏塱一帶鄉村地區，則應予以保留於鳳翠選區內。

另外，因應翠麗花園從N15選區（鳳翠）改劃至N14選區（天平西）後，N15選區的原名稱「鳳翠」未能反映選區所組成的部分。故此建議在實施上述改動的同時，亦應將N15選區以及N11選區（上水鄉郊）分別命名為「上水鄉郊東（Sheung Shui Rural East）」及「上水鄉郊西（Sheung Shui Rural West）」，至於改劃後的N14選區以及相毗鄰的N17選區（天平東），為更突顯選區的組成部分，建議兩者分別命名為「平翠（Ping Tsui）」及「聯平（Luen Ping）」，前者代表選區內的天平邨以及翠麗花園，而後者則代表選區內的天平邨、以及選區內的綠悠軒及逸峯所位處的聯和墟。

	原建議		建議將翠麗花園從N15劃入N14後	
選區編號	選區中文名稱	選區英文名稱	選區中文名稱	選區英文名稱
N11	上水鄉郊	Sheung Shui Rural	上水鄉郊西	Sheung Shui Rural West
N14	天平西	Tin Ping West	平翠	Ping Tsui
N15	鳳翠	Fung Tsui	上水鄉郊東	Sheung Shui Rural East
N17	天平東	Tin Ping East	聯平	Luen Ping

希望 貴會能夠認真考慮上述各項建議。另外，本人要求 貴會於日後只須公開本函內的建議內容，而毋須將本人的個人資料（包括姓名及聯絡方法等）公開。

4912(3)

如有任何查詢，歡迎致電[redacted]或回覆此電郵與本人聯絡，祈盼早覆，謝謝！

此致
選舉管理委員會

[redacted]

2018年8月15日

4913

「2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」諮詢 19/08/2018 23:15
From: 業主居民聯會－上水翠麗花園 <[redacted]>
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

建議將「翠麗花園」從原本N15選區轉納入至鄰近的天平西選區N14

本會建議翠麗花園從原來的鳳翠選區(N15)剔出，納入鄰近的天平西選區(N14)。
翠麗花園為大廈式屋苑，與N15區(尤其加入大頭嶺、松柏塱及客家圍後)以鄉村為主的住宅群格格不入，明顯偏離劃界準則中提及嘅「社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持」。翠麗花園與N15區的詳細區別如下：
一、關注議題
由於翠麗花園為大廈式屋苑的居屋，並有聘用管理公司，因此關注的多為「圍標」、電梯安全、花圃管理、商場管理等等議題。鄉村式住宅完全不需要面對，亦完全不能理解翠麗花園居民的關注。反之，鄉村式住宅居民關注的事項如村屋僭建、丁權、農地等問題等，亦不為翠麗花園居民所理解。
二、文化層面
試想想，如果農曆新年時有人在翠麗花園放炮仗，管業一定會查問，但假若是在上水圍放炮仗，則無人會過問。這一點已充分反映出兩個地區的不同獨特性。N15區大多是由同姓的原居民，尤其以上水圍廖氏組成，大家的生活方式、思考方式、以至決策方式兩級北敬。例如鄉郊地區居民較著重傳統考慮、輩份等，決策以上水鄉事委員會為首，翠麗花園則有獨立的業主委員會，翠麗花園居民亦任何無傳統習俗考慮。
三、社區需要不盡相同
翠麗花園與新增的大頭嶺、松柏塱及客家圍享用的社區配套設施並非互相依賴。以交通為例，大頭嶺、松柏塱及客家圍、上水圍等依賴小巴，翠麗花園居民則多用巴士出入。因此大家重視的道路規劃、交通工具路線亦不同。
總括來說，翠麗花園與上水圍等地鄉村地區的生活圈不同，大家面對的問題不同，居民訴求亦大不同，甚至有非常大的矛盾，因此應從原來的鳳翠選區(N15)剔出。相反，翠麗花園應併入鄰近與翠麗花園之間具更強「地方聯繫」的選區（如：石湖墟、天平西或天平東），而準確反映社區需要。

Owners and Residents Association-
Sheung Shui Tsui Lai Garden
業主居民聯會-上水翠麗花園
義務秘書 江秀卿 代行

4914

侯福達區議員辦事處
Office of Hau Fuk-tat, North District Council Member

檔案編號：89-SHNDC-2018

敬啟者：

有關 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議事宜

本人知悉 貴會於 7 月 23 日向市民公布有關二〇一九年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議事宜，並得知本人所在的上水鄉郊選區(N11)是 貴會建議調整選區分界的其中一個選區。

因應選區內的預計人口超出法定上限才有分界調整，但有關調整卻破壞社區完整性。多年來，大頭嶺及松柏塱兩村與其他附近村落關係密切，有着緊密的連繫。但在新建議中，原屬上水鄉郊選區的兩村卻被納入至鳳翠選區，新分界如同將兩村從上水鄉郊分離，破壞村落間的聯繫。加上，「上水鄉郊」聯繫着上水各個村落，讓村民有歸屬感，加強了社區間的凝聚力。但新建議將兩村剔除，不但破壞村落的聯繫，更削弱村落間的凝聚力，有損整個鄉郊的完整性。

由於新建議會破壞社區間的聯繫，更有損整個上水鄉郊社區的凝聚力及完整性，因此本人反對有關建議，並希望 貴會重新考慮選區分界。

此致
選舉管理委員會

北區區議員
侯福達 謹啟

2018 年 8 月 20 日

地址: [redacted]
電話 TEL: [redacted] 傳真 FAX: [redacted] 電子郵件 E-MAIL: [redacted]

20-AUG-2018 11:10

20-AUG-2018 13:37

4915

新界上水區鄉事委員會
The Sheung Shui District Rural Committee
No. 3, Po Wan Road, Sheung Shui, N.T., Hong Kong.
Tel: 2670 0292 Fax: 2668 8257 E-mail: ssdrc8@yahoo.com.hk

敬啟者：

有關二〇一九年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議事宜

於本月 23 日， 貴會向市民公佈二〇一九年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議，並就有關建議向公眾展開諮詢。在調整 128 個現有選區的分界建議中，因北區中上水鄉郊選區(N11)的預計人口超出上限，所以被作出調整。

但本人對 貴會的調整建議感到遺憾及失望，認為 貴會忽略考慮其他因素。有關建議將上水鄉郊選區分界重新劃分，有損整個上水鄉郊社區的完整性，更會損害鄉郊社區的凝聚力，因此本人反對有關建議，並希望 貴會參考意見，重新考慮選區分界。

此致
選舉管理委員會

上水區鄉事委員會
主 席 侯志強
首副主席 侯福達
副 主 席 黃偉業 謹啟

2018 年 7 月 30 日

4916

選舉分界問題 20/08/2018 14:26
From: [redacted]
To: "eacenq@reo.gov.hk" <eacenq@reo.gov.hk>

從 Windows 10 的郵件傳送你好, 本人對這次區議會分界強烈不滿, 北區分四區, 上水, 粉嶺, 沙頭角, 打鼓嶺, 為何打鼓嶺和沙頭角同一選區呢, 為何不分開兩個選區呢, 這對沙頭角及打鼓嶺的居民很不公平, 如何已人口比例不成理由, 例如村代表選舉, 有沒有人口比例呢, 很多村落人口比例都可以有一個村代表, 點解區議會不可以呢, 根本沙頭角及打鼓嶺就係兩區, 希望重視民意, 重新規劃選界

4917

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups

2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議
[redacted] to: eacenq@reo.gov.hk 21/08/2018 22:06

To Whom It May Concern:

Thanks for reading this message. I would like to question Golf Parkview which is under Code N10 should belongs to Code N15 instead. People who lives in North District consider Fanling Highway as the boundary. According to the map, you can clearly find out Golf Parkview is way far away from Cheung Lung Wai Estate but it is right next to Tsung Pak Long instead.

Therefore I believe Golf Parkview should belongs to Code N15.

Hope you will hear my advise. Thank you.

Regards,
Winnie Lam

4918

書面申述：2019年區議會選區分界臨時建議
24/07/2018 20:20
Hide Details
From: [redacted]

To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

選管會秘書處：

本人就2019年區議會選區分界臨時建議提交以下書面申述。

大埔區「西貢北選區」歷史上曾經與大埔有密切聯繫，但隨著新市鎮發展，今天這個選區並沒有直接的交通工具往來大埔。相反，現時「西貢北選區」不論陸路（西沙路）或水路（往馬料水渡輪）均直接往來沙田區，居民日常生活與沙田區的關係更加密切。

倘若「西貢北選區」維持劃歸大埔區，對區議會運作只會造成不便。例如，運輸署每年就巴士路線諮詢區議會的時候，涉及「西貢北選區」的路線即使完全不途徑大埔，也需要諮詢大埔區議會意見，但實際上這些路線並不影響大埔絕大部分居民。

因此，本人建議當局將「西貢北選區」由大埔區改劃至沙田區，使區議會分界更能符合新市鎮發展的現況和居民的生活習慣。敬請當局詳加考慮！

沙田區居民
關沛浚謹啟

4919

30/07 2018 15:03 1 1

灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心十樓
選舉管理委員會

敬啓者：

要求大埔選區劃界分配維持原有劃界

　　鑑於選舉管理委員會 2018 年 7 月 23 日公佈就區議會新一屆選舉劃界進行公眾諮詢，對於貴　會單以選區人口中位基數作為劃界的基礎，沒有考慮居民傳統生活方式和住屋性質，本人甚為失望。

　　本人在大埔頭村居住多年，去屆區議會選舉大埔頭村、太湖山莊及華樂豪庭皆屬於鄉郊村落的康樂園選區(P17)，現　貴會建議將其劃歸城鎮/多層大廈的寶雅選區(P14)，做法完全忽視傳統村落的獨特生活式和居住小型屋宇居民的訴求，本人居住在太湖山莊，與大埔頭村只一路之隔，去年曾因噪音一事與村民稍有爭執，慶幸得熟識村內事務的區議員調解。因本區村民面對的問題有別於多層大廈的居民，本人深信一位有豐富鄉村事務經驗的區議員，不但對新界原居民權益、現行小型屋宇政策和村內祖堂業務等有透徹了解，能有效解決本區村務問題及原居民與屋苑居民的衝突。

　　祈請　貴會於區議會選舉選區重新劃界時，能考慮社區的完整性和獨特性。本人促請　貴會於明年大埔區議會選舉維持原有的選區劃界，以安民心！

　　謹致

選舉管理委員會秘書

大埔頭太湖仙莊居民

江少琼

（江少琼）

住址：[redacted]

電話：[redacted]

二〇一八年七月三十日

30-JUL-2018 15:14 1 93% P.001

4920

2019大埔區議會P14寶雅選區臨時建議意見
01/08/2018 10:42
Hide Details
From: [redacted]

To: "eacenq@reo.gov.hk" <eacenq@reo.gov.hk>

你好：

本人為P14寶雅選區選民，就2019暫定區議會選區選區範圍中的大埔區P14寶雅選區改動有意見，現分述如下：

1. 現改動範圍擬將拼入原屬康樂園選區的大埔頭範圍(即太湖山莊、華樂豪庭及大埔頭村)，然而，由於大埔頭與寶雅原屬選區範圍被鐵路所分隔，兩區居民亦因此日常生活範圍有所不同，部分該區選民會使用翠怡花園、翠樂街一帶的生活設施及經太湖花園至太和火車站，如此拼入將令區議員難以兼顧兩區居民意見。
2. 而根據2015選區分劃報告，引述如下：
「選區 P14 (寶雅)是一個以居屋(寶雅苑)及公屋(部分太和邨)為主的選區，而P17 (康樂園)是一個城鄉交融的選區，當中包括低密的度的屋苑 (康樂園)及鄉村(例如大埔頭)，因此將大埔頭水圍的鄉村轉編入選區P17 (康樂園)較為理想。」
現大幅將鄉村生活模式範圍拼入城市選區，將令所屬區議員難以平衡雙方意見。

就以上意見，本人對P14選區分劃有以下建議：

1. 為兼顧選民利益及意見，將生活範圍為劃分依據，將大埔頭範圍(即太湖山莊、華樂豪庭及大埔頭村)劃分予P16西堤與太湖，既可拉近P16選區與標準人口基數的差距，又可減低因鐵路分劃而影響P14選區區民及區議員。(雖2015選區分劃報告指大埔頭水圍與大埔頭村同選，但本人認為同選並非合理的選區劃分依據，難道因為同源所以影響投票意向?)
2. 如依選區模式為劃分依據，新拼入的範圍可劃分為一個獨立選區，因全歸屬於低密度的屋苑及鄉村選區。

敬希相關部門考慮並採納本人的意見，以減低P14選區的選民影響。如需本人更多資料及就相關意見有所疑問，歡迎與本人聯絡。

謝謝!

P14寶雅選區選民
Lego MAN

4921

中華人民共和國香港特別行政區
Hong Kong Special Administrative Region of the People's Republic of China

大埔區議會 Tai Po District Council
陳灶良議員 CHAN Cho-Leung, DC Member

編號：CCL-180730-01

致：選舉管理委員會

反映：2019 年區議會選區劃界－大埔林村谷(P13)劃界

　　就　貴會日前公布 2019 年區議會選區的暫擬劃界，是次劃界對大埔區內數個選區的劃界，變動頗大。其中擬定劃界把原林村谷(P13)選區內的大埔花園及帝欣苑(一、二期)，編入鄰近的寶雅(P14)選區內，而根據　貴會的資料，是次調動是基於人口增長使然，本人表示理解，然而亦希望向　貴會反映是次劃界的意見。

　　由於林村谷選區近年人口持續上升，而因應人口增加對本區的劃界作出調整，亦早有先例，如在 2015 年將原屬本選區之大埔頭水圍村劃入康樂園(P17)選區。然而得悉未來的一兩年間，本區內將有大型的住屋發展項目，將興建近 300 幢的二至三層高樓房，人口增加將過千戶，可見本區的人口將仍有大幅增加之趨勢。

　　基於　貴會以人口因素而作出選區劃界的原則，以林村谷已展開的多項大型發展項目，人口增長是勢所必然。為此，本人建議能一併考慮林村谷的選區劃界稍作出微調，或能將本區位於最北的村落南華甫村劃入毗連的康樂園(P17)選區，從而平衡選區的人口。

　　特函　貴會反映本人之建議，懇請詳加考慮。祈請賜覆。

　　此致

陳灶良
大埔區議員（林村谷）

二零一八年七月三十日

副本送呈：大埔民政事務專員　呂少珠太平紳士

RECEIVED 02 AUG 2018 ELECTORAL AFFAIRS COMMISSION

陳灶良辦事處宗旨：下情上達、便民利民、服務社群。

辦事處地址：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]，傳真：[redacted] 電子郵件：[redacted]
BLOG: [redacted]

4922(1)

P10&P12 division problem
03/08/2018 20:20
Hide Details
From: [redacted]

To: "eacenq@reo.gov.hk" <eacenq@reo.gov.hk>

Cc: [redacted]

4922(2)

廖錫光

二零一八年八月三日

Tel: [redacted]

逕啟者：

本人一家為現時P10區居民。現居於接連新峰花園第四期御峰豪園旁(P12)之承峰(P10)。承峰未建築完成前是一片林木的桃源洞(P10)。但承峰入伙後一切日常生活事務均由新峰花園第四期御峰豪園旁(P12)之區議員服務。但從未見承峰及桃源洞(P10) 之區議員服務。(P10)之區議員辦事處亦遠離承峰(P10)極遠。如何能為承峰(P10) 之居民提供區議員服務。如由(P12)之區議員兼辦服務實不公平。但承峰已非原來的桃源洞(P10)，為何仍作無人居住之桃源洞(P10)混為一談。反不與阯鄰之新峰花園第四期御峰豪園(P12)合併為同一區？(P12)之區議員經常為本邨之居民提供區議員服務而本邨之居民卻投票予一位素未謀面之區議員。是否令人難以信服？以上疑難，懇請跟進，感激萬分！

承峰(P10)居民

4923(1)

8日 8月2018年 12時59分

大埔鄉事委員會
TAI PO RURAL COMMITTEE

本會檔號：21/OUT-RAC/18-249

敬啓者：

大埔鄉事委員會對
有關 2019 年區議會選區劃分臨時建議

選舉管理委員會日前公佈 2019 年區議會選舉選區劃界的臨時建議，並進行公眾諮詢，諮詢期為 30 日至今年 8 月 21 日為止。

根據選管會主席馮驊法官表示，2019 年區議會一般選舉的標準人口基數為每個選區 16,599 人，法例規定除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素。在調整選區分界有不同因素考慮，主要考慮人口數字，亦會顧及其他法定因素，但絕不包括任何政治或與法例要求無關的理由。

綜觀選區劃分臨時建議公佈後隨即引起社會上議論紛紛，反對聲音此起彼落，並提出不同意見。以大埔選區 P17 為例，將其中大埔頭，包括大埔頭村、華樂豪庭、太湖山莊、水圍擬入 P14，康樂園選區上述兩條原居民鄉村均屬聯益鄉，亦是大埔鄉事委員會管轄屬村之一，時至今日村民仍維持家族成員聚居一處，過著傳統的生活方式，每逢農曆新年有盆菜宴和點燈儀式，每年春秋二祭，每隔十年舉行太平清醮，以承傳新界原居民文化及維繫居民的情誼。由此可見，兩村的居民在傳統生活和居住性質與城鎮/屋苑大為不同，鄉郊工作和屋苑亦有所分別，負責範疇相差甚遠，訴求方面也有不同。一位植根於屋苑的區議員，缺乏處理鄉郊村務經驗，根本無法有效地反映村民的訴求。村民發聲的渠道因而受剝奪，如果胡亂將鄉郊村落擬入城鎮/屋苑，祇會造成城鄉矛盾加深，選管會應慎重考慮其後果。

根據選管會公佈「12 個人口獲容許偏離許可幅度的選區連同選管會的考慮因素」中，P17 康樂園預計人口 20,488 距離標準人口基數 16,599，偏離+23.43%，P14 寶雅選

新界大埔寶鄉街一號寶鄉邨G01室 G01, Po Heung Estate, No.1 Po Heung Street, Tai Po, N. T.
電話TEL：2656 5266-7 傳真 FAX: 2653 3223 電郵 e-mail：tprc1@yahoo.com.hk

08-AUG-2018 13:08 96% P.001

4923(2)

8日 8月2018年 12時59分

大埔鄉事委員會
TAI PO RURAL COMMITTEE

區預計人口 20,357，距離標準人口基數 16,599，偏離+22.64%。有關數據顯示 P17 與 P14 兩個選區的人口基數均偏離甚多，如果再將 P17 劃分區域擬入 P14，是否會造成 P14 人口基數更加偏離，有此論據，希望有關當局三思。

翻閱 2015 年區議會選舉劃界建議公佈後，屯門區議會曾反對將區內福亨村編入兩個選區，以避免違反當區居民對該區自然特徵的期望，選管會接受該申訴，將整個福亨村編入選區 L28(富泰)；再以元朗為例，元朗區議會臨時建議將南坑村分割成 M08(十八鄉東)及 M10(十八鄉西)兩個選區，違反社區獨特性和地方聯繫的原則，亦引起村民混亂和影響社區完整，故基於社區的完整性，選管會最終亦接納該建議。

有見及此，本會提出以下方案供選管會考慮：

根據 2015 年區議會選舉，「大埔區的臨時建議概要」的資料顯示，富亨預計人口為 15,546 人，較標準人口基數偏離百分比-6.34%，如將康樂園選區之嵐山屋苑(預計人口約 3000 人)撥入富亨選區，屆時預計人口將增至 18,546 人，此舉可減輕該選區較標準人口基數偏離的百分比，及更能體驗社區的完整性和代表性；而大埔頭、華樂豪庭及太湖山莊則維持不變。

為更有效發揮區議會在民生方面的工作功能，基於上述原因，本會特修函表達意見，敬祈 貴會顧應民意，酌情考慮有關建議，則不勝感激！

此致

選舉管理委員會

馮驊主席

大埔鄉事委員會主 席：張學明
副主席：陳笑權
張國棟

二〇一八年八月八日

副本抄送：大埔民政事務處

新界大埔寶鄉街一號寶鄉邨G01室 G01, Po Heung Estate, No.1 Po Heung Street, Tai Po, N. T.
電話TEL：2656 5266-7 傳真 FAX: 2653 3223 電郵 e-mail：tprc1@yahoo.com.hk

08-AUG-2018 13:09 96% P.002

有關2019年區議會選區分界臨時建議的意見
08/08/2018 17:52
Hide Details
From: [redacted]

To: <eacenq@reo.gov.hk>

選舉管理委員會，敬啟者，

就2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議，我們有以下意見：

大埔區P13林村谷、P14寶雅、P15康樂園的重新劃界，選管會指出，按選區分界建議圖於2015年的原區界，選區P13(林村谷)及P17(康樂園)在2019年的預計人口會超出法例許可的上限。為使兩個選區的人口能符合法例許可幅度，建議將選區P17(康樂園)原區界內的大埔頭水圍、大埔頭、太湖山莊及華樂豪庭，以及選區P13(林村谷)原區界內的大埔花園及帝欣苑轉編入鄰近的選區P14(寶雅)。

按選管會的臨時建議，2019年的P13林村谷選區預計人口為17,508，偏離百分比為5.48%，P14寶雅選區人口為20,357，偏離百分比為22.64%。而2016年中期人口普查的數據，原P13林村谷選區的人口為19,369人。本人認為，無必要將原要P13界內的大埔花園及帝欣苑劃入P14選區，這樣，P13選區人口為19,369人，P14選區人口為18,496人，比臨時建議更合理，也減少因改劃選區帶來的問題。

8/8/2018

8日 8月2018年 17時37分

敬啟者：

反對新界大埔地區康樂園選區（P17）暫定分界事

本人鄧友發，前任大埔區議會康樂園選區（P17）民選區議員（由12/7/2007 至 31/12/2015），同時為水圍原村居民，並曾任水圍村代表/原居民代表多年（由 9/6/1996 至 31/3/2011）。

近悉 貴選管會擬將大埔頭及水圍二村於 2019 年劃離康樂園選區（P17）、歸併大埔寶雅選區（P14）事，至感不安與愕然。

憑藉過往八年多區議員地區服務及經驗認知，本人對相關受影響鄉村/屋苑有如下見解：

（一）大埔頭水圍

該村為新界原居民鄉村，立村數百年。原村幅員廣闊，北與大埔頭村以鐵路分界，西與梅樹坑村以林村河分界，南至現時大埔頭尼龍水壩、東達鹹山河。

上世紀 80 至 90 年代初，香港政府及財團徵收/收購水圍鄉村範圍土地發展新市鎮（包括部份太和邨及寶雅苑多層公屋/居屋處址）和興建樓高六層中密度的大埔花園及帝欣苑，導致水圍縮減為彈丸之地。幸獲香港政府於 1991 年落實水圍鄉村擴展區規劃，徵收其餘環繞原圍村私人土地，提供 73 間小型屋宇政府批地予水圍原村居民申建屋宇，改善居住環境。

本人在 1996 年 6 月接任村代表時，適逢水圍鄉村擴展區地盤平整工程進行，期間發生承建商爛尾工程，村內民生設施備受影響，環境非常糟糕。尤幸自 1999 年開始，水圍從寶雅選區劃歸林村谷選區（P13）。多年以來，在各資深鄉郊議員協助及帶領下，村內環境

設施得以穩步改善。

現時水圍鄉村擴展區第二期有 21 間小型屋宇申請進行批建審理，預期 2019 年中批出建屋契約，2019 年指可與工築建，故必須由具備鄉郊知識、經驗豐富的區議員繼續帶領此發展中鄉村去完善村內環境及民生配套設施，造福鄉梓。

（二）大埔頭村

與水圍屬同一宗族、立村數百年之新界原居民鄉村，原村幅員遼闊、村民較眾，為大埔區內設有二位村代表/原居民村表之大型村落。香港政府於上世紀 80 年代初發展大埔新市鎮，徵收/撥用了該村祖堂/村民名下大量私人土地，餘下的認可鄉村土地範圍仍然廣闊，多項環境衛生及民生配套施仍待深化改善，屬極度發展中鄉村，其認可土地範圍蓋括：

（1）原村舊批地屋宇

村前近百間屋宇接駁污水收集系統已納入環保署/渠務署吐露港鄉村排污系統工程第二階段第三期工程規劃，預期在 2019/2020 年透過區議員開展地區諮詢工作。

（2）華樂豪庭

此乃由百多間小型屋宇群組成而定名，除個別私人土地，還有政府土地在內。因土地業權份數問題，屋苑至今未能成立業主立案法團統籌和管理屋苑範圍環境事務。個別居民如涉及有關政府土地上違章搭建物，多向較為熟識政府土地雜項條例的鄉郊議員求助，與相關政府部門互相協調/斡旋後解決問題。

與此同時，渠務署將於 2019 年為華樂豪庭現在污水處理設施接

駁於村外地下污水收集系統，工程預期三年完成，涉外之道路交通需當區議員協調/特別安排。

（3）太湖山莊

類此華樂豪庭，由近百間獨立小型屋宇屋群組成，因其範圍內有祖堂私人土地和政府土地存在，沒有業主立案法團成立。凡涉及政府土地個案，居民會求助當區區議員。

（4）嘉敬里

此乃位處大埔頭鄉村擴展區內 18 間小型屋宇群之統稱，除屋地外，餘皆為政府土地（包括停車場），由建築署（ASD）負責一般維修保養。

（5）新華安里

此乃撥時補償土地，內含 22 間小型屋宇，除屋地外，餘皆為政府土地（包括停車場），由地政處管理，但由建築署（ASD）負責一般維修保養，其範圍之公園休閒用地現正由康文署規劃及籌備築建。

（6）寮屋禁制區

原大埔頭村早年有大量寮屋搭建。自 1980's 開始，該村政府土地被地政總署規劃為新界寮屋禁制區，杜絕任何新搭建/寮屋改建。

餘下之寮屋，經多年以來風吹日曬下，每需要修葺/修補時，多會誤進新界寮屋管制組需區，需勞煩熟識土地雜項條例的鄉郊議員出面協調、勸喻、斡旋方能保存其安樂窩，避免發生覆石油氣罐自殺悲劇醜聞。

（7）殯葬區

毗連/毗鄰華樂豪庭及太湖山莊為大埔頭原居民殯葬區土地，凡

涉及紛爭，多由鄉郊議員去協調斡旋解決。

換言之，大埔頭屬於一條綜合性發展中鄉村，具其獨特性，須保留於鄉郊選區內，繼續由鄉郊議員帶導去逐步完善其村內發展。

（三）嵐山屋苑

此新屋苑位處大埔鳳園村範圍內。長實集團於多年前以換地形式在其 Tai Po Town Lot183(TPTL183)私人土地範圍內興建 8 座多層大廈，住戶自 2015 年開始入住，至今有逾二千居民。該屋苑範圍土地均屬同一集團擁有，並成立專業管理公司統籌及管理屋苑內一切環境、民生事宜，不涉及區議員職權服務範疇。

至於屋苑居民對外之交通服務—嵐山屋苑巴士，得大埔區議會交通運輸委員會協助爭取下，已獲運輸署批準營運。其他惠及鳳園村民/居民之九巴和專業小巴也投入服務逾三年，均運作良好。

其他屋苑範圍外之環境配套設施訴求，均由管理處匯總提出，並需得到鳳園原村居民支持下方能成事。

換言之，嵐山居民的環境訴求，是由管理處作單一代表與區議員直接溝通，無論屋苑居民多與少，都不會帶給區議員人口比例性之工作量。

總的來說，大埔頭及水圍乃屬持續發展中鄉村，多年以來在鄉郊議員帶領及輔助下，逐步改善村務環境發展，可說是持之以恆、行之有效，也是康樂園選區範圍不可分割鄉村，絕不應該因嵐山屋苑居民進駐而捨本逐末，強行將二村劃離鄉村選區，此將會窒息該二條鄉村獨特發展，甚至扼殺大埔頭及水圍村民福祉。

謹此要求　貴選管委員會按照選區分界劃定的法定準則第（C）

4

及（d）項所述，須顧及社區獨特性及維持地方聯繫與發展情況下，豁免或 overrule 條項（b）的人口限定，將康樂園選區（P17）服務範圍維持不變。

與此同時，我個人建議應該諮詢現任當區議員，自 2016 年多咗嵐山居民入住後，會否導致其工作量劇增而需要將其他鄉村劃離其原有康樂園選區？

謹致

選舉管理委員會秘書

水圍原居民: 鄧友發

（鄧友發）敬啟

聯系電話: [redacted]

通訊地址: [redacted]

副本抄送：大埔民政

事務專員呂少珠太平紳士

" ：大埔區議會[redacted]太平紳士

" ：大埔鄉事委員會

" ：[redacted]區議員

2018 年 8 月 8

5

灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心十樓
選舉管理委員會

敬啓者：

反對大埔選區大埔頭、華樂豪庭及太湖山莊撥入 P14 選區

本人張國棟乃大埔鄉事委員會副主席，大埔聯益鄉鄉代表。就著選舉管理委員會 2019 年區議會選舉選區劃分臨時建議，本人代表聯益鄉反對大埔頭、太湖山莊及華樂豪庭被剔出 P17 康樂園選區。

大埔頭乃聯益鄉轄下之村落，其範圍包括大埔頭村、太湖山莊及華樂豪庭，三個地點一直以來都是撥入 P17 康樂園的鄉郊選區，現　貴會建議被歸 P14 的賽雅選區，此舉破壞歷屆的選舉慣例，有違鄉郊選區的完整性。

本人謹代表聯益鄉促請　貴會尊重民意，未作諮詢前將明年大埔區議會選舉維持原有的選區劃界。

謹致

選舉管理委員會秘書.

大埔聯益鄉代表

張國棟

2018 年 8 月 9 日

副本抄送：

大埔民政事務處

選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生, GBS 鈞鑒：

有關 2019 年區議會選區劃界－大埔區的劃界意見

選管會於日前公佈就 2019 年區議會選區的暫擬劃界，在大埔區的劃界中，涉及包括 P13(林村谷)等選區的調整，吾等對此十分關注。例如林村谷原區界內的大埔花園及帝欣苑將編入鄰近的 P14(寶雅)選區，而根據選管會的資料，是次調動是基於人口增長使然，吾等表示理解，同時專此致函 閣下，提出以下若干建議，望予採納。

林村谷選區受林村許願樹及許願節等知名景點/盛事帶動的協同效應下，加上林村谷環境清幽，居住環境優良，近年吸引了不少外區人士遷入林村谷居住，導致林村谷的人口持續上升，從以下數字可見一斑：

以 2011 年、2015 年及 2016 年的人口統計數據為例，2011 年人口普查時林村谷人口為 20,100 人，而在 2015 年時林村谷人口已增至 21,098 人(資料源自選管會 2015 年區議會選區劃界文件)，及後為使林村谷選區的人口符合條例許可的幅度，故把原區界內的大埔頭水圍轉編入鄰近選區 P17(康樂園)。

然而，縱使在 2015 年把大埔頭水圍自林村谷選區剔出，但在 2016 年中期人口普查的數據顯示，林村谷的人口再度攀升至 19,369 人，可見林村谷人口增長之勢未曾減卻。而事實上林村谷的人口在可見的將來仍有大幅增加之趨勢，例如恒基地產在林村谷選區內社山村之大型發展項目，將興建近 300 幢的 2 至 3 層高洋房，人口增加將過千戶，保守估計人口增加至少五千人，而恒基的項目現已開展，可見林村谷人口的急增是勢所必然，亦必將再次超出法定人口上限。

基於以上情況，吾等對 2019 年大埔區的選區劃界有以下建議，此等建議亦獲得區內廣大持份者的接納。祈請 閣下採納：

1. 基於地理因素，吾等建議把林村谷區界內位於北端的南華簡村劃入毗鄰的康樂園選區，以平衡選區人口。

2. 建議把大埔區內的私人屋苑「嵐山」剔出，以劃入屋邨選區。因鄉郊工作與屋邨選區工作之差異，因工作情況不同，鄉郊議員在處理涉及同選區內的屋苑事務時往往易生矛盾，不便於服務。故此吾等建議作出上述調整，以順應區情，達致多方共贏。

RECEIVED 9 AUG 2018 ELECTION COMMITTEE SECRETARIAT

4927(2)

為此，吾等謹作上述建議，懇請 閣下與政制及內地事務局予以考慮，有勞之處，謹此致謝！祈請示覆，敬候佳音。

敬祝
政安

香港經濟民生聯盟
主席 盧偉國立法會議員, SBS, MH, JP
副主席 林健鋒立法會議員, GBS, JP
副主席 梁美芬立法會議員, SBS, JP
大埔區議員陳灶良議員,MH、李華光議員、羅曉恩議員
沙田區議員莫錦實議員, BBS
社區幹事陳銘傑

林健鋒
(林健鋒立法會議員代行)
2018 年 8 月 1 日

信件同時送予：政制及內地事務局局長 聶德權先生, JP

4928

致 選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生 鈞鑒：

懇請重新檢討目前林村谷選區劃界決定

我們是大埔花園及帝欣苑的居民，較早時間得到消息，指我們兩個屋苑都在是次區議會選區劃界中被剔出林村谷(P13)選區，作為一向投票支持[redacted]區議員[redacted]的選民，我們實在感到震驚及遺憾。

[redacted]議員多年來，一直於林村谷選區為我等居民爭取福利，及向政府提出合理訴求。致力於改善社區，為民發聲。諸如推動加強大埔區滅蚊除草的環境衛生督導工作，以及爭取在我們大埔花園及帝欣苑附近的火車路段增設隔音屏障等，其政績皆是有目共睹。

有謂民主選舉的其中一項重要原則，就是給予每一位候選人在公平的環境進行競爭，但我們覺得這一個道理也是適用於每一位選民的身上。現在，貴 委員會在沒有充分諮詢的情況下，就以人口數量為理由將我們劃出選區，以致令我們無法向我們一直支持而且信任的心儀候選人投下我們的一票，這實在令我們不解而且失望。

我們深信，將我們兩個屋苑劃出選區並不是唯一解決林村谷選區人口超出限制的唯一解決方法。我們衷心希望 閣下可以研究其他替代方案，使我等可以繼續在原本屬於自己的選區之內，享有投我們屬意候選人一票的權利。

敬請
鈞安

簽署：
姓名：

RECEIVED 1 8 AUG 2018 ELECTORAL AFFAIRS COMMISSION

二零一八年八月 日

4929

致 選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生 鈞鑒：

懇請重新檢討目前林村谷選區劃界決定

我們是大埔花園及帝欣苑的居民，較早時間得到消息，指我們兩個屋苑都在是次區議會選區劃界中被剔出林村谷(P13)選區，作為一向投票支持[redacted]區議員[redacted]的選民，我們實在感到震驚及遺憾。

[redacted]議員多年來，一直於林村谷選區為我等居民爭取福利，及向政府提出合理訴求。致力於改善社區，為民發聲。諸如推動加強大埔區滅蚊除草的環境衛生督導工作，以及爭取在我們大埔花園及帝欣苑附近的火車路段增設隔音屏障等，其政績皆是有目共睹。

有謂民主選舉的其中一項重要原則，就是給予每一位候選人在公平的環境進行競爭，但我們覺得這一個道理也是適用於每一位選民的身上。現在，貴 委員會在沒有充分諮詢的情況下，就以人口數量為理由將我們劃出選區，以致令我們無法向我們一直支持而且信任的心儀候選人投下我們的一票，這實在令我們不解而且失望。

我們深信，將我們兩個屋苑劃出選區並不是唯一解決林村谷選區人口超出限制的唯一解決方法。我們衷心希望 閣下可以研究其他替代方案，使我等可以繼續在原本屬於自己的選區之內，享有投我們屬意候選人一票的權利。

敬請
鈞安

簽署：
姓名：

RECEIVED 1 8 AUG 2018 ELECTORAL AFFAIRS COMMISSION

二零一八年八月 日

4930

致：選舉管理委員會

反對選管會將大埔林村谷(P13)的帝欣苑及大埔花園，劃分入(P14)寶雅區中。多年以來，兩大屋苑早已和鄉郊型的區域越來越接近。忽然要被劃入(P14)寶雅區，而該區屬高樓大廈的公共房屋，完全是市區中心的環境。跟本是兩種不同環境，兩種服務形態。到時在位的區議員一定要是超人，才能承擔這個席位。否則就是區內市民受罪，欠缺服務了，非常不公平。

因此，我建議帝欣苑及大埔花園要維持現狀，保留在原區，反而將人口稍為略少的南華莆劃出到(P17)康樂園區，因為他們同樣是原居民村落，一樣受到同樣公平而合理的服務渠道，何樂而不為。

而且我們林村人亦非常滿意[redacted]區議員，他一向盡心盡力服務地方，亦衷心希望這個平和的局面能延續下去，讓我們安居樂業。一再希望選管會審慎考慮市民大眾的訴求，切勿輕易作出不利於民的變動。

大埔林村居民：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

RECEIVED 1 8 AUG 2018 ELECTORAL AFFAIRS COMMISSION

4931

致：選舉管理委員會

反對選管會將大埔林村谷(P13)的帝欣苑及大埔花園，劃分入(P14)寶雅區中。多年以來，兩大屋苑早已和鄉郊型的區域越來越接近。忽然要被劃入(P14)寶雅區，而該區屬高樓大廈的公共房屋，完全是市區中心的環境。跟本是兩種不同環境，兩種服務形態。到時在位的區議員一定要是超人，才能承擔這個席位。否則就是區內市民受罪，欠缺服務了，非常不公平。

因此，我建議帝欣苑及大埔花園要維持現狀，保留在原區，反而將人口稍為略少的南華莆劃出到(P17)康樂園區，因為他們同樣是原居民村落，一樣受到同樣公平而合理的服務渠道，何樂而不為。

而且我們林村人亦非常滿意[redacted]區議員，他一向盡心盡力服務地方，亦衷心希望這個平和的局面能延續下去，讓我們安居樂業。一再希望選管會審慎考慮市民大眾的訴求，切勿輕易作出不利於民的變動。

大埔林村居民：黃巧明

2018 年 8 月 3 日

4932

致：選舉管理委員會

反對 貴會草率將大埔的(P13)帝欣苑及大埔花園，劃分入(P14)寶雅區中。多屆以來，兩大屋苑早已融合為鄉郊型的區域。如果劃入(P14)寶雅區，該區屬高樓大廈的公屋及居屋，完全是市區中心的環境。試問一位區議員要兼顧兩種完全不同類型的服務方式，如何應付，將市民大眾當做白老鼠，完全不顧服務素質，非常不公平。因此，反對這樣的劃分，要求維持現狀，保障納稅人得到公平而合理的服務渠道。

本人一家均支持[redacted]區議員，得他熱誠而盡責的服務態度，改善區內大小民生事項，期待他能繼續出任，服務林村谷。

如果要跟據人口數字劃分，建議將這區的邊沿位置，南華莆村劃入鄰近的(P17)康樂園區，至少亦都是劃入鄉郊型的區域，服務性質亦較為接近。懇請 貴會謹重考慮，

大埔林村居民：張金穗

2018 年 8 月 3 日

4933

致：選舉管理委員會

反對 貴會草率將大埔的(P13)帝欣苑及大埔花園，劃分入(P14)寶雅區中。多屆以多，兩大屋苑早已融合為鄉郊型的區域。如果劃入(P14)寶雅區，該區屬高樓大廈的公屋及居屋，完全是市區中心的環境。試問一位區議員要兼顧兩種完全不同類型的服務方式，如何應付，將市民大眾當做白老鼠，完全不顧服務素質，非常不公平。因此，反對這樣的劃分，要求維持現狀，保障納稅人得到公平而合理的服務渠道。

大埔居民：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

4934

謹致：選舉管理委員會

有關二零一九年區議會一般選舉，貴會的暫定選區範圍中，重劃的原則是以人口分佈為基礎。表面看似公平，但有令人費解之處。

(P14)寶雅區，加入了大埔花園、帝欣苑、水圍及大埔頭等，人口即時上升至 20357 人，而鄰近的(P13)林村谷則跌至 17508 人，兩者人口差距接近三千。更令人費解的是，強行將原居民村落劃入公屋區域中，兩種的服務及溝通方式，完全格格不入，到最後，未知是苦了居民還是該區議員了。

如果是因為人口因素的分配作調整的，倒不如維持大埔花園及帝欣苑不作變動，而將林村谷最邊沿的南華莆村劃入(P17)康樂園區。因為南華莆村的人口，總不會多於大埔花園及帝欣苑的人口。如此，可以稍為減少原林村谷的人口數字，另一方面，又可以維持原居民村落及鄉間的服務型態。

因此，本人堅決反對 貴會草率將帝欣苑及大埔花園，劃分入(P14)寶雅區中的不合理劃分。要作出如此巨大的變動時，實應慎重考慮，保障市民得到公平而合理的服務渠道。

大埔居民：黃腊辰

2018 年 8 月 3 日

4935

致 選管會馮驊主席先生：

強烈反對林村谷選區劃界結果

馮先生，你好！我地是大埔林村谷選區嘅一班選民。

早前，我地得知道選管會喺選舉劃界嘅事情上面，響未經充分諮詢嘅情況下，就對林村谷選區進行重大調整。對此，我地感到十分不滿！失望！

我地認為當局應該做好充分諮詢嘅情況下，先可以做出咁重大嘅改變。現在，當局這種先斬後奏嘅做法，令我地感到受侵犯！傷害！予人黑箱作業之感！我地實在係不能接受有關安排！

我地衷心希望馮先生以致有關當局可以收回成命，重新進行諮詢，收集好充分嘅民意後再對林村谷選區作出劃界調整。謝謝。

祝 身體健康
工作順利

簽署：

姓名：

2018 年 8 月 1 日

4936

致 選管會馮驊主席先生：

強烈反對林村谷選區劃界結果

馮先生，你好！我地是大埔林村谷選區嘅一班選民。

早前，我地得知道選管會喺選舉劃界嘅事情上面，響未經充分諮詢嘅情況下，就對林村谷選區進行重大調整。對此，我地感到十分不滿！失望！

我地認為當局應該做好充分諮詢嘅情況下，先可以做出咁重大嘅改變。現在，當局這種先斬後奏嘅做法，令我地感到受侵犯！傷害！予人黑箱作業之感！我地實在係不能接受有關安排！

我地衷心希望馮先生以致有關當局可以收回成命，重新進行諮詢，收集好充分嘅民意後再對林村谷選區作出劃界調整。謝謝。

祝 身體健康
工作順利

簽署：

姓名：

2018 年 8 月 八 日

4937

致 選管會馮驊主席先生：

強烈反對林村谷選區劃界結果

馮先生，你好！我地是大埔林村谷選區嘅一班選民。

早前，我地得知道選管會喺選舉劃界嘅事情上面，響未經充分諮詢嘅情況下，就對林村谷選區進行重大調整。對此，我地感到十分不滿！失望！

我地認為當局應該做好充分諮詢嘅情況下，先可以做出咁重大嘅改變。現在，當局這種先斬後奏嘅做法，令我地感到受侵犯！傷害！予人黑箱作業之感！我地實在係不能接受有關安排！

我地衷心希望馮先生以致有關當局可以收回成命，重新進行諮詢，收集好充分嘅民意後再對林村谷選區作出劃界調整。謝謝。

祝 身體健康
工作順利

簽署：

姓名：

2018 年 8 月 8 日



4938

致：選舉管理委員會

反對 貴會草率將大埔的(P13)帝欣苑及大埔花園，劃分入(P14)寶雅區中。多屆以多，兩大屋苑早已融合為鄉郊型的區域。如果劃入(P14)寶雅區，該區屬高樓大廈的公屋及居屋，完全是市區中心的環境。試問一位區議員要兼顧兩種完全不同類型的服務方式，如何應付，將市民大眾當做白老鼠，完全不顧服務素質，非常不公平。因此，反對這樣的劃分，要求維持現狀，保障納稅人得到公平而合理的服務渠道。

本人一家均支持[redacted]區議員，得他熱誠而盡責的服務態度，改善區內大小民生事項，期待他能繼續出任，服務林村谷。

如果要跟據人口數字劃分，建議將這區的邊沿位置，南華莆村劃入鄰近的(P17)康樂園區，至都是劃入鄉郊型的區域，服務性質亦較為接近。懇請 貴會謹重考慮。

大埔林村居民：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

4939

致：選舉管理委員會

本人反對將大埔的帝欣苑及大埔花園，劃分入(P14)寶雅區中。因為私人屋苑的生活模式及服務需求，與大型公屋的情況，完全不一樣。一旦撥入(P14)寶雅區，到時該區區議員要八面玲瓏，十八般武藝，樣樣皆能，才可以應付多種不同類型的服務。恐怕適得其反，顧此而失彼。

政府未考慮市民的實際需要，單一以人口數字為由，隨便圈劃分界，未免太過公式化。到時完全無法發揮區議員的服務質素，受害的最終都是市民。如要因應人口數字作劃分，建議將周邊的南華莆村撥入到(P17)康樂園區，因為他們同樣是由鄉郊村區議員照顧，資源分配亦較為一致。

因此，懇請政府必需審慎考慮。

大埔區市民：
2018.8.2.

RECEIVED 13 AUG 2018 ELECTORAL AFFAIRS COMMISSION

4940

致：選舉管理委員會

本人反對將大埔的帝欣苑及大埔花園，劃分入(P14)寶雅區中。因為私人屋苑的生活模式及服務需求，與大型公屋的情況，完全不一樣。一旦撥入(P14)寶雅區，到時該區區議員要八面玲瓏，十八般武藝，樣樣皆能，才可以應付多種不同類型的服務。恐怕適得其反，顧此而失彼。

政府未考慮市民的實際需要，單一以人口數字為由，隨便圈劃分界，未免太過公式化。到時完全無法發揮區議員的服務質素，受害的最終都是市民。如要因應人口數字作劃分，建議將周邊的南華莆村撥入到(P17)康樂園區，因為他們同樣是由鄉郊村區議員照顧，資源分配亦較為一致。

因此，懇請政府必需審慎考慮。

大埔區市民：
2018.8.2.

RECEIVED 13 AUG 2018 ELECTORAL AFFAIRS COMMISSION

4941

謹致：選舉管理委員會

有關二零一九年區議會一般選舉，貴會的暫定選區範圍中，重劃的原則是以人口分佈為基礎。表面看似公平，但有令人費解之處。

(P14)寶雅區，加入了大埔花園、帝欣苑、水圍及大埔頭等，人口即時上升至 20357 人，而鄰近的(P13)林村谷則跌至 17508 人，兩者人口差距接近三千。更令人費解的是，強行將原居民村落劃入公屋區域中，兩種的服務及溝通方式，完全格格不入，到最後，未知是苦了居民還是該區議員了。

如果是因為人口因素的分配作調整的，倒不如維持大埔花園及帝欣苑不作變動，而將林村谷最邊沿的南華莆村劃入(P17)康樂園區。因為南華莆村的人口，總不會多於大埔花園及帝欣苑的人口。如此，可以稍為減少原林村谷的人口數字，另一方面，又可以維持原居民村落及鄉間的服務型態。

因此，本人堅決反對 貴會草率將帝欣苑及大埔花園，劃分入(P14)寶雅區中的不合理劃分。要作出如此巨大的變動時，實應慎重考慮，保障市民得到公平而合理的服務渠道。

大埔居民：陳桂好
2018 年 8 月 3 日

RECEIVED 13 AUG 2018 ELECTORAL AFFAIRS COMMISSION

4942

致：選舉管理委員會

有關二零一九年區議會一般選舉，貴會的暫定選區範圍中，重劃的原則是以人口分佈為基礎。表面看似公平，但有令人費解之處。

(P14)寶雅區，加入了大埔花園、帝欣苑、水圍及大埔頭等，人口即時上升至 20357 人，而鄰近的(P13)林村谷則跌至 17508 人，兩者人口差距接近三千。更令人費解的是，強行將原居民村落劃入公屋區域中，兩種的服務及溝通方式，完全格格不入，到最後，未知是苦了居民還是該區議員了。

如果是因為人口因素的分配作調整的，倒不如維持大埔花園及帝欣苑不作變動，而將林村谷最邊沿的南華莆村劃入(P17)康樂園區。因為南華莆村的人口，總不會多於大埔花園及帝欣苑的人口。如此，可以稍為減少原林村谷的人口數字，另一方面，又可以維持原居民村落及鄉間的服務型態。

同時，我們一家大小及鄰居等，均全力支持及信任[redacted]區議員，敬重他的熱心及認真的工作態度，希望他能持續為我們服務。因此，本人堅決反對 貴會草率將帝欣苑及大埔花園，劃分入(P14)寶雅區中的不合理劃分。要作出如此巨大的變動時，實應慎重考慮，保障市民得到公平而合理的服務渠道。

大埔林村居民：
2018 年 8 月 4 日

RECEIVED 13 AUG 2018 ELECTORAL AFFAIRS COMMISSION

4943

致 選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生 鈞鑒：

懇請重新檢討目前林村谷選區劃界決定

我們是大埔花園及帝欣苑的居民，較早時間得到消息，指我們兩個屋苑都在是次區議會選區劃界中被剔出林村谷(P13)選區，作為一向投票支持[redacted]區議員[redacted]的選民，我們實在感到震驚及遺憾。

[redacted]議員多年來，一直於林村谷選區為我等居民爭取福利，及向政府提出合理訴求。致力於改善社區，為民發聲。諸如推動加強大埔區滅蚊除草的環境衛生督導工作，以及爭取在我們大埔花園及帝欣苑附近的火車路段增設隔音屏障等，其政績皆是有目共睹。

有謂民主選舉的其中一項重要原則，就是給予每一位候選人在公平的環境進行競爭，但我們覺得這一個道理也是適用於每一位選民的身上。現在，貴 委員會在沒有充分諮詢的情況下，就以人口數量為理由將我們劃出選區，以致令我們無法向我們一直支持而且信任的心儀候選人投下我們的一票，這實在令我們不解而且失望。

我們深信，將我們兩個屋苑劃出選區並不是唯一解決林村谷選區人口超出限制的唯一解決方法。我們衷心希望 閣下可以研究其他替代方案，使我等可以繼續在原本屬於自己的選區之內，享有投我們屬意候選人一票的權利。

敬請
鈞安

簽署：[redacted]
姓名：[redacted]

二零一八年八月九日

4944

致：選舉管理委員會

本人反對將大埔的帝欣苑及大埔花園，劃分入(P14)寶雅區中。因為私人屋苑的生活模式及服務需求，與大型公屋的情況，完全不一樣。一旦撥入(P14)寶雅區，到時該區區議員要八面玲瓏，十八般武藝，樣樣皆能，才可以應付多種不同類型的服務。恐怕適得其反，顧此而失彼。

政府未考慮市民的實際需要，單一以人口數字為由，隨便圈劃分界，未免太過公式化。到時完全無法發揮區議員的服務質素，受害的最終都是市民。如要因應人口數字作劃分，建議將周邊的南華莆村撥入到(P17)康樂園區，因為他們同樣是由鄉郊村區議員照顧，資源分配亦較為一致。

因此，懇請政府必需審慎考慮。

大埔區市民：黃瑞琴
2018.8.2.

4945

致：選舉管理委員會

反對選管會將大埔林村谷(P13)的帝欣苑及大埔花園，劃分入(P14)寶雅區中。多年以來，兩大屋苑早已和鄰郊型的區域越來越接近。忽然要被劃入(P14)寶雅區，而該區屬高樓大廈的公共房屋，完全是市區中心的環境。跟本是兩種不同環境，兩種服務形態。到時在位的區議員一定要是超人，才能承擔這個席位。否則就是區內市民受罪，欠缺服務了，非常不公平。

因此，我建議帝欣苑及大埔花園要維持現狀，保留在原區，反而將人口稍為略少的南華莆劃出到(P17)康樂園區，因為他們同樣是原居民村落，一樣受到同樣公平而合理的服務渠道，何樂而不為。

而且我們林村人亦非常滿意[redacted]區議員，他一向盡心盡力服務地方，亦衷心希望這個平和的局面能延續下去，讓我們安居樂業。一再希望選管會審慎考慮市民大眾的訴求，切勿輕易作出不利於民的變動。

大埔林村居民：[redacted]
2018 年 8 月 3 日

4946

致： 選舉管理委員會主席馮驊先生

我是大埔區選民。就早前有關二零一九年區議會一般選舉中，有關 貴會規劃林村谷選區(P13)及寶雅選區區(P14)的暫定選區範圍，指重劃的原則是以人口分佈為基礎。表面看似公平，但實際上並不合理。

在最新的規劃中，寶雅選區(P14)， 加入了大埔花園、帝欣苑、水圍及大埔頭等， 人口即時上升至 20357 人， 而鄰近的林村谷選區(P13)則跌至 17508 人， 兩者人口差距接近三千。我翻閱了政府的人口數據，發現自 2016年林村谷的人口已經 19,369 人，而且區內還有大型發展項目(恒基之項目)，人口還會增加。

而在恒基的項目落成後，相信林村谷的人口會再度急升。

如果是因為人口因素的分配作調整的， 倒不如維持大埔花園及帝欣苑不作變動，而將林村谷最邊沿的南華莆村劃入(P17)康樂園區。因為南華莆村的人口，總不會多於大埔花園及帝欣苑的人口。如此， 可以稍為減少原林村谷的人口數字， 另一方面， 又可以維持原居民村落及鄉間的服務型態。

因此，本人堅決反對 貴會草率將帝欣苑及大埔花園，劃分入寶雅選區(P14)中的不合理劃分。要作出如此巨大的變動時， 實應慎重考慮，事前做好充分諮詢， 保障市民得到公平而合理的服務渠道。

大埔居民：林詠怡
2018 年 8 月 7 日

致：選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生 鈞鑒：

懇請恢復原有的林村谷選區劃界範圍

本人是大埔花園業主立案法團主席盧家堯，得悉我們大埔花園及鄰近兩個屋苑等，在是次區議會選區劃界中被剔出林村谷(P13)選區。對此草率而未有實際考慮區內區民意願的劃分，我們實在感到震驚及遺憾。

由於[redacted]區議員，多年來為我們屋苑居民，向政府部門反映訴求，竭力改善社區，為民發聲。一直以來擔當著我們與政府部門的一個良好的溝通角色。當然，我們亦衷心期望，[redacted]區議員能秉承一向的熱誠，繼續服務我區，惠及林村谷。

先不論當選議員誰屬，但我們和毗鄰屋苑，自有民選區議員的存在之初，已歸屬於林村谷區內，彼此的民生模式及交通訴求，均是息息相關，緊密相連的。一旦劃分到公屋型態的服務區域，在另一種完全不同方向的服務模式下溝通，實在令人懷疑，到時當區議員的能力，能否兼顧公屋、私人屋苑、原居民村落混合型區域的服務質素？而到最後，分劃的結果，可以提供市民最佳的服務嗎？

自 2015 年已先將大埔頭水圍村劃入康樂選區，僅只一屆，又將她及其同宗的大埔頭村和周邊多個私人樓宇（包括我們大埔花園）劃入風馬牛不相及的寶雅區，不但一眾原居民表示不滿，而我們屋苑的居民亦深感不受重視。貴會僅僅以人口數字作依據，而完全不曾以民生及交通的相連關係性質作考量，實在令人費解，對此極表不滿。因此，我們強烈反對此項臨時建議，要求維持原來的選區劃分。

如果必需要以人口數字作劃分依據，亦應該以相同民生型態作區分。貴會可曾考慮其他可行而又可保存其關聯性作規劃。如將林村谷最邊沿的南華莆村劃入康樂園區，因為地域上是相連，民情上同屬鄉村式選區。又或者因應實際人口增加的趨勢，應該早著先機，增加選區，將大窩西支路沿鐵路兩旁的鄉村歸納為一新區，進一步提供更佳的服務給市民，方為上策。並非單單只以人口數字而作考量，完完全全忽視服務質素。難保再到下一屆，又要作另一個重新編配，將市民當作政治棋子，方便有心人士的鋪排。

我們深信，將我們及毗鄰兩個屋苑劃出選區並不是唯一解決林村谷選區人口超出限制的單一選項。我們衷心希望　閣下在未有其他可行的替代方案前，能維持原來選區範圍，切勿妄行將我們屋苑剔出林村谷選區之外，讓我們仍可享相同型態的民生服務。感激不已！

敬請　鈞安

大埔花園業主立案法團主席：_____
盧家堯
二零一八年八月八日

To: Mr. FUNG Wah, Barnabas, GBS Chairman, EAC, Chairman of the Electoral Affairs Commission

Against the Provisional Recommendations on Boundaries and Names of District Council Constituencies for the 2019 District Council Ordinary Election

I am voters in Tai Po District. In the earlier Provisional Recommendations on Boundaries and Names of District Council Constituencies for the 2019 District Council Ordinary Election, the scope of the provisional constituencies for the planning of the Lam Tsuen Valley Constituency (P13) and the Po Nga Constituency (P14) will mean that the principle of redrawing is based on the population distribution. The surface seems fair, but it is actually not reasonable.

In the latest provisional plan, the Po Nga Constituency (P14) joined the Tai Po Garden, Parc Versailles, Shui Wai and Tai Po Tau. The population immediately rose to 20357 and the neighboring Lam Tsuen Valley (P13) fell to 17508 people, the population gap between the two is close to 3,000. Looking through the government's demographic data, I found that the population of Lam Tsuen Valley has reached 19,369 since 2016, and there are large-scale development projects (Henderson Land Development 's projects) in the region at future, the population will increase.

After the completion of Henderson's project, it is believed that the population of Lam Tsuen Valley will rise again.

If it is because of the adjustment of the population factor, it is better to maintain the Tai Po Garden and the Parc Versailles without change, and to divide the Nam Wa Po Village, the most edge of the Lam Tsuen Valley, into the P17 Hong Lok Yuen Constituency. As the population of Nam Wa Po Village is not more than the population of Tai Po Garden and Parc Versailles. In this way, the population figures of the original Lam Tsuen Valley can be slightly reduced, and on the other hand, the service patterns of the original residents' villages and the countryside can be maintained.

Therefore, I firmly oppose the Provisional Recommendations of Parc Versailles and Tai Po Garden and the unreasonable division to the Po Nga Constituency (P14). When such a huge change is to be made, it should be carefully considered, and sufficient consultation should be done beforehand to ensure that the public has a fair and reasonable service channel.

Resident of Tai Po District

9 - 8 - 2018

To: Mr. FUNG Wah, Barnabas, GBS Chairman, EAC, Chairman of the Electoral Affairs Commission

Against the Provisional Recommendations on Boundaries and Names of District Council Constituencies for the 2019 District Council Ordinary Election

I am voters in Tai Po District. In the earlier Provisional Recommendations on Boundaries and Names of District Council Constituencies for the 2019 District Council Ordinary Election, the scope of the provisional constituencies for the planning of the Lam Tsuen Valley Constituency (P13) and the Po Nga Constituency (P14) will mean that the principle of redrawing is based on the population distribution. The surface seems fair, but it is actually not reasonable.

In the latest provisional plan, the Po Nga Constituency (P14) joined the Tai Po Garden, Parc Versailles, Shui Wai and Tai Po Tau. The population immediately rose to 20357 and the neighboring Lam Tsuen Valley (P13) fell to 17508 people, the population gap between the two is close to 3,000. Looking through the government's demographic data, I found that the population of Lam Tsuen Valley has reached 19,369 since 2016, and there are large-scale development projects (Henderson Land Development 's projects) in the region at future, the population will increase.

After the completion of Henderson's project, it is believed that the population of Lam Tsuen Valley will rise again.

If it is because of the adjustment of the population factor, it is better to maintain the Tai Po Garden and the Parc Versailles without change, and to divide the Nam Wa Po Village, the most edge of the Lam Tsuen Valley, into the P17 Hong Lok Yuen Constituency. As the population of Nam Wa Po Village is not more than the population of Tai Po Garden and Parc Versailles. In this way, the population figures of the original Lam Tsuen Valley can be slightly reduced, and on the other hand, the service patterns of the original residents' villages and the countryside can be maintained.

Therefore, I firmly oppose the Provisional Recommendations of Parc Versailles and Tai Po Garden and the unreasonable division to the Po Nga Constituency (P14). When such a huge change is to be made, it should be carefully considered, and sufficient consultation should be done beforehand to ensure that the public has a fair and reasonable service channel.

Tommy CHAN

Resident of Tai Po District

9 - 8 - 2018

To: Mr. FUNG Wah, Barnabas, GBS Chairman, EAC, Chairman of the Electoral Affairs Commission

Against the Provisional Recommendations on Boundaries and Names of District Council Constituencies for the 2019 District Council Ordinary Election

I am voters in Tai Po District. In the earlier Provisional Recommendations on Boundaries and Names of District Council Constituencies for the 2019 District Council Ordinary Election, the scope of the provisional constituencies for the planning of the Lam Tsuen Valley Constituency (P13) and the Po Nga Constituency (P14) will mean that the principle of redrawing is based on the population distribution. The surface seems fair, but it is actually not reasonable.

In the latest provisional plan, the Po Nga Constituency (P14) joined the Tai Po Garden, Parc Versailles, Shui Wai and Tai Po Tau. The population immediately rose to 20357 and the neighboring Lam Tsuen Valley (P13) fell to 17508 people, the population gap between the two is close to 3,000. Looking through the government's demographic data, I found that the population of Lam Tsuen Valley has reached 19,369 since 2016, and there are large-scale development projects (Henderson Land Development 's projects) in the region at future, the population will increase.

After the completion of Henderson's project, it is believed that the population of Lam Tsuen Valley will rise again.

If it is because of the adjustment of the population factor, it is better to maintain the Tai Po Garden and the Parc Versailles without change, and to divide the Nam Wa Po Village, the most edge of the Lam Tsuen Valley, into the P17 Hong Lok Yuen Constituency. As the population of Nam Wa Po Village is not more than the population of Tai Po Garden and Parc Versailles. In this way, the population figures of the original Lam Tsuen Valley can be slightly reduced, and on the other hand, the service patterns of the original residents' villages and the countryside can be maintained.

Therefore, I firmly oppose the Provisional Recommendations of Parc Versailles and Tai Po Garden and the unreasonable division to the Po Nga Constituency (P14). When such a huge change is to be made, it should be carefully considered, and sufficient consultation should be done beforehand to ensure that the public has a fair and reasonable service channel.

Resident of Tai Po District

9 - 8 - 2018

4951

To: Mr. FUNG Wah, Barnabas, GBS Chairman, EAC, Chairman of the Electoral Affairs Commission

Against the Provisional Recommendations on Boundaries and Names of District Council Constituencies for the 2019 District Council Ordinary Election

I am voters in Tai Po District. In the earlier Provisional Recommendations on Boundaries and Names of District Council Constituencies for the 2019 District Council Ordinary Election, the scope of the provisional constituencies for the planning of the Lam Tsuen Valley Constituency (P13) and the Po Nga Constituency (P14) will mean that the principle of redrawing is based on the population distribution. The surface seems fair, but it is actually not reasonable.

In the latest provisional plan, the Po Nga Constituency (P14) joined the Tai Po Garden, Parc Versailles, Shui Wai and Tai Po Tau. The population immediately rose to 20357 and the neighboring Lam Tsuen Valley (P13) fell to 17508 people, the population gap between the two is close to 3,000. Looking through the government's demographic data, I found that the population of Lam Tsuen Valley has reached 19,369 since 2016, and there are large-scale development projects (Henderson Land Development 's projects) in the region at future, the population will increase.

After the completion of Henderson's project, it is believed that the population of Lam Tsuen Valley will rise again.

If it is because of the adjustment of the population factor, it is better to maintain the Tai Po Garden and the Parc Versailles without change, and to divide the Nam Wa Po Village, the most edge of the Lam Tsuen Valley, into the P17 Hong Lok Yuen Constituency. As the population of Nam Wa Po Village is not more than the population of Tai Po Garden and Parc Versailles. In this way, the population figures of the original Lam Tsuen Valley can be slightly reduced, and on the other hand, the service patterns of the original residents' villages and the countryside can be maintained.

Therefore, I firmly oppose the Provisional Recommendations of Parc Versailles and Tai Po Garden and the unreasonable division to the Po Nga Constituency (P14). When such a huge change is to be made, it should be carefully considered, and sufficient consultation should be done beforehand to ensure that the public has a fair and reasonable service channel.

Resident of Tai Po District

9 - 8 - 2018

4952

To: Mr. FUNG Wah, Barnabas, GBS Chairman, EAC, Chairman of the Electoral Affairs Commission

Against the Provisional Recommendations on Boundaries and Names of District Council Constituencies for the 2019 District Council Ordinary Election

I am voters in Tai Po District. In the earlier Provisional Recommendations on Boundaries and Names of District Council Constituencies for the 2019 District Council Ordinary Election, the scope of the provisional constituencies for the planning of the Lam Tsuen Valley Constituency (P13) and the Po Nga Constituency (P14) will mean that the principle of redrawing is based on the population distribution. The surface seems fair, but it is actually not reasonable.

In the latest provisional plan, the Po Nga Constituency (P14) joined the Tai Po Garden, Parc Versailles, Shui Wai and Tai Po Tau. The population immediately rose to 20357 and the neighboring Lam Tsuen Valley (P13) fell to 17508 people, the population gap between the two is close to 3,000. Looking through the government's demographic data, I found that the population of Lam Tsuen Valley has reached 19,369 since 2016, and there are large-scale development projects (Henderson Land Development 's projects) in the region at future, the population will increase.

After the completion of Henderson's project, it is believed that the population of Lam Tsuen Valley will rise again.

If it is because of the adjustment of the population factor, it is better to maintain the Tai Po Garden and the Parc Versailles without change, and to divide the Nam Wa Po Village, the most edge of the Lam Tsuen Valley, into the P17 Hong Lok Yuen Constituency. As the population of Nam Wa Po Village is not more than the population of Tai Po Garden and Parc Versailles. In this way, the population figures of the original Lam Tsuen Valley can be slightly reduced, and on the other hand, the service patterns of the original residents' villages and the countryside can be maintained.

Therefore, I firmly oppose the Provisional Recommendations of Parc Versailles and Tai Po Garden and the unreasonable division to the Po Nga Constituency (P14). When such a huge change is to be made, it should be carefully considered, and sufficient consultation should be done beforehand to ensure that the public has a fair and reasonable service channel.

Resident of Tai Po District

9 - 8 - 2018

4953

謹致：選舉管理委員會

有關二零一九年區議會一般選舉，貴會的暫定選區範圍中，重劃的原則是以人口分佈為基礎。表面看似公平，但有令人費解之處。

(P14)寶雅區，加入了大埔花園、帝欣苑、水圍及大埔頭等，人口即時上升至 20357 人，而鄰近的(P13)林村谷則跌至 17508 人，兩者人口差距接近三千。更令人費解的是，強行將原居民村落劃入公屋區域中，兩種的服務及溝通方式，完全格格不入，到最後，未知是苦了居民還是該區議員了。

如果是因為人口因素的分配作調整的，倒不如維持大埔花園及帝欣苑不作變動，而將林村谷最邊沿的南華莆村劃入(P17)康樂園區。因為南華莆村的人口，總不會多於大埔花園及帝欣苑的人口。如此，可以稍為減少原林村谷的人口數字，另一方面，又可以維持原居民村落及鄉間的服務型態。

因此，本人堅決反對 貴會草率將帝欣苑及大埔花園，劃分入(P14)寶雅區中的不合理劃分。要作出如此巨大的變動時，實應慎重考慮，保障市民得到公平而合理的服務渠道。

大埔居民：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

4954

謹致：選舉管理委員會

有關二零一九年區議會一般選舉，貴會的暫定選區範圍中，重劃的原則是以人口分佈為基礎。表面看似公平，但有令人費解之處。

(P14)寶雅區，加入了大埔花園、帝欣苑、水圍及大埔頭等，人口即時上升至 20357 人，而鄰近的(P13)林村谷則跌至 17508 人，兩者人口差距接近三千。更令人費解的是，強行將原居民村落劃入公屋區域中，兩種的服務及溝通方式，完全格格不入，到最後，未知是苦了居民還是該區議員了。

如果是因為人口因素的分配作調整的，倒不如維持大埔花園及帝欣苑不作變動，而將林村谷最邊沿的南華莆村劃入(P17)康樂園區。因為南華莆村的人口，總不會多於大埔花園及帝欣苑的人口。如此，可以稍為減少原林村谷的人口數字，另一方面，又可以維持原居民村落及鄉間的服務型態。

因此，本人堅決反對 貴會草率將帝欣苑及大埔花園，劃分入(P14)寶雅區中的不合理劃分。要作出如此巨大的變動時，實應慎重考慮，保障市民得到公平而合理的服務渠道。

大埔居民：WAI HUNG WILLIAM CHAN

2018 年 8 月 3 日

4955

謹致：選舉管理委員會

有關二零一九年區議會一般選舉，貴會的暫定選區範圍中，重劃的原則是以人口分佈為基礎。表面看似公平，但有令人費解之處。

(P14)寶雅區，加入了大埔花園、帝欣苑、水圍及大埔頭等，人口即時上升至 20357 人，而鄰近的(P13)林村谷則跌至 17508 人，兩者人口差距接近三千。更令人費解的是，強行將原居民村落劃入公屋區域中，兩種的服務及溝通方式，完全格格不入，到最後，未知是苦了居民還是該區議員了。

如果是因為人口因素的分配作調整的，倒不如維持大埔花園及帝欣苑不作變動，而將林村谷最邊沿的南華莆村劃入(P17)康樂園區。因為南華莆村的人口，總不會多於大埔花園及帝欣苑的人口。如此，可以稍為減少原林村谷的人口數字，另一方面，又可以維持原居民村落及鄉間的服務型態。

因此，本人堅決反對 貴會草率將帝欣苑及大埔花園，劃分入(P14)寶雅區中的不合理劃分。要作出如此巨大的變動時，實應慎重考慮，保障市民得到公平而合理的服務渠道。

大埔居民：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

4956

謹致：選舉管理委員會

有關二零一九年區議會一般選舉，貴會的暫定選區範圍中，重劃的原則是以人口分佈為基礎。表面看似公平，但有令人費解之處。

(P14)寶雅區，加入了大埔花園、帝欣苑、水圍及大埔頭等，人口即時上升至 20357 人，而鄰近的(P13)林村谷則跌至 17508 人，兩者人口差距接近三千。更令人費解的是，強行將原居民村落劃入公屋區域中，兩種的服務及溝通方式，完全格格不入，到最後，未知是苦了居民還是該區議員了。

如果是因為人口因素的分配作調整的，倒不如維持大埔花園及帝欣苑不作變動，而將林村谷最邊沿的南華莆村劃入(P17)康樂園區。因為南華莆村的人口，總不會多於大埔花園及帝欣苑的人口。如此，可以稍為減少原林村谷的人口數字，另一方面，又可以維持原居民村落及鄉間的服務型態。

因此，本人堅決反對 貴會草率將帝欣苑及大埔花園，劃分入(P14)寶雅區中的不合理劃分。要作出如此巨大的變動時，實應慎重考慮，保障市民得到公平而合理的服務渠道。

大埔居民：羅志明

2018 年 8 月 3 日

4957

謹致：選舉管理委員會

有關二零一九年區議會一般選舉，貴會的暫定選區範圍中，重劃的原則是以人口分佈為基礎。表面看似公平，但有令人費解之處。

(P14)寶雅區，加入了大埔花園、帝欣苑、水圍及大埔頭等，人口即時上升至 20357 人，而鄰近的(P13)林村谷則跌至 17508 人，兩者人口差距接近三千。更令人費解的是，強行將原居民村落劃入公屋區域中，兩種的服務及溝通方式，完全格格不入，到最後，未知是苦了居民還是該區議員了。

如果是因為人口因素的分配作調整的，倒不如維持大埔花園及帝欣苑不作變動，而將林村谷最邊沿的南華莆村劃入(P17)康樂園區。因為南華莆村的人口，總不會多於大埔花園及帝欣苑的人口。如此，可以稍為減少原林村谷的人口數字，另一方面，又可以維持原居民村落及鄉間的服務型態。

因此，本人堅決反對 貴會草率將帝欣苑及大埔花園，劃分入(P14)寶雅區中的不合理劃分。要作出如此巨大的變動時，實應慎重考慮，保障市民得到公平而合理的服務渠道。

大埔居民：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

4958

謹致：選舉管理委員會

有關二零一九年區議會一般選舉，貴會的暫定選區範圍中，重劃的原則是以人口分佈為基礎。表面看似公平，但有令人費解之處。

(P14)寶雅區，加入了大埔花園、帝欣苑、水圍及大埔頭等，人口即時上升至 20357 人，而鄰近的(P13)林村谷則跌至 17508 人，兩者人口差距接近三千。更令人費解的是，強行將原居民村落劃入公屋區域中，兩種的服務及溝通方式，完全格格不入，到最後，未知是苦了居民還是該區議員了。

如果是因為人口因素的分配作調整的，倒不如維持大埔花園及帝欣苑不作變動，而將林村谷最邊沿的南華莆村劃入(P17)康樂園區。因為南華莆村的人口，總不會多於大埔花園及帝欣苑的人口。如此，可以稍為減少原林村谷的人口數字，另一方面，又可以維持原居民村落及鄉間的服務型態。

因此，本人堅決反對 貴會草率將帝欣苑及大埔花園，劃分入(P14)寶雅區中的不合理劃分。要作出如此巨大的變動時，實應慎重考慮，保障市民得到公平而合理的服務渠道。

大埔居民：[illegible]

2018 年 8 月 3 日

4959

謹致：選舉管理委員會

有關二零一九年區議會一般選舉，貴會的暫定選區範圍中，重劃的原則是以人口分佈為基礎。表面看似公平，但有令人費解之處。

(P14)寶雅區，加入了大埔花園、帝欣苑、水圍及大埔頭等，人口即時上升至 20357 人，而鄰近的(P13)林村谷則跌至 17508 人，兩者人口差距接近三千。更令人費解的是，強行將原居民村落劃入公屋區域中，兩種的服務及溝通方式，完全格格不入，到最後，未知是苦了居民還是該區議員了。

如果是因為人口因素的分配作調整的，倒不如維持大埔花園及帝欣苑不作變動，而將林村谷最邊沿的南華莆村劃入(P17)康樂園區。因為南華莆村的人口，總不會多於大埔花園及帝欣苑的人口。如此，可以稍為減少原林村谷的人口數字，另一方面，又可以維持原居民村落及鄉間的服務型態。

因此，本人堅決反對 貴會草率將帝欣苑及大埔花園，劃分入(P14)寶雅區中的不合理劃分。要作出如此巨大的變動時，實應慎重考慮，保障市民得到公平而合理的服務渠道。

大埔居民：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

4960

謹致：選舉管理委員會

有關二零一九年區議會一般選舉，貴會的暫定選區範圍中，重劃的原則是以人口分佈為基礎。表面看似公平，但有令人費解之處。

(P14)寶雅區，加入了大埔花園、帝欣苑、水圍及大埔頭等，人口即時上升至 20357 人，而鄰近的(P13)林村谷則跌至 17508 人，兩者人口差距接近三千。更令人費解的是，強行將原居民村落劃入公屋區域中，兩種的服務及溝通方式，完全格格不入，到最後，未知是苦了居民還是該區議員了。

如果是因為人口因素的分配作調整的，倒不如維持大埔花園及帝欣苑不作變動，而將林村谷最邊沿的南華莆村劃入(P17)康樂園區。因為南華莆村的人口，總不會多於大埔花園及帝欣苑的人口。如此，可以稍為減少原林村谷的人口數字，另一方面，又可以維持原居民村落及鄉間的服務型態。

因此，本人堅決反對 貴會草率將帝欣苑及大埔花園，劃分入(P14)寶雅區中的不合理劃分。要作出如此巨大的變動時，實應慎重考慮，保障市民得到公平而合理的服務渠道。

大埔居民：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

4961

致：選舉管理委員會

有關二零一九年區議會一般選舉，貴會的暫定選區範圍中，重劃的原則是以人口分佈為基礎。表面看似公平，但有令人費解之處。

(P14)寶雅區，加入了大埔花園、帝欣苑、水圍及大埔頭等，人口即時上升至 20357 人，而鄰近的(P13)林村谷則跌至 17508 人，兩者人口差距接近三千。更令人費解的是，強行將原居民村落劃入公屋區域中，兩種的服務及溝通方式，完全格格不入，到最後，未知是苦了居民還是該區議員了。

如果是因為人口因素的分配作調整的，倒不如維持大埔花園及帝欣苑不作變動，而將林村谷最邊沿的南華莆村劃入(P17)康樂園區。因為南華莆村的人口，總不會多於大埔花園及帝欣苑的人口。如此，可以稍為減少原林村谷的人口數字，另一方面，又可以維持原居民村落及鄉間的服務型態。

同時，我們一家大小及鄰居等，均全力支持及信任[redacted]區議員，敬重他的熱心及認真的工作態度，希望他能持續為我們服務。因此，本人堅決反對 貴會草率將帝欣苑及大埔花園，劃分入(P14)寶雅區中的不合理劃分。要作出如此巨大的變動時，實應慎重考慮，保障市民得到公平而合理的服務渠道。

大埔林村居民：

2018 年 8 月 4 日

4962

致：選舉管理委員會

有關二零一九年區議會一般選舉，貴會的暫定選區範圍中，重劃的原則是以人口分佈為基礎。表面看似公平，但有令人費解之處。

(P14)寶雅區，加入了大埔花園、帝欣苑、水圍及大埔頭等，人口即時上升至 20357 人，而鄰近的(P13)林村谷則跌至 17508 人，兩者人口差距接近三千。更令人費解的是，強行將原居民村落劃入公屋區域中，兩種的服務及溝通方式，完全格格不入，到最後，未知是苦了居民還是該區議員了。

如果是因為人口因素的分配作調整的，倒不如維持大埔花園及帝欣苑不作變動，而將林村谷最邊沿的南華莆村劃入(P17)康樂園區。因為南華莆村的人口，總不會多於大埔花園及帝欣苑的人口。如此，可以稍為減少原林村谷的人口數字，另一方面，又可以維持原居民村落及鄉間的服務型態。

同時，我們一家大小及鄰居等，均全力支持及信任[redacted]區議員，敬重他的熱心及認真的工作態度，希望他能持續為我們服務。因此，本人堅決反對 貴會草率將帝欣苑及大埔花園，劃分入(P14)寶雅區中的不合理劃分。要作出如此巨大的變動時，實應慎重考慮，保障市民得到公平而合理的服務渠道。

大埔林村居民：

2018 年 8 月 4 日

4963

致：選舉管理委員會

有關二零一九年區議會一般選舉，貴會的暫定選區範圍中，重劃的原則是以人口分佈為基礎。表面看似公平，但有令人費解之處。

(P14)寶雅區，加入了大埔花園、帝欣苑、水圍及大埔頭等，人口即時上升至 20357 人，而鄰近的(P13)林村谷則跌至 17508 人，兩者人口差距接近三千。更令人費解的是，強行將原居民村落劃入公屋區域中，兩種的服務及溝通方式，完全格格不入，到最後，未知是苦了居民還是該區議員了。

如果是因為人口因素的分配作調整的，倒不如維持大埔花園及帝欣苑不作變動，而將林村谷最邊沿的南華莆村劃入(P17)康樂團區。因為南華莆村的人口，總不會多於大埔花園及帝欣苑的人口。如此，可以稍為減少原林村谷的人口數字，另一方面，又可以維持原居民村落及鄉間的服務型態。

同時，我們一家大小及鄰居等，均全力支持及信任[redacted]區議員，敬重他的熱心及認真的工作態度，希望他能持續為我們服務。因此，本人堅決反對 貴會草率將帝欣苑及大埔花園，劃分入(P14)寶雅區中的不合理劃分。要作出如此巨大的變動時，實應慎重考慮，保障市民得到公平而合理的服務渠道。

大埔林村居民：

2018 年 8 月 4 日

4964

致：選舉管理委員會

有關二零一九年區議會一般選舉，貴會的暫定選區範圍中，重劃的原則是以人口分佈為基礎。表面看似公平，但有令人費解之處。

(P14)寶雅區，加入了大埔花園、帝欣苑、水圍及大埔頭等，人口即時上升至 20357 人，而鄰近的(P13)林村谷則跌至 17508 人，兩者人口差距接近三千。更令人費解的是，強行將原居民村落劃入公屋區域中，兩種的服務及溝通方式，完全格格不入，到最後，未知是苦了居民還是該區議員了。

如果是因為人口因素的分配作調整的，倒不如維持大埔花園及帝欣苑不作變動，而將林村谷最邊沿的南華莆村劃入(P17)康樂團區。因為南華莆村的人口，總不會多於大埔花園及帝欣苑的人口。如此，可以稍為減少原林村谷的人口數字，另一方面，又可以維持原居民村落及鄉間的服務型態。

同時，我們一家大小及鄰居等，均全力支持及信任[redacted]區議員，敬重他的熱心及認真的工作態度，希望他能持續為我們服務。因此，本人堅決反對 貴會草率將帝欣苑及大埔花園，劃分入(P14)寶雅區中的不合理劃分。要作出如此巨大的變動時，實應慎重考慮，保障市民得到公平而合理的服務渠道。

大埔林村居民：

2018 年 8 月 4 日

4965

致 選管會馮驊主席先生：

強烈反對林村谷選區劃界結果

馮先生，你好！我地是大埔林村谷選區嘅一班選民。

早前，我地得知道選管會喺選舉劃界嘅事情上面，竟未經充分諮詢嘅情況下，就對林村谷選區進行重大調整。對此，我地感到十分不滿！失望！

我地認為當局應該做好充分諮詢嘅情況下，先可以做出咁重大嘅改變。現在，當局這種先斬後奏嘅做法，令我地感到受侵犯！傷害！予人黑箱作業之感！我地實在係不能接受有關安排！

我地衷心希望馮先生以致有關當局可以收回成命，重新進行諮詢，收集好充分嘅民意後再對林村谷選區作出劃界調整。謝謝。

祝 身體健康
工作順利

簽署：

姓名：

2018 年 8 月 8 日

4966

致 選管會馮驊主席先生：

強烈反對林村谷選區劃界結果

馮先生，你好！我地是大埔林村谷選區嘅一班選民。

早前，我地得知道選管會喺選舉劃界嘅事情上面，竟未經充分諮詢嘅情況下，就對林村谷選區進行重大調整。對此，我地感到十分不滿！失望！

我地認為當局應該做好充分諮詢嘅情況下，先可以做出咁重大嘅改變。現在，當局這種先斬後奏嘅做法，令我地感到受侵犯！傷害！予人黑箱作業之感！我地實在係不能接受有關安排！

我地衷心希望馮先生以致有關當局可以收回成命，重新進行諮詢，收集好充分嘅民意後再對林村谷選區作出劃界調整。謝謝。

祝 身體健康
工作順利

簽署：

姓名：

2018 年 8 月 9 日

4967

致 選管會馮驊主席先生：

強烈反對林村谷選區劃界結果

馮先生，你好！我地是大埔林村谷選區嘅一班選民。

早前，我地得知道選管會喺選舉劃界嘅事情上面，響未經充分諮詢嘅情況下，就對林村谷選區進行重大調整。對此，我地感到十分不滿！失望！

我地認為當局應該做好充分諮詢嘅情況下，先可以做出咁重大嘅改變。現在，當局這種先斬後奏嘅做法，令我地感到受侵犯！傷害！予人黑箱作業之感！我地實在係不能接受有關安排！

我地衷心希望馮先生以致有關當局可以收回成命，重新進行諮詢，收集好充分嘅民意後再對林村谷選區作出劃界調整。謝謝。

祝 身體健康
工作順利

簽署：
姓名：

2018 年 8 月 6 日

4968

致 選管會馮驊主席先生：

強烈反對林村谷選區劃界結果

馮先生，你好！我地是大埔林村谷選區嘅一班選民。

早前，我地得知道選管會喺選舉劃界嘅事情上面，響未經充分諮詢嘅情況下，就對林村谷選區進行重大調整。對此，我地感到十分不滿！失望！

我地認為當局應該做好充分諮詢嘅情況下，先可以做出咁重大嘅改變。現在，當局這種先斬後奏嘅做法，令我地感到受侵犯！傷害！予人黑箱作業之感！我地實在係不能接受有關安排！

我地衷心希望馮先生以致有關當局可以收回成命，重新進行諮詢，收集好充分嘅民意後再對林村谷選區作出劃界調整。謝謝。

祝 身體健康
工作順利

簽署：
姓名：EHAN Tim Lai

2018 年 8 月 9 日

4969

致選管會主席馮驊先生：

反對現擬林村谷選區劃界

尊敬的馮主席，我們是居住在大埔花園和帝欣苑的居民，早前知悉了 2019 年區議會選區劃界的資料，我們對這次擬議劃界感到疑惑，故特來信反映。

這次的劃界把林村谷選區作出調整，當區的帝欣苑及大埔花園劃出選區。我們對此並不認同。大埔花園及帝欣苑為[redacted]議員[redacted]的服務區域，[redacted]議員服務我們區域多年，其工作在我們這兩個屋苑亦深獲肯定。

然而， 貴委員會這次的劃界中把這兩個屋苑從林村谷選區劃出，對屋苑居民而言是一種損失。而且從過往的票站統計數據亦可見，這兩個屋苑過往亦存在不少認同[redacted]議員地區服務的居民。這次劃界卻把大量認同[redacted]議員工作的屋苑居民仿如「賣豬仔」般被劃走，實在令人難以信服！林村谷的現擬劃界亦易惹人非議，認為是次劃界涉及政治操作之說亦不絕於耳。

參考了選管會就選區劃界的工作指引文件，當中指出：「如有多於一個調整選區分界的方法，選管會採用影響最少現有選區或較少人口的方法...」

試問除了把我們這兩個屋苑劃出，難道沒有其他平衡選區人口的方法嗎？林村谷為鄉郊及私樓混合型選區，而鄰近的多個選區亦是鄉郊型選區，相信把林村谷區界邊緣的村落調撥去其他選區亦是可行的。相較於把我們這兩個屋苑過千戶，人口估算約 4 千人去撥入其他選區這樣的大型調動，為何要捨易取難，不採納上述既影響人口較少，又能平衡選區人口的方法？

為此，我們這一群居民特此致函 閣下，為此發聲，表達我們的意見。

敬祝
工作順利

簽署：
姓名：
2018 年 8 月 8 日

4970

致選管會主席馮驊先生：

反對現擬林村谷選區劃界

尊敬的馮主席，我們是居住在大埔花園和帝欣苑的居民，早前知悉了 2019 年區議會選區劃界的資料，我們對這次擬議劃界感到疑惑，故特來信反映。

這次的劃界把林村谷選區作出調整，當區的帝欣苑及大埔花園劃出選區。我們對此並不認同。大埔花園及帝欣苑為[redacted]議員[redacted]的服務區域，[redacted]議員服務我們區域多年，其工作在我們這兩個屋苑亦深獲肯定。

然而， 貴委員會這次的劃界中把這兩個屋苑從林村谷選區劃出，對屋苑居民而言是一種損失。而且從過往的票站統計數據亦可見，這兩個屋苑過往亦存在不少認同[redacted]議員地區服務的居民。這次劃界卻把大量認同[redacted]議員工作的屋苑居民仿如「賣豬仔」般被劃走，實在令人難以信服！林村谷的現擬劃界亦易惹人非議，認為是次劃界涉及政治操作之說亦不絕於耳。

參考了選管會就選區劃界的工作指引文件，當中指出：「如有多於一個調整選區分界的方法，選管會採用影響最少現有選區或較少人口的方法...」

試問除了把我們這兩個屋苑劃出，難道沒有其他平衡選區人口的方法嗎？林村谷為鄉郊及私樓混合型選區，而鄰近的多個選區亦是鄉郊型選區，相信把林村谷區界邊緣的村落調撥去其他選區亦是可行的。相較於把我們這兩個屋苑過千戶，人口估算約 4 千人去撥入其他選區這樣的大型調動，為何要捨易取難，不採納上述既影響人口較少，又能平衡選區人口的方法？

為此，我們這一群居民特此致函 閣下，為此發聲，表達我們的意見。

敬祝
工作順利

簽署：
姓名：
2018 年 8 月 8 日

4971

致選管會主席馮驊先生：

反對現擬林村谷選區劃界

尊敬的馮主席，我們是居住在大埔花園和帝欣苑的居民，早前知悉了 2019 年區議會選區劃界的資料，我們對這次擬議劃界感到疑惑，故特來信反映。

這次的劃界把林村谷選區作出調整，當區的帝欣苑及大埔花園剔出選區。我們對此並不認同。大埔花園及帝欣苑為[redacted]議員[redacted]的服務區域，[redacted]議員服務我們區域多年，其工作在我們這兩個屋苑亦深獲肯定。

然而， 貴委員會這次的劃界中把這兩個屋苑從林村谷選區剔出，對屋苑居民而言是一種損失。而且從過往的票站統計數據亦可見，這兩個屋苑過往亦存在不少認同[redacted]議員地區服務的居民。這次劃界卻把大量認同[redacted]議員工作的屋苑居民仿如「賣豬仔」般被劃走，實在令人難以信服！林村谷的現擬劃界亦易惹人非議，認為是次劃界涉及政治操作之說亦不絕於耳。

參考了選管會就選區劃界的工作指引文件，當中指出：*「如有多於一個調整選區分界的方法，選管會採用影響最少現有選區或較少人口的方法…」*

試問除了把我們這兩個屋苑劃出，難道沒有其他平衡選區人口的方法嗎？林村谷為鄉郊及私樓混合型選區，而鄰近的多個選區亦是鄉郊型選區，相信把林村谷區界邊陲的村落調撥去其他選區亦是可行的。相較於把我們這兩個屋苑過千戶，人口估算約 4 千人去撥入其他選區這樣的大型調動，為何要捨易取難，不採納上述既影響人口較少，又能平衡選區人口的方法？

為此，我們這一群居民特此致函 閣下，為此發聲，表達我們的意見。

敬祝
工作順利

簽署：[signature]
姓名：黃啟東
2018 年 8 月 8 日

4972

致選管會主席馮驊先生：

反對現擬林村谷選區劃界

尊敬的馮主席，我們是居住在大埔花園和帝欣苑的居民，早前知悉了 2019 年區議會選區劃界的資料，我們對這次擬議劃界感到疑惑，故特來信反映。

這次的劃界把林村谷選區作出調整，當區的帝欣苑及大埔花園剔出選區。我們對此並不認同。大埔花園及帝欣苑為[redacted]議員[redacted]的服務區域，[redacted]議員服務我們區域多年，其工作在我們這兩個屋苑亦深獲肯定。

然而， 貴委員會這次的劃界中把這兩個屋苑從林村谷選區剔出，對屋苑居民而言是一種損失。而且從過往的票站統計數據亦可見，這兩個屋苑過往亦存在不少認同[redacted]議員地區服務的居民。這次劃界卻把大量認同[redacted]議員工作的屋苑居民仿如「賣豬仔」般被劃走，實在令人難以信服！林村谷的現擬劃界亦易惹人非議，認為是次劃界涉及政治操作之說亦不絕於耳。

參考了選管會就選區劃界的工作指引文件，當中指出：*「如有多於一個調整選區分界的方法，選管會採用影響最少現有選區或較少人口的方法…」*

試問除了把我們這兩個屋苑劃出，難道沒有其他平衡選區人口的方法嗎？林村谷為鄉郊及私樓混合型選區，而鄰近的多個選區亦是鄉郊型選區，相信把林村谷區界邊陲的村落調撥去其他選區亦是可行的。相較於把我們這兩個屋苑過千戶，人口估算約 4 千人去撥入其他選區這樣的大型調動，為何要捨易取難，不採納上述既影響人口較少，又能平衡選區人口的方法？

為此，我們這一群居民特此致函 閣下，為此發聲，表達我們的意見。

敬祝
工作順利

簽署：林智賢
姓名：林智賢
2018 年 8 月 9 日

4973

致選管會主席馮驊先生：

反對現擬林村谷選區劃界

尊敬的馮主席，我們是居住在大埔花園和帝欣苑的居民，早前知悉了 2019 年區議會選區劃界的資料，我們對這次擬議劃界感到疑惑，故特來信反映。

這次的劃界把林村谷選區作出調整，當區的帝欣苑及大埔花園剔出選區。我們對此並不認同。大埔花園及帝欣苑為[redacted]議員[redacted]的服務區域，[redacted]議員服務我們區域多年，其工作在我們這兩個屋苑亦深獲肯定。

然而， 貴委員會這次的劃界中把這兩個屋苑從林村谷選區剔出，對屋苑居民而言是一種損失。而且從過往的票站統計數據亦可見，這兩個屋苑過往亦存在不少認同[redacted]議員地區服務的居民。這次劃界卻把大量認同[redacted]議員工作的屋苑居民仿如「賣豬仔」般被劃走，實在令人難以信服！林村谷的現擬劃界亦易惹人非議，認為是次劃界涉及政治操作之說亦不絕於耳。

參考了選管會就選區劃界的工作指引文件，當中指出：*「如有多於一個調整選區分界的方法，選管會採用影響最少現有選區或較少人口的方法…」*

試問除了把我們這兩個屋苑劃出，難道沒有其他平衡選區人口的方法嗎？林村谷為鄉郊及私樓混合型選區，而鄰近的多個選區亦是鄉郊型選區，相信把林村谷區界邊陲的村落調撥去其他選區亦是可行的。相較於把我們這兩個屋苑過千戶，人口估算約 4 千人去撥入其他選區這樣的大型調動，為何要捨易取難，不採納上述既影響人口較少，又能平衡選區人口的方法？

為此，我們這一群居民特此致函 閣下，為此發聲，表達我們的意見。

敬祝
工作順利

簽署：[signature]
姓名：陳[illegible]
2018 年 8 月 7 日

4974

致選管會主席馮驊先生：

反對現擬林村谷選區劃界

尊敬的馮主席，我們是居住在大埔花園和帝欣苑的居民，早前知悉了 2019 年區議會選區劃界的資料，我們對這次擬議劃界感到疑惑，故特來信反映。

這次的劃界把林村谷選區作出調整，當區的帝欣苑及大埔花園剔出選區。我們對此並不認同。大埔花園及帝欣苑為[redacted]議員[redacted]的服務區域，[redacted]議員服務我們區域多年，其工作在我們這兩個屋苑亦深獲肯定。

然而， 貴委員會這次的劃界中把這兩個屋苑從林村谷選區剔出，對屋苑居民而言是一種損失。而且從過往的票站統計數據亦可見，這兩個屋苑過往亦存在不少認同[redacted]議員地區服務的居民。這次劃界卻把大量認同[redacted]議員工作的屋苑居民仿如「賣豬仔」般被劃走，實在令人難以信服！林村谷的現擬劃界亦易惹人非議，認為是次劃界涉及政治操作之說亦不絕於耳。

參考了選管會就選區劃界的工作指引文件，當中指出：*「如有多於一個調整選區分界的方法，選管會採用影響最少現有選區或較少人口的方法…」*

試問除了把我們這兩個屋苑劃出，難道沒有其他平衡選區人口的方法嗎？林村谷為鄉郊及私樓混合型選區，而鄰近的多個選區亦是鄉郊型選區，相信把林村谷區界邊陲的村落調撥去其他選區亦是可行的。相較於把我們這兩個屋苑過千戶，人口估算約 4 千人去撥入其他選區這樣的大型調動，為何要捨易取難，不採納上述既影響人口較少，又能平衡選區人口的方法？

為此，我們這一群居民特此致函 閣下，為此發聲，表達我們的意見。

敬祝
工作順利

簽署：[signature]
姓名：[illegible]
2018 年 8 月[illegible] 日

4975

致選管會主席馮驊先生：

反對現擬林村谷選區劃界

尊敬的馮主席，我們是居住在大埔花園和帝欣苑的居民，早前知悉了 2019 年區議會選區劃界的資料，我們對這次擬議劃界感到疑惑；故特來信反映。

這次的劃界把林村谷選區作出調整，當區的帝欣苑及大埔花園剔出選區。我們對此並不認同。大埔花園及帝欣苑為[redacted]議員[redacted]的服務區域，[redacted]議員服務我們區域多年，其工作在我們這兩個屋苑亦深獲肯定。

然而，貴委員會這次的劃界中把這兩個屋苑從林村谷選區剔出，對屋苑居民而言是一種損失。而且從過往的票站統計數據亦可見，這兩個屋苑過往亦存在不少認同[redacted]議員地區服務的居民。這次劃界卻把大量認同[redacted]議員工作的屋苑居民仿如「賣豬仔」般被劃走，實在令人難以信服！林村谷的現擬劃界亦易惹人非議，認為是次劃界涉及政治操作之說亦不絕於耳。

參考了選管會就選區劃界的工作指引文件，當中指出：*「如有多於一個調整選區分界的方法，選管會採用影響最少現有選區或較少人口的方法...」*

試問除了把我們這兩個屋苑劃出，難道沒有其他平衡選區人口的方法嗎？林村谷為鄉郊及私樓混合型選區，而鄰近的多個選區亦是鄉郊型選區，相信把林村谷區界邊陲的村落調撥去其他選區亦是可行的。相較於把我們這兩個屋苑過千戶，人口估算約 4 千人去撥入其他選區這樣的大型調動，為何要捨易取難，不採納上述既影響人口較少，又能平衡選區人口的方法？

為此，我們這一群居民特此致函 閣下，為此發聲，表達我們的意見。

敬祝
工作順利

簽署：[signature]
姓名：張銘賢
2018 年 8 月 3 日

4976

致選管會主席馮驊先生：

反對現擬林村谷選區劃界

尊敬的馮主席，我們是居住在大埔花園和帝欣苑的居民，早前知悉了 2019 年區議會選區劃界的資料，我們對這次擬議劃界感到疑惑；故特來信反映。

這次的劃界把林村谷選區作出調整，當區的帝欣苑及大埔花園剔出選區。我們對此並不認同。大埔花園及帝欣苑為[redacted]議員[redacted]的服務區域，[redacted]議員服務我們區域多年，其工作在我們這兩個屋苑亦深獲肯定。

然而，貴委員會這次的劃界中把這兩個屋苑從林村谷選區剔出，對屋苑居民而言是一種損失。而且從過往的票站統計數據亦可見，這兩個屋苑過往亦存在不少認同[redacted]議員地區服務的居民。這次劃界卻把大量認同[redacted]議員工作的屋苑居民仿如「賣豬仔」般被劃走，實在令人難以信服！林村谷的現擬劃界亦易惹人非議，認為是次劃界涉及政治操作之說亦不絕於耳。

參考了選管會就選區劃界的工作指引文件，當中指出：*「如有多於一個調整選區分界的方法，選管會採用影響最少現有選區或較少人口的方法...」*

試問除了把我們這兩個屋苑劃出，難道沒有其他平衡選區人口的方法嗎？林村谷為鄉郊及私樓混合型選區，而鄰近的多個選區亦是鄉郊型選區，相信把林村谷區界邊陲的村落調撥去其他選區亦是可行的。相較於把我們這兩個屋苑過千戶，人口估算約 4 千人去撥入其他選區這樣的大型調動，為何要捨易取難，不採納上述既影響人口較少，又能平衡選區人口的方法？

為此，我們這一群居民特此致函 閣下，為此發聲，表達我們的意見。

敬祝
工作順利

簽署：張郁雄
姓名：張郁雄
2018 年 8 月 3 日

4977

致選管會主席馮驊先生：

反對現擬林村谷選區劃界

尊敬的馮主席，我們是居住在大埔花園和帝欣苑的居民，早前知悉了 2019 年區議會選區劃界的資料，我們對這次擬議劃界感到疑惑；故特來信反映。

這次的劃界把林村谷選區作出調整，當區的帝欣苑及大埔花園剔出選區。我們對此並不認同。大埔花園及帝欣苑為[redacted]議員[redacted]的服務區域，[redacted]議員服務我們區域多年，其工作在我們這兩個屋苑亦深獲肯定。

然而，貴委員會這次的劃界中把這兩個屋苑從林村谷選區剔出，對屋苑居民而言是一種損失。而且從過往的票站統計數據亦可見，這兩個屋苑過往亦存在不少認同[redacted]議員地區服務的居民。這次劃界卻把大量認同[redacted]議員工作的屋苑居民仿如「賣豬仔」般被劃走，實在令人難以信服！林村谷的現擬劃界亦易惹人非議，認為是次劃界涉及政治操作之說亦不絕於耳。

參考了選管會就選區劃界的工作指引文件，當中指出：*「如有多於一個調整選區分界的方法，選管會採用影響最少現有選區或較少人口的方法...」*

試問除了把我們這兩個屋苑劃出，難道沒有其他平衡選區人口的方法嗎？林村谷為鄉郊及私樓混合型選區，而鄰近的多個選區亦是鄉郊型選區，相信把林村谷區界邊陲的村落調撥去其他選區亦是可行的。相較於把我們這兩個屋苑過千戶，人口估算約 4 千人去撥入其他選區這樣的大型調動，為何要捨易取難，不採納上述既影響人口較少，又能平衡選區人口的方法？

為此，我們這一群居民特此致函 閣下，為此發聲，表達我們的意見。

敬祝
工作順利

簽署：[signature]
姓名：[illegible]
2018 年 8 月 3 日

4978

致：選舉管理委員會

反對選管會將大埔林村谷(P13)的帝欣苑及大埔花園，劃分入(P14)寶雅區中。多年以來，兩大屋苑早已和鄉郊型的區域越來越接近。忽然要被劃入(P14)寶雅區，而該區屬高樓大廈的公共房屋，完全是市區中心的環境。跟本是兩種不同環境，兩種服務形態。到時在位的區議員一定要是超人，才能承擔這個席位。否則就是區內市民受罪，欠缺服務了，非常不公平。

因此，我建議帝欣苑及大埔花園要維持現狀，保留在原區，反而將人口稍為略少的南華菁劃出到(P17)康樂園區，因為他們同樣是原居民村落，一樣受到同樣公平而合理的服務渠道，何樂而不為。

而且我們林村人亦非常滿意[redacted]區議員，他一向盡心盡力服務地方，亦衷心希望這個平和的局面能延續下去，讓我們安居樂業。一再希望選管會審慎考慮市民大眾的訴求，切勿輕易作出不利於民的變動。

大埔林村居民：[signature]
2018 年 8 月 3 日

4979

致：選舉管理委員會

反對選管會將大埔林村谷(P13)的帝欣苑及大埔花園，劃分入(P14)寶雅區中。多年以來，兩大屋苑早已和鄉郊型的區域越來越接近。忽然要被劃入(P14)寶雅區，而該區屬高樓大廈的公共房屋，完全是市區中心的環境。跟本是兩種不同環境，兩種服務形態。到時在位的區議員一定要是超人，才能承擔這個席位。否則就是區內市民受罪，欠缺服務了，非常不公平。

因此，我建議帝欣苑及大埔花園要維持現狀，保留在原區，反而將人口稍為略少的南華莆劃出到(P17)康樂園區，因為他們同樣是原居民村落，一樣受到同樣公平而合理的服務渠道，何樂而不為。

而且我們林村人亦非常滿意[redacted]區議員，他一向盡心盡力服務地方，亦衷心希望這個平和的局面能延續下去，讓我們安居樂業。一再希望選管會審慎考慮市民大眾的訴求，切勿輕易作出不利於民的變動。

大埔林村居民：
2018 年 8 月 3 日

4980

致：選舉管理委員會

反對選管會將大埔林村谷(P13)的帝欣苑及大埔花園，劃分入(P14)寶雅區中。多年以來，兩大屋苑早已和鄉郊型的區域越來越接近。忽然要被劃入(P14)寶雅區，而該區屬高樓大廈的公共房屋，完全是市區中心的環境。跟本是兩種不同環境，兩種服務形態。到時在位的區議員一定要是超人，才能承擔這個席位。否則就是區內市民受罪，欠缺服務了，非常不公平。

因此，我建議帝欣苑及大埔花園要維持現狀，保留在原區，反而將人口稍為略少的南華莆劃出到(P17)康樂園區，因為他們同樣是原居民村落，一樣受到同樣公平而合理的服務渠道，何樂而不為。

而且我們林村人亦非常滿意[redacted]區議員，他一向盡心盡力服務地方，亦衷心希望這個平和的局面能延續下去，讓我們安居樂業。一再希望選管會審慎考慮市民大眾的訴求，切勿輕易作出不利於民的變動。

大埔林村居民：
2018 年 8 月 3 日

4981

致選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生, GBS 尊鑒：

建議進一步優化大埔林村谷選區的區界

我們是大埔林村谷的一群居民，日前選管會公佈了 2019 年區議會選區劃界。當中包括對林村谷的區界調整。我們理解並尊重選管會是基於既定工作方針來作選區的調動，但同時我們亦想藉此向 閣下反映作為居住在林村谷多年的居民對這次劃界的意見。

林村谷選區近年來不斷有外區人遷入，每逢重大節日，例如許願節等節日，林村谷的主要幹道林錦公路更是水洩不通，這些是我們居民近年來實實在在的切身感受。而且從實際的數字上，林村谷的人口亦持續膨脹。我們翻開了政府的人口數據，發現自 2016 年林村谷的人口已經 19,369 人，而且區內還有大型發展項目(恒基之項目)，人口還會增加。

而在恒基的項目落成後，相信林村谷的人口會再度急升。而我們知悉是次選區劃界，大埔區議會將不會增加新選區，故此選區之間的調整亦只會在毗鄰選區之邊緣範圍作調動。我們認同並支持選管會基於人口因素而對林村谷選區作出調整。為此，為了進一步平衡各選區之人口，我們建議 貴委員會把林村谷北端的南華莆村調撥入康樂園選區，以平衡各選區人口及資源。

作出此等建議的原因是因縱使各區議員之資源是均等，但因所服務的人口及地理範圍卻異常差異 (例如林村谷人口數量屬大埔區各選區之前列)，導致實際每位居民所能受惠的資源存在落差。再者，南華莆村位於林村谷選區的北端，亦緊貼康樂園選區，不論從地理環境上還是區情上皆存在調撥至康樂園選區的基礎。

我們謹此作以上建議，希望 貴委員會予以考慮。

簽署：
姓名/職稱：何宝琦
日期：2-8-2018

4982

致選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生, GBS 尊鑒：

建議進一步優化大埔林村谷選區的區界

我們是大埔林村谷的一群居民，日前選管會公佈了 2019 年區議會選區劃界。當中包括對林村谷的區界調整。我們理解並尊重選管會是基於既定工作方針來作選區的調動，但同時我們亦想藉此向 閣下反映作為居住在林村谷多年的居民對這次劃界的意見。

林村谷選區近年來不斷有外區人遷入，每逢重大節日，例如許願節等節日，林村谷的主要幹道林錦公路更是水洩不通，這些是我們居民近年來實實在在的切身感受。而且從實際的數字上，林村谷的人口亦持續膨脹。我們翻開了政府的人口數據，發現自 2016 年林村谷的人口已經 19,369 人，而且區內還有大型發展項目(恒基之項目)，人口還會增加。

而在恒基的項目落成後，相信林村谷的人口會再度急升。而我們知悉是次選區劃界，大埔區議會將不會增加新選區，故此選區之間的調整亦只會在毗鄰選區之邊緣範圍作調動。我們認同並支持選管會基於人口因素而對林村谷選區作出調整。為此，為了進一步平衡各選區之人口，我們建議 貴委員會把林村谷北端的南華莆村調撥入康樂園選區，以平衡各選區人口及資源。

作出此等建議的原因是因縱使各區議員之資源是均等，但因所服務的人口及地理範圍卻異常差異 (例如林村谷人口數量屬大埔區各選區之前列)，導致實際每位居民所能受惠的資源存在落差。再者，南華莆村位於林村谷選區的北端，亦緊貼康樂園選區，不論從地理環境上還是區情上皆存在調撥至康樂園選區的基礎。

我們謹此作以上建議，希望 貴委員會予以考慮。

簽署：
姓名/職稱：
日期：2018/8/9

4983

致選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生, GBS 尊鑒：

建議進一步優化大埔林村谷選區的區界

我們是大埔林村谷的一群居民，日前選管會公佈了 2019 年區議會選區劃界。當中包括對林村谷的區界調整。我們理解並尊重選管會是基於既定工作方針來作選區的調動，但同時我們亦想藉此向 閣下反映作為居住在林村谷多年的居民對這次劃界的意見。

林村谷選區近年來不斷有外區人遷入，每逢重大節日，例如許願節等節日，林村谷的主要幹道林錦公路更是水洩不通，這些是我們居民近年來實實在在的切身感受。而且從實際的數字上，林村谷的人口亦持續膨脹。我們翻閱了政府的人口數據，發現自 2016 年林村谷的人口已經 19,369 人，而且區內還有大型發展項目(恒基之項目)，人口還會增加。

而在恒基的項目落成後，相信林村谷的人口會再度急升。而我們知悉是次選區劃界，大埔區議會將不會增加新選區，故此選區之間的調整亦只會在毗鄰選區之邊緣範圍作調動。我們認同並支持選管會基於人口因素而對林村谷選區作出調整。為此，為了進一步平衡各選區之人口，我們建議 貴委員會把林村谷北端的南華莆村調撥入康樂園選區，以平衡各選區人口及資源。

作出此等建議的原因是因縱使各區議員之資源是均等，但因所服務的人口及地理範圍卻異常差異 (例如林村谷人口數量屬大埔區各選區之前列)，導致實際每位居民所能受惠的資源存在落差。再者，南華莆村位於林村谷選區的北端，亦緊貼康樂園選區，不論從地理環境上還是區情上皆存在調撥至康樂園選區的基礎。

我們謹此作以上建議，希望 貴委員會予以考慮。

簽署：
姓名/職稱：
日期：2018.08.10

4984

致選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生, GBS 尊鑒：

建議進一步優化大埔林村谷選區的區界

我們是大埔林村谷的一群居民，日前選管會公佈了 2019 年區議會選區劃界。當中包括對林村谷的區界調整。我們理解並尊重選管會是基於既定工作方針來作選區的調動，但同時我們亦想藉此向 閣下反映作為居住在林村谷多年的居民對這次劃界的意見。

林村谷選區近年來不斷有外區人遷入，每逢重大節日，例如許願節等節日，林村谷的主要幹道林錦公路更是水洩不通，這些是我們居民近年來實實在在的切身感受。而且從實際的數字上，林村谷的人口亦持續膨脹。我們翻閱了政府的人口數據，發現自 2016 年林村谷的人口已經 19,369 人，而且區內還有大型發展項目(恒基之項目)，人口還會增加。

而在恒基的項目落成後，相信林村谷的人口會再度急升。而我們知悉是次選區劃界，大埔區議會將不會增加新選區，故此選區之間的調整亦只會在毗鄰選區之邊緣範圍作調動。我們認同並支持選管會基於人口因素而對林村谷選區作出調整。為此，為了進一步平衡各選區之人口，我們建議 貴委員會把林村谷北端的南華莆村調撥入康樂園選區，以平衡各選區人口及資源。

作出此等建議的原因是因縱使各區議員之資源是均等，但因所服務的人口及地理範圍卻異常差異 (例如林村谷人口數量屬大埔區各選區之前列)，導致實際每位居民所能受惠的資源存在落差。再者，南華莆村位於林村谷選區的北端，亦緊貼康樂園選區，不論從地理環境上還是區情上皆存在調撥至康樂園選區的基礎。

我們謹此作以上建議，希望 貴委員會予以考慮。

簽署：李金連
姓名/職稱：李金連
日期：10.08.2018

4985

致選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生, GBS 尊鑒：

建議進一步優化大埔林村谷選區的區界

我們是大埔林村谷的一群居民，日前選管會公佈了 2019 年區議會選區劃界。當中包括對林村谷的區界調整。我們理解並尊重選管會是基於既定工作方針來作選區的調動，但同時我們亦想藉此向 閣下反映作為居住在林村谷多年的居民對這次劃界的意見。

林村谷選區近年來不斷有外區人遷入，每逢重大節日，例如許願節等節日，林村谷的主要幹道林錦公路更是水洩不通，這些是我們居民近年來實實在在的切身感受。而且從實際的數字上，林村谷的人口亦持續膨脹。我們翻閱了政府的人口數據，發現自 2016 年林村谷的人口已經 19,369 人，而且區內還有大型發展項目(恒基之項目)，人口還會增加。

而在恒基的項目落成後，相信林村谷的人口會再度急升。而我們知悉是次選區劃界，大埔區議會將不會增加新選區，故此選區之間的調整亦只會在毗鄰選區之邊緣範圍作調動。我們認同並支持選管會基於人口因素而對林村谷選區作出調整。為此，為了進一步平衡各選區之人口，我們建議 貴委員會把林村谷北端的南華莆村調撥入康樂園選區，以平衡各選區人口及資源。

作出此等建議的原因是因縱使各區議員之資源是均等，但因所服務的人口及地理範圍卻異常差異 (例如林村谷人口數量屬大埔區各選區之前列)，導致實際每位居民所能受惠的資源存在落差。再者，南華莆村位於林村谷選區的北端，亦緊貼康樂園選區，不論從地理環境上還是區情上皆存在調撥至康樂園選區的基礎。

我們謹此作以上建議，希望 貴委員會予以考慮。

簽署：
姓名/職稱：劉秋英
日期：2018年8月8日

4986

致選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生, GBS 尊鑒：

建議進一步優化大埔林村谷選區的區界

我們是大埔林村谷的一群居民，日前選管會公佈了 2019 年區議會選區劃界。當中包括對林村谷的區界調整。我們理解並尊重選管會是基於既定工作方針來作選區的調動，但同時我們亦想藉此向 閣下反映作為居住在林村谷多年的居民對這次劃界的意見。

林村谷選區近年來不斷有外區人遷入，每逢重大節日，例如許願節等節日，林村谷的主要幹道林錦公路更是水洩不通，這些是我們居民近年來實實在在的切身感受。而且從實際的數字上，林村谷的人口亦持續膨脹。我們翻閱了政府的人口數據，發現自 2016 年林村谷的人口已經 19,369 人，而且區內還有大型發展項目(恒基之項目)，人口還會增加。

而在恒基的項目落成後，相信林村谷的人口會再度急升。而我們知悉是次選區劃界，大埔區議會將不會增加新選區，故此選區之間的調整亦只會在毗鄰選區之邊緣範圍作調動。我們認同並支持選管會基於人口因素而對林村谷選區作出調整。為此，為了進一步平衡各選區之人口，我們建議 貴委員會把林村谷北端的南華莆村調撥入康樂園選區，以平衡各選區人口及資源。

作出此等建議的原因是因縱使各區議員之資源是均等，但因所服務的人口及地理範圍卻異常差異 (例如林村谷人口數量屬大埔區各選區之前列)，導致實際每位居民所能受惠的資源存在落差。再者，南華莆村位於林村谷選區的北端，亦緊貼康樂園選區，不論從地理環境上還是區情上皆存在調撥至康樂園選區的基礎。

我們謹此作以上建議，希望 貴委員會予以考慮。

簽署：
姓名/職稱：
日期：2018年8月8日

4987

謹致：選舉管理委員會

有關二零一九年區議會一般選舉，貴會的暫定選區範圍中，重劃的原則是以人口分佈為基礎。表面看似公平，但有令人費解之處。

(P14)寶雅區，加入了大埔花園、帝欣苑、水圍及大埔頭等，人口即時上升至 20357 人，而鄰近的(P13)林村谷則跌至 17508 人，兩者人口差距接近三千。更令人費解的是，強行將原居民村落劃入公屋區域中，兩種的服務及溝通方式，完全格格不入，到最後，未知是苦了居民還是該區議員了。

如果是因為人口因素的分配作調整的，倒不如維持大埔花園及帝欣苑不作變動，而將林村谷最邊沿的南華莆村劃入(P17)康樂園區。因為南華莆村的人口，總不會多於大埔花園及帝欣苑的人口。如此，可以稍為減少原林村谷的人口數字，另一方面，又可以維持原居民村落及鄉間的服務型態。

因此，本人堅決反對 貴會草率將帝欣苑及大埔花園，劃分入(P14)寶雅區中的不合理劃分。要作出如此巨大的變動時，實應慎重考慮，保障市民得到公平而合理的服務渠道。

大埔居民：陳[redacted]玲

2018 年 8 月 3 日

4988

致：選舉管理委員會

有關二零一九年區議會一般選舉，貴會的暫定選區範圍中，重劃的原則是以人口分佈為基礎。表面看似公平，但有令人費解之處。

(P14)寶雅區，加入了大埔花園、帝欣苑、水圍及大埔頭等，人口即時上升至 20357 人，而鄰近的(P13)林村谷則跌至 17508 人，兩者人口差距接近三千。更令人費解的是，強行將原居民村落劃入公屋區域中，兩種的服務及溝通方式，完全格格不入，到最後，未知是苦了居民還是該區議員了。

如果是因為人口因素的分配作調整的，倒不如維持大埔花園及帝欣苑不作變動，而將林村谷最邊沿的南華莆村劃入(P17)康樂園區。因為南華莆村的人口，總不會多於大埔花園及帝欣苑的人口。如此，可以稍為減少原林村谷的人口數字，另一方面，又可以維持原居民村落及鄉間的服務型態。

同時，我們一家大小及鄰居等，均全力支持及信任[redacted]區議員，敬重他的熱心及認真的工作態度，希望他能持續為我們服務。因此，本人堅決反對 貴會草率將帝欣苑及大埔花園，劃分入(P14)寶雅區中的不合理劃分。要作出如此巨大的變動時，實應慎重考慮，保障市民得到公平而合理的服務渠道。

大埔林村居民：

2018 年 8 月 4 日

4989

致：選舉管理委員會

有關二零一九年區議會一般選舉，貴會的暫定選區範圍中，重劃的原則是以人口分佈為基礎。表面看似公平，但有令人費解之處。

(P14)寶雅區，加入了大埔花園、帝欣苑、水圍及大埔頭等，人口即時上升至 20357 人，而鄰近的(P13)林村谷則跌至 17508 人，兩者人口差距接近三千。更令人費解的是，強行將原居民村落劃入公屋區域中，兩種的服務及溝通方式，完全格格不入，到最後，未知是苦了居民還是該區議員了。

如果是因為人口因素的分配作調整的，倒不如維持大埔花園及帝欣苑不作變動，而將林村谷最邊沿的南華莆村劃入(P17)康樂園區。因為南華莆村的人口，總不會多於大埔花園及帝欣苑的人口。如此，可以稍為減少原林村谷的人口數字，另一方面，又可以維持原居民村落及鄉間的服務型態。

同時，我們一家大小及鄰居等，均全力支持及信任[redacted]區議員，敬重他的熱心及認真的工作態度，希望他能持續為我們服務。因此，本人堅決反對 貴會草率將帝欣苑及大埔花園，劃分入(P14)寶雅區中的不合理劃分。要作出如此巨大的變動時，實應慎重考慮，保障市民得到公平而合理的服務渠道。

大埔林村居民：

2018 年 8 月 4 日

4990

致：選舉管理委員會

有關二零一九年區議會一般選舉，貴會的暫定選區範圍中，重劃的原則是以人口分佈為基礎。表面看似公平，但有令人費解之處。

(P14)寶雅區，加入了大埔花園、帝欣苑、水圍及大埔頭等，人口即時上升至 20357 人，而鄰近的(P13)林村谷則跌至 17508 人，兩者人口差距接近三千。更令人費解的是，強行將原居民村落劃入公屋區域中，兩種的服務及溝通方式，完全格格不入，到最後，未知是苦了居民還是該區議員了。

如果是因為人口因素的分配作調整的，倒不如維持大埔花園及帝欣苑不作變動，而將林村谷最邊沿的南華莆村劃入(P17)康樂園區。因為南華莆村的人口，總不會多於大埔花園及帝欣苑的人口。如此，可以稍為減少原林村谷的人口數字，另一方面，又可以維持原居民村落及鄉間的服務型態。

同時，我們一家大小及鄰居等，均全力支持及信任[redacted]區議員，敬重他的熱心及認真的工作態度，希望他能持續為我們服務。因此，本人堅決反對 貴會草率將帝欣苑及大埔花園，劃分入(P14)寶雅區中的不合理劃分。要作出如此巨大的變動時，實應慎重考慮，保障市民得到公平而合理的服務渠道。

大埔林村居民：

2018 年 8 月 4 日

4991

致：選舉管理委員會

有關二零一九年區議會一般選舉，貴會的暫定選區範圍中，重劃的原則是以人口分佈為基礎。表面看似公平，但有令人費解之處。

(P14)寶雅區，加入了大埔花園、帝欣苑、水圍及大埔頭等，人口即時上升至 20357 人，而鄰近的(P13)林村谷則跌至 17508 人，兩者人口差距接近三千。更令人費解的是，強行將原居民村落劃入公屋區域中，兩種的服務及溝通方式，完全格格不入，到最後，未知是苦了居民還是該區議員了。

如果是因為人口因素的分配作調整的，倒不如維持大埔花園及帝欣苑不作變動，而將林村谷最邊沿的南華莆村劃入(P17)康樂園區。因為南華莆村的人口，總不會多於大埔花園及帝欣苑的人口。如此，可以稍為減少原林村谷的人口數字，另一方面，又可以維持原居民村落及鄉間的服務型態。

同時，我們一家大小及鄰居等，均全力支持及信任[redacted]區議員，敬重他的熱心及認真的工作態度，希望他能持續為我們服務。因此，本人堅決反對 貴會草率將帝欣苑及大埔花園，劃分入(P14)寶雅區中的不合理劃分。要作出如此巨大的變動時，實應慎重考慮，保障市民得到公平而合理的服務渠道。

大埔林村居民：[signature]
2018 年 8 月 4 日

4992

致選舉管理委員會，

本人居於大埔花園，至今以來非常支持[redacted]區議員，亦感謝他積極改善區內大小服務，他亦受到區內居民擁戴。如 2019 年將大埔花園及帝欣苑劃以寶雅區實在過於唐突。大埔花園及帝欣苑原屬鄉郊區域，而寶雅區內則是公屋及居屋模式，兩者需要的服務型式亦不一樣，區議員難以同一尺度服務兩方居民。結果只是適得其反，難以融合市民的需求。

此外，除去大埔花園及帝欣苑，還有大埔頭水圍、大埔頭、太湖山莊及華樂豪庭亦劃入寶雅區，合共 7000 人，該區人口暴增，相信亦會帶來影響及負擔。期望 貴會慎重考慮，以保障市民得到公平及合理的待遇和服務。

大埔花園居民：[signature]
2018-08-02

4993

致選舉管理委員會，

本人居於大埔花園，至今以來非常支持[redacted]區議員，亦感謝他積極改善區內大小服務，他亦受到區內居民擁戴。如 2019 年將大埔花園及帝欣苑劃以寶雅區實在過於唐突。大埔花園及帝欣苑原屬鄉郊區域，而寶雅區內則是公屋及居屋模式，兩者需要的服務型式亦不一樣，區議員難以同一尺度服務兩方居民。結果只是適得其反，難以融合市民的需求。

此外，除去大埔花園及帝欣苑，還有大埔頭水圍、大埔頭、太湖山莊及華樂豪庭亦劃入寶雅區，合共 7000 人，該區人口暴增，相信亦會帶來影響及負擔。期望 貴會慎重考慮，以保障市民得到公平及合理的待遇和服務。

大埔花園居民：[signature]
2018-08-02

4994

致 選管會馮驊主席先生：

強烈反對林村谷選區劃界結果

馮先生，你好！我地是大埔林村谷選區嘅一班選民。

早前，我地得知選管會喺選舉劃界嘅事情上面，響未經充分諮詢嘅情況下，就對林村谷選區進行重大調整。對此，我地感到十分不滿！失望！

我地認為當局應該做好充分諮詢嘅情況下，先可以做出咁重大嘅改變。現在，當局這種先斬後奏嘅做法，令我地感到受侵犯！傷害！予人黑箱作業之感！我地實在係不能接受有關安排！

我地衷心希望馮先生以致有關當局可以收回成命，重新進行諮詢，收集好充分嘅民意後再對林村谷選區作出劃界調整。謝謝。

祝 身體健康
工作順利

簽署：[signature]
姓名：[signature]

2018 年 8 月 6 日

致 選管會馮驊主席先生：

強烈反對林村谷選區劃界結果

馮先生，你好！我地是大埔林村谷選區嘅一班選民。

早前，我地得知道選管會喺選舉劃界嘅事情上面，響未經充分諮詢嘅情況下，就對林村谷選區進行重大調整。對此，我地感到十分不滿！失望！

我地認為當局應該做好充分諮詢嘅情況下，先可以做出咁重大嘅改變。現在，當局這種先斬後奏嘅做法，令我地感到受侵犯！傷害！予人黑箱作業之感！我地實在係不能接受有關安排！

我地衷心希望馮先生以致有關當局可以收回成命，重新進行諮詢，收集好充分嘅民意後再對林村谷選區作出劃界調整。謝謝。

祝 身體健康
工作順利

簽署：
姓名：Shek Lai,

2018年8月9日

致選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生, GBS 尊鑒：

建議進一步優化大埔林村谷選區的區界

我們是大埔林村谷的一群居民，日前選管會公佈了 2019 年區議會選區劃界。當中包括對林村谷的區界調整。我們理解並尊重選管會是基於既定工作方針來作選區的調動，但同時我們亦想藉此向 閣下反映作為居住在林村谷多年的居民對這次劃界的意見。

林村谷選區近年來不斷有外區人遷入，每逢重大節日，例如許願節等節日，林村谷的主要幹道林錦公路更是水洩不通，這些是我們居民近年來實實在在的切身感受。而且從實際的數字上，林村谷的人口亦持續膨脹。我們翻閱了政府的人口數據，發現自 2016 年林村谷的人口已經 19,369 人，而且區內還有大型發展項目(恒基之項目)，人口還會增加。

而在恒基的項目落成後，相信林村谷的人口會再度急升。而我們知悉是次選區劃界，大埔區議會將不會增加新選區，故此選區之間的調整亦只會在毗鄰選區之邊線範圍作調動。我們認同並支持選管會基於人口因素而對林村谷選區作出調整。為此，為了進一步平衡各選區之人口，我們建議 貴委員會把林村谷北端的南華莆村調撥入康樂園選區，以平衡各選區人口及資源。

作出此等建議的原因是因縱使各區議員之資源是均等，但因所服務的人口及地理範圍卻異常差異（例如林村谷人口數量屬大埔區各選區之前列），導致實際每位居民所能受惠的資源存在落差。再者，南華莆村位於林村谷選區的北端，亦緊貼康樂園選區，不論從地理環境上還是區情上皆存在調撥至康樂園選區的基礎。

我們謹此作以上建議，希望 貴委員會予以考慮。

簽署：陳偉業
姓名/職稱：陳偉業
日期：2018.08.10

致：選舉管理委員會

有關二零一九年區議會一般選舉，貴會的暫定選區範圍中，重劃的原則是以人口分佈為基礎。表面看似公平，但有令人費解之處。

(P14)實雅區，加入了大埔花園、帝欣苑、水圍及大埔頭等，人口即時上升至 20357 人，而鄰近的(P13)林村谷則跌至 17508 人，兩者人口差距接近三千。更令人費解的是，強行將原居民村落劃入公屋區域中，兩種的服務及溝通方式，完全格格不入，到最後，未知是苦了居民還是該區議員了。

如果是因為人口因素的分配作調整的，倒不如維持大埔花園及帝欣苑不作變動，而將林村谷最邊沿的南華莆村劃入(P17)康樂園區。因為南華莆村的人口，總不會多於大埔花園及帝欣苑的人口。如此，可以稍為減少原林村谷的人口數字，另一方面，又可以維持原居民村落及鄉間的服務型態。

同時，我們一家大小及鄰居等，均全力支持及信任[redacted]區議員，敬重他的熱心及認真的工作態度，希望他能持續為我們服務。因此，本人堅決反對 貴會草率將帝欣苑及大埔花園，劃分入(P14)實雅區中的不合理劃分。要作出如此巨大的變動時，實應慎重考慮，保障市民得到公平而合理的服務渠道。

大埔林村居民：
2018年8月4日

14B 8月2018年 16時44分

新民主同盟

任啟邦 議員辦事處

地址：[redacted] 電話：[redacted] 傳真：[redacted]

敬啟者：

反對 貴會改劃大埔林村谷(P13)、實雅區(P14)及康樂園(P17)選區的建議

早前， 貴委員會就大埔區多個選區作出改動，尤以林村谷(P13)、實雅區(P14)及康樂園(P17)分界的建議作出重大改動。就 貴會建議，本人感到難以理解及認同，故特致函就題述事宜表達反對，詳細原因如下：

1. 昨非今是，雙重標準
根據 2015 年區選分界建議報告書的大埔區書面/口頭申述摘要，有建議林村谷(P13)內的大埔頭水圍轉編入實雅(P14)，而非當時的臨時建議下的康樂園(P17)。而當時選管會是採納此建議，因為實雅區是一個以居屋及公屋為主的選區，而康樂園是一個城鄉交融的選區，當中包括低密度的屋苑及鄉村，因此將大埔頭水圍的鄉村編入康樂園較理想。但是於 4 年後，選管會卻推翻 4 年前的決定，強行將大埔頭村、水圍村等鄉村及太湖山莊、華樂豪庭等低密度高級住宅區編入實雅區，大大破壞社區的獨特性及完整性。選管會於 4 年前將水圍劃入康樂園區，現時卻計劃劃入實雅區，選區的不斷更換讓水圍村的居民無所適從。

2. 為何實雅選區(P14)一區要承擔七千人口的增加壓力
暫定的選區劃分令實雅選區人口急增約七千人至二萬人，而且選區地區面積大幅增加，也令社區完整性大大改變，對居民對議員帶來不便。我們認為多區人口超過人口上限及接受人口上限，實不應只向一區「開刀」，如果要作出改動，我們建議實由多區共同承擔，減少對某一區的影響。以林村谷(P13)、康樂園(P17)甚至船灣（P18)人口過多為由， 貴會可考慮由頌汀區(P02)(人口：14658 人)、實雅區(P14)(人口：13412 人)、太和區(P15)(人口：13727 人)及菩提及太湖區(P16)(人口：15204 人)共同分擔。事實上，上述 4 區人口乃低於 貴會訂立的選區人口中位數，我們認為以多區承擔的方式處理是較公平的方法。

3. 什麼區可選豁免改劃，雙重標準如何服眾
貴委員會都是繼於社區完整性、地方聯繫、地理交通和人口分布的考慮，以上選區人口亦獲准偏離法例許可幅度。因此選管會應以社區的完整性、地方聯繫及地理交通等原因，不應強行把鄉村郊區的人口編入鄰近公屋及居屋的選區中。然而今屆區議會不少建議選區的劃分低或高於標準人口基數的上下限 25%，如西貢區西貢市中心(Q01)的人口偏離百分比是-34.33%、南區的鴨脷洲邨(D02)的人口偏離百分比是-27.33%、觀塘的麗港城(J27)的人口偏離百分比是+49.15%、北區的聯和墟(N01)的人口偏離百分比是+25.03%、離島區的大嶼山(T01)逸東邨南(T02)逸東邨北(T03)東涌南(T04)坪洲及喜靈洲(T08)南丫及蒲台(T09)及長洲(T10)的人口偏離百分比是+48.71%、+41.42%、+49.42%、+27.8%、-60.11%、-60.83%

4998(2)

14 8月2018年 10時44分

及+31.04%。選管會都是繼於社區完整性、地方聯繫、地理交通和人口分布的考慮，以上選區人口亦獲准偏離法例許可幅度，因此選管會應以社區的完整性、地方聯繫及地理交通等原因，不應強行把鄉村郊區的人口編入鄰近公屋及居屋的選區中。

4. 中期而言，大埔應新增最少 2 個選區

大埔區的鄉村區持續發展，多個新屋苑落成，使林村谷(P13)、康樂園(17)及船灣(P18)的人口不斷增加，船灣區的標準人口基數偏離百分比是+22.95%，已接近偏離法例許可幅度。因此選管會應將林村谷(P13)、康樂園(17)及船灣(P18)三個鄉郊選區共同處理。我們建議 貴會將上述 3 區分為 4 個選區，讓大埔鄉郊選區的人口可減低，也留有人口上升的空間，以有利社區的規劃及發展。這個建議亦可保持鄉郊特色，也可讓其民意代表更好反映當區居民的需要。

基於上述反對原因及反建議，本人希望 貴會重新慎重考慮新選區分區建議，並作最妥善的安排。如有查詢，請致電[redacted]與本人聯絡，謝謝！

此致
選舉管理委員會

大埔區議員
任啟邦 謹啟

二零一八年八月十四日

14-AUG-2018 17:11 96% P.002

4999

致選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生, GBS 尊鑒：

建議進一步優化大埔林村谷選區的區界

我們是大埔林村谷的一群居民，日前選管會公佈了 2019 年區議會選區劃界。當中包括對林村谷的區界調整。我們理解並尊重選管會是基於既定工作方針來作選區的調動。但同時我們亦想藉此向 閣下反映作為居住在林村谷多年的居民對這次劃界的意見。

林村谷選區近年來不斷有外區人遷入，每逢重大節日，例如許願節等節日，林村谷的主要幹道林錦公路更是水洩不通，這些是我們居民近年來實實在在的切身感受。而且從實際的數字上，林村谷的人口亦持續膨脹，我們翻閱了政府的人口數據，發現自 2016 年林村谷的人口已經 19,369 人，而且區內還有大型發展項目(恒基之項目)，人口還會增加。

而在恒基的項目落成後，相信林村谷的人口會再度急升。而我們知悉是次選區劃界，大埔區議會將不會增加新選區，故此選區之間的調整亦只會在毗鄰選區之邊緣範圍作調動。我們認同並支持選管會基於人口因素而對林村谷選區作出調整。為此，為了進一步平衡各選區之人口，我們建議 貴委員會把林村谷北端的南華莆村調撥入康樂園選區，以平衡各選區人口及資源。

作出此等建議的原因是因縱使各區議員之資源是均等，但因所服務的人口及地理範圍卻異常差異 (例如林村谷人口數量屬大埔區各選區之前列)，導致實際每位居民所能受惠的資源存在落差。再者，南華莆村位於林村谷選區的北端，亦緊貼康樂園選區，不論從地理環境上還是區情上皆存在調撥至康樂園選區的基礎。

我們謹此作以上建議，希望 貴委員會予以考慮。

簽署：
姓名/職稱：
日期：9-8-2018

5000(1)

敬啟者：

反對 P17 康樂園選區劃分區域事項

就著 貴選管會擬於 2019 年區議會選舉，將大埔 P17 康樂園選區中原區界內的大埔頭、大埔頭水圍、太湖山莊及華樂豪庭劃離並歸併 P14 寶雅選區諮詢事項，於此提出反對意見。

綜觀大埔區，共有七大出租公共屋邨和租者置其屋計劃屋邨，以及太和站附近的大埔舊墟和大埔墟站附近的大埔新墟的一帶私人屋苑及唐樓，其餘不少居民散居於大埔區內約 133 條大小村落，應地理環境而成，大埔區議會選區，大致分為屋苑（包括公屋、居屋、私人樓宇）及鄉郊（包括村屋、漁村、寮屋、私人低密度住宅等）兩部分。屋苑選區工作與鄉郊選區負責範疇及工作大有不同，屋苑議員工作範圍較為集中，與居民接觸率較高，原有房屋、地下喉管、康樂設施等基建工程基本上已成熟定型；而鄉郊地區範圍大，歷史遺留下來的多項村務建設問題，例如房屋改建、道路規劃、建設橋樑、鋪設喉管等等，需在未來逐步跟進改善，其鄉村維繫、溝通連貫性十分重要，鄉郊議員工作需長時間走村進行工程及個案跟進，與村民建立良好關係，同時亦要尊重村落文化，熟悉村例村規，做好政府部門及村民之間的橋樑，讓各項工程順利進行。

上述劃區建議被劃離的大埔頭、水圍等均屬大埔鄉事委員會管轄屬村之一，亦是大埔聯益鄉原居民村成員。村民聚居，家族代代傳承著新界村落傳統文化，其傳統生活性質，與屋苑樓宇生活不同。同時，上述被建議劃離村落，經多屆鄉郊議員的努力工作及爭取，多項大型工程不久將落實動工，若只以人口為考慮因素，貿然將村落撥入屋苑選區，交由沒有處理鄉郊村務經驗的屋苑議員進行管理，等於打斷村落傳統的社區聯繫及鄉村工程工作的銜接性，種種情況將造成城鄉矛盾。

本人身為華樂豪庭管理委員會主席，於 7 月 31 日會議上，各委員一致通過反對將華樂豪庭劃離 P17 選區，特別要求本人向 貴選管會反映及提出抗議。該次劃區建議諮詢期（2018.07.23—2018.08.21）只有短短一個月，對各村居民、當區議員工作，甚至有意角逐的人士來說，都是一大難題。

本人自 2016 年 1 月 1 日履行區議員職務，百分之九十五（95%）以上工作都是處理鄉郊區各村落範圍之改善環境、衛生、交通、民生及基建

5000(2)

設施工程等等事務，嵐山屋苑居民的遷入遷出沒有影響或增加本人工作量。

對前任康樂園選區議員[redacted]先生在其 8 月 8 日反對信內論述大埔頭及水圍二條持續發展中鄉村見解和仍將康樂園（P17）選區之地區服務範圍維持不變訴求，本人深表認同和支持。

如 貴選管會仍規限於人口基數法則操作情況下，大埔鄉事委員會 8/8/2018 公函號 2A/DUT-EAC/18-249 內述建議方案可作考慮之列。

綜上所述，本人懇請 貴選管會能聽取意見，保留大埔頭、大埔頭水圍、太湖山莊及華樂豪庭於原有 P17 區域。

此致
選舉管理委員會秘書

大埔康樂園（P17）選區
鄧銘泰 區議員

2018 年 8 月 13 日

副本呈：
大埔民政事務處
大埔鄉事委員會
大埔聯益鄉
[redacted]太平紳士

5001

致選管會主席馮驊先生：

反對現擬林村谷選區劃界

尊敬的馮主席，我們是居住在大埔花園和帝欣苑的居民，早前知悉了 2019 年區議會選區劃界的資料，我們對這次擬議劃界感到疑惑，故特來信反映。

這次的劃界把林村谷選區作出調整，當區的帝欣苑及大埔花園剔出選區。我們對此並不認同。大埔花園及帝欣苑為[redacted]議員[redacted]的服務區域，[redacted]議員服務我們區域多年，其工作在我們這兩個屋苑亦深獲肯定。

然而，貴委員會這次的劃界中把這兩個屋苑從林村谷選區剔出，對屋苑居民而言是一種損失。而且從過往的票站統計數據亦可見，這兩個屋苑過往亦存在不少認同[redacted]議員地區服務的居民。這次劃界卻把大量認同[redacted]議員工作的屋苑居民仿如「賣豬仔」般被劃走，實在令人難以信服！林村谷的現擬劃界亦易惹人非議，認為是次劃界涉及政治操作之說亦不絕於耳。

參考了選管會就選區劃界的工作指引文件，當中指出：*「如有多於一個調整選區分界的方法，選管會採用影響最少現有選區或較少人口的方法…」*

試問除了把我們這兩個屋苑劃出，難道沒有其他平衡選區人口的方法嗎？林村谷為鄉郊及私樓混合型選區，而鄰近的多個選區亦是鄉郊型選區，相信把林村谷區界邊陲的村落調撥去其他選區亦是可行的。相較於把我們這兩個屋苑遷千戶，人口估算約 4 千人去撥入其他選區這樣的大型調動，為何要捨易取難，不採納上述既影響人口較少，又能平衡選區人口的方法？

為此，我們這一群居民特此致函 閣下，為此發聲，表達我們的意見。

敬祝
工作順利

簽署：
姓名：陳家進
2018 年 8 月 14 日

5002

致：選舉管理委員會

反對 貴會草率將大埔的(P13)帝欣苑及大埔花園，劃分入(P14)寶雅區中。多屆以來，兩大屋苑早已融合為鄉郊型的區域。如果劃入(P14)寶雅區，該區屬高樓大廈的公屋及居屋，完全是市區中心的環境。試問一位區議員要兼顧兩種完全不同類型的服務方式，如何應付，將市民大眾當做白老鼠，完全不顧服務素質，非常不公平。因此，反對這樣的劃分，要求維持現狀，保障納稅人得到公平而合理的服務渠道。

本人一家均支持[redacted]區議員，得他熱誠而盡責的服務態度，改善區內大小民生事項，期待他能繼續出任，服務林村谷。

如果要跟據人口數字劃分，建議將這區的邊沿位置，南華莆村劃入鄰近的(P17)康樂園區，至少亦都是劃入鄉郊型的區域，服務性質亦較為接近。懇請 貴會謹重考慮。

大埔林村居民：
2018 年 8 月 3 日

5003

致：選舉管理委員會

反對 貴會草率將大埔的(P13)帝欣苑及大埔花園，劃分入(P14)寶雅區中。多屆以多，兩大屋苑早已融合為鄉郊型的區域。如果劃入(P14)寶雅區，該區屬高樓大廈的公屋及居屋，完全是市區中心的環境。試問一位區議員要兼顧兩種完全不同類型的服務方式，如何應付，將市民大眾當做白老鼠，完全不顧服務素質，非常不公平。因此，反對這樣的劃分，要求維持現狀，保障納稅人得到公平而合理的服務渠道。

大埔居民：
2018 年 8 月 3 日

5004

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊先生

我是大埔區選民。就早前有關二零一九年區議會一般選舉中，有關 貴會規劃林村谷選區(P13)及寶雅選區區(P14)的暫定選區範圍，指電劃的原則是以人口分佈為基礎。表面看似公平，但實際上並不合理。

在最新的規劃中，寶雅選區(P14)，加入了大埔花園、帝欣苑、水圍及大埔頭等，人口即時上升至 20357 人，而鄰近的林村谷選區(P13)則跌至 17508 人，兩者人口差距接近三千。我翻閱了政府的人口數據，發現自 2016年林村谷的人口已經 19,369 人，而且區內還有大型發展項目(恒基之項目)，人口還會增加。

而在恒基的項目落成後，相信林村谷的人口會再度急升。

如果是因為人口因素的分配作調整的，倒不如維持大埔花園及帝欣苑不作變動，而將林村谷最邊沿的南華莆村劃入(P17)康樂園區。因為南華莆村的人口，總不會多於大埔花園及帝欣苑的人口。如此，可以稍為減少原林村谷的人口數字，另一方面，又可以維持原居民村落及鄉間的服務型態。

因此，本人堅決反對 貴會草率將帝欣苑及大埔花園，劃分入寶雅選區(P14)中的不合理劃分。要作出如此巨大的變動時，實應慎重考慮，事前做好充分諮詢，保障市民得到公平而合理的服務渠道。

大埔居民：
2018 年 8 月 14 日

5005

致：選舉管理委員會

本人反對將大埔的帝欣苑及大埔花園，劃分入(P14)寶雅區中。因為私人屋苑的生活模式及服務需求，與大型公屋的情況，完全不一樣。一旦撥入(P14)寶雅區，到時該區區議員要八面玲瓏，十八般武藝，樣樣皆能，才可以應付多種不同類型的服務。恐怕適得其反，顧此而失彼。

政府未考慮市民的實際需要，單一以人口數字為由，隨便圈劃分界，未免太過公式化。到時完全無法發揮區議員的服務質素，受害的最終都是市民。

因此，堅持反對這樣的劃分，促請政府必需審慎考慮。

大埔區市民：

2018.8.2.

5006

致選管會主席馮驊先生：

反對現擬林村谷選區劃界

尊敬的馮主席，我們是居住在大埔花園和帝欣苑的居民，早前知悉了 2019 年區議會選區劃界的資料，我們對這次擬議劃界感到疑惑，故特來信反映。

這次的劃界把林村谷選區作出調整，當區的帝欣苑及大埔花園剔出選區。我們對此並不認同。大埔花園及帝欣苑為[redacted]議員[redacted]的服務區域，[redacted]議員服務我們區域多年，其工作在我們這兩個屋苑亦深獲肯定。

然而，貴委員會這次的劃界中把這兩個屋苑從林村谷選區剔出，對屋苑居民而言是一種損失。而且從過往的票站統計數據亦可見，這兩個屋苑過往亦存在不少認同[redacted]議員地區服務的居民。這次劃界卻把大量認同[redacted]議員工作的屋苑居民仿如「實豬仔」般被劃走，實在令人難以信服！林村谷的現擬劃界亦易惹人非議，認為是次劃界涉及政治操作之說亦不絕於耳。

參考了選管會就選區劃界的工作指引文件，當中指出：*「如有多於一個調整選區分界的方法，選管會採用影響最少現有選區或較少人口的方法…」*

試問除了把我們這兩個屋苑劃出，難道沒有其他平衡選區人口的方法嗎？林村谷為鄉郊及私樓混合型選區，而鄰近的多個選區亦是鄉郊型選區，相信把林村谷區界邊陲的村落調撥去其他選區亦是可行的。相較於把我們這兩個屋苑過千戶，人口估算約 4 千人去撥入其他選區這樣的大型調動，為何要捨易取難，不採納上述既影響人口較少，又能平衡選區人口的方法？

為此，我們這一群居民特此致函 閣下，為此發聲，表達我們的意見。

敬祝
工作順利

簽署：

姓名：

2018 年 8 月 13 日

5007

致：選舉管理委員會

本人反對將大埔的帝欣苑及大埔花園，劃分入(P14)寶雅區中。因為私人屋苑的生活模式及服務需求，與大型公屋的情況，完全不一樣。一旦撥入(P14)寶雅區，到時該區區議員要八面玲瓏，十八般武藝，樣樣皆能，才可以應付多種不同類型的服務。恐怕適得其反，顧此而失彼。

政府未考慮市民的實際需要，單一以人口數字為由，隨便圈劃分界，未免太過公式化。到時完全無法發揮區議員的服務質素，受害的最終都是市民。

因此，堅持反對這樣的劃分，促請政府必需審慎考慮。

大埔區市民：

2018.8.2.

5008

致選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生, GBS 尊鑒：

建議進一步優化大埔林村谷選區的區界

我們是大埔林村谷的一群居民，日前選管會公佈了 2019 年區議會選區劃界，當中包括對林村谷的區界調整，我們理解並尊重選管會是基於既定工作方針來作選區的調動，但同時我們亦想藉此向 閣下反映作為居住在林村谷多年的居民對這次劃界的意見。

林村谷選區近年來不斷有外區人遷入，每逢重大節日，例如許願節等節日，林村谷的主要幹道林錦公路更是水洩不通，這些是我們居民近年來實實在在的切身感受，而且從實際的數字上，林村谷的人口亦持續膨脹，我們翻閱了政府的人口數據，發現自 2016 年林村谷的人口已經 19,369 人，而且區內還有大型發展項目(恒基之項目)，人口還會增加。

而在恒基的項目落成後，相信林村谷的人口會再度急升，而我們知悉是次選區劃界，大埔區議會將不會增加新選區，故此選區之間的調整亦只會在毗鄰選區之邊緣範圍作調動，我們認同並支持選管會基於人口因素而對林村谷選區作出調整，為此，為了進一步平衡各選區之人口，我們建議 貴委員會把林村谷北端的南華苗村調撥入康樂園選區，以平衡各選區人口及資源。

作出此等建議的原因是因縱使各區議員之資源是均等，但因所服務的人口及地理範圍卻異常差異 (例如林村谷人口數量勝大埔區各選區之前列)，導致實際每位居民所能受惠的資源存在落差。再者，南華苗村位於林村谷選區的北端，亦緊貼康樂園選區，不論從地理環境上還是區情上皆存在調撥至康樂園選區的基礎。

我們謹此作以上建議，希望 貴委員會予以考慮。

簽署：

姓名/職稱：

日期：2018-8-14

謹致：選舉管理委員會

有關二零一九年區議會一般選舉，貴會的暫定選區範圍中，重劃的原則是以人口分佈為基礎。表面看似公平，但有令人費解之處。

(P14)寶雅區，加入了大埔花園、帝欣苑、水圍及大埔頭等，人口即時上升至 20357 人，而鄰近的(P13)林村谷則跌至 17508 人，兩者人口差距接近三千。更令人費解的是，強行將原居民村落劃入公屋區域中，兩種的服務及溝通方式，完全格格不入，到最後，未知是苦了居民還是該區議員了。

如果是因為人口因素的分配作調整的，倒不如維持大埔花園及帝欣苑不作變動，而將林村谷最邊沿的南華莆村劃入(P17)康樂園區。因為南華莆村的人口，總不會多於大埔花園及帝欣苑的人口。如此，可以稍為減少原林村谷的人口數字，另一方面，又可以維持原居民村落及鄉間的服務型態。

因此，本人堅決反對 貴會草率將帝欣苑及大埔花園，劃分入(P14)寶雅區中的不合理劃分。要作出如此巨大的變動時，實應慎重考慮，保障市民得到公平而合理的服務渠道。

大埔居民：
2018 年 8 月 3 日

致：選舉管理委員會

反對 貴會草率將大埔的(P13)帝欣苑及大埔花園，劃分入(P14)寶雅區中。多居以來，兩大屋苑早已融合為鄉郊型的區域。如果劃入(P14)寶雅區，該區屬高樓大廈的公屋及居屋，完全是市區中心的環境。試問一位區議員要兼顧兩種完全不同類型的服務方式，如何應付，將市民大眾當做白老鼠，完全不顧服務素質，非常不公平。因此，反對這樣的劃分，要求維持現狀，保障納稅人得到公平而合理的服務渠道。

本人一家均支持[redacted]區議員，得他熱誠而盡責的服務態度，改善區內大小民生事項，期待他能繼續出任，服務林村谷。

如果要跟據人口數字劃分，建議將這區的邊沿位置，南華莆村劃入鄰近的(P17)康樂園區，至少亦都是劃入鄉郊型的區域，服務性質亦較為接近。懇請 貴會謹重考慮。

大埔林村居民：
2018 年 8 月 3 日

5011(1)



敬啟者：

有關反對大埔林村谷(P13)、寶雅區(P14)及康樂園(P17)建議分界的意見

本人對選管會更改大埔林村谷(P13)、寶雅區(P14)及康樂園(P17)分界的建議深感失望，因此特致函就題述事宜表達反對，詳細原因如下：

1. 根據 2015 年區選分界建議報告書的大埔區書面/口頭申述摘要，有建議林村谷(P13)內的大埔頭水圍轉編入寶雅(P14)，而非當時的臨時建議下的康樂園(P17)。而當時選管會是不接納此建議，因為寶雅區是一個以居屋及公屋為主的選區，而康樂園是一個城鄉交融的選區，當中包括低密度的屋苑及鄉村，因此將大埔頭水圍的鄉村編入康樂園較理想。但是於 4 年後，選管會卻推翻 4 年前的決定，強行將大埔頭村、水圍村等鄉村及太湖山莊、華洛泰庭等低密度高級住宅區編入寶雅區，大大破壞社區的獨特性及完整性。選管會於 4 年前將水圍劃入康樂園區，現時卻計劃劃入寶雅區，選區的不斷更換讓水圍的居民無所適從。

2. 暫定的選區劃分令寶雅選區人口急增約七千人至二萬人，而且選區地區面積大幅增加。然而今屆區議會不少建議選區的劃分低或高於標準人口基數的上下限25%，如西貢區西貢市中心(Q01)的人口偏離百分比是-34.33%、南區的鴨脷洲邨(D02) 的人口偏離百分比是-27.33%、觀塘的麗港城(J27)的人口偏離百分比是+49.15%、北區的聯和墟(N01) 的人口偏離百分比是+25.03%、離島區的大嶼山{T01)、逸東邨南(T02)、逸東邨北(T03)、東涌南(T04)、坪洲及喜靈洲(T08)、南丫及蒲台(T09)及長洲(T10) 的人口偏離百分比是+48.71%、+41.42%、+49.42%、+27.8%、-60.11%、-60.83%及+31.04%。選管會部是鑒於社區完整性、地方聯繫、地理交通和人口分布的考慮，以上選區人口亦獲准偏離法例許可幅度。因此選管會應以社區的完整性、地方聯繫及地理交通等原因，不應強行把鄉村郊區的人口編入鄰近公屋及居屋的選區中。

3. 大埔區的鄉村區持續發展，多個新屋苑落成，使林村谷(P13)、康樂園(17)及船灣(P18)的人口不斷增加，船灣區的標準人口基數偏離百分比是+22.95%，已接近偏離法例許可幅度。因此選管會應將林村谷(P13)、康樂園(17)及船灣(P18)三個鄉郊選區共同處理，並將其分為四個選區，讓大埔鄉郊選區的人口可減低，也留有人口上升的空間，以有利社區的規劃及發展。

5011(2)

4. 此外，暫定的選區劃分令寶雅選區人口急增約七千人至二萬人，而且選區地區面積大幅增加，也令社區完整性大大改變，對居民對議員帶來不便。我們認為多區人口超過人口上限及接受人口上限，實不應只向一區「開刀」，如果要作出改動，我們建議貴由多區共同承擔，減少對某一區的影響。以林村谷(P13)、康樂園(P17)甚至船灣（P18）人口過多為由， 貴會可考慮由頌汀區(P02)（人口：14658 人)、寶雅區(P14)(人口: 13412 人)、太和區(P15)(人口: 13727 人)及善慧及太湖區(P16)(人口: 15204 人)共同分擔。事實上，上述 4 區人口乃低於 貴會訂立的選區人口中位數，我們認為以多區承擔的方式處理是較公平的方法。

最後，基於上述原因，望選管會重新慎重考慮新選區分區建議，並作最妥善的安排。如有查詢，請致電[redacted]與本人聯絡，謝謝!

候覆，此致
選舉管理委員會

周炫瑋

大埔區議員 周炫瑋 謹啟

二零一八年八月十六日

103 8月2018年 16時17分 5012(1)

敬啟者：

有關反對大埔林村谷(P13)、寶雅區(P14)及康樂園(P17)建議分界的意見

我們對選管會更改大埔林村谷(P13)、寶雅區(P14)及康樂園(P17)分界的建議深感失望，因此將致函就關這事宜表達反對，詳細原因如下：

1. 根據 2015 年區選分界建議報告書的大埔區書面/口頭申述摘要，有建議林村谷(P13)內的大埔頭水圍轉編入寶雅(P14)，而非當時的臨時建議下的康樂園(P17)。而當時選管會是不接納此建議，因為寶雅區是一個以居屋及公屋為主的選區，而康樂園是一個城鄉交融的選區，當中包括低密度的屋苑及鄉村，因此將大埔頭水圍的鄉村編入康樂園較理想。但是於 4 年後，選管會卻推翻 4 年前的決定，強行將大埔頭村、水圍村等鄉村及太湖山莊、華洛豪庭等低密度高級住宅區編入寶雅區，大大破壞社區的獨特性及完整性。選管會於 4 年前將水圍劃入康樂園區，現時卻計劃劃入寶雅區，選區的不斷更換讓水圍的居民無所適從。

2. 暫定的選區劃分令寶雅選區人口急增約七千人至二萬人，而且選區地區面積大幅增加。然而今屆區議會不少選議選區的劃分低或高於標準人口基數的上下限 25%，如西貢區西貢市中心(Q01)的人口偏離百分比是-34.33%、南區的鴨脷洲邨(D02) 的人口偏離百分比是-27.33%、觀塘的觀港城(J27)的人口偏離百分比是+49.15%、北區的聯和墟(N01) 的人口偏離百分比是+25.03%、離島區的大嶼山(T01)、逸東邨南(T02)、逸東邨北(T03)、東涌南(T04)、坪洲及喜靈洲(T08)、南丫及蒲台(T09)及長洲(T10) 的人口偏離百分比是+48.71%、+41.42%、+49.42%、+27.8%、-60.11%、-60.83%及+31.04%。選管會都是鑑於社區完整性、地方聯繫、地理交通和人口分布的考慮，以上選區人口亦獲准偏離法例許可幅度。因此選管會應以社區的完整性、地方聯繫及地理交通等原因，不應強行把鄉村郊區的人口編入鄰近公屋及居屋的選區中。

3. 大埔區的鄉村區持續發展，多個新屋苑落成，使林村谷(P13)、康樂園(17)及船灣(P18)的人口不斷增加，船灣區的標準人口基數偏離百分比是+22.95%，已接近偏離法例許可幅度。因此選管會應將林村谷(P13)、康樂園(17)及船灣(P18)三個鄉郊選區共同處理，並將其分為四個選區，讓大埔鄉郊選區的人口可減低，也留有人口上升的空間，以有利社區的規劃及發展。

4. 此外，暫定的選區劃分令寶雅選區人口急增約七千人至二萬人，而且選區地區面積大幅增加，也令社區完整性大大改變，對居民對議員帶來不便。這也大大減少原本服務太和邨的資源。我們認為多區人口超過人口上限及接受人口上限，實不應只向一區「開刀」，如果要作出改動，我們建議實由多區共同承擔，減少對某一區的影響。以林村谷(P13)、康樂園(P17)甚至船灣（P18)人口過多為

16-AUG-2018 16:38 96% P.001

168 8月2018年 16時15分 5012(2)

由， 貴會可考慮由碗汀區(P02)（人口：14658 人)、寶雅區(P14)(人口: 13412 人)、太和區(P15)(人口: 13727 人)及舊墟及太湖區(P16)(人口: 15204 人)共同分擔。事實上，上述 4 區人口乃低於 貴會訂立的選區人口中位數，我們認為以多區承擔的方式處理是較公平的方法，

最後，基於上述原因，我們望選管會重新慎重考慮新選區分區建議，並作最妥善的安排。

候覆，此致
選舉管理委員會

大和樓互助委員會 TEL: 2651 0453

曾慶榮

太和邨麗和樓互助委員會
謹啟

二零一八年八月十六日

5013

致：選舉管理委員會

有關二零一九年區議會一般選舉，貴會的暫定選區範圍中，重劃的原則是以人口分佈為基礎。表面看似公平，但有令人費解之處。

(P14)寶雅區，加入了大埔花園、帝欣苑、水圍及大埔頭等，人口即時上升至 20357 人，而鄰近的(P13)林村谷則跌至 17508 人，兩者人口差距接近三千。更令人費解的是，強行將原居民村落劃入公屋區域中，兩種的服務及溝通方式，完全格格不入，到最後，未知是苦了居民還是該區議員了。

如果是因為人口因素的分配作調整的，倒不如維持大埔花園及帝欣苑不作變動，而將林村谷最邊沿的南華莆村劃入(P17)康樂園區。因為南華莆村的人口，總不會多於大埔花園及帝欣苑的人口。如此，可以稍為減少原林村谷的人口數字，另一方面，又可以維持原居民村落及鄉間的服務型態。

同時，我們一家大小及鄰居等，均全力支持及信任[redacted]區議員，敬重他的熱心及認真的工作態度，希望他能持續為我們服務。因此，本人堅決反對 貴會草率將帝欣苑及大埔花園，劃分入(P14)寶雅區中的不合理劃分。要作出如此巨大的變動時，實應慎重考慮，保障市民得到公平而合理的服務渠道。

大埔林村居民：

2018 年 8 月 4 日

RECEIVED 17 AUG 2018 ELECTORAL AFFAIRS COMMISSION

5014

敬啓者：

強烈反對 2019 年大埔區議會選區劃界臨時建議

本人鄧佩達乃大埔頭原居民，自宋元時代起家族先祖二十五代世居於大埔頭村，本人生於斯長於斯，對本村的一草一木皆有濃厚的情誼。本人自 1982 年已被推選為大埔區議會議員，承擔起服務社群，為鄉親謀祉的重任。及後歷任大埔鄉事委員會副主席、大埔滅罪委員會主席、前區域市政局大埔區議會代表。1989 年本人獲港英政府授勳榮譽勳章，並於 1992 年被委任為太平紳士，一直擔當政府與鄉民的溝通橋樑，協助政府推行各項政策，為鄉民反映意見。對 貴會在毫無諮詢下推行 2019 年區議會選區劃分臨時建議，本人表示震驚。

自有區議會選舉以來，歷屆選舉一貫把大埔頭、華樂豪庭及太湖山莊歸入 P17 鄉郊選區。從歷史來說，太湖山莊及華樂豪庭座落於大埔頭範圍內，兩個屋苑的土地業權很多屬前大埔頭村的祖堂私人土地或政府土地；從居住環境來說，三個地點皆屬低人口密度的新界小型屋宇，村民生活傳統有別於城鎮居民，訴求也有分別，故把三者歸類鄉郊選區，做法合理。奈何 貴會現建議把三者撥入 P14 的寶雅選區，此舉可見 貴會開門進車，只按地圖糊亂劃界，強把城鎮與鄉郊村落混為管治，枉顧居民的實際情況，破壞鄉郊地區的完整性。

再者，區議會選舉改動選區劃界乃民生大事，對村民影響深遠，作出改動前理應作充分諮詢，了解持分者的不同意見，參詳利弊，取長補短。 貴會現只憑一個人口基數作推算，計算不盡不實，做法粗疏，完全不科學，若然 貴會仍一意孤行，必激起民憤。

一直以來政府依賴一眾鄉紳作為與原居民的溝通橋樑，上呈下達，行之有效。反觀 貴會現在改動選區劃界沒有作充分諮詢，無視地區人士意見，做法令人失望。為免民怨四起，本人強烈要求保留大埔頭、太湖山莊及華樂豪庭在 P17 選區內。

此致
選舉管理委員會秘書

大埔頭原居民

鄧佩達太平紳士

2018 年 8 月 14 日

副本抄送：
大埔民政事務處
大埔鄉事委員會

5015

致：選舉管理委員會

本人反對將大埔的帝欣苑及大埔花園，劃分入(P14)寶雅區中。因為私人屋苑的生活模式及服務需求，與大型公屋的情況，完全不一樣。一旦撥入(P14)寶雅區，到時該區區議員要八面玲瓏，十八般武藝，樣樣皆能，才可以應付多種不同類型的服務。恐怕適得其反，顧此而失彼。

政府未考慮市民的實際需要，單一以人口數字為由，隨便圈劃分界，未免太過公式化。到時完全無法發揮區議員的服務質素，受害的最終都是市民。如要因應人口數字作劃分，建議將周邊的南華莆村撥入到(P17)康樂園區，因為他們同樣是由鄉郊村區議員照顧，資源分配亦較為一致。

因此，懇請政府必需審慎考慮。

大埔區市民：

2018.8.2.

5016

致：選舉管理委員會

本人反對將大埔的帝欣苑及大埔花園，劃分入(P14)寶雅區中。因為私人屋苑的生活模式及服務需求，與大型公屋的情況，完全不一樣。一旦撥入(P14)寶雅區，到時該區區議員要八面玲瓏，十八般武藝，樣樣皆能，才可以應付多種不同類型的服務。恐怕適得其反，顧此而失彼。

政府未考慮市民的實際需要，單一以人口數字為由，隨便圈劃分界，未免太過公式化。到時完全無法發揮區議員的服務質素，受害的最終都是市民。

因此，堅持反對這樣的劃分，促請政府必需審慎考慮。

大埔區市民：

2018.8.2.

5017

致：選舉管理委員會

本人反對將大埔的帝欣苑及大埔花園，劃分入(P14)寶雅區中。因為私人屋苑的生活模式及服務需求，與大型公屋的情況，完全不一樣。一旦撥入(P14)寶雅區，到時該區區議員要八面玲瓏，十八般武藝，樣樣皆能，才可以應付多種不同類型的服務。恐怕適得其反，顧此而失彼。

政府未考慮市民的實際需要，單一以人口數字為由，隨便圈劃分界，未免太過公式化。到時完全無法發揮區議員的服務質素，受害的最終都是市民。

因此，堅持反對這樣的劃分，促請政府必需審慎考慮。

大埔區市民：

2018.8.2.

5018

致：選舉管理委員會

反對 貴會草率將大埔的(P13)帝欣苑及大埔花園，劃分入(P14)寶雅區中。多屆以來，兩大屋苑早已融合為鄉郊型的區域。如果劃入(P14)寶雅區，該區屬高樓大廈的公屋及居屋，完全是市區中心的環境。試問一位區議員要兼顧兩種完全不同類型的服務方式，如何應付，將市民大眾當做白老鼠，完全不顧服務素質，非常不公平。因此，反對這樣的劃分，要求維持現狀，保障納稅人得到公平而合理的服務渠道。

本人一家均支持[redacted]區議員，得他熱誠而盡責的服務態度，改善區內大小民生事項，期待他能繼續出任，服務林村谷。

如果要跟據人口數字劃分，建議將這區的邊沿位置，南華莆村劃入鄰近的(P17)康樂園區，至少亦都是劃入鄉郊型的區域，服務性質亦較為接近。懇請 貴會確重考慮。

大埔林村居民：

2018 年 8 月 3 日

5019

謹致：選舉管理委員會

有關二零一九年區議會一般選舉，貴會的暫定選區範圍中，重劃的原則是以人口分佈為基礎。表面看似公平，但有令人費解之處。

(P14)寶雅區，加入了大埔花園、帝欣苑、水圍及大埔頭等，人口即時上升至 20357 人，而鄰近的(P13)林村谷則跌至 17508 人，兩者人口差距接近三千。更令人費解的是，強行將原居民村落劃入公屋區域中，兩種的服務及溝通方式，完全格格不入，到最後，未知是苦了居民還是該區議員了。

如果是因為人口因素的分配作調整的，倒不如維持大埔花園及帝欣苑不作變動，而將林村谷最邊沿的南華莆村劃入(P17)康樂園區。因為南華莆村的人口，總不會多於大埔花園及帝欣苑的人口。如此，可以稍為減少原林村谷的人口數字，另一方面，又可以維持原居民村落及鄉間的服務型態。

因此，本人堅決反對 貴會草率將帝欣苑及大埔花園，劃分入(P14)寶雅區中的不合理劃分。要作出如此巨大的變動時，實應慎重考慮，保障市民得到公平而合理的服務渠道。

大埔居民：
2018 年 8 月 3 日

5020

致：選舉管理委員會

反對 貴會草率將大埔的(P13)帝欣苑及大埔花園，劃分入(P14)寶雅區中。多屆以來，兩大屋苑早已融合為鄉郊型的區域。如果劃入(P14)寶雅區，該區屬高樓大廈的公屋及居屋，完全是市區中心的環境。試問一位區議員要兼顧兩種完全不同類型的服務方式，如何應付，將市民大眾當做白老鼠，完全不顧服務素質，非常不公平。因此，反對這樣的劃分，要求維持現狀，保障納稅人得到公平而合理的服務渠道。

本人一家均支持[redacted]區議員，得他熱誠而盡責的服務態度，改善區內大小民生事項，期待他能繼續出任，服務林村谷。

如果要跟據人口數字劃分，建議將這區的邊沿位置，南華莆村劃入鄰近的(P17)康樂園區，至少亦都是劃入鄉郊型的區域，服務性質亦較為接近。懇請 貴會謹重考慮。

大埔林村居民：
2018 年 8 月 3 日

5021

謹致：選舉管理委員會

有關二零一九年區議會一般選舉，貴會的暫定選區範圍中，重劃的原則是以人口分佈為基礎。表面看似公平，但有令人費解之處。

(P14)寶雅區，加入了大埔花園、帝欣苑、水圍及大埔頭等，人口即時上升至 20357 人，而鄰近的(P13)林村谷則跌至 17508 人，兩者人口差距接近三千。更令人費解的是，強行將原居民村落劃入公屋區域中，兩種的服務及溝通方式，完全格格不入，到最後，未知是苦了居民還是該區議員了。

如果是因為人口因素的分配作調整的，倒不如維持大埔花園及帝欣苑不作變動，而將林村谷最邊沿的南華莆村劃入(P17)康樂園區。因為南華莆村的人口，總不會多於大埔花園及帝欣苑的人口。如此，可以稍為減少原林村谷的人口數字，另一方面，又可以維持原居民村落及鄉間的服務型態。

因此，本人堅決反對 貴會草率將帝欣苑及大埔花園，劃分入(P14)寶雅區中的不合理劃分。要作出如此巨大的變動時，實應慎重考慮，保障市民得到公平而合理的服務渠道。

大埔居民：程碧英
2018 年 8 月 3 日

5022(1)

太和邨業主立案法團
THE INCORPORATED OWNERS OF TAI WO ESTATE

香港新界大埔太和邨居和樓地下 107 號 Unit 107, G/F, Kui Wo House, Tai Wo Estate, Tai Po, N.T. H.K.
Tel. : 2656 8383 Fax. : 2656 5970 E-mail : taiwooc@gmail.com

敬啟者：

有關反對大埔林村谷(P13)、寶雅區(P14)及康樂園(P17)建議分界的意見

我們對選管會更改大埔林村谷(P13)、寶雅區(P14)及康樂園(P17)分界的建議深感失望，因此特致函就題述事宜表達反對，詳細原因如下：

1. 根據 2015 年區選分界建議報告書的大埔區書面/口頭申述摘要，有建議林村谷(P13)內的大埔頭水圍轉編入寶雅(P14)，而非當時的臨時建議下的康樂園(P17)。而當時選管會是不接納此建議，因為寶雅區是一個以居屋及公屋為主的選區，而康樂園是一個城鄉交融的選區，當中包括低密度的屋苑及鄉村，因此將大埔頭水圍的鄉村編入康樂園較理想。但是於 4 年後，選管會卻推翻 4 年前的決定，強行將大埔頭村、水圍村等鄉村及太湖山莊、華浴豪庭等低密度高級住宅區編入寶雅區，大大破壞社區的獨特性及完整性。選管會於 4 年前將水圍劃入康樂園區，現時卻計劃劃入寶雅區，選區的不斷更換讓水圍的居民無所適從。

2. 暫定的選區劃分令寶雅選區人口急增約七千人至二萬人，而且選區地區面積大幅增加。然而今屆區議會不少建議選區的劃分低或高於標準人口基數的上下限 25%，如西貢區西貢市中心(Q01)的人口偏離百分比是-34.33%、南區的鴨脷洲邨(D02) 的人口偏離百分比是-27.33%、觀塘的麗港城(J27)的人口偏離百分比是+49.15%、北區的聯和墟(N01) 的人口偏離百分比是+25.03%、離島區的大嶼山(T01)、逸東邨南(T02)、逸東邨北(T03)、東涌南(T04)、坪洲及喜靈洲(T08)、南丫及蒲台(T09)及長洲(T10) 的人口偏離百分比是+48.71%、+41.42%、+49.42%、+27.8%、-60.11%、-60.83%及+31.04%。選管會都是鑑於社區完整性、地方聯繫、地理交通和人口分布的考慮，以上選區人口亦獲准偏離法例許可幅度。因此選管會應以社區的完整性、地方聯繫及地理交通等原因，不應強行把鄉村郊區的人口編入鄰近公屋及居屋的選區中。

3. 大埔區的鄉村區持續發展，多個新屋苑落成，使林村谷(P13)、康樂園(17)及船灣(P18)的人口不斷增加，船灣區的標準人口基數偏離百分比是+22.95%，已接近偏離法例許可幅度。因此選管會應將林村谷(P13)、康樂園(17)及船灣(P18)三個鄉郊選區共同處理，並將其分為四個選區，讓大埔鄉郊選區的人口可減低，也留有人口上升的空間，以有利社區的規劃及發展。

4. 此外，暫定的選區劃分令寶雅選區人口急增約七千人至二萬人，而且選區地區面積大幅增加，也令社區完整性大大改變，對居民對議員帶來不便。這也大大減少原本

5022(2)

太和邨業主立案法團

THE INCORPORATED OWNERS OF TAI WO ESTATE

香港新界大埔太和邨居和樓地下 107 號 Unit 107, G/F, Kui Wo House, Tai Wo Estate, Tai Po, N.T. H.K.
Tel. : 2656 8383 Fax. : 2656 5970 E-mail : taiwocc@gmail.com

服務太和邨的資源。我們認為多區人口超過人口上限及接受人口上限，實不應只向一區「開刀」，如果要作出改動，我們建議實由多區共同承擔，減少對某一區的影響。以林村谷(P13)、康樂園(P17)甚至船灣（P18)人口過多為由， 貴會可考慮由頌汀區(P02)（人口：14658 人)、寶雅區(P14)(人口: 13412 人)、太和區(P15)(人口: 13727 人)及舊墟及太湖區(P16)(人口: 15204 人)共同分擔。事實上，上述 4 區人口乃低於 貴會訂立的選區人口中位數，我們認為以多區承擔的方式處理是較公平的方法。

最後，基於上述原因，我們望選管會重新慎重考慮新選區分區建議，並作最妥善的安排。

候覆，此致
選舉管理委員會

主席：任育雯 女士

太和邨業主立案法團

謹啟

二零一八年八月十六日

5023(1)

太和邨 亨和樓互助委員會

太和邨 亨和樓 106 室地下 電話：26501916

敬啟者：

有關反對大埔林村谷(P13)、寶雅區(P14)及康樂園(P17)建議分界的意見

我們對選管會更改大埔林村谷(P13)、寶雅區(P14)及康樂園(P17)分界的建議深感失望，因此特致函就題述事宜表達反對，詳細原因如下：

1. 根據 2015 年區選分界建議報告書的大埔區書面/口頭申述摘要，有建議林村谷(P13)內的大埔頭水圍轉編入寶雅(P14)，而非當時的臨時建議下的康樂園(P17)。而當時選管會是不接納此建議，因為寶雅區是一個以居屋及公屋為主的選區，而康樂園是一個城鄉交融的選區，當中包括低密度的屋苑及鄉村，因此將大埔頭水圍的鄉村編入康樂園較理想。但是於 4 年後，選管會卻推翻 4 年前的決定，強行將大埔頭村、水圍村等鄉村及太湖山莊、華洛豪庭等低密度高級住宅區編入寶雅區，大大破壞社區的獨特性及完整性。選管會於 4 年前將水圍劃入康樂園區，現時卻計劃劃入寶雅區，選區的不斷更換讓水圍的居民無所適從。

2. 暫定的選區劃分令寶雅選區人口急增約七千人至二萬人，而且選區地區面積大幅增加。然而今屆區議會不少建議選區的劃分低或高於標準人口基數的上下限 25%，如西貢區西貢市中心(Q01)的人口偏離百分比是-34.33%、南區的鴨脷洲邨(D02)的人口偏離百分比是-27.33%、觀塘的麗港城(J27)的人口偏離百分比是+49.15%、北區的聯和墟(N01) 的人口偏離百分比是+25.03%、離島區的大嶼山(T01)、逸東邨南(T02)、逸東邨北(T03)、東涌南(T04)、坪洲及喜靈洲(T08)、南丫及蒲台(T09)及長洲(T10) 的人口偏離百分比是+48.71%、+41.42%、+49.42%、+27.8%、-60.11%、-60.83%及+31.04%。選管會都是鑑於社區完整性、地方聯繫、地理交通和人口分布的考慮，以上選區人口亦獲准偏離法例許可幅度。因此選管會應以社區的完整性、地方聯繫及地理交通等原因，不應強行把鄉村郊區的人口編入鄰近公屋及居屋的選區中。

3. 大埔區的鄉村區持續發展，多個新屋苑落成，使林村谷(P13)、康樂園(17)及船灣(P18)的人口不斷增加，船灣區的標準人口基數偏離百分比是+22.95%，已接近偏離法例許可幅度。因此選管會應將林村谷(P13)、康樂園(17)及船灣(P18)三個鄉郊

5023(2)

選區共同處理，並將其分為四個選區，讓大埔鄉郊選區的人口可減低，也留有人口上升的空間，以有利社區的規劃及發展。

4. 此外，暫定的選區劃分令寶雅選區人口急增約七千人至二萬人，而且選區地區面積大幅增加，也令社區完整性大大改變，對居民對議員帶來不便。這也大大減少原本服務太和邨的資源。我們認為多區人口超過人口上限及接受人口上限，實不應只向一區「開刀」，如果要作出改動，我們建議實由多區共同承擔，減少對某一區的影響。以林村谷(P13)、康樂園(P17)甚至船灣（P18)人口過多為由， 貴會可考慮由頌汀區(P02)（人口：14658 人)、寶雅區(P14)(人口: 13412 人)、太和區(P15)(人口: 13727 人)及舊墟及太湖區(P16)(人口: 15204 人)共同分擔。事實上，上述 4 區人口乃低於 貴會訂立的選區人口中位數，我們認為以多區承擔的方式處理是較公平的方法。

最後，基於上述原因，我們望選管會重新慎重考慮新選區分區建議，並作最妥善的安排。

候覆，此致
選舉管理委員會

主 席： 王德明 先生

簽 署：

太和邨亨和樓互助委員會

謹啟

二零一八年八月十六日

5024(1)

敬啟者：

有關反對大埔林村谷(P13)、寶雅區(P14)及康樂園(P17)建議分界的意見

我們對選管會更改大埔林村谷(P13)、寶雅區(P14)及康樂園(P17)分界的建議深感失望，因此特致函就題述事宜表達反對，詳細原因如下：

1. 根據 2015 年區選分界建議報告書的大埔區書面/口頭申述摘要，有建議林村谷(P13)內的大埔頭水圍轉編入寶雅(P14)，而非當時的臨時建議下的康樂園(P17)。而當時選管會是不接納此建議，因為寶雅區是一個以居屋及公屋為主的選區，而康樂園是一個城鄉交融的選區，當中包括低密度的屋苑及鄉村，因此將大埔頭水圍的鄉村編入康樂園較理想。但是於 4 年後，選管會卻推翻 4 年前的決定，強行將大埔頭村、水圍村等鄉村及太湖山莊、華洛豪庭等低密度高級住宅區編入寶雅區，大大破壞社區的獨特性及完整性。選管會於 4 年前將水圍劃入康樂園區，現時卻計劃劃入寶雅區，選區的不斷更換讓水圍的居民無所適從。

2. 暫定的選區劃分令寶雅選區人口急增約七千人至二萬人，而且選區地區面積大幅增加。然而今屆區議會不少建議選區的劃分低或高於標準人口基數的上下限 25%，如西貢區西貢市中心(Q01)的人口偏離百分比是-34.33%、南區的鴨脷洲邨(D02) 的人口偏離百分比是-27.33%、觀塘的麗港城(J27)的人口偏離百分比是+49.15%、北區的聯和墟(N01) 的人口偏離百分比是+25.03%、離島區的大嶼山(T01)、逸東邨南(T02)、逸東邨北(T03)、東涌南(T04)、坪洲及喜靈洲(T08)、南丫及蒲台(T09)及長洲(T10) 的人口偏離百分比是+48.71%、+41.42%、+49.42%、+27.8%、-60.11%、-60.83%及+31.04%。選管會都是鑑於社區完整性、地方聯繫、地理交通和人口分布的考慮，以上選區人口亦獲准偏離法例許可幅度。因此選管會應以社區的完整性、地方聯繫及地理交通等原因，不應強行把鄉村郊區的人口編入鄰近公屋及居屋的選區中。

3. 大埔區的鄉村區持續發展，多個新屋苑落成，使林村谷(P13)、康樂園(17)及船灣(P18)的人口不斷增加，船灣區的標準人口基數偏離百分比是+22.95%，已接近偏離法例許可幅度。因此選管會應將林村谷(P13)、康樂園(17)及船灣(P18)三個鄉郊選區共同處理，並將其分為四個選區，讓大埔鄉郊選區的人口可減低，也留有人口上升的空間，以有利社區的規劃及發展。

4. 此外，暫定的選區劃分令寶雅選區人口急增約七千人至二萬人，而且選區地區面積大幅增加，也令社區完整性大大改變，對居民對議員帶來不便。這也大大減少原本服務太和邨的資源。我們認為多區人口超過人口上限及接受人口上限，實不應只向一區「開刀」，如果要作出改動，我們建議實由多區共同承擔，減少對某一區的影響。以林村谷(P13)、康樂園(P17)甚至船灣（P18)人口過多為

5024(2)

由， 貴會可考慮由烟汀區(P02)（人口：14658 人）、寶雅區(P14)(人口: 13412 人)、太和區(P15)(人口: 13727 人)及舊墟及太湖區(P16)(人口: 15204 人)共同分擔。事實上，上述 4 區人口乃低於 貴會訂立的選區人口中位數，我們認為以多區承擔的方式處理是較公平的方法。

最後，基於上述原因，我們望選管會盡新慎重考慮新選區分區建議，並作最妥善的安排。

候覆，此致
選舉管理委員會

太和邨翠和樓互助委員會
謹啟

二零一八年八月十六日

5025

逸瓏灣 I 業主委員會
逸瓏灣 II 業主委員會
The Owners' Committee of Mayfair by The Sea I
The Owners' Committee of Mayfair by The Sea II

檔案編號：MFBS/OC/L005/08/18

選舉管理委員會
香港灣仔
港灣道二十五號
海港中心十樓

執事先生：

有關 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議

本會知悉選舉管理委員會（"選管會"）已就二零一九年區議會一般選舉在 18 個行政地區（"地區"）內公 452 個選區的分界及名稱擬定臨時建議並諮詢市民意見。

本會對有關選區劃分持有不同意見。本屋苑（逸瓏灣 I 及逸瓏灣 II）現時屬於代號為 P10 之"大埔滘"選區，與數個同類型屋苑處於同一選區。而根據選管會 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱之臨時建議，逸瓏灣 I 及逸瓏灣 II 擬被劃分至代號為 P09 之"宏福"選區。參考該選區之範圍及主要屋邨，該選區之社區特性及地域距離與本屋苑都存在較大差異。此外，[redacted]區議員[redacted]一向就白石角區內之交通、環境等事宜與本會及本苑居民保持良好溝通，及正為區內居民爭取多項民生訴求，若改變現時之選區劃分定將對區內聯繫的維持造成影響。

基於上述原因，本會現特函 閣下，要求於 2019 年區議會一般選舉中將逸瓏灣 I 及逸瓏灣 II 保持在原有之"P10-大埔滘"選區。

如對上述之事宜有任何查詢，請致電 [redacted] 與客戶服務中心物業經理李志勇先生聯絡。

逸瓏灣 I 業主委員會
主席 陳德賢先生
2018 年 8 月 17 日

逸瓏灣 II 業主委員會
主席 呂啟源先生
2018 年 8 月 17 日

20 8月2018年 16時56分

5026

香港特別行政區
選舉管理委員會

By Fax 2511 1682

敬啓者：

有關大埔「承峰」分界事宜

本人楊楚健 HKID No. [redacted] 係大埔承峰屋苑業委會主席，謹代表本苑居民向 貴選委會建議將本苑由大埔滘(P10)選區改為新富區，原因係承峰(又稱「新峰 5 期」)所屬位置鄰近新峰花園 1 至 4 期，很多時候各期聯合會議時唯獨「承峰」要駛去大埔滘區，有點格格不入之感。況且[redacted]區議員也很盡責，每每[redacted]區報都願意抄送一份給本屋苑。

因為本屋苑只有 79 伙，對原大埔滘選區及新富區減少或增多應不會構成很大影響，但改區後確實帶來本苑居民的方便，起碼選舉投票時只要 5 分鐘步程便可抵達票站而毋需要步行 15 分鐘到山塘路票站這麼遙遠。

懇請 貴會考慮本苑居民訴求，感激萬分！謝謝！

承峰業主委員會主席 謹啓
二零一八年八月廿日
Tel. [redacted]

21 8月2018年 17時10分

5027(1)

敬啟者：

有關反對大埔林村谷(P13)、寶雅區(P14)及康樂園(P17)建議分界的意見

我們對選管會更改大埔林村谷(P13)、寶雅區(P14)及康樂園(P17)分界的建議深感失望，因此特致函就題述事宜表達反對，詳細原因如下：

1. 根據 2015 年區選分界建議報告書的大埔區書面/口頭申述撮要，有建議林村谷(P13)內的大埔頭水圍縷編入寶雅(P14)，而非當時的臨時建議下的康樂園(P17)。而當時選管會是不接納此建議，因為寶雅區是一個以居屋及公屋為主的選區，而康樂園是一個城鄉交融的選區，當中包括低密度的屋苑及鄉村，因此將大埔頭水圍的鄉村編入康樂園較理想。但是於 4 年後，選管會卻推翻 4 年前的決定，強行將大埔頭村、水圍村等鄉村及太湖山莊、善洛豪庭等低密度高級住宅區編入寶雅區，大大破壞社區的獨特性及完整性，選管會於 4 年前將水圍劃入康樂園區，現時卻計劃劃入寶雅區，選區的不斷更換讓水圍的居民無所適從。

2. 暫定的選區劃分令寶雅選區人口急增約七千人至二萬人，而且選區地區面積大幅增加，然而今屆區議會不少建議選區的劃分低或高於標準人口基數的上下限 25%，如西貢區西貢市中心(Q01)的人口偏離百分比是-34.33%、南區的鴨脷洲邨(D02) 的人口偏離百分比是-27.33%、觀塘的藍港城(J27)的人口偏離百分比是+49.15%、北區的鄭和墟(N01) 的人口偏離百分比是+25.03%、離島區的大嶼山(T01)、逸東邨南(T02)、逸東邨北(T03)、東涌南(T04)、坪洲及喜靈洲(T08)、南丫及蒲台(T09)及長洲(T10) 的人口偏離百分比是+48.71%、+41.42%、+49.42%、+27.8%、-60.11%、-60.83%及+31.04%。選管會都是鑑於社區完整性、地方聯繫、地理交通和人口分布的考慮，以上選區人口亦獲准偏離法例許可幅度。因此選管會應以社區的完整性、地方聯繫及地理交通等原因，不應強行把鄉村郊區的人口編入鄰近公屋及居屋的選區中。

3. 大埔區的鄉村區持續發展，多個新屋苑落成，使林村谷(P13)、康樂園(17)及船灣(P18)的人口不斷增加，船灣區的標準人口基數偏離百分比是+22.95%，已接近偏離法例許可幅度，因此選管會應將林村谷(P13)、康樂園(17)及船灣(P18)三個鄉郊選區共同處理，並將其分為四個選區，讓大埔鄉郊選區的人口可減低，也留有人口上升的空間，以有利社區的規劃及發展。

4. 此外，暫定的選區劃分令寶雅選區人口急增約七千人至二萬人，而且選區地區面積大幅增加，也令社區完整性大大改變，對居民對議員帶來不便。這也大大減少原本服務太和邨的資源。我們認為多區人口超過人口上限及接受人口上限，實不應只向一區「揸刀」，如果要作出改動，我們建議實由多區共同承擔，減少對某一區的影響。以林村谷(P13)、康樂園(P17)甚至船灣（P18)人口過多為

由， 貴會可考慮由頭汀區(P02)（人口：14658 人）、寶雅區(P14)(人口: 13412 人)、太和區(P15)(人口: 13727 人)及舊墟及太湖區(P16)(人口: 15204 人)共同分擔，事實上，上述 4 區人口乃低於 貴會訂立的選區人口中位數，我們認為以多區承擔的方式處理是較公平的方法。

最後，基於上述原因，我們望選管會重新慎重考慮新選區分區建議，並作最妥善的安排。

候覆，此致
選舉管理委員會

HOUSE MUTUAL AID COMMITTEE 福和鄰互助委員會

太和邨福和樓互助委員會
謹啟

二零一八年八月十七日

二o一九年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱諮詢建議 21/08/2018 23:5。

From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

致選管會秘書處：

按選舉文件所言，「…按法例的規定，選管會在調整選區分界時，除了考慮預計人口外，亦須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素。選管會不能只硬性依從法例許可幅度的要求，而不顧及上述的其他法定因素。因此，如有需要，為顧及這些法定因素，選管會會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度。…」然而，本人認為2019年選舉分界建議並沒有顧及社區獨特性，地方聯繫的維持因素，將個別屋苑分為兩個選區。

本人反對為凌夠選區人數而將個別屋邨、屋苑分劃成不同選區，須知區議會職能是反映地區意見，處理地區事務，同一屋邨、屋苑有較相似的居民階層，在地理上，社求需求上，有著相同需求，故應劃為同一個選區。

就大埔區而言，本人按上述原則(考慮居民階層，地理上以及同一屋邨屋苑有著相同社區需要）反對以下選區之建議安排並作出修改如下：

1. 富亨邨亨泰樓(P02) 應撥入P05，即富亨邨為一選區
2. 善鄰樓，善鄰樓（P07)應撥入P06,即富善邨為一選區
3. 怡雅苑（P06)應撥入P07，即怡雅苑，明雅苑，新興花園三個屋苑為一選區
4. 廣仁樓，廣禮樓，廣義樓（P09)撥入P08,即廣福邨為一選區
5. 宏福苑（P09), 富雅花園（P12),新遠廣場（P12)，即兩個屋居及一私人屋苑應撥為同一選區
6. 按地理位置及人口階層，P09 的項目1及項目3-9（即剔除廣福邨部份及宏福苑），應自成一選區，或與P10合併，因同屬吐露港或吐露港公路

選民Grace

5029

Suggestion for the name of DCCA Q10
28/07/2018 06:38
Hide Details
From: [redacted]

To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

Dear Sir,

For the proposed name Hoi Chun(海晉) for DCCA Q10 of Sai Kung, it makes people to relate it to Wings at Sea (晉海) at Lohas Park.

I recommend to use Tseung South (將南) which is commonly used by people to refer to this area.

Regards
Kelvin Mak

5030

海天選區名稱不理想
08/08/2018 13:02
Hide Details
From: [redacted]

To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

Dear Sir/Madam,

將軍澳新劃分出來的選區海天選區的名稱不理想！ 因為其選區包含的屋苑係 天晉二、天晉三A、天晉三B、Parkside、嘉悅、海天晉、帝景灣、藍塘道、Capri、海翩匯、Monterey。只有天晉系列含有晉字，海翩匯與海天晉含有海字，而且周邊還有一個叫晉海的屋苑，所以海晉的命稱令人容易混淆。

希望新的選區用「將南」命名比較合適 因為其位置的確係將軍澳南部 而且也合乎一般人對這群屋苑的認知。

Best regards

5031

「2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」書面申述 16/08/2018 16:45
From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

2018年8月16日

選管會秘書處：

選管會增加21個議席，部份地區人口增長，增加議席無可厚非，但事實部份地區人口不斷跌，選管會大可刪減議席，唔需要浪費公帑「養懶人」！

以西貢為例，西貢市中心、西貢離島及清水灣人口不斷下降。下面4個選區2019年預計人口都少過基數(16,599人)，當中「西貢市中心」更跌到只有1萬人。將4個區的人口加齊，都只係僅僅過4萬人(41,907人)，3個議席攞分，每個選區都只係13,969人，比標準人口基數仲要低(少15.84%)！

離島區天南地北、無交通接駁的島嶼都可以劃入同一選區。既然西貢人口減少是事實，為了公帑，選管會應考慮刪減西貢區一個議席！

代號	選區	預計人口	標準人口基數偏離百分比
Q01	西貢市中心	10,901	-34.33%
Q02	白沙灣	15,810	-4.75%
Q03	西貢離島	12,894	-22.32%
Q04	坑口東	13,902	-16.25%

西貢居民
Carina Chan

5032(1)

有關：二零一九年區議會一般選舉 選區分界及名稱臨時建議書面申述 16/08/2018 18:12
From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

1 Attachment

20180816_本函檔號20180816_DC01_有關：二零一九年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議.pdf

選管會秘書處：

請查閱附件有關「二零一九年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」書面申述。如有任何查詢，請致電 [redacted] 與本人聯絡。

方國珊議員
二零一八年八月十六日

--
方國珊議員.工程師
Christine Fong Kwok Shan
Councillor of Sai Kung
Convener of Professional Power

Email: [redacted]
Website: [redacted]
Facebook: [redacted]
Tel: [redacted]
Fax: [redacted]
Address: [redacted]

5032(2)

THE OFFICE OF
CHRISTINE FONG
方國珊議員辦事處

專業動力 PROFESSIONAL POWER

本處檔號: Our Ref.: 201808016-DC01
來函檔號: Your Ref.: -

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生

傳真/電郵
2511 1682
eacenq@reo.gov.hk

方關：二零一九年區議會一般選舉
選區分界及名稱臨時建議書面申述

選管會於 7 月 23 日公佈二零一九年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議，並就內容進行公眾諮詢。本人意見如下：

按照新公佈的諮詢文件，全港各選區人口差距甚大，由高出標準人口基數百分之 49.24，至低出基數百分之 60.83，相距超過百分之 110。以離島區議會兩個選區為例，兩個選區人口均僅 6,000 餘人，但其餘大部份選區均超過 20,000 人，當中有選區近 25,000 人。同為區議員，領取相同薪津、同樣待遇。在同樣資源的情況下，服務人口卻相差甚遠，對所有區議員均會造成不公。選管會理應重新檢討選區分界。

就西貢區(Q)選區分界，意見如下：

(i) 根據諮詢文件，Q28 環保北選區預計人口約 18,855 人；Q29 環保南選區預計人口約 18,497 人。實際上，日出康城住宅項目發展迅速，發展商表示預計第四(晉海)及五期(MALIBU)將於 2019 年入伙，預計為該區帶來額外 1 萬人口。現時兩個選區均超出標準人口基數(即 16,599 人)，分別超出約百分之 13 及 11，將加重當區區議員工作量。相反，諮詢文件建議於寶林一帶增設 Q20 慧茵選區，連同 Q20 慧茵，附近多個選區，如 Q16 康景、Q17 翠林、Q18 寶林及 Q21 運亨，其人口均少於標準人口基數，約百分之 7 至 22 不等，選管會應重新考慮新增選區位置。

通訊地址 Address [redacted]
電話 Phone [redacted] 傳真 Fax [redacted] 電郵 Email [redacted]

5032(3)

THE OFFICE OF
CHRISTINE FONG
方國珊議員辦事處

專業動力 PROFESSIONAL POWER

(ii) 事實上區內近半選區(14 個)人口均低於標準人口基數，惟文件指，鑑於社區完整性、地方聯繫、地理交通及人口分布的考慮，調整分界並不可行，故容許其人口偏離法例許可幅度，實屬自圓其說、掩耳盜鈴。事實上，部份選區分界亦未有顧及地方聯繫及地理交通，詳見(iii)。

(iii) 現時香港電影城(即邵氏影城)大部份地方編入 Q28 環保北選區，惟其出入口及主要道路(百勝角路)卻編入 Q04 坑口東選區，對地區行政管理上出現問題。另外，現時前往百勝角的唯一交通是經由環保大道再進入百勝角路。百勝角地理上雖背靠西貢清水灣半島，但實際需從將軍澳環保大道前往該區，港鐵、巴士、小巴等公共交通服務均依賴康城站或將軍澳市中心。另外，百勝角周邊環境衛生問題，影響環保大道多年，特別是 Q28 環保北選區內的屋苑(包括清水灣半島、日出康城首都、峻瀅及峻瀅 II)，而並非西貢清水灣一帶。基於地方聯繫及地理交通，百勝角一帶應編入 Q28 環保北選區，或考慮重組 Q28/Q29 選區，再新增選區，而非編入 Q04 坑口東選區，以便行政管理。

(iv) 「西貢區議會」負責處理西貢市、西貢離島及將軍澳新市鎮地區事務。區議會秘書處及會議廳原均設於西貢市的西貢政府合署，但隨著將軍澳新市鎮發展，將軍澳的人口及議席遠超於西貢其他地區，秘書處及會議廳已遷往將軍澳新市鎮。根據諮詢文件預計人口，近 9 成「西貢區」人口均居住於將軍澳新市鎮。為有助公眾釐清區議會職權，「西貢區議會」理應加入「將軍澳」元素，如命名為「西貢將軍澳區議會」，或考慮將「將軍澳新市鎮」內選區獨立成另一個區議會。

如有任何查詢，請致電 [redacted] 與本人聯絡。

方國珊
議員 · 工程師

2018 年 8 月 16 日

通訊地址 Address [redacted]
電話 Phone [redacted] 傳真 Fax [redacted] 電郵 Email [redacted]

5033

香港灣仔
港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會秘書處

執事閣下：

反對：2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議

貴會最近發出就 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議的諮詢，本人表示反對，並希望 貴會能聽取民意，為保持我們鄉郊村落的完整性及避免令村民和居民無所適從，強烈要求 貴會沿用 2015 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱，不需作任何修改！

謝謝關注！

馬達文

太平村互助委員會主席:
馬達文先生
聯絡電話: [redacted]

日期: 2018 年 8 月 15 日

17日 8月2018年 14時32分

5034

致選舉管理委員會：

本人得知來年 2019 年區議會選區分界因人口分佈將改動 Q16 康景選區及 Q20 慧茵選區，本人對將軍澳新增選區有下列意見：

Q16 康景選區包括：康盛花園、景明苑，慧安園及富麗花園，人口約 13254。

Q20 慧茵選區包括：怡心園、旭輝臺、茵怡花園，人口約 13284 人。

市民 敬上

2018 年 8 月 16 日

5035

17日 8月2018年 14時42分

致選舉管理委員會：

本人強烈反對把慧安園、富麗花園及旭輝臺移出康景選區，如必須改動，本人對於 2019 年區議會選區分界慧茵及康景選區建議如下：

1. 將慧茵選區中的慧安園、富麗花園、旭輝臺剔除，並將怡心園加入；

2. 將康景選區中的怡心園剔除，並將慧安園、富麗花園、旭輝臺加入。

市民 [illegible] 敬上

2018 年 8 月 16 日

17-AUG-2 96% P.001

5036

17日 8月2018年 15時05分

致選舉管理委員會：

2019 年區議會選區分界建議信

本人是一位有投票權的選民，就 2019 年區議會選區分界我有以下的意見：

康景選區	康盛花園、景明苑、富麗花園、慧安園及旭輝臺
慧茵選區	怡心園及茵怡花園

希望有關當局能參考我的建議，重新劃界。

選民 王[illegible] 敬上

2018 年 8 月 16 日

17-AUG-2018 15:22 96% P.001

5037

17日 8月2018年 15時12分

致選舉管理委員會：

有關 Q16 康景及 Q20 慧茵選區分界建議書

對於康景及慧茵選區的分界，我認為當局的建議未有考慮到地理位置的完整性。根據該兩區的屋苑的地理位置，本人有以下建議：
Q20 慧茵選區應只包括茵怡花園及怡心園，而 Q16 康景選區則應該保留慧安園、富麗花園及旭輝臺以保持其地理位置完整性。希望 貴會能考慮我的建議，謝謝。

選民 胡[illegible] 敬上

2018 年 8 月 16 日

17-AUG-2018 15:39 96% P.001

5038

17日 8月2018年 15時25分

致選舉管理委員會：

對於 2019 年區議會慧茵新選區，本人建議保留慧安園、富麗花園旭輝臺於原有選區，而怡心園則加到新選區內，因此安排對社區有更好的完整性，亦可減少居民的反對聲音。
請接納本人的建議，謝謝。

市民 胡[illegible] 敬上

2018 年 8 月 16 日

17-AUG-2018 15:42 96% P.001

5039

17日 8月2018年 15時33分

致選舉管理委員會：

基於人口規劃及社區分布，建議更改 Q16 康景及 Q20 慧茵選區

選舉管理委員會建議 2019 年選區分界改動 Q16 康景選區及 Q20 慧茵選區。基於人口規劃及社區分布，本人建議選管會將慧安園、富麗花園及旭輝臺應保持在康景選區範圍內，並分拆及納入茵怡花園及怡心園為 Q20 慧茵選區，有助加強區民的集體參與及人口流動性，謝謝。

市民 [illegible] 敬上

2018 年 8 月 16 日

5040

17日 8月2018年 15時49分

致選舉管理委員會：

對於選舉管理委員會改動慧安園選區的決定，本人表示強烈反對！因居民已習慣原本選區多年，區內也沒有新樓宇，如真需要改動，本人要求保留慧安園、富麗花園、旭輝臺於原有選區，怡心園可劃入茵怡花園。謝謝。

市民 [illegible] 敬上

2018 年 8 月 16 日

5041

17日 8月2018年 15時51分

致選舉管理委員會：

2019 年區議會慧茵及康景選區分界建議

因考慮到地理位置，本人要求保留慧安園、富麗花園、旭輝臺於康景區。慧茵選區由茵怡花園及怡心園組成，希望選舉管理委員會認真考慮，謝謝。

市民 [illegible] 敬上

2018 年 8 月 16 日

5042

17日 8月2018年 16時29分

致選舉管理委員會：

本人對將軍澳新增選區有下列意見：

由原本 Q16 康景區及 Q19 運亨區改劃分成 3 個區，新增 Q20 慧茵區。將屋苑不變的區由 2 個區改為 3 個區。而在將來都不會有新增屋苑的情況下。我們建議應將各選區的人數分配的比較均勻，以便區議員在同樣的資源情況下，更公平有效地服務居民。故建議新選區劃界如下：

Q16 康景選區包括：康盛花園、景明苑，慧安園及富麗花園，人口約 13254。

Q20 慧茵選區包括：怡心園、旭輝臺、茵怡花園，人口約 13284 人。

Q21 運亨選區不變。

市民 [illegible] 敬上

2018 年 8 月 16 日

17/ 8/2018年 16時42分

5043

致選舉管理委員會：

Q16 康景及 Q20 慈菩選區變更建議

本人得知來年 2019 年區議會選區分界因人口分佈需將改動，但本人因地理位置完整性反對於康景選區剔除慈安園、富麗花園及旭輝臺。本人反而建議將 Q16 慈菩選區由茵怡花園及怡心園組成，此改動更為合理，謝謝。

市民 [redacted] 敬上

2018 年 8 月 16 日

5044

Comments on Provisional Recommendations on Boundaries and Names of District Council Constituencies for the 2019 District Council Ordinary Election 19/08/2018 23:02

From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

Dear Sirs / Madams,

I would like to express my view on the captioned recommendations. I note that there is a new constituency in Sai Kung District that I have comments on the naming. It is Q10, with English and Chinese names Hoi Chun and 海晉 respectively. My view is that the name is not representative enough and I would like to propose another Chinese and English name.

The constituency covers a number of estates in the southern part of the Tseung Kwan O MTR station, e.g. Capri, Twin Peak, Corinthia By The Sea. However the Chinese name 海晉 makes people think of 海天晉 (Ocean Wings) immediately once heard, because the two names only differ by one Chinese character. People also easily relates to The Wings 2, 3A and 3B (天晉II, IIIA and IIIB) because the proposed name and those estates share the word "晉". As such the name gives an impression that the constituency only covers those estates, which are developed by the same property developer. It easily makes people think that the name is a biased representation of the area.

Actually referring to the area southern of Tseung Kwan O station, citizens of Tseung Kwan O usually call it as "澳南", which is a name that describes the location of the area precisely and concisely. Therefore I would like to propose "澳南" as the Chinese name of the constituency. Accordingly, a English name "O South" is proposed. "TKO South" is an alternative proposal but such name is a bit long which is less desirable.

Please kindly consider my comments.

Thanks and Regards,
Chan Kit Chung

5045

香港灣仔
港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會秘書處

執事閣下：

反對：2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議

貴會最近發出就 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議的諮詢，本人表示反對，並希望 貴會能聽取民意，為保持我們鄉郊村落的完整性及避免令村民和居民無所適從，強烈要求 貴會沿用 2015 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱，不需作任何修改！

謝謝關注！

黃竹山新村原居民代表：
鍾天生先生
聯絡電話：[redacted]

黃竹山新村居民代表：
鍾觀生先生
聯絡電話：[redacted]

日期：2018 年 8 月 10 日

5046

香港灣仔
港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會秘書處

執事閣下：

反對：2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議

貴會最近發出就 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議的諮詢，本人表示反對，並希望 貴會能聽取民意，為保持我們鄉郊村落的完整性及避免令村民和居民無所適從，強烈要求 貴會沿用 2015 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱，不需作任何修改！

謝謝關注！

北港坳原居民代表：
劉玉華先生
聯絡電話：[redacted]

北港坳居民代表：
劉偉權先生
聯絡電話：[redacted]

日期：2018 年 8 月 10 日

5047

2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議書面申述 20/08/2018 11:42
From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

致: 選管會

西貢市中心明明得一萬人，唔夠16,599人，都可以有一個議席。政府是有意「保皇」定選管會唔敢重劃西貢分界？

年份	預計人口	偏離人口基數
1999	15,655	-8.14%
2003	13,478	-21.61%
2007	13,318	-22.91%
2011	12,944	-25.10%
2015	11,755	-30.71%
2019	10,901	-34.33%

西貢區議會部份選區近兩萬人，但西貢市中心，至回歸以後區議會選舉，人口不斷下跌。選管會應重新劃界，將西貢市中心納入其他人口仍未足夠的選區，或吸納其他人口過剩的選區。

西貢市中心市民

5048(1)

Provisional Recommendations on Boundaries and Names of District Council Constituencies for the 2019 District Council Ordinary Election 20/08/2018 12:36
From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

1 Attachment

康景(Q16) 慧茵 (Q20)及運亨(Q21)選區劃界提出建議.pdf

Dear Sir/Madam,

Please see attached our submission regarding provisional boundaries of Q16, Q20 and Q21 of Sai Kung District.

Best Regards,
Jen

Jen Lau
Head, Policy and Research, New People's Party
Tel: [redacted]
Fax: [redacted]
e-mail: [redacted]
Address: [redacted]
website: [redacted]

5048(2)

中華人民共和國香港特別行政區
Hong Kong Special Administrative Region of the People's Republic of China

立法會 LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL
容海恩 議員 Hon Eunice YUNG Hoi-yan

2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議
西貢區議會
就康景(Q16)、慧茵 (Q20)及運亨(Q21)選區劃界提出建議

背景資料

1. 在區議會一般選舉之前，選舉管理委員會（下稱「選管會」）須根據《選舉管理委員會條例》(第 541 章)，就區議會選區分界向行政長官提出建議。

2. 根據立法會政制事務委員會的文件，預計第六屆區議會選舉將於 2019 年 11 月舉行。根據政府資料，到 2019 年，全港合共有 452 席民選議席和 27 席當然議席，當中屯門區議會的民選議席增加 2 席。

3. 根據選舉管理委員會（下稱「選管會」）的法定準則及工作原則，2019 年區議會選舉選區的標準人口基數為 16,599 人，選管會須確保該選區的人口偏離標準人口基數不超出 25%。換而言之，每個選區的人口數字在許可幅度應介乎於 12,449 人至 20,749 人之間。

4. 在人口數字外，選管會在制訂選區分界時，亦會顧及社區獨特性及地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵。

5. 根據《二零一五年區議會選舉選區分界建議報告書》，康景(Q16)及運亨(Q21)的人口已接近許可偏離幅度的 25%。根據原來的區界劃分，預計兩個選區於 2019 年的預計人口將會超出法例許可上限，因此選管會建議增加新選區慧茵 (Q20)，以吸納新的人口。

6. 現時康景(Q16)由私人屋苑及資助房屋（居者有其屋及夾心階層住屋計劃）所組成。私人屋苑包括怡心園、慧安園及富麗花園，資助房屋則包括康盛花園、景明苑及旭輝臺。

7. 選區運亨(Q21)同樣由私人屋苑及資助房屋組成，私人屋苑包括新都城第一期及都會豪庭，資助房屋則包括叠翠軒及茵怡花園。

8. 我們收到區內居民對市民對康景(Q16)、慧茵 (Q20)及運亨(Q21)選區劃界的臨時建議表示強烈反對，就此希望向選管會反映意見。

電話 TEL: [redacted] 傳真 FAX: [redacted] 網站 WEBSITE: [redacted] 電郵 E-MAIL: [redacted]

5048(3)

中華人民共和國香港特別行政區
Hong Kong Special Administrative Region of the People's Republic of China

立法會 LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL
容海恩 議員 Hon Eunice YUNG Hoi-yan

<u>對臨時建議的申述及理據</u>

9. 根據選管會的建議，將原來位於康景(Q16)內的慧安園、富麗花園及旭輝臺轉編入慧茵(Q20)，而康景(Q16)的人口則會下降至 12,786 人(-22.97%)。雖然建議令康景(Q16)、慧茵(Q20)及運亨(Q21)的人口許可幅度符合法定要求。

10. 我們收到當區居民的強烈意見，認為此舉將嚴重破壞區內建立已久的社區聯繫。基於以下兩個原因，我們建議慧安園、富麗花園及旭輝臺應維持於康景(Q16)內：而為了平衡人口分佈，選管會可考慮改為將怡心園撥入慧茵(Q20)。

<u>維持社區聯繫</u>

11. 從 2003 年區議會選舉至 2015 年的四屆區議會選舉(見<u>表二</u>)，慧安園、旭輝臺及富麗花園一直屬於康景(Q16)，選管會是次建議將這三個屋苑轉編入新選區，將嚴重破壞建立良久的社區聯繫。同時，慧安園、旭輝臺及富麗花園的居民，向來與屬於運亨(Q21)的茵怡花園關係疏離。因此，當區居民認為，將茵怡花園及三個屋苑合併成一個選區的做法並不恰當。

表一、康景(Q16)內的主要屋苑分佈

	2003年	2007年	2011年	2015年	2019年(臨時建議)
康景(Q16)	1.富麗花園 2.靈實醫院 3.康盛花園 4.景明苑 5.旭輝臺 6.怡沁園 7.慧安園	1.富麗花園 2.靈實醫院 3.康盛花園 4.景明苑 5.旭輝臺 6.怡沁園 7.慧安園	1.富麗花園 2.靈實醫院 3.康盛花園 4.景明苑 5.旭輝臺 6.怡沁園 7.慧安園	1.富麗花園 2.康盛花園 3.景明苑 4.旭輝臺 5.怡心園 6.慧安園	1.康盛花園 2.景明苑 3.怡心園

<u>社區特色</u>

12. 位於康景(Q16)內的怡心園屬於由香港房屋協會（下稱「房協」）發展的住宅物業，而被擬入慧茵(Q20)的茵怡花園則是由房協發展的「甲類出租屋邨」及「住宅發售計劃」項目。兩者的性質同屬混合資助及出售房屋，同樣由房協負責興建。而且地理上怡心園及茵怡花園亦相當接近，因此將怡心園納入慧茵(Q20)較符合確保社區特色的原則。

電話 TEL: [redacted] 傳真 FAX: [redacted] 網站 WEBSITE: [redacted] 電郵 E-MAIL: [redacted]

5048(4)

中華人民共和國香港特別行政區
Hong Kong Special Administrative Region of the People's Republic of China
立法會 LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL
容海恩 議員 Hon Eunice YUNG Hoi-yan

表二、康景(Q16)、慧茵(Q20)及運亨(Q21)的人口分佈

	2015年 (預計人口)	2019年 (選管會建議)	2019年 (優化建議)
康景(Q16)	20,623	12,786	15,342 人 (-7.57%)
慧茵 (Q20) (新增選區)	-	13,752	11,250 人 (-32.2%)
運亨(Q21)	21,169	14,254	-

總結

13. 鑑於選管會的建議方案將嚴重破壞康景(Q16)的社區聯繫，引起區內居民的反對。我們建議選管會考慮，改將怡心園搬入慧茵(Q20)，而慧安園、富麗花園及旭輝臺將繼續留在康景(Q16)內 (見表三)。

表三、建議方案的屋苑及鄉村分佈

康景(Q16)	慧茵 (Q20) (新增選區)	運亨(Q21)
1. 康盛花園	1. 茵怡花園	1. 新都城第一期
2. 景明苑	2. *怡心園*	2. 都會豪庭
3. *富麗花園*		3. 疊翠軒
4. *旭輝臺*		
5. *慧安園*		

[redacted]
電話 TEL: [redacted] 傳真 FAX: [redacted] 網站 WEBSITE: [redacted] 電郵 E-MAIL: [redacted]

5049

寶盈花園(將軍澳)業主立案法團
The Incorporated Owners of Bauhinia Garden (Tseung Kwan O)

BG-IO-1567

敬啟者：

有關：2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議

本法團得悉選舉管理委員會就2019年區議會一般選舉選區的分界及名稱擬定臨時建議進行公開諮詢，本法團現來函表示同意選舉管理委員會的新建議。

此致

香港特別行政區政府

選舉管理委員秘書處

寶盈花園(將軍澳)業主立案法團
第五屆管理委員
主席 伍長球 謹啟
2018年8月20日

5050(1)

新民主同盟 NeoDemocrats

親自遞交

香港灣仔港灣道25號
海港中心10樓
選舉管理委員會
主席
馮驊先生, GBS

馮先生：

反對將現時康景選區及運亨選區重新組合成三個選區
建議西貢區兩個新選區設立在將軍澳南

我們反對將二零一五年西貢區議會選舉的康景選會區及運亨選區於二零一九年選舉中重新劃界，重組成三個選區，並且建議西貢區兩個新選區設立在將軍澳南，詳情如下：

根據選舉管理委員會二零一五年區議會選舉選區分界建議報告書中提到，選區劃界需按《選管會條例》第20條所規定的準則擬定，其中一項為須顧及社區獨特性及地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵，例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展等。康景選區及運亨選區都是存在已久的選區，地方之間的聯繫和合作亦已存在多年，不應貿然重劃。

再者，在二零一五年至二零一九年間，兩個選區沒有任何新發展落成，亦沒有大規模人口改變，根據 貴會的文件，兩區人口卻是比15年時少的一千人。反而在這四年，將軍澳南海旁一帶不斷在新屋苑入伙，人口不斷增長。我們認為，將兩個新選區設立在將軍澳南，會更加反映這段時間人口的大幅度變動，亦維持西貢區議會一直以來的傳統，將新選區放在新入伙屋苑和人口增長地區。

而且，因著社區的完整性和地方聯繫，保留些微超出人口許可幅度的選區的例子比比皆是，是次 貴會亦建議有12個人口獲容許偏離許可幅度的選區，西貢區亦有一個同樣超出人口許可幅度的選區—西貢市中心，都因為須保持社區完整性和地方聯繫，而得以保留，我們認為，上述理據應該亦在此應用。

黎銘澤西貢區議員辦事處
The Office of Lai Ming Chak, Sai Kung District Council Member
[redacted]
電話 Tel: [redacted] 傳真 Fax: [redacted] 電郵 Email: [redacted]

5050(2)

新民主同盟 NeoDemocrats

為解決康城和將軍澳南人口增加而導致新增的兩個選區，我們重申，將將軍澳南市中心一帶，併入清水灣半島而劃為兩個新選區的建議，是最符合選舉管理委員會和西貢區一直以來的劃分選區原則，在影響最少現有選區的情況下，令各選區的人口符合法律的要求，亦不會出現支離破碎的選區，保持地方聯繫的維持，讓未來獲選的代表，能有效代表居民及推動地區工作，亦不用在之後的2023年區議會選舉再次就今次新劃的選區，再次調整劃界。

希望 貴會考慮上述的建議，令西貢區未來的發展更進一步。

就上述事宜，煩請 貴會跟進示覆。如有任何查詢，歡迎致電[redacted]與我們聯絡。

有勞之處，不勝銘感！

西貢區議員 范國威 林少忠 梁 里
鍾錦麟 呂文光 黎銘澤

2018年8月21日

黎銘澤西貢區議員辦事處
The Office of Lai Ming Chak, Sai Kung District Council Member
[redacted]

5051

執事人士:

我反對來年暫定 西貢區議會選區範圍，有關運亨 選區修改建議，宜不應把該處的私樓劃走，因該處是住宅區，人口及結構成分沒有改變。

運亨居民
朱學
18-8-2018

21/08 2018 TUE 15:38 FAX

5052

選舉管理委員會秘書處
香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓

敬啟者：

西貢區議會彩健選區(Q06)選區劃界意見

自選舉管理委員會於今年 7 月發出選區劃界建議後，本人留意到西貢區議會彩健選區(Q06)的預計人口已達 19000 多人，成為全西貢區最多人口的選區，為了讓當區的區議員能更加有效服務我們的屋苑(彩明苑)，再者， 貴會現建議新增的西貢將軍澳寶林區的選區也是下降人口分拆選區。

故此，我建議將選區內的健明邨健晴樓及健曦樓撥歸健明選區，好讓兩個選區達致人口平衡，本人希望 貴處將有關意見轉介相關部門處理，另外，敬請於公眾查閱意見時，將本人的姓名保密。

謝謝！

此致
選舉管理委員會秘書處

[redacted] 謹啟

二零一八年八月二十日

聯絡地址：[redacted]
聯絡電話：[redacted]

21日 8月2018年 15時09

5053

西貢區議會 Sai Kung District Council
民建聯 DAB

李家良議員辦事處
[redacted]
Office of Mr. Li Ka Leung, Philip
Sai Kung District Council
[redacted]
☎ [redacted] Fax No. [redacted]

香港灣仔
港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會秘書處

執事閣下：

反對：2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議

貴會最近發出就 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議的諮詢，居民向本人反映，由於西貢菠蘿路兩旁的居民已習慣以菠蘿路為分界，一旦有所改動會影響居民求助及將來的投票意欲，希望 貴會能聽取民意，為保持鄉郊村落的完整性及避免令村民無所適從，強烈要求 貴會沿用 2015 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱不作任何修改！

謝謝關注！

李家良區議員

日期：2018 年 8 月 20 日

5054(1)

選區範圍區界意見 21/08/2018 16:29
From: [redacted]
To: "eacenq@reo.gov.hk" <eacenq@reo.gov.hk>
Cc: [redacted]
2 Attachments
慧安園居民.pdf 慧安園立案法團.pdf

致：選管會秘書處

附上本法團及居民對選區範圍區界意見以供考慮。

將軍澳毓雅里9號慧安園立案法團

Email Disclaimer: This message is intended for its intended recipients only. The information contained in this message may be privileged, confidential and protected from disclosure. Any dissemination, distribution, disclosure, copying of, use of or taking of any action in reliance upon any information in this message, other than to/by the addressee, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please destroy it and notify us immediately. Opinions, conclusions and other information in this message that do not relate to the businesses of Nan Fung International Holdings Limited and its subsidiaries ("Nan Fung Group") shall be understood as neither given nor endorsed by Nan Fung Group and all liabilities arising therefrom or otherwise in connection therewith are expressly disclaimed. Email transmission cannot be guaranteed error and virus free. No liability is accepted for any delay or omission in the transmission of this message.

5054(2)

致 選舉管理委員會：

建議因應地理位置及社區完整性作出更適當的選區安排

有鑒於我們(慧安園居民)收到慧安園居民的強烈意見，認為此舉將嚴重破壞區內建立已久的社區聯繫。基於以下兩個原因，我們建議慧安園、富麗花園及旭輝臺應維持於康景(Q16)內；而為了平衡的人口分佈，選管會可考慮改為將怡心園撥入慧茵(Q20)。

1.從 2003 年區議會選舉至 2015 年的四屆區議會選舉，慧安園、旭輝臺及富麗花園一直屬於康景(Q16)，選管會是次建議將這三個屋苑轉編入新選區，將嚴重破壞建立良久的社區聯繫。

2.慧安園、旭輝臺及富麗花園的居民，向來與屬於運亨(Q21)的茵怡花園關係疏離。因此，當區居民認為，將茵怡花園及三個屋苑合併成一個選區的做法並不恰當。

以上的建議是參考選區的地理位置，人口，以及社區完整性為根據而作出合適的調動，希望選舉管理委員會能以開放的態度參考此提議並多加考慮。

慧安園居民認為可以在以下的選區作出適當的調整。

康景(Q16)	慧茵 (Q20) (新增選區)	運亨(Q21)
1. 康盛花園	1. 茵怡花園	1. 新都城第一期
2. 景明苑	2. 怡心園	2. 都會豪庭
3. 富麗花園		3. 疊翠軒
4. 旭輝臺		
5. 慧安園		

以上的建議是參考選區的地理位置，人口，以及社區完整性為根據而作出合適的調動，希望選舉管理委員會能以開放的態度參考此提議並多加考慮。

祝秋安

慧安園居民謹啟

5054(3)

建議因應地理位置及社區緊密聯繫性作出更適當的選區安排

我們認為選管會的建議方案將嚴重破壞康景 (Q16) 的社區聯繫。因此，我們建議選管會考慮改將怡心園撥入慧茵 (Q20)，而慧安園、富麗花園及旭輝臺繼續留在康景 (Q16) 內。

日期	簽署	姓名	電話 或 身份証首四字
21/8/2018		CHEUNG TAI LAI	[redacted]
21/8/2018		CHAN SUK HAN	[redacted]
21/8/2018		YAP YUN SHAN	[redacted]
21/8/2018		LEE SHIU TUEN	[redacted]
21/8/2018		Yiu Mei Yan	[redacted]
21/8/2018		[illegible]	[redacted]
21/8/2018		[illegible]	[redacted]
		梁家邦	[redacted]
		陳潔茵	[redacted]
		陳建華	[redacted]
		麥[illegible]佳	[redacted]
		葉健有	[redacted]
		宋秀芳	[redacted]
		華笑[illegible]	[redacted]
		梁金鳳	[redacted]
		梁[illegible]珍	[redacted]
		糧潔光	[redacted]

慧安園居民聯署 P.2

5054(4)

建議因應地理位置及社區緊密聯繫性作出更適當的選區安排

我們認為選管會的建議方案將嚴重破壞康景 (Q16) 的社區聯繫。因此，我們建議選管會考慮改將怡心園撥入慧茵 (Q20)，而慧安園、富麗花園及旭輝臺繼續留在康景 (Q16) 內。

日期	簽署	姓名	電話 或 身份証首四字
2018年8月19日		林明輝	[redacted]
〃		林滌珈	[redacted]
〃		鄭桂嬋	[redacted]
		李[illegible]維	[redacted]
		麥[illegible]璇	[redacted]
		韋淑英	[redacted]
		周景輝	[redacted]
		蘇子洋	[redacted]
		[illegible]堅	[redacted]
		韓[illegible]	[redacted]
		梁小[illegible]	[redacted]
		佟清秀	[redacted]
		佟[illegible]珍	[redacted]
		姚寶兒	[redacted]
		吳麗萍	[redacted]
		李文健	[redacted]

慧安園居民聯署 P.3

5054(5)

建議因應地理位置及社區緊密聯繫性作出更適當的選區安排

我們認為選管會的建議方案將嚴重破壞康景 (Q16) 的社區聯繫。因此，我們建議選管會考慮改將怡心園撥入慧茵 (Q20)，而慧安園、富麗花園及旭輝臺繼續留在康景 (Q16) 內。

日期	簽署	姓名	電話 或 身份証首四字
19/8		陳玉堂	[redacted]
19/8		Wong Pong Yue	[redacted]
19/8		Wong Chiu Mui	[redacted]
19/8		[illegible]	[redacted]
19/8		黃志[illegible]	
19/8		[illegible]	
19/8		LOK YIU CHEONG	[redacted]
19/8		LAI WAI HA	[redacted]
20/8		[illegible]	[redacted]
20/8		黃珠[illegible]	[redacted]
20/8		[illegible]	[redacted]
20/8		周雪[illegible]	[redacted]
20/8		[illegible]	[redacted]
20/8		易[illegible]	[redacted]
〃		[illegible]	[redacted]

慧安園居民聯署 P.4

5054(6)

建議因應地理位置及社區緊密聯繫性作出更適當的選區安排

我們認為選管會的建議方案將嚴重破壞康景 (Q16) 的社區聯繫。因此，我們建議選管會考慮改將怡心園撥入慧茵（Q20），而慧安園、富麗花園及旭輝臺繼續留在康景 (Q16) 內。

日期	簽署	姓名	電話 或 身份証首四字
21/8	楊滿玲	楊滿玲	[redacted]
21/8	張志勝	張志勝	[redacted]
21/8	黃華強	Wong Wah Kiu	[redacted]
21/8	(Lui Kam Ho)	Lui Kam Ho	[redacted]
21/8	Lui		
21/8	周棣	周棣	[redacted]

慧安園居民聯署。 P.5

5054(7)

致 選舉管理委員會：

建議因應地理位置及社區完整性作出更適當的選區安排

有鑒於我們(慧安園業主立案法團)收到慧安園居民的強烈意見，認為此舉將嚴重破壞區內建立已久的社區聯繫。基於以下兩個原因，我們建議慧安園、富麗花園及旭輝臺應維持於康景(Q16)內；而為了平衡的人口分佈，選管會可考慮改為將怡心園撥入慧茵(Q20)。慧安園業主立案法團認為可以在以下的選區作出適當的調整。

康景(Q16)	慧茵 (Q20) (新增選區)	運亨(Q21)
1. 康滙花園	1. 茵怡花園	1. 新都城第一期
2. 景明苑	2. 怡心園	2. 都會豪庭
3. 富麗花園		3. 翠翠軒
4. 旭輝臺		
5. 慧安園		

以上的建議是參考選區的地理位置，人口，以及社區完整性為根據而作出合適的調動，希望選舉管理委員會能以開放的態度參考此提議並多加考慮。

祝執安

慧安園業主立案法團謹啟

慧安園法團主席。

Owners of 慧安園 ***** 業主立案法團

27.8.2018.

5055(1)

就2019年香港區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議的書面申述 (將軍澳)
21/08/2018 16:54
From: [redacted]
To: "eacenq@reo.gov.hk" <eacenq@reo.gov.hk>

敬啟者：

本人欲透過此電郵就2019年區議會選舉分界名稱臨時建議表達意見。

本人留意到香港選管會繼續建議於2019年西貢區設立Q24富藍選區。目前該選區的選區範圍為由三個將軍澳屋苑「蔚藍灣畔」、「富寧花園」及「裕明苑」組成。本人認為有關選舉劃分相當不合理及奇怪。根據目前的選區劃分的建議，蔚藍灣畔將繼續被迫與兩個不相連的屋苑歸納於同一選區，而兩者之間相隔數條街道，幾乎無社區聯繫，形同盲婚啞嫁，坑口站上蓋的蔚藍灣畔亦難與坑口北的「富寧花園」及「裕明苑」居民形成共同話題。

首先，三個屋苑在社區層面基本上互不相連。從地理上的角度而言，蔚藍灣畔地處坑口地鐵站上蓋，而富寧花園及裕明苑則與靠近將軍澳醫院，可見蔚藍灣畔跟富寧花園及裕明苑根本格格不入，蔚藍灣畔在選區劃分上就似少數族群，他們的聲音很容易就被邊緣化。因為區議會參選人只要能爭取或討好富寧花園及裕明苑一帶的選民支持便足以當選，相反蔚藍灣畔遠離Q24富藍選區的核心，其權益經常被忽視。

其次，從社區或階層而言，富寧花園及裕明苑屬居屋屋苑，而蔚藍灣畔則是當區私人屋苑，因地理之故，所面對的社區問題根本也不盡相同。舉例而言,例如富寧花園及裕明苑選民則較關心坑口北及將軍澳醫院的巴士總站的規劃，但蔚藍灣畔則居民則地處地鐵站上蓋，極少機會使用坑口北一帶設施。

因此，本人強烈反對目前Q24富藍選區的組成。蔚藍灣畔應改與「東港城」或「安寧花園」等靠近坑口地鐵站一帶的屋苑組成新的選區，因為他們坑口站一帶的居民有共同的社區利益和關聯，因而更能有效地反映該區居民就社區問題的意見，杜絕目前蔚藍灣畔成為選區的「孤島」的問題。

總括而言，本人建議「蔚藍灣畔」從Q24富藍選區剔出，並應將「蔚藍灣畔」加入與其真正毗鄰的Q26兩安選區。

若選管會須顧及人口基數原則的問題，可考慮將南豐廣場改為納入Q27軍寶選區，儘量與屋苑性質相近的新寶城共組選區。

但無論如何，坑口區選舉劃分素來有問題，選管會應該考慮社區及鄉里因素而非僅考慮人口基數原則。

本人建議當局亦可參考以下網上文章的建議，以改善將軍澳坑口區選舉劃分：

重構將軍澳坑口區選劃界：
https://www.inmediahk.net/%E9%87%8D%E6%A7%8B%E5%B0%87%E8%BB%8D%E6%BE%B3%E5%9D%91%E5%8F%A3%E5%8D%80%E9%81%B8%E5%8A%83%E7%95%8C

5055(2)

此致

香港選舉管理委員會

2018年8月24日

香港市民 歐陽先生

5055(3)

5055(4)

5055(5)

5056

重構將軍澳坑口區選劃界



有時真的想不到為甚麼，你說區議會選舉劃界有無獨幫？好像有，好像沒有，有時有些區做了大改動，但有些地區人口大幅增減都又紋風不動，好似區議改劃規例列明人口基數是17282人，除非特殊理由，任何區人口都不可以超過上下25%距離，但新一屆西貢區議會的劃界卻容讓Q12南安選區超至+32.35%，而不作適當改劃，該處為市中心地帶，與其他相隔頗區社區距離緊密，實在看不出有何社區環境性質將之發作一區，甚麼黃深水步、沙田等就因為人口增減而頻頻改，當中的劃與不劃，我們仍然看不到當中的標準究竟誰先誰求。

個案探討：坑口的劃界問題

究竟西貢坑口一帶劃區有多怪？稍觀上圖已見當中端倪，特別是Q20富藍選區，明明就近東港城不劃，偏要將連建的蔚藍灣非劃入坑口北部的裕明苑，富亭花園連成一區；Q19厚德一區，德安領裕慶與金村相隔一大馬路，有同村之名，社區緊繫之實就近很少，單背靠建築將兩座樓與比鄰的裕明苑看齊，怪人何區，但最終沒有落實；Q21德明選區像挖走南安區的一角，將海傍豪園列入區內，選舉學中形容電腦改劃一詞Gerrymandering，原意就是將選區劃成一隻蜘蛛一樣，看來形容這處地方，也沒錯。

上屆07年的區選劃界諮詢，已有多份意見書地區劃界分佈表示不滿（http://www.eac.gov.hk/pdf/distco/2007dc/ch/Appendix_III-Q_2007.pdf）今屆仍維持原約，實在難以理解，既然今屆Q12南安選區人口已超至32%，即按數區只是超逾人口基準數+5%左右，理論有合理理由將多餘人口撥生較少人口的地區，將之撥亂反正，但選管會卻仍將它置之不顧，

稍稍倒解此區地區形勢（見圖一），超過的兩安選區現任議員為泛民的獨立議員[redacted]，而鄰接的三區議員分別為Q19厚德選區民建聯的[redacted]、Q20富藍選區民建聯西貢支部主席[redacted]、及Q21德明選區公民力量的[redacted]（換言之全部都係[redacted]系統），而兩安選區大部份為中產樓宇，而中產區一向對親建制派稍稍不滿，堪堪容易讓人懷疑，改劃者為了維持區內現任議員優勢，即使區內出現人口不均問題，仍要維持現狀，讓洗對者機會難乘。

就坑口區改劃的三個建議

故此，為了令劃界更為公平，本中心就此向選舉管理委員會港交選區改劃申請，西望就此想大家分享，共同提出建議，並參察選舉管理委員會的回應：

先聲明，由於政府尚未公布最新人口數據，本中心只能靠現時劃區人口數據猜度現時實際人口，下文劃分乃按人口：富亭花園7500; 蔚藍灣畔6500; 裕明苑4000; 頌明苑5300; (德濤苑康志9162; 每安裕4000);東港城5700; 蔚寶城5000;南豐廣場5000;安寧花園7500，則能夠為：

建議一（優先做法）：改劃Q19厚德、Q20富藍、及Q12南安

將蔚藍灣畔殺入Q19厚德，整個將它連接社區距離較近的區區；
將厚德村德濤、富、康、安樓與德安、每裕樓分開，新者殺入Q19厚德，後者殺入Q20富藍，與裕明連接；
將東港城殺入Q20富藍，補償因將原蔚藍灣畔導致的人口損失，更令人口更平均。

建議一的人口預測及評估

Q19厚德：蔚藍灣畔 + 頌明苑 + 德濤苑康志 = 18,550人(約+8%)
Q20富藍：東港城 + 富亭花園 + 裕明苑 + 每安裕 = 21,200人(約+23% 1)
Q12南安：新寶城 + 南豐廣場 + 安寧花園 = 17500人(約+2%)

原先選區人口與標準差距總和是37%，重劃後三區估計差距總和是33%，如能成功改劃，1) 改劃後三區人口分佈應該更平均 2) 改劃即此可令三區社區有緊密性質緊密 3) 可令選管會即少了一區沒有來自跨越+25%人口上限的選區 4)蔚藍灣畔尚有新住戶入伙，而導致已入口增走而逐漸人口減少，相關人口增減可互相抵銷，帶計了未來人口變動，而無需再劃選區。

而若果以上建議不可行，本中心乃有以下兩個修正建議，主要是憂慮到選管會或會用兩個理由否決建議（一）：1. 厚德村社區完整不可割裂; 2. 人口比改劃前更不平均　或3. 改動太多[1]，固然劃本中心而言，如果選管會以平均超逾人口的選區為最先考慮，以及以社區未來發展調整為其核心關懷的話，這兩個理由是次要，而且是不成立的，但若果那麼步幸，選管會還是以上述理由否決建議（一），亦請考慮建議（二）、（三）。

建議二：改劃Q19厚德、Q20富藍、及Q12南安

若選管會堅持蔚藍灣畔不可分，則 - - -

1. 將裕明苑殺入Q19厚德
2. 將東港城與富亭花園、蔚藍灣畔組成Q20富藍
3. 將頌明苑劃到Q12南安

建議二的人口預測及評估

Q19厚德：厚德村 + 裕明苑 = 17,500人(約+2%)
Q20富藍：東港城 + 富亭花園 + 蔚藍灣畔 = 19,400人(約+16%)
Q12南安：新寶城 + 南豐廣場 + 安寧花園 + 頌明苑 = 22,800人(約+32%[2])

建議三：改劃Q19厚德、及Q12南安

若以上建議皆被否決，剩 - - -

將頌明苑報到Q12南安
將東港城報到Q19厚德
建議三的人口預測及評估

Q19厚德：厚德村 + 東港城 = 17,500人(約+13%)
Q12南安：新寶城 + 南豐廣場 + 安寧花園 + 頌明苑 = 22,800人(約+32%[3])

區內人口增生，選管會實有必要慎重將增生人口平均攤分至區內各個區分擔，而不是由一區承擔，結果連超過+25%的原則亦實之不理，其實更根本的問題在於香港劃界全由選舉管理委員會定奪，結果是外界根本無從得知當中的決策過程，若果出現上述的人口太多問題，而其他區又因人口太多而改劃，很難令人不當中的決策存在雙重標準，如果是這樣的話，恐怕整個劃界不可以進一步開放，容讓不同專業的持份者參與制訂之餘，亦容許公眾有更大的鑒正空間，使制定程序更加透明？

[1] 本中心相信，實際人口數據應該比這個數為小，因為德安、裕比其他厚德村單標準人口小，本中心並不相信，因此其人口基數會超逾當前+25%的原則。
[2] 本中心相信應該比原建議數目減低了，因為頌明苑人口必定比東港城小
[3] 同上註。

康景及運亨選區劃界意見 21/08/2018 23:14
From: [redacted]
To: "eacenq@reo.gov.hk" <eacenq@reo.gov.hk>

敬啟者：

將軍澳在2019年的區議會選舉獲建議增加兩個區，分別位於寶琳的「慧茵」及將軍澳南的「海晉」。其中，寶琳的「慧茵」選區由現有的「運亨」及「康景」兩個選區分拆出來，有別於過去選管會通常於將軍澳南開設新區的習慣。

針對將軍澳的情況，康景區改劃後的人口將會大幅降低，到 2023 年的時候「康景」及「慧茵」選區也有可能因為人口因素而再遭改劃，選民或會無可適從。與此同時，將軍澳南包括日出康城、至善街一帶的人口會持續增加，卻只增加一個選區，情況極之不合理，同樣地到 2023 年也有可能再遭改劃。選管會聲稱沒有任何政治考慮，惟是次西貢區的選區劃分根本與人口增長趨勢等不相符，實有政治考慮之嫌。本人認為新劃區不合理，邊緣有誤，整區竟被一分為二，令人難以費解，來屆區議會應維持舊有劃區，以免居民感到混亂。

康景區選民 黃諾行上
Tel: [redacted]

逸暉管理有限公司 總經理先生：

本人就 2019年區議會選舉行使
投票的以下建議：

劉偉華
2018-09-20

(一)選區Q10名額改為澳角教會，
[redacted]
圍包括龍苑角12個住將軍澳南部
(交通工具不包括：不停巴士、專線小
[redacted]
油潤道、MONTEREY、逸暉微風 COURT
(二)在將軍澳或西貢社區中心舉行
其一場公開論壇會，

懇請關注

5058

2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議
29/07/2018 17:15
Hide Details
From: [redacted]

To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

建議選區的分界由R12改為R19或R13，因為本人住金爵花園一期，每天都經新翠邨接駁的部巴服務的區議員和設施，選時的區議員在山上的新田圍部，有事都不會上山找他，他也很少下山視察活動，更不知他出什麼告示。

[redacted]將參選區議會選舉，她的辦事處就在隔壁，平日她都有服務我們，但就不在我們的選區內！

如果投票的地方在新田圍部，我們更加不會去投票。

5059

2019年區議會選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議 21/08/2018 22:43
From: [redacted]
To: "eacenq@reo.gov.hk" <eacenq@reo.gov.hk>

選舉管理委員會主席：

本會就2019年區議會選舉沙田區議會顯嘉及徑口選區分界有下述的修訂建議，目的是為了社區完整性

顯徑選區：
顯沛樓
顯德樓
顯揚樓
顯慶樓
顯祐樓
顯運樓
顯貴樓
顯富樓
人口約17,646
偏離標準+6.4%

嘉徑選區：
上徑口村
下徑口村
顯田村
嘉田苑
嘉順苑
嘉徑苑
顯耀邨
名家匯
聚龍居
瑞豐花園
人口約14,965
偏離標準-8.6%

如委員會需要對建議更詳細的解釋，歡迎與本人聯絡。

顯徑街坊會主席蘇偉洋暨報行委員

5060

支持沙田區R38帝怡選區 21/08/2018 23:59
From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

你好！
本人支持二〇一九年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議
沙田區R38帝怡選區劃區建議。
傅家莉

5061

有關2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議 反對大圍海福花園納入原為R18翠嘉選區內事宜 14/08/2018 10:50
From: [redacted]
To: "eacenq@reo.gov.hk" <eacenq@reo.gov.hk>

致 選舉管理委員會

有關2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議
反對大圍海福花園納入原為R18翠嘉選區內事宜

本人認為大圍海福花園不應納入翠嘉選區理由如下：

1. 翠嘉在2015年預計人口為16045，如按選管會2019年重新劃區的預計人口為18417。而大圍區內尚有比翠嘉人口更低的選區，如R13翠田(15025人)、R14顯嘉(13023人)、R18田心(14327人)、R21松田(15131人)。而翠嘉本來人口在上述各區的人口相比，最為貼近選管會法定人口基數的中位，因此並無急切劃區的需要。

2. 因應翠嘉區內已知並可見的發展，大圍站上蓋物業預計在2022左右落成，七棟私人物業入伙後再加上海福花園，屆時人口增幅必然遠超法定上限，即意味需要再度劃區，選管會胡亂決定，只會令選民無所適從。

3. 海福花園本屬於下城門選區，2015年更改為荃灣選區，如選管會再度開刀，又無視翠嘉選區未來人口增幅，將來甚有可能將海福花園再次剔出翠嘉，對海福居民並不公平。而且遠過仔細人口估算，以及認真熟慮的選區劃分，選管會所謂部份屋苑「無可避免要不停更換選區」的情況，其實是可以避免。

4. 如將下城門選區的美田邨美致樓及美盈苑劃至松田選區，即可將松田區人口拉至法定人口中位數或以上，然後將海福花園回歸下城門區，既可彌補該區人口數目，亦可避免海福居民像變「人球」，而長遠更無須大費周章再度劃區，將整體影響減至最低。

請選舉管理委員會考慮上述建議。

姓名：Hung Wai Ying

5062

反對：2019年 大圍海福花園納入原為R18翠嘉選區內事宜 14/08/2018 23:13

From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
＊敬請把本人身份(稱謂)姓名和電郵email保密，意見可公開 致 選舉管理委員會

本人認為2019年之區議會選舉，大圍"海福花園"不應納(R18) 翠嘉選區。

翻看歷史，海福花園之選區經常"朝令夕改"。先是屬於下城門，上屆改為雲城，今欲轉拼入翠嘉。另一方面，翠嘉人口卻較鄰近選區，譬如田心、顯嘉等選區為高。不久將來，大圍港鐵站上蓋將再有大型住宅物業入伙，屆時

翠嘉人口將進一步拋離鄰近選區，。

何解 貴會在上述因素下，打算重劃選區建議中，再次變動海福花園將拼入人口相對鄰近選區之翠嘉？而非將之拼入人口較前者低之田心、顯嘉選區？
貴會此舉，無疑增加翠嘉區議員之工作量和壓力，亦同時令海福花園居民因其選區經常被遊說，而變得"區無定所"。後者的現象，如非是 貴會旨在干擾區選結果，便沒有其他令公眾信服之合理解釋。

希望 貴會高抬貴手，勿再借"重劃選區"之名，難為現時翠嘉選區和海福花園居民，以及在上述地方盡心盡力服務之區議員。

祈望2019年區議會選舉能公平公正下進行，令香港人重拾 對 貴會及特區政府的威信和信任。

懇請選舉管理委員會考慮上述建議。

[redacted]上

5063

致 選舉管理委員會

有關2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議
反對大圍海福花園納入原為R18翠嘉選區內事宜

本人認為大圍海福花園不應納入翠嘉選區理由如下：

1) 翠嘉在2015年預計人口為16045，如按選管會2019年重新劃區的預計人口為18417。而大圍區內尚有比翠嘉人口更低的選區，如R13翠田(15025人)、R14顯嘉(13023人)、R18田心(14327人)、R21松田(15131人)。而翠嘉本來人口在上述各區的人口相比，最為貼近選管會法定人口基數的中位，因此並無急切劃區的需要。

2) 因應翠嘉區內已知並可見的發展，大圍站上蓋物業預計在2022左右落成，七棟私人物業入伙後再加上海福花園，屆時人口增幅必然遠超法定上限，即意味需要再度劃區，選管會胡亂決定，只會令選民無所適從。

3) 海福花園本屬於下城門選區，2015年更改為雲城選區，如選管會再度開刀，又無視翠嘉選區未來人口增幅，將來甚有可能將海福花園再次劃出翠嘉，對海福居民並不公平。而且透過仔細人口估算，以及認真熟慮的選區劃分，選管會所謂部份屋苑「無可避免要不停更換選區」的情況，其實是可以避免。

4) 如將下城門選區的美田邨美致樓及美盈苑劃至松田選區，即可將松田區人口拉至法定人口中位數或以上，然後將海福花園回歸下城門區，既可彌補該區人口數目，亦可避免海福居民慘變「人球」，而長遠更無須大費周章再度劃區，將整體影響減至最低。

請選舉管理委員會考慮上述建議。

簽署 [redacted]
姓名 MAN SIGUE KAI

5064

丘文俊議員辦事處
The Office of District Councillor Yau Man Chun

覆函/回電請註明本處檔號：JM180380(O)

敬啟者：

關於選舉劃界及選舉票站安排事宜

貴委員會在上月發布2019年一般選區分界及名稱臨時建議的文件，該建議將現時的乙明選區，分拆為選區編號R09水泉澳選區及R10乙泉選區，並剔除四條原居民鄉村。當局預計水泉澳選區是20,294人，而乙泉選區是19,634人。

根據2018年立法會補選安排，當局將水泉澳邨的選民，分配到博康邨田家炳學校票站，對該邨的選民造成不便，需要跨區投票，相關安排不合理。

現 貴委員會計劃將水泉澳劃分兩個選區：水泉澳選區及乙泉選區。其中水泉澳邨第２期５座樓宇屬乙泉選區。本人希望，水泉澳邨１８座樓宇近３萬人口，恐內必須設２個投票站。水泉澳邨第二期河泉樓及映泉樓分別有兩間幼稚園、水泉澳邨第三期修泉樓地下有一間幼稚園、水泉澳邨第一期交通樓亦有上蓋通道空地，以及房屋署在水泉澳邨亦分別設有三個辦事處。建議貴委員會能借用上述位置，選出兩個地點作上述兩個選區的投票站。

現特函轉達其求助，祈請 貴處請早作跟進處理。有勞之處，不勝感激，如有任何查詢，請於星期一至五，上午10時至晚上7時，致電[redacted]與本處職員聯絡。謝謝。順祝
工作愉快！

候覆，此致
選舉事務委員會主席

沙田區議員
丘文俊 謹上

二零一八年八月十五日

地址：[redacted]
電話 Tel：[redacted] 傳真 Fax：[redacted]

5065

2019翠嘉選區劃界建議書 16/08/2018 10:03
From: [redacted]
To: "eacenq@reo.gov.hk" <eacenq@reo.gov.hk>

致 選舉管理委員會

有關2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議

反對大圍海福花園納入原為R18翠嘉選區內事宜

本人認為大圍海福花園不應納入翠嘉選區理由如下：

1.翠嘉在2015年預計人口為16045，如按選管會2019年重新劃區的預計人口為18417。而大圍區內尚有比翠嘉人口更低的選區，如R13翠田(15025人)、R14顯嘉(13023人)、R18田心(14327人)、R21松田(15131人)。而翠嘉本來人口在上述各區的人口相比，最為貼近選管會法定人口基數的中位，因此並無急切劃區的需要。

2.因應翠嘉區內已知並可見的發展，大圍站上蓋物業預計在2022左右落成，七棟私人物業入伙後再加上海福花園，屆時人口增幅必然遠超法定上限，即意味需要再度劃區，選管會胡亂決定，只會令選民無所適從。

3.海福花園本屬於下城門選區，2015年更改為雲城選區，如選管會再度開刀，又無視翠嘉選區未來人口增幅，將來甚有可能將海福花園再次劃出翠嘉，對海福居民並不公平。而且透過仔細人口估算，以及認真熟慮的選區劃分，選管會所謂部份屋苑「無可避免要不停更換選區」的情況，其實是可以避免。

4.如將下城門選區的美田邨美致樓及美盈苑劃至松田選區，即可將松田區人口拉至法定人口中位數或以上，然後將海福花園回歸下城門區，既可彌補該區人口數目，亦可避免海福居民慘變「人球」，而長遠更無須大費周章再度劃區，將整體影響減至最低。

請選舉管理委員會考慮上述建議。

翠嘉選民

陳卓熙上

5066

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

村長及村代表意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

我們是生活在沙田火炭 禾寮坑 [村名]的居民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，我們堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉編至鄰近的穗禾選區，主要理由如下：

此為範本申述共 31 份(序號 5066 – 5096)

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間聯繫分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區。其中「火灘（火炭）約」包括：拔子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村。故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聯繫，割裂了火炭社區。

2. 我們各村之間視大家為自己人，時常一同籌辦社區活動，若拆散成兩個選區，勢必增加日後舉辦社區活動時的困難。

3. 各村一向互有照應，已建立起獨特的地方聯繫。各村村內的問題會互相代為向政府及區議員反映。我們亦相信由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦達到我們的期望。

4. 我們鄉村居民所關心的地方事務和穗禾居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、應對村內爆竊問題、山野道路及清潔問題，性質上與拔子窩村、禾寮坑村及落路下村等鄉村的居民所關注的相同。我們擔心，桂地新村一帶的鄉村被轉編至穗禾後，由於兩地居民訴求不同，當區區議員難以兼顧，變相村民得到的支援會減少，損害他們的權益。

綜上所述，我們懇請選管會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聯繫。

簽署：

姓名：

日期：4-8-18

5067

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界報

村長及村代表意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

我們是生活在沙田火炭 禾寮坪村 [村名]的居民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，我們堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉編至鄰近的德禾選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間劃繫分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀝（火炭）約」包括：坳子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聚集，割裂了火炭社區。

2. 我們各村之間視大家為自己人，時常一同舉辦社區活動，若拆散成兩個選區，勢必增加日後舉辦社區活動時的困難。

3. 各村一向互有照應，已建立起獨特的地方聚集。各村村內的問題會互相代為向政府及區議員反映，我們亦相信由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦達到我們的期望。

4. 我們鄉村居民所關心的地方事務和德禾居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、應對村內違規問題、山野道路及清潔問題，性質上與坳子窩村、禾寮坑村及落路下村等鄉村的居民所關注的相同，我們擔心，桂地新村一帶的鄉村被轉編至德禾後，由於兩地居民訴求不同，當區區議員難以兼顧，變相村民得到的支援會減少，損害他們的權益。

綜上所述，我們懇請選管會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聯繫。

簽署：
姓名：劉志輝

日期：4-8-18

5068

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界報

村長及村代表意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

我們是生活在沙田火炭 禾寮坪村 [村名]的居民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，我們堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉編至鄰近的德禾選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間劃繫分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀝（火炭）約」包括：坳子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聚集，割裂了火炭社區。

2. 我們各村之間視大家為自己人，時常一同舉辦社區活動，若拆散成兩個選區，勢必增加日後舉辦社區活動時的困難。

3. 各村一向互有照應，已建立起獨特的地方聚集。各村村內的問題會互相代為向政府及區議員反映，我們亦相信由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦達到我們的期望。

4. 我們鄉村居民所關心的地方事務和德禾居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、應對村內違規問題、山野道路及清潔問題，性質上與坳子窩村、禾寮坑村及落路下村等鄉村的居民所關注的相同，我們擔心，桂地新村一帶的鄉村被轉編至德禾後，由於兩地居民訴求不同，當區區議員難以兼顧，變相村民得到的支援會減少，損害他們的權益。

綜上所述，我們懇請選管會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聯繫。

簽署：
姓名：劉志華

日期：4-8-18

5069

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界報

村長及村代表意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

我們是生活在沙田火炭 禾寮坪 [村名]的居民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，我們堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉編至鄰近的德禾選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間劃繫分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀝（火炭）約」包括：坳子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聚集，割裂了火炭社區。

2. 我們各村之間視大家為自己人，時常一同舉辦社區活動，若拆散成兩個選區，勢必增加日後舉辦社區活動時的困難。

3. 各村一向互有照應，已建立起獨特的地方聚集。各村村內的問題會互相代為向政府及區議員反映，我們亦相信由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦達到我們的期望。

4. 我們鄉村居民所關心的地方事務和德禾居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、應對村內違規問題、山野道路及清潔問題，性質上與坳子窩村、禾寮坑村及落路下村等鄉村的居民所關注的相同，我們擔心，桂地新村一帶的鄉村被轉編至德禾後，由於兩地居民訴求不同，當區區議員難以兼顧，變相村民得到的支援會減少，損害他們的權益。

綜上所述，我們懇請選管會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聯繫。

簽署：劉錦樂
姓名：劉錦樂

日期：4-8-18

5070

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界報

村長及村代表意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

我們是生活在沙田火炭 禾寮坪 [村名]的居民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，我們堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉編至鄰近的德禾選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間劃繫分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀝（火炭）約」包括：坳子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聚集，割裂了火炭社區。

2. 我們各村之間視大家為自己人，時常一同舉辦社區活動，若拆散成兩個選區，勢必增加日後舉辦社區活動時的困難。

3. 各村一向互有照應，已建立起獨特的地方聚集。各村村內的問題會互相代為向政府及區議員反映，我們亦相信由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦達到我們的期望。

4. 我們鄉村居民所關心的地方事務和德禾居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、應對村內違規問題、山野道路及清潔問題，性質上與坳子窩村、禾寮坑村及落路下村等鄉村的居民所關注的相同，我們擔心，桂地新村一帶的鄉村被轉編至德禾後，由於兩地居民訴求不同，當區區議員難以兼顧，變相村民得到的支援會減少，損害他們的權益。

綜上所述，我們懇請選管會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聯繫。

簽署：劉漢輝
姓名：劉漢輝

日期：4-8-18

5071

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界

村長及村代表意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

我們是生活在沙田火炭 禾寮坪 [村名]的居民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，我們堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉編至鄰近的德禾選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間劃繫分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀝（火炭）約」包括：坳子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聚集，割裂了火炭社區。

2. 我們各村之間視大家為自己人，時常一同舉辦社區活動，若拆散成兩個選區，勢必增加日後舉辦社區活動時的困難。

3. 各村一向互有照應，已建立起獨特的地方聚集。各村村內的問題會互相代為向政府及區議員反映，我們亦相信由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦達到我們的期望。

4. 我們鄉村居民所關心的地方事務和德禾居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、應對村內違規問題、山野道路及清潔問題，性質上與坳子窩村、禾寮坑村及落路下村等鄉村的居民所關注的相同，我們擔心，桂地新村一帶的鄉村被轉編至德禾後，由於兩地居民訴求不同，當區區議員難以兼顧，變相村民得到的支援會減少，損害他們的權益。

綜上所述，我們懇請選管會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聯繫。

簽署：劉偉光
姓名：劉偉光

日期：4-8-18

5072

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界

村長及村代表意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

我們是生活在沙田火炭 禾寮坪村 [村名]的居民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，我們堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉編至鄰近的德禾選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間劃繫分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀝（火炭）約」包括：坳子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聚集，割裂了火炭社區。

2. 我們各村之間視大家為自己人，時常一同舉辦社區活動，若拆散成兩個選區，勢必增加日後舉辦社區活動時的困難。

3. 各村一向互有照應，已建立起獨特的地方聚集。各村村內的問題會互相代為向政府及區議員反映，我們亦相信由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦達到我們的期望。

4. 我們鄉村居民所關心的地方事務和德禾居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、應對村內違規問題、山野道路及清潔問題，性質上與坳子窩村、禾寮坑村及落路下村等鄉村的居民所關注的相同，我們擔心，桂地新村一帶的鄉村被轉編至德禾後，由於兩地居民訴求不同，當區區議員難以兼顧，變相村民得到的支援會減少，損害他們的權益。

綜上所述，我們懇請選管會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聯繫。

簽署：
姓名：

日期：4-8-18

5073

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界報

村長及村代表意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

我們是生活在沙田火炭 禾寮坪 [村名]的居民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，我們堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉編至鄰近的德禾選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間劃繫分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀝（火炭）約」包括：坳子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聚集，割裂了火炭社區。

2. 我們各村之間視大家為自己人，時常一同舉辦社區活動，若拆散成兩個選區，勢必增加日後舉辦社區活動時的困難。

3. 各村一向互有照應，已建立起獨特的地方聚集。各村村內的問題會互相代為向政府及區議員反映，我們亦相信由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦達到我們的期望。

4. 我們鄉村居民所關心的地方事務和德禾居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、應對村內違規問題、山野道路及清潔問題，性質上與坳子窩村、禾寮坑村及落路下村等鄉村的居民所關注的相同，我們擔心，桂地新村一帶的鄉村被轉編至德禾後，由於兩地居民訴求不同，當區區議員難以兼顧，變相村民得到的支援會減少，損害他們的權益。

綜上所述，我們懇請選管會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聯繫。

簽署：元
姓名：劉耀元

日期：4-8-18

5074

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界

村長及村代表意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

我們是生活在沙田火炭 禾寮坪 [村名]的居民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，我們堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉編至鄰近的德禾選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間劃繫分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀝（火炭）約」包括：坳子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聚集，割裂了火炭社區。

2. 我們各村之間視大家為自己人，時常一同舉辦社區活動，若拆散成兩個選區，勢必增加日後舉辦社區活動時的困難。

3. 各村一向互有照應，已建立起獨特的地方聚集。各村村內的問題會互相代為向政府及區議員反映，我們亦相信由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦達到我們的期望。

4. 我們鄉村居民所關心的地方事務和德禾居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、應對村內違規問題、山野道路及清潔問題，性質上與坳子窩村、禾寮坑村及落路下村等鄉村的居民所關注的相同，我們擔心，桂地新村一帶的鄉村被轉編至德禾後，由於兩地居民訴求不同，當區區議員難以兼顧，變相村民得到的支援會減少，損害他們的權益。

綜上所述，我們懇請選管會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聯繫。

簽署：
姓名：

日期：6-8-18

5075

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界

村長及村代表意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

我們是生活在沙田火炭 禾寮坪 [村名]的居民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，我們堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉編至鄰近的德禾選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間劃繫分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀝（火炭）約」包括：坳子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聚集，割裂了火炭社區。

2. 我們各村之間視大家為自己人，時常一同舉辦社區活動，若拆散成兩個選區，勢必增加日後舉辦社區活動時的困難。

3. 各村一向互有照應，已建立起獨特的地方聚集。各村村內的問題會互相代為向政府及區議員反映，我們亦相信由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦達到我們的期望。

4. 我們鄉村居民所關心的地方事務和德禾居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、應對村內違規問題、山野道路及清潔問題，性質上與坳子窩村、禾寮坑村及落路下村等鄉村的居民所關注的相同，我們擔心，桂地新村一帶的鄉村被轉編至德禾後，由於兩地居民訴求不同，當區區議員難以兼顧，變相村民得到的支援會減少，損害他們的權益。

綜上所述，我們懇請選管會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聯繫。

簽署：
姓名：

日期：4-8-18

5076

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

村長及村代表意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

我們是生活在沙田火炭 禾寮坑 [村名]的居民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，我們堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉編至鄰近的選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間聚集分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火灣（火炭）約」包括：拔子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聚集，割裂了火炭社區。

2. 我們各村之間視大家為自己人，時常一同舉辦社區活動，若拆散成兩個選區，勢必增加日後舉辦社區活動時的困難。

3. 各村一向互有照應，已建立起獨特的地方聚集，各村村內的問題會互相代為向政府及區議員反映，我們亦相信由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦達到我們的期望。

4. 我們鄉村居民所關心的地方事務和樓宇居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、應對村內排污問題、山野道路及清潔問題，性質上與拔子窩村、禾寮坑村及落路下村等鄉村的居民所關注的相同，我們擔心，桂地新村一帶的鄉村被轉編至樓宇後，由於兩地居民訴求不同，當區區議員難以兼顧，變相村民得到的支援會減少，損害他們的權益。

綜上所述，我們懇請選管會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史傳承。

簽署：何劉秀

姓名：何劉秀

日期：4-8-18

5077

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

村長及村代表意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

我們是生活在沙田火炭 禾寮坑 [村名]的居民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，我們堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉編至鄰近的選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間聚集分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火灣（火炭）約」包括：拔子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聚集，割裂了火炭社區。

2. 我們各村之間視大家為自己人，時常一同舉辦社區活動，若拆散成兩個選區，勢必增加日後舉辦社區活動時的困難。

3. 各村一向互有照應，已建立起獨特的地方聚集，各村村內的問題會互相代為向政府及區議員反映，我們亦相信由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦達到我們的期望。

4. 我們鄉村居民所關心的地方事務和樓宇居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、應對村內排污問題、山野道路及清潔問題，性質上與拔子窩村、禾寮坑村及落路下村等鄉村的居民所關注的相同，我們擔心，桂地新村一帶的鄉村被轉編至樓宇後，由於兩地居民訴求不同，當區區議員難以兼顧，變相村民得到的支援會減少，損害他們的權益。

綜上所述，我們懇請選管會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史傳承。

簽署：

姓名：

日期：4-8-18

5078

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

鄉村居民意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

敬啟者，本人是 [村名]村民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，本人堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉編至鄰近的選舉區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間聚集分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火灣（火炭）約」包括：拔子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聚集，割裂了火炭社區。

2. 本人以及本人所認識的其他村民，已經對火炭建立深厚的歸屬感，各村村民之間亦有深厚感情，本人認為在重劃選區時，應該重視這份珍貴的社區聚集。

3. 本人以及其他村民所關心的地方事務和樓宇居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、應對村內排污問題、增加停車場、應對村內清潔問題，山野道路及清潔問題，本人擔心若將鄰近原區劃走，我們對地區事務的訴求和樓宇居民有所不同，當區區議員會無以兼顧我們的需要，損害村民的權益。

4. 本人認為，我們數條村面對的問題性質相似，本人相信，由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦達到我們的期望。

綜上所述，本人懇請貴管會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史傳承。

簽署：

姓名：

日期：4-8-18

5079

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

村長及村代表意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

我們是生活在沙田火炭 禾寮坑 [村名]的居民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，我們堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉編至鄰近的選舉區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間聚集分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火灣（火炭）約」包括：拔子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聚集，割裂了火炭社區。

2. 我們各村之間視大家為自己人，時常一同舉辦社區活動，若拆散成兩個選區，勢必增加日後舉辦社區活動時的困難。

3. 各村一向互有照應，已建立起獨特的地方聚集，各村村內的問題會互相代為向政府及區議員反映，我們亦相信由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦達到我們的期望。

4. 我們鄉村居民所關心的地方事務和樓宇居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、應對村內排污問題、山野道路及清潔問題，性質上與拔子窩村、禾寮坑村及落路下村等鄉村的居民所關注的相同，我們擔心，桂地新村一帶的鄉村被轉編至樓宇後，由於兩地居民訴求不同，當區區議員難以兼顧，變相村民得到的支援會減少，損害他們的權益。

綜上所述，我們懇請選管會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史傳承。

簽署：

姓名：

日期：4-8-18

5080

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

村長及村代表意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

我們是生活在沙田火炭 禾寮坑 [村名]的居民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，我們堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉編至鄰近的選舉區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間聚集分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火灣（火炭）約」包括：拔子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聚集，割裂了火炭社區。

2. 我們各村之間視大家為自己人，時常一同舉辦社區活動，若拆散成兩個選區，勢必增加日後舉辦社區活動時的困難。

3. 各村一向互有照應，已建立起獨特的地方聚集，各村村內的問題會互相代為向政府及區議員反映，我們亦相信由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦達到我們的期望。

4. 我們鄉村居民所關心的地方事務和樓宇居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、應對村內排污問題、山野道路及清潔問題，性質上與拔子窩村、禾寮坑村及落路下村等鄉村的居民所關注的相同，我們擔心，桂地新村一帶的鄉村被轉編至樓宇後，由於兩地居民訴求不同，當區區議員難以兼顧，變相村民得到的支援會減少，損害他們的權益。

綜上所述，我們懇請選管會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史傳承。

簽署：

姓名：張曉宏

日期：6-8-18

5081

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

村長及村代表意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

我們是生活在沙田火炭 禾寮坑 [村名]的居民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，我們堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉編至鄰近的選舉區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間聚集分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火灣（火炭）約」包括：拔子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聚集，割裂了火炭社區。

2. 我們各村之間視大家為自己人，時常一同舉辦社區活動，若拆散成兩個選區，勢必增加日後舉辦社區活動時的困難。

3. 各村一向互有照應，已建立起獨特的地方聚集，各村村內的問題會互相代為向政府及區議員反映，我們亦相信由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦達到我們的期望。

4. 我們鄉村居民所關心的地方事務和樓宇居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、應對村內排污問題、山野道路及清潔問題，性質上與拔子窩村、禾寮坑村及落路下村等鄉村的居民所關注的相同，我們擔心，桂地新村一帶的鄉村被轉編至樓宇後，由於兩地居民訴求不同，當區區議員難以兼顧，變相村民得到的支援會減少，損害他們的權益。

綜上所述，我們懇請選管會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史傳承。

簽署：

姓名：

日期：4-8-18

5082

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

村長及村代表意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

我們是生活在沙田火炭 禾寮坑 [村名]的居民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，我們堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉編至鄰近的選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間聚集分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火灣（火炭）約」包括：拔子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聚集，割裂了火炭社區。

2. 我們各村之間視大家為自己人，時常一同舉辦社區活動，若拆散成兩個選區，勢必增加日後舉辦社區活動時的困難。

3. 各村一向互有照應，已建立起獨特的地方聚集，各村村內的問題會互相代為向政府及區議員反映，我們亦相信由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦達到我們的期望。

4. 我們鄉村居民所關心的地方事務和樓宇居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、應對村內排污問題、山野道路及清潔問題，性質上與拔子窩村、禾寮坑村及落路下村等鄉村的居民所關注的相同，我們擔心，桂地新村一帶的鄉村被轉編至樓宇後，由於兩地居民訴求不同，當區區議員難以兼顧，變相村民得到的支援會減少，損害他們的權益。

綜上所述，我們懇請選管會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史傳承。

簽署：

姓名：

日期：4-8-18

5083

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

村長及村代表意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

我們是生活在沙田火炭 禾寮坑 [村名]的居民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，我們堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉編至鄰近的選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間聚集分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火灣（火炭）約」包括：拔子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聚集，割裂了火炭社區。

2. 我們各村之間視大家為自己人，時常一同舉辦社區活動，若拆散成兩個選區，勢必增加日後舉辦社區活動時的困難。

3. 各村一向互有照應，已建立起獨特的地方聚集，各村村內的問題會互相代為向政府及區議員反映，我們亦相信由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦達到我們的期望。

4. 我們鄉村居民所關心的地方事務和樓宇居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、應對村內排污問題、山野道路及清潔問題，性質上與拔子窩村、禾寮坑村及落路下村等鄉村的居民所關注的相同，我們擔心，桂地新村一帶的鄉村被轉編至樓宇後，由於兩地居民訴求不同，當區區議員難以兼顧，變相村民得到的支援會減少，損害他們的權益。

綜上所述，我們懇請選管會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史傳承。

簽署：

姓名：

日期：4-8-18

5084

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分... 5084

村長及村代表意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

我們是生活在沙田火炭 禾寮坑 [村名]的居民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，我們堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉編至鄰近的選舉區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間聚集分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火灣（火炭）約」包括：拔子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聚集，割裂了火炭社區。

2. 我們各村之間視大家為自己人，時常一同舉辦社區活動，若拆散成兩個選區，勢必增加日後舉辦社區活動時的困難。

3. 各村一向互有照應，已建立起獨特的地方聚集，各村村內的問題會互相代為向政府及區議員反映，我們亦相信由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦達到我們的期望。

4. 我們鄉村居民所關心的地方事務和樓宇居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、應對村內排污問題、山野道路及清潔問題，性質上與拔子窩村、禾寮坑村及落路下村等鄉村的居民所關注的相同，我們擔心，桂地新村一帶的鄉村被轉編至樓宇後，由於兩地居民訴求不同，當區區議員難以兼顧，變相村民得到的支援會減少，損害他們的權益。

綜上所述，我們懇請選管會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史傳承。

簽署：

姓名：

日期：4-8-18

5085

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

鄉村居民意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

你好，本人是[illegible]村名村民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，本人堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉編至鄰近的穗禾選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間聯繫分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀝（火炭）約」包括：坟子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聯繫，損害了火炭社區。

2. 本人以及本人所認識的其他村民，已經對火炭建立深厚的歸屬感，各村村民之間亦有深厚感情，本人認為在重劃選區時，應該重視這份珍貴的社區聯繫。

3. 本人以及其他村民所關心的地方事務和穗禾居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、增加停車場、應對村內導腐問題、山野道路及清潔問題，本人擔心在轉編至穗禾後，我們對地區事務的訴求和穗禾居民有所不同，當區區議員會難以兼顧我們的需要，損害村民的權益。

4. 本人認為，我們數條村面對的問題性質相似，本人相信，由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦違到我們的期望。

綜上所述，本人懇請選管會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聯繫。

簽署：[signature]

姓名：[illegible]

日期：4-8-18

5086

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

鄉村居民意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

你好，本人是[illegible]村名村民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，本人堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉編至鄰近的穗禾選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間聯繫分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀝（火炭）約」包括：坟子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聯繫，損害了火炭社區。

2. 本人以及本人所認識的其他村民，已經對火炭建立深厚的歸屬感，各村村民之間亦有深厚感情，本人認為在重劃選區時，應該重視這份珍貴的社區聯繫。

3. 本人以及其他村民所關心的地方事務和穗禾居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、增加停車場、應對村內導腐問題、山野道路及清潔問題，本人擔心在轉編至穗禾後，我們對地區事務的訴求和穗禾居民有所不同，當區區議員會難以兼顧我們的需要，損害村民的權益。

4. 本人認為，我們數條村面對的問題性質相似，本人相信，由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦違到我們的期望。

綜上所述，本人懇請選管會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聯繫。

簽署：[signature]

姓名：[illegible]

日期：4-8-18

5087

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

鄉村居民意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

你好，本人是[illegible]村名村民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，本人堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉編至鄰近的穗禾選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間聯繫分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀝（火炭）約」包括：坟子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聯繫，損害了火炭社區。

2. 本人以及本人所認識的其他村民，已經對火炭建立深厚的歸屬感，各村村民之間亦有深厚感情，本人認為在重劃選區時，應該重視這份珍貴的社區聯繫。

3. 本人以及其他村民所關心的地方事務和穗禾居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、增加停車場、應對村內導腐問題、山野道路及清潔問題，本人擔心在轉編至穗禾後，我們對地區事務的訴求和穗禾居民有所不同，當區區議員會難以兼顧我們的需要，損害村民的權益。

4. 本人認為，我們數條村面對的問題性質相似，本人相信，由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦違到我們的期望。

綜上所述，本人懇請選管會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聯繫。

簽署：[signature]

姓名：[illegible]

日期：4-8-18

5088

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

鄉村居民意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

你好，本人是[illegible]村名村民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，本人堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉編至鄰近的穗禾選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間聯繫分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀝（火炭）約」包括：坟子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聯繫，損害了火炭社區。

2. 本人以及本人所認識的其他村民，已經對火炭建立深厚的歸屬感，各村村民之間亦有深厚感情，本人認為在重劃選區時，應該重視這份珍貴的社區聯繫。

3. 本人以及其他村民所關心的地方事務和穗禾居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、增加停車場、應對村內導腐問題、山野道路及清潔問題，本人擔心在轉編至穗禾後，我們對地區事務的訴求和穗禾居民有所不同，當區區議員會難以兼顧我們的需要，損害村民的權益。

4. 本人認為，我們數條村面對的問題性質相似，本人相信，由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦違到我們的期望。

綜上所述，本人懇請選管會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聯繫。

簽署：[signature]

姓名：[illegible]

日期：30-07-2018

5089

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

鄉村居民意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

你好，本人是[illegible]村名村民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，本人堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉編至鄰近的穗禾選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間聯繫分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀝（火炭）約」包括：坟子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聯繫，損害了火炭社區。

2. 本人以及本人所認識的其他村民，已經對火炭建立深厚的歸屬感，各村村民之間亦有深厚感情，本人認為在重劃選區時，應該重視這份珍貴的社區聯繫。

3. 本人以及其他村民所關心的地方事務和穗禾居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、增加停車場、應對村內導腐問題、山野道路及清潔問題，本人擔心在轉編至穗禾後，我們對地區事務的訴求和穗禾居民有所不同，當區區議員會難以兼顧我們的需要，損害村民的權益。

4. 本人認為，我們數條村面對的問題性質相似，本人相信，由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦違到我們的期望。

綜上所述，本人懇請選管會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聯繫。

簽署：[signature]

姓名：[illegible]

日期：30-07-2018

5090

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

鄉村居民意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

你好，本人是[illegible]村名村民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，本人堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉編至鄰近的穗禾選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間聯繫分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀝（火炭）約」包括：坟子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聯繫，損害了火炭社區。

2. 本人以及本人所認識的其他村民，已經對火炭建立深厚的歸屬感，各村村民之間亦有深厚感情，本人認為在重劃選區時，應該重視這份珍貴的社區聯繫。

3. 本人以及其他村民所關心的地方事務和穗禾居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、增加停車場、應對村內導腐問題、山野道路及清潔問題，本人擔心在轉編至穗禾後，我們對地區事務的訴求和穗禾居民有所不同，當區區議員會難以兼顧我們的需要，損害村民的權益。

4. 本人認為，我們數條村面對的問題性質相似，本人相信，由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦違到我們的期望。

綜上所述，本人懇請選管會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聯繫。

簽署：[signature]

姓名：[illegible]（授權）

日期：3-8-2018

5091

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

鄉村居民意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

你好，本人是[illegible]村名村民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，本人堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉編至鄰近的穗禾選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間聯繫分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀝（火炭）約」包括：坟子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聯繫，損害了火炭社區。

2. 本人以及本人所認識的其他村民，已經對火炭建立深厚的歸屬感，各村村民之間亦有深厚感情，本人認為在重劃選區時，應該重視這份珍貴的社區聯繫。

3. 本人以及其他村民所關心的地方事務和穗禾居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、增加停車場、應對村內導腐問題、山野道路及清潔問題，本人擔心在轉編至穗禾後，我們對地區事務的訴求和穗禾居民有所不同，當區區議員會難以兼顧我們的需要，損害村民的權益。

4. 本人認為，我們數條村面對的問題性質相似，本人相信，由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦違到我們的期望。

綜上所述，本人懇請選管會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聯繫。

簽署：[signature]

姓名：[illegible]（授權）

日期：8-8-2018

5092

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

村長及村代表意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

我們是生活在沙田火炭[illegible]村名的居民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，我們堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉編至鄰近的穗禾選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間聯繫分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀝（火炭）約」包括：坟子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聯繫，損害了火炭社區。

2. 我們各村之間視大家為自己人，時常一同舉辦社區活動，若計數成兩個區區，勢必增加日後舉辦社區活動時的困難。

3. 各村一向互有關連，已建立起獨特的地方聯繫，各村村內的問題會互相代為向政府及區議員反映，我們亦相信由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦違到我們的期望。

4. 我們鄉村居民所關心的地方事務和穗禾居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、應對村內導腐問題、山野道路及清潔問題，牲畜上與孩子窩村、禾寮坑村及落路下村等鄉村的居民所關注的相同，我們擔心，桂地新村　帶的鄉村被轉編至穗禾後，由於兩地居民的求不同，當區區議員難以兼顧，變相村民得到的支援　　牲畜他們的權益。

綜上所述，我們懇請選管會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聯繫。

簽署：[signature]

姓名：[illegible]

日期：8-8-2018

5093

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

村長及村代表意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

我們是生活在沙田火炭[illegible]村名的居民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，我們堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉編至鄰近的穗禾選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間聯繫分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀝（火炭）約」包括：坟子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聯繫，損害了火炭社區。

2. 我們各村之間視大家為自己人，時常一同舉辦社區活動，若計數成兩個區區，勢必增加日後舉辦社區活動時的困難。

3. 各村一向互有關連，已建立起獨特的地方聯繫，各村村內的問題會互相代為向政府及區議員反映，我們亦相信由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦違到我們的期望。

4. 我們鄉村居民所關心的地方事務和穗禾居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、應對村內導腐問題、山野道路及清潔問題，牲畜上與孩子窩村、禾寮坑村及落路下村等鄉村的居民所關注的相同，我們擔心，桂地新村一帶的鄉村被轉編至穗禾後，由於兩地居民的求不同，當區區議員難以兼顧，變相村民得到的支援會減少，損害他們的權益。

綜上所述，我們懇請選管會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聯繫。

簽署：[signature]

姓名：[illegible]

日期：8-8-2018

5094

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

村長及村代表意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

我們是生活在沙田火炭 黃竹洋村 (村名)的居民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，我們堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉編至鄰近的選禾選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已接地理及村與村之間聚集分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀧（火炭）約」包括：坳子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聚集，割裂了火炭社區。

2. 我們各村之間視大家為自己人，時常一同舉辦社區活動，若拆散成兩個選區，勢必增加日後舉辦社區活動時的困難。

3. 各村一向互有照應，已建立起獨特的地方聚集，各村村內的問題會互相代為向政府及區議員反映，我們亦相信由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦達到我們的期望。

4. 我們鄉村居民所關心的地方事務和選禾居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、鄰對村內爆竹問題、山野道路及清潔問題，性質上與坳子窩村、禾寮坑村及落路下村等鄉村的居民所關注的相同，我們擔心，桂地新村一帶的鄉村被轉編至禾寮坑後，由於兩地居民訴求不同，當區區議員難以兼顧，變相村民得到的支援會減少，損害他們的權益，

綜上所述，我們懇請貴會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聯繫。

簽署：
姓名：

日期：8-8-2018

5095

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

村長及村代表意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

我們是生活在沙田火炭 黃竹洋村 (村名)的居民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，我們堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉編至鄰近的選禾選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已接地理及村與村之間聚集分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀧（火炭）約」包括：坳子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聚集，割裂了火炭社區。

2. 我們各村之間視大家為自己人，時常一同舉辦社區活動，若拆散成兩個選區，勢必增加日後舉辦社區活動時的困難。

3. 各村一向互有照應，已建立起獨特的地方聚集，各村村內的問題會互相代為向政府及區議員反映，我們亦相信由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦達到我們的期望。

4. 我們鄉村居民所關心的地方事務和選禾居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、鄰對村內爆竹問題、山野道路及清潔問題，性質上與坳子窩村、禾寮坑村及落路下村等鄉村的居民所關注的相同，我們擔心，桂地新村一帶的鄉村被轉編至禾寮坑後，由於兩地居民訴求不同，當區區議員難以兼顧，變相村民得到的支援會減少，損害他們的權益，

綜上所述，我們懇請貴會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聯繫。

簽署：
姓名：

日期：8-8-2018

5096

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

村長及村代表意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

我們是生活在沙田火炭 黃竹洋村 (村名)的居民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，我們堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉編至鄰近的選禾選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已接地理及村與村之間聚集分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀧（火炭）約」包括：坳子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聚集，割裂了火炭社區。

2. 我們各村之間視大家為自己人，時常一同舉辦社區活動，若拆散成兩個選區，勢必增加日後舉辦社區活動時的困難。

3. 各村一向互有照應，已建立起獨特的地方聚集，各村村內的問題會互相代為向政府及區議員反映，我們亦相信由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦達到我們的期望。

4. 我們鄉村居民所關心的地方事務和選禾居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、鄰對村內爆竹問題、山野道路及清潔問題，性質上與坳子窩村、禾寮坑村及落路下村等鄉村的居民所關注的相同，我們擔心，桂地新村一帶的鄉村被轉編至禾寮坑後，由於兩地居民訴求不同，當區區議員難以兼顧，變相村民得到的支援會減少，損害他們的權益，

綜上所述，我們懇請貴會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聯繫。

簽署：
姓名：

日期：8-8-2018

5097

要求明年的區議會選舉在水泉澳設兩個投票站！ 20/08/2018 23:29

From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

致：選舉管理委員會主席
馮　驊法官

馮主席：

強烈要求於明年區議會選舉時，
在水泉澳邨設置兩個投票站

本人是住在沙田水泉澳邨，水泉澳邨原本歸屬「乙明選區」，當局有意在明年換屆沙田區議會選舉時，將水泉澳邨劃分為兩個選區，分別是「乙泉選區」及「水泉澳選區」。

本人根據上次區議會換屆選舉的投票經驗，被當局配編至香港女童軍總會博康營地投票，由於水泉澳是依山而建，前往投票主要靠步行斜路而至，實感極度不便。

本人觀察其他選區的投票安排，主要借用社區會堂、體育館、學校等設施為投票站，富豪花園附近沒有相關設施，當局更在有蓋的走廊設置投票站。現時在水泉澳邨有三間幼稚園、兩間房屋署的水泉澳邨辦事處、一個較大的廣場，因此促請　貴委員會，善用這些設施，方便水泉澳的居民，在水泉澳邨分別為「乙泉選區」及「水泉澳區」各個選區均設一個投票站。

姓名：黃 簡

日期：2018年8月20日

5098

20/08/2018 23:29
From: [redacted]
To: "eacenq@reo.gov.hk" <eacenq@reo.gov.hk>

致：選舉管理委員會主席
馮　驊法官

馮主席：

強烈要求於明年區議會選舉時，
在水泉澳邨設置兩個投票站

本人是住在沙田水泉澳邨，水泉澳邨原本歸屬「乙明選區」，當局有意在明年換屆沙田區議會選舉時，將水泉澳邨劃分為兩個選區，分別是「乙泉選區」及「水泉澳選區」。

本人根據上次區議會換屆選舉的投票經驗，被當局配編至香港女童軍總會博康營地投票，由於水泉澳是依山而建，前往投票主要靠步行斜路而至，實感極度不便。

本人觀察其他選區的投票安排，主要借用社區會堂、體育館、學校等設施為投票站，富豪花園附近沒有相關設施，當局更在有蓋的走廊設置投票站。現時在水泉澳邨有三間幼稚園、兩間房屋署的水泉澳邨辦事處、一個較大的廣場，因此促請　貴委員會，善用這些設施，方便水泉澳的居民，在水泉澳邨分別為「乙泉選區」及「水泉澳區」各個選區均設一個投票站。

姓名：李怡 簽署：李怡

日期：20-8-2018

5099

20/08/2018 23:34
From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

致：選舉管理委員會主席
馮　驊法官

馮主席：

強烈要求於明年區議會選舉時，
在水泉澳邨設置兩個投票站

本人是住在沙田水泉澳邨，水泉澳邨原本歸屬「乙明選區」，當局有意在明年換屆沙田區議會選舉時，將水泉澳邨劃分為兩個選區，分別是「乙泉選區」及「水泉澳選區」。

本人根據上次區議會換屆選舉的投票經驗，被當局配編至香港女童軍總會博康營地投票，由於水泉澳是依山而建，前往投票主要靠步行斜路而至，實感極度不便。

本人觀察其他選區的投票安排，主要借用社區會堂、體育館、學校等設施為投票站，富豪花園附近沒有相關設施，當局更在有蓋的走廊設置投票站。現時在水泉澳邨有三間幼稚園、兩間房屋署的水泉澳邨辦事處、一個較大的廣場，因此促請　貴委員會，善用這些設施，方便水泉澳的居民，在水泉澳邨分別為「乙泉選區」及「水泉澳區」各個選區均設一個投票站。

姓名：譚翠儀 簽署：joey

日期：8_20_2018

从我的Android设备发送邮箱。请原谅我的简洁。

5100

Re: 水泉澳邨設置兩個投票站 20/08/2018 23:41

From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

致：選舉管理委員會主席
馮　驊法官

馮主席：

強烈要求於明年區議會選舉時，
在水泉澳邨設置兩個投票站

本人是住在沙田水泉澳邨，水泉澳邨原本歸屬「乙明選區」，當局有意在明年換屆沙田區議會選舉時，將水泉澳邨劃分為兩個選區，分別是「乙泉選區」及「水泉澳選區」。

本人根據上次區議會換屆選舉的投票經驗，被當局配編至香港女童軍總會博康營地投票，由於水泉澳是依山而建，前往投票主要靠步行斜路而至，實感極度不便。

本人觀察其他選區的投票安排，主要借用社區會堂、體育館、學校等設施為投票站，富豪花園附近沒有相關設施，當局更在有蓋的走廊設置投票站。現時在水泉澳邨有三間幼稚園、兩間房屋署的水泉澳邨辦事處、一個較大的廣場，因此促請　貴委員會，善用這些設施，方便水泉澳的居民，在水泉澳邨分別為「乙泉選區」及「水泉澳區」各個選區均設一個投票站。

姓名：馮錦勳

日期：20-8-2018

5101

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups

致：選舉管理委員會主席 馮　驊法官 馮主席： 強烈要求於明年區議會選舉時，在水泉澳邨設置兩個投票站 本人是住在沙田水泉澳邨，水泉澳邨原本歸屬「乙明選區」，當局有意在明年換屆沙田區議會選舉時，將水泉澳邨劃分為兩個選區，分別是「乙泉選區」及「水泉澳選區」。本人根據上次區議會換屆選舉的投票經驗，被當局配編至香港女童軍總會博康營地投票，由於水泉澳是依山而建，前往投票主要靠步行斜路而至，實感極度不便。本人觀察其他選區的投票安排，主要借用社區會堂、體育館、學校等設施為投票站，富豪花園附近沒有相關設施，當局更在有蓋的走廊設置投票站。現時在水泉澳邨有三間幼稚園、兩間房屋署的水泉澳邨辦事處、一個較大的廣場，因此促請　貴委員會，善用這些設施，方便水泉澳的居民，在水泉澳邨分別為「乙泉選區」及「水泉澳選區」各個選區均設一個投票站。 姓名：

簽署：鄒 萍 日期：20-08-2018

[redacted] to: eacenq@reo.gov.hk 20/08/2018 23:52

從我的 iPhone 傳送

5102

致：選舉管理委員會主席 馮　驊法官 馮主席： 強烈要求於明年區議會選舉時，在水泉澳邨設置兩個投票站 本人是住在沙田水泉澳邨，水泉澳邨原本歸屬「乙明選區」，當局有意在明年換屆沙田區議會選舉時，將水泉澳邨劃分為兩個選區，分別是「乙泉選區」及「水泉澳選區」。本人根據上次區議會換屆選舉的投票經驗，被當局配編至香港女童軍總會博康營地投票，由於水泉澳是依山而建，前往投票主要靠步行斜路而至，實感極度不便。本人觀察其他選區的投票安排，主要借用社區會堂、體育館、學校等設施為投票站，富豪花園附近沒有相關設施，當局更在有蓋的走廊設置投票站。現時在水泉澳邨有三間幼稚園、兩間房屋署的水泉澳邨辦事處、一個較大的廣場，因此促請　貴委員會，善用這些設施，方便水泉澳的居民，在水泉澳邨分別為「乙泉選區」及「水泉澳選區」各個選區均設一個投票站。

姓名： 陳燕萍 簽署： 陳燕萍 日期：21-8-2018 21/08/2018 00:03

From: [redacted]

To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

发送自我的三星盖乐世智能手机。

5103

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups

[redacted] to: eacenq@reo.gov.hk 21/08/2018 07:47

致：選舉管理委員會主席
馮　驊法官

馮主席：

強烈要求於明年區議會選舉時，
在水泉澳邨設置兩個投票站

本人是住在沙田水泉澳邨，水泉澳邨原本歸屬「乙明選區」，當局有意在明年換屆沙田區議會選舉時，將水泉澳邨劃分為兩個選區，分別是「乙泉選區」及「水泉澳選區」。

本人根據上次區議會換屆選舉的投票經驗，被當局配編至香港女童軍總會博康營地投票，由於水泉澳是依山而建，前往投票主要靠步行斜路而至，實感極度不便。

本人觀察其他選區的投票安排，主要借用社區會堂、體育館、學校等設施為投票站，富豪花園附近沒有相關設施，當局更在有蓋的走廊設置投票站。現時在水泉澳邨有三間幼稚園、兩間房屋署的水泉澳邨辦事處、一個較大的廣場，因此促請　貴委員會，善用這些設施，方便水泉澳的居民，在水泉澳邨分別為「乙泉選區」及「水泉澳選區」各個選區均設一個投票站。

姓名：

簽署：
何生

日期：2018.08.21

5104

強烈要求於明年區議會選舉時，在水泉澳邨設置兩個投票站 21/08/2018 08:40

From: [redacted]

To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

致：選舉管理委員會主席
馮　驊法官

馮主席：

強烈要求於明年區議會選舉時，
在水泉澳邨設置兩個投票站

本人是住在沙田水泉澳邨，水泉澳邨原本歸屬「乙明選區」，當局有意在明年換屆沙田區議會選舉時，將水泉澳邨劃分為兩個選區，分別是「乙泉選區」及「水泉澳選區」。

本人根據上次區議會換屆選舉的投票經驗，被當局配編至香港女童軍總會博康營地投票，由於水泉澳是依山而建，前往投票主要靠步行斜路而至，實感極度不便。

本人觀察其他選區的投票安排，主要借用社區會堂、體育館、學校等設施為投票站，富豪花園附近沒有相關設施，當局更在有蓋的走廊設置投票站。現時在水泉澳邨有三間幼稚園、兩間房屋署的水泉澳邨辦事處、一個較大的廣場，因此促請　貴委員會，善用這些設施，方便水泉澳的居民，在水泉澳邨分別為「乙泉選區」及「水泉澳選區」各個選區均設一個投票站。

姓名：譚淑堅

簽署：

日期：2018-8-21

5105

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups

水泉澳邨設水泉澳投票站。
[redacted] to: eacenq@reo.gov.hk 21/08/2018 09:21

由華為手機發送

5106(1)

2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議

選舉管理委員會（下稱選管會）建議 2019 年選區新分界將海福花園劃入翠嘉選區（即未來將包括海福花園、金禧花園、富嘉花園、部分新翠邨及積福街以南的範圍）。選管會考慮到 2019 年蓉城選區（曾包括名城、盛薈、盛世、海福花園及雲疊花園）或會超過選區法定人口上限（即 20,749 人），故將海福花園撥入翠嘉選區。

本處反對選管會作出的新劃區，主要原因是：

- 海福花園人口估計有 2000 多人，2019 區議會選舉時本區人口預計會從 16,045 人調到 18,417 人。如本區人口不會持續增長的話，本處絕不反對新分界之決定。但 2022 年時，大圍上蓋項目將會入伙，預計 3000 戶，屆時必定超過選管會設立的人口上限，選區定必須重新再劃。海福花園或需被選管會一劃再劃。選管會根本沒考慮到區內未來人口增長。

本處建議：

- 將海福花園撥回下城門區，並將美田邨美致樓及美盈苑改劃至松田區。下城門區暫時仍未有規劃大型屋苑項目，故此人口並不會大幅增加。海福花園在 2015 年改區前本屬下城門區，而美田邨美全樓現時為松田選區，將鄰接的美致樓及美盈苑撥入松田區，在地域上（如社區措施共用性）更為適合。同時，藉此拉上補下，既可提升松田區人口至法定選區人口中位數，又可確保下城門選區人口維持在中位數以上，相得益彰。

敬希翠嘉街坊能簽名支持本處給予政府的意見。

	易世輝	雪菜樂	
	Sally Tam		Dickson Cheng
	Cheng Kuy Tong		
	Chan Tonda	鄭航軒	

5106(2)

2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議

選舉管理委員會（下稱選管會）建議 2019 年選區新分界將海福花園劃入翠嘉選區（即未來將包括海福花園、金禧花園、富嘉花園、部分新翠邨及積福街以南的範圍）。選管會考慮到 2019 年蓉城選區（曾包括名城、盛薈、盛世、海福花園及雲疊花園）或會超過選區法定人口上限（即 20,749 人），故將海福花園撥入翠嘉選區。

本處反對選管會作出的新劃區，主要原因是：

- 海福花園人口估計有 2000 多人，2019 區議會選舉時本區人口預計會從 16,045 人調到 18,417 人。如本區人口不會持續增長的話，本處絕不反對新分界之決定。但 2022 年時，大圍上蓋項目將會入伙，預計 3000 戶，屆時必定超過選管會設立的人口上限，選區定必須重新再劃。海福花園或需被選管會一劃再劃。選管會根本沒考慮到區內未來人口增長。

本處建議：

- 將海福花園撥回下城門區，並將美田邨美致樓及美盈苑改劃至松田區。下城門區暫時仍未有規劃大型屋苑項目，故此人口並不會大幅增加。海福花園在 2015 年改區前本屬下城門區，而美田邨美全樓現時為松田選區，將鄰接的美致樓及美盈苑撥入松田區，在地域上（如社區措施共用性）更為適合。同時，藉此拉上補下，既可提升松田區人口至法定選區人口中位數，又可確保下城門選區人口維持在中位數以上，相得益彰。

敬希翠嘉街坊能簽名支持本處給予政府的意見。

5106(3)

2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議

選舉管理委員會（下稱選管會）建議 2019 年選區新分界將海福花園劃入翠嘉選區（即未來將包括海福花園、金禧花園、富嘉花園、部分新翠邨及積福街以南的範圍）。選管會考慮到 2019 年蓉城選區（曾包括名城、盛薈、盛世、海福花園及雲疊花園）或會超過選區法定人口上限（即 20,749 人），故將海福花園撥入翠嘉選區。

本處反對選管會作出的新劃區，主要原因是：

- 海福花園人口估計有 2000 多人，2019 區議會選舉時本區人口預計會從 16,045 人調到 18,417 人。如本區人口不會持續增長的話，本處絕不反對新分界之決定。但 2022 年時，大圍上蓋項目將會入伙，預計 3000 戶，屆時必定超過選管會設立的人口上限，選區定必須重新再劃。海福花園或需被選管會一劃再劃。選管會根本沒考慮到區內未來人口增長。

本處建議：

- 將海福花園撥回下城門區，並將美田邨美致樓及美盈苑改劃至松田區。下城門區暫時仍未有規劃大型屋苑項目，故此人口並不會大幅增加。海福花園在 2015 年改區前本屬下城門區，而美田邨美全樓現時為松田選區，將鄰接的美致樓及美盈苑撥入松田區，在地域上（如社區措施共用性）更為適合。同時，藉此拉上補下，既可提升松田區人口至法定選區人口中位數，又可確保下城門選區人口維持在中位數以上，相得益彰。

敬希翠嘉街坊能簽名支持本處給予政府的意見。

5106(4)

2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議

選舉管理委員會（下稱選管會）建議 2019 年選區新分界將海福花園劃入翠嘉選區（即未來將包括海福花園、金禧花園、富嘉花園、部分新翠邨及積福街以南的範圍）。選管會考慮到 2019 年雲城選區（曾包括名城、盛薈、盛世、海福花園及雲疊花園）或會超過選區法定人口上限（即 20,749 人），故將海福花園撥入翠嘉選區。

本處反對選管會作出的新劃區，主要原因是：

- 海福花園人口估計有 2000 多人，2019 區議會選舉時本區人口預計會從 16,045 人調到 18,417 人。如本區人口不會持續增長的話，本處絕不反對新分界之決定。但 2022 年時，大圍上蓋項目將會入伙，預計 3000 戶，屆時必定超過選管會設立的人口上限，選區定必須重新再劃。海福花園或需被選管會一劃再劃。選管會根本沒考慮到區內未來人口增長。

本處建議：

- 將海福花園撥回下城門區，並將美田邨美致樓及美盈苑改劃至松田區。下城門區暫時仍未有規劃大型屋苑項目，故此人口並不會大幅增加。海福花園在 2015 年改區前本屬下城門區，而美田邨美全樓現時為松田選區，將鄰接的美致樓及美盈苑撥入松田區，在地域上（如社區措施共用性）更為適合。同時，藉此拉上補下，既可提升松田區人口至法定選區人口中位數，又可確保下城門選區人口維持在中位數以上，相得益彰。

敬希翠嘉街坊能簽名支持本處給予政府的意見。

5106(5)

2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議

選舉管理委員會（下稱選管會）建議 2019 年選區新分界將海福花園劃入翠嘉選區（即未來將包括海福花園、金禧花園、富嘉花園、部分新翠邨及積福街以南的範圍）。選管會考慮到 2019 年雲城選區（曾包括名城、盛薈、盛世、海福花園及雲疊花園）或會超過選區法定人口上限（即 20,749 人），故將海福花園撥入翠嘉選區。

本處反對選管會作出的新劃區，主要原因是：

- 海福花園人口估計有 2000 多人，2019 區議會選舉時本區人口預計會從 16,045 人調到 18,417 人。如本區人口不會持續增長的話，本處絕不反對新分界之決定。但 2022 年時，大圍上蓋項目將會入伙，預計 3000 戶，屆時必定超過選管會設立的人口上限，選區定必須重新再劃。海福花園或需被選管會一劃再劃。選管會根本沒考慮到區內未來人口增長。

本處建議：

- 將海福花園撥回下城門區，並將美田邨美致樓及美盈苑改劃至松田區。下城門區暫時仍未有規劃大型屋苑項目，故此人口並不會大幅增加。海福花園在 2015 年改區前本屬下城門區，而美田邨美全樓現時為松田選區，將鄰接的美致樓及美盈苑撥入松田區，在地域上（如社區措施共用性）更為適合。同時，藉此拉上補下，既可提升松田區人口至法定選區人口中位數，又可確保下城門選區人口維持在中位數以上，相得益彰。

敬希翠嘉街坊能簽名支持本處給予政府的意見。

5106(6)

2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議

選舉管理委員會（下稱選管會）建議 2019 年選區新分界將海福花園劃入翠嘉選區（即未來將包括海福花園、金禧花園、富嘉花園、部分新翠邨及積福街以南的範圍）。選管會考慮到 2019 年雲城選區（曾包括名城、盛薈、盛世、海福花園及雲疊花園）或會超過選區法定人口上限（即 20,749 人），故將海福花園撥入翠嘉選區。

本處反對選管會作出的新劃區，主要原因是：

- 海福花園人口估計有 2000 多人，2019 區議會選舉時本區人口預計會從 16,045 人調到 18,417 人。如本區人口不會持續增長的話，本處絕不反對新分界之決定。但 2022 年時，大圍上蓋項目將會入伙，預計 3000 戶，屆時必定超過選管會設立的人口上限，選區定必須重新再劃。海福花園或需被選管會一劃再劃。選管會根本沒考慮到區內未來人口增長。

本處建議：

- 將海福花園撥回下城門區，並將美田邨美致樓及美盈苑改劃至松田區。下城門區暫時仍未有規劃大型屋苑項目，故此人口並不會大幅增加。海福花園在 2015 年改區前本屬下城門區，而美田邨美全樓現時為松田選區，將鄰接的美致樓及美盈苑撥入松田區，在地域上（如社區措施共用性）更為適合。同時，藉此拉上補下，既可提升松田區人口至法定選區人口中位數，又可確保下城門選區人口維持在中位數以上，相得益彰。

敬希翠嘉街坊能簽名支持本處給予政府的意見。

5106(7)

2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議

選舉管理委員會（下稱選管會）建議 2019 年選區新分界將海福花園劃入翠嘉選區（即未來將包括海福花園、金禧花園、富嘉花園、部分新翠邨及積福街以南的範圍）。選管會考慮到 2019 年雲城選區（曾包括名城、盛薈、盛世、海福花園及雲疊花園）或會超過選區法定人口上限（即 20,749 人），故將海福花園撥入翠嘉選區。

本處反對選管會作出的新劃區，主要原因是：

- 海福花園人口估計有 2000 多人，2019 區議會選舉時本區人口預計會從 16,045 人調到 18,417 人。如本區人口不會持續增長的話，本處絕不反對新分界之決定。但 2022 年時，大圍上蓋項目將會入伙，預計 3000 戶，屆時必定超過選管會設立的人口上限，選區定必須重新再劃。海福花園或需被選管會一劃再劃。選管會根本沒考慮到區內未來人口增長。

本處建議：

- 將海福花園撥回下城門區，並將美田邨美致樓及美盈苑改劃至松田區。下城門區暫時仍未有規劃大型屋苑項目，故此人口並不會大幅增加。海福花園在 2015 年改區前本屬下城門區，而美田邨美全樓現時為松田選區，將鄰接的美致樓及美盈苑撥入松田區，在地域上（如社區措施共用性）更為適合。同時，藉此拉上補下，既可提升松田區人口至法定選區人口中位數，又可確保下城門選區人口維持在中位數以上，相得益彰。

敬希翠嘉街坊能簽名支持本處給予政府的意見。

5106(8)

2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議

選舉管理委員會（下稱選管會）建議2019年選區新分界將海福花園劃入翠嘉選區（即未來將包括海福花園、金禧花園、富嘉花園、部分新翠邨及積福街以南的範圍）。選管會考慮到2019年雲城選區（曾包括名城、盛薈、盛世、海福花園及雲疊花園）或會超過選區法定人口上限（即20,749人），故將海福花園撥入翠嘉選區。

本處反對選管會作出的新劃區，主要原因是：

- 海福花園人口估計有2000多人，2019區議會選舉時本區人口預計會從16,045人調到18,417人。如本區人口不會持續增長的話，本處絕不反對新分界之決定。但2022年時，大圍上蓋項目將會入伙，預計3000戶，屆時必定超過選管會設立的人口上限，選區定必須重新再劃。海福花園或需被選管會一劃再劃。選管會根本沒考慮到區內未來人口增長。

本處建議：

- 將海福花園撥回下城門區，並將美田邨美致樓及美盈苑改劃至松田區。下城門區暫時仍未有規劃大型屋苑項目，故此人口並不會大幅增加。海福花園在2015年改區前本屬下城門區，而美田邨美全樓現時為松田選區，將鄰接的美致樓及美盈苑撥入松田區，在地域上（如社區措施共用性）更為適合。同時，藉此拉上補下，既可提升松田區人口至法定選區人口中位數，又可確保下城門選區人口維持在中位數以上，相得益彰。

敬希翠嘉街坊能簽名支持本處給予政府的意見。

5106(9)

2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議

選舉管理委員會（下稱選管會）建議2019年選區新分界將海福花園劃入翠嘉選區（即未來將包括海福花園、金禧花園、富嘉花園、部分新翠邨及積福街以南的範圍）。選管會考慮到2019年雲城選區（曾包括名城、盛薈、盛世、海福花園及雲疊花園）或會超過選區法定人口上限（即20,749人），故將海福花園撥入翠嘉選區。

本處反對選管會作出的新劃區，主要原因是：

- 海福花園人口估計有2000多人，2019區議會選舉時本區人口預計會從16,045人調到18,417人。如本區人口不會持續增長的話，本處絕不反對新分界之決定。但2022年時，大圍上蓋項目將會入伙，預計3000戶，屆時必定超過選管會設立的人口上限，選區定必須重新再劃。海福花園或需被選管會一劃再劃。選管會根本沒考慮到區內未來人口增長。

本處建議：

- 將海福花園撥回下城門區，並將美田邨美致樓及美盈苑改劃至松田區。下城門區暫時仍未有規劃大型屋苑項目，故此人口並不會大幅增加。海福花園在2015年改區前本屬下城門區，而美田邨美全樓現時為松田選區，將鄰接的美致樓及美盈苑撥入松田區，在地域上（如社區措施共用性）更為適合。同時，藉此拉上補下，既可提升松田區人口至法定選區人口中位數，又可確保下城門選區人口維持在中位數以上，相得益彰。

敬希翠嘉街坊能簽名支持本處給予政府的意見。

5106(10)

2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議

選舉管理委員會（下稱選管會）建議2019年選區新分界將海福花園劃入翠嘉選區（即未來將包括海福花園、金禧花園、富嘉花園、部分新翠邨及積福街以南的範圍）。選管會考慮到2019年雲城選區（曾包括名城、盛薈、盛世、海福花園及雲疊花園）或會超過選區法定人口上限（即20,749人），故將海福花園撥入翠嘉選區。

本處反對選管會作出的新劃區，主要原因是：

- 海福花園人口估計有2000多人，2019區議會選舉時本區人口預計會從16,045人調到18,417人。如本區人口不會持續增長的話，本處絕不反對新分界之決定。但2022年時，大圍上蓋項目將會入伙，預計3000戶，屆時必定超過選管會設立的人口上限，選區定必須重新再劃。海福花園或需被選管會一劃再劃。選管會根本沒考慮到區內未來人口增長。

本處建議：

- 將海福花園撥回下城門區，並將美田邨美致樓及美盈苑改劃至松田區。下城門區暫時仍未有規劃大型屋苑項目，故此人口並不會大幅增加。海福花園在2015年改區前本屬下城門區，而美田邨美全樓現時為松田選區，將鄰接的美致樓及美盈苑撥入松田區，在地域上（如社區措施共用性）更為適合。同時，藉此拉上補下，既可提升松田區人口至法定選區人口中位數，又可確保下城門選區人口維持在中位數以上，相得益彰。

敬希翠嘉街坊能簽名支持本處給予政府的意見。

5106(11)

2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議

選舉管理委員會（下稱選管會）建議2019年選區新分界將海福花園劃入翠嘉選區（即未來將包括海福花園、金禧花園、富嘉花園、部分新翠邨及積福街以南的範圍）。選管會考慮到2019年雲城選區（曾包括名城、盛薈、盛世、海福花園及雲疊花園）或會超過選區法定人口上限（即20,749人），故將海福花園撥入翠嘉選區。

本處反對選管會作出的新劃區，主要原因是：

- 海福花園人口估計有2000多人，2019區議會選舉時本區人口預計會從16,045人調到18,417人。如本區人口不會持續增長的話，本處絕不反對新分界之決定。但2022年時，大圍上蓋項目將會入伙，預計3000戶，屆時必定超過選管會設立的人口上限，選區定必須重新再劃，海福花園或需被選管會一劃再劃，選管會根本沒考慮到區內未來人口增長。

本處建議：

- 將海福花園撥回下城門區，並將美田邨美致樓及美盈苑改劃至松田區。下城門區暫時仍未有規劃大型屋苑項目，故此人口並不會大幅增加。海福花園在2015年改區前本屬下城門區，而美田邨美全樓現時為松田選區，將鄰接的美致樓及美盈苑撥入松田區，在地域上（如社區措施共用性）更為適合。同時，藉此拉上補下，既可提升松田區人口至法定選區人口中位數，又可確保下城門選區人口維持在中位數以上，相得益彰。

敬希翠嘉街坊能簽名支持本處給予政府的意見。

5106(12)

2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議

選舉管理委員會（下稱選管會）建議 2019 年選區新分界將海福花園劃入翠嘉選區（即未來將包括海福花園、金禧花園、富嘉花園、部分新翠邨及積福街以南的範圍）。選管會考慮到 2019 年雲城選區（曾包括名城、盛薈、盛世、海福花園及雲疊花園）或會超過選區法定人口上限（即 20,749 人），故將海福花園撥入翠嘉選區。

本處反對選管會作出的新劃區，主要原因是：

- 海福花園人口估計有 2000 多人，2019 區議會選舉時本區人口預計會從 16,045 人調到 18,417 人。如本區人口不會持續增長的話，本處絕不反對新分界之決定。但 2022 年時，大圍上蓋項目將會入伙，預計 3000 戶，屆時必定超過選管會設立的人口上限，選區定必須重新再劃，海福花園或需被選管會一劃再劃，選管會根本沒考慮到區內未來人口增長。

本處建議：

- 將海福花園撥回下城門區，並將美田邨美致樓及美盈苑改劃至松田區。下城門區暫時仍未有規劃大型屋苑項目，故此人口並不會大幅增加。海福花園在 2015 年改區前本屬下城門區，而美田邨美全樓現時為松田選區，將鄰接的美致樓及美盈苑撥入松田區，在地域上（如社區措施共用性）更為適合。同時，藉此拉上補下，既可提升松田區人口至法定選區人口中位數，又可確保下城門選區人口維持在中位數以上，相得益彰。

敬希翠嘉街坊能簽名支持本處給予政府的意見。

李秋秀		鄭	吳光健
蔡宗山	Chan	陳丽芳	吳錦
梁滿洲	梁滿洲	劉	張
黃鎮新	黃鎮新	不	紀
黃鎮新	黃雅儀	Chan Ka Ying	黃雅
尤金蓮	Kwan	紀	張
吳順南	李德	鍾旅鴻	

5106(13)

2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議

選舉管理委員會（下稱選管會）建議 2019 年選區新分界將海福花園劃入翠嘉選區（即未來將包括海福花園、金禧花園、富嘉花園、部分新翠邨及積福街以南的範圍）。選管會考慮到 2019 年雲城選區（曾包括名城、盛薈、盛世、海福花園及雲疊花園）或會超過選區法定人口上限（即 20,749 人），故將海福花園撥入翠嘉選區。

本處反對選管會作出的新劃區，主要原因是：

- 海福花園人口估計有 2000 多人，2019 區議會選舉時本區人口預計會從 16,045 人調到 18,417 人。如本區人口不會持續增長的話，本處絕不反對新分界之決定。但 2022 年時，大圍上蓋項目將會入伙，預計 3000 戶，屆時必定超過選管會設立的人口上限，選區定必須重新再劃，海福花園或需被選管會一劃再劃，選管會根本沒考慮到區內未來人口增長。

本處建議：

- 將海福花園撥回下城門區，並將美田邨美致樓及美盈苑改劃至松田區。下城門區暫時仍未有規劃大型屋苑項目，故此人口並不會大幅增加。海福花園在 2015 年改區前本屬下城門區，而美田邨美全樓現時為松田選區，將鄰接的美致樓及美盈苑撥入松田區，在地域上（如社區措施共用性）更為適合。同時，藉此拉上補下，既可提升松田區人口至法定選區人口中位數，又可確保下城門選區人口維持在中位數以上，相得益彰。

敬希翠嘉街坊能簽名支持本處給予政府的意見。

譚永康	王鎮榮	下	鍾美英
何柴娟		嘉儀	
曾慶安	陳錦輝		簡偉強
		梁錦強	梁錦強
		梁錦強	Amy

5106(14)

2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議

選舉管理委員會（下稱選管會）建議 2019 年選區新分界將海福花園劃入翠嘉選區（即未來將包括海福花園、金禧花園、富嘉花園、部分新翠邨及積福街以南的範圍）。選管會考慮到 2019 年雲城選區（曾包括名城、盛薈、盛世、海福花園及雲疊花園）或會超過選區法定人口上限（即 20,749 人），故將海福花園撥入翠嘉選區。

本處反對選管會作出的新劃區，主要原因是：

- 海福花園人口估計有 2000 多人，2019 區議會選舉時本區人口預計會從 16,045 人調到 18,417 人。如本區人口不會持續增長的話，本處絕不反對新分界之決定。但 2022 年時，大圍上蓋項目將會入伙，預計 3000 戶，屆時必定超過選管會設立的人口上限，選區定必須重新再劃，海福花園或需被選管會一劃再劃，選管會根本沒考慮到區內未來人口增長。

本處建議：

- 將海福花園撥回下城門區，並將美田邨美致樓及美盈苑改劃至松田區。下城門區暫時仍未有規劃大型屋苑項目，故此人口並不會大幅增加。海福花園在 2015 年改區前本屬下城門區，而美田邨美全樓現時為松田選區，將鄰接的美致樓及美盈苑撥入松田區，在地域上（如社區措施共用性）更為適合。同時，藉此拉上補下，既可提升松田區人口至法定選區人口中位數，又可確保下城門選區人口維持在中位數以上，相得益彰。

敬希翠嘉街坊能簽名支持本處給予政府的意見。

黃區羅		許業宗	李
	林	在全体	
		王	
蔡柏樺		明	嘉
		多	
林美鳳	張振華		
梁健文			

5106(15)

2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議

選舉管理委員會（下稱選管會）建議 2019 年選區新分界將海福花園劃入翠嘉選區（即未來將包括海福花園、金禧花園、富嘉花園、部分新翠邨及積福街以南的範圍）。選管會考慮到 2019 年雲城選區（曾包括名城、盛薈、盛世、海福花園及雲疊花園）或會超過選區法定人口上限（即 20,749 人），故將海福花園撥入翠嘉選區。

本處反對選管會作出的新劃區，主要原因是：

- 海福花園人口估計有 2000 多人，2019 區議會選舉時本區人口預計會從 16,045 人調到 18,417 人。如本區人口不會持續增長的話，本處絕不反對新分界之決定。但 2022 年時，大圍上蓋項目將會入伙，預計 3000 戶，屆時必定超過選管會設立的人口上限，選區定必須重新再劃，海福花園或需被選管會一劃再劃，選管會根本沒考慮到區內未來人口增長。

本處建議：

- 將海福花園撥回下城門區，並將美田邨美致樓及美盈苑改劃至松田區。下城門區暫時仍未有規劃大型屋苑項目，故此人口並不會大幅增加。海福花園在 2015 年改區前本屬下城門區，而美田邨美全樓現時為松田選區，將鄰接的美致樓及美盈苑撥入松田區，在地域上（如社區措施共用性）更為適合。同時，藉此拉上補下，既可提升松田區人口至法定選區人口中位數，又可確保下城門選區人口維持在中位數以上，相得益彰。

敬希翠嘉街坊能簽名支持本處給予政府的意見。

刘瑛	林漢添	陳	廖
Cho Chi Man			
Luk Shing Chuen			
Secretariat Chi		陳丽芳	
歐陽淑慧			
	Lin		

5106(16)

2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議

選舉管理委員會（下稱選管會）建議2019年選區新分界將海福花園劃入翠嘉選區（即未來將包括海福花園、金禧花園、富嘉花園、部分新翠邨及積福街以南的範圍）。選管會考慮到2019年荃城選區（曾包括名城、盛蒼、盛世、海福花園及雲疊花園）或會超過選區法定人口上限（即20,749人），故將海福花園撥入翠嘉選區。

本處反對選管會作出的新劃區，主要原因是：

- 海福花園人口估計有2000多人，2019區議會選舉時本區人口預計會從16,045人調到18,417人。如本區人口不會持續增長的話，本處絕不反對新分界之決定。但2022年時，大圍上蓋項目將會入伙，預計3000戶，屆時必定超過選管會設立的人口上限，選區定必須重新再劃，海福花園或需被選管會一劃再劃，選管會根本沒考慮到區內未來人口增長。

本處建議：

- 將海福花園撥回下城門區，並將美田邨美致樓及美盈苑改劃至松田區。下城門區暫時仍未有規劃大型屋苑項目，故此人口並不會大幅增加。海福花園在2015年改區前本屬下城門區，而美田邨美全樓現時為松田選區，將鄰接的美致樓及美盈苑撥入松田區，在地域上（如社區措施共用性）更為適合。同時，藉此拉上補下，既可提升松田區人口至法定選區人口中位數，又可確保下城門選區人口維持在中位數以上，相得益彰。

敬希翠嘉街坊能簽名支持本處給予政府的意見。

5106(17)

2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議

選舉管理委員會（下稱選管會）建議2019年選區新分界將海福花園劃入翠嘉選區（即未來將包括海福花園、金禧花園、富嘉花園、部分新翠邨及積福街以南的範圍）。選管會考慮到2019年荃城選區（曾包括名城、盛蒼、盛世、海福花園及雲疊花園）或會超過選區法定人口上限（即20,749人），故將海福花園撥入翠嘉選區。

本處反對選管會作出的新劃區，主要原因是：

- 海福花園人口估計有2000多人，2019區議會選舉時本區人口預計會從16,045人調到18,417人。如本區人口不會持續增長的話，本處絕不反對新分界之決定。但2022年時，大圍上蓋項目將會入伙，預計3000戶，屆時必定超過選管會設立的人口上限，選區定必須重新再劃，海福花園或需被選管會一劃再劃，選管會根本沒考慮到區內未來人口增長。

本處建議：

- 將海福花園撥回下城門區，並將美田邨美致樓及美盈苑改劃至松田區。下城門區暫時仍未有規劃大型屋苑項目，故此人口並不會大幅增加。海福花園在2015年改區前本屬下城門區，而美田邨美全樓現時為松田選區，將鄰接的美致樓及美盈苑撥入松田區，在地域上（如社區措施共用性）更為適合。同時，藉此拉上補下，既可提升松田區人口至法定選區人口中位數，又可確保下城門選區人口維持在中位數以上，相得益彰。

敬希翠嘉街坊能簽名支持本處給予政府的意見。

5106(18)

2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議

選舉管理委員會（下稱選管會）建議2019年選區新分界將海福花園劃入翠嘉選區（即未來將包括海福花園、金禧花園、富嘉花園、部分新翠邨及積福街以南的範圍）。選管會考慮到2019年荃城選區（曾包括名城、盛蒼、盛世、海福花園及雲疊花園）或會超過選區法定人口上限（即20,749人），故將海福花園撥入翠嘉選區。

本處反對選管會作出的新劃區，主要原因是：

- 海福花園人口估計有2000多人，2019區議會選舉時本區人口預計會從16,045人調到18,417人。如本區人口不會持續增長的話，本處絕不反對新分界之決定。但2022年時，大圍上蓋項目將會入伙，預計3000戶，屆時必定超過選管會設立的人口上限，選區定必須重新再劃，海福花園或需被選管會一劃再劃，選管會根本沒考慮到區內未來人口增長。

本處建議：

- 將海福花園撥回下城門區，並將美田邨美致樓及美盈苑改劃至松田區。下城門區暫時仍未有規劃大型屋苑項目，故此人口並不會大幅增加。海福花園在2015年改區前本屬下城門區，而美田邨美全樓現時為松田選區，將鄰接的美致樓及美盈苑撥入松田區，在地域上（如社區措施共用性）更為適合。同時，藉此拉上補下，既可提升松田區人口至法定選區人口中位數，又可確保下城門選區人口維持在中位數以上，相得益彰。

敬希翠嘉街坊能簽名支持本處給予政府的意見。

5106(19)

2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議

選舉管理委員會（下稱選管會）建議2019年選區新分界將海福花園劃入翠嘉選區（即未來將包括海福花園、金禧花園、富嘉花園、部分新翠邨及積福街以南的範圍）。選管會考慮到2019年荃城選區（曾包括名城、盛蒼、盛世、海福花園及雲疊花園）或會超過選區法定人口上限（即20,749人），故將海福花園撥入翠嘉選區。

本處反對選管會作出的新劃區，主要原因是：

- 海福花園人口估計有2000多人，2019區議會選舉時本區人口預計會從16,045人調到18,417人。如本區人口不會持續增長的話，本處絕不反對新分界之決定。但2022年時，大圍上蓋項目將會入伙，預計3000戶，屆時必定超過選管會設立的人口上限，選區定必須重新再劃，海福花園或需被選管會一劃再劃，選管會根本沒考慮到區內未來人口增長。

本處建議：

- 將海福花園撥回下城門區，並將美田邨美致樓及美盈苑改劃至松田區。下城門區暫時仍未有規劃大型屋苑項目，故此人口並不會大幅增加。海福花園在2015年改區前本屬下城門區，而美田邨美全樓現時為松田選區，將鄰接的美致樓及美盈苑撥入松田區，在地域上（如社區措施共用性）更為適合。同時，藉此拉上補下，既可提升松田區人口至法定選區人口中位數，又可確保下城門選區人口維持在中位數以上，相得益彰。

敬希翠嘉街坊能簽名支持本處給予政府的意見。

5106(20)

2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議

選舉管理委員會（下稱選管會）建議2019年選區新分界將海福花園劃入翠嘉選區（即未來將包括海福花園、金禧花園、富嘉花園、部分新翠邨及積福街以南的範圍）。選管會考慮到2019年雲城選區（曾包括名城、盛蕾、盛世、海福花園及雲疊花園）或會超過選區法定人口上限（即20,749人），故將海福花園撥入翠嘉選區。

本處反對選管會作出的新劃區，主要原因是：

- 海福花園人口估計有2000多人，2019區議會選舉時本區人口預計會從16,045人調到18,417人。如本區人口不會持續增長的話，本處絕不反對新分界之決定。但2022年時，大圍上蓋項目將會入伙，預計3000戶，屆時必定超過選管會設立的人口上限，選區定必須重新再劃，海福花園或需被選管會一劃再劃，選管會根本沒考慮到區內未來人口增長。

本處建議：

- 將海福花園撥回下城門區，並將美田邨美致樓及美盈苑改劃至松田區。下城門區暫時仍未有規劃大型屋苑項目，故此人口並不會大幅增加。海福花園在2015年改區前本屬下城門區，而美田邨美全樓現時為松田選區，將鄰接的美致樓及美盈苑撥入松田區，在地域上（如社區措施共用性）更為適合。同時，藉此拉上補下，既可提升松田區人口至法定選區人口中位數，又可確保下城門選區人口維持在中位數以上，相得益彰。

敬希翠嘉街坊能簽名支持本處給予政府的意見。

5106(21)

2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議

選舉管理委員會（下稱選管會）建議2019年選區新分界將海福花園劃入翠嘉選區（即未來將包括海福花園、金禧花園、富嘉花園、部分新翠邨及積福街以南的範圍）。選管會考慮到2019年雲城選區（曾包括名城、盛蕾、盛世、海福花園及雲疊花園）或會超過選區法定人口上限（即20,749人），故將海福花園撥入翠嘉選區。

本處反對選管會作出的新劃區，主要原因是：

- 海福花園人口估計有2000多人，2019區議會選舉時本區人口預計會從16,045人調到18,417人。如本區人口不會持續增長的話，本處絕不反對新分界之決定。但2022年時，大圍上蓋項目將會入伙，預計3000戶，屆時必定超過選管會設立的人口上限，選區定必須重新再劃，海福花園或需被選管會一劃再劃，選管會根本沒考慮到區內未來人口增長。

本處建議：

- 將海福花園撥回下城門區，並將美田邨美致樓及美盈苑改劃至松田區。下城門區暫時仍未有規劃大型屋苑項目，故此人口並不會大幅增加。海福花園在2015年改區前本屬下城門區，而美田邨美全樓現時為松田選區，將鄰接的美致樓及美盈苑撥入松田區，在地域上（如社區措施共用性）更為適合。同時，藉此拉上補下，既可提升松田區人口至法定選區人口中位數，又可確保下城門選區人口維持在中位數以上，相得益彰。

敬希翠嘉街坊能簽名支持本處給予政府的意見。

5106(22)

2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議

選舉管理委員會（下稱選管會）建議2019年選區新分界將海福花園劃入翠嘉選區（即未來將包括海福花園、金禧花園、富嘉花園、部分新翠邨及積福街以南的範圍）。選管會考慮到2019年雲城選區（曾包括名城、盛蕾、盛世、海福花園及雲疊花園）或會超過選區法定人口上限（即20,749人），故將海福花園撥入翠嘉選區。

本處反對選管會作出的新劃區，主要原因是：

- 海福花園人口估計有2000多人，2019區議會選舉時本區人口預計會從16,045人調到18,417人。如本區人口不會持續增長的話，本處絕不反對新分界之決定。但2022年時，大圍上蓋項目將會入伙，預計3000戶，屆時必定超過選管會設立的人口上限，選區定必須重新再劃，海福花園或需被選管會一劃再劃，選管會根本沒考慮到區內未來人口增長。

本處建議：

- 將海福花園撥回下城門區，並將美田邨美致樓及美盈苑改劃至松田區。下城門區暫時仍未有規劃大型屋苑項目，故此人口並不會大幅增加。海福花園在2015年改區前本屬下城門區，而美田邨美全樓現時為松田選區，將鄰接的美致樓及美盈苑撥入松田區，在地域上（如社區措施共用性）更為適合。同時，藉此拉上補下，既可提升松田區人口至法定選區人口中位數，又可確保下城門選區人口維持在中位數以上，相得益彰。

敬希翠嘉街坊能簽名支持本處給予政府的意見。

5106(23)

2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議

選舉管理委員會（下稱選管會）建議2019年選區新分界將海福花園劃入翠嘉選區（即未來將包括海福花園、金禧花園、富嘉花園、部分新翠邨及積福街以南的範圍）。選管會考慮到2019年雲城選區（曾包括名城、盛蕾、盛世、海福花園及雲疊花園）或會超過選區法定人口上限（即20,749人），故將海福花園撥入翠嘉選區。

本處反對選管會作出的新劃區，主要原因是：

- 海福花園人口估計有2000多人，2019區議會選舉時本區人口預計會從16,045人調到18,417人。如本區人口不會持續增長的話，本處絕不反對新分界之決定。但2022年時，大圍上蓋項目將會入伙，預計3000戶，屆時必定超過選管會設立的人口上限，選區定必須重新再劃，海福花園或需被選管會一劃再劃，選管會根本沒考慮到區內未來人口增長。

本處建議：

- 將海福花園撥回下城門區，並將美田邨美致樓及美盈苑改劃至松田區。下城門區暫時仍未有規劃大型屋苑項目，故此人口並不會大幅增加。海福花園在2015年改區前本屬下城門區，而美田邨美全樓現時為松田選區，將鄰接的美致樓及美盈苑撥入松田區，在地域上（如社區措施共用性）更為適合。同時，藉此拉上補下，既可提升松田區人口至法定選區人口中位數，又可確保下城門選區人口維持在中位數以上，相得益彰。

敬希翠嘉街坊能簽名支持本處給予政府的意見。

5106(24)

2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議

選舉管理委員會（下稱選管會）建議2019年選區新分界將海福花園劃入翠嘉選區（即未來將包括海福花園、金禧花園、富嘉花園、部分新翠邨及積福街以南的範圍）。選管會考慮到2019年蓉城選區（曾包括名城、盛薈、盛世、海福花園及譽疊花園）或會超過選區法定人口上限（即20,749人），故將海福花園撥入翠嘉選區。

本處反對選管會作出的新劃區，主要原因是：

- 海福花園人口估計有2000多人，2019區議會選舉時本區人口預計會從16,045人調到18,417人。如本區人口不會持續增長的話，本處絕不反對新分界之決定。但2022年時，大圍上蓋項目將會入伙，預計3000戶，屆時必定超過選管會設立的人口上限，選區定必須重新再劃，海福花園或需被選管會一劃再劃，選管會根本沒考慮到區內未來人口增長。

本處建議：

- 將海福花園撥回下城門區，並將美田邨美致樓及美盈苑改劃至松田區。下城門區暫時仍未有規劃大型屋苑項目，故此人口並不會大幅增加。海福花園在2015年改區前本屬下城門區，而美田邨美全樓現時為松田選區，將鄰接的美致樓及美盈苑撥入松田區，在地域上（如社區措施共用性）更為適合。同時，藉此拉上補下，既可提升松田區人口至法定選區人口中位數，又可確保下城門選區人口維持在中位數以上，相得益彰。

敬希翠嘉街坊能簽名支持本處給予政府的意見。

5106(25)

2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議

選舉管理委員會（下稱選管會）建議2019年選區新分界將海福花園劃入翠嘉選區（即未來將包括海福花園、金禧花園、富嘉花園、部分新翠邨及積福街以南的範圍）。選管會考慮到2019年蓉城選區（曾包括名城、盛薈、盛世、海福花園及譽疊花園）或會超過選區法定人口上限（即20,749人），故將海福花園撥入翠嘉選區。

本處反對選管會作出的新劃區，主要原因是：

- 海福花園人口估計有2000多人，2019區議會選舉時本區人口預計會從16,045人調到18,417人。如本區人口不會持續增長的話，本處絕不反對新分界之決定。但2022年時，大圍上蓋項目將會入伙，預計3000戶，屆時必定超過選管會設立的人口上限，選區定必須重新再劃，海福花園或需被選管會一劃再劃，選管會根本沒考慮到區內未來人口增長。

本處建議：

- 將海福花園撥回下城門區，並將美田邨美致樓及美盈苑改劃至松田區。下城門區暫時仍未有規劃大型屋苑項目，故此人口並不會大幅增加。海福花園在2015年改區前本屬下城門區，而美田邨美全樓現時為松田選區，將鄰接的美致樓及美盈苑撥入松田區，在地域上（如社區措施共用性）更為適合。同時，藉此拉上補下，既可提升松田區人口至法定選區人口中位數，又可確保下城門選區人口維持在中位數以上，相得益彰。

敬希翠嘉街坊能簽名支持本處給予政府的意見。

5106(26)

2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議

選舉管理委員會（下稱選管會）建議2019年選區新分界將海福花園劃入翠嘉選區（即未來將包括海福花園、金禧花園、富嘉花園、部分新翠邨及積福街以南的範圍）。選管會考慮到2019年蓉城選區（曾包括名城、盛薈、盛世、海福花園及譽疊花園）或會超過選區法定人口上限（即20,749人），故將海福花園撥入翠嘉選區。

本處反對選管會作出的新劃區，主要原因是：

- 海福花園人口估計有2000多人，2019區議會選舉時本區人口預計會從16,045人調到18,417人。如本區人口不會持續增長的話，本處絕不反對新分界之決定。但2022年時，大圍上蓋項目將會入伙，預計3000戶，屆時必定超過選管會設立的人口上限，選區定必須重新再劃，海福花園或需被選管會一劃再劃，選管會根本沒考慮到區內未來人口增長。

本處建議：

- 將海福花園撥回下城門區，並將美田邨美致樓及美盈苑改劃至松田區。下城門區暫時仍未有規劃大型屋苑項目，故此人口並不會大幅增加。海福花園在2015年改區前本屬下城門區，而美田邨美全樓現時為松田選區，將鄰接的美致樓及美盈苑撥入松田區，在地域上（如社區措施共用性）更為適合。同時，藉此拉上補下，既可提升松田區人口至法定選區人口中位數，又可確保下城門選區人口維持在中位數以上，相得益彰。

敬希翠嘉街坊能簽名支持本處給予政府的意見。

5106(27)

2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議

選舉管理委員會（下稱選管會）建議2019年選區新分界將海福花園劃入翠嘉選區（即未來將包括海福花園、金禧花園、富嘉花園、部分新翠邨及積福街以南的範圍）。選管會考慮到2019年蓉城選區（曾包括名城、盛薈、盛世、海福花園及譽疊花園）或會超過選區法定人口上限（即20,749人），故將海福花園撥入翠嘉選區。

本處反對選管會作出的新劃區，主要原因是：

- 海福花園人口估計有2000多人，2019區議會選舉時本區人口預計會從16,045人調到18,417人。如本區人口不會持續增長的話，本處絕不反對新分界之決定。但2022年時，大圍上蓋項目將會入伙，預計3000戶，屆時必定超過選管會設立的人口上限，選區定必須重新再劃，海福花園或需被選管會一劃再劃，選管會根本沒考慮到區內未來人口增長。

本處建議：

- 將海福花園撥回下城門區，並將美田邨美致樓及美盈苑改劃至松田區。下城門區暫時仍未有規劃大型屋苑項目，故此人口並不會大幅增加。海福花園在2015年改區前本屬下城門區，而美田邨美全樓現時為松田選區，將鄰接的美致樓及美盈苑撥入松田區，在地域上（如社區措施共用性）更為適合。同時，藉此拉上補下，既可提升松田區人口至法定選區人口中位數，又可確保下城門選區人口維持在中位數以上，相得益彰。

敬希翠嘉街坊能簽名支持本處給予政府的意見。

5106(28)

2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議

選舉管理委員會（下稱選管會）建議2019年選區新分界將海福花園劃入翠嘉選區（即未來將包括海福花園、金禧花園、富嘉花園、部分新翠邨及積福街以南的範圍）。選管會考慮到2019年雲城選區（曾包括名城、盛薈、盛世、海福花園及雲疊花園）或會超過選區法定人口上限（即20,749人），故將海福花園撥入翠嘉選區。

本處反對選管會作出的新劃區，主要原因是：

- 海福花園人口估計有2000多人，2019區議會選舉時本區人口預計會從16,045人調到18,417人。如本區人口不會持續增長的話，本處絕不反對新分界之決定。但2022年時，大圍上蓋項目將會入伙，預計3000戶，屆時必定超過選管會設立的人口上限，選區定必須重新再劃，海福花園或需被選管會一劃再劃，選管會根本沒考慮到區內未來人口增長。

本處建議：

- 將海福花園撥回下城門區，並將美田邨美致樓及美盈苑改劃至松田區。下城門區暫時仍未有規劃大型屋苑項目，故此人口並不會大幅增加。海福花園在2015年改區前本屬下城門區，而美田邨美全樓現時為松田選區，將鄰接的美致樓及美盈苑撥入松田區，在地域上（如社區措施共用性）更為適合。同時，藉此拉上補下，既可提升松田區人口至法定選區人口中位數，又可確保下城門選區人口維持在中位數以上，相得益彰。

敬希翠嘉街坊能簽名支持本處給予政府的意見。

	陳[illegible]球	郭大[illegible]	[illegible]
	[illegible]翠[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
		[illegible]	[illegible]
	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]

5106(29)

2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議

選舉管理委員會（下稱選管會）建議2019年選區新分界將海福花園劃入翠嘉選區（即未來將包括海福花園、金禧花園、富嘉花園、部分新翠邨及積福街以南的範圍）。選管會考慮到2019年雲城選區（曾包括名城、盛薈、盛世、海福花園及雲疊花園）或會超過選區法定人口上限（即20,749人），故將海福花園撥入翠嘉選區。

本處反對選管會作出的新劃區，主要原因是：

- 海福花園人口估計有2000多人，2019區議會選舉時本區人口預計會從16,045人調到18,417人。如本區人口不會持續增長的話，本處絕不反對新分界之決定。但2022年時，大圍上蓋項目將會入伙，預計3000戶，屆時必定超過選管會設立的人口上限，選區定必須重新再劃，海福花園或需被選管會一劃再劃，選管會根本沒考慮到區內未來人口增長。

本處建議：

- 將海福花園撥回下城門區，並將美田邨美致樓及美盈苑改劃至松田區。下城門區暫時仍未有規劃大型屋苑項目，故此人口並不會大幅增加。海福花園在2015年改區前本屬下城門區，而美田邨美全樓現時為松田選區，將鄰接的美致樓及美盈苑撥入松田區，在地域上（如社區措施共用性）更為適合。同時，藉此拉上補下，既可提升松田區人口至法定選區人口中位數，又可確保下城門選區人口維持在中位數以上，相得益彰。

敬希翠嘉街坊能簽名支持本處給予政府的意見。

[illegible]楊[illegible]	楊[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	郭連妹	潘[illegible]	[illegible]

5106(30)

2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議

選舉管理委員會（下稱選管會）建議2019年選區新分界將海福花園劃入翠嘉選區（即未來將包括海福花園、金禧花園、富嘉花園、部分新翠邨及積福街以南的範圍）。選管會考慮到2019年雲城選區（曾包括名城、盛薈、盛世、海福花園及雲疊花園）或會超過選區法定人口上限（即20,749人），故將海福花園撥入翠嘉選區。

本處反對選管會作出的新劃區，主要原因是：

- 海福花園人口估計有2000多人，2019區議會選舉時本區人口預計會從16,045人調到18,417人。如本區人口不會持續增長的話，本處絕不反對新分界之決定。但2022年時，大圍上蓋項目將會入伙，預計3000戶，屆時必定超過選管會設立的人口上限，選區定必須重新再劃，海福花園或需被選管會一劃再劃，選管會根本沒考慮到區內未來人口增長。

本處建議：

- 將海福花園撥回下城門區，並將美田邨美致樓及美盈苑改劃至松田區。下城門區暫時仍未有規劃大型屋苑項目，故此人口並不會大幅增加。海福花園在2015年改區前本屬下城門區，而美田邨美全樓現時為松田選區，將鄰接的美致樓及美盈苑撥入松田區，在地域上（如社區措施共用性）更為適合。同時，藉此拉上補下，既可提升松田區人口至法定選區人口中位數，又可確保下城門選區人口維持在中位數以上，相得益彰。

敬希翠嘉街坊能簽名支持本處給予政府的意見。

[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]

5106(31)

2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議

選舉管理委員會（下稱選管會）建議2019年選區新分界將海福花園劃入翠嘉選區（即未來將包括海福花園、金禧花園、富嘉花園、部分新翠邨及積福街以南的範圍）。選管會考慮到2019年雲城選區（曾包括名城、盛薈、盛世、海福花園及雲疊花園）或會超過選區法定人口上限（即20,749人），故將海福花園撥入翠嘉選區。

本處反對選管會作出的新劃區，主要原因是：

- 海福花園人口估計有2000多人，2019區議會選舉時本區人口預計會從16,045人調到18,417人。如本區人口不會持續增長的話，本處絕不反對新分界之決定。但2022年時，大圍上蓋項目將會入伙，預計3000戶，屆時必定超過選管會設立的人口上限，選區定必須重新再劃，海福花園或需被選管會一劃再劃，選管會根本沒考慮到區內未來人口增長。

本處建議：

- 將海福花園撥回下城門區，並將美田邨美致樓及美盈苑改劃至松田區。下城門區暫時仍未有規劃大型屋苑項目，故此人口並不會大幅增加。海福花園在2015年改區前本屬下城門區，而美田邨美全樓現時為松田選區，將鄰接的美致樓及美盈苑撥入松田區，在地域上（如社區措施共用性）更為適合。同時，藉此拉上補下，既可提升松田區人口至法定選區人口中位數，又可確保下城門選區人口維持在中位數以上，相得益彰。

敬希翠嘉街坊能簽名支持本處給予政府的意見。

[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]

5106(32)

2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議

選舉管理委員會（下稱選管會）建議 2019 年選區新分界將海福花園劃入翠嘉選區（即未來將包括海福花園、金禧花園、富嘉花園、部分新翠邨及積福街以南的範圍）。選管會考慮到 2019 年雲城選區（曾包括名城、盛薈、盛世、海福花園及雲疊花園）或會超過選區法定人口上限（即 20,749 人），故將海福花園撥入翠嘉選區。

本處反對選管會作出的新劃區，主要原因是：

- 海福花園人口估計有 2000 多人，2019 區議會選舉時本區人口預計會從 16,045 人調到 18,417 人。如本區人口不會持續增長的話，本處絕不反對新分界之決定。但 2022 年時，大圍上蓋項目將會入伙，預計 3000 戶，屆時必定超過選管會設立的人口上限，選區定必須重新再劃，海福花園或需被選管會一劃再劃，選管會根本沒考慮到區內未來人口增長。

本處建議：

- 將海福花園撥回下城門區，並將美田邨美致樓及美盈苑改劃至松田區。下城門區暫時仍未有規劃大型屋苑項目，故此人口並不會大幅增加。海福花園在 2015 年改區前本屬下城門區，而美田邨美全樓現時為松田選區，將鄰接的美致樓及美盈苑撥入松田區，在地域上（如社區措施共用性）更為適合。同時，藉此拉上補下，既可提升松田區人口至法定選區人口中位數，又可確保下城門選區人口維持在中位數以上，相得益彰。

敬希翠嘉街坊能簽名支持本處給予政府的意見。

5106(33)

2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議

選舉管理委員會（下稱選管會）建議 2019 年選區新分界將海福花園劃入翠嘉選區（即未來將包括海福花園、金禧花園、富嘉花園、部分新翠邨及積福街以南的範圍）。選管會考慮到 2019 年雲城選區（曾包括名城、盛薈、盛世、海福花園及雲疊花園）或會超過選區法定人口上限（即 20,749 人），故將海福花園撥入翠嘉選區。

本處反對選管會作出的新劃區，主要原因是：

- 海福花園人口估計有 2000 多人，2019 區議會選舉時本區人口預計會從 16,045 人調到 18,417 人。如本區人口不會持續增長的話，本處絕不反對新分界之決定。但 2022 年時，大圍上蓋項目將會入伙，預計 3000 戶，屆時必定超過選管會設立的人口上限，選區定必須重新再劃，海福花園或需被選管會一劃再劃，選管會根本沒考慮到區內未來人口增長。

本處建議：

- 將海福花園撥回下城門區，並將美田邨美致樓及美盈苑改劃至松田區。下城門區暫時仍未有規劃大型屋苑項目，故此人口並不會大幅增加。海福花園在 2015 年改區前本屬下城門區，而美田邨美全樓現時為松田選區，將鄰接的美致樓及美盈苑撥入松田區，在地域上（如社區措施共用性）更為適合。同時，藉此拉上補下，既可提升松田區人口至法定選區人口中位數，又可確保下城門選區人口維持在中位數以上，相得益彰。

敬希翠嘉街坊能簽名支持本處給予政府的意見。

5106(34)

2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議

選舉管理委員會（下稱選管會）建議 2019 年選區新分界將海福花園劃入翠嘉選區（即未來將包括海福花園、金禧花園、富嘉花園、部分新翠邨及積福街以南的範圍）。選管會考慮到 2019 年雲城選區（曾包括名城、盛薈、盛世、海福花園及雲疊花園）或會超過選區法定人口上限（即 20,749 人），故將海福花園撥入翠嘉選區。

本處反對選管會作出的新劃區，主要原因是：

- 海福花園人口估計有 2000 多人，2019 區議會選舉時本區人口預計會從 16,045 人調到 18,417 人。如本區人口不會持續增長的話，本處絕不反對新分界之決定。但 2022 年時，大圍上蓋項目將會入伙，預計 3000 戶，屆時必定超過選管會設立的人口上限，選區定必須重新再劃，海福花園或需被選管會一劃再劃，選管會根本沒考慮到區內未來人口增長。

本處建議：

- 將海福花園撥回下城門區，並將美田邨美致樓及美盈苑改劃至松田區。下城門區暫時仍未有規劃大型屋苑項目，故此人口並不會大幅增加。海福花園在 2015 年改區前本屬下城門區，而美田邨美全樓現時為松田選區，將鄰接的美致樓及美盈苑撥入松田區，在地域上（如社區措施共用性）更為適合。同時，藉此拉上補下，既可提升松田區人口至法定選區人口中位數，又可確保下城門選區人口維持在中位數以上，相得益彰。

敬希翠嘉街坊能簽名支持本處給予政府的意見。

5106(35)

2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議

選舉管理委員會（下稱選管會）建議 2019 年選區新分界將海福花園劃入翠嘉選區（即未來將包括海福花園、金禧花園、富嘉花園、部分新翠邨及積福街以南的範圍）。選管會考慮到 2019 年雲城選區（曾包括名城、盛薈、盛世、海福花園及雲疊花園）或會超過選區法定人口上限（即 20,749 人），故將海福花園撥入翠嘉選區。

本處反對選管會作出的新劃區，主要原因是：

- 海福花園人口估計有 2000 多人，2019 區議會選舉時本區人口預計會從 16,045 人調到 18,417 人。如本區人口不會持續增長的話，本處絕不反對新分界之決定。但 2022 年時，大圍上蓋項目將會入伙，預計 3000 戶，屆時必定超過選管會設立的人口上限，選區定必須重新再劃，海福花園或需被選管會一劃再劃，選管會根本沒考慮到區內未來人口增長。

本處建議：

- 將海福花園撥回下城門區，並將美田邨美致樓及美盈苑改劃至松田區。下城門區暫時仍未有規劃大型屋苑項目，故此人口並不會大幅增加。海福花園在 2015 年改區前本屬下城門區，而美田邨美全樓現時為松田選區，將鄰接的美致樓及美盈苑撥入松田區，在地域上（如社區措施共用性）更為適合。同時，藉此拉上補下，既可提升松田區人口至法定選區人口中位數，又可確保下城門選區人口維持在中位數以上，相得益彰。

敬希翠嘉街坊能簽名支持本處給予政府的意見。

5106(36)

2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議

選舉管理委員會（下稱選管會）建議 2019 年選區新分界將海福花園劃入翠嘉選區（即未來將包括海福花園、金禧花園、富嘉花園、部分新翠邨及積福街以南的範圍）。選管會考慮到 2019 年雲城選區（曾包括名城、盛蒼、盛世、海福花園及雲疊花園）或會超過選區法定人口上限（即 20,749 人），故將海福花園撥入翠嘉選區。

本處反對選管會作出的新劃區，主要原因是：

- 海福花園人口估計有 2000 多人，2019 區議會選舉時本區人口預計會從 16,045 人調到 18,417 人。如本區人口不會持續增長的話，本處絕不反對新分界之決定。但 2022 年時，大圍上蓋項目將會入伙，預計 3000 戶，屆時必定超過選管會設立的人口上限，選區定必須重新再劃，海福花園或需被選管會一劃再劃，選管會根本沒考慮到區內未來人口增長。

本處建議：

- 將海福花園撥回下城門區，並將美田邨美致樓及美盈苑改劃至松田區。下城門區暫時仍未有規劃大型屋苑項目，故此人口並不會大幅增加。海福花園在 2015 年改區前本屬下城門區，而美田邨美全樓現時為松田選區，將鄰接的美致樓及美盈苑撥入松田區，在地域上（如社區措施共用性）更為適合。同時，藉此拉上補下，既可提升松田區人口至法定選區人口中位數，又可確保下城門選區人口維持在中位數以上，相得益彰。

敬希翠嘉街坊能簽名支持本處給予政府的意見。

5106(37)

2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議

選舉管理委員會（下稱選管會）建議 2019 年選區新分界將海福花園劃入翠嘉選區（即未來將包括海福花園、金禧花園、富嘉花園、部分新翠邨及積福街以南的範圍）。選管會考慮到 2019 年雲城選區（曾包括名城、盛蒼、盛世、海福花園及雲疊花園）或會超過選區法定人口上限（即 20,749 人），故將海福花園撥入翠嘉選區。

本處反對選管會作出的新劃區，主要原因是：

- 海福花園人口估計有 2000 多人，2019 區議會選舉時本區人口預計會從 16,045 人調到 18,417 人。如本區人口不會持續增長的話，本處絕不反對新分界之決定。但 2022 年時，大圍上蓋項目將會入伙，預計 3000 戶，屆時必定超過選管會設立的人口上限，選區定必須重新再劃，海福花園或需被選管會一劃再劃，選管會根本沒考慮到區內未來人口增長。

本處建議：

- 將海福花園撥回下城門區，並將美田邨美致樓及美盈苑改劃至松田區。下城門區暫時仍未有規劃大型屋苑項目，故此人口並不會大幅增加。海福花園在 2015 年改區前本屬下城門區，而美田邨美全樓現時為松田選區，將鄰接的美致樓及美盈苑撥入松田區，在地域上（如社區措施共用性）更為適合。同時，藉此拉上補下，既可提升松田區人口至法定選區人口中位數，又可確保下城門選區人口維持在中位數以上，相得益彰。

敬希翠嘉街坊能簽名支持本處給予政府的意見。

5106(38)

2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議

選舉管理委員會（下稱選管會）建議 2019 年選區新分界將海福花園劃入翠嘉選區（即未來將包括海福花園、金禧花園、富嘉花園、部分新翠邨及積福街以南的範圍）。選管會考慮到 2019 年雲城選區（曾包括名城、盛蒼、盛世、海福花園及雲疊花園）或會超過選區法定人口上限（即 20,749 人），故將海福花園撥入翠嘉選區。

本處反對選管會作出的新劃區，主要原因是：

- 海福花園人口估計有 2000 多人，2019 區議會選舉時本區人口預計會從 16,045 人調到 18,417 人。如本區人口不會持續增長的話，本處絕不反對新分界之決定。但 2022 年時，大圍上蓋項目將會入伙，預計 3000 戶，屆時必定超過選管會設立的人口上限，選區定必須重新再劃，海福花園或需被選管會一劃再劃，選管會根本沒考慮到區內未來人口增長。

本處建議：

- 將海福花園撥回下城門區，並將美田邨美致樓及美盈苑改劃至松田區。下城門區暫時仍未有規劃大型屋苑項目，故此人口並不會大幅增加。海福花園在 2015 年改區前本屬下城門區，而美田邨美全樓現時為松田選區，將鄰接的美致樓及美盈苑撥入松田區，在地域上（如社區措施共用性）更為適合。同時，藉此拉上補下，既可提升松田區人口至法定選區人口中位數，又可確保下城門選區人口維持在中位數以上，相得益彰。

敬希翠嘉街坊能簽名支持本處給予政府的意見。

5106(39)

2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議

選舉管理委員會（下稱選管會）建議 2019 年選區新分界將海福花園劃入翠嘉選區（即未來將包括海福花園、金禧花園、富嘉花園、部分新翠邨及積福街以南的範圍）。選管會考慮到 2019 年雲城選區（曾包括名城、盛蒼、盛世、海福花園及雲疊花園）或會超過選區法定人口上限（即 20,749 人），故將海福花園撥入翠嘉選區。

本處反對選管會作出的新劃區，主要原因是：

- 海福花園人口估計有 2000 多人，2019 區議會選舉時本區人口預計會從 16,045 人調到 18,417 人。如本區人口不會持續增長的話，本處絕不反對新分界之決定。但 2022 年時，大圍上蓋項目將會入伙，預計 3000 戶，屆時必定超過選管會設立的人口上限，選區定必須重新再劃，海福花園或需被選管會一劃再劃，選管會根本沒考慮到區內未來人口增長。

本處建議：

- 將海福花園撥回下城門區，並將美田邨美致樓及美盈苑改劃至松田區。下城門區暫時仍未有規劃大型屋苑項目，故此人口並不會大幅增加。海福花園在 2015 年改區前本屬下城門區，而美田邨美全樓現時為松田選區，將鄰接的美致樓及美盈苑撥入松田區，在地域上（如社區措施共用性）更為適合。同時，藉此拉上補下，既可提升松田區人口至法定選區人口中位數，又可確保下城門選區人口維持在中位數以上，相得益彰。

敬希翠嘉街坊能簽名支持本處給予政府的意見。

5106(40)

2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議

選舉管理委員會（下稱選管會）建議 2019 年選區新分界將海福花園劃入翠嘉選區（即未來將包括海福花園、金禧花園、富嘉花園、部分新翠邨及積福街以南的範圍）。選管會考慮到 2019 年雲城選區（曾包括名城、盛薈、盛世、海福花園及雲疊花園）或會超過選區法定人口上限（即 20,749 人），故將海福花園撥入翠嘉選區。

本處反對選管會作出的新劃區，主要原因是：

- 海福花園人口估計有 2000 多人，2019 區議會選舉時本區人口預計會從 16,045 人調到 18,417 人。如本區人口不會持續增長的話，本處絕不反對新分界之決定。但 2022 年時，大圍上蓋項目將會入伙，預計 3000 戶，屆時必定超過選管會設立的人口上限，選區定必須重新再劃，海福花園或需被選管會一劃再劃，選管會根本沒考慮到區內未來人口增長。

本處建議：

- 將海福花園撥回下城門區，並將美田邨美致樓及美盈苑改劃至松田區。下城門區暫時仍未有規劃大型屋苑項目，故此人口並不會大幅增加。海福花園在 2015 年改區前本屬下城門區，而美田邨美全樓現時為松田選區，將鄰接的美致樓及美盈苑撥入松田區，在地域上（如社區措施共用性）更為適合。同時，藉此拉上補下，既可提升松田區人口至法定選區人口中位數，又可確保下城門選區人口維持在中位數以上，相得益彰。

敬希翠嘉街坊能簽名支持本處給予政府的意見。



5106(41)

2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議

選舉管理委員會（下稱選管會）建議 2019 年選區新分界將海福花園劃入翠嘉選區（即未來將包括海福花園、金禧花園、富嘉花園、部分新翠邨及積福街以南的範圍）。選管會考慮到 2019 年雲城選區（曾包括名城、盛薈、盛世、海福花園及雲疊花園）或會超過選區法定人口上限（即 20,749 人），故將海福花園撥入翠嘉選區。

本處反對選管會作出的新劃區，主要原因是：

- 海福花園人口估計有 2000 多人，2019 區議會選舉時本區人口預計會從 16,045 人調到 18,417 人。如本區人口不會持續增長的話，本處絕不反對新分界之決定。但 2022 年時，大圍上蓋項目將會入伙，預計 3000 戶，屆時必定超過選管會設立的人口上限，選區定必須重新再劃，海福花園或需被選管會一劃再劃，選管會根本沒考慮到區內未來人口增長。

本處建議：

- 將海福花園撥回下城門區，並將美田邨美致樓及美盈苑改劃至松田區。下城門區暫時仍未有規劃大型屋苑項目，故此人口並不會大幅增加。海福花園在 2015 年改區前本屬下城門區，而美田邨美全樓現時為松田選區，將鄰接的美致樓及美盈苑撥入松田區，在地域上（如社區措施共用性）更為適合。同時，藉此拉上補下，既可提升松田區人口至法定選區人口中位數，又可確保下城門選區人口維持在中位數以上，相得益彰。

敬希翠嘉街坊能簽名支持本處給予政府的意見。



5106(42)

2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議

選舉管理委員會（下稱選管會）建議 2019 年選區新分界將海福花園劃入翠嘉選區（即未來將包括海福花園、金禧花園、富嘉花園、部分新翠邨及積福街以南的範圍）。選管會考慮到 2019 年雲城選區（曾包括名城、盛薈、盛世、海福花園及雲疊花園）或會超過選區法定人口上限（即 20,749 人），故將海福花園撥入翠嘉選區。

本處反對選管會作出的新劃區，主要原因是：

- 海福花園人口估計有 2000 多人，2019 區議會選舉時本區人口預計會從 16,045 人調到 18,417 人。如本區人口不會持續增長的話，本處絕不反對新分界之決定。但 2022 年時，大圍上蓋項目將會入伙，預計 3000 戶，屆時必定超過選管會設立的人口上限，選區定必須重新再劃，海福花園或需被選管會一劃再劃，選管會根本沒考慮到區內未來人口增長。

本處建議：

- 將海福花園撥回下城門區，並將美田邨美致樓及美盈苑改劃至松田區。下城門區暫時仍未有規劃大型屋苑項目，故此人口並不會大幅增加。海福花園在 2015 年改區前本屬下城門區，而美田邨美全樓現時為松田選區，將鄰接的美致樓及美盈苑撥入松田區，在地域上（如社區措施共用性）更為適合。同時，藉此拉上補下，既可提升松田區人口至法定選區人口中位數，又可確保下城門選區人口維持在中位數以上，相得益彰。

敬希翠嘉街坊能簽名支持本處給予政府的意見。



5106(43)

2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議

選舉管理委員會（下稱選管會）建議 2019 年選區新分界將海福花園劃入翠嘉選區（即未來將包括海福花園、金禧花園、富嘉花園、部分新翠邨及積福街以南的範圍）。選管會考慮到 2019 年雲城選區（曾包括名城、盛薈、盛世、海福花園及雲疊花園）或會超過選區法定人口上限（即 20,749 人），故將海福花園撥入翠嘉選區。

本處反對選管會作出的新劃區，主要原因是：

- 海福花園人口估計有 2000 多人，2019 區議會選舉時本區人口預計會從 16,045 人調到 18,417 人。如本區人口不會持續增長的話，本處絕不反對新分界之決定。但 2022 年時，大圍上蓋項目將會入伙，預計 3000 戶，屆時必定超過選管會設立的人口上限，選區定必須重新再劃，海福花園或需被選管會一劃再劃，選管會根本沒考慮到區內未來人口增長。

本處建議：

- 將海福花園撥回下城門區，並將美田邨美致樓及美盈苑改劃至松田區。下城門區暫時仍未有規劃大型屋苑項目，故此人口並不會大幅增加。海福花園在 2015 年改區前本屬下城門區，而美田邨美全樓現時為松田選區，將鄰接的美致樓及美盈苑撥入松田區，在地域上（如社區措施共用性）更為適合。同時，藉此拉上補下，既可提升松田區人口至法定選區人口中位數，又可確保下城門選區人口維持在中位數以上，相得益彰。

敬希翠嘉街坊能簽名支持本處給予政府的意見。



5106(44)

2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議

選舉管理委員會（下稱選管會）建議 2019 年選區新分界將海福花園劃入翠嘉選區（即未來將包括海福花園、金禧花園、富嘉花園、部分新翠邨及積福街以南的範圍）。選管會考慮到 2019 年雲城選區（曾包括名城、盛蕾、盛世、海福花園及雲疊花園）或會超過選區法定人口上限（即 20,749 人），故將海福花園撥入翠嘉選區。

本處反對選管會作出的新劃區，主要原因是：

- 海福花園人口估計有 2000 多人，2019 區議會選舉時本區人口預計會從 16,045 人調到 18,417 人。如本區人口不會持續增長的話，本處絕不反對新分界之決定。但 2022 年時，大圍上蓋項目將會入伙，預計 3000 戶，屆時必定超過選管會設立的人口上限，選區定必須重新再劃，海福花園或需被選管會一劃再劃，選管會根本沒考慮到區內未來人口增長。

本處建議：

- 將海福花園撥回下城門區，並將美田邨美致樓及美盈苑改劃至松田區。下城門區暫時仍未有規劃大型屋苑項目，故此人口並不會大幅增加。海福花園在 2015 年改區前本屬下城門區，而美田邨美全樓現時為松田選區，將鄰接的美致樓及美盈苑撥入松田區，在地域上（如社區措施共用性）更為適合。同時，藉此拉上補下，既可提升松田區人口至法定選區人口中位數，又可確保下城門選區人口維持在中位數以上，相得益彰。

敬希翠嘉街坊能簽名支持本處給予政府的意見。

5106(45)

2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議

選舉管理委員會（下稱選管會）建議 2019 年選區新分界將海福花園劃入翠嘉選區（即未來將包括海福花園、金禧花園、富嘉花園、部分新翠邨及積福街以南的範圍）。選管會考慮到 2019 年雲城選區（曾包括名城、盛蕾、盛世、海福花園及雲疊花園）或會超過選區法定人口上限（即 20,749 人），故將海福花園撥入翠嘉選區。

本處反對選管會作出的新劃區，主要原因是：

- 海福花園人口估計有 2000 多人，2019 區議會選舉時本區人口預計會從 16,045 人調到 18,417 人。如本區人口不會持續增長的話，本處絕不反對新分界之決定。但 2022 年時，大圍上蓋項目將會入伙，預計 3000 戶，屆時必定超過選管會設立的人口上限，選區定必須重新再劃，海福花園或需被選管會一劃再劃，選管會根本沒考慮到區內未來人口增長。

本處建議：

- 將海福花園撥回下城門區，並將美田邨美致樓及美盈苑改劃至松田區。下城門區暫時仍未有規劃大型屋苑項目，故此人口並不會大幅增加。海福花園在 2015 年改區前本屬下城門區，而美田邨美全樓現時為松田選區，將鄰接的美致樓及美盈苑撥入松田區，在地域上（如社區措施共用性）更為適合。同時，藉此拉上補下，既可提升松田區人口至法定選區人口中位數，又可確保下城門選區人口維持在中位數以上，相得益彰。

敬希翠嘉街坊能簽名支持本處給予政府的意見。

5106(46)

2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議

選舉管理委員會（下稱選管會）建議 2019 年選區新分界將海福花園劃入翠嘉選區（即未來將包括海福花園、金禧花園、富嘉花園、部分新翠邨及積福街以南的範圍）。選管會考慮到 2019 年雲城選區（曾包括名城、盛蕾、盛世、海福花園及雲疊花園）或會超過選區法定人口上限（即 20,749 人），故將海福花園撥入翠嘉選區。

本處反對選管會作出的新劃區，主要原因是：

- 海福花園人口估計有 2000 多人，2019 區議會選舉時本區人口預計會從 16,045 人調到 18,417 人。如本區人口不會持續增長的話，本處絕不反對新分界之決定。但 2022 年時，大圍上蓋項目將會入伙，預計 3000 戶，屆時必定超過選管會設立的人口上限，選區定必須重新再劃，海福花園或需被選管會一劃再劃，選管會根本沒考慮到區內未來人口增長。

本處建議：

- 將海福花園撥回下城門區，並將美田邨美致樓及美盈苑改劃至松田區。下城門區暫時仍未有規劃大型屋苑項目，故此人口並不會大幅增加。海福花園在 2015 年改區前本屬下城門區，而美田邨美全樓現時為松田選區，將鄰接的美致樓及美盈苑撥入松田區，在地域上（如社區措施共用性）更為適合。同時，藉此拉上補下，既可提升松田區人口至法定選區人口中位數，又可確保下城門選區人口維持在中位數以上，相得益彰。

敬希翠嘉街坊能簽名支持本處給予政府的意見。

5106(47)

2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議

選舉管理委員會（下稱選管會）建議 2019 年選區新分界將海福花園劃入翠嘉選區（即未來將包括海福花園、金禧花園、富嘉花園、部分新翠邨及積福街以南的範圍）。選管會考慮到 2019 年雲城選區（曾包括名城、盛蕾、盛世、海福花園及雲疊花園）或會超過選區法定人口上限（即 20,749 人），故將海福花園撥入翠嘉選區。

本處反對選管會作出的新劃區，主要原因是：

- 海福花園人口估計有 2000 多人，2019 區議會選舉時本區人口預計會從 16,045 人調到 18,417 人。如本區人口不會持續增長的話，本處絕不反對新分界之決定。但 2022 年時，大圍上蓋項目將會入伙，預計 3000 戶，屆時必定超過選管會設立的人口上限，選區定必須重新再劃，海福花園或需被選管會一劃再劃，選管會根本沒考慮到區內未來人口增長。

本處建議：

- 將海福花園撥回下城門區，並將美田邨美致樓及美盈苑改劃至松田區。下城門區暫時仍未有規劃大型屋苑項目，故此人口並不會大幅增加。海福花園在 2015 年改區前本屬下城門區，而美田邨美全樓現時為松田選區，將鄰接的美致樓及美盈苑撥入松田區，在地域上（如社區措施共用性）更為適合。同時，藉此拉上補下，既可提升松田區人口至法定選區人口中位數，又可確保下城門選區人口維持在中位數以上，相得益彰。

敬希翠嘉街坊能簽名支持本處給予政府的意見。

5106(48)

2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議

選舉管理委員會（下稱選管會）建議 2019 年選區新分界將海福花園劃入翠嘉選區（即未來將包括海福花園、金禧花園、富嘉花園、部分新翠邨及積福街以南的範圍）。選管會考慮到 2019 年雲城選區（曾包括名城、盛薈、盛世、海福花園及雲疊花園）或會超過選區法定人口上限（即 20,749 人），故將海福花園撥入翠嘉選區。

本處反對選管會作出的新劃區，主要原因是：

- 海福花園人口估計有 2000 多人，2019 區議會選舉時本區人口預計會從 16,045 人調到 18,417 人。如本區人口不會持續增長的話，本處絕不反對新分界之決定。但 2022 年時，大圍上蓋項目將會入伙，預計 3000 戶，屆時必定超過選管會設立的人口上限，選區定必須重新再劃，海福花園或需被選管會一劃再劃，選管會根本沒考慮到區內未來人口增長。

本處建議：

- 將海福花園撥回下城門區，並將美田邨美致樓及美盈苑改劃至松田區。下城門區暫時仍未有規劃大型屋苑項目，故此人口並不會大幅增加。海福花園在 2015 年改區前本屬下城門區，而美田邨美全樓現時為松田選區，將鄰接的美致樓及美盈苑撥入松田區，在地域上（如社區措施共用性）更為適合。同時，藉此拉上補下，既可提升松田區人口至法定選區人口中位數，又可確保下城門選區人口維持在中位數以上，相得益彰。

敬希翠嘉街坊能簽名支持本處給予政府的意見。

馮君山	唐榮	林能木	
黃妹	張明私		吳錦釗
	陳七妹	黃少鴻	
	黃桂珍		
	关文輝		

5106(49)

2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議

選舉管理委員會（下稱選管會）建議 2019 年選區新分界將海福花園劃入翠嘉選區（即未來將包括海福花園、金禧花園、富嘉花園、部分新翠邨及積福街以南的範圍）。選管會考慮到 2019 年雲城選區（曾包括名城、盛薈、盛世、海福花園及雲疊花園）或會超過選區法定人口上限（即 20,749 人），故將海福花園撥入翠嘉選區。

本處反對選管會作出的新劃區，主要原因是：

- 海福花園人口估計有 2000 多人，2019 區議會選舉時本區人口預計會從 16,045 人調到 18,417 人。如本區人口不會持續增長的話，本處絕不反對新分界之決定。但 2022 年時，大圍上蓋項目將會入伙，預計 3000 戶，屆時必定超過選管會設立的人口上限，選區定必須重新再劃，海福花園或需被選管會一劃再劃，選管會根本沒考慮到區內未來人口增長。

本處建議：

- 將海福花園撥回下城門區，並將美田邨美致樓及美盈苑改劃至松田區。下城門區暫時仍未有規劃大型屋苑項目，故此人口並不會大幅增加。海福花園在 2015 年改區前本屬下城門區，而美田邨美全樓現時為松田選區，將鄰接的美致樓及美盈苑撥入松田區，在地域上（如社區措施共用性）更為適合。同時，藉此拉上補下，既可提升松田區人口至法定選區人口中位數，又可確保下城門選區人口維持在中位數以上，相得益彰。

敬希翠嘉街坊能簽名支持本處給予政府的意見。

黃月娟		Pauline Pang	鄧英
林雪芳	黃玉珊		鄭遠賢
潘發成	Anna Chan		林能木
吉明銳	馬秀玉	張忠義	歐陳
	邱倩銳		黃玉明
		歐陽炳榮	余惠然
		陳美燁	Steven

5106(50)

2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議

選舉管理委員會（下稱選管會）建議 2019 年選區新分界將海福花園劃入翠嘉選區（即未來將包括海福花園、金禧花園、富嘉花園、部分新翠邨及積福街以南的範圍）。選管會考慮到 2019 年雲城選區（曾包括名城、盛薈、盛世、海福花園及雲疊花園）或會超過選區法定人口上限（即 20,749 人），故將海福花園撥入翠嘉選區。

本處反對選管會作出的新劃區，主要原因是：

- 海福花園人口估計有 2000 多人，2019 區議會選舉時本區人口預計會從 16,045 人調到 18,417 人。如本區人口不會持續增長的話，本處絕不反對新分界之決定。但 2022 年時，大圍上蓋項目將會入伙，預計 3000 戶，屆時必定超過選管會設立的人口上限，選區定必須重新再劃，海福花園或需被選管會一劃再劃，選管會根本沒考慮到區內未來人口增長。

本處建議：

- 將海福花園撥回下城門區，並將美田邨美致樓及美盈苑改劃至松田區。下城門區暫時仍未有規劃大型屋苑項目，故此人口並不會大幅增加。海福花園在 2015 年改區前本屬下城門區，而美田邨美全樓現時為松田選區，將鄰接的美致樓及美盈苑撥入松田區，在地域上（如社區措施共用性）更為適合。同時，藉此拉上補下，既可提升松田區人口至法定選區人口中位數，又可確保下城門選區人口維持在中位數以上，相得益彰。

敬希翠嘉街坊能簽名支持本處給予政府的意見。

黃社球	胡惠芳		黃建榮
馮顯峰		李錦堂	鄭玉蓮
		林貴	
林惠萍		陳錦Tony	朱婉

5106(51)

2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議

選舉管理委員會（下稱選管會）建議 2019 年選區新分界將海福花園劃入翠嘉選區（即未來將包括海福花園、金禧花園、富嘉花園、部分新翠邨及積福街以南的範圍）。選管會考慮到 2019 年雲城選區（曾包括名城、盛薈、盛世、海福花園及雲疊花園）或會超過選區法定人口上限（即 20,749 人），故將海福花園撥入翠嘉選區。

本處反對選管會作出的新劃區，主要原因是：

- 海福花園人口估計有 2000 多人，2019 區議會選舉時本區人口預計會從 16,045 人調到 18,417 人。如本區人口不會持續增長的話，本處絕不反對新分界之決定。但 2022 年時，大圍上蓋項目將會入伙，預計 3000 戶，屆時必定超過選管會設立的人口上限，選區定必須重新再劃，海福花園或需被選管會一劃再劃，選管會根本沒考慮到區內未來人口增長。

本處建議：

- 將海福花園撥回下城門區，並將美田邨美致樓及美盈苑改劃至松田區。下城門區暫時仍未有規劃大型屋苑項目，故此人口並不會大幅增加。海福花園在 2015 年改區前本屬下城門區，而美田邨美全樓現時為松田選區，將鄰接的美致樓及美盈苑撥入松田區，在地域上（如社區措施共用性）更為適合。同時，藉此拉上補下，既可提升松田區人口至法定選區人口中位數，又可確保下城門選區人口維持在中位數以上，相得益彰。

敬希翠嘉街坊能簽名支持本處給予政府的意見。

	賴瑞森	林雅文	黃美鴻
			吳玉霞
	蘇杰芝	吳潤芳	
	劉福祥		

5106(52)

2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議

選舉管理委員會（下稱選管會）建議 2019 年選區新分界將海福花園劃入翠嘉選區（即未來將包括海福花園、金禧花園、富嘉花園、部分新翠邨及積福街以南的範圍）。選管會考慮到 2019 年雲城選區（曾包括名城、盛薈、盛世、海福花園及雲疊花園）或會超過選區法定人口上限（即 20,749 人），故將海福花園撥入翠嘉選區。

本處反對選管會作出的新劃區，主要原因是：

- 海福花園人口估計有 2000 多人，2019 區議會選舉時本區人口預計會從 16,045 人調到 18,417 人。如本區人口不會持續增長的話，本處絕不反對新分界之決定。但 2022 年時，大圍上蓋項目將會入伙，預計 3000 戶，屆時必定超過選管會設立的人口上限，選區定必須重新再劃，海福花園或需被選管會一劃再劃，選管會根本沒考慮到區內未來人口增長。

本處建議：

- 將海福花園撥回下城門區，並將美田邨美致樓及美盈苑改劃至松田區。下城門區暫時仍未有規劃大型屋苑項目，故此人口並不會大幅增加。海福花園在 2015 年改區前本屬下城門區，而美田邨美全樓現時為松田選區，將鄰接的美致樓及美盈苑撥入松田區，在地域上（如社區措施共用性）更為適合。同時，藉此拉上補下，既可提升松田區人口至法定選區人口中位數，又可確保下城門選區人口維持在中位數以上，相得益彰。

敬希翠嘉街坊能簽名支持本處給予政府的意見。

黃錦榮	陳桂蓮	戴女士	
楊木華		區	
關惠明		梁少民	
文		李南紹	
許瑩光	陳玉娣	李淑儀	
		曾樹芬	
李慶芳		馮錦基	

5106(53)

2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議

選舉管理委員會（下稱選管會）建議 2019 年選區新分界將海福花園劃入翠嘉選區（即未來將包括海福花園、金禧花園、富嘉花園、部分新翠邨及積福街以南的範圍）。選管會考慮到 2019 年雲城選區（曾包括名城、盛薈、盛世、海福花園及雲疊花園）或會超過選區法定人口上限（即 20,749 人），故將海福花園撥入翠嘉選區。

本處反對選管會作出的新劃區，主要原因是：

- 海福花園人口估計有 2000 多人，2019 區議會選舉時本區人口預計會從 16,045 人調到 18,417 人。如本區人口不會持續增長的話，本處絕不反對新分界之決定。但 2022 年時，大圍上蓋項目將會入伙，預計 3000 戶，屆時必定超過選管會設立的人口上限，選區定必須重新再劃，海福花園或需被選管會一劃再劃，選管會根本沒考慮到區內未來人口增長。

本處建議：

- 將海福花園撥回下城門區，並將美田邨美致樓及美盈苑改劃至松田區。下城門區暫時仍未有規劃大型屋苑項目，故此人口並不會大幅增加。海福花園在 2015 年改區前本屬下城門區，而美田邨美全樓現時為松田選區，將鄰接的美致樓及美盈苑撥入松田區，在地域上（如社區措施共用性）更為適合。同時，藉此拉上補下，既可提升松田區人口至法定選區人口中位數，又可確保下城門選區人口維持在中位數以上，相得益彰。

敬希翠嘉街坊能簽名支持本處給予政府的意見。

宋牛娟	葉樂陶		
陳錦球			
黃飛弟	Sam		
John Tsang			
陳金俊	黃迪生		

5106(54)

2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議

選舉管理委員會（下稱選管會）建議 2019 年選區新分界將海福花園劃入翠嘉選區（即未來將包括海福花園、金禧花園、富嘉花園、部分新翠邨及積福街以南的範圍）。選管會考慮到 2019 年雲城選區（曾包括名城、盛薈、盛世、海福花園及雲疊花園）或會超過選區法定人口上限（即 20,749 人），故將海福花園撥入翠嘉選區。

本處反對選管會作出的新劃區，主要原因是：

- 海福花園人口估計有 2000 多人，2019 區議會選舉時本區人口預計會從 16,045 人調到 18,417 人。如本區人口不會持續增長的話，本處絕不反對新分界之決定。但 2022 年時，大圍上蓋項目將會入伙，預計 3000 戶，屆時必定超過選管會設立的人口上限，選區定必須重新再劃。海福花園或需被選管會一劃再劃。選管會根本沒考慮到區內未來人口增長。

本處建議：

- 將海福花園撥回下城門區，並將美田邨美致樓及美盈苑改劃至松田區。下城門區暫時仍未有規劃大型屋苑項目，故此人口並不會大幅增加。海福花園在 2015 年改區前本屬下城門區，而美田邨美全樓現時為松田選區，將鄰接的美致樓及美盈苑撥入松田區，在地域上（如社區措施共用性）更為適合。同時，藉此拉上補下，既可提升松田區人口至法定選區人口中位數，又可確保下城門選區人口維持在中位數以上，相得益彰。

敬希翠嘉街坊能簽名支持本處給予政府的意見。

梁民明	何漢達	C-50代	
劉國文	李志明	吳國輝	
李漢輝	鄧均隆		
	鄧文傑		
蘭振強	鄧十雄	袁凱雄	
梁以勝	張以勝	鄭錦浩	
梁明東	葉十華	朱奕安	

5106(55)

2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議

選舉管理委員會（下稱選管會）建議 2019 年選區新分界將海福花園劃入翠嘉選區（即未來將包括海福花園、金禧花園、富嘉花園、部分新翠邨及積福街以南的範圍）。選管會考慮到 2019 年雲城選區（曾包括名城、盛薈、盛世、海福花園及雲疊花園）或會超過選區法定人口上限（即 20,749 人），故將海福花園撥入翠嘉選區。

本處反對選管會作出的新劃區，主要原因是：

- 海福花園人口估計有 2000 多人，2019 區議會選舉時本區人口預計會從 16,045 人調到 18,417 人。如本區人口不會持續增長的話，本處絕不反對新分界之決定。但 2022 年時，大圍上蓋項目將會入伙，預計 3000 戶，屆時必定超過選管會設立的人口上限，選區定必須重新再劃。海福花園或需被選管會一劃再劃。選管會根本沒考慮到區內未來人口增長。

本處建議：

- 將海福花園撥回下城門區，並將美田邨美致樓及美盈苑改劃至松田區。下城門區暫時仍未有規劃大型屋苑項目，故此人口並不會大幅增加。海福花園在 2015 年改區前本屬下城門區，而美田邨美全樓現時為松田選區，將鄰接的美致樓及美盈苑撥入松田區，在地域上（如社區措施共用性）更為適合。同時，藉此拉上補下，既可提升松田區人口至法定選區人口中位數，又可確保下城門選區人口維持在中位數以上，相得益彰。

敬希翠嘉街坊能簽名支持本處給予政府的意見。

霍瑞芳	MA KA HING		
林耀光			
林綺華			
林錦洪			
霍瑞蓮			
霍瑞芳	陳秀英		
霍瑞霞			

5106(56)

2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議

選舉管理委員會（下稱選管會）建議2019年選區新分界將海福花園劃入翠嘉選區（即未來將包括海福花園、金禧花園、富嘉花園、部分新翠邨及積福街以南的範圍）。選管會考慮到2019年雲城選區（曾包括名城、盛薈、盛世、海福花園及雲疊花園）或會超過選區法定人口上限（即20,749人），故將海福花園撥入翠嘉選區。

本處反對選管會作出的新劃區，主要原因是：

- 海福花園人口估計有2000多人，2019區議會選舉時本區人口預計會從16,045人調到18,417人。如本區人口不會持續增長的話，本處絕不反對新分界之決定。但2022年時，大圍上蓋項目將會入伙，預計3000戶，屆時必定超過選管會設立的人口上限，選區定必須重新再劃。海福花園或需被選管會一劃再劃。選管會根本沒考慮到區內未來人口增長。

本處建議：

- 將海福花園撥回下城門區，並將美田邨美致樓及美盈苑改劃至松田區。下城門區暫時仍未有規劃大型屋苑項目，故此人口並不會大幅增加。海福花園在2015年改區前本屬下城門區，而美田邨美全樓現時為松田選區，將鄰接的美致樓及美盈苑撥入松田區，在地域上（如社區措施共用性）更為適合。同時，藉此拉上補下，既可提升松田區人口至法定選區人口中位數，又可確保下城門選區人口維持在中位數以上，相得益彰。

敬希翠嘉街坊能簽名支持本處給予政府的意見。

			陳玉英
			何國樂
			吳強
			吳志豪
			何偉賢
			何業偉

5107

執事先生、女士：

商关出年區議會暫定選區之範圍，若沙田「領東」選區之劃界、本人大力反對貴團擬定劃出該區部份私人屋苑。該建議完全不合理，因「領東」相對範圍包括人口及發展潛力。

沙田人口增加，只在東西區兩邊而已。

此作參考

領東居民
Alice Hung

5108

強烈要求於明年區議會選舉時 在水泉澳邨設置兩個投票站 21/08/2018 10:43

From: [redacted]
To: "eacenq@reo.gov.hk" <eacenq@reo.gov.hk>

致：選舉委理委員會主席

馮驊法官

強烈要求於明年區議會選舉時在水泉澳邨設置兩個投票站

本人是住在沙田水泉澳邨，水泉澳邨原本歸屬「乙明選區」，當局有意在明年換屆沙田區議會選舉時，將水泉澳邨劃分為兩個選區，分別是「乙泉選區」及「水泉澳選區」。

本人根據上次區議會換屆選舉的投票經驗，被當局配編至香港女童軍總會博康營地投票，由於水泉澳是依山而建，前往投票主要靠步行斜路而至，實感極度不便。

本人觀察其他選區的投票安排，主要借用社區會堂、體育館、學校等設施為投票站，富豪花園附近沒有相關設施，當局更在有蓋的走廊設置投票站。現時在水泉澳邨有三間幼稚園、兩間房屋署的水泉澳邨辦事處、一個較大的廣場。

因此促請　貴委員會，善用這些設施，方便水泉澳的居民，在水泉澳邨分別為「乙泉選區」及「水泉澳區」各個選區均設一個投票站。

團體名稱：乙明邨居民協會
聯絡地址：[redacted]
日期：2018年8月21日

5109

強烈要求於明年區議會選舉時 在水泉澳邨設置兩個投票站 21/08/2018 10:44

From: [redacted]
To: "eacenq@reo.gov.hk" <eacenq@reo.gov.hk>

致：選舉委理委員會主席

馮驊法官

強烈要求於明年區議會選舉時在水泉澳邨設置兩個投票站

本人是住在沙田水泉澳邨，水泉澳邨原本歸屬「乙明選區」，當局有意在明年換屆沙田區議會選舉時，將水泉澳邨劃分為兩個選區，分別是「乙泉選區」及「水泉澳選區」。

本人根據上次區議會換屆選舉的投票經驗，被當局配編至香港女童軍總會博康營地投票，由於水泉澳是依山而建，前往投票主要靠步行斜路而至，實感極度不便。

本人觀察其他選區的投票安排，主要借用社區會堂、體育館、學校等設施為投票站，富豪花園附近沒有相關設施，當局更在有蓋的走廊設置投票站。現時在水泉澳邨有三間幼稚園、兩間房屋署的水泉澳邨辦事處、一個較大的廣場。

因此促請　貴委員會，善用這些設施，方便水泉澳的居民，在水泉澳邨分別為「乙泉選區」及「水泉澳區」各個選區均設一個投票站。

團體名稱：水泉澳邨居民協會
聯絡地址：[redacted]
日期：2018年8月21日

5110

強烈要求於明年區議會選舉時 在水泉澳邨設置兩個投票站 21/08/2018 10:50

From: [redacted]
To: "eacenq@reo.gov.hk" <eacenq@reo.gov.hk>

致：選舉委理委員會主席
馮驊法官

強烈要求於明年區議會選舉時
在水泉澳邨設置兩個投票站

本人是住在沙田水泉澳邨，水泉澳邨原本歸屬「乙明選區」，當局有意在明年換屆沙田區議會選舉時，將水泉澳邨劃分為兩個選區，分別是「乙泉選區」及「水泉澳選區」。

本人根據上次區議會換屆選舉的投票經驗，被當局配編至香港女童軍總會博康營地投票，由於水泉澳是依山而建，前往投票主要靠步行斜路而至，實感極度不便。

本人觀察其他選區的投票安排，主要借用社區會堂、體育館、學校等設施為投票站，富豪花園附近沒有相關設施，當局更在有蓋的走廊設置投票站。現時在水泉澳邨有三間幼稚園、兩間房屋署的水泉澳邨辦事處、一個較大的廣場。

因此促請　貴委員會，善用這些設施，方便水泉澳的居民，在水泉澳邨分別為「乙泉選區」及「水泉澳區」各個選區均設一個投票站。

團體名稱：沙田居民權益會
聯絡地址：[redacted]
日期：2018年8月21日

5111

強烈要求於明年區議會選舉時 在水泉澳邨設置兩個投票站 21/08/2018 10:51

From: [redacted]
To: "eacenq@reo.gov.hk" <eacenq@reo.gov.hk>

致：選舉委理委員會主席
馮驊法官

強烈要求於明年區議會選舉時
在水泉澳邨設置兩個投票站

本人是住在沙田水泉澳邨，水泉澳邨原本歸屬「乙明選區」，當局有意在明年換屆沙田區議會選舉時，將水泉澳邨劃分為兩個選區，分別是「乙泉選區」及「水泉澳選區」。

本人根據上次區議會換屆選舉的投票經驗，被當局配編至香港女童軍總會博康營地投票，由於水泉澳是依山而建，前往投票主要靠步行斜路而至，實感極度不便。

本人觀察其他選區的投票安排，主要借用社區會堂、體育館、學校等設施為投票站，富豪花園附近沒有相關設施，當局更在有蓋的走廊設置投票站。現時在水泉澳邨有三間幼稚園、兩間房屋署的水泉澳邨辦事處、一個較大的廣場。

因此促請　貴委員會，善用這些設施，方便水泉澳的居民，在水泉澳邨分別為「乙泉選區」及「水泉澳區」各個選區均設一個投票站。

團體名稱：明恩樓互助委員會
聯絡地址：[redacted]
日期：2018年8月21日

5112

強烈要求於明年區議會選舉時 在水泉澳邨設置兩個投票站 21/08/2018 10:51

From: [redacted]
To: "eacenq@reo.gov.hk" <eacenq@reo.gov.hk>

致：選舉委理委員會主席
馮驊法官

強烈要求於明年區議會選舉時
在水泉澳邨設置兩個投票站

本人是住在沙田水泉澳邨，水泉澳邨原本歸屬「乙明選區」，當局有意在明年換屆沙田區議會選舉時，將水泉澳邨劃分為兩個選區，分別是「乙泉選區」及「水泉澳選區」。

本人根據上次區議會換屆選舉的投票經驗，被當局配編至香港女童軍總會博康營地投票，由於水泉澳是依山而建，前往投票主要靠步行斜路而至，實感極度不便。

本人觀察其他選區的投票安排，主要借用社區會堂、體育館、學校等設施為投票站，富豪花園附近沒有相關設施，當局更在有蓋的走廊設置投票站。現時在水泉澳邨有三間幼稚園、兩間房屋署的水泉澳邨辦事處、一個較大的廣場。

因此促請　貴委員會，善用這些設施，方便水泉澳的居民，在水泉澳邨分別為「乙泉選區」及「水泉澳區」各個選區均設一個投票站。

姓名：盧德明
聯絡地址：[redacted]
日期：2018年8月21日

5113

在水泉澳邨設置兩個投票站 21/08/2018 11:14

From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

致：選舉管理委員會主席
馮　驊法官

馮主席：

強烈要求於明年區議會選舉時，
在水泉澳邨設置兩個投票站

本人是住在沙田水泉澳邨，水泉澳邨原本歸屬「乙明選區」，當局有意在明年換屆沙田區議會選舉時，將水泉澳邨劃分為兩個選區，分別是「乙泉選區」及「水泉澳選區」。

本人根據上次區議會換屆選舉的投票經驗，被當局配編至香港女童軍總會博康營地投票，由於水泉澳是依山而建，前往投票主要靠步行斜路而至，實感極度不便。

本人觀察其他選區的投票安排，主要借用社區會堂、體育館、學校等設施為投票站，富豪花園附近沒有相關設施，當局更在有蓋的走廊設置投票站。現時在水泉澳邨有三間幼稚園、兩間房屋署的水泉澳邨辦事處、一個較大的廣場，因此促請　貴委員會，善用這些設施，方便水泉澳的居民，在水泉澳邨分別為「乙泉選區」及「水泉澳區」各個選區均設一個投票站。

姓名：龐永昌 簽署： Taddy Pong

日期：21/08/2018

5114

沙田區議會選區分界意見 21/08/2018 12:28
From: [redacted]
To: "eacenq@reo.gov.hk" <eacenq@reo.gov.hk>

本人反對沙田區議會選區R10 乙泉選區分界。

原因是沙田乙明邨與水泉澳邨部分：
河泉樓
明泉樓
城泉樓
映泉樓
月泉樓
並不相連，
一條邨在山下，一條邨在山上，
兩條邨之間被兩個選區 R07沙角 R08博康分隔，對社區的資源分配，當選的區議員服務的連貫性一定有影響。

沙田乙明邨與水泉澳邨分別為老邨和新人伙屋邨，如此安排選區，選民對社區需求有不同，但又被安排在同一選區，如此安排割裂社區和諧。

我住在乙明選區，難道我有事要求助，就要等15分鐘巴士，再坐15分鐘上山到水泉澳邨的議員辦事處?(反之亦言)
如此不合邏輯的選區反界建議，在上一屆選舉時，報刊和電視亦有提及，為何當局不聞不問，只看數據而莫視意見，今屆又重覆上一屆的錯誤。

從 Windows 10 的郵件傳送

5115(1)

2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議 21/08/2018 12:52
From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
香港灣仔港灣道25號海港中心10樓

選舉管理委員會

2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議

本人知悉選管會擬將海福花園從雲城（R16）選區撥入翠嘉（R19）選區。本人希望藉此反建議書反映重劃翠嘉（R19）選區並不能完善社區完整性，而且會超出現時訂下的法定人口基數。

選管會文件指出，如將海福花園劃入翠嘉選區，預計人口會升至18,417人。本人相信翠嘉（R19）選區在2023年時，人口必定超出最高法定人口基數（20749人），選管會在2023時將需要重劃翠嘉選區。需重劃的原因主要是大圍鐵路站上蓋物業會在2023入伙，預計有3,000戶入伙。翠嘉區人口數字不斷上升，選管會應重新評估翠嘉區人口，否則海福花園會在2023年被第三次劃區。這對海福花園居民絕對不公平。

再者，海福花園和翠嘉區沒有明顯區域上的自然特徵連繫。海福花園與翠嘉區沒有明顯的地方聯繫。大圍街市並不能作為連接翠嘉區與海福花園的設施，因為大圍街市是較大的公共設施，大圍各區居民也會用到大圍街市，因此不能作為海福花園納入翠嘉的理由。

本人就本次新劃區提出以下的反建議：

- 將海福花園撥回下城門區（R15），
  - 下城門區及松田區未來未有大型樓宇項目落成的計劃，故此人口不會大幅增加。
- 並將美致樓及美盈苑劃去松田（R21）。
  - 在地域上（如社區設施共用性，例如美田社區會堂）更適合劃入松田選區，與美全樓三棟歸納到松田，令社區更完整。

- 就人口基數而言：
  - 提升松田區人數，從15,131人提升至人口中位數（約17,000人），確保人口數字穩定，減少來屆需重新劃區的機會。
  - 因應美致樓及美盈苑劃入松田區，海福就可回撥至下城門區。現時下城門區人口有19,554人，海福人口應比美致樓及美盈苑略少，海福能令下城門區人口

5115(2)

維持相約水平。

- 就地域問題而言：
  - 海福花園能回歸原本選區，街坊不需要對該選區及其
  - 就長遠而言，按照本人提交的反建議能令鄰近區域維人口基數，就不需要重新調整區域。
  - 按照過往大圍區一帶，翠嘉附近選區均以美田路為區隔。更能顯示社區所屬的地域性。

本人希望選管會能認清甚麼選區有重新劃區的逼切性，並非毫無預算及因應地區規劃而隨處開刀。某些選區，例如西貢北（P19），此區在歷史上因缺乏交通的緣故，故將西貢北劃入大埔區議會。然而，現在交通發達，不少公共交通工具都能從西貢進入西貢北，為何選管會並無盡早考慮重劃這類選區？

此外，如以地域因素計算的話，R37愉欣選區由愉翠苑一帶繞過廣源邨，橫跨至亞公角，兩處中間相隔數個選區，又何來共用社區設施之理？同樣，下城門區域連接至沙田嶺一帶，與美田邨及大圍新村有更大地理阻隔，相反海福花園本來與下城門同為一體，如此做法更符合選管會原則，更能為未來大圍區人口的增減作出仔細預算，亦無須擾民，最為合理。

就沙田區而言，按選管會選區劃界臨時建議，部份選區預計人口經已貼近法定準則規定標準人口基數的上下限界線，且部份與鄰近區域未能有效分配人口比率，例如：

R07沙角(-3.24%)、R08博康(-3.23%)、R09水泉澳(+22.26%)

R14顯嘉(-21.54%)、R17徑口(+18.01%)

R22穗禾(-22.47%)、R23火炭(+23.62%)

R25海嵐(-22.13%)、R26頌安(-4.57%)、R27錦濤(+19.17%)

R40廣康(-20.48%)、R41廣源(-20.10%)

選管會應為此等選區進行劃分，平衡人口基數，在準則看來實在更為迫切。既然選管會成員架構只有三人，在人力資源不足的情況下，理應深思熟慮處理選區劃界，預計社區未來規劃所帶來的人口增減，即可減少改動選區次數，並避免某些屋苑變成「皮球」，導致屢屢不同選區。

朝令夕改，零預算、零準則，實在難怪外間對選管會劃區舉動有多個揣測。本人亦無必要與選管會爭論政治性問題，只為提出數據及理據，盼選管會「理性」處理劃區事宜，令每一次選舉得以公平、公正及有效鼓動市民投票。

5115(3)

沙田區議員 李世鴻

李世鴻區議員辦事處
聯絡處地址: [redacted]
電話: [redacted]
傳真: [redacted]
電郵: [redacted]

5116

致：選舉委理委員會主席
馮驊法官

強烈要求於明年區議會選舉時
在水泉澳邨設置兩個投票站

本人是住在沙田水泉澳邨，水泉澳邨原本歸屬「乙明選區」，當局有意在明年換屆沙田區議會選舉時，將水泉澳邨劃分為兩個選區，分別是「乙泉選區」及「水泉澳選區」。

本人根據上次區議會換屆選舉的投票經驗，被當局配編至香港女童軍總會博康營地投票，由於水泉澳是依山而建，前往投票主要靠步行斜路而至，實感極度不便。

本人觀察其他選區的投票安排，主要借用社區會堂、體育館、學校等設施為投票站，富豪花園附近沒有相關設施，當局更在有蓋的走廊設置投票站。現時在水泉澳邨有三間幼稚園、兩間房屋署的水泉澳邨辦事處、一個較大的廣場 。

因此促請 貴委員會，善用這些設施，方便水泉澳的居民，在水泉澳邨分別為「乙泉選區」及「水泉澳區」各個選區均設一個投票站。

姓名： 楊劍禧
簽署： 楊劍禧
聯絡地址： [redacted]
日期： 2018 年 8 月 21 日

5117

致：選舉委理委員會主席
馮驊法官

強烈要求於明年區議會選舉時
在水泉澳邨設置兩個投票站

本人是住在沙田水泉澳邨，水泉澳邨原本歸屬「乙明選區」，當局有意在明年換屆沙田區議會選舉時，將水泉澳邨劃分為兩個選區，分別是「乙泉選區」及「水泉澳選區」。

本人根據上次區議會換屆選舉的投票經驗，被當局配編至香港女童軍總會博康營地投票，由於水泉澳是依山而建，前往投票主要靠步行斜路而至，實感極度不便。

本人觀察其他選區的投票安排，主要借用社區會堂、體育館、學校等設施為投票站，富豪花園附近沒有相關設施，當局更在有蓋的走廊設置投票站。現時在水泉澳邨有三間幼稚園、兩間房屋署的水泉澳邨辦事處、一個較大的廣場 。

因此促請 貴委員會，善用這些設施，方便水泉澳的居民，在水泉澳邨分別為「乙泉選區」及「水泉澳區」各個選區均設一個投票站。

姓名： 羅鑽鳳
簽署： 羅鑽鳳
聯絡地址： [redacted]
日期： 2018 年 8 月 21 日

5118

致：選舉委理委員會主席
馮驊法官

強烈要求於明年區議會選舉時
在水泉澳邨設置兩個投票站

本人是住在沙田水泉澳邨，水泉澳邨原本歸屬「乙明選區」，當局有意在明年換屆沙田區議會選舉時，將水泉澳邨劃分為兩個選區，分別是「乙泉選區」及「水泉澳選區」。

本人根據上次區議會換屆選舉的投票經驗，被當局配編至香港女童軍總會博康營地投票，由於水泉澳是依山而建，前往投票主要靠步行斜路而至，實感極度不便。

本人觀察其他選區的投票安排，主要借用社區會堂、體育館、學校等設施為投票站，富豪花園附近沒有相關設施，當局更在有蓋的走廊設置投票站。現時在水泉澳邨有三間幼稚園、兩間房屋署的水泉澳邨辦事處、一個較大的廣場 。

因此促請 貴委員會，善用這些設施，方便水泉澳的居民，在水泉澳邨分別為「乙泉選區」及「水泉澳區」各個選區均設一個投票站。

姓名： 李漢華
簽署： 李漢華
聯絡地址： [redacted]
日期： 2018 年 8 月 20 日

5119

致：選舉委理委員會主席
馮驊法官

強烈要求於明年區議會選舉時
在水泉澳邨設置兩個投票站

本人是住在沙田水泉澳邨，水泉澳邨原本歸屬「乙明選區」，當局有意在明年換屆沙田區議會選舉時，將水泉澳邨劃分為兩個選區，分別是「乙泉選區」及「水泉澳選區」。

本人根據上次區議會換屆選舉的投票經驗，被當局配編至香港女童軍總會博康營地投票，由於水泉澳是依山而建，前往投票主要靠步行斜路而至，實感極度不便。

本人觀察其他選區的投票安排，主要借用社區會堂、體育館、學校等設施為投票站，富豪花園附近沒有相關設施，當局更在有蓋的走廊設置投票站。現時在水泉澳邨有三間幼稚園、兩間房屋署的水泉澳邨辦事處、一個較大的廣場 。

因此促請 貴委員會，善用這些設施，方便水泉澳的居民，在水泉澳邨分別為「乙泉選區」及「水泉澳區」各個選區均設一個投票站。

姓名： 葉錦南
簽署：
聯絡地址： [redacted]
日期： 2018 年 8 月 21 日

5120

致：選舉委理委員會主席
馮驊法官

強烈要求於明年區議會選舉時
在水泉澳邨設置兩個投票站

本人是住在沙田水泉澳邨，水泉澳邨原本歸屬「乙明選區」，當局有意在明年換屆沙田區議會選舉時，將水泉澳邨劃分為兩個選區，分別是「乙泉選區」及「水泉澳選區」。

本人根據上次區議會換屆選舉的投票經驗，被當局配編至香港女童軍總會博康營地投票，由於水泉澳是依山而建，前往投票主要靠步行斜路而至，實感極度不便。

本人觀察其他選區的投票安排，主要借用社區會堂、體育館、學校等設施為投票站，富豪花園附近沒有相關設施，當局更在有蓋的走廊設置投票站。現時在水泉澳邨有三間幼稚園、兩間房屋署的水泉澳邨辦事處、一個較大的廣場 。

因此促請　貴委員會，善用這些設施，方便水泉澳的居民，在水泉澳邨分別為「乙泉選區」及「水泉澳區」各個選區均設一個投票站。

姓名：LAW Tsuet Kam
簽署：Law
聯絡地址：[redacted]
日期：2018 年__月__日

5121

致：選舉委理委員會主席
馮驊法官

強烈要求於明年區議會選舉時
在水泉澳邨設置兩個投票站

本人是住在沙田水泉澳邨，水泉澳邨原本歸屬「乙明選區」，當局有意在明年換屆沙田區議會選舉時，將水泉澳邨劃分為兩個選區，分別是「乙泉選區」及「水泉澳選區」。

本人根據上次區議會換屆選舉的投票經驗，被當局配編至香港女童軍總會博康營地投票，由於水泉澳是依山而建，前往投票主要靠步行斜路而至，實感極度不便。

本人觀察其他選區的投票安排，主要借用社區會堂、體育館、學校等設施為投票站，富豪花園附近沒有相關設施，當局更在有蓋的走廊設置投票站。現時在水泉澳邨有三間幼稚園、兩間房屋署的水泉澳邨辦事處、一個較大的廣場 。

因此促請　貴委員會，善用這些設施，方便水泉澳的居民，在水泉澳邨分別為「乙泉選區」及「水泉澳區」各個選區均設一個投票站。

姓名：羅芷英
簽署：芷
聯絡地址：[redacted]
日期：2018 年__月__日

5122

致：選舉委理委員會主席
馮驊法官

強烈要求於明年區議會選舉時
在水泉澳邨設置兩個投票站

本人是住在沙田水泉澳邨，水泉澳邨原本歸屬「乙明選區」，當局有意在明年換屆沙田區議會選舉時，將水泉澳邨劃分為兩個選區，分別是「乙泉選區」及「水泉澳選區」。

本人根據上次區議會換屆選舉的投票經驗，被當局配編至香港女童軍總會博康營地投票，由於水泉澳是依山而建，前往投票主要靠步行斜路而至，實感極度不便。

本人觀察其他選區的投票安排，主要借用社區會堂、體育館、學校等設施為投票站，富豪花園附近沒有相關設施，當局更在有蓋的走廊設置投票站。現時在水泉澳邨有三間幼稚園、兩間房屋署的水泉澳邨辦事處、一個較大的廣場 。

因此促請　貴委員會，善用這些設施，方便水泉澳的居民，在水泉澳邨分別為「乙泉選區」及「水泉澳區」各個選區均設一個投票站。

姓名：李衍慧
簽署：Janice
聯絡地址：[redacted]
日期：2018 年8月20日

5123

致：選舉委理委員會主席
馮驊法官

強烈要求於明年區議會選舉時
在水泉澳邨設置兩個投票站

本人是住在沙田水泉澳邨，水泉澳邨原本歸屬「乙明選區」，當局有意在明年換屆沙田區議會選舉時，將水泉澳邨劃分為兩個選區，分別是「乙泉選區」及「水泉澳選區」。

本人根據上次區議會換屆選舉的投票經驗，被當局配編至香港女童軍總會博康營地投票，由於水泉澳是依山而建，前往投票主要靠步行斜路而至，實感極度不便。

本人觀察其他選區的投票安排，主要借用社區會堂、體育館、學校等設施為投票站，富豪花園附近沒有相關設施，當局更在有蓋的走廊設置投票站。現時在水泉澳邨有三間幼稚園、兩間房屋署的水泉澳邨辦事處、一個較大的廣場 。

因此促請　貴委員會，善用這些設施，方便水泉澳的居民，在水泉澳邨分別為「乙泉選區」及「水泉澳區」各個選區均設一個投票站。

姓名：莫小娟
簽署：Mok
聯絡地址：[redacted]
日期：2018 年8月20日

致：選舉委理委員會主席 5124
馮驊法官

強烈要求於明年區議會選舉時
在水泉澳邨設置兩個投票站

本人是住在沙田水泉澳邨，水泉澳邨原本歸屬「乙明選區」，當局有意在明年換屆沙田區議會選舉時，將水泉澳邨劃分為兩個選區，分別是「乙泉選區」及「水泉澳選區」。

本人根據上次區議會換屆選舉的投票經驗，被當局配編至香港女童軍總會博康營地投票，由於水泉澳是依山而建，前往投票主要靠步行斜路而至，實感極度不便。

本人觀察其他選區的投票安排，主要借用社區會堂、體育館、學校等設施為投票站，富豪花園附近沒有相關設施，當局更在有蓋的走廊設置投票站。現時在水泉澳邨有三間幼稚園、兩間房屋署的水泉澳邨辦事處、一個較大的廣場 。

因此促請 貴委員會，善用這些設施，方便水泉澳的居民，在水泉澳邨分別為「乙泉選區」及「水泉澳區」各個選區均設一個投票站。

姓名：葉寶霖
簽署：葉寶霖
聯絡地址：[redacted]
日期：2018 年__月__日

致：選舉委理委員會主席 5125
馮驊法官

強烈要求於明年區議會選舉時
在水泉澳邨設置兩個投票站

本人是住在沙田水泉澳邨，水泉澳邨原本歸屬「乙明選區」，當局有意在明年換屆沙田區議會選舉時，將水泉澳邨劃分為兩個選區，分別是「乙泉選區」及「水泉澳選區」。

本人根據上次區議會換屆選舉的投票經驗，被當局配編至香港女童軍總會博康營地投票，由於水泉澳是依山而建，前往投票主要靠步行斜路而至，實感極度不便。

本人觀察其他選區的投票安排，主要借用社區會堂、體育館、學校等設施為投票站，富豪花園附近沒有相關設施，當局更在有蓋的走廊設置投票站。現時在水泉澳邨有三間幼稚園、兩間房屋署的水泉澳邨辦事處、一個較大的廣場 。

因此促請 貴委員會，善用這些設施，方便水泉澳的居民，在水泉澳邨分別為「乙泉選區」及「水泉澳區」各個選區均設一個投票站。

姓名：[illegible]
簽署：[illegible]
聯絡地址：[redacted]
日期：2018 年__月__日

致：選舉委理委員會主席 5126
馮驊法官

強烈要求於明年區議會選舉時
在水泉澳邨設置兩個投票站

本人是住在沙田水泉澳邨，水泉澳邨原本歸屬「乙明選區」，當局有意在明年換屆沙田區議會選舉時，將水泉澳邨劃分為兩個選區，分別是「乙泉選區」及「水泉澳選區」。

本人根據上次區議會換屆選舉的投票經驗，被當局配編至香港女童軍總會博康營地投票，由於水泉澳是依山而建，前往投票主要靠步行斜路而至，實感極度不便。

本人觀察其他選區的投票安排，主要借用社區會堂、體育館、學校等設施為投票站，富豪花園附近沒有相關設施，當局更在有蓋的走廊設置投票站。現時在水泉澳邨有三間幼稚園、兩間房屋署的水泉澳邨辦事處、一個較大的廣場 。

因此促請 貴委員會，善用這些設施，方便水泉澳的居民，在水泉澳邨分別為「乙泉選區」及「水泉澳區」各個選區均設一個投票站。

姓名：Leung Ka Wah
簽署：[illegible]
聯絡地址：[redacted]
日期：2018 年__月__日

致：選舉委理委員會主席 5127
馮驊法官

強烈要求於明年區議會選舉時
在水泉澳邨設置兩個投票站

本人是住在沙田水泉澳邨，水泉澳邨原本歸屬「乙明選區」，當局有意在明年換屆沙田區議會選舉時，將水泉澳邨劃分為兩個選區，分別是「乙泉選區」及「水泉澳選區」。

本人根據上次區議會換屆選舉的投票經驗，被當局配編至香港女童軍總會博康營地投票，由於水泉澳是依山而建，前往投票主要靠步行斜路而至，實感極度不便。

本人觀察其他選區的投票安排，主要借用社區會堂、體育館、學校等設施為投票站，富豪花園附近沒有相關設施，當局更在有蓋的走廊設置投票站。現時在水泉澳邨有三間幼稚園、兩間房屋署的水泉澳邨辦事處、一個較大的廣場 。

因此促請 貴委員會，善用這些設施，方便水泉澳的居民，在水泉澳邨分別為「乙泉選區」及「水泉澳區」各個選區均設一個投票站。

姓名：[illegible]
簽署：[illegible]
聯絡地址：[redacted]
日期：2018 年__月__日

致：選舉委理委員會主席 5128
馮驊法官

強烈要求於明年區議會選舉時
在水泉澳邨設置兩個投票站

本人是住在沙田水泉澳邨，水泉澳邨原本歸屬「乙明選區」，當局有意在明年換屆沙田區議會選舉時，將水泉澳邨劃分為兩個選區，分別是「乙泉選區」及「水泉澳選區」。

本人根據上次區議會換屆選舉的投票經驗，被當局配編至香港女童軍總會博康營地投票，由於水泉澳是依山而建，前往投票主要靠步行斜路而至，實感極度不便。

本人觀察其他選區的投票安排，主要借用社區會堂、體育館、學校等設施為投票站，富豪花園附近沒有相關設施，當局更在有蓋的走廊設置投票站。現時在水泉澳邨有三間幼稚園、兩間房屋署的水泉澳邨辦事處、一個較大的廣場 。

因此促請 貴委員會，善用這些設施，方便水泉澳的居民，在水泉澳邨分別為「乙泉選區」及「水泉澳區」各個選區均設一個投票站。

姓名： Chan Pui Wing
簽署：
聯絡地址： [redacted]
日期：2018 年__月__日

致：選舉委理委員會主席 5129
馮驊法官

強烈要求於明年區議會選舉時
在水泉澳邨設置兩個投票站

本人是住在沙田水泉澳邨，水泉澳邨原本歸屬「乙明選區」，當局有意在明年換屆沙田區議會選舉時，將水泉澳邨劃分為兩個選區，分別是「乙泉選區」及「水泉澳選區」。

本人根據上次區議會換屆選舉的投票經驗，被當局配編至香港女童軍總會博康營地投票，由於水泉澳是依山而建，前往投票主要靠步行斜路而至，實感極度不便。

本人觀察其他選區的投票安排，主要借用社區會堂、體育館、學校等設施為投票站，富豪花園附近沒有相關設施，當局更在有蓋的走廊設置投票站。現時在水泉澳邨有三間幼稚園、兩間房屋署的水泉澳邨辦事處、一個較大的廣場 。

因此促請 貴委員會，善用這些設施，方便水泉澳的居民，在水泉澳邨分別為「乙泉選區」及「水泉澳區」各個選區均設一個投票站。

姓名： 鄭海清
簽署：
聯絡地址： [redacted]
日期：2018 年__月__日

致：選舉委理委員會主席 5130
馮驊法官

強烈要求於明年區議會選舉時
在水泉澳邨設置兩個投票站

本人是住在沙田水泉澳邨，水泉澳邨原本歸屬「乙明選區」，當局有意在明年換屆沙田區議會選舉時，將水泉澳邨劃分為兩個選區，分別是「乙泉選區」及「水泉澳選區」。

本人根據上次區議會換屆選舉的投票經驗，被當局配編至香港女童軍總會博康營地投票，由於水泉澳是依山而建，前往投票主要靠步行斜路而至，實感極度不便。

本人觀察其他選區的投票安排，主要借用社區會堂、體育館、學校等設施為投票站，富豪花園附近沒有相關設施，當局更在有蓋的走廊設置投票站。現時在水泉澳邨有三間幼稚園、兩間房屋署的水泉澳邨辦事處、一個較大的廣場 。

因此促請 貴委員會，善用這些設施，方便水泉澳的居民，在水泉澳邨分別為「乙泉選區」及「水泉澳區」各個選區均設一個投票站。

姓名： 鄭敏珊
簽署：
聯絡地址： [redacted]
日期：2018 年__月__日

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時鄉村居民意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

此為範本申述共 58 份(序號 5131 – 5188)

你好，本人是 黃竹洋 [村名]村民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，本人堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉編至鄰近的穗禾選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間聯繫分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區。其中「火灘（火炭）約」包括：拔子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村。故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聯繫，割裂了火炭社區。

2. 本人以及本人所認識的其他村民，已經對火炭建立深厚的歸屬感，各村村民之間亦有深厚感情。本人認為在重劃選區時，應該重視這份珍貴的社區聯繫。

3. 本人以及其他村民所關心的地方事務和穗禾居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、增加停車場、應對村內爆竊問題、山野道路及清潔問題。本人擔心在轉編至穗禾後，我們對地區事務的訴求和穗禾居民有所不同，當區區議員會難以兼顧我們的需要，損害村民的權益。

4. 本人認為，我們數條村面對的問題性質相似。本人相信，由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦達到我們的期望。

綜上所述，本人懇請選管會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聯繫。

簽署：

姓名：

日期：21-2-2019

5132

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

鄉村居民意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

你好，本人是 茶竹洋 [村名]村民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，本人堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉編至鄰近的選舉選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間聚集分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀧（火炭）約」包括：拔子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聚集，創造了火炭社區。

2. 本人以及本人所認識的其他村民，已經對火炭建立深厚的歸屬感，各村村民之間亦有深厚感情，本人認為在重劃選區時，應該重視這份珍貴的社區聚集。

3. 本人以及其他村民所關心的地方事務和選舉與民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村際的規劃，包括興建村屋、增加停車場，應對村內爆竹問題、山野道路及清潔問題，本人擔心在轉編至選舉後，我們對地區事務的訴求和選舉居民有所不同，當區區議員會顧及重劃我們的需要，損害村民的權益。

4. 本人認為，我們鄰村面對的問題性質相似，本人相信，由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦違背我們的期望。

綜上所述，本人懇請選舉會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聚集。

簽署：

姓名：[illegible]

日期：21-8-2019

5133

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

鄉村居民意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

你好，本人是 [illegible] [村名]村民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，本人堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉編至鄰近的選舉選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間聚集分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀧（火炭）約」包括：拔子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聚集，創造了火炭社區。

2. 本人以及本人所認識的其他村民，已經對火炭建立深厚的歸屬感，各村村民之間亦有深厚感情，本人認為在重劃選區時，應該重視這份珍貴的社區聚集。

3. 本人以及其他村民所關心的地方事務和選舉與民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村際的規劃，包括興建村屋、增加停車場，應對村內爆竹問題、山野道路及清潔問題，本人擔心在轉編至選舉後，我們對地區事務的訴求和選舉居民有所不同，當區區議員會顧及重劃我們的需要，損害村民的權益。

4. 本人認為，我們鄰村面對的問題性質相似，本人相信，由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦違背我們的期望。

綜上所述，本人懇請選舉會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聚集。

簽署：

姓名：

日期：21-8-2019

5134

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

鄉村居民意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

你好，本人是 [illegible] [村名]村民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，本人堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉編至鄰近的選舉選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間聚集分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀧（火炭）約」包括：拔子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聚集，創造了火炭社區。

2. 本人以及本人所認識的其他村民，已經對火炭建立深厚的歸屬感，各村村民之間亦有深厚感情，本人認為在重劃選區時，應該重視這份珍貴的社區聚集。

3. 本人以及其他村民所關心的地方事務和選舉與民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村際的規劃，包括興建村屋、增加停車場，應對村內爆竹問題、山野道路及清潔問題，本人擔心在轉編至選舉後，我們對地區事務的訴求和選舉居民有所不同，當區區議員會顧及重劃我們的需要，損害村民的權益。

4. 本人認為，我們鄰村面對的問題性質相似，本人相信，由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦違背我們的期望。

綜上所述，本人懇請選舉會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聚集。

簽署：

姓名：

日期：21-8-2019

5135

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

鄉村居民意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

你好，本人是 [illegible] [村名]村民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，本人堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉編至鄰近的選舉選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間聚集分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀧（火炭）約」包括：拔子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聚集，創造了火炭社區。

2. 本人以及本人所認識的其他村民，已經對火炭建立深厚的歸屬感，各村村民之間亦有深厚感情，本人認為在重劃選區時，應該重視這份珍貴的社區聚集。

3. 本人以及其他村民所關心的地方事務和選舉與民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村際的規劃，包括興建村屋、增加停車場，應對村內爆竹問題、山野道路及清潔問題，本人擔心在轉編至選舉後，我們對地區事務的訴求和選舉居民有所不同，當區區議員會顧及重劃我們的需要，損害村民的權益。

4. 本人認為，我們鄰村面對的問題性質相似，本人相信，由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦違背我們的期望。

綜上所述，本人懇請選舉會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聚集。

簽署：

姓名：

日期：21-8-2019

5136

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

鄉村居民意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

你好，本人是 [illegible] [村名]村民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，本人堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉編至鄰近的選舉選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間聚集分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀧（火炭）約」包括：拔子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聚集，創造了火炭社區。

2. 本人以及本人所認識的其他村民，已經對火炭建立深厚的歸屬感，各村村民之間亦有深厚感情，本人認為在重劃選區時，應該重視這份珍貴的社區聚集。

3. 本人以及其他村民所關心的地方事務和選舉與民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村際的規劃，包括興建村屋、增加停車場，應對村內爆竹問題、山野道路及清潔問題，本人擔心在轉編至選舉後，我們對地區事務的訴求和選舉居民有所不同，當區區議員會顧及重劃我們的需要，損害村民的權益。

4. 本人認為，我們鄰村面對的問題性質相似，本人相信，由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦違背我們的期望。

綜上所述，本人懇請選舉會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聚集。

簽署：

姓名：

日期：21-8-2019

5137

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

鄉村居民意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

你好，本人是 [illegible] [村名]村民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，本人堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉編至鄰近的選舉選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間聚集分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀧（火炭）約」包括：拔子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聚集，創造了火炭社區。

2. 本人以及本人所認識的其他村民，已經對火炭建立深厚的歸屬感，各村村民之間亦有深厚感情，本人認為在重劃選區時，應該重視這份珍貴的社區聚集。

3. 本人以及其他村民所關心的地方事務和選舉與民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村際的規劃，包括興建村屋、增加停車場，應對村內爆竹問題、山野道路及清潔問題，本人擔心在轉編至選舉後，我們對地區事務的訴求和選舉居民有所不同，當區區議員會顧及重劃我們的需要，損害村民的權益。

4. 本人認為，我們鄰村面對的問題性質相似，本人相信，由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦違背我們的期望。

綜上所述，本人懇請選舉會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聚集。

簽署：

姓名：

日期：21-8-2019

5138

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

村長或村代表意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

我們是生活在沙田火炭 [illegible] [村名]的居民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，我們堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉編至鄰近的選舉選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間聚集分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀧（火炭）約」包括：拔子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聚集，創造了火炭社區。

2. 我們各村之間視大家為自己人，時常一同舉辦社區活動，若拆散成兩個選區，勢必增加日後舉辦社區活動的困難。

3. 各村一向互有照應，已建立起團結的地方聚集，各村村內的問題會互相代為向政府及區議員反映，我們亦相信由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦違背我們的期望。

4. 我們鄰村同民所關心的地方事務和選舉與民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村際的規劃，包括興建村屋、增對村內爆竹問題、山野道路及清潔問題，性質上與拔子窩村、禾寮坑村及落路下村等鄉村的居民所關注的相同，我們擔心，桂地新村一帶的鄉村被轉編至選舉後，由於兩地居民訴求不同，當區區議員難以兼顧，變相村民得到的支援會減少，損害他們的權益。

綜上所述，我們懇請選舉會把桂地新村、德地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聚集。

簽署：

姓名：

日期：21-8-2019

5139

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

村長或村代表意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

我們是生活在沙田火炭 [illegible] [村名]的居民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，我們堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉編至鄰近的選舉選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間聚集分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀧（火炭）約」包括：拔子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聚集，創造了火炭社區。

2. 我們各村之間視大家為自己人，時常一同舉辦社區活動，若拆散成兩個選區，勢必增加日後舉辦社區活動的困難。

3. 各村一向互有照應，已建立起團結的地方聚集，各村村內的問題會互相代為向政府及區議員反映，我們亦相信由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦違背我們的期望。

4. 我們鄰村同民所關心的地方事務和選舉與民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村際的規劃，包括興建村屋、增對村內爆竹問題、山野道路及清潔問題，性質上與拔子窩村、禾寮坑村及落路下村等鄉村的居民所關注的相同，我們擔心，桂地新村一帶的鄉村被轉編至選舉後，由於兩地居民訴求不同，當區區議員難以兼顧，變相村民得到的支援會減少，損害他們的權益。

綜上所述，我們懇請選舉會把桂地新村、德地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聚集。

簽署：

姓名：

日期：21-8-2019

5140

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

村長或村代表意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

我們是生活在沙田火炭 [illegible] [村名]的居民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，我們堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉編至鄰近的選舉選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間聚集分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀧（火炭）約」包括：拔子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聚集，創造了火炭社區。

2. 我們各村之間視大家為自己人，時常一同舉辦社區活動，若拆散成兩個選區，勢必增加日後舉辦社區活動的困難。

3. 各村一向互有照應，已建立起團結的地方聚集，各村村內的問題會互相代為向政府及區議員反映，我們亦相信由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦違背我們的期望。

4. 我們鄰村同民所關心的地方事務和選舉與民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村際的規劃，包括興建村屋、增對村內爆竹問題、山野道路及清潔問題，性質上與拔子窩村、禾寮坑村及落路下村等鄉村的居民所關注的相同，我們擔心，桂地新村一帶的鄉村被轉編至選舉後，由於兩地居民訴求不同，當區區議員難以兼顧，變相村民得到的支援會減少，損害他們的權益。

綜上所述，我們懇請選舉會把桂地新村、德地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聚集。

簽署：

姓名：

日期：21-8-2019

5141

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

鄉村居民意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

你好，本人是黃炳輝 [村名]村民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，本人堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村劃歸至鄰近的穗禾選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間聯繫分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀧（火炭）約」包括：拔子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聯繫，創設了火炭社區。

2. 本人以及本人所認識的其他村民，已經對火炭建立深厚的歸屬感，各村村民之間亦有深厚感情，本人認為在重劃選區時，應該重視這份珍貴的社區聯繫。

3. 本人以及其他村民所關心的地方事務和穗禾居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、增加停車場、選對村內爆竹問題、山野道路及清潔問題。本人擔心在劃編至穗禾後，我們對地區事務的訴求和穗禾居民有所不同，當區議員會難以兼顧我們的需要，損害村民的權益。

4. 本人認為，我們數條村面對的問題性質相似。本人相信，由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦違背我們的期望。

綜上所述，本人懇請選管會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聯繫。

簽署：黃炳輝

姓名：黃炳輝

日期：11-8-2018

5142

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

村長及村代表意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

我們是生活在沙田火炭 黃炳輝 [村名]的居民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，我們堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村劃歸至鄰近的穗禾選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間聯繫分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀧（火炭）約」包括：拔子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聯繫，創設了火炭社區。

2. 我們各村之間視火炭為自己人，時常一同舉辦社區活動，若拆散成兩個選區，勢必增加日後舉辦社區活動時的困難。

3. 各村一向互有關連，已建立起獨特的地方聯繫。各村村內的問題會互相代為向政府及區議員反映，我們亦想借由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦違背我們的期望。

4. 我們鄰村居民所關心的地方事務和穗禾居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、選對村內爆竹問題、山野道路及清潔問題。本人擔心在劃編至穗禾後，我們對地區事務的訴求和穗禾居民有所不同，當區議員會難以兼顧我們的需要，損害村民的權益。

綜上所述，我們懇請選管會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聯繫。

簽署：

姓名：戴

日期：9-8-2018

5143

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

村長及村代表意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

我們是生活在沙田火炭 黃竹洋村 [村名]的居民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，我們堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村劃歸至鄰近的穗禾選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間聯繫分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀧（火炭）約」包括：拔子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聯繫，創設了火炭社區。

2. 我們各村之間視火炭為自己人，時常一同舉辦社區活動，若拆散成兩個選區，勢必增加日後舉辦社區活動時的困難。

3. 各村一向互有關連，已建立起獨特的地方聯繫。各村村內的問題會互相代為向政府及區議員反映，我們亦想借由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦違背我們的期望。

4. 我們鄰村居民所關心的地方事務和穗禾居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、選對村內爆竹問題、山野道路及清潔問題。本人擔心在劃編至穗禾後，我們對地區事務的訴求和穗禾居民有所不同，當區議員會難以兼顧我們的需要，損害村民的權益。

綜上所述，我們懇請選管會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聯繫。

簽署：

姓名：黃炳輝

日期：9-8-2018

5144

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

村長及村代表意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

我們是生活在沙田火炭 黃竹洋村 [村名]的居民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，我們堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村劃歸至鄰近的穗禾選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間聯繫分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀧（火炭）約」包括：拔子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聯繫，創設了火炭社區。

2. 我們各村之間視火炭為自己人，時常一同舉辦社區活動，若拆散成兩個選區，勢必增加日後舉辦社區活動時的困難。

3. 各村一向互有關連，已建立起獨特的地方聯繫。各村村內的問題會互相代為向政府及區議員反映，我們亦想借由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦違背我們的期望。

4. 我們鄰村居民所關心的地方事務和穗禾居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、選對村內爆竹問題、山野道路及清潔問題。本人擔心在劃編至穗禾後，我們對地區事務的訴求和穗禾居民有所不同，當區議員會難以兼顧我們的需要，損害村民的權益。

綜上所述，我們懇請選管會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聯繫。

簽署：

姓名：

日期：18/8/2018

5145

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

鄉村居民意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

你好，本人是黃竹洋 [村名]村民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，本人堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村劃歸至鄰近的穗禾選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間聯繫分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀧（火炭）約」包括：拔子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聯繫，創設了火炭社區。

2. 本人以及本人所認識的其他村民，已經對火炭建立深厚的歸屬感，各村村民之間亦有深厚感情，本人認為在重劃選區時，應該重視這份珍貴的社區聯繫。

3. 本人以及其他村民所關心的地方事務和穗禾居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、增加停車場、選對村內爆竹問題、山野道路及清潔問題。本人擔心在劃編至穗禾後，我們對地區事務的訴求和穗禾居民有所不同，當區議員會難以兼顧我們的需要，損害村民的權益。

4. 本人認為，我們數條村面對的問題性質相似。本人相信，由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦違背我們的期望。

綜上所述，本人懇請選管會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聯繫。

簽署：李家豪

姓名：李家豪

日期：

5146

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

鄉村居民意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

你好，本人是黃竹洋 [村名]村民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，本人堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村劃歸至鄰近的穗禾選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間聯繫分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀧（火炭）約」包括：拔子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聯繫，創設了火炭社區。

2. 本人以及本人所認識的其他村民，已經對火炭建立深厚的歸屬感，各村村民之間亦有深厚感情，本人認為在重劃選區時，應該重視這份珍貴的社區聯繫。

3. 本人以及其他村民所關心的地方事務和穗禾居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、增加停車場、選對村內爆竹問題、山野道路及清潔問題。本人擔心在劃編至穗禾後，我們對地區事務的訴求和穗禾居民有所不同，當區議員會難以兼顧我們的需要，損害村民的權益。

4. 本人認為，我們數條村面對的問題性質相似。本人相信，由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦違背我們的期望。

綜上所述，本人懇請選管會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聯繫。

簽署：

姓名：

日期：

5147

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

鄉村居民意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

你好，本人是黃竹洋 [村名]村民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，本人堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村劃歸至鄰近的穗禾選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間聯繫分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀧（火炭）約」包括：拔子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聯繫，創設了火炭社區。

2. 本人以及本人所認識的其他村民，已經對火炭建立深厚的歸屬感，各村村民之間亦有深厚感情，本人認為在重劃選區時，應該重視這份珍貴的社區聯繫。

3. 本人以及其他村民所關心的地方事務和穗禾居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、增加停車場、選對村內爆竹問題、山野道路及清潔問題。本人擔心在劃編至穗禾後，我們對地區事務的訴求和穗禾居民有所不同，當區議員會難以兼顧我們的需要，損害村民的權益。

4. 本人認為，我們數條村面對的問題性質相似。本人相信，由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦違背我們的期望。

綜上所述，本人懇請選管會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聯繫。

簽署：劉建強

姓名：劉建強

日期：18/8/2018

5148

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

鄉村居民意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

你好，本人是黃竹洋 [村名]村民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，本人堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村劃歸至鄰近的穗禾選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間聯繫分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀧（火炭）約」包括：拔子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聯繫，創設了火炭社區。

2. 本人以及本人所認識的其他村民，已經對火炭建立深厚的歸屬感，各村村民之間亦有深厚感情，本人認為在重劃選區時，應該重視這份珍貴的社區聯繫。

3. 本人以及其他村民所關心的地方事務和穗禾居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、增加停車場、選對村內爆竹問題、山野道路及清潔問題。本人擔心在劃編至穗禾後，我們對地區事務的訴求和穗禾居民有所不同，當區議員會難以兼顧我們的需要，損害村民的權益。

4. 本人認為，我們數條村面對的問題性質相似。本人相信，由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦違背我們的期望。

綜上所述，本人懇請選管會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聯繫。

簽署：劉偉光

姓名：劉偉光

日期：18/8/2018

5149

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

鄉村居民意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

你好，本人是黃竹洋 [村名]村民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，本人堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村劃歸至鄰近的穗禾選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間聯繫分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀧（火炭）約」包括：拔子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聯繫，創設了火炭社區。

2. 本人以及本人所認識的其他村民，已經對火炭建立深厚的歸屬感，各村村民之間亦有深厚感情，本人認為在重劃選區時，應該重視這份珍貴的社區聯繫。

3. 本人以及其他村民所關心的地方事務和穗禾居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、增加停車場、選對村內爆竹問題、山野道路及清潔問題。本人擔心在劃編至穗禾後，我們對地區事務的訴求和穗禾居民有所不同，當區議員會難以兼顧我們的需要，損害村民的權益。

4. 本人認為，我們數條村面對的問題性質相似。本人相信，由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦違背我們的期望。

綜上所述，本人懇請選管會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聯繫。

簽署：

姓名：

日期：18/8/2018

5150

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

鄉村居民意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

你好，本人是 黃竹洋 村的村民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，本人堅決強烈反對把徙地新村、徙地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉遷至離近的選舉選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間聯繫分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀧（火炭）約」包括：拔子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聯繫，嚴重了火炭社區。

2. 本人以及本人所認識的其他村民，已經對火炭建立深厚的歸屬感，各村村民之間亦有深厚感情，本人認為在重劃選區時，應該盡量迎合珍貴的社區聯繫。

3. 本人以及其他村民所關心的地方事務和選舉居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、增加停車場、運對村內排氣問題、山野道路及清潔問題。本人擔心在轉遷至選舉後，我們對地區事務的訴求和選舉居民有所不同，當區議員會難以兼顧我們的需要，損害村民的權益。

4. 本人認為，我們徙編村面對的問題性質相似，本人相信，由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦違背我們的期望。

綜上所述，本人懇請選舉會把徙地新村、徙地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聯繫。

簽署：
姓名：
日期：18-8-2018

5151

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

鄉村居民意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

你好，本人是 黃竹洋 村的村民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，本人堅決強烈反對把徙地新村、徙地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉遷至離近的選舉選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間聯繫分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀧（火炭）約」包括：拔子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聯繫，嚴重了火炭社區。

2. 本人以及本人所認識的其他村民，已經對火炭建立深厚的歸屬感，各村村民之間亦有深厚感情，本人認為在重劃選區時，應該盡量迎合珍貴的社區聯繫。

3. 本人以及其他村民所關心的地方事務和選舉居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、增加停車場、運對村內排氣問題、山野道路及清潔問題。本人擔心在轉遷至選舉後，我們對地區事務的訴求和選舉居民有所不同，當區議員會難以兼顧我們的需要，損害村民的權益。

4. 本人認為，我們徙編村面對的問題性質相似，本人相信，由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦違背我們的期望。

綜上所述，本人懇請選舉會把徙地新村、徙地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聯繫。

簽署：
姓名：
日期：18-8-2018

5152

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

鄉村居民意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

你好，本人是 黃竹洋 村的村民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，本人堅決強烈反對把徙地新村、徙地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉遷至離近的選舉選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間聯繫分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀧（火炭）約」包括：拔子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聯繫，嚴重了火炭社區。

2. 本人以及本人所認識的其他村民，已經對火炭建立深厚的歸屬感，各村村民之間亦有深厚感情，本人認為在重劃選區時，應該盡量迎合珍貴的社區聯繫。

3. 本人以及其他村民所關心的地方事務和選舉居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、增加停車場、運對村內排氣問題、山野道路及清潔問題。本人擔心在轉遷至選舉後，我們對地區事務的訴求和選舉居民有所不同，當區議員會難以兼顧我們的需要，損害村民的權益。

4. 本人認為，我們徙編村面對的問題性質相似，本人相信，由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦違背我們的期望。

綜上所述，本人懇請選舉會把徙地新村、徙地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聯繫。

簽署：
姓名：
日期：18-8-2018

5153

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

鄉村居民意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

你好，本人是 黃竹洋 村的村民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，本人堅決強烈反對把徙地新村、徙地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉遷至離近的選舉選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間聯繫分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀧（火炭）約」包括：拔子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聯繫，嚴重了火炭社區。

2. 本人以及本人所認識的其他村民，已經對火炭建立深厚的歸屬感，各村村民之間亦有深厚感情，本人認為在重劃選區時，應該盡量迎合珍貴的社區聯繫。

3. 本人以及其他村民所關心的地方事務和選舉居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、增加停車場、運對村內排氣問題、山野道路及清潔問題。本人擔心在轉遷至選舉後，我們對地區事務的訴求和選舉居民有所不同，當區議員會難以兼顧我們的需要，損害村民的權益。

4. 本人認為，我們徙編村面對的問題性質相似，本人相信，由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦違背我們的期望。

綜上所述，本人懇請選舉會把徙地新村、徙地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聯繫。

簽署：
姓名：
日期：18-8-2018

5154

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

鄉村居民意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

你好，本人是 黃竹洋 村的村民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，本人堅決強烈反對把徙地新村、徙地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉遷至離近的選舉選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間聯繫分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀧（火炭）約」包括：拔子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聯繫，嚴重了火炭社區。

2. 本人以及本人所認識的其他村民，已經對火炭建立深厚的歸屬感，各村村民之間亦有深厚感情，本人認為在重劃選區時，應該盡量迎合珍貴的社區聯繫。

3. 本人以及其他村民所關心的地方事務和選舉居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、增加停車場、運對村內排氣問題、山野道路及清潔問題。本人擔心在轉遷至選舉後，我們對地區事務的訴求和選舉居民有所不同，當區議員會難以兼顧我們的需要，損害村民的權益。

4. 本人認為，我們徙編村面對的問題性質相似，本人相信，由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦違背我們的期望。

綜上所述，本人懇請選舉會把徙地新村、徙地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聯繫。

簽署：
姓名：
日期：18-8-2018

5155

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

村長及村代表意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

我們是生活在沙田火炭 黃竹洋村 村的居民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，我們堅決強烈反對把徙地新村、徙地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉遷至離近的選舉選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間聯繫分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀧（火炭）約」包括：拔子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聯繫，嚴重了火炭社區。

2. 我們各村之間很大程度自己人，時常一同舉辦社區活動，形成緊密關係區，勢必增加日後舉辦社區活動的困難。

3. 各村一向互有照應，已建立起獨特的地方聯繫，各村村內的居民會互相代為向政府及區議員反映，我們亦相信由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦違背我們的期望。

4. 我們鄉村居民所關心的地方事務和選舉居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、增加停車場、運對村內排氣問題、山野道路及清潔問題。本人擔心在轉遷至選舉後，我們對地區事務的訴求和選舉居民有所不同，當區議員會難以兼顧我們的需要，損害村民的權益。

綜上所述，我們鄉議局會把徙地新村、徙地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聯繫。

簽署：
姓名：
日期：18-8-2018

5156

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

鄉村居民意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

你好，本人是 黃竹洋 村的村民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，本人堅決強烈反對把徙地新村、徙地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉遷至離近的選舉選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間聯繫分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀧（火炭）約」包括：拔子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聯繫，嚴重了火炭社區。

2. 本人以及本人所認識的其他村民，已經對火炭建立深厚的歸屬感，各村村民之間亦有深厚感情，本人認為在重劃選區時，應該盡量迎合珍貴的社區聯繫。

3. 本人以及其他村民所關心的地方事務和選舉居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、增加停車場、運對村內排氣問題、山野道路及清潔問題。本人擔心在轉遷至選舉後，我們對地區事務的訴求和選舉居民有所不同，當區議員會難以兼顧我們的需要，損害村民的權益。

4. 本人認為，我們徙編村面對的問題性質相似，本人相信，由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦違背我們的期望。

綜上所述，本人懇請選舉會把徙地新村、徙地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聯繫。

簽署：
姓名：
日期：18-8-2018

5157

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

鄉村居民意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

你好，本人是 黃竹洋 村的村民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，本人堅決強烈反對把徙地新村、徙地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉遷至離近的選舉選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間聯繫分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀧（火炭）約」包括：拔子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聯繫，嚴重了火炭社區。

2. 本人以及本人所認識的其他村民，已經對火炭建立深厚的歸屬感，各村村民之間亦有深厚感情，本人認為在重劃選區時，應該盡量迎合珍貴的社區聯繫。

3. 本人以及其他村民所關心的地方事務和選舉居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、增加停車場、運對村內排氣問題、山野道路及清潔問題。本人擔心在轉遷至選舉後，我們對地區事務的訴求和選舉居民有所不同，當區議員會難以兼顧我們的需要，損害村民的權益。

4. 本人認為，我們徙編村面對的問題性質相似，本人相信，由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦違背我們的期望。

綜上所述，本人懇請選舉會把徙地新村、徙地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聯繫。

簽署：
姓名：
日期：18-8-2018

5158

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

鄉村居民意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

你好，本人是 黃竹洋村 村的村民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，本人堅決強烈反對把徙地新村、徙地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉遷至離近的選舉選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間聯繫分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀧（火炭）約」包括：拔子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聯繫，嚴重了火炭社區。

2. 本人以及本人所認識的其他村民，已經對火炭建立深厚的歸屬感，各村村民之間亦有深厚感情，本人認為在重劃選區時，應該盡量迎合珍貴的社區聯繫。

3. 本人以及其他村民所關心的地方事務和選舉居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、增加停車場、運對村內排氣問題、山野道路及清潔問題。本人擔心在轉遷至選舉後，我們對地區事務的訴求和選舉居民有所不同，當區議員會難以兼顧我們的需要，損害村民的權益。

4. 本人認為，我們徙編村面對的問題性質相似，本人相信，由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦違背我們的期望。

綜上所述，本人懇請選舉會把徙地新村、徙地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聯繫。

簽署：
姓名：
日期：18-8-2018

5159

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

鄉村居民意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

你好，本人是黃竹洋村名的居民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，本人堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉編至鄰近的選舉區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間劃聚分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀝（火炭）約」包括：拔子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聚集，創設了火炭社區。

2. 本人以及本人所認識的其他村民，已經對火炭建立深厚的歸屬感，各村村民之間亦有深厚感情，本人認為在重劃選區時，應該重視這份珍貴的社區聚集。

3. 本人以及其他村民所關心的地方事務和選舉區民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、增加停車場、避對村內爆竹問題、山野道路及清潔問題，本人擔心在轉編至選舉後，我們對地區事務的訴求和選舉居民有所不同，當區議員會難以兼顧我們的需要，損害村民的權益。

4. 本人認為，我們對於劃分的問題性質相似，本人相信，由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦應到我們的期望。

綜上所述，本人懇請選舉會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聚集。

簽署：

姓名：

日期：18-8-2018

5160

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

鄉村居民意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

你好，本人是黃竹洋村名的居民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，本人堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉編至鄰近的選舉區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間劃聚分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀝（火炭）約」包括：拔子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聚集，創設了火炭社區。

2. 本人以及本人所認識的其他村民，已經對火炭建立深厚的歸屬感，各村村民之間亦有深厚感情，本人認為在重劃選區時，應該重視這份珍貴的社區聚集。

3. 本人以及其他村民所關心的地方事務和選舉區民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、增加停車場、避對村內爆竹問題、山野道路及清潔問題，本人擔心在轉編至選舉後，我們對地區事務的訴求和選舉居民有所不同，當區議員會難以兼顧我們的需要，損害村民的權益。

4. 本人認為，我們對於劃分的問題性質相似，本人相信，由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦應到我們的期望。

綜上所述，本人懇請選舉會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聚集。

簽署：

姓名：

日期：18-8-2018

5161

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

村長及村代表意見書

敬愛事管理委員會：

我們是生活在沙田火炭 黃竹洋 村名的居民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，我們堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉編至鄰近的選舉區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間劃聚分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀝（火炭）約」包括：拔子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聚集，創設了火炭社區。

2. 我們各村之間彼此家為自己人，時常一同舉辦社區活動，若拆散成兩個選區，勢必增加日後舉辦社區活動時的困難。

3. 各村一向互有照應，已建立起獨特的地方聯繫，各村村內的問題會互相代為向政府及區議員反映，我們亦相信由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦違背我們的期望。

4. 我們鄉村居民所關心的地方事務和選舉居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、避對村內爆竹問題、山野道路及清潔問題，性質上與拔子窩村、禾寮坑村及落路下村等鄉村的居民所關注的相同，我們擔心，桂地新村一帶的鄉村被劃編至選舉後，由於兩地居民訴求不同，當區區議員難以兼顧，變相村民得到的支援會減少，損害他們的權益。

綜上所述，我們懇請選舉會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聚集。

簽署：

姓名：

日期：18-8-2018

5162

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

村長及村代表意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

我們是生活在沙田火炭 黃竹洋 村名的居民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，我們堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉編至鄰近的選舉區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間劃聚分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀝（火炭）約」包括：拔子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聚集，創設了火炭社區。

2. 我們各村之間視大家為自己人，時常一同舉辦社區活動，若拆散成兩個選區，勢必增加日後舉辦社區活動時的困難。

3. 各村一向互有照應，已建立起獨特的地方聯繫，各村村內的問題會互相代為向政府及區議員反映，我們亦相信由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦違背我們的期望。

4. 我們鄉村居民所關心的地方事務和選舉居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、避對村內爆竹問題、山野道路及清潔問題，性質上與拔子窩村、禾寮坑村及落路下村等鄉村的居民所關注的相同，我們擔心，桂地新村一帶的鄉村被劃編至選舉後，由於兩地居民訴求不同，當區區議員難以兼顧，變相村民得到的支援會減少，損害他們的權益。

綜上所述，我們懇請選舉會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聚集。

簽署：

姓名：

日期：18-8-2018

5163

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

鄉村居民意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

你好，本人是 Tai Wai Hang 村名的居民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，本人堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉編至選舉後，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間劃聚分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀝（火炭）約」包括：拔子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聚集，創設了火炭社區。

2. 本人以及本人所認識的其他村民，已經對火炭建立深厚的歸屬感，各村村民之間亦有深厚感情，本人認為在重劃選區時，應該重視這份珍貴的社區聚集。

3. 本人以及其他村民所關心的地方事務和選舉居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、增加停車場、避對村內爆竹問題、山野道路及清潔問題，本人擔心在轉編至選舉後，我們對地區事務的訴求和選舉居民有所不同，當區議員會難以兼顧我們的需要，損害村民的權益。

4. 本人認為，我們對於劃分的問題性質相似，本人相信，由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦應到我們的期望。

綜上所述，本人懇請選舉會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聚集。

簽署：

姓名：Tai Wai Hang

日期：18-8-2018

5164

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

鄉村居民意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

你好，本人是黃竹洋 村名的居民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，本人堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉編至選舉後，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間劃聚分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀝（火炭）約」包括：拔子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聚集，創設了火炭社區。

2. 本人以及本人所認識的其他村民，已經對火炭建立深厚的歸屬感，各村村民之間亦有深厚感情，本人認為在重劃選區時，應該重視這份珍貴的社區聚集。

3. 本人以及其他村民所關心的地方事務和選舉居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、增加停車場、避對村內爆竹問題、山野道路及清潔問題，本人擔心在轉編至選舉後，我們對地區事務的訴求和選舉居民有所不同，當區議員會難以兼顧我們的需要，損害村民的權益。

4. 本人認為，我們對於劃分的問題性質相似，本人相信，由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦應到我們的期望。

綜上所述，本人懇請選舉會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聚集。

簽署：

姓名：Shum Ngo

日期：18-8-2018

5165

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

鄉村居民意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

你好，本人是 黃竹洋 村名的居民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，本人堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉編至鄰近的選舉區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間劃聚分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀝（火炭）約」包括：拔子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聚集，創設了火炭社區。

2. 本人以及本人所認識的其他村民，已經對火炭建立深厚的歸屬感，各村村民之間亦有深厚感情，本人認為在重劃選區時，應該重視這份珍貴的社區聚集。

3. 本人以及其他村民所關心的地方事務和選舉區民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、增加停車場、避對村內爆竹問題、山野道路及清潔問題，本人擔心在轉編至選舉後，我們對地區事務的訴求和選舉居民有所不同，當區議員會難以兼顧我們的需要，損害村民的權益。

4. 本人認為，我們對於劃分的問題性質相似，本人相信，由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦應到我們的期望。

綜上所述，本人懇請選舉會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聚集。

簽署：

姓名：Albert Shum

日期：18-8-2018

5166

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

村長及村代表意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

我們是生活在沙田火炭 黃竹洋 村名的居民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，我們堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉編至鄰近的選舉區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間劃聚分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀝（火炭）約」包括：拔子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聚集，創設了火炭社區。

2. 我們各村之間彼此家為自己人，時常一同舉辦社區活動，若拆散成兩個選區，勢必增加日後舉辦社區活動時的困難。

3. 各村一向互有照應，已建立起獨特的地方聯繫，各村村內的問題會互相代為向政府及區議員反映，我們亦相信由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦違背我們的期望。

4. 我們鄉村居民所關心的地方事務和選舉居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、避對村內爆竹問題、山野道路及清潔問題，性質上與拔子窩村、禾寮坑村及落路下村等鄉村的居民所關注的相同，我們擔心，桂地新村一帶的鄉村被劃編至選舉後，由於兩地居民訴求不同，當區區議員難以兼顧，變相村民得到的支援會減少，損害他們的權益。

綜上所述，我們懇請選舉會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聚集。

簽署：

姓名：

日期：18-8-2018

5167

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

鄉村居民意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

你好，本人是 黃竹洋 村名的居民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，本人堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉編至鄰近的選舉區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間劃聚分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀝（火炭）約」包括：拔子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聚集，創設了火炭社區。

2. 本人以及本人所認識的其他村民，已經對火炭建立深厚的歸屬感，各村村民之間亦有深厚感情，本人認為在重劃選區時，應該重視這份珍貴的社區聚集。

3. 本人以及其他村民所關心的地方事務和選舉區民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、增加停車場、避對村內爆竹問題、山野道路及清潔問題，本人擔心在轉編至選舉後，我們對地區事務的訴求和選舉居民有所不同，當區議員會難以兼顧我們的需要，損害村民的權益。

4. 本人認為，我們對於劃分的問題性質相似，本人相信，由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦應到我們的期望。

綜上所述，本人懇請選舉會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聚集。

簽署：

姓名：

日期：18-8-2018

5168

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

鄉村居民意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

你好，本人是 [illegible] 村的村民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，本人堅決強烈反對把牡地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、青竹洋村等鄉村轉遷至鄰近的樂禾選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間聚變分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀧（火炭）約」包括：拔子窩、落路下、青竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聚集，創設了火炭社區。

2. 本人以及本人所認識的其他村民，已經對火炭建立深厚的歸屬感，各村民之間亦有深厚感情，本人認為在重劃選區時，應該重視當初劃分的社區聚集。

3. 本人以及其他村民所關心的地方事務和樂禾居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、擴對村內爆竹問題、山野道路及清潔問題。本人擔心在轉區至樂禾後，我們對地區事務的新家和樂禾居民有所不同，當區區議員會敏以兼顧，變相村民得到的支援會減少，損害他們的權益。

4. 本人認為，我們跟鄰村面對的問題性質相似，本人相信，由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦違背我們的願望。

綜上所述，本人懇請選管會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、青竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聚集。

簽署：

姓名：

日期：18/8/2018

5169

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

村長及村代表意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

我們是生活在沙田火炭 [illegible] 村的村民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，我們堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、青竹洋村等鄉村轉遷至鄰近的樂禾選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間聚變分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀧（火炭）約」包括：拔子窩、落路下、青竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聚集，創設了火炭社區。

2. 我們各村之間視大家為自己人，時常一同舉辦社區活動，若拆散成兩個選區，勢必增加日後舉辦社區活動時的困難。

3. 各村一向互有聯繫，已建立起獨特的地方聚集。各村村內的問題會互相代為向政府及區議員反映，我們亦相信由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦違背我們的願望。

4. 我們鄰村居民所關心的地方事務和樂禾居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、擴對村內爆竹問題、山野道路及清潔問題。特別上與拔子窩村、禾寮坑村及落路下村等鄉村的居民所關注的相同。我們擔心，桂地新村一帶的鄉村被轉遷至樂禾後，由於兩地居民訴求不同，當區區議員難以兼顧，變相村民得到的支援會減少，損害他們的權益。

綜上所述，我們鄰區選管會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、青竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聚集。

簽署：

姓名：劉成生

日期：20-8-2018

5170

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

村長及村代表意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

我們是生活在沙田火炭 [illegible] 村(山尾) 村名的居民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，我們堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、青竹洋村等鄉村轉遷至鄰近的樂禾選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間聚變分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀧（火炭）約」包括：拔子窩、落路下、青竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聚集，創設了火炭社區。

2. 我們各村之間視大家為自己人，時常一同舉辦社區活動，若拆散成兩個選區，勢必增加日後舉辦社區活動時的困難。

3. 各村一向互有聯繫，已建立起獨特的地方聚集。各村村內的問題會互相代為向政府及區議員反映，我們亦相信由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦違背我們的願望。

4. 我們鄰村居民所關心的地方事務和樂禾居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、擴對村內爆竹問題、山野道路及清潔問題。特別上與拔子窩村、禾寮坑村及落路下村等鄉村的居民所關注的相同。我們擔心，桂地新村一帶的鄉村被轉遷至樂禾後，由於兩地居民訴求不同，當區區議員難以兼顧，變相村民得到的支援會減少，損害他們的權益。

綜上所述，我們鄰區選管會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、青竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聚集。

簽署：

姓名：池曉華

日期：20-8-2018

5171

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

村長及村代表意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

我們是生活在沙田火炭 [illegible] 村(山尾) 村名的居民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，我們堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、青竹洋村等鄉村轉遷至鄰近的樂禾選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間聚變分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀧（火炭）約」包括：拔子窩、落路下、青竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聚集，創設了火炭社區。

2. 我們各村之間視大家為自己人，時常一同舉辦社區活動，若拆散成兩個選區，勢必增加日後舉辦社區活動時的困難。

3. 各村一向互有聯繫，已建立起獨特的地方聚集。各村村內的問題會互相代為向政府及區議員反映，我們亦相信由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦違背我們的願望。

4. 我們鄰村居民所關心的地方事務和樂禾居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、擴對村內爆竹問題、山野道路及清潔問題。特別上與拔子窩村、禾寮坑村及落路下村等鄉村的居民所關注的相同。我們擔心，桂地新村一帶的鄉村被轉遷至樂禾後，由於兩地居民訴求不同，當區區議員難以兼顧，變相村民得到的支援會減少，損害他們的權益。

綜上所述，我們鄰區選管會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、青竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聚集。

簽署：

姓名：洪錦銘

日期：20-8-2018

5172

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

村長及村代表意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

我們是生活在沙田火炭 [illegible] 村(山尾) 村名的居民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，我們堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、青竹洋村等鄉村轉遷至鄰近的樂禾選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間聚變分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀧（火炭）約」包括：拔子窩、落路下、青竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聚集，創設了火炭社區。

2. 我們各村之間視大家為自己人，時常一同舉辦社區活動，若拆散成兩個選區，勢必增加日後舉辦社區活動時的困難。

3. 各村一向互有聯繫，已建立起獨特的地方聚集。各村村內的問題會互相代為向政府及區議員反映，我們亦相信由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦違背我們的願望。

4. 我們鄰村居民所關心的地方事務和樂禾居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、擴對村內爆竹問題、山野道路及清潔問題。特別上與拔子窩村、禾寮坑村及落路下村等鄉村的居民所關注的相同。我們擔心，桂地新村一帶的鄉村被轉遷至樂禾後，由於兩地居民訴求不同，當區區議員難以兼顧，變相村民得到的支援會減少，損害他們的權益。

綜上所述，我們鄰區選管會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、青竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聚集。

簽署：

姓名：洪漢年

日期：20-8-2018

5173

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

村長及村代表意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

我們是生活在沙田火炭 [illegible] 村(山尾) 村名的居民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，我們堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、青竹洋村等鄉村轉遷至鄰近的樂禾選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間聚變分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀧（火炭）約」包括：拔子窩、落路下、青竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聚集，創設了火炭社區。

2. 我們各村之間視大家為自己人，時常一同舉辦社區活動，若拆散成兩個選區，勢必增加日後舉辦社區活動時的困難。

3. 各村一向互有聯繫，已建立起獨特的地方聚集。各村村內的問題會互相代為向政府及區議員反映，我們亦相信由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦違背我們的願望。

4. 我們鄰村居民所關心的地方事務和樂禾居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、擴對村內爆竹問題、山野道路及清潔問題。特別上與拔子窩村、禾寮坑村及落路下村等鄉村的居民所關注的相同。我們擔心，桂地新村一帶的鄉村被轉遷至樂禾後，由於兩地居民訴求不同，當區區議員難以兼顧，變相村民得到的支援會減少，損害他們的權益。

綜上所述，我們鄰區選管會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、青竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聚集。

簽署：

姓名：洪君平

日期：20-8-2018

5174

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

村長及村代表意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

我們是生活在沙田火炭 [illegible] 村(山尾) 村名的居民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，我們堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、青竹洋村等鄉村轉遷至鄰近的樂禾選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間聚變分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀧（火炭）約」包括：拔子窩、落路下、青竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聚集，創設了火炭社區。

2. 我們各村之間視大家為自己人，時常一同舉辦社區活動，若拆散成兩個選區，勢必增加日後舉辦社區活動時的困難。

3. 各村一向互有聯繫，已建立起獨特的地方聚集。各村村內的問題會互相代為向政府及區議員反映，我們亦相信由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦違背我們的願望。

4. 我們鄰村居民所關心的地方事務和樂禾居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、擴對村內爆竹問題、山野道路及清潔問題。特別上與拔子窩村、禾寮坑村及落路下村等鄉村的居民所關注的相同。我們擔心，桂地新村一帶的鄉村被轉遷至樂禾後，由於兩地居民訴求不同，當區區議員難以兼顧，變相村民得到的支援會減少，損害他們的權益。

綜上所述，我們鄰區選管會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、青竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聚集。

簽署：

姓名：洪月雲

日期：20-8-2018

5175

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

村長及村代表意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

我們是生活在沙田火炭 [illegible] 村(山尾) 村名的居民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，我們堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、青竹洋村等鄉村轉遷至鄰近的樂禾選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間聚變分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀧（火炭）約」包括：拔子窩、落路下、青竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聚集，創設了火炭社區。

2. 我們各村之間視大家為自己人，時常一同舉辦社區活動，若拆散成兩個選區，勢必增加日後舉辦社區活動時的困難。

3. 各村一向互有聯繫，已建立起獨特的地方聚集。各村村內的問題會互相代為向政府及區議員反映，我們亦相信由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦違背我們的願望。

4. 我們鄰村居民所關心的地方事務和樂禾居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、擴對村內爆竹問題、山野道路及清潔問題。特別上與拔子窩村、禾寮坑村及落路下村等鄉村的居民所關注的相同。我們擔心，桂地新村一帶的鄉村被轉遷至樂禾後，由於兩地居民訴求不同，當區區議員難以兼顧，變相村民得到的支援會減少，損害他們的權益。

綜上所述，我們鄰區選管會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、青竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聚集。

簽署：

姓名：朱雲燕

日期：20-8-2018

5176

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

村長及村代表意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

我們是生活在沙田火炭 [illegible] 村 村名的居民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，我們堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、青竹洋村等鄉村轉遷至鄰近的樂禾選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間聚變分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀧（火炭）約」包括：拔子窩、落路下、青竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聚集，創設了火炭社區。

2. 我們各村之間視大家為自己人，時常一同舉辦社區活動，若拆散成兩個選區，勢必增加日後舉辦社區活動時的困難。

3. 各村一向互有聯繫，已建立起獨特的地方聚集。各村村內的問題會互相代為向政府及區議員反映，我們亦相信由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦違背我們的願望。

4. 我們鄰村居民所關心的地方事務和樂禾居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、擴對村內爆竹問題、山野道路及清潔問題。特別上與拔子窩村、禾寮坑村及落路下村等鄉村的居民所關注的相同。我們擔心，桂地新村一帶的鄉村被轉遷至樂禾後，由於兩地居民訴求不同，當區區議員難以兼顧，變相村民得到的支援會減少，損害他們的權益。

綜上所述，我們鄰區選管會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、青竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聚集。

簽署：

姓名：朱耀璧

日期：20-8-2018

5177

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

村長或村代表意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

我們是生活在沙田火炭 格坑新村(山尾) 村名的居民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，我們堅決強烈反對把格坑新村、牯嶺村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉編至鄰近的選承選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間聯繫分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀧（火炭）約」包括：拔子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聯繫，剝奪了火炭社區。

2. 我們各村之間視火家為自己人，時常一同舉辦社區活動，若拆散成兩個選區，勢必增加日後舉辦社區活動時的困難。

3. 各村一向互有照應，已建立起獨特的地方聯繫，各村村內的問題會互相代為向政府及區議員反映，我們亦相信由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦達到我們的期望。

4. 我們鄉村居民所關心的地方事務和樓宇居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、遇對村內爆竹問題、山野道路及清潔問題，性質上與拔子窩村、禾寮坑村及落路下村等鄉村的居民所關注的相同，我們擔心，牯嶺新村一帶的鄉村被劃編至選承後，由於需地居民訴求不同，會區區議員難以兼顧，變相村民得到的支援會減少，損害他們的權益。

綫上所述，我們懇請選管會把牯嶺新村、牯嶺村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聯繫。

簽署：陳順婷
陳順婷
姓名：

日期：2019-8-2018

5178

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

村長或村代表意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

我們是生活在沙田火炭 格坑新村(山尾) 村名的居民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，我們堅決強烈反對把格坑新村、牯嶺村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉編至鄰近的選承選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間聯繫分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀧（火炭）約」包括：拔子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聯繫，剝奪了火炭社區。

2. 我們各村之間視火家為自己人，時常一同舉辦社區活動，若拆散成兩個選區，勢必增加日後舉辦社區活動時的困難。

3. 各村一向互有照應，已建立起獨特的地方聯繫，各村村內的問題會互相代為向政府及區議員反映，我們亦相信由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦達到我們的期望。

4. 我們鄉村居民所關心的地方事務和樓宇居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、遇對村內爆竹問題、山野道路及清潔問題，性質上與拔子窩村、禾寮坑村及落路下村等鄉村的居民所關注的相同，我們擔心，牯嶺新村一帶的鄉村被劃編至選承後，由於需地居民訴求不同，會區區議員難以兼顧，變相村民得到的支援會減少，損害他們的權益。

綫上所述，我們懇請選管會把牯嶺新村、牯嶺村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聯繫。

簽署：
姓名：

日期：2019-8-2018

5179

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

村長或村代表意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

我們是生活在沙田火炭 牯嶺新村 村名的居民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，我們堅決強烈反對把格坑新村、牯嶺村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉編至鄰近的選承選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間聯繫分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀧（火炭）約」包括：拔子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聯繫，剝奪了火炭社區。

2. 我們各村之間視火家為自己人，時常一同舉辦社區活動，若拆散成兩個選區，勢必增加日後舉辦社區活動時的困難。

3. 各村一向互有照應，已建立起獨特的地方聯繫，各村村內的問題會互相代為向政府及區議員反映，我們亦相信由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦達到我們的期望。

4. 我們鄉村居民所關心的地方事務和樓宇居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、遇對村內爆竹問題、山野道路及清潔問題，性質上與拔子窩村、禾寮坑村及落路下村等鄉村的居民所關注的相同，我們擔心，牯嶺新村一帶的鄉村被劃編至選承後，由於需地居民訴求不同，會區區議員難以兼顧，變相村民得到的支援會減少，損害他們的權益。

綫上所述，我們懇請選管會把牯嶺新村、牯嶺村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聯繫。

簽署：
姓名：

日期：2019-8-2018

5180

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

村長或村代表意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

我們是生活在沙田火炭 格坑新村 村名的居民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，我們堅決強烈反對把格坑新村、牯嶺村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉編至鄰近的選承選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間聯繫分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀧（火炭）約」包括：拔子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聯繫，剝奪了火炭社區。

2. 我們各村之間視火家為自己人，時常一同舉辦社區活動，若拆散成兩個選區，勢必增加日後舉辦社區活動時的困難。

3. 各村一向互有照應，已建立起獨特的地方聯繫，各村村內的問題會互相代為向政府及區議員反映，我們亦相信由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦達到我們的期望。

4. 我們鄉村居民所關心的地方事務和樓宇居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、遇對村內爆竹問題、山野道路及清潔問題，性質上與拔子窩村、禾寮坑村及落路下村等鄉村的居民所關注的相同，我們擔心，牯嶺新村一帶的鄉村被劃編至選承後，由於需地居民訴求不同，會區區議員難以兼顧，變相村民得到的支援會減少，損害他們的權益。

綫上所述，我們懇請選管會把牯嶺新村、牯嶺村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聯繫。

簽署：曾瑞安
曾瑞安
姓名：

日期：2019-8-2018

5181

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

村長或村代表意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

我們是生活在沙田火炭 格坑新村(山尾) 村名的居民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，我們堅決強烈反對把格坑新村、牯嶺村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉編至鄰近的選承選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間聯繫分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀧（火炭）約」包括：拔子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聯繫，剝奪了火炭社區。

2. 我們各村之間視火家為自己人，時常一同舉辦社區活動，若拆散成兩個選區，勢必增加日後舉辦社區活動時的困難。

3. 各村一向互有照應，已建立起獨特的地方聯繫，各村村內的問題會互相代為向政府及區議員反映，我們亦相信由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦達到我們的期望。

4. 我們鄉村居民所關心的地方事務和樓宇居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、遇對村內爆竹問題、山野道路及清潔問題，性質上與拔子窩村、禾寮坑村及落路下村等鄉村的居民所關注的相同，我們擔心，牯嶺新村一帶的鄉村被劃編至選承後，由於需地居民訴求不同，會區區議員難以兼顧，變相村民得到的支援會減少，損害他們的權益。

綫上所述，我們懇請選管會把牯嶺新村、牯嶺村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聯繫。

簽署：洪福興
洪福興
姓名：

日期：2019-8-2018

5182

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

村長或村代表意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

我們是生活在沙田火炭 牯嶺新村(山尾) 村名的居民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，我們堅決強烈反對把格坑新村、牯嶺村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉編至鄰近的選承選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間聯繫分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀧（火炭）約」包括：拔子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聯繫，剝奪了火炭社區。

2. 我們各村之間視火家為自己人，時常一同舉辦社區活動，若拆散成兩個選區，勢必增加日後舉辦社區活動時的困難。

3. 各村一向互有照應，已建立起獨特的地方聯繫，各村村內的問題會互相代為向政府及區議員反映，我們亦相信由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦達到我們的期望。

4. 我們鄉村居民所關心的地方事務和樓宇居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、遇對村內爆竹問題、山野道路及清潔問題，性質上與拔子窩村、禾寮坑村及落路下村等鄉村的居民所關注的相同，我們擔心，牯嶺新村一帶的鄉村被劃編至選承後，由於需地居民訴求不同，會區區議員難以兼顧，變相村民得到的支援會減少，損害他們的權益。

綫上所述，我們懇請選管會把牯嶺新村、牯嶺村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聯繫。

簽署：陳鮮花
陳鮮花
姓名：

日期：2019-8-2018

5183

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

鄉村居民意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

你好，本人是 格坑新村 村名村民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，本人堅決強烈反對把格坑新村、牯嶺村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉編至鄰近的選承選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間聯繫分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀧（火炭）約」包括：拔子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聯繫，剝奪了火炭社區。

2. 本人以及本人所認識的其他村民，已經對火炭建立深厚的感情感，各村村民之間亦有深厚感情，本人認為在重劃選區時，應該盡量保留原有的社區聯繫。

3. 本人以及其他村民所關心的地方事務和樓宇居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、增加停車場、處對村內爆竹問題、山野道路及清潔問題，本人擔心在劃編至選承後，我們對地區事務的訴求和樓宇居民有所不同，會區區議員會難以兼顧我們的需要，損害村民的權益。

4. 本人認為，我們鄰舍村面對的問題性質相似，本人相信，由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦達到我們的期望。

綫上所述，本人懇請選管會把牯嶺新村、牯嶺村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聯繫。

簽署：
姓名：

日期：2019-8-2018

5184

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

鄉村居民意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

你好，本人是 牯嶺新村 山尾 村名村民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，本人堅決強烈反對把格坑新村、牯嶺村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉編至鄰近的選承選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間聯繫分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀧（火炭）約」包括：拔子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聯繫，剝奪了火炭社區。

2. 本人以及本人所認識的其他村民，已經對火炭建立深厚的感情感，各村村民之間亦有深厚感情，本人認為在重劃選區時，應該盡量保留原有的社區聯繫。

3. 本人以及其他村民所關心的地方事務和樓宇居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、增加停車場、處對村內爆竹問題、山野道路及清潔問題，本人擔心在劃編至選承後，我們對地區事務的訴求和樓宇居民有所不同，會區區議員會難以兼顧我們的需要，損害村民的權益。

4. 本人認為，我們鄰舍村面對的問題性質相似，本人相信，由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦達到我們的期望。

綫上所述，本人懇請選管會把牯嶺新村、牯嶺村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聯繫。

簽署：
姓名：

日期：2019-8-2018

5185

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

鄉村居民意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

你好，本人是 格坑新村 山尾 村名村民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，本人堅決強烈反對把格坑新村、牯嶺村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉編至鄰近的選承選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間聯繫分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀧（火炭）約」包括：拔子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聯繫，剝奪了火炭社區。

2. 本人以及本人所認識的其他村民，已經對火炭建立深厚的感情感，各村村民之間亦有深厚感情，本人認為在重劃選區時，應該盡量保留原有的社區聯繫。

3. 本人以及其他村民所關心的地方事務和樓宇居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、增加停車場、處對村內爆竹問題、山野道路及清潔問題，本人擔心在劃編至選承後，我們對地區事務的訴求和樓宇居民有所不同，會區區議員會難以兼顧我們的需要，損害村民的權益。

4. 本人認為，我們鄰舍村面對的問題性質相似，本人相信，由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦達到我們的期望。

綫上所述，本人懇請選管會把牯嶺新村、牯嶺村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聯繫。

簽署：
姓名：

日期：2019-8-2018

5186

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

鄉村居民意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

你好，本人是 山屋 村名村民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，本人堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村歸編至鄰近的德禾圍區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間關係分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀨（火炭）約」包括：坟子壟、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聯繫，割裂了火炭社區。

2. 本人以及本人所認識的其他村民，已經對火炭建立深厚的歸屬感，各村村民之間亦有深厚感情，本人認為在重劃區時，應該盡視個別參與的社區聯繫。

3. 本人以及其他村民所關心的地方事務和德禾居民有很大分別，我們主要關注發展村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、增加停車場、區對村內陳病問題、山野道路及清潔問題，本人擔心在將區重劃德禾後，我們對地區事務的訴求和德禾居民有所不同，書區區議員會難以掌顧我們的需要，損害村民的權益。

4. 本人認為，我們需就村區對的問題作實相似，本人相信，由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦違到我們的期望。

鑒上所述，本人懇請選管會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聯繫。

簽署：

姓名：

日期：20-8-2018

5187

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

鄉村居民意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

你好，本人是 火屋 村名村民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，本人堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村歸編至鄰近的德禾圍區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間關係分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀨（火炭）約」包括：坟子壟、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聯繫，割裂了火炭社區。

2. 本人以及本人所認識的其他村民，已經對火炭建立深厚的歸屬感，各村村民之間亦有深厚感情，本人認為在重劃區時，應該盡視個別參與的社區聯繫。

3. 本人以及其他村民所關心的地方事務和德禾居民有很大分別，我們主要關注發展村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、增加停車場、區對村內陳病問題、山野道路及清潔問題，本人擔心在將區重劃德禾後，我們對地區事務的訴求和德禾居民有所不同，書區區議員會難以掌顧我們的需要，損害村民的權益。

4. 本人認為，我們需就村區對的問題作實相似，本人相信，由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦違到我們的期望。

鑒上所述，本人懇請選管會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聯繫。

簽署：

姓名：

日期：20-8-2018

5188

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

村長及村代表意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

我們是生活在沙田火炭 桂地新村（河背首） 村名的居民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，我們堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村歸編至鄰近的德禾圍區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間關係分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區，其中「火瀨（火炭）約」包括：坟子壟、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村，故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聯繫，割裂了火炭社區。

2. 我們各村之間視大家為自己人，時常一同舉辦社區活動，新新感情超過區，勢必增加日後舉辦社區活動時的困難。

3. 各村一向互有照應，已建立起獨特的地方聯繫，各村村內的問題會互相代為向政府及區議員反映，我們亦相信由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦違到我們的期望。

4. 我們鄰村居民對關心的地方事務和德禾居民有很大分別，我們主要關注發展村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、處對村內陳病問題、山野道路及清潔問題，性質上與坟子壟村、禾寮坑村及落路下村等鄉村的居民所關注的相同，我們關心，在地新村一帶的鄉村被劃歸至德禾後，由於鄰地居民的求不同，書區區議員難以兼顧，變相村民得到的支援會減少，損害他們的權益。

鑒上所述，我們懇請選管會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聯繫。

簽署：

姓名：

日期：20-8-2018

5189

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand

水泉澳邨設置兩個投票站
[redacted] to: eacenq@reo.gov.hk 21/08/2018 16:42

致：選舉管理委員會主席
馮 驊法官

馮主席：

強烈要求於明年區議會選舉時，
在水泉澳邨設置兩個投票站

本人是住在沙田水泉澳邨，水泉澳邨原本歸屬「乙明選區」，當局有意在明年換屆沙田區議會選舉時，將水泉澳邨劃分為兩個選區，分別是「乙泉選區」及「水泉澳選區」。

本人根據上次區議會換屆選舉的投票經驗，被當局配編至香港女童軍總會博康營地投票，由於水泉澳是依山而建，前往投票主要靠步行斜路而至，實感極度不便。

本人觀察其他選區的投票安排，主要借用社區會堂、體育館、學校等設施為投票站，當豪花園附近沒有相關設施，當局更在有露的走廊設置投票站。現時在水泉澳邨有三間幼稚園、兩間房屋署的水泉澳邨辦事處、一個較大的廣場，因此促請 貴委員會，善用這些設施，方便水泉澳的居民，在水泉澳邨分別為「乙泉選區」及「水泉澳區」各個選區均設一個投票站。

姓名：黃大偉

簽署：黃大偉

日期：2018/8/21
從我的華為手機傳送

5190

強烈反對 2019 年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界臨時建議

鄉村居民意見書

致選舉管理委員會：

你好，本人是 小灘源 村名村民，對於選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉作出的臨時劃界建議，本人堅決強烈反對把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村轉編至鄰近的穗禾選區，主要理由如下：

1. 火炭區各鄉村具有共同的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間聯繫分為「九約」，方便居民發展社區。其中「火灘（火炭）約」包括：拔子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等村。故現時的分界建議破壞了火炭各村的歷史傳承及社區獨特性，以及居民的地方聯繫，割裂了火炭社區。

2. 本人以及本人所認識的其他村民，已經對火炭建立深厚的歸屬感，各村村民之間亦有深厚感情。本人認為在重劃選區時，應該重視這份珍貴的社區聯繫。

3. 本人以及其他村民所關心的地方事務和穗禾居民有很大分別，我們主要關注整體村落的規劃，包括興建村屋、增加停車場、應對村內爆竊問題、山野道路及清潔問題。本人擔心在轉編至穗禾後，我們對地區事務的訴求和穗禾居民有所不同，當區區議員會難以兼顧我們的需要，損害村民的權益。

4. 本人認為，我們數條村面對的問題性質相似。本人相信，由同一位區議員提供服務，才能有效地反映我們的訴求，而現行的火炭選區安排亦達到我們的期望。

綜上所述，本人懇請選管會把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村、黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，以保留各鄉村的社區以及歷史聯繫。

簽署：楊九

姓名：楊九 (村長)

日期：8-8-2018

5191

選舉管理委員會

關於水泉澳邨劃選和設立投票站建議書

建議一：

作為水泉澳邨的居民，我希望貴 選舉管理委員會可以尊重水泉澳邨居民的訴求，關於 2019 年區議會選舉劃區，貴 選舉管理委員會建議將沙田水泉澳邨第一、三及四期劃為一個選區，對此我表示反對，本人認為應該維持為第二、三及四期劃分為一個選區，根據地理環境，第二、三及四期較為相近，兼且社會及人文性質高度相似，故劃分為一個選區較為合理。

建議二：

水泉澳邨依山而建，而在過去的最近一次 2018 年的立法會補選的投票站設立在山區下，即博康邨，水泉澳的居民投票需要下山，對居民造成不便，特別是長者行動不方便，故希望貴 選舉管理委員會忙在水泉澳邨尋找一個適合的位置作為一個選舉投票站。

水泉澳邨居民 鄭亞華

2018 年 8 月 19 日

5192

關於沙田水泉澳邨增設投票站及選區劃界建議

沙田水泉澳邨是一個全新的公共屋邨，建於海拔 372 米的水泉澳山上，屋邨就以山為名，顧名思義，山路崎嶇。在 2018 年立法會補選，因為水泉澳邨沒有設立投票站，故水泉澳街坊需要下山去山底博康村投票站進行投票，對水泉澳街坊造成不便，特別是行動不便，但熱情參與選舉活動的長者街坊構成困擾。

故懇請貴 選舉管理委員會能夠幫助水泉澳邨街坊在水泉澳邨，尋找一個適合的場地設立或租借一個適合的區域設立臨時投票站。

再有，貴 選舉管理委員會建議將水泉澳邨第一、三及四期劃分為一個選區，作為水泉澳邨街坊我希望貴 選舉管理委員維持第二、三及期期為一個選區。原因如下：

第二、三、四期的入住時間相近，社會及人民性質相似，故劃為同一選區較貼近實際情況，且較為合理。再者，根據地理因素，例如：第二期（月泉樓）在地理位置上與第三期（茂泉樓及林泉樓）相近，人文性質相似。

2018 年 8 月 20 日 沒翠華

5193

選舉管理委員會

關於水泉澳邨劃區和設立投票站建議書

建議一：

作為水泉澳邨的居民，我希望貴 選舉管理委員會可以尊重水泉澳邨居民的訴求，關於 2019 年區議會選舉劃區，貴 選舉管理委員會建議將沙田水泉澳邨第一、三及四期劃為一個選區，對此我表示反對，本人認為應該維持為第二、三及四期劃分為一個選區，根據地理環境，第二、三及四期較為相近，兼且社會及人文性質高度相似，故劃分為一個選區較為合理。

建議二：

水泉澳邨依山而建，而在過去的最近一次 2018 年的立法會補選的投票站設立在山底下，即博康邨，水泉澳的居民投票需要下山，對居民造成不便，特別是長者行動不方便，故希望貴 選舉管理委員幫忙在水泉澳邨尋找一個適合的位置作為一個選舉投票站。

水泉澳邨居民 郭康昌

2018 年 8 月 19 日

5194

選舉管理委員會

關於水泉澳邨劃區和設立投票站建議書

建議一：

作為水泉澳邨的居民，我希望貴 選舉管理委員會可以尊重水泉澳邨居民的訴求，關於 2019 年區議會選舉劃區，貴 選舉管理委員會建議將沙田水泉澳邨第一、三及四期劃為一個選區，對此我表示反對，本人認為應該維持為第二、三及四期劃分為一個選區，根據地理環境，第二、三及四期較為相近，兼且社會及人文性質高度相似，故劃分為一個選區較為合理。

建議二：

水泉澳邨依山而建，而在過去的最近一次 2018 年的立法會補選的投票站設立在山底下，即博康邨，水泉澳的居民投票需要下山，對居民造成不便，特別是長者行動不方便，故希望貴 選舉管理委員幫忙在水泉澳邨尋找一個適合的位置作為一個選舉投票站。

水泉澳邨居民 方森富

2018 年 8 月 19 日

5195

選舉管理委員會

關於水泉澳邨劃區和設立投票站建議書

建議一：

作為水泉澳邨的居民，我希望貴 選舉管理委員會可以尊重水泉澳邨居民的訴求，關於 2019 年區議會選舉劃區，貴 選舉管理委員會建議將沙田水泉澳邨第一、三及四期劃為一個選區，對此我表示反對，本人認為應該維持為第二、三及四期劃分為一個選區，根據地理環境，第二、三及四期較為相近，兼且社會及人文性質高度相似，故劃分為一個選區較為合理。

建議二：

水泉澳邨依山而建，而在過去的最近一次 2018 年的立法會補選的投票站設立在山底下，即博康邨，水泉澳的居民投票需要下山，對居民造成不便，特別是長者行動不方便，故希望貴 選舉管理委員幫忙在水泉澳邨尋找一個適合的位置作為一個選舉投票站。

水泉澳邨居民 王翠娥

2018 年 8 月 19 日

5196

沙田區議會 李永成議員辦事處
Office of Li Wing Shing, Sha Tin District Council Member

本處檔號：170809(1)

灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會秘書處
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊法官，GBS

馮主席：

選管會就二零一九年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議之公眾諮詢，本人的意見如下：

根據 選管會公布的暫定選區範圍，R29「烏溪沙」選區的預計人口為 20,592 人，為沙田區預計人口中最多的一個選區，僅僅不偏離標準人口基數上限幅度 25%（即 20749 人）不足二百人，惟並未計算耀沙路雲海提供逾四百個單位，而雲海預計在 2019 年上半年入伙，屆時「烏溪沙」選區人口將會超過標準人口基數上限幅度 25%；若然，選管會是否打算不嚴格地按選區分界的劃定(I)法定準則(a)及(b)項行事？

本人認為，雖然「烏溪沙」選區較部分選區的人口多出接近八千人，但從社區獨特性和地方聯繫的角度來看，這選區分界是合理的。

我認為選管會必須堅持及貫徹「政治因素不在考慮之列」這原則，選舉必須是公平、公正地進行，希望最終所有選舉都能達致普及而平等的原則，讓香港成為一個真正公義社會。

沙田區議員

李永成 謹上

2018 年 8 月 21 日

[redacted]

5197

工黨 LABOUR PARTY

[redacted]

葉榮

沙田民選區議員(頌安)

FAX: 2511 1682

選舉管理委員會秘書處
執事先生：

要求重新審視 R26 選區劃界

本人收到選舉會建議指出 R26 選區將會因為法定人口問題而劃走原本區內天宇海以及觀關雅軒，並另組成新選區海嵐選區。就選舉處馬鞍山頌安選區重新劃區一事，本人葉榮(現頌安區議員)對此舉感到不滿，甚至沒有顧及選區內天宇海選民的感受，反對意見如下：

1. 選舉會以"望地圖"方式去劃界
選舉會從未派人到區內視察，新加入頌安選區的耆晴為細單位，正式入伙日期約在 2018 年頭，試問請問選舉處用耆晴(約 300 人)換皆觀關雅軒及天宇海兩大屋苑是否合理?
2. 社區統一性
屋苑天宇海在本次建議中已經是第三次要轉區，由入伙以來天宇海所經歷的三屆區議會選舉已經被轉三次區，居民每四年就需要尋求轉三個不同選區的區議員，試問此舉是否符合選舉會中的社區統一性，又有沒有顧及天宇海居民感受？
3. 天宇海距離頌安遠並不成立
天宇海以及觀關雅軒與聽濤雅苑及頌安邨為連接，馬鐵恆安站在此屋苑中間，而屋苑間亦有行人路直接到達，所以並不符合坊間中距離遠之說法，再者，上屆區議會劃區應對此曾有考量，故此不應在來屆選舉重提。

綜觀以上，本人認為來年區議會選舉頌安選區應維持原來不變，以維持黃社區完整性。

沙田區議員
葉榮
謹上
二零一八年八月二十日

[redacted]

5198(1)

趙柱幫議員辦事處

沙田區議會 The Office of District Council Member Chiu Chu Pong

覆函/回電請註明本處檔號：PH180001(O)

敬啟者：

有關沙田區博康選區(R08)選區分界意見書

博康選區(R08)，由1994年至今，過去24年來，博康選區劃界只有單一博康邨獨立成區。今年， 貴會卻建議增加鄰近村屋到博康選區，包括沙田圍舊村、沙田圍新村、謝屋村及灰窰下村，一共接近600多戶，並估計約有2000多人口。

根據 貴會的建議書，預計博康選區(R08)在2019年區議會選舉的選區人口是16,063人，本人相信是 貴會錯誤計算本區人口，而預計的人口數字，竟在選區改變，並新增四條村屋後，不升反跌。本人亦相信錯誤的人口計算，極可能影響選區劃界的決定。本人的理據如下：

資料如下(附件來源)：

1. 2018年7月5日，沙田區議會發展及房屋委員會的文件—沙田區公共房屋及私人機構參建居屋計劃屋苑人口(截至二零一八年六月一日)，博康邨人口共有16,615人(租戶人口2,465及業主人口14,150)。
2. 2011年區議會選區的選區分界概要，博康選區(R08) (截至二零一一年六月三十日)，估計人口是17,186。
3. 2015年區議會選區的選區分界概要，博康選區(R08) (截至二零一一年六月三十日)，估計人口是16,341。

本人認為 貴會以影響最少的選區為大原則下進行選界分界，因此本人並不同意現時 貴會的安排，改變過去24年的原先規劃。本人認為現時博康選區(R08)的人口已經符合標準的人口基數，即16,599人。本人反建議 貴會維持2015的區議會選區分界，將沙田圍舊村、沙田圍新村、謝屋村及灰窰下村於乙泉選區(R10)。

理由如下：

1. 以影響最少的選區為大原則下進行選界分界，因此不建議改劃博康選區(R08)。
2. 2015的區議會選區分界，沙田圍舊村、沙田圍新村、謝屋村及灰窰下村是屬於乙明選區(R09)，理應跟從乙明選區(R09)變為乙泉選區(R10)。

地址：[redacted]
電話 Tel：[redacted] 傳真 Fax：[redacted]

5198(2)

趙柱幫議員辦事處

沙田區議會 The Office of District Council Member Chiu Chu Pong

3. 自2011年區議會，沙田圍一帶的鄉村，包括沙田圍舊村、沙田圍新村、謝屋村、灰窰下村、作墨坑村、曾大屋村及曾大屋新村，一直劃入乙明選區(R09)。在尊重選民意見及社區的完整性，應繼續維持，並跟從乙明選區(R09)變為乙泉選區(R10)。

此外，本人亦反映在過去2018年3月11日的立法會補選， 貴會於博康邨設立兩個投票站，並安排水泉澳邨的選民於博康邨田家炳小學投票，造成極度混亂。

原因如下：

1. 一邨兩票站，令博康邨部份選民誤以為可以前往博康邨田家炳小學票站投票。
2. 部份水泉澳邨選民，錯誤地前往博康社區會堂投票，卻發現無法投票，要轉往博康邨田家炳小學。
3. 加上， 貴會當天的票站指示不足，大量博康邨及水泉澳邨選民錯誤地前往兩個票站，非常折騰。
4. 本人要求 貴會在2019區議會選舉於水泉澳(R09)設立票站，避免上述的混亂。

故此，本人請求 貴會主席馮驊，GBS，大法官仔細考慮本人的意見書，並書面回覆本人。現特函轉達，祈請 貴會早作跟進處理。有勞之處，不勝感激，如有任何查詢，請於星期一至五，上午 10 時至晚上 7 時，致電[redacted]與本處職員聯絡，謝謝！

候覆，此致
選舉管理委員會
主席
馮驊，GBS，大法官

沙田區議員
趙柱幫 謹上
(鍾家榮 代行)

二零一八年八月二十一日

地址：[redacted]
電話 Tel：[redacted] 傳真 Fax：[redacted]

5198(3)

趙柱幫議員辦事處

沙田區議會 The Office of District Council Member Chiu Chu Pong

附件來源：

1. https://www.districtcouncils.gov.hk/st/doc/2016_2019/tc/committee_meetings_doc/DHC/13873/st_dhc_2018_034_tc.pdf
2. https://www.elections.gov.hk/dc2011/chi/summaryr.html
3. https://www.elections.gov.hk/dc2015/chi/summaryr.html

地址：[redacted]
電話 Tel：[redacted] 傳真 Fax：[redacted]

5199(1)

中華人民共和國香港特別行政區
Hong Kong Special Administrative Region of The People's Republic of China
沙田區議會 龐愛蘭 議員辦事處
Ward Office of PONG Scarlett Oi Lan Sha Tin District Council Member

強烈反對

2019年區議會一般選舉火炭選區分界(R23)臨時建議

致選舉管理委員會：

本人龐愛蘭，現任沙田火炭選區民選區議員，強烈反對選舉管理委員會就火炭選區(R23)提出的臨時分界建議。強烈要求把桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村和黃竹洋村等鄉村保留在火炭選區，理由如下：

(一) 分散政策支援資源

桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村和黃竹洋村及其他鄉村的居民，和落路下村及拔子窩村等鄉村有共同的生活圈，關心同樣的地區事務問題，例如興建村屋、增加停車場、預防水浸措施、村內保安及道路規劃等等。把各條鄉村分拆在不同選區，區議員及政府對鄉村所提供的政策支援便會變得分散，導致資源無法有效運用。

(二) 居民權益

1. 穗禾選區(R22)的房屋類型主要為居屋及私樓，而桂地新村一帶的房屋類型則為村屋，兩者所關心的地方事務截然不同。若依照臨時建議重劃選區，被轉編至穗禾選區的鄉村居民會變成少數，他們的需要容易被忽視。

2. 相反火炭區內尚有拔子窩村、落路下村及禾寮坑等鄉村，保留桂地新村一帶在火炭選區有利村民提出共同訴求，增加社區凝聚力，是最能保障村民權益的做法。

電話：[redacted] 傳真：[redacted] 電子郵箱：[redacted]

5199(2)

中華人民共和國香港特別行政區
Hong Kong Special Administrative Region of The People's Republic of China
沙田區議會 龐愛蘭 議員辦事處
Ward Office of PONG Scarlett Oi Lan Sha Tin District Council Member

(三) 破壞社區完整及歷史傳承

火炭區內各個鄉村具有共通的文化及歷史，早在晚清時期，沙田已按地理及村與村之間的聯繫分為「九約」，意即九個分區，「火灘（火炭）約」便屬其一，包括：拔子窩、落路下、黃竹洋和禾寮坑等等，故分散各村至不同選區會切斷火炭的歷史傳承及聯繫。

(四) 建議方案

1. **保留桂地新村、桂地村、火炭谷新村和黃竹洋村等鄉村在火炭選區。**

2. **把火炭路、新竹街及禾上墩街以西南的範圍，即禾上墩街資助房屋發展項目及火炭公屋項目一帶轉編至穗禾選區，以調整兩區的預計人口。**

龐愛蘭 BBS,JP
沙田區議員

二零一八年七月三十日

電話：[redacted] 傳真：[redacted] 電子郵箱：[redacted]

序號 5200 的來信者要求身份及內容保密

5201

Wah Lai constituency
26/07/2018 18:09
Hide Details
From: [redacted]

To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

Cc: [redacted]

26 July 2018

To whom it may concern

re: Wah Lai constituency

I learnt that District Council election 2019 has its constituency boundaries rearranged. I spoke with the district officer Miss Blanche Leung this morning and I try to find out is there any change to Wah Lai constituency.

Personally I do think Wah Lai constituency boundary should have some rearrangement; in terms of location and livelihood between Wonder Villa & Regency Garden and Lai Yue Estate are utterly different. I do think, in terms of livelihood, it is a 180 degree direction providing community services to those residents; besides, in terms of location the transport distance between Wonder Villa and Lai Yue Estate is distance away. I do not understand why they are in the same constituency.

May I suggest that Wah Yuen Chuen, Regency Garden, Wonder Villa and the village next to Regency Garden come to one constituency. Lai Yue Estate, 翠搖苑and 嘉翠苑 come to one constituency. I believe there will be higher efficiency than before when providing community services.

Should you have any question please feel free to contact me on [redacted].

Thank you for your attention.

Kind regards
Chrissy Tai

26/7/2018

5202(1)

5202(2)

5203



5204

葵青區議員 黃潤達 電話：[redacted] 地址：[redacted]

葵青區議員 梁錦威 電話：[redacted]

P.001

呼籲 葵涌邨居民一齊反對 2019區議會選舉劃界建議

日期：2018年8月1日 方法一：去公眾諮詢大會抗議
時間：晚上7時至9時30分
集合：晚上6時在曉葵樓地下（黃潤達議員辦事處）
地點：九龍深水埗荔枝角道863號（荔枝角社區會堂）

方法二：書面意見比選舉管理委員會 傳真至 25111682 電郵至 eacenq@reo.gov.hk

致選舉管理委員會主席馮驊：

本人是葵涌邨居民梁顯娥，就選舉管理委員會於7月23日發出《二〇一九年區議會一般選舉452個擬議選區的分界及名稱的臨時建議》，本人表示強烈反對，認爲建議忽視了選區特性、無視選民意願，而且重新劃界明顯是針對現任民主派區議員，非常不公。

我們強烈反對有關建議！

以本人身處的葵涌邨選區爲例，反對原因如下：

1.破壞社區完整性及獨特性。
2.選區特性複雜，令議員及居民難於處理社區問題。
3.選區經常變動，選民亦難以適應及尋求議員協助。

本人要求選舉管理委員會撤回《二〇一九年區議會一般選舉452個擬議選區的分界及名稱的臨時建議》，並在重新劃界時優先考慮獨特性及地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵

亦可郵遞至香港灣仔港灣道25號海港中心10樓選舉管理委員會秘書處

31-JUL-2018 11:15

5205

葵青區議員 黃潤達 電話：[redacted]

葵青區議員 梁錦威 電話：[redacted]

P.001

呼籲 葵涌邨居民一齊反對 2019區議會選舉劃界建議

日期：2018年8月1日 方法一：去公眾諮詢大會抗議
時間：晚上7時至9時30分
集合：晚上6時在曉葵樓地下（黃潤達議員辦事處）
地點：九龍深水埗荔枝角道863號（荔枝角社區會堂）

方法二：書面意見比選舉管理委員會 傳真至 25111682 電郵至 eacenq@reo.gov.hk

致選舉管理委員會主席馮驊：

本人是葵涌邨居民[redacted]，就選舉管理委員會於7月23日發出《二〇一九年區議會一般選舉452個擬議選區的分界及名稱的臨時建議》，本人表示強烈反對，認爲建議忽視了選區特性、無視選民意願，而且重新劃界明顯是針對現任民主派區議員，非常不公。

我們強烈反對有關建議！

以本人身處的葵涌邨選區爲例，反對原因如下：

1.破壞社區完整性及獨特性。
2.選區特性複雜，令議員及居民難於處理社區問題。
3.選區經常變動，選民亦難以適應及尋求議員協助。

本人要求選舉管理委員會撤回《二〇一九年區議會一般選舉452個擬議選區的分界及名稱的臨時建議》，並在重新劃界時優先考慮獨特性及地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵

亦可郵遞至香港灣仔港灣道25號海港中心10樓選舉管理委員會秘書處

31-JUL-2018 11:16

5206

葵青區議員 黃潤達 電話：[redacted] 地址：[redacted]

葵青區議員 梁錦威 電話：[redacted]

P.001

呼籲 葵涌邨居民一齊反對 2019區議會選舉劃界建議

日期：2018年8月1日 方法一：去公眾諮詢大會抗議
時間：晚上7時至9時30分
集合：晚上6時在曉葵樓地下（黃潤達議員辦事處）
地點：九龍深水埗荔枝角道863號（荔枝角社區會堂）

方法二：書面意見比選舉管理委員會 傳真至 25111682 電郵至 eacenq@reo.gov.hk

致選舉管理委員會主席馮驊：

本人是葵涌邨居民[redacted]，就選舉管理委員會於7月23日發出《二〇一九年區議會一般選舉452個擬議選區的分界及名稱的臨時建議》，本人表示強烈反對，認爲建議忽視了選區特性、無視選民意願，而且重新劃界明顯是針對現任民主派區議員，非常不公。

我們強烈反對有關建議！

以本人身處的葵涌邨選區爲例，反對原因如下：

1.破壞社區完整性及獨特性。
2.選區特性複雜，令議員及居民難於處理社區問題。
3.選區經常變動，選民亦難以適應及尋求議員協助。

本人要求選舉管理委員會撤回《二〇一九年區議會一般選舉452個擬議選區的分界及名稱的臨時建議》，並在重新劃界時優先考慮獨特性及地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵

亦可郵遞至香港灣仔港灣道25號海港中心10樓選舉管理委員會秘書處

01-AUG-2018 09:30

5207(1)

葵涌邨居民權益關注組

聯絡地址：[redacted] 電話：[redacted] 傳真：[redacted] 電郵：[redacted]

敬啓者：

對二零一九年區議會選舉選區分界意見：
本會「強烈反對」將葵涌邨葵青、夏葵、秋葵繼續劃入葵興選區(S01)

葵涌邨居民權益關注組(下稱本會)始自關注本邨重建問題開始成立，至今超過28年，並且在一九九九年正式註冊，由本邨熱心公益、積極參與社區的居民所組成。成立的目的是希望透過團結居民、做到下情上達，同時監督政府施政，積極表達意見的橋樑角色。

葵涌邨四萬居民任人宰割 十二年內遭三次重劃選區

葵涌邨自上世紀九十年代開始進行屋邨重建，人口出現很大的變化。葵涌邨(一)期包括春葵、夏葵、秋葵及茵葵樓落成較早，由於人口較少，未能成爲一個獨立選區。選舉管理委員會曾在2007年區議會選區劃界中，將葵涌邨(一)期四座公屋，與相鄰的葵興邨及光輝圍合併爲一個選區(S01)。爲此，本會當時已去信選委會，表示作爲過渡安排可以理解，但要求在葵涌邨完成重建入伙後，按照葵涌邨的社區需要及獨特性，將葵涌邨重新劃爲一至兩個選區。

隨著葵涌邨完成重建，截至2010年底，本邨16座公屋及2座政府宿舍入伙後，人口已達38,200人。2010年12月31日，本會曾去信選管會，表達不同意「2011年區議會選舉選區分界建議將葵涌邨春葵、夏葵、秋葵及茵葵樓繼續劃入葵興選區」(見附件一)。到了2015年，選管會重訂區議會選舉選區分界時，終於將葵涌邨合併爲兩個選區，對強烈的民意總算有了個交待。但未及四年，選管會在剛發表的「2019年區議會一般選舉452個擬議選區的分界及名稱的臨時建議」中，在葵涌邨「沒有」任何社區及人口重大改變下，忽然提出重訂選區分界，將春、夏、秋及葵馥苑合共5座大廈劃走，再次併入葵興選區(S01)，令葵涌邨又再被分割爲三個選區，引起本邨居民對選管會強烈不滿！

葵涌邨劃爲兩區合乎人口標準 葵興社區多元化足以自成一格

根據選管會就2019年區議會一般選舉在18個地區內共452個選區所作出的臨時建議摘要提供的人口數字，建議中的葵涌邨南選區(S06)6座大廈（包括：芊葵、芷葵、翠葵、碧葵、絲葵及茵葵樓），人口共有14435人。但根據本會今年向房署查詢舊入口資料顯示，該6座大廈只有11300人，兩者相差3100人之多。而現時被選管局建議剔走的春葵、夏葵、秋葵三座公屋及葵馥苑兩座宿舍，人口約共8,400人。姑無論葵涌邨南選區的人口，是否受房署去年修訂後的「富戶政策」影響而下降，但我們有理由相信，房署作爲該住宅單位的業主及管理者，其人口資料登記紀錄應比選管局更精確。換言之，未分拆前的葵涌邨南選區(S06)11座大廈中，總人口約共19700人，沒有超出現行法定的標準人口基數20749人的上限。

至於「葵興選區」(S01)內包括的葵興邨、光輝圍及葵俊苑，當中光輝圍剛房林立，選管會依據中期人口普查的數字，有機會嚴重低估區內人口數量。就算扣除羅德由葵涌邨劃出5座大廈中約8400人口，仍有人口逾12100人，比重訂選界後的「葵涌邨南」11300人多出800人，更接近標準人口基數12449人的下限，故選管會實在沒有必要「騎樓底搵遮」(多此一舉)，重劃葵涌邨南的選區分界。

5207(2)

5208(1)

選區分界選管會只顧砌數　沒有顧及葵涌邨社區特性

根據選舉管理委員會指出：*按法例的規定，選管會在調整選區分界時，除了考慮預計人口外，亦須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素。選管會不能只硬性依從法例許可幅度的要求，而不顧及上述的其他法定因素。因此，如有需要，爲顧及這些法定因素，選管會會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度。*

葵涌邨不論在從歷史發展、地理位置、社區需要上都息息相關、血肉相連，儼如一個「獨立社區」。2008 年，本邨居民認爲康文署將新落成的公園命名爲「大窩口道公園」不能反映本邨的社區特徵，本會曾聯同各座互委會及居民群起反對，向特區政府及在葵青區議會爭取重新命名，康民署亦不敢忽逆民意，同意按葵涌邨居民的意願，將已經刊憲的新公園重新命名爲「葵涌新區公園」，反映葵涌邨的社區獨突性及居民強烈的社區意識。此外，葵涌邨在地理上雖然毗鄰葵興邨及光輝圍，但葵涌邨地勢較高，兩區居民平日依靠一條俗稱「百步梯」的通道步行上落。事實上，自 2007 年重劃選區後，相關區議員首選留駐葵興邨，甚少到本邨接見居民，情況在 2015 年將葵涌邨劃分爲兩個選區後，情況始有改善。

報複反正民意漠視　劃區不宜倒行逆施

選管會在本邨社區及人口沒有出現重大改變的情況下，建議在 2019 年將葵涌邨「再次」一分爲三，把葵涌邨 5 座大廈劃入名爲「葵興」(S01)的選區，有關選區的名稱既不能反映選民所屬的社區，亦沒有考慮到葵涌邨社區的原整性。事實證明，2007 年及 2011 年的選區劃界不得人心，選管會才於 2015 年撥亂反正，將葵涌邨劃作兩比兩個選區！此舉既顧及社區的完整性及獨突性，本邨居民日後亦無需透過三名議員反映整體社區的需要。因此，本會及本邨居民對選管會今次的倒退建議表示「不能理解」及「不能接受」！

基於以上種種理由，本會及本邨居民希望選管會能作通盤考慮，不要作出「不合情理」、「歪離民意」的錯誤決定，令葵涌邨「再一次」陷入三分天下的局面。本會重申下列要求：

1) 強烈反對將葵涌邨春葵、夏葵、秋葵及葵馥苑劃入「葵興」選區(S01)；
2) 強烈要求將葵涌邨春葵、夏葵、秋葵及葵馥苑保留在「葵涌邨南」選區(S06)。

如蒙　示覆，請寄[redacted]，或電[redacted]本會秘書張先生洽（傳真：[redacted]）。有勞之處，不勝銘感。

此致
香港特別行政區政府
選舉管理委員會

葵涌邨居民權益關注組
（秘書　張繼炳　謹啓）

二零一八年七月三十一日

強烈反對劃走葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓及葵馥苑至葵興（S01）選區
要求保留社區完整性，維持保留在葵涌邨南（S06）選區

本人認為選舉事務處基於錯誤預計S06之人口及忽視社區完整性及其獨特性，反對2019年區議會選舉劃界建議，要求維持原有葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓及葵馥苑維持在葵涌邨南（S 06）選區。

選管會選舉劃界有三個基本原則，分別為
1. 每區人口數目"標準人口基數"(2019年區議會人口基數為16599人)
2. 每區不可偏離基數上下限各25%（即12450人至20749人）
3. 社區獨特性及地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵（例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展等）

錯誤計算人口 劃錯選區分錯界

2019年區議會選舉選區劃界資料顯示，葵涌邨南(S06)選區，預計人口為14435人，為選區基準人口-13.04%。

但由本人向房屋署查詢現時居住人口數目，葵涌邨南（S06）選區6座樓宇，人口分別為11272人，比預計人口少3163人，若以11272人為切實人口數目，將距離基準人口-32%：

樓宇名稱	現時居住人口
茵葵樓	265人
芊葵樓	1922人
芷葵樓	2363人
翠葵樓	1955人
碧葵樓	1965人
綠葵樓	2802人
總人口	11272人

5208(2)

5208(3)

根據2011年區議會選區劃界，當時為葵涌邨芊、芷、翠、碧、綠葵及葵馥苑，7樓樓宇也只是14636人，現時2019年區議會劃界人口估算，少了兩座葵馥苑近2240人，多了一座茵葵樓265人，但推算人口數目竟然只少了201人？當中如何多出近2000人？

本人認為選舉事務處錯誤估計有關人口，按照本人計算，原有選區葵涌邨南各座人口數目（截止2018年7月24日資料），原有11座人口：

樓宇名稱	現時居住人口
葵涌邨--茵葵樓	265人
葵涌邨--芊葵樓	1922人
葵涌邨--芷葵樓	2363人
葵涌邨--翠葵樓	1955人
葵涌邨--碧葵樓	1965人
葵涌邨--綠葵樓	2802人
葵涌邨--春葵樓	2026人
葵涌邨--夏葵樓	2011人
葵涌邨--秋葵樓	2146人
葵馥苑（安葵閣、樂葵閣）#	2240人
總人口	17455人

#葵馥苑人口推算：　800個單位X 2.8（香港家庭平均人口數目）=2240人

原有2015年葵涌邨南（S06）實際總人口數目：19495人，為人口基準16599人+18.65% 符合有關法律規定，根本無需作出任何更改或轉變！

公營房屋「隱藏」居民甚少，因此葵涌邨南人口相對確為確切！相反，私人樓宇，特別是舊樓及工廠大廈剛房情況嚴重，葵興光輝圍的人口可能被低估。因此，將葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓及葵馥苑還原至葵涌邨南(S06)是為合理做法！

忽視社區完整性及獨特性

選管會的建議則多只注重頭兩項的原則，而往往忽略社區獨特性及地方聯繫的情況，以本人之選區劃界建議，本人2015年區議會選舉劃界為葵涌邨南（S06），包括了葵涌邨重建第一期、三、四及紀律部隊宿舍葵馥苑。過去3年來，此區人口並無大幅增減，但2019年區議會新劃界建議，則將此選區劃走了5樓座樓宇，人數少了7至8千人予葵興選區，此建議有三大問題：

1. 破壞社區完整性及獨特性。

葵涌邨春葵樓、夏葵樓、秋葵樓及葵馥苑五座樓宇劃去予葵興選區，這五座樓的居民，無論居住的空間地理位置、生活配套，如商場、街市、休憩設施、停車場，以及社會服務機構，都是與葵涌邨其他樓宇居民共用，社區問題及改善均有其一致性，但選舉委員會就將劃至葵興選區，不單地理位置隔了一個山頭，更破壞選舉的完整性和獨特性，以及居民對社區的歸屬感。

2. 選區特性複雜，令議員及居民難於解決社區問題。

葵興區內已有公屋、租者置其屋、居屋、舊式唐樓、私人樓宇，各階層利益已分歧，再加上不同屋邨（葵涌邨）及紀律部隊宿舍，該區議員難於服務不同群體的居民及處理不同利益的意見。若依照選舉委員會建議落實的話，葵涌邨由兩個區議會選舉，變成三個，將來若屋邨內有三位議員作為議員代表，可能對社區問題各位有立場和意見，難於尋求共識。

3. 選區經常變動，選民亦難以適應及尋求議員協助。

葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓多屆區議會均被編配去不同的選區，由一九九九年開始，先被編與光輝圍同一選區，二零零七年又被劃去葵興選區，二零一五年終於與葵涌邨其他葵涌邨三、四期樓宇同歸於一個選區，當居民習慣了一個選區及新區議員不久，又要改劃至與葵興選區，令葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓一些年長的居民難於適應及尋求協助。

選區人口超過基準並非硬道理 保留社區完整性更重要

過去多年選管會劃界，由1999年開始，每屆區議會劃界均出現選區超過或低於基準人口25%，由1999年至2015年，每年分別有14-27個選區超過有關標準，2019年也有11個選區是類似情況。

5208(4)

2007年，當年S05葵涌邨選區，高於人口基準利＋49.33%，只僅次於M07十八鄉北（＋49.35%）排名第二位，目的也是保留社區完整性，所以為了保留社區完整性，即使用選舉事務處的標準，本人也認為應保保留在春、夏、秋葵樓及葵馥苑於葵涌南選區（S06），以維持社區的完整性及獨特性！

區議會選舉年份	偏離人口基數多於25%選區數目	高於人口基數百份比最嚴重的選區	低於人口基數百份比最嚴重的選區
1999年	14個	R03 禾輋 (+34.98%)	P19 西貢北 (-60.93%)
2003年	27個	T03 東涌新市鎮 (+41.93%)	T06 南丫及蒲台 5, (-67.62%)
2007年	17個	M07 十八鄉北 (+49.35%)	T08 南丫及蒲台 (-67.69%)
2011年	26個	J11 寶達 (+43.28%)	T08 南丫及蒲台. (-64.73%)
2015年	24個	J25 麗港城 (+45%)	T08 南丫及蒲台 (-63.55%)
2019年（臨時建議）	11個（臨時建議）	T01 大嶼山 (+48.71%)	T08 南丫及蒲台 (-60.83%)

具體建議

1. 保留在春、夏、秋葵樓及葵馥苑於葵涌南選區（S06），以維持社區的完整性及獨特性！

葵青區議員黃潤達
2018年7月29日

5208(5)

香港房屋委員會
Hong Kong Housing Authority

本函檔號 Our Ref.: [redacted]
來函檔號 Your Ref.:
圖文傳真 Fax.: [redacted]
電話 Tel.: [redacted]
日期 Date:

[redacted]
葵青區議員[redacted]辦事處
黃潤達議員

黃議員：

查詢春、夏、秋、茵葵樓各座現時的住戶人數

你於2018年7月26日去信本處有關上述標題事宜，現謹覆如下：

截至2018年7月30日為止，上述公屋樓宇的認可住戶總人數為6,548人，分佈如下：

春葵樓： 2,027人
夏葵樓： 2,112人
秋葵樓： 2,145人
茵葵樓： 264人

如有任何查詢，請於辦公時間內致電[redacted]與本處職員李先生聯絡。

房屋事務經理/葵涌(一)
([redacted]代行)

2018年7月31日

房屋署葵青邨辦事處(一) Housing Department Kwai Chung Estate Office (1)
新界葵涌葵青邨夏葵樓地下 Ground Floor, Ha Kwai House, Kwai Chung Estate, Kwai Chung, New Territories.
HD600 互聯網網址 Internet Homepage Address: http://www.housingauthority.gov.hk

5208(6)

香港房屋委員會
Hong Kong Housing Authority

本函編號：[redacted]
來函編號：
圖文傳真：[redacted]
電話：[redacted]

[redacted]
葵青區議員[redacted]辦事處
黃潤達議員

黃議員：

有關查詢葵涌邨第三及第四期各座樓宇現時的住戶人數

你在2018年7月26日就上述事宜的來信已收悉，截至2018年6月，葵涌邨第三及四期認可戶籍人士共有10,997人，詳細資料如下：

	認可戶籍人士數目
芷葵樓	2,356
芊葵樓	1,922
翠葵樓	1,952
碧葵樓	1,961
綠葵樓	2,806

謝謝你對本邨事務的關注。

如有查詢，請致電[redacted]與本處副房屋事務經理黃先生聯絡。

房屋事務經理/葵涌二
[redacted]

2018年7月31日

房屋署葵涌邨辦事處(二) Housing Department Kwai Chung Estate Office (2)
HD600 新界葵涌葵青邨綠葵樓第四層平台 Podium Level 4, Luk Kwai House, Kwai Chung Estate, Kwai Chung, New Territories.

5208(7)

對選區劃界的意見書 16/08/2018 16:46
From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk
1 Attachment
2018.08.01 反對劃走至葵興 保留葵涌邨南完整性 (修訂數字版本) .docx

致 選舉事務處主席 馮驊法官：

強烈反對劃走葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓及葵馥苑至葵興（S01）選區
要求保留社區完整性，維持保留在葵涌邨南（S06）選區
建議書

本人認為選舉事務處基於錯誤預計S06之人口及忽視社區完整性及其獨特性，反對2019年區議會選舉劃界建議，要求維持原有葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓及葵馥苑維持在葵涌邨南（S06）選區。

選管會選舉劃界有三個基本原則，分別為

1. 每區人口數目"標準人口基數"（2019年區議會人口基數為16599人）
2. 每區不可偏離基數上下限各25%（即12450人至20749人）
3. 社區獨特性及地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵（例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展等）

錯誤計算人口 劃錯選區分錯界

2019年區議會選舉選區劃界資料顯示，葵涌邨南（S06）選區，預計人口為14435人，為選區基準人口-13.04%。

但由本人向房屋署查詢現時居住人口數目，葵涌邨南（S06）選區6座樓宇，人口分別為11272人，比預計人口少3163人，若以11272人為切實人口數目，將距離基準人口-32%：

樓宇名稱	現時居住人口
茵葵樓	264人
芊葵樓	1922人
芷葵樓	2356人
翠葵樓	1952人
碧葵樓	1961人
綠葵樓	2806人
總人口	11261人

5208(8)

根據2011年區議會選區劃界，當時為葵涌邨芊、芷、翠、碧、綠葵及葵馥苑，7樓樓宇也只是14636人，現時2019年區議會劃界人口估算，少了兩座葵馥苑近2240人，多了一座茵葵樓264人，但推算人口數目竟然只少了202人？當中如何多出近2000人？

本人認為選舉事務處錯誤估計有關人口，按照本人計算，原有選區葵涌邨南各座人口數目（截止2018年7月24日資料），原有11座人口：

樓宇名稱	現時居住人口
葵涌邨-茵葵樓	264人
葵涌邨-芊葵樓	1922人
葵涌邨-芷葵樓	2356人
葵涌邨-翠葵樓	1952人
葵涌邨-碧葵樓	1961人
葵涌邨-綠葵樓	2806人
葵涌邨-春葵樓	2027人
葵涌邨-夏葵樓	2012人
葵涌邨-秋葵樓	2146人
葵馥（安葵閣、樂葵閣）#	2240人
總人口	19686人

#葵馥苑人口推算：800個單位X 2.8（香港家庭平均人口數目）＝2240人

原有2015年葵涌邨南（S06）實際總人口數目：19686人，為人口基準16599人＋18.60% 符合有關法律規定，根本無需作出任何更改或轉變！

公營房屋「隱藏」居民甚少，因此葵涌邨南人口相對確為確切！相反，私人樓宇，特別是舊樓及工廠大廈剛房情況嚴重，葵興光輝圍的人口可能被低估。因此，將葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓及葵馥苑還原至葵涌邨南(S06)是為合理做法！

忽視社區完整性及獨特性

選管會的建議則多只注重頭兩項的原則，而往往忽略社區獨特性及地方聯繫的情況，以本人之選區劃界建議，本人2015年區議會選舉劃界為葵涌邨南（S06），包括了葵涌邨重建第一期、三、四及紀律部隊宿舍葵馥苑。過去3年來，此區人口並無大幅增減，但2019年區議會新劃界建議，則將此選區劃走了5樓座樓宇，人數少了7至8千人予葵興選區，此建議有三大問題：

1. 破壞社區完整性及獨特性。

葵涌邨春葵樓、夏葵樓、秋葵樓及葵馥苑五座樓宇劃去予葵興選區，這五座樓的居民，無論居住的空間地理位置、生活配套，如商場、街市、休憩設施、停車場，以及社會服務機構，都是與葵涌邨其他樓宇居民共用，社區問題及改善均有其一致

16/8/2018

5208(9)

性，但選舉委員會就將劃至葵興選區，不單地理位置隔了一個山頭，更破壞選舉的完整性和獨特性，以及居民對社區的歸屬感。

2. 選區特性複雜，令議員及居民難於解決社區問題。

葵興區內已有公屋、租者置其屋、居屋、舊式唐樓、私人樓宇，各階層利益已分歧，再加上不同屋邨（葵涌邨）及紀律部隊宿舍，該區議員難於服務不同群體的居民及處理不同利益的意見。若依照選舉委員會建議落實的話，葵涌邨由兩個區議會選舉，變成三個，將來若屋邨內有三位議員作為議員代表，可能對社區問題各位有立場和意見，難於尋求共識。

3. 選區經常變動，選民亦難以適應及尋求議員協助。

葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓多屆區議會均被編配去不同的選區，由一九九九年開始，先被編與光輝圍同一選區，二零零七年又被劃去葵興選區，二零一五年終於與葵涌邨其他葵涌邨三、四期樓宇同歸於一個選區，當居民習慣了一個選區及新區議員不久，又要改劃至與葵興選區，令葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓一些年長的居民難於適應及尋求協助。

選區人口超逾基準並非硬道理 保留社區完整性更重要

過去多年選管會劃界，由1999年開始，每屆區議會劃界均出現選區超逾或低於基準人口25%，由1999年至2015年，每年分別有14-27個選區超過有關標準，2019年也有11個選區是類似情況。

2007年，當年S05葵涌邨選區，高於人口基準＋49.33%，只僅次於M07十八鄉北（＋49.35%）排名第二位，目的也是保留社區完整性，所以為了保留社區完整性，即使用選舉事務處的標準，本人也認為應保留在春、夏、秋葵樓及葵馥苑於葵涌南選區（**S06**），以維持社區的完整性及獨特性！

區議會選舉年份	偏離人口基數多於25%選區數目	高於人口基數百份比最嚴重的選區	低於人口基數百份比最嚴重的選區
1999年	14個	R03 禾輋（＋34.98%）	P19西貢北（-60.93%）
2003年	27個	T03 東涌新市鎮 (+41.93%)	T06 南丫及蒲台 5, (-67.62%)
2007年	17個	M07十八鄉北（＋49.35%）	T08南Y及蒲台（-67.69%）
2011年	26個	J11 寶達 (+43.28%)	T08 南丫及蒲台. (-64.73%)
2015年	24個	J25竷港城 （＋45%）	T08南Y及蒲台 （-63.55%）
2019年	11個	T01大嶼山 （＋48.71%）	T08南Y及蒲台（-

16/8/2018

5208(10)

（臨時建議）	（臨時建議）		60.83%）

具體建議

1. 保留在春、夏、秋葵樓及葵馥苑於葵涌南選區（S06），以維持社區的完整性及獨特性！

葵青區議員黃潤達

2018年8月16日

--

黃潤達(阿達)
葵青區議員 / 註冊社工
黃潤達、梁錦威區員辦事處
地址：[redacted]
電話：[redacted] 傳真：[redacted]
電郵[redacted]

5208(11)

強烈反對劃走葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓及葵馥苑至葵興（S01）選區
要求保留社區完整性，維持保留在葵涌邨南（S06）選區
建議書

本人認為選舉事務處基於錯誤預計S06之人口及忽視社區完整性及其獨特性，反對2019年區議會選舉劃界建議，要求維持原有葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓及葵馥苑維持在葵涌邨南（S 06）選區。

選管會選舉劃界有三個基本原則，分別為

1. 每區人口數目"標準人口基數"(2019年區議會人口基數為16599人）
2. 每區不可偏離基數上下限各25%（即12450人至20749人）
3. 社區獨特性及地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵（例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展等）

錯誤計算人口 劃錯選區分錯界

2019年區議會選舉選區劃界資料顯示，葵涌邨南(S06)選區，預計人口為14435人，為選區基準人口-13.04%。

但由本人向房屋署查詢現時居住人口數目，葵涌邨南（S06）選區6座樓宇，人口分別為11272人，比預計人口少3163人，若以11272人為切實人口數目，將距離基準人口-32%：

樓宇名稱	現時居住人口
茵葵樓	264人
芊葵樓	1922人
芷葵樓	2356人
翠葵樓	1952人
碧葵樓	1961人
綠葵樓	2806人
總人口	11261人

16/8/2018

5208(12)

根據2011年區議會選區劃界，當時為葵涌邨芊、芷、翠、碧、綠葵及葵馥苑，7樓樓宇也只是14636人，現時2019年區議會劃界人口估算，少了兩座葵馥苑近2240人，多了一座茵葵樓264人，但推算人口數目竟然只少了202人？當中如何多出近2000人？

本人認為選舉事務處錯誤估計有關人口，按照本人計算，原有選區葵涌邨南各座人口數目（截止2018年7月24日資料），原有11座人口：

樓宇名稱	現時居住人口
葵涌邨-茵葵樓	264人
葵涌邨-芊葵樓	1922人
葵涌邨-芷葵樓	2356人
葵涌邨-翠葵樓	1952人
葵涌邨-碧葵樓	1961人
葵涌邨-綠葵樓	2806人
葵涌邨-春葵樓	2027人
葵涌邨-夏葵樓	2012人
葵涌邨-秋葵樓	2146人
葵馥苑（安葵閣、樂葵閣）#	2240人
總人口	19686人

#葵馥苑人口推算： 800個單位X 2.8（香港家庭平均人口數目）＝2240人

原有2015年葵涌邨南（S06）實際總人口數目：19686人，為人口基準16599人＋18.60% 符合有關法律規定，根本無需作出任何更改或轉變！

公營房屋「矇查」居民甚少，因此葵涌邨南人口相對確為確切！相反，私人樓宇，特別是舊樓及工廈大廈劏房情況嚴重，葵興光輝圍的人口可能被低估。因此，將葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓及葵馥苑還原至葵涌邨南(S06)是為合理做法！

忽視社區完整性及獨特性

5208(13)

選管會的建議則多只注重頭兩項的原則，而往往忽略社區獨特性及地方聯繫的情況，以本人之選區劃界建議，本人2015年區議會選舉劃界為葵涌邨南（S06），包括了葵涌邨重建第一期、三、四及紀律部隊宿舍葵馥苑。過去3年來，此區人口並無大幅增減，但2019年區議會新劃界建議，則將此選區劃走了5樓座樓宇，人數少了7至8千人予葵興選區，此建議有三大問題：

1. 破壞社區完整性及獨特性。

葵涌邨春葵樓、夏葵樓、秋葵樓及葵馥苑五座樓宇劃去予葵興選區，這五座樓的居民，無論居住的空間地理位置、生活配套，如商場、街市、休憩設施、停車場，以及社會服務機構，都是與葵涌邨其他樓宇居民共用，社區問題及改善均有其一致性，但選舉委員會就將劃至葵興選區，不單地理位置隔了一個山頭，更破壞選舉的完整性和獨特性，以及居民對社區的歸屬感。

2. 選區特性複雜，令議員及居民難於解決社區問題。

葵興區內已有公屋、租者置其屋、居屋、舊式唐樓、私人樓宇，各階層利益已分歧，再加上不同屋邨（葵涌邨）及紀律部隊宿舍，該區議員難於服務不同群體的居民及處理不同利益的意見。若依照選舉委員會建議落實的話，葵涌邨由兩個區議會選舉，變成三個，將來若屋邨內有三位議員作為議員代表，可能對社區問題各位有立場和意見，難於尋求共識。

3. 選區經常變動，選民亦難以適應及尋求議員協助。

葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓多屆區議會均被編配去不同的選區，由一九九九年開始，先被納與光輝圍同一選區，二零零七年又被劃去葵興選區，二零一五年終於與葵涌邨其他葵涌邨三、四期樓宇同歸於一個選區，當居民習慣了一個選區及新區議員不久，又要改劃至與葵興選區，令葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓一些年長的居民難於適應及尋求協助。

選區人口超逸基準並非硬道理 保留社區完整性更重要

過去多年選管會劃界， 由1999年開始，每屆區議會劃界均出現選區超逸或低於基準人口25%，由1999年至2015年，每年分別有14-27個選區超過有關標準，2019年也有11個選區是類似情況。

5208(14)

2007年，當年S05葵涌邨選區，高於人口基準＋49.33%，只僅次於M07十八鄉北(＋49.35%）排名第二位，目的也是保留社區完整性，所以為了保留社區完整性，即使用選舉事務處的標準，本人也認為應保留在春、夏、秋葵樓及葵馥苑於葵涌南選區（S06），以維持社區的完整性及獨特性！

區議會選舉年份	偏離人口基數多於25%選區數目	高於人口基數百份比最嚴重的選區	低於人口基數百份比最嚴重的選區
1999 年	14 個	R03 禾輋（＋34.98%）	P19 西貢北（-60.93%）
2003 年	27 個	T03 東涌新市鎮 (+41.93%)	T06 南丫及蒲台 5, (-67.62%)
2007 年	17 個	M07 十八鄉北（＋49.35%）	T08 南丫及蒲台（-67.69%）
2011 年	26 個	J11 寶達 (+43.28%)	T08 南丫及蒲台. (-64.73%)
2015 年	24 個	J25 麗港城（＋45%）	T08 南丫及蒲台（-63.55%）
2019 年（臨時建議）	11 個（臨時建議）	T01 大嶼山（＋48.71%）	T08 南丫及蒲台（-60.83%）

具體建議

1. 保留在春、夏、秋葵樓及葵馥苑於葵涌南選區（S06），以維持社區的完整性及獨特性！

葵青區議員黃潤達
2018年8月1日

5209(1)

反對 2019區議會「劃走」春夏秋葵及葵馥苑

聯署反對選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會選舉，葵涌選區劃界的建議，原因如下：

1. **破壞社區完整性。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋及葵馥苑與生活配套，無論交通、屋邨管理、商場、街市和停車場都是共用，社區問題及改善均有其一致性，將春、夏、秋葵及葵馥苑劃走至葵興，破壞社區內協調處理社區問題的情況。
2. **選區二變三，議員易分歧。**葵涌邨由兩個區，變成三個區，將來若三位議員屬不同政黨，可能出現意見分歧，難於尋求共識。
3. 葵興區內本來已有公屋、租者置其屋、舊式唐樓、私人樓宇，各階層利益已分歧，如果再加上不同屋邨及紀律部隊宿舍，該區議員難於服務不同群體的居民及處理不同利益的意見。
4. **選區多次變動，居民無所適從。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓多屆區議會均被編配去不同的選區，由 1999 年開始，先被編與光輝圍同一選區；2007 年又被劃去葵興選區；2015 年終於與葵涌邨其他樓宇同歸於一個選區，當居民習慣了一個選區及新區議員，現在又要改劃至與葵興同一選區，令春夏秋葵樓一些年長的居民難於適應及尋求協助。

簽名	住址	簽名	住址
黃蕙青		曾秀美	[redacted]
張偉		鈕春梅	
十		陸寶英	
霍前英		馮浩彥	
十		鍾珍美	
好			接

5209(2)

反對 2019區議會「劃走」春夏秋葵及葵馥苑

聯署反對選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會選舉，葵涌選區劃界的建議，原因如下：

1. **破壞社區完整性。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋及葵馥苑與生活配套，無論交通、屋邨管理、商場、街市和停車場都是共用，社區問題及改善均有其一致性，將春、夏、秋葵及葵馥苑劃走至葵興，破壞社區內協調處理社區問題的情況。
2. **選區二變三，議員易分歧。**葵涌邨由兩個區，變成三個區，將來若三位議員屬不同政黨，可能出現意見分歧，難於尋求共識。
3. 葵興區內本來已有公屋、租者置其屋、舊式唐樓、私人樓宇，各階層利益已分歧，如果再加上不同屋邨及紀律部隊宿舍，該區議員難於服務不同群體的居民及處理不同利益的意見。
4. **選區多次變動，居民無所適從。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓多屆區議會均被編配去不同的選區，由 1999 年開始，先被編與光輝圍同一選區；2007 年又被劃去葵興選區；2015 年終於與葵涌邨其他樓宇同歸於一個選區，當居民習慣了一個選區及新區議員，現在又要改劃至與葵興同一選區，令春夏秋葵樓一些年長的居民難於適應及尋求協助。

簽名	住址	簽名	住址
李[illegible]	[redacted]		
呂女合			
郭永德			
[illegible]			
郭[illegible]	[redacted]		

5209(3)

反對 2019區議會「劃走」春夏秋葵及葵馥苑

聯署反對選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會選舉，葵涌選區劃界的建議，原因如下：

1. **破壞社區完整性。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋及葵馥苑與生活配套，無論交通、屋邨管理、商場、街市和停車場都是共用，社區問題及改善均有其一致性，將春、夏、秋葵及葵馥苑劃走至葵興，破壞社區內協調處理社區問題的情況。
2. **選區二變三，議員易分歧。**葵涌邨由兩個區，變成三個區，將來若三位議員屬不同政黨，可能出現意見分歧，難於尋求共識。
3. 葵興區內本來已有公屋、租者置其屋、舊式唐樓、私人樓宇，各階層利益已分歧，如果再加上不同屋邨及紀律部隊宿舍，該區議員難於服務不同群體的居民及處理不同利益的意見。
4. **選區多次變動，居民無所適從。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓多屆區議會均被編配去不同的選區，由 1999 年開始，先被編與光輝圍同一選區；2007 年又被劃去葵興選區；2015 年終於與葵涌邨其他樓宇同歸於一個選區，當居民習慣了一個選區及新區議員，現在又要改劃至與葵興同一選區，令春夏秋葵樓一些年長的居民難於適應及尋求協助。

簽名	住址	簽名	住址
[illegible]			
[illegible]			
黃[illegible]			
[illegible]			
[illegible]			
[illegible]			

5209(4)

反對 2019區議會「劃走」春夏秋葵及葵馥苑

聯署反對選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會選舉，葵涌選區劃界的建議，原因如下：

1. **破壞社區完整性。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋及葵馥苑與生活配套，無論交通、屋邨管理、商場、街市和停車場都是共用，社區問題及改善均有其一致性，將春、夏、秋葵及葵馥苑劃走至葵興，破壞社區內協調處理社區問題的情況。
2. **選區二變三，議員易分歧。**葵涌邨由兩個區，變成三個區，將來若三位議員屬不同政黨，可能出現意見分歧，難於尋求共識。
3. 葵興區內本來已有公屋、租者置其屋、舊式唐樓、私人樓宇，各階層利益已分歧，如果再加上不同屋邨及紀律部隊宿舍，該區議員難於服務不同群體的居民及處理不同利益的意見。
4. **選區多次變動，居民無所適從。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓多屆區議會均被編配去不同的選區，由 1999 年開始，先被編與光輝圍同一選區；2007 年又被劃去葵興選區；2015 年終於與葵涌邨其他樓宇同歸於一個選區，當居民習慣了一個選區及新區議員，現在又要改劃至與葵興同一選區，令春夏秋葵樓一些年長的居民難於適應及尋求協助。

簽名	住址	簽名	住址
王芳芳	[redacted]	曾燕东	[redacted]
陳錦賢	[redacted]	張秀英	[redacted]
丁玉	[redacted]	劳保发	[redacted]
鄺伴	[redacted]		
陳[illegible]喜	[redacted]		
陳燕女子	[redacted]		

5209(5)

反對 2019區議會「劃走」春夏秋葵及葵馥苑

聯署反對選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會選舉，葵涌選區劃界的建議，原因如下：

1. **破壞社區完整性。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋及葵馥苑與生活配套，無論交通、屋邨管理、商場、街市和停車場都是共用，社區問題及改善均有其一致性，將春、夏、秋葵及葵馥苑劃走至葵興，破壞社區內協調處理社區問題的情況。
2. **選區二變三，議員易分歧。**葵涌邨由兩個區，變成三個區，將來若三位議員屬不同政黨，可能出現意見分歧，難於尋求共識。
3. 葵興區內本來已有公屋、租者置其屋、舊式唐樓、私人樓宇，各階層利益已分歧，如果再加上不同屋邨及紀律部隊宿舍，該區議員難於服務不同群體的居民及處理不同利益的意見。
4. **選區多次變動，居民無所適從。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓多屆區議會均被編配去不同的選區，由 1999 年開始，先被編與光輝圍同一選區；2007 年又被劃去葵興選區；2015 年終於與葵涌邨其他樓宇同歸於一個選區，當居民習慣了一個選區及新區議員，現在又要改劃至與葵興同一選區，令春夏秋葵樓一些年長的居民難於適應及尋求協助。

簽名	住址	簽名	住址
羅天帶	陳秉英	刘玉英	
蔡兴	文春娇芳	李小琼	
[illegible]	[illegible]	黃妙	
招[illegible]	[illegible]	馮德首	
吉祥區		[illegible]	
鍾[illegible]瑞			

5209(6)

反對 2019區議會「劃走」春夏秋葵及葵馥苑

聯署反對選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會選舉，葵涌選區劃界的建議，原因如下：

1. **破壞社區完整性。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋及葵馥苑與生活配套，無論交通、屋邨管理、商場、街市和停車場都是共用，社區問題及改善均有其一致性，將春、夏、秋葵及葵馥苑劃走至葵興，破壞社區內協調處理社區問題的情況。
2. **選區二變三，議員易分歧。**葵涌邨由兩個區，變成三個區，將來若三位議員屬不同政黨，可能出現意見分歧，難於尋求共識。
3. 葵興區內本來已有公屋、租者置其屋、舊式唐樓、私人樓宇，各階層利益已分歧，如果再加上不同屋邨及紀律部隊宿舍，該區議員難於服務不同群體的居民及處理不同利益的意見。
4. **選區多次變動，居民無所適從。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓多屆區議會均被編配去不同的選區，由 1999 年開始，先被編與光輝圍同一選區；2007 年又被劃去葵興選區；2015 年終於與葵涌邨其他樓宇同歸於一個選區，當居民習慣了一個選區及新區議員，現在又要改劃至與葵興同一選區，令春夏秋葵樓一些年長的居民難於適應及尋求協助。

簽名	住址	簽名	住址
[illegible]	[illegible]	陳寶欉	[redacted]
鹽鞏禮	[redacted]	周女未	[redacted]
[illegible]	[redacted]	[illegible]	[redacted]
顧少智	[redacted]	何紹珠	[redacted]
何惠珍	[redacted]	林蓉	[redacted]
白秀玲	[redacted]	謝把	[redacted]

5209(7)

反對 2019區議會「劃走」春夏秋葵及葵馥苑

聯署反對選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會選舉，葵涌選區劃界的建議，原因如下：

1. **破壞社區完整性。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋及葵馥苑與生活配套，無論交通、屋邨管理、商場、街市和停車場都是共用，社區問題及改善均有其一致性，將春、夏、秋葵及葵馥苑劃走至葵興，破壞社區內協調處理社區問題的情況。
2. **選區二變三，議員易分歧。**葵涌邨由兩個區，變成三個區，將來若三位議員屬不同政黨，可能出現意見分歧，難於尋求共識。
3. 葵興區內本來已有公屋、租者置其屋、舊式唐樓、私人樓宇，各階層利益已分歧，如果再加上不同屋邨及紀律部隊宿舍，該區議員難於服務不同群體的居民及處理不同利益的意見。
4. **選區多次變動，居民無所適從。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓多屆區議會均被編配去不同的選區，由 1999 年開始，先被編與光輝圍同一選區；2007 年又被劃去葵興選區；2015 年終於與葵涌邨其他樓宇同歸於一個選區，當居民習慣了一個選區及新區議員，現在又要改劃至與葵興同一選區，令春夏秋葵樓一些年長的居民難於適應及尋求協助。

簽名	住址	簽名	住址
譚某	[redacted]	何[illegible]	[redacted]
[illegible]	[redacted]	鄧永興	[redacted]
譚本生	[redacted]	楊洪	[redacted]
李會說	[redacted]	[illegible]	[redacted]
林金壽	[redacted]	[illegible]	
[illegible]	[redacted]	[illegible]	[redacted]

5209(8)

反對 2019區議會「劃走」春夏秋葵及葵馥苑

聯署反對選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會選舉，葵涌選區劃界的建議，原因如下：

1. **破壞社區完整性。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋及葵馥苑與生活配套，無論交通、屋邨管理、商場、街市和停車場都是共用，社區問題及改善均有其一致性，將春、夏、秋葵及葵馥苑劃走至葵興，破壞社區內協調處理社區問題的情況。
2. **選區二變三，議員易分歧。**葵涌邨由兩個區，變成三個區，將來若三位議員屬不同政黨，可能出現意見分歧，難於尋求共識。
3. 葵興區內本來已有公屋、租者置其屋、舊式唐樓、私人樓宇，各階層利益已分歧，如果再加上不同屋邨及紀律部隊宿舍，該區議員難於服務不同群體的居民及處理不同利益的意見。
4. **選區多次變動，居民無所適從。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓多屆區議會均被編配去不同的選區，由 1999 年開始，先被編與光輝圍同一選區；2007 年又被劃去葵興選區；2015 年終於與葵涌邨其他樓宇同歸於一個選區，當居民習慣了一個選區及新區議員，現在又要改劃至與葵興同一選區，令春夏秋葵樓一些年長的居民難於適應及尋求協助。

簽名	住址	簽名	住址
[illegible]		潘玉英	
譚[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		伍	
[illegible]		周樹賢	
黃[illegible]		[illegible]	
劉瑞[illegible]		[illegible]	

5209(9)

反對 2019區議會「劃走」春夏秋葵及葵馥苑

聯署反對選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會選舉，葵涌選區劃界的建議，原因如下：

1. **破壞社區完整性。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋及葵馥苑與生活配套，無論交通、屋邨管理、商場、街市和停車場都是共用，社區問題及改善均有其一致性，將春、夏、秋葵及葵馥苑劃走至葵興，破壞社區內協調處理社區問題的情況。
2. **選區二變三，議員易分歧。**葵涌邨由兩個區，變成三個區，將來若三位議員屬不同政黨，可能出現意見分歧，難於尋求共識。
3. 葵興區內本來已有公屋、租者置其屋、舊式唐樓、私人樓宇，各階層利益已分歧，如果再加上不同屋邨及紀律部隊宿舍，該區議員難於服務不同群體的居民及處理不同利益的意見。
4. **選區多次變動，居民無所適從。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓多屆區議會均被編配去不同的選區，由 1999 年開始，先被編與光輝圍同一選區；2007 年又被劃去葵興選區；2015 年終於與葵涌邨其他樓宇同歸於一個選區，當居民習慣了一個選區及新區議員，現在又要改劃至與葵興同一選區，令春夏秋葵樓一些年長的居民難於適應及尋求協助。

簽名	住址	簽名	住址
[illegible]	[redacted]	[illegible]	
[illegible]	[redacted]	張靜英	
羅[illegible]	[redacted]	陳玉君	
[illegible]	[redacted]	Linda	
[illegible]	[redacted]	楊	
[illegible]		古[illegible]	[redacted]

5209(10)

反對2019區議會「劃走」春夏秋葵及葵馥苑

聯署反對選舉管理委員會就2019年區議會選舉，葵涌選區劃界的建議，原因如下：

1. **破壞社區完整性。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋及葵馥苑與生活配套，無論交通、屋邨管理、商場、街市和停車場都是共用，社區問題及改善均有其一致性，將春、夏、秋葵及葵馥苑劃走至葵興，破壞社區內協調處理社區問題的情況。
2. **選區二變三，議員易分歧。**葵涌邨由兩個區，變成三個區，將來若三位議員屬不同政黨，可能出現意見分歧，難於尋求共識。
3. 葵興區內本來已有公屋、租者置其屋、舊式唐樓、私人樓宇，各階層利益已分歧，如果再加上不同屋邨及紀律部隊宿舍，該區議員難於服務不同群體的居民及處理不同利益的意見。
4. **選區多次變動，居民無所適從。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓多屆區議會均被編配去不同的選區，由1999年開始，先被編與光輝圍同一選區；2007年又被劃去葵興選區；2015年終於與葵涌邨其他樓宇同歸於一個選區，當居民習慣了一個選區及新區議員，現在又要改劃至與葵興同一選區，令春夏秋葵樓一些年長的居民難於適應及尋求協助。

簽名	住址	簽名	住址
陳小燕	[redacted]	黃水金	[redacted]
[redacted]	[redacted]	鄭少群	[redacted]
梁小妹	黎妹妹	[redacted]	[redacted]
[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]	何明強
[redacted]	[redacted]	陳	[redacted]
鄭標	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]

5209(11)

反對2019區議會「劃走」春夏秋葵及葵馥苑

聯署反對選舉管理委員會就2019年區議會選舉，葵涌選區劃界的建議，原因如下：

1. **破壞社區完整性。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋及葵馥苑與生活配套，無論交通、屋邨管理、商場、街市和停車場都是共用，社區問題及改善均有其一致性，將春、夏、秋葵及葵馥苑劃走至葵興，破壞社區內協調處理社區問題的情況。
2. **選區二變三，議員易分歧。**葵涌邨由兩個區，變成三個區，將來若三位議員屬不同政黨，可能出現意見分歧，難於尋求共識。
3. 葵興區內本來已有公屋、租者置其屋、舊式唐樓、私人樓宇，各階層利益已分歧，如果再加上不同屋邨及紀律部隊宿舍，該區議員難於服務不同群體的居民及處理不同利益的意見。
4. **選區多次變動，居民無所適從。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓多屆區議會均被編配去不同的選區，由1999年開始，先被編與光輝圍同一選區；2007年又被劃去葵興選區；2015年終於與葵涌邨其他樓宇同歸於一個選區，當居民習慣了一個選區及新區議員，現在又要改劃至與葵興同一選區，令春夏秋葵樓一些年長的居民難於適應及尋求協助。

簽名	住址	簽名	住址
[redacted]	[redacted]	鍾好	[redacted]
何家盛	[redacted]	[redacted]	
[redacted]	[redacted]	李翠賢	
[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]	
陳[redacted]	[redacted]	鄭[redacted]	[redacted]
張建恒	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]

5209(12)

反對2019區議會「劃走」春夏秋葵及葵馥苑

聯署反對選舉管理委員會就2019年區議會選舉，葵涌選區劃界的建議，原因如下：

1. **破壞社區完整性。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋及葵馥苑與生活配套，無論交通、屋邨管理、商場、街市和停車場都是共用，社區問題及改善均有其一致性，將春、夏、秋葵及葵馥苑劃走至葵興，破壞社區內協調處理社區問題的情況。
2. **選區二變三，議員易分歧。**葵涌邨由兩個區，變成三個區，將來若三位議員屬不同政黨，可能出現意見分歧，難於尋求共識。
3. 葵興區內本來已有公屋、租者置其屋、舊式唐樓、私人樓宇，各階層利益已分歧，如果再加上不同屋邨及紀律部隊宿舍，該區議員難於服務不同群體的居民及處理不同利益的意見。
4. **選區多次變動，居民無所適從。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓多屆區議會均被編配去不同的選區，由1999年開始，先被編與光輝圍同一選區；2007年又被劃去葵興選區；2015年終於與葵涌邨其他樓宇同歸於一個選區，當居民習慣了一個選區及新區議員，現在又要改劃至與葵興同一選區，令春夏秋葵樓一些年長的居民難於適應及尋求協助。

簽名	住址	簽名	住址
何錦妹	[redacted]	陳鳳英	[redacted]
蘇[redacted]恩	劉八妹	十	陳芬英
龐亞清	[redacted]	曾[redacted]	[redacted]
陳[redacted]茵	[redacted]	[redacted]	X
陶[redacted]玫	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]
[redacted]	[redacted]	英	陳[redacted]

5209(13)

反對2019區議會「劃走」春夏秋葵及葵馥苑

聯署反對選舉管理委員會就2019年區議會選舉，葵涌選區劃界的建議，原因如下：

1. **破壞社區完整性。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋及葵馥苑與生活配套，無論交通、屋邨管理、商場、街市和停車場都是共用，社區問題及改善均有其一致性，將春、夏、秋葵及葵馥苑劃走至葵興，破壞社區內協調處理社區問題的情況。
2. **選區二變三，議員易分歧。**葵涌邨由兩個區，變成三個區，將來若三位議員屬不同政黨，可能出現意見分歧，難於尋求共識。
3. 葵興區內本來已有公屋、租者置其屋、舊式唐樓、私人樓宇，各階層利益已分歧，如果再加上不同屋邨及紀律部隊宿舍，該區議員難於服務不同群體的居民及處理不同利益的意見。
4. **選區多次變動，居民無所適從。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓多屆區議會均被編配去不同的選區，由1999年開始，先被編與光輝圍同一選區；2007年又被劃去葵興選區；2015年終於與葵涌邨其他樓宇同歸於一個選區，當居民習慣了一個選區及新區議員，現在又要改劃至與葵興同一選區，令春夏秋葵樓一些年長的居民難於適應及尋求協助。

簽名	住址	簽名	住址
許	十	[redacted]	
[redacted]	X	[redacted]	
王[redacted]		陳[redacted]	
[redacted]		[redacted]	
[redacted]		[redacted]	
[redacted]		[redacted]	

5209(14)

反對2019區議會「劃走」春夏秋葵及葵馥苑

聯署反對選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會選舉，葵涌選區劃界的建議，原因如下：

1. **破壞社區完整性。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋及葵馥苑與生活配套，無論交通、屋邨管理、商場、街市和停車場都是共用，社區問題及改善均有其一致性，將春、夏、秋葵及葵馥苑劃走至葵興，破壞社區內協調處理社區問題的情況。
2. **選區二變三，議員易分歧。**葵涌邨由兩個區，變成三個區，將來若三位議員屬不同政黨，可能出現意見分歧，難於尋求共識。
3. 葵興區內本來已有公屋、租者置其屋、舊式唐樓、私人樓宇，各階層利益已分歧，如果再加上不同屋邨及紀律部隊宿舍，該區議員難於服務不同群體的居民及處理不同利益的意見。
4. **選區多次變動，居民無所適從。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓多屆區議會均被編配去不同的選區，由1999 年開始，先被編與光輝圍同一選區；2007 年又被劃去葵興選區；2015 年終於與葵涌邨其他樓宇同歸於一個選區，當居民習慣了一個選區及新區議員，現在又要改劃至與葵興同一選區，令春夏秋葵樓一些年長的居民難於適應及尋求協助。

簽名	住址	簽名	住址
			[redacted]
			[redacted]
			[redacted]
		黃彩珊	
		關家明	

5209(15)

反對2019區議會「劃走」春夏秋葵及葵馥苑

聯署反對選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會選舉，葵涌選區劃界的建議，原因如下：

1. **破壞社區完整性。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋及葵馥苑與生活配套，無論交通、屋邨管理、商場、街市和停車場都是共用，社區問題及改善均有其一致性，將春、夏、秋葵及葵馥苑劃走至葵興，破壞社區內協調處理社區問題的情況。
2. **選區二變三，議員易分歧。**葵涌邨由兩個區，變成三個區，將來若三位議員屬不同政黨，可能出現意見分歧，難於尋求共識。
3. 葵興區內本來已有公屋、租者置其屋、舊式唐樓、私人樓宇，各階層利益已分歧，如果再加上不同屋邨及紀律部隊宿舍，該區議員難於服務不同群體的居民及處理不同利益的意見。
4. **選區多次變動，居民無所適從。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓多屆區議會均被編配去不同的選區，由1999 年開始，先被編與光輝圍同一選區；2007 年又被劃去葵興選區；2015 年終於與葵涌邨其他樓宇同歸於一個選區，當居民習慣了一個選區及新區議員，現在又要改劃至與葵興同一選區，令春夏秋葵樓一些年長的居民難於適應及尋求協助。

簽名	住址	簽名	住址
		[redacted]	
		Sing	
		梁重珍	

5209(16)

反對2019區議會「劃走」春夏秋葵及葵馥苑

聯署反對選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會選舉，葵涌選區劃界的建議，原因如下：

1. **破壞社區完整性。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋及葵馥苑與生活配套，無論交通、屋邨管理、商場、街市和停車場都是共用，社區問題及改善均有其一致性，將春、夏、秋葵及葵馥苑劃走至葵興，破壞社區內協調處理社區問題的情況。
2. **選區二變三，議員易分歧。**葵涌邨由兩個區，變成三個區，將來若三位議員屬不同政黨，可能出現意見分歧，難於尋求共識。
3. 葵興區內本來已有公屋、租者置其屋、舊式唐樓、私人樓宇，各階層利益已分歧，如果再加上不同屋邨及紀律部隊宿舍，該區議員難於服務不同群體的居民及處理不同利益的意見。
4. **選區多次變動，居民無所適從。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓多屆區議會均被編配去不同的選區，由1999 年開始，先被編與光輝圍同一選區；2007 年又被劃去葵興選區；2015 年終於與葵涌邨其他樓宇同歸於一個選區，當居民習慣了一個選區及新區議員，現在又要改劃至與葵興同一選區，令春夏秋葵樓一些年長的居民難於適應及尋求協助。

簽名	住址	簽名	住址
蔡有林		朱鴻達	
鄭錦華		Chu Yuet Han	
馬永陳		陳思卓	
黃大令		歐泰	
陳錦城		Pak Han Wong	
盧秀英		余生	

5209(17)

反對2019區議會「劃走」春夏秋葵及葵馥苑

聯署反對選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會選舉，葵涌選區劃界的建議，原因如下：

1. **破壞社區完整性。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋及葵馥苑與生活配套，無論交通、屋邨管理、商場、街市和停車場都是共用，社區問題及改善均有其一致性，將春、夏、秋葵及葵馥苑劃走至葵興，破壞社區內協調處理社區問題的情況。
2. **選區二變三，議員易分歧。**葵涌邨由兩個區，變成三個區，將來若三位議員屬不同政黨，可能出現意見分歧，難於尋求共識。
3. 葵興區內本來已有公屋、租者置其屋、舊式唐樓、私人樓宇，各階層利益已分歧，如果再加上不同屋邨及紀律部隊宿舍，該區議員難於服務不同群體的居民及處理不同利益的意見。
4. **選區多次變動，居民無所適從。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓多屆區議會均被編配去不同的選區，由1999 年開始，先被編與光輝圍同一選區；2007 年又被劃去葵興選區；2015 年終於與葵涌邨其他樓宇同歸於一個選區，當居民習慣了一個選區及新區議員，現在又要改劃至與葵興同一選區，令春夏秋葵樓一些年長的居民難於適應及尋求協助。

簽名	住址	簽名	住址
關梅		梁文彩	[redacted]
黃美娟		盧帶喜	
[redacted]		文	
英		余	
依		[redacted]	
陳和英		吳佩琼	

5209(18)

反對 2019區議會「劃走」春夏秋葵及葵馥苑

聯署反對選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會選舉，葵涌選區劃界的建議，原因如下：

1. **破壞社區完整性。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋及葵馥苑與生活配套，無論交通、屋邨管理、商場、街市和停車場都是共用，社區問題及改善均有其一致性，將春、夏、秋葵及葵馥苑劃走至葵興，破壞社區內協調處理社區問題的情況。
2. **選區二變三，議員易分歧。**葵涌邨由兩個區，變成三個區，將來若三位議員屬不同政黨，可能出現意見分歧，難於尋求共識。
3. 葵興區內本來已有公屋、租者置其屋、舊式唐樓、私人樓宇，各階層利益已分歧，如果再加上不同屋邨及紀律部隊宿舍，該區議員難於服務不同群體的居民及處理不同利益的意見。
4. **選區多次變動，居民無所適從。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓多屆區議會均被編配去不同的選區，由1999 年開始，先被編與光輝圍同一選區；2007 年又被劃去葵興選區；2015 年終於與葵涌邨其他樓宇同歸於一個選區，當居民習慣了一個選區及新區議員，現在又要改劃至與葵興同一選區，令春夏秋葵樓一些年長的居民難於適應及尋求協助。

簽名	住址	簽名	住址
梁樹娣			
黃培迪			
曾紹輝			
莊文虎			
		傅偉強	

5209(19)

反對 2019區議會「劃走」春夏秋葵及葵馥苑

聯署反對選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會選舉，葵涌選區劃界的建議，原因如下：

1. **破壞社區完整性。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋及葵馥苑與生活配套，無論交通、屋邨管理、商場、街市和停車場都是共用，社區問題及改善均有其一致性，將春、夏、秋葵及葵馥苑劃走至葵興，破壞社區內協調處理社區問題的情況。
2. **選區二變三，議員易分歧。**葵涌邨由兩個區，變成三個區，將來若三位議員屬不同政黨，可能出現意見分歧，難於尋求共識。
3. 葵興區內本來已有公屋、租者置其屋、舊式唐樓、私人樓宇，各階層利益已分歧，如果再加上不同屋邨及紀律部隊宿舍，該區議員難於服務不同群體的居民及處理不同利益的意見。
4. **選區多次變動，居民無所適從。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓多屆區議會均被編配去不同的選區，由1999 年開始，先被編與光輝圍同一選區；2007 年又被劃去葵興選區；2015 年終於與葵涌邨其他樓宇同歸於一個選區，當居民習慣了一個選區及新區議員，現在又要改劃至與葵興同一選區，令春夏秋葵樓一些年長的居民難於適應及尋求協助。

簽名	住址	簽名	住址
伍玉蘭			
張容好		陸秋芝	
黃才平			
張錦英		謝翠秀	
		曾海鳴	
		陸文英	

5209(20)

反對 2019區議會「劃走」春夏秋葵及葵馥苑

聯署反對選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會選舉，葵涌選區劃界的建議，原因如下：

1. **破壞社區完整性。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋及葵馥苑與生活配套，無論交通、屋邨管理、商場、街市和停車場都是共用，社區問題及改善均有其一致性，將春、夏、秋葵及葵馥苑劃走至葵興，破壞社區內協調處理社區問題的情況。
2. **選區二變三，議員易分歧。**葵涌邨由兩個區，變成三個區，將來若三位議員屬不同政黨，可能出現意見分歧，難於尋求共識。
3. 葵興區內本來已有公屋、租者置其屋、舊式唐樓、私人樓宇，各階層利益已分歧，如果再加上不同屋邨及紀律部隊宿舍，該區議員難於服務不同群體的居民及處理不同利益的意見。
4. **選區多次變動，居民無所適從。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓多屆區議會均被編配去不同的選區，由1999 年開始，先被編與光輝圍同一選區；2007 年又被劃去葵興選區；2015 年終於與葵涌邨其他樓宇同歸於一個選區，當居民習慣了一個選區及新區議員，現在又要改劃至與葵興同一選區，令春夏秋葵樓一些年長的居民難於適應及尋求協助。

簽名	住址	簽名	住址
		梁丽冰	
		王兮	
		梁伯安	

5209(21)

反對 2019區議會「劃走」春夏秋葵及葵馥苑

聯署反對選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會選舉，葵涌選區劃界的建議，原因如下：

1. **破壞社區完整性。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋及葵馥苑與生活配套，無論交通、屋邨管理、商場、街市和停車場都是共用，社區問題及改善均有其一致性，將春、夏、秋葵及葵馥苑劃走至葵興，破壞社區內協調處理社區問題的情況。
2. **選區二變三，議員易分歧。**葵涌邨由兩個區，變成三個區，將來若三位議員屬不同政黨，可能出現意見分歧，難於尋求共識。
3. 葵興區內本來已有公屋、租者置其屋、舊式唐樓、私人樓宇，各階層利益已分歧，如果再加上不同屋邨及紀律部隊宿舍，該區議員難於服務不同群體的居民及處理不同利益的意見。
4. **選區多次變動，居民無所適從。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓多屆區議會均被編配去不同的選區，由1999 年開始，先被編與光輝圍同一選區；2007 年又被劃去葵興選區；2015 年終於與葵涌邨其他樓宇同歸於一個選區，當居民習慣了一個選區及新區議員，現在又要改劃至與葵興同一選區，令春夏秋葵樓一些年長的居民難於適應及尋求協助。

簽名	住址	簽名	住址
何玉珍		莫珠女	
		楊秀琦	
		翌玉清	
張玉祥		黃良	
		朱文	

5209(22)

反對2019區議會「劃走」春夏秋葵及葵馥苑

聯署反對選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會選舉，葵涌選區劃界的建議，原因如下：

1. **破壞社區完整性。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋及葵馥苑與生活配套，無論交通、屋邨管理、商場、街市和停車場都是共用，社區問題及改善均有其一致性，將春、夏、秋葵及葵馥苑劃走至葵興，破壞社區內協調處理社區問題的情況。
2. **選區二變三，議員易分歧。**葵涌邨由兩個區，變成三個區，將來若三位議員屬不同政黨，可能出現意見分歧，難於尋求共識。
3. 葵興區內本來已有公屋、租者置其屋、舊式唐樓、私人樓宇，各階層利益已分歧，如果再加上不同屋邨及紀律部隊宿舍，該區議員難於服務不同群體的居民及處理不同利益的意見。
4. **選區多次變動，居民無所適從。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓多屆區議會均被編配去不同的選區，由1999 年開始，先被編與光輝圍同一選區；2007 年又被劃去葵興選區；2015 年終於與葵涌邨其他樓宇同歸於一個選區，當居民習慣了一個選區及新區議員，現在又要改劃至與葵興同一選區，令春夏秋葵樓一些年長的居民難於適應及尋求協助。

簽名	住址	簽名	住址

5209(23)

反對2019區議會「劃走」春夏秋葵及葵馥苑

聯署反對選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會選舉，葵涌選區劃界的建議，原因如下：

1. **破壞社區完整性。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋及葵馥苑與生活配套，無論交通、屋邨管理、商場、街市和停車場都是共用，社區問題及改善均有其一致性，將春、夏、秋葵及葵馥苑劃走至葵興，破壞社區內協調處理社區問題的情況。
2. **選區二變三，議員易分歧。**葵涌邨由兩個區，變成三個區，將來若三位議員屬不同政黨，可能出現意見分歧，難於尋求共識。
3. 葵興區內本來已有公屋、租者置其屋、舊式唐樓、私人樓宇，各階層利益已分歧，如果再加上不同屋邨及紀律部隊宿舍，該區議員難於服務不同群體的居民及處理不同利益的意見。
4. **選區多次變動，居民無所適從。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓多屆區議會均被編配去不同的選區，由1999 年開始，先被編與光輝圍同一選區；2007 年又被劃去葵興選區；2015 年終於與葵涌邨其他樓宇同歸於一個選區，當居民習慣了一個選區及新區議員，現在又要改劃至與葵興同一選區，令春夏秋葵樓一些年長的居民難於適應及尋求協助。

簽名	住址	簽名	住址

5209(24)

反對2019區議會「劃走」春夏秋葵及葵馥苑

聯署反對選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會選舉，葵涌選區劃界的建議，原因如下：

1. **破壞社區完整性。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋及葵馥苑與生活配套，無論交通、屋邨管理、商場、街市和停車場都是共用，社區問題及改善均有其一致性，將春、夏、秋葵及葵馥苑劃走至葵興，破壞社區內協調處理社區問題的情況。
2. **選區二變三，議員易分歧。**葵涌邨由兩個區，變成三個區，將來若三位議員屬不同政黨，可能出現意見分歧，難於尋求共識。
3. 葵興區內本來已有公屋、租者置其屋、舊式唐樓、私人樓宇，各階層利益已分歧，如果再加上不同屋邨及紀律部隊宿舍，該區議員難於服務不同群體的居民及處理不同利益的意見。
4. **選區多次變動，居民無所適從。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓多屆區議會均被編配去不同的選區，由1999 年開始，先被編與光輝圍同一選區；2007 年又被劃去葵興選區；2015 年終於與葵涌邨其他樓宇同歸於一個選區，當居民習慣了一個選區及新區議員，現在又要改劃至與葵興同一選區，令春夏秋葵樓一些年長的居民難於適應及尋求協助。

簽名	住址	簽名	住址
	[redacted]		[redacted]
			[redacted]
	[redacted]		[redacted]
	[redacted]		
	[redacted]		
	[redacted]		

5209(25)

反對2019區議會「劃走」春夏秋葵及葵馥苑

聯署反對選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會選舉，葵涌選區劃界的建議，原因如下：

1. **破壞社區完整性。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋及葵馥苑與生活配套，無論交通、屋邨管理、商場、街市和停車場都是共用，社區問題及改善均有其一致性，將春、夏、秋葵及葵馥苑劃走至葵興，破壞社區內協調處理社區問題的情況。
2. **選區二變三，議員易分歧。**葵涌邨由兩個區，變成三個區，將來若三位議員屬不同政黨，可能出現意見分歧，難於尋求共識。
3. 葵興區內本來已有公屋、租者置其屋、舊式唐樓、私人樓宇，各階層利益已分歧，如果再加上不同屋邨及紀律部隊宿舍，該區議員難於服務不同群體的居民及處理不同利益的意見。
4. **選區多次變動，居民無所適從。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓多屆區議會均被編配去不同的選區，由1999 年開始，先被編與光輝圍同一選區；2007 年又被劃去葵興選區；2015 年終於與葵涌邨其他樓宇同歸於一個選區，當居民習慣了一個選區及新區議員，現在又要改劃至與葵興同一選區，令春夏秋葵樓一些年長的居民難於適應及尋求協助。

簽名	住址	簽名	住址
			[redacted]

5209(26)

反對2019區議會「劃走」春夏秋葵及葵馥苑

聯署反對選舉管理委員會就2019年區議會選舉，葵涌選區劃界的建議，原因如下：

1. **破壞社區完整性。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋及葵馥苑與生活配套，無論交通、屋邨管理、商場、街市和停車場都是共用，社區問題及改善均有其一致性，將春、夏、秋葵及葵馥苑劃走至葵興，破壞社區內協調處理社區問題的情況。
2. **選區二變三，議員易分歧。**葵涌邨由兩個區，變成三個區，將來若三位議員屬不同政黨，可能出現意見分歧，難於尋求共識。
3. 葵興區內本來已有公屋、租者置其屋、舊式唐樓、私人樓宇，各階層利益已分歧，如果再加上不同屋邨及紀律部隊宿舍，**該區議員難於服務不同群體的居民及處理不同利益的意見。**
4. **選區多次變動，居民無所適從。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓多屆區議會均被編配去不同的選區，由1999年開始，先被編與光輝圍同一選區；2007年又被劃去葵興選區；2015年終於與葵涌邨其他樓宇同歸於一個選區，當居民習慣了一個選區及新區議員，現在又要改劃至與葵興同一選區，令春夏秋葵樓一些年長的居民難於適應及尋求協助。

簽名	住址	簽名	住址
趙毅誠	[redacted]	蔡	
袁蓮芳	黃艷英	[redacted]	[redacted]
肖艷荣		陸文英	
李健平	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]
李容女未		[redacted]	[redacted]
羅一平	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]

5209(27)

反對2019區議會「劃走」春夏秋葵及葵馥苑

聯署反對選舉管理委員會就2019年區議會選舉，葵涌選區劃界的建議，原因如下：

1. **破壞社區完整性。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋及葵馥苑與生活配套，無論交通、屋邨管理、商場、街市和停車場都是共用，社區問題及改善均有其一致性，將春、夏、秋葵及葵馥苑劃走至葵興，破壞社區內協調處理社區問題的情況。
2. **選區二變三，議員易分歧。**葵涌邨由兩個區，變成三個區，將來若三位議員屬不同政黨，可能出現意見分歧，難於尋求共識。
3. 葵興區內本來已有公屋、租者置其屋、舊式唐樓、私人樓宇，各階層利益已分歧，如果再加上不同屋邨及紀律部隊宿舍，**該區議員難於服務不同群體的居民及處理不同利益的意見。**
4. **選區多次變動，居民無所適從。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓多屆區議會均被編配去不同的選區，由1999年開始，先被編與光輝圍同一選區；2007年又被劃去葵興選區；2015年終於與葵涌邨其他樓宇同歸於一個選區，當居民習慣了一個選區及新區議員，現在又要改劃至與葵興同一選區，令春夏秋葵樓一些年長的居民難於適應及尋求協助。

簽名	住址	簽名	住址
[redacted]	[redacted]	蔡志	[redacted]
[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]
[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]
[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]
[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]
[redacted]	[redacted]	黃巴娣	[redacted]

5209(28)

反對2019區議會「劃走」春夏秋葵及葵馥苑

聯署反對選舉管理委員會就2019年區議會選舉，葵涌選區劃界的建議，原因如下：

1. **破壞社區完整性。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋及葵馥苑與生活配套，無論交通、屋邨管理、商場、街市和停車場都是共用，社區問題及改善均有其一致性，將春、夏、秋葵及葵馥苑劃走至葵興，破壞社區內協調處理社區問題的情況。
2. **選區二變三，議員易分歧。**葵涌邨由兩個區，變成三個區，將來若三位議員屬不同政黨，可能出現意見分歧，難於尋求共識。
3. 葵興區內本來已有公屋、租者置其屋、舊式唐樓、私人樓宇，各階層利益已分歧，如果再加上不同屋邨及紀律部隊宿舍，**該區議員難於服務不同群體的居民及處理不同利益的意見。**
4. **選區多次變動，居民無所適從。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓多屆區議會均被編配去不同的選區，由1999年開始，先被編與光輝圍同一選區；2007年又被劃去葵興選區；2015年終於與葵涌邨其他樓宇同歸於一個選區，當居民習慣了一個選區及新區議員，現在又要改劃至與葵興同一選區，令春夏秋葵樓一些年長的居民難於適應及尋求協助。

簽名	住址	簽名	住址
謝		黃惠青	[redacted]
葉	[redacted]	吳佩珠	[redacted]
陳[illegible]	[redacted]	袁妹	[redacted]
关美梅	[redacted]	劉志明	[redacted]
莊文虎	[redacted]	梁[illegible]珍	[redacted]
吳[illegible]	[redacted]	劉瑞紅	

5209(29)

反對2019區議會「劃走」春夏秋葵及葵馥苑

聯署反對選舉管理委員會就2019年區議會選舉，葵涌選區劃界的建議，原因如下：

1. **破壞社區完整性。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋及葵馥苑與生活配套，無論交通、屋邨管理、商場、街市和停車場都是共用，社區問題及改善均有其一致性，將春、夏、秋葵及葵馥苑劃走至葵興，破壞社區內協調處理社區問題的情況。
2. **選區二變三，議員易分歧。**葵涌邨由兩個區，變成三個區，將來若三位議員屬不同政黨，可能出現意見分歧，難於尋求共識。
3. 葵興區內本來已有公屋、租者置其屋、舊式唐樓、私人樓宇，各階層利益已分歧，如果再加上不同屋邨及紀律部隊宿舍，**該區議員難於服務不同群體的居民及處理不同利益的意見。**
4. **選區多次變動，居民無所適從。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓多屆區議會均被編配去不同的選區，由1999年開始，先被編與光輝圍同一選區；2007年又被劃去葵興選區；2015年終於與葵涌邨其他樓宇同歸於一個選區，當居民習慣了一個選區及新區議員，現在又要改劃至與葵興同一選區，令春夏秋葵樓一些年長的居民難於適應及尋求協助。

簽名	住址	簽名	住址
[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]
[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]
[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]	
[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]
[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]
[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]

5209(30)

反對 2019區議會「劃走」春夏秋葵及葵馥苑

聯署反對選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會選舉，葵涌選區劃界的建議，原因如下：

1. **破壞社區完整性。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋及葵馥苑與生活配套，無論交通、屋邨管理、商場、街市和停車場都是共用，社區問題及改善均有其一致性，將春、夏、秋葵及葵馥苑劃走至葵興，破壞社區內協調處理社區問題的情況。
2. **選區二變三，議員易分歧。**葵涌邨由兩個區，變成三個區，將來若三位議員屬不同政黨，可能出現意見分歧，難於尋求共識。
3. 葵興區內本來已有公屋、租者置其屋、舊式唐樓、私人樓宇，各階層利益已分歧，如果再加上不同屋邨及紀律部隊宿舍，**該區議員難於服務不同群體的居民及處理不同利益的意見。**
4. **選區多次變動，居民無所適從。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓多屆區議會均被編配去不同的選區，由1999 年開始，先被編與光輝圍同一選區；2007 年又被劃去葵興選區；2015 年終於與葵涌邨其他樓宇同歸於一個選區，當居民習慣了一個選區及新區議員，現在又要改劃至與葵興同一選區，令春夏秋葵樓一些年長的居民難於適應及尋求協助。

簽名	住址	簽名	住址
[illegible]	[redacted]	莊珠女	
[illegible]	[redacted]	[illegible]	[redacted]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	
[illegible]	[redacted]	[illegible]	
[illegible]	[redacted]	[illegible]	

5209(31)

反對 2019區議會「劃走」春夏秋葵及葵馥苑

聯署反對選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會選舉，葵涌選區劃界的建議，原因如下：

1. **破壞社區完整性。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋及葵馥苑與生活配套，無論交通、屋邨管理、商場、街市和停車場都是共用，社區問題及改善均有其一致性，將春、夏、秋葵及葵馥苑劃走至葵興，破壞社區內協調處理社區問題的情況。
2. **選區二變三，議員易分歧。**葵涌邨由兩個區，變成三個區，將來若三位議員屬不同政黨，可能出現意見分歧，難於尋求共識。
3. 葵興區內本來已有公屋、租者置其屋、舊式唐樓、私人樓宇，各階層利益已分歧，如果再加上不同屋邨及紀律部隊宿舍，**該區議員難於服務不同群體的居民及處理不同利益的意見。**
4. **選區多次變動，居民無所適從。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓多屆區議會均被編配去不同的選區，由1999 年開始，先被編與光輝圍同一選區；2007 年又被劃去葵興選區；2015 年終於與葵涌邨其他樓宇同歸於一個選區，當居民習慣了一個選區及新區議員，現在又要改劃至與葵興同一選區，令春夏秋葵樓一些年長的居民難於適應及尋求協助。

簽名	住址	簽名	住址
[illegible]	[redacted]	梁水妹	[redacted]
[illegible]	[redacted]	張葉瑛	[redacted]
[illegible]	[redacted]	蕭香先	[redacted]
	林智		
CHRIS	[redacted]		
[illegible]	[redacted]		

5209(32)

反對 2019區議會「劃走」春夏秋葵及葵馥苑

聯署反對選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會選舉，葵涌選區劃界的建議，原因如下：

1. **破壞社區完整性。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋及葵馥苑與生活配套，無論交通、屋邨管理、商場、街市和停車場都是共用，社區問題及改善均有其一致性，將春、夏、秋葵及葵馥苑劃走至葵興，破壞社區內協調處理社區問題的情況。
2. **選區二變三，議員易分歧。**葵涌邨由兩個區，變成三個區，將來若三位議員屬不同政黨，可能出現意見分歧，難於尋求共識。
3. 葵興區內本來已有公屋、租者置其屋、舊式唐樓、私人樓宇，各階層利益已分歧，如果再加上不同屋邨及紀律部隊宿舍，**該區議員難於服務不同群體的居民及處理不同利益的意見。**
4. **選區多次變動，居民無所適從。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓多屆區議會均被編配去不同的選區，由1999 年開始，先被編與光輝圍同一選區；2007 年又被劃去葵興選區；2015 年終於與葵涌邨其他樓宇同歸於一個選區，當居民習慣了一個選區及新區議員，現在又要改劃至與葵興同一選區，令春夏秋葵樓一些年長的居民難於適應及尋求協助。

簽名	住址	簽名	住址
[illegible]	[redacted]		
JOJO	[redacted]		

5209(33)

反對 2019區議會「劃走」春夏秋葵及葵馥苑

聯署反對選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會選舉，葵涌選區劃界的建議，原因如下：

1. **破壞社區完整性。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋及葵馥苑與生活配套，無論交通、屋邨管理、商場、街市和停車場都是共用，社區問題及改善均有其一致性，將春、夏、秋葵及葵馥苑劃走至葵興，破壞社區內協調處理社區問題的情況。
2. **選區二變三，議員易分歧。**葵涌邨由兩個區，變成三個區，將來若三位議員屬不同政黨，可能出現意見分歧，難於尋求共識。
3. 葵興區內本來已有公屋、租者置其屋、舊式唐樓、私人樓宇，各階層利益已分歧，如果再加上不同屋邨及紀律部隊宿舍，**該區議員難於服務不同群體的居民及處理不同利益的意見。**
4. **選區多次變動，居民無所適從。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓多屆區議會均被編配去不同的選區，由1999 年開始，先被編與光輝圍同一選區；2007 年又被劃去葵興選區；2015 年終於與葵涌邨其他樓宇同歸於一個選區，當居民習慣了一個選區及新區議員，現在又要改劃至與葵興同一選區，令春夏秋葵樓一些年長的居民難於適應及尋求協助。

簽名	住址	簽名	住址
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		吳漢琴	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	

5209(34)

反對2019區議會「劃走」春夏秋葵及葵馥苑

聯署反對選舉管理委員會就2019年區議會選舉，葵涌選區劃界的建議，原因如下：

1. **破壞社區完整性。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋及葵馥苑與生活配套，無論交通、屋邨管理、商場、街市和停車場都是共用，社區問題及改善均有其一致性，將春、夏、秋葵及葵馥苑劃走至葵興，破壞社區內協調處理社區問題的情況。
2. **選區二變三，議員易分歧。**葵涌邨由兩個區，變成三個區，將來若三位議員屬不同政黨，可能出現意見分歧，難於尋求共識。
3. 葵興區內本來已有公屋、租者置其屋、舊式唐樓、私人樓宇，各階層利益已分歧，如果再加上不同屋邨及紀律部隊宿舍，**該區議員難於服務不同群體的居民及處理不同利益的意見。**
4. **選區多次變動，居民無所適從。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓多屆區議會均被編配去不同的選區，由1999年開始，先被編與光輝圍同一選區；2007年又被劃去葵興選區；2015年終於與葵涌邨其他樓宇同歸於一個選區，當居民習慣了一個選區及新區議員，現在又要改劃至與葵興同一選區，令春夏秋葵樓一些年長的居民難於適應及尋求協助。

簽名	住址	簽名	住址
Ramon	[redacted]	徐麗華	[redacted]
[illegible]	[redacted]	林合笑	[redacted]
[illegible]	[redacted]	謝[illegible]	[redacted]
羅[illegible]	[redacted]	[illegible]	[redacted]
張順弘	[redacted]	黃[illegible]玉	[redacted]
陳[illegible]來	[redacted]	[illegible]	[redacted]

5209(35)

反對2019區議會「劃走」春夏秋葵及葵馥苑

聯署反對選舉管理委員會就2019年區議會選舉，葵涌選區劃界的建議，原因如下：

1. **破壞社區完整性。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋及葵馥苑與生活配套，無論交通、屋邨管理、商場、街市和停車場都是共用，社區問題及改善均有其一致性，將春、夏、秋葵及葵馥苑劃走至葵興，破壞社區內協調處理社區問題的情況。
2. **選區二變三，議員易分歧。**葵涌邨由兩個區，變成三個區，將來若三位議員屬不同政黨，可能出現意見分歧，難於尋求共識。
3. 葵興區內本來已有公屋、租者置其屋、舊式唐樓、私人樓宇，各階層利益已分歧，如果再加上不同屋邨及紀律部隊宿舍，**該區議員難於服務不同群體的居民及處理不同利益的意見。**
4. **選區多次變動，居民無所適從。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓多屆區議會均被編配去不同的選區，由1999年開始，先被編與光輝圍同一選區；2007年又被劃去葵興選區；2015年終於與葵涌邨其他樓宇同歸於一個選區，當居民習慣了一個選區及新區議員，現在又要改劃至與葵興同一選區，令春夏秋葵樓一些年長的居民難於適應及尋求協助。

簽名	住址	簽名	住址
葉鍊葉		[illegible]達[illegible]	
陸[illegible]蓮		李惠珠	
張桂新		江[illegible]	[redacted]
何[illegible]芳		[illegible]	
林[illegible]菜		[illegible]	
蔡裕珍		黃[illegible]	

5209(36)

反對2019區議會「劃走」春夏秋葵及葵馥苑

聯署反對選舉管理委員會就2019年區議會選舉，葵涌選區劃界的建議，原因如下：

1. **破壞社區完整性。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋及葵馥苑與生活配套，無論交通、屋邨管理、商場、街市和停車場都是共用，社區問題及改善均有其一致性，將春、夏、秋葵及葵馥苑劃走至葵興，破壞社區內協調處理社區問題的情況。
2. **選區二變三，議員易分歧。**葵涌邨由兩個區，變成三個區，將來若三位議員屬不同政黨，可能出現意見分歧，難於尋求共識。
3. 葵興區內本來已有公屋、租者置其屋、舊式唐樓、私人樓宇，各階層利益已分歧，如果再加上不同屋邨及紀律部隊宿舍，**該區議員難於服務不同群體的居民及處理不同利益的意見。**
4. **選區多次變動，居民無所適從。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓多屆區議會均被編配去不同的選區，由1999年開始，先被編與光輝圍同一選區；2007年又被劃去葵興選區；2015年終於與葵涌邨其他樓宇同歸於一個選區，當居民習慣了一個選區及新區議員，現在又要改劃至與葵興同一選區，令春夏秋葵樓一些年長的居民難於適應及尋求協助。

簽名	住址	簽名	住址
鄧[illegible]雲	[redacted]	周淑芬	[redacted]
[illegible]	[redacted]	[illegible]	
劉[illegible]		[illegible]	
梁[illegible]	[redacted]	吳[illegible]明	
何淑儀	[redacted]	徐凤林	
[illegible]	[redacted]	李[illegible]	

5209(37)

反對2019區議會「劃走」春夏秋葵及葵馥苑

聯署反對選舉管理委員會就2019年區議會選舉，葵涌選區劃界的建議，原因如下：

1. **破壞社區完整性。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋及葵馥苑與生活配套，無論交通、屋邨管理、商場、街市和停車場都是共用，社區問題及改善均有其一致性，將春、夏、秋葵及葵馥苑劃走至葵興，破壞社區內協調處理社區問題的情況。
2. **選區二變三，議員易分歧。**葵涌邨由兩個區，變成三個區，將來若三位議員屬不同政黨，可能出現意見分歧，難於尋求共識。
3. 葵興區內本來已有公屋、租者置其屋、舊式唐樓、私人樓宇，各階層利益已分歧，如果再加上不同屋邨及紀律部隊宿舍，**該區議員難於服務不同群體的居民及處理不同利益的意見。**
4. **選區多次變動，居民無所適從。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓多屆區議會均被編配去不同的選區，由1999年開始，先被編與光輝圍同一選區；2007年又被劃去葵興選區；2015年終於與葵涌邨其他樓宇同歸於一個選區，當居民習慣了一個選區及新區議員，現在又要改劃至與葵興同一選區，令春夏秋葵樓一些年長的居民難於適應及尋求協助。

簽名	住址	簽名	住址
[illegible]	[redacted]	陳[illegible]如	
[illegible]	[redacted]	李[illegible]卡	
Chan[illegible]	[redacted]	羅玉葉	[redacted]
[illegible]	[redacted]	Emily	[redacted]
[illegible]	[redacted]	梁[illegible]妹	
[illegible]	[redacted]	黃[illegible]珍	

5209(38)

反對 2019區議會「劃走」春夏秋葵及葵馥苑

聯署反對選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會選舉，葵涌選區劃界的建議，原因如下：

1. **破壞社區完整性**。葵涌邨春、夏、秋及葵馥苑與生活配套，無論交通、屋邨管理、商場、街市和停車場都是共用，社區問題及改善均有其一致性，將春、夏、秋葵及葵馥苑劃走至葵興，破壞社區內協調處理社區問題的情況。
2. **選區二變三，議員易分歧**。葵涌邨由兩個區，變成三個區，將來若三位議員屬不同政黨，可能出現意見分歧，難於尋求共識。
3. 葵興區內本來已有公屋、租者置其屋、舊式唐樓、私人樓宇，各階層利益已分歧，如果再加上不同屋邨及紀律部隊宿舍，該區議員難於服務不同群體的居民及處理不同利益的意見。
4. **選區多次變動，居民無所適從**。葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓多屆區議會均被編配去不同的選區，由 1999 年開始，先被編與光輝圍同一選區；2007 年又被劃去葵興選區；2015 年終於與葵涌邨其他樓宇同歸於一個選區，當居民習慣了一個選區及新區議員，現在又要改劃至與葵興同一選區，令春夏秋葵樓一些年長的居民難於適應及尋求協助。

簽名	住址	簽名	住址
陳志華	[redacted]	一生	[redacted]
Mary	[redacted]	Mary	[redacted]
Esther Lee	[redacted]	許德根	林
Peter Siu	[redacted]	Tom	
		黃慧霞	

5209(39)

反對 2019區議會「劃走」春夏秋葵及葵馥苑

聯署反對選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會選舉，葵涌選區劃界的建議，原因如下：

1. **破壞社區完整性**。葵涌邨春、夏、秋及葵馥苑與生活配套，無論交通、屋邨管理、商場、街市和停車場都是共用，社區問題及改善均有其一致性，將春、夏、秋葵及葵馥苑劃走至葵興，破壞社區內協調處理社區問題的情況。
2. **選區二變三，議員易分歧**。葵涌邨由兩個區，變成三個區，將來若三位議員屬不同政黨，可能出現意見分歧，難於尋求共識。
3. 葵興區內本來已有公屋、租者置其屋、舊式唐樓、私人樓宇，各階層利益已分歧，如果再加上不同屋邨及紀律部隊宿舍，該區議員難於服務不同群體的居民及處理不同利益的意見。
4. **選區多次變動，居民無所適從**。葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓多屆區議會均被編配去不同的選區，由 1999 年開始，先被編與光輝圍同一選區；2007 年又被劃去葵興選區；2015 年終於與葵涌邨其他樓宇同歸於一個選區，當居民習慣了一個選區及新區議員，現在又要改劃至與葵興同一選區，令春夏秋葵樓一些年長的居民難於適應及尋求協助。

簽名	住址	簽名	住址
Alisa Ng			

5209(40)

反對 2019區議會「劃走」春夏秋葵及葵馥苑

聯署反對選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會選舉，葵涌選區劃界的建議，原因如下：

1. **破壞社區完整性**。葵涌邨春、夏、秋及葵馥苑與生活配套，無論交通、屋邨管理、商場、街市和停車場都是共用，社區問題及改善均有其一致性，將春、夏、秋葵及葵馥苑劃走至葵興，破壞社區內協調處理社區問題的情況。
2. **選區二變三，議員易分歧**。葵涌邨由兩個區，變成三個區，將來若三位議員屬不同政黨，可能出現意見分歧，難於尋求共識。
3. 葵興區內本來已有公屋、租者置其屋、舊式唐樓、私人樓宇，各階層利益已分歧，如果再加上不同屋邨及紀律部隊宿舍，該區議員難於服務不同群體的居民及處理不同利益的意見。
4. **選區多次變動，居民無所適從**。葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓多屆區議會均被編配去不同的選區，由 1999 年開始，先被編與光輝圍同一選區；2007 年又被劃去葵興選區；2015 年終於與葵涌邨其他樓宇同歸於一個選區，當居民習慣了一個選區及新區議員，現在又要改劃至與葵興同一選區，令春夏秋葵樓一些年長的居民難於適應及尋求協助。

簽名	住址	簽名	住址
曾偉鵬		[redacted]	
歐陽		陳玉梅	[redacted]
[redacted]	[redacted]	楊炯欽	
[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]
葉耀霞	[redacted]		
劉士勛	[redacted]		

5209(41)

反對 2019區議會「劃走」春夏秋葵及葵馥苑

聯署反對選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會選舉，葵涌選區劃界的建議，原因如下：

1. **破壞社區完整性**。葵涌邨春、夏、秋及葵馥苑與生活配套，無論交通、屋邨管理、商場、街市和停車場都是共用，社區問題及改善均有其一致性，將春、夏、秋葵及葵馥苑劃走至葵興，破壞社區內協調處理社區問題的情況。
2. **選區二變三，議員易分歧**。葵涌邨由兩個區，變成三個區，將來若三位議員屬不同政黨，可能出現意見分歧，難於尋求共識。
3. 葵興區內本來已有公屋、租者置其屋、舊式唐樓、私人樓宇，各階層利益已分歧，如果再加上不同屋邨及紀律部隊宿舍，該區議員難於服務不同群體的居民及處理不同利益的意見。
4. **選區多次變動，居民無所適從**。葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓多屆區議會均被編配去不同的選區，由 1999 年開始，先被編與光輝圍同一選區；2007 年又被劃去葵興選區；2015 年終於與葵涌邨其他樓宇同歸於一個選區，當居民習慣了一個選區及新區議員，現在又要改劃至與葵興同一選區，令春夏秋葵樓一些年長的居民難於適應及尋求協助。

簽名	住址	簽名	住址
	[redacted]	翁	
		林風嫦	
		卢火煌	
		吳月清	
		陳明	
		李	

5209(42)

反對 2019區議會「劃走」春夏秋葵及葵馥苑

聯署反對選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會選舉，葵涌選區劃界的建議，原因如下：

1. **破壞社區完整性。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋及葵馥苑與生活配套，無論交通、屋邨管理、商場、街市和停車場都是共用，社區問題及改善均有其一致性，將春、夏、秋葵及葵馥苑劃走至葵興，破壞社區內協調處理社區問題的情況。
2. **選區二變三，議員易分歧。**葵涌邨由兩個區，變成三個區，將來若三位議員屬不同政黨，可能出現意見分歧，難於尋求共識。
3. 葵興區內本來已有公屋、租者置其屋、舊式唐樓、私人樓宇，各階層利益已分歧，如果再加上不同屋邨及紀律部隊宿舍，**該區議員難於服務不同群體的居民及處理不同利益的意見。**
4. **選區多次變動，居民無所適從。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓多屆區議會均被編配去不同的選區，由1999 年開始，先被編與光輝圍同一選區；2007 年又被劃去葵興選區；2015 年終於與葵涌邨其他樓宇同歸於一個選區，當居民習慣了一個選區及新區議員，現在又要改劃至與葵興同一選區，令春夏秋葵樓一些年長的居民難於適應及尋求協助。

簽名	住址	簽名	住址

5209(43)

反對 2019區議會「劃走」春夏秋葵及葵馥苑

聯署反對選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會選舉，葵涌選區劃界的建議，原因如下：

1. **破壞社區完整性。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋及葵馥苑與生活配套，無論交通、屋邨管理、商場、街市和停車場都是共用，社區問題及改善均有其一致性，將春、夏、秋葵及葵馥苑劃走至葵興，破壞社區內協調處理社區問題的情況。
2. **選區二變三，議員易分歧。**葵涌邨由兩個區，變成三個區，將來若三位議員屬不同政黨，可能出現意見分歧，難於尋求共識。
3. 葵興區內本來已有公屋、租者置其屋、舊式唐樓、私人樓宇，各階層利益已分歧，如果再加上不同屋邨及紀律部隊宿舍，**該區議員難於服務不同群體的居民及處理不同利益的意見。**
4. **選區多次變動，居民無所適從。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓多屆區議會均被編配去不同的選區，由1999 年開始，先被編與光輝圍同一選區；2007 年又被劃去葵興選區；2015 年終於與葵涌邨其他樓宇同歸於一個選區，當居民習慣了一個選區及新區議員，現在又要改劃至與葵興同一選區，令春夏秋葵樓一些年長的居民難於適應及尋求協助。

簽名	住址	簽名	住址

5209(44)

反對 2019區議會「劃走」春夏秋葵及葵馥苑

聯署反對選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會選舉，葵涌選區劃界的建議，原因如下：

1. **破壞社區完整性。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋及葵馥苑與生活配套，無論交通、屋邨管理、商場、街市和停車場都是共用，社區問題及改善均有其一致性，將春、夏、秋葵及葵馥苑劃走至葵興，破壞社區內協調處理社區問題的情況。
2. **選區二變三，議員易分歧。**葵涌邨由兩個區，變成三個區，將來若三位議員屬不同政黨，可能出現意見分歧，難於尋求共識。
3. 葵興區內本來已有公屋、租者置其屋、舊式唐樓、私人樓宇，各階層利益已分歧，如果再加上不同屋邨及紀律部隊宿舍，**該區議員難於服務不同群體的居民及處理不同利益的意見。**
4. **選區多次變動，居民無所適從。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓多屆區議會均被編配去不同的選區，由1999 年開始，先被編與光輝圍同一選區；2007 年又被劃去葵興選區；2015 年終於與葵涌邨其他樓宇同歸於一個選區，當居民習慣了一個選區及新區議員，現在又要改劃至與葵興同一選區，令春夏秋葵樓一些年長的居民難於適應及尋求協助。

簽名	住址	簽名	住址

5209(45)

反對 2019區議會「劃走」春夏秋葵及葵馥苑

聯署反對選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會選舉，葵涌選區劃界的建議，原因如下：

1. **破壞社區完整性。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋及葵馥苑與生活配套，無論交通、屋邨管理、商場、街市和停車場都是共用，社區問題及改善均有其一致性，將春、夏、秋葵及葵馥苑劃走至葵興，破壞社區內協調處理社區問題的情況。
2. **選區二變三，議員易分歧。**葵涌邨由兩個區，變成三個區，將來若三位議員屬不同政黨，可能出現意見分歧，難於尋求共識。
3. 葵興區內本來已有公屋、租者置其屋、舊式唐樓、私人樓宇，各階層利益已分歧，如果再加上不同屋邨及紀律部隊宿舍，**該區議員難於服務不同群體的居民及處理不同利益的意見。**
4. **選區多次變動，居民無所適從。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓多屆區議會均被編配去不同的選區，由1999 年開始，先被編與光輝圍同一選區；2007 年又被劃去葵興選區；2015 年終於與葵涌邨其他樓宇同歸於一個選區，當居民習慣了一個選區及新區議員，現在又要改劃至與葵興同一選區，令春夏秋葵樓一些年長的居民難於適應及尋求協助。

簽名	住址	簽名	住址

5209(46)

反對 2019區議會「劃走」春夏秋葵及葵馥苑

聯署反對選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會選舉，葵涌選區劃界的建議，原因如下：

1. **破壞社區完整性**。葵涌邨春、夏、秋及葵馥苑與生活配套，無論交通、屋邨管理、商場、街市和停車場都是共用，社區問題及改善均有其一致性，將春、夏、秋葵及葵馥苑劃走至葵興，破壞社區內協調處理社區問題的情況。
2. **選區二變三，議員易分歧**。葵涌邨由兩個區，變成三個區，將來若三位議員屬不同政黨，可能出現意見分歧，難於尋求共識。
3. 葵興區內本來已有公屋、租者置其屋、舊式唐樓、私人樓宇，各階層利益已分歧，如果再加上不同屋邨及紀律部隊宿舍，**該區議員難於服務不同群體的居民及處理不同利益的意見。**
4. **選區多次變動，居民無所適從**。葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓多屆區議會均被編配去不同的選區，由1999 年開始，先被編與光輝關同一選區；2007 年又被劃去葵興選區；2015 年終於與葵涌邨其他樓宇同歸於一個選區，當居民習慣了一個選區及新區議員，現在又要改劃至與葵興同一選區，令春夏秋葵樓一些年長的居民難於適應及尋求協助。

簽名	住址	簽名	住址
方根榮	[redacted]	李文忠	[redacted]
肖滿郎	[redacted]	陳曉華	[redacted]
黃偉雄	[redacted]	羅玉清	[redacted]
麥愛珍	[redacted]	[illegible]	江我榮
楊愛如	楊愛如	陳皓堯	[redacted]
[illegible]	[redacted]	王志業	[redacted]

5209(47)

反對 2019區議會「劃走」春夏秋葵及葵馥苑

聯署反對選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會選舉，葵涌選區劃界的建議，原因如下：

1. **破壞社區完整性**。葵涌邨春、夏、秋及葵馥苑與生活配套，無論交通、屋邨管理、商場、街市和停車場都是共用，社區問題及改善均有其一致性，將春、夏、秋葵及葵馥苑劃走至葵興，破壞社區內協調處理社區問題的情況。
2. **選區二變三，議員易分歧**。葵涌邨由兩個區，變成三個區，將來若三位議員屬不同政黨，可能出現意見分歧，難於尋求共識。
3. 葵興區內本來已有公屋、租者置其屋、舊式唐樓、私人樓宇，各階層利益已分歧，如果再加上不同屋邨及紀律部隊宿舍，**該區議員難於服務不同群體的居民及處理不同利益的意見。**
4. **選區多次變動，居民無所適從**。葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓多屆區議會均被編配去不同的選區，由1999 年開始，先被編與光輝關同一選區；2007 年又被劃去葵興選區；2015 年終於與葵涌邨其他樓宇同歸於一個選區，當居民習慣了一個選區及新區議員，現在又要改劃至與葵興同一選區，令春夏秋葵樓一些年長的居民難於適應及尋求協助。

簽名	住址	簽名	住址
張月七弟	上	朱玉和	
關翠珍		江國華	
郭周蓮		Joyce Cheung	
葉偉友		[illegible]	
賀林英		王宏治	
陳惠明		彭志芳	

5209(48)

反對 2019區議會「劃走」春夏秋葵及葵馥苑

聯署反對選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會選舉，葵涌選區劃界的建議，原因如下：

1. **破壞社區完整性**。葵涌邨春、夏、秋及葵馥苑與生活配套，無論交通、屋邨管理、商場、街市和停車場都是共用，社區問題及改善均有其一致性，將春、夏、秋葵及葵馥苑劃走至葵興，破壞社區內協調處理社區問題的情況。
2. **選區二變三，議員易分歧**。葵涌邨由兩個區，變成三個區，將來若三位議員屬不同政黨，可能出現意見分歧，難於尋求共識。
3. 葵興區內本來已有公屋、租者置其屋、舊式唐樓、私人樓宇，各階層利益已分歧，如果再加上不同屋邨及紀律部隊宿舍，**該區議員難於服務不同群體的居民及處理不同利益的意見。**
4. **選區多次變動，居民無所適從**。葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓多屆區議會均被編配去不同的選區，由1999 年開始，先被編與光輝關同一選區；2007 年又被劃去葵興選區；2015 年終於與葵涌邨其他樓宇同歸於一個選區，當居民習慣了一個選區及新區議員，現在又要改劃至與葵興同一選區，令春夏秋葵樓一些年長的居民難於適應及尋求協助。

簽名	住址	簽名	住址
禤其亨	[redacted]	苑玉娣	
鄧麗珍	[redacted]	[illegible]	[redacted]
[illegible]	[redacted]	[illegible]	
[illegible]	[redacted]		
[illegible]	[redacted]	[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	

5209(49)

反對 2019區議會「劃走」春夏秋葵及葵馥苑

聯署反對選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會選舉，葵涌選區劃界的建議，原因如下：

1. **破壞社區完整性**。葵涌邨春、夏、秋及葵馥苑與生活配套，無論交通、屋邨管理、商場、街市和停車場都是共用，社區問題及改善均有其一致性，將春、夏、秋葵及葵馥苑劃走至葵興，破壞社區內協調處理社區問題的情況。
2. **選區二變三，議員易分歧**。葵涌邨由兩個區，變成三個區，將來若三位議員屬不同政黨，可能出現意見分歧，難於尋求共識。
3. 葵興區內本來已有公屋、租者置其屋、舊式唐樓、私人樓宇，各階層利益已分歧，如果再加上不同屋邨及紀律部隊宿舍，**該區議員難於服務不同群體的居民及處理不同利益的意見。**
4. **選區多次變動，居民無所適從**。葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓多屆區議會均被編配去不同的選區，由1999 年開始，先被編與光輝關同一選區；2007 年又被劃去葵興選區；2015 年終於與葵涌邨其他樓宇同歸於一個選區，當居民習慣了一個選區及新區議員，現在又要改劃至與葵興同一選區，令春夏秋葵樓一些年長的居民難於適應及尋求協助。

簽名	住址	簽名	住址
[illegible]		[illegible]	
吳惠芳		林羅華	
岑佩兒	[redacted]	[illegible]	
楊秀琼		[illegible]	[redacted]
陳素琼		[illegible]	
李		[illegible]	

5209(50)

反對 2019區議會「劃走」春夏秋葵及葵馥苑

聯署反對選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會選舉，葵涌選區劃界的建議，原因如下：

1. **破壞社區完整性。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋及葵馥苑與生活配套，無論交通、屋邨管理、商場、街市和停車場都是共用，社區問題及改善均有其一致性，將春、夏、秋葵及葵馥苑劃走至葵興，破壞社區內協調處理社區問題的情況。
2. **選區二變三，議員易分歧。**葵涌邨由兩個區，變成三個區，將來若三位議員屬不同政黨，可能出現意見分歧，難於尋求共識。
3. 葵興區內本來已有公屋、租者置其屋、舊式唐樓、私人樓宇，各階層利益已分歧，如果再加上不同屋邨及紀律部隊宿舍，**該區議員難於服務不同群體的居民及處理不同利益的意見。**
4. **選區多次變動，居民無所適從。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓多屆區議會均被編配去不同的選區，由1999 年開始，先被編與光輝圍同一選區；2007 年又被劃去葵興選區；2015 年終於與葵涌邨其他樓宇同歸於一個選區，當居民習慣了一個選區及新區議員，現在又要改劃至與葵興同一選區，令春夏秋葵樓一些年長的居民難於適應及尋求協助。

簽名	住址	簽名	住址
陳有就		[redacted]	[redacted]
[redacted]		[redacted]	[redacted]
[redacted]		[redacted]	[redacted]
[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]
[redacted]		[redacted]	
[redacted]		[redacted]	[redacted]

5209(51)

反對 2019區議會「劃走」春夏秋葵及葵馥苑

聯署反對選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會選舉，葵涌選區劃界的建議，原因如下：

1. **破壞社區完整性。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋及葵馥苑與生活配套，無論交通、屋邨管理、商場、街市和停車場都是共用，社區問題及改善均有其一致性，將春、夏、秋葵及葵馥苑劃走至葵興，破壞社區內協調處理社區問題的情況。
2. **選區二變三，議員易分歧。**葵涌邨由兩個區，變成三個區，將來若三位議員屬不同政黨，可能出現意見分歧，難於尋求共識。
3. 葵興區內本來已有公屋、租者置其屋、舊式唐樓、私人樓宇，各階層利益已分歧，如果再加上不同屋邨及紀律部隊宿舍，**該區議員難於服務不同群體的居民及處理不同利益的意見。**
4. **選區多次變動，居民無所適從。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓多屆區議會均被編配去不同的選區，由1999 年開始，先被編與光輝圍同一選區；2007 年又被劃去葵興選區；2015 年終於與葵涌邨其他樓宇同歸於一個選區，當居民習慣了一個選區及新區議員，現在又要改劃至與葵興同一選區，令春夏秋葵樓一些年長的居民難於適應及尋求協助。

簽名	住址	簽名	住址
[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]	
[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]	
[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]	
[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]	
[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]	
[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]	

5209(52)

反對 2019區議會「劃走」春夏秋葵及葵馥苑

聯署反對選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會選舉，葵涌選區劃界的建議，原因如下：

1. **破壞社區完整性。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋及葵馥苑與生活配套，無論交通、屋邨管理、商場、街市和停車場都是共用，社區問題及改善均有其一致性，將春、夏、秋葵及葵馥苑劃走至葵興，破壞社區內協調處理社區問題的情況。
2. **選區二變三，議員易分歧。**葵涌邨由兩個區，變成三個區，將來若三位議員屬不同政黨，可能出現意見分歧，難於尋求共識。
3. 葵興區內本來已有公屋、租者置其屋、舊式唐樓、私人樓宇，各階層利益已分歧，如果再加上不同屋邨及紀律部隊宿舍，**該區議員難於服務不同群體的居民及處理不同利益的意見。**
4. **選區多次變動，居民無所適從。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓多屆區議會均被編配去不同的選區，由1999 年開始，先被編與光輝圍同一選區；2007 年又被劃去葵興選區；2015 年終於與葵涌邨其他樓宇同歸於一個選區，當居民習慣了一個選區及新區議員，現在又要改劃至與葵興同一選區，令春夏秋葵樓一些年長的居民難於適應及尋求協助。

簽名	住址	簽名	住址
[redacted]		[redacted]	
[redacted]		[redacted]	
[redacted]		[redacted]	
[redacted]		[redacted]	
[redacted]		[redacted]	
[redacted]		[redacted]	

5209(53)

反對 2019區議會「劃走」春夏秋葵及葵馥苑

聯署反對選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會選舉，葵涌選區劃界的建議，原因如下：

1. **破壞社區完整性。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋及葵馥苑與生活配套，無論交通、屋邨管理、商場、街市和停車場都是共用，社區問題及改善均有其一致性，將春、夏、秋葵及葵馥苑劃走至葵興，破壞社區內協調處理社區問題的情況。
2. **選區二變三，議員易分歧。**葵涌邨由兩個區，變成三個區，將來若三位議員屬不同政黨，可能出現意見分歧，難於尋求共識。
3. 葵興區內本來已有公屋、租者置其屋、舊式唐樓、私人樓宇，各階層利益已分歧，如果再加上不同屋邨及紀律部隊宿舍，**該區議員難於服務不同群體的居民及處理不同利益的意見。**
4. **選區多次變動，居民無所適從。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓多屆區議會均被編配去不同的選區，由1999 年開始，先被編與光輝圍同一選區；2007 年又被劃去葵興選區；2015 年終於與葵涌邨其他樓宇同歸於一個選區，當居民習慣了一個選區及新區議員，現在又要改劃至與葵興同一選區，令春夏秋葵樓一些年長的居民難於適應及尋求協助。

簽名	住址	簽名	住址
[redacted]		[redacted]	
[redacted]		[redacted]	
[redacted]		[redacted]	
[redacted]		[redacted]	
[redacted]		[redacted]	
[redacted]		[redacted]	

5209(54)

反對 2019區議會「劃走」春夏秋葵及葵馥苑

聯署反對選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會選舉，葵涌選區劃界的建議，原因如下：

1. **破壞社區完整性。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋及葵馥苑與生活配套，無論交通、屋邨管理、商場、街市和停車場都是共用，社區問題及改善均有其一致性，將春、夏、秋葵及葵馥苑劃走至葵興，破壞社區內協調處理社區問題的情況。
2. **選區二變三，議員易分歧。**葵涌邨由兩個區，變成三個區，將來若三位議員屬不同政黨，可能出現意見分歧，難於尋求共識。
3. 葵興區內本來已有公屋、租者置其屋、舊式唐樓、私人樓宇，各階層利益已分歧，如果再加上不同屋邨及紀律部隊宿舍，**該區議員難於服務不同群體的居民及處理不同利益的意見。**
4. **選區多次變動，居民無所適從。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓多屆區議會均被編配去不同的選區，由1999 年開始，先被編與光輝圍同一選區；2007 年又被劃去葵興選區；2015 年終於與葵涌邨其他樓宇同歸於一個選區，當居民習慣了一個選區及新區議員，現在又要改劃至與葵興同一選區，令春夏秋葵樓一些年長的居民難於適應及尋求協助。

簽名	住址	簽名	住址
李振昇	[redacted]	鍾寶珠	[redacted]
吳秀輕	[redacted]	李文娟	[redacted]
羅柳娣	[redacted]	黃朱杰	[redacted]
李荷妹	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]
鄧桂佳	[redacted]	吉卓美	[redacted]
許彩好	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]

5209(55)

反對 2019區議會「劃走」春夏秋葵及葵馥苑

聯署反對選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會選舉，葵涌選區劃界的建議，原因如下：

1. **破壞社區完整性。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋及葵馥苑與生活配套，無論交通、屋邨管理、商場、街市和停車場都是共用，社區問題及改善均有其一致性，將春、夏、秋葵及葵馥苑劃走至葵興，破壞社區內協調處理社區問題的情況。
2. **選區二變三，議員易分歧。**葵涌邨由兩個區，變成三個區，將來若三位議員屬不同政黨，可能出現意見分歧，難於尋求共識。
3. 葵興區內本來已有公屋、租者置其屋、舊式唐樓、私人樓宇，各階層利益已分歧，如果再加上不同屋邨及紀律部隊宿舍，**該區議員難於服務不同群體的居民及處理不同利益的意見。**
4. **選區多次變動，居民無所適從。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓多屆區議會均被編配去不同的選區，由1999 年開始，先被編與光輝圍同一選區；2007 年又被劃去葵興選區；2015 年終於與葵涌邨其他樓宇同歸於一個選區，當居民習慣了一個選區及新區議員，現在又要改劃至與葵興同一選區，令春夏秋葵樓一些年長的居民難於適應及尋求協助。

簽名	住址	簽名	住址
何棟華	[redacted]	[illegible]	
趙保云	[redacted]	王	[redacted]
[illegible]	[redacted]	[illegible]	
叶彩蓮	[redacted]	吳惠娣	[redacted]
鄭標	[redacted]		
蔡丽君 [redacted]		陳楊枝	

5209(56)

反對 2019區議會「劃走」春夏秋葵及葵馥苑

聯署反對選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會選舉，葵涌選區劃界的建議，原因如下：

1. **破壞社區完整性。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋及葵馥苑與生活配套，無論交通、屋邨管理、商場、街市和停車場都是共用，社區問題及改善均有其一致性，將春、夏、秋葵及葵馥苑劃走至葵興，破壞社區內協調處理社區問題的情況。
2. **選區二變三，議員易分歧。**葵涌邨由兩個區，變成三個區，將來若三位議員屬不同政黨，可能出現意見分歧，難於尋求共識。
3. 葵興區內本來已有公屋、租者置其屋、舊式唐樓、私人樓宇，各階層利益已分歧，如果再加上不同屋邨及紀律部隊宿舍，**該區議員難於服務不同群體的居民及處理不同利益的意見。**
4. **選區多次變動，居民無所適從。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓多屆區議會均被編配去不同的選區，由1999 年開始，先被編與光輝圍同一選區；2007 年又被劃去葵興選區；2015 年終於與葵涌邨其他樓宇同歸於一個選區，當居民習慣了一個選區及新區議員，現在又要改劃至與葵興同一選區，令春夏秋葵樓一些年長的居民難於適應及尋求協助。

簽名	住址	簽名	住址
林何九妹	[redacted]	叶彩花	[redacted]
梁玉妹	[redacted]	Vicky Ng	
胡玉蘭	[redacted]	[illegible]	[redacted]
鄧淑珍	[redacted]	李樂燕	謝成珍
[illegible]	黃素梅	[illegible]	
吳氣前	[redacted]	劉彩娣	

5209(57)

反對 2019區議會「劃走」春夏秋葵及葵馥苑

聯署反對選舉管理委員會就 2019 年區議會選舉，葵涌選區劃界的建議，原因如下：

1. **破壞社區完整性。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋及葵馥苑與生活配套，無論交通、屋邨管理、商場、街市和停車場都是共用，社區問題及改善均有其一致性，將春、夏、秋葵及葵馥苑劃走至葵興，破壞社區內協調處理社區問題的情況。
2. **選區二變三，議員易分歧。**葵涌邨由兩個區，變成三個區，將來若三位議員屬不同政黨，可能出現意見分歧，難於尋求共識。
3. 葵興區內本來已有公屋、租者置其屋、舊式唐樓、私人樓宇，各階層利益已分歧，如果再加上不同屋邨及紀律部隊宿舍，**該區議員難於服務不同群體的居民及處理不同利益的意見。**
4. **選區多次變動，居民無所適從。**葵涌邨春、夏、秋葵樓多屆區議會均被編配去不同的選區，由1999 年開始，先被編與光輝圍同一選區；2007 年又被劃去葵興選區；2015 年終於與葵涌邨其他樓宇同歸於一個選區，當居民習慣了一個選區及新區議員，現在又要改劃至與葵興同一選區，令春夏秋葵樓一些年長的居民難於適應及尋求協助。

簽名	住址	簽名	住址
林秀娟	[redacted]	[illegible]	[redacted]
黎婉娥	[redacted]	郭丽娟	[redacted]
沈淑金	[redacted]	何月清	[redacted]
梁月婵	[redacted]	徐鎮妹	[redacted]
梁均河	[redacted]		
鍾超平	[redacted]		

5210



5211



5212(1)

選區劃界意見 12/08/2018 22:38
From: [redacted]
To: "eacenq@reo.gov.hk" <eacenq@reo.gov.hk>
Please respond to [redacted]
1 Attachment
選區劃界意見.docx

5212(2)

馮驊先生,GBS :

選區分界及名稱的臨時建議

我是葵青區議會(大白田)選區選民朱先生,我認為貴選委會所規劃的新選區「大白田東」和「大白田西」會容易令建制派漁人得利以及有違選舉公平性,因為在 2015 年區議會選舉,此選舉爭取連任的時任區議員[redacted]以 800 多票之差輸給民建聯小花[redacted],其後有傳媒揭發[redacted]所屬政黨進行種票以及於選舉期提供非法利益(e.g 平價旅行團,免費日用品派發),因此如果貴會把這個選區一分為二,恐怕種票事件會再次在這選區死灰復燃。同時會影響日後市民於地區求助遇到前所未有的困難。

順頌

時棋

區議會選舉選民

朱先生謹啟

5213

敬啓者：

對二零一九年區議會選舉選區分界意見：
本會強烈要求將葵涌邨春葵、夏葵、秋葵及葵馥苑保留在「葵涌邨南」選區(S06)

本人劉愛民，與妻子黃佩齊，自小在葵涌新區長大，本人的一對已經成年的子女本，亦在葵涌新區出生、成長。對葵涌新區（現稱葵涌邨）非常有歸屬感，我們夫婦健康曾分別出現問題，期間得到一班老街坊的關懷及幫忙，現時子女已經成長，而我們亦屆退休年齡，所以每天都樂於到邨內的服務中心當義工，服務其他街坊，我們夫婦都以作爲葵涌邨居民而倍感自豪。

近日，從新聞報導得知，指選管會在制定 2019 年選區分界時，將葵涌邨原來兩個選區改爲三個，將我們居住葵涌邨幾座大廈，再一次劃入葵興選區，我們夫婦對此非常不解，亦非常不滿。8 月 1 日，我們參加了葵涌邨居民權益關注組的行動，到荔枝角社區會堂出席選管會的諮詢大會，向選管會表達不滿將「十二年內遭三次重劃選區」。會上，由於我們無緣獲得發言機會，惟有寫信向　閣下提出不滿，要求選管會將葵涌邨春葵、夏葵、秋葵及葵馥苑保留在「葵涌邨南」選區(S06)。

葵涌邨重劃選區建議擾民　選區分界須考慮社區特性

葵涌邨二十多年前因爲屋邨重建，人口出現很大的變化。葵涌邨(一)期包括春葵、夏葵、秋葵及茵葵樓落成較早，由於人口較少，未能成爲一個獨立選區，作爲過渡安排，選管會在 2007 年區議會選區劃界中，將葵涌邨(一)期四座公屋，與相鄰的葵興邨及光輝圍合併爲一個選區，我們尚可理解。雖然選管會在 2015 年重訂區議會選舉選區分界時，始將葵涌邨合併爲兩個選區，但總算對民意有個交待。但我們不明在葵涌邨「沒有」任何社區及人口重大改變下，忽然提出重訂選區分界，將春、夏、秋及葵馥苑合共 5 座大廈劃走，再次併入葵興選區(S01)，居民對此議論紛紛，對選管會建議表示不滿！

作爲一個少於 4 萬人的獨立社區，葵涌邨維持兩個選區，完全符合選管會區區劃界的要求，選管會實在沒有必要重劃葵涌邨南的選區分界。此外，葵涌邨不論在從歷史發展、地理位置、社區需要上都息息相關、血肉相連，嚴如一個「獨立社區」。葵涌邨在地理上雖然毗鄰葵興邨及光輝圍，但葵涌邨地勢較高，兩區居民平日依靠一條俗稱「百步梯」的通道步行上落。事實上，自 2007 年重劃選區後，相關區議員經常留駐葵興邨，甚少到本邨接見居民，幾經投訴，才在本邨開設一間議員辦事處，8 年任期內只出席了「一次」居民舉辦的居民會，與居民非常疏離。直至在 2015 年將葵涌邨劃分爲兩個選區後，情況始有改善。

基於以上種種理由，本人夫婦強烈要求將葵涌邨春葵、夏葵、秋葵及葵馥苑保留在「葵涌邨南」選區(S06)，希望選管會聽取民意，不要作出「不合情理」的錯誤決定。

此致
選舉管理委員會主席馮驊法官

（葵涌邨春葵樓居民劉愛民、黃佩齊夫婦謹啓）

二零一八年八月二日

5214

敬啓者：

對二零一九年區議會選舉選區分界意見：
本會強烈要求將葵涌邨春葵、夏葵、秋葵及葵馥苑保留在「葵涌邨南」選區(S06)

本人鍾攜弟，與丈夫吳漢華，年輕時在九龍市區當小販，工作非常辛勞。我們申請公屋多年，十年前獲配到葵涌邨秋葵樓居住，夫婦兩人亦可以退休，安享晚年。我們非常喜歡葵涌邨，因爲葵涌邨街坊很有人情味，願意守望相助，街坊對於自身權益亦非常關注。

早知證係火　唔使周圍撲

十年前初到葵涌邨，我與本邨街坊認識不深，遇到困難時，曾想找區議員幫忙，但在邨內尋訪多時都找不到議員辦事處。幾經查詢，始發現辦事處在山下的葵興邨，不單步行需時近 20 分鐘，更要上落百多級的樓梯級。當時我實在不明白，爲何葵涌邨的議員，將辦事開在葵興邨如此荒唐？其後聽街坊談及前因後果，始知因爲政府鍾葵涌邨人口少，將葵涌邨劃了給葵興邨，該議員只能「揸義氣」兼理葵涌邨。由於長期服務葵興邨，人家自然將辦事處開在葵興邨。當時，有街坊表明在葵涌邨重建全邨入伙後，爭取完整代表葵涌邨的區議員。街坊最終努力沒有白費，政府在 2015 年的區議會選舉，讓葵涌邨有自己兩個區議員。「早知證係火，唔使周圍撲」，區議員的辦事處就係附近，一搵就搵到。

近日，街坊開會，得知選管會在制定 2019 年選區分界時，將葵涌邨原來兩個選區又改爲三個，將我們居住的秋葵樓，再一次劃入葵興選區，我們夫婦非常不解，亦非常不滿。由於年紀大，我們沒有參加 8 月 1 日晚上的行動，但我們仍很想向政府表達不滿「重劃選區、擠迫傻民」，惟有便人寫信向　閣下提出不滿，要求選管會將葵涌邨春葵、夏葵、秋葵及葵馥苑保留在「葵涌邨南」選區(S06)。

我們實在不明白，葵涌邨過去幾年社區及人口沒有任何改變，選民人口劃分兩區亦「啲啲好」，爲何今次一定要搞葵涌邨？就算其他區有人口變動，最好附近解決，不應該影響其他區。事實證明，2007 年及 2011 年的選區劃界不得人心，選管會才於 2015 年「認衰」，將葵涌邨劃作南北兩個選區。這個決定「計啲數」的同時，亦顧及葵涌邨的完整性及獨特性，故本人夫婦對選管會今次的倒退建議，

葵涌邨重劃選區建議擾民　選區分界須考慮社區特性

作爲長者，我們必須強調，葵涌邨雖然鄰近葵興邨及光輝圍，但葵涌邨地勢較高，街坊平日依靠一條「百步梯」的通道步行上落，對長者絕對不方便。上面提到，在 2015 年重劃選區前，本人從未見過有關區議員。聞說該議員鮮聞居民作出投訴，才在本邨開設一間議員辦事處，但只是派職員一個星期開兩晚，平時關門大吉。因此，本人夫婦強烈要求將葵涌邨春葵、夏葵、秋葵及葵馥苑保留在「葵涌邨南」選區(S06)，希望選管會聽取民意，不要「一錯再錯」。

此致
選舉管理委員會主席馮驊法官

（葵涌邨秋葵樓長晉鍾攜弟、吳漢華謹啓）

二零一八年八月四日

(1)

5215(1)

敬啓者：

對二零一九年區議會選舉選區分界意見：
本會強烈要求將葵涌邨春葵、夏葵、秋葵及葵馥苑保留在「葵涌邨南」選區(S06)

本人鄭標，今年 86 歲，本人一家自上世紀 60 年代入住葵涌新區，至今接近 50 年。葵涌邨二十多年前進行屋邨重建，居民自發組成居民權益關注組，共同關心社區發展需要。當時本人仍要外出工作，無暇參與。十多年前，本人在退休後開始參與社區活動，其後獲街坊選爲葵涌邨居民權益關注組第二任主席，服務街坊至今。

近日，葵涌邨不少居民向本人投訴，表示不滿選管會制定 2019 年選區分界時，再一次提出將葵涌邨選區「二分爲三」的建議。8 月 1 日，葵涌邨不少街坊出席選管會在荔枝角社區會堂舉辦的諮詢會，爲的就是向　閣下及選管會表達不滿將「葵涌邨在十二年內遭三次重劃選區」。儘管本人當人未能出席，但已委託本會秘書張繼炳先生親自向　閣下遞交意見書，批評選管會今次對葵涌邨選區劃界的建議非常不合理，強烈要求將葵涌邨春葵、夏葵、秋葵及葵馥苑保留在「葵涌邨南」選區(S06)。

葵涌邨四萬居民任人宰割、十二年內遭三次重劃選區

葵涌邨二十多年前因爲屋邨重建，人口不足成爲一個獨立選區，我們對於選管會在 2007 年區議會選區劃界中，將葵涌邨四座公屋與相鄰的葵興邨及光輝圍合併爲一個選區的過渡安排，尚可理解，但隨著葵涌邨完成重建，截至 2010 年底，本邨人口已達 38,200 人。2010 年 12 月 31 日，本會曾去信選管會，表達不同意「2011 年區議會選舉選區分界建議將葵涌邨春葵、夏葵、秋葵及茵葵樓繼續劃入葵興選區」。選管會在 2015 年重訂區議會選舉選區分界時，終於將葵涌邨合併爲兩個選區，總算對民意有個交待。但未及四年，選管會在剛發表的「2019 年區議會一般選舉 452 個擬議選區的分界及名稱的臨時建議」中，忽然提出重訂選區分界，將春、夏、秋及葵馥苑合共 5 座大廈再次併入葵興選區(S01)，令葵涌邨又再被分割爲三個選區，本邨居民對選管會表示強烈不滿！

葵涌邨劃爲兩區合乎人口標準　選區分界必須照顧社區特性

根據選舉管理委員會指出：*按法例的規定，選管會在調整選區分界時，除了考慮預計人口外，亦須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素。選管會不能只硬性依從法例許可幅度的要求，而不顧及上述的其他法定因素。因此，如有需要，爲顧及這些法定因素，選管會會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度。*

葵涌邨作爲一個略少於 4 萬人的獨立社區，維持兩個選區，完全符合選管會區區劃界的要求，選管會沒有必要重劃葵涌邨南的選區分界。事實上，葵涌邨不論在從歷史發展、地理位置、社區需要上都息息相關、血肉相連，社區發展有其獨突性。2008 年，本邨居民認爲康文署將新落成的公園命名爲「大窩口遊公園」不能反映本邨的社區特徵，本會曾聯同各座互委會及居民群起反對，向特區政府及在葵青區議會爭取重新命名，康民署亦不敢忽逆民意，同意按葵涌邨居民的意願，將已經刊憲的新公園重新命名爲「葵涌新區公園」，反映葵涌邨的社區獨突性及居民強烈的社區意識。此外，葵涌邨在地理上雖然毗鄰葵興邨及光輝圍，但葵涌邨地勢較高，兩區居民平日依靠一條俗稱「百步梯」的通道步行上落，本會十年來一直要求政府興建電梯落，方便居民上落。可見兩個社區實在各具發展邊界。

5215(2)

擅離反正民意清晰　劃區不宜倒行逆施

選管會在本邨社區及人口沒有出現重大改變的情況下，建議在 2019 年將葵涌邨「再次」一分爲三，把葵涌邨 5 座大廈劃入名爲「葵興」(S01)的選區，有關選區的名稱既不能反映選民所屬的社區，亦沒有考慮到葵涌邨社區的原整性。事實證明，2007 年及 2011 年的選區劃界不得人心，選管會才於 2015 年撥亂反正，將葵涌邨劃作南北兩個選區！此舉既顧及社區的完整性及獨特性，本邨居民日後亦無需透過三名議員反映整體社區的需要。因此，本人對選管會今次的倒退建議表示「不能接受」！

基於以上種種理由，本人強烈要求將葵涌邨春葵、夏葵、秋葵及葵馥苑保留在「葵涌邨南」選區(S06)，希望選管會聽取民意，不要作出「不合情理」的錯誤決定，令葵涌邨被瓜分爲三個選區。如蒙示覆，請寄新界[redacted]室。有勞之處，不勝銘感。

此致
選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊法官

（葵涌邨居民 鄭 標　謹啓）

二零一八年八月三日

5216

敬啓者：

對二零一九年區議會選舉選區分界意見：
本會強烈要求將葵涌邨春葵、夏葵、秋葵及葵馥苑保留在「葵涌邨南」選區(S06)

本人梁樹妹，現年 76 歲，本人一家自 1964 年徙置入住葵涌新區(現稱葵涌邨)，至今超過 54 年。葵涌邨在上世紀九十年代重建期間，我們一班熱心街坊爲了敦睦街坊互助，保障子孫安居樂業，自發組成居民權益關注組，共同關心社區發展需要。

近日，葵涌新區一群街坊對於選管會在制定 2019 年選區分界時，再一次提出將葵涌邨選區「二分爲三」的建議，表示非常不滿。8 月 1 日，我們葵涌新區一群老街坊，不惜從葵涌邨自費乘坐的士到荔枝角社區會堂，出席選管會的諮詢大會，爲的就是向 閣下及選管會表達不滿將「葵涌邨四萬居民任人宰割、十二年內遷三次重劃選區」。*會上，本人有幸獲得發言機會，向 閣下提出今次對葵涌邨選區劃界的建議非常簡民，要求將葵涌邨春葵、夏葵、秋葵及葵馥苑保留在「葵涌邨南」選區(S06)*。

葵涌邨四萬居民任人宰割、十二年內遷三次重劃選區

葵涌邨二十多年前因爲屋邨重建，人口出現很大的變化。葵涌邨(一)期包括春葵、夏葵、秋葵及茵葵樓落成較早，由於人口較少，未能成爲一個獨立選區，作爲過渡安排，選管會在 2007 年區議會選區劃界中，將葵涌邨(一)期四座公屋，與相鄰的葵興邨及光輝圍合併爲一個選區，我們尚可理解，但要求在葵涌邨完成重建入伙後，按照葵涌邨的社區需要及獨特性，將葵涌邨重新劃爲一至兩個選區。

隨著葵涌邨完成重建，截至 2010 年底，本邨 16 座公屋及 2 座政府宿舍入伙後，人口已達 4 萬人，但選管會直到 2015 年重訂區議會選舉選區分界時，始將葵涌邨合併爲兩個選區，還葵涌邨一個公道。但在葵涌邨「沒有」任何社區及人口重大改變下，選管會忽然提出在 2019 年重訂選區分界時，將本邨 5 座大廈再次併入葵興選區(S01)，令葵涌邨再被分割爲三個選區，本人對選管會表示強烈不滿！

<u>葵涌邨劃爲兩區合乎人口標準　選區分界必須照顧社區特性</u>

葵涌邨不論在從歷史發展、地理位置、社區需要上都息息相關、血肉相連，是如一個完整社區。作爲一個少於 4 萬人的獨立社區，葵涌邨維持兩個選區，完全符合選管會選區劃界的要求，選管會實在沒有必要重劃葵涌邨南的選區分界。事實上，自 2007 年重劃選區後，相關區議員長期留駐葵興邨，與本邨居民關係疏離，在爭取改善社區問題上，亦不能反映居民的真正期望，情況在 2015 年將葵涌邨劃分爲兩個選區後，新當選的議員認真聽取及反映本邨民意後，居民與區議員的關係才有改善。

基於以上種種理由，本人強烈要求將葵涌邨春葵、夏葵、秋葵及葵馥苑保留在「葵涌邨南」選區。如蒙 示覆，請寄新界[redacted]室。有勞之處，不勝銘感。

此致
選舉管理委員會主席馮驊法官

梁樹妹

（葵涌新區老街坊梁樹妹　謹啓）

二零一八年八月四日

5217

敬啓者：

對二零一九年區議會選舉選區分界意見：
本會強烈要求將葵涌邨春葵、夏葵、秋葵及葵馥苑保留在「葵涌邨南」選區(S06)

本人鄧廣群，現年 74 歲，本人一家入住葵涌邨超過 50 年。葵涌邨重建期間，我們一班熱心街坊自發組成居民權益關注組，團結區內街坊互相幫助，共同關心社區發展需要。近日，街坊從新聞得悉選管會在制定 2019 年選區分界時，建議將葵涌邨選區「二分爲三」表示強烈不滿。我們一群葵涌新區街坊，會當面向 閣下及選管會表達不滿，要求將葵涌邨春葵、夏葵、秋葵及葵馥苑保留在「葵涌邨南」選區(S06)。

<u>葵涌邨劃爲兩區合乎人口標準　選區分界必須照顧社區特性</u>

隨著葵涌邨完成重建，截至 2010 年底，本邨 16 座公屋及 2 座政府宿舍入伙後，人口已達 4 萬人。但選管會直到 2015 年重訂區議會選舉選區分界時，始將葵涌邨合併爲兩個選區，還葵涌邨一個公道。但在葵涌邨「沒有」任何社區及人口重大改變下，選管會忽然提出在 2019 年重訂選區分界時，將本邨 5 座大廈再次併入葵興選區(S01)，令葵涌邨再被分割爲三個選區，本人對選管會表示強烈不滿！

選舉管理委員會指出：按法例的規定，選管會在劃整選區分界時，除了考慮預計人口外，亦須顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素。選管會不能只機性依從法例許可幅度的要求，而不顧及上述的其他法定因素。因此，如有需要，爲顧及這些法定因素，選管會會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度。

葵涌邨不論在從歷史發展、地理位置、社區需要上都息息相關、血肉相連，是如一個完整社區。作爲一個少於 4 萬人的獨立社區，葵涌邨維持兩個選區，完全符合選管會選區劃界的要求，選管會實在沒有必要重劃葵涌邨南的選區分界。事實上，自 2007 年重劃選區後，相關區議員長期留駐葵興邨，與本邨居民關係疏離，在爭取改善社區問題上，亦不能反映居民的真正期望，情況在 2015 年將葵涌邨劃分爲兩個選區後，新當選的議員認真聽取及反映本邨民意後，居民與區議員的關係才有改善。

基於以上種種理由，本人強烈要求將葵涌邨春葵、夏葵、秋葵及葵馥苑保留在「葵涌邨南」選區。如蒙 示覆，請寄新界[redacted]室。有勞之處，不勝銘感。

此致
選舉管理委員會主席馮驊法官

鄧廣群

（葵涌新區老街坊鄧廣群　謹啓）

二零一八年八月九日

5218

From: 17/08/2018 16:04

香港灣仔
港灣道25號
海港中心10樓
選舉管理委員會秘書處
傳真號碼： 2511 1682
致選管會主席：

本人是石歡樓居民，剛剛搬入石歡樓四年多，留意到 2019 年的選區分界，石歡樓、石富樓及石祥樓被撥去新選區（大白田東），本人認為石歡樓應該留在石籬北選區。

石歡樓於 2013 年底建成，是石籬二邨最新的公屋，一切都是剛剛起步，入伙四年今年才成立互助委員會，幫助居民適應新環境。但是石歡樓現在被劃入新選區，與很多私家樓同屬一個選區，令到石歡樓選民十分混亂，甚至令到未登記的居民也沒有意欲成為選民，最後導致投票率低，是一個雙輸的局面。石歡樓居民剛剛到新環境，遇到問題也不知道找誰幫忙，一個邨有三個區議員實在太奇怪，違返選管會加強地方聯繫和注重社區發展的原則。

加上，石歡樓居民已經與石欣和石廣樓街坊變得熟絡。石歡樓的公園很大，又有籃球場等等休閒設施，而天橋又連接石欣樓，吸引很多石欣和石廣樓街坊經常前往石歡樓樓下使用休憩設施，居民之間的交流有助石歡樓居民適應新社區。在關係已經熟絡的情況下，現在劃入新選區，就不能與石欣和石廣樓朋友一起參加社區活動。石歡樓又與私家樓相隔甚遠，新選區變相孤立石歡樓。公屋與私家樓的需求各有不同，石歡又是新建成，很多事情未適應，公屋互助委員會與私樓的業主法團也不同，難以一起合作幫助居民。

最後參閱選管會的考慮因素，選管會的最大擔心是人口問題，怕超出 25%的人口基數，但是作為石歡樓居民最希望的是地方加強聯繫與社區發展，這樣區議員也容易幫助居民，這才是選舉的最終目的。

17-AUG-2018 16:16 95% P.001

5219

From: 17/08/2018 16:05

香港灣仔
港灣道25號
海港中心10樓
選舉管理委員會秘書處
傳真號碼： 2511 1682
致選管會主席：

本人是石歡樓居民，剛剛搬入石歡樓四年多，留意到 2019 年的選區分界，石歡樓、石富樓及石祥樓被撥去新選區（大白田東），本人認為石歡樓應該留在石籬北選區。

石歡樓於 2013 年底建成，是石籬二邨最新的公屋，一切都是剛剛起步，入伙四年今年才成立互助委員會，幫助居民適應新環境。但是石歡樓現在被劃入新選區，與很多私家樓同屬一個選區，令到石歡樓選民十分混亂，甚至令到未登記的居民也沒有意欲成為選民，最後導致投票率低，是一個雙輸的局面。石歡樓居民剛剛到新環境，遇到問題也不知道找誰幫忙，一個邨有三個區議員實在太奇怪，違返選管會加強地方聯繫和注重社區發展的原則。

加上，石歡樓居民已經與石欣和石廣樓街坊變得熟絡。石歡樓的公園很大，又有籃球場等等休閒設施，而天橋又連接石欣樓，吸引很多石欣和石廣樓街坊經常前往石歡樓樓下使用休憩設施，居民之間的交流有助石歡樓居民適應新社區。在關係已經熟絡的情況下，現在劃入新選區，就不能與石欣和石廣樓朋友一起參加社區活動。石歡樓又與私家樓相隔甚遠，新選區變相孤立石歡樓。公屋與私家樓的需求各有不同，石歡又是新建成，很多事情未適應，公屋互助委員會與私樓的業主法團也不同，難以一起合作幫助居民。

最後參閱選管會的考慮因素，選管會的最大擔心是人口問題，怕超出 25%的人口基數，但是作為石歡樓居民最希望的是地方加強聯繫與社區發展，這樣區議員也容易幫助居民，這才是選舉的最終目的。

潘美卿

17-AUG-2018 16:16 95% P.002

From: 17/08/2018 16:06 5220

香港灣仔
港灣道25號
海港中心10樓
選舉管理委員會秘書處
傳真號碼： 2511 1682
致選管會主席：

本人是石歡樓居民，剛剛搬入石歡樓四年多，留意到 2019 年的選區分界，石歡樓、石富樓及石祥樓被撥去新選區（大白田東），本人認為石歡樓應該留在石籬北選區。

石歡樓於 2013 年底建成，是石籬二邨最新的公屋，一切都是剛剛起步，入伙四年今年才成立互助委員會，幫助居民適應新環境。但是石歡樓現在被劃入新選區，與很多私家樓同屬一個選區，令到石歡樓選民十分混亂，甚至令到未登記的居民也沒有意欲成為選民，最後導致投票率低，是一個雙輸的局面。石歡樓居民剛剛到新環境，遇到問題也不知道找誰幫忙，一個邨有三個區議員實在太奇怪，違返選管會加強地方聯繫和注重社區發展的原則。

加上，石歡樓居民已經與石欣和石廣樓街坊變得熟絡。石歡樓的公園很大，又有籃球場等等休閒設施，而天橋又連接石欣樓，吸引很多石欣和石廣樓街坊經常前往石歡樓樓下使用休憩設施，居民之間的交流有助石歡樓居民適應新社區。在關係已經熟絡的情況下，現在劃入新選區，就不能與石欣和石廣樓朋友一起參加社區活動。石歡樓又與私家樓相隔甚遠，新選區變相孤立石歡樓。公屋與私家樓的需求各有不同，石歡又是新建成，很多事情未適應，公屋互助委員會與私樓的業主法團也不同，難以一起合作幫助居民。

最後參閱選管會的考慮因素，選管會的最大擔心是人口問題，怕超出 25%的人口基數，但是作為石歡樓居民最希望的是地方加強聯繫與社區發展，這樣區議員也容易幫助居民，這才是選舉的最終目的。

17-AUG-2018 16:17 95% P.003

From: 17/08/2018 16:07 5221

香港灣仔
港灣道25號
海港中心10樓
選舉管理委員會秘書處
傳真號碼： 2511 1682
致選管會主席：

本人是石歡樓居民，剛剛搬入石歡樓四年多，留意到 2019 年的選區分界，石歡樓、石富樓及石祥樓被撥去新選區（大白田東），本人認為石歡樓應該留在石籬北選區。

石歡樓於 2013 年底建成，是石籬二邨最新的公屋，一切都是剛剛起步，入伙四年今年才成立互助委員會，幫助居民適應新環境。但是石歡樓現在被劃入新選區，與很多私家樓同屬一個選區，令到石歡樓選民十分混亂，甚至令到未登記的居民也沒有意欲成為選民，最後導致投票率低，是一個雙輸的局面。石歡樓居民剛剛到新環境，遇到問題也不知道找誰幫忙，一個邨有三個區議員實在太奇怪，違返選管會加強地方聯繫和注重社區發展的原則。

加上，石歡樓居民已經與石欣和石廣樓街坊變得熟絡。石歡樓的公園很大，又有籃球場等等休閒設施，而天橋又連接石欣樓，吸引很多石欣和石廣樓街坊經常前往石歡樓樓下使用休憩設施，居民之間的交流有助石歡樓居民適應新社區。在關係已經熟絡的情況下，現在劃入新選區，就不能與石欣和石廣樓朋友一起參加社區活動。石歡樓又與私家樓相隔甚遠，新選區變相孤立石歡樓。公屋與私家樓的需求各有不同，石歡又是新建成，很多事情未適應，公屋互助委員會與私樓的業主法團也不同，難以一起合作幫助居民。

最後參閱選管會的考慮因素，選管會的最大擔心是人口問題，怕超出 25%的人口基數，但是作為石歡樓居民最希望的是地方加強聯繫與社區發展，這樣區議員也容易幫助居民，這才是選舉的最終目的。

賴芷穎

17-AUG-2018 16:18 95% P.004

From: 17/08/2018 16:07 5222

香港灣仔
港灣道25號
海港中心10樓
選舉管理委員會秘書處
傳真號碼： 2511 1682
致選管會主席：

本人是石歡樓居民，剛剛搬入石歡樓四年多，留意到 2019 年的選區分界，石歡樓、石富樓及石祥樓被撥去新選區（大白田東），本人認為石歡樓應該留在石籬北選區。

石歡樓於 2013 年底建成，是石籬二邨最新的公屋，一切都是剛剛起步，入伙四年今年才成立互助委員會，幫助居民適應新環境。但是石歡樓現在被劃入新選區，與很多私家樓同屬一個選區，令到石歡樓選民十分混亂，甚至令到未登記的居民也沒有意欲成為選民，最後導致投票率低，是一個雙輸的局面。石歡樓居民剛剛到新環境，遇到問題也不知道找誰幫忙，一個邨有三個區議員實在太奇怪，違返選管會加強地方聯繫和注重社區發展的原則。

加上，石歡樓居民已經與石欣和石廣樓街坊變得熟絡。石歡樓的公園很大，又有籃球場等等休閒設施，而天橋又連接石欣樓，吸引很多石欣和石廣樓街坊經常前往石歡樓樓下使用休憩設施，居民之間的交流有助石歡樓居民適應新社區。在關係已經熟絡的情況下，現在劃入新選區，就不能與石欣和石廣樓朋友一起參加社區活動。石歡樓又與私家樓相隔甚遠，新選區變相孤立石歡樓。公屋與私家樓的需求各有不同，石歡又是新建成，很多事情未適應，公屋互助委員會與私樓的業主法團也不同，難以一起合作幫助居民。

最後參閱選管會的考慮因素，選管會的最大擔心是人口問題，怕超出 25%的人口基數，但是作為石歡樓居民最希望的是地方加強聯繫與社區發展，這樣區議員也容易幫助居民，這才是選舉的最終目的。

17-AUG-2018 16:18 95% P.006

From: 17/08/2018 16:38 5223

香港灣仔
港灣道25號
海港中心10樓
選舉管理委員會秘書處
傳真號碼： 2511 1682
致選管會主席：

本人是石歡樓居民，剛剛搬入石歡樓四年多，留意到 2019 年的選區分界，石歡樓、石富樓及石祥樓被撥去新選區（大白田東），本人認為石歡樓應該留在石籬北選區。

石歡樓於 2013 年底建成，是石籬二邨最新的公屋，一切都是剛剛起步，入伙四年今年才成立互助委員會，幫助居民適應新環境。但是石歡樓現在被劃入新選區，與很多私家樓同屬一個選區，令到石歡樓選民十分混亂，甚至令到未登記的居民也沒有意欲成為選民，最後導致投票率低，是一個雙輸的局面。石歡樓居民剛剛到新環境，遇到問題也不知道找誰幫忙，一個邨有三個區議員實在太奇怪，違返選管會加強地方聯繫和注重社區發展的原則。

加上，石歡樓居民已經與石欣和石廣樓街坊變得熟絡。石歡樓的公園很大，又有籃球場等等休閒設施，而天橋又連接石欣樓，吸引很多石欣和石廣樓街坊經常前往石歡樓樓下使用休憩設施，居民之間的交流有助石歡樓居民適應新社區。在關係已經熟絡的情況下，現在劃入新選區，就不能與石欣和石廣樓朋友一起參加社區活動。石歡樓又與私家樓相隔甚遠，新選區變相孤立石歡樓。公屋與私家樓的需求各有不同，石歡又是新建成，很多事情未適應，公屋互助委員會與私樓的業主法團也不同，難以一起合作幫助居民。

最後參閱選管會的考慮因素，選管會的最大擔心是人口問題，怕超出 25%的人口基數，但是作為石歡樓居民最希望的是地方加強聯繫與社區發展，這樣區議員也容易幫助居民，這才是選舉的最終目的。

譚桂蓮

17-AUG-2018 16:49 95% P.001

5224(1)

要求更改選區名字：葵青區 S23青衣村 改名 青綠 Tsing Luk 選區 17/08/2018 16:55

From: [redacted]
To: "eacenq@reo.gov.hk" <eacenq@reo.gov.hk>

1 Attachment

SKM_C22718081716450.pdf

選舉管理委員會秘書處
執事先生:

你好，
請參閱隨函附件。

謝謝

陳笑文

葵青區青衣邨選區

file:///C:/Users/REO/AppData/Local/Temp/notesC7A056/~web4001.htm 17/8/2018

5224(2)

陳笑文議員辦事處 Office of CHAN Siu-man, Simon
葵青區議員(獨立、民選) Kwai Tsing District Councillor (Elected, Independent)

致：選管會秘書處 Fax: [redacted]

本處檔案：[redacted] 日期：17/8/2018

事宜：更改選區名字

葵青區 S23 青衣邨 改名 青綠 Tsing Luk 選區

本人 陳笑文 為葵青區 S23 青衣邨現任區議員。葵青區 S23 青衣邨選區 包括 三個屋苑 分別是 1：綠悠雅苑 2：青衣邨 3：青怡花園 。

它們都位於青綠街 Tsing Luk Street 沿途，為使居民更清晰其所屬選區範圍，不獨只是青衣邨，故希望青衣邨選區改名為青綠 Tsing Luk 選區。

特致函貴處，懇請考慮，則深表謝意。

副本：葵青區民政署

葵青區議會秘書處

陳笑文

新界 [redacted] 電話：[redacted] 電郵：[redacted]
N.T., Hong Kong Email: [redacted]

05/04 2015 18:00

5224(3)

陳笑文議員辦事處 Office of CHAN Siu-man, Simon
葵青區議員(獨立、民選) Kwai Tsing District Councillor (Elected, Independent)

致：選管會秘書處 Fax: [redacted]

本處檔案：[redacted] 日期：17/8/2018

事宜：更改選區名字

葵青區 S23 青衣邨 改名 青綠 Tsing Luk 選區

本人 陳笑文 為葵青區 S23 青衣邨現任區議員。葵青區 S23 青衣邨選區 包括 三個屋苑 分別是 1：綠悠雅苑 2：青衣邨 3：青怡花園 。

它們都位於青綠街 Tsing Luk Street 沿途，為使居民更清晰其所屬選區範圍，不獨只是青衣邨，故希望青衣邨選區改名為青綠 Tsing Luk 選區。

特致函貴處，懇請考慮，則深表謝意。

副本：葵青區民政署

葵青區議會秘書處

陳笑文

新界 [redacted] 電話：[redacted] 電郵：[redacted]
No. [redacted], N.T., Hong Kong Email: [redacted]

17-AUG-2018 17:06 96% P.001

5225

From: 20/08/2018 12:18

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會秘書處
傳真號碼： 2511 1682

致選管會主席：

關於 2019 年的選區分界，石歡樓、石富樓及石祥樓被撥去新選區（大白田東），本人有以下建議。

參考政府建議將石祥樓和石富樓撥至大白田東，但保留石歡樓於石籬北。為了符合選管會選區區時建議人口（既人口基數不超出 25%），建議將石禧樓和石福樓劃入大白田東。根據 2011 年政府統計處人口普查，石禧樓及石福樓人口共有 1801 人，與石歡樓人口相若，不影響選區人口，達至選管會的考慮因素。

以上建議基於選管會照顧社區獨特性的原則。石禧、石福、石祥、石富四座連在一起，對面是麥華閣、安盛大廈、葵涌花園等私人樓宇，地理上接近大白田東，形成一個獨特的社區。根據 2011 人口普查數據石禧、石福的居民有 64%是 40-65 歲以上，42%人口的教育水平是小學或以下，而家庭住戶每月收入中位數為 HK$5320，所以石禧及石福居民經常往石蔭路市場買菜，因為石蔭路店鋪多，居民有較多選擇，可以買到較便宜的日用品。石福、石禧、石祥、石富經常往石蔭路買菜，早與石蔭路的私家樓居民變得熟絡。故此石禧、石福、石祥、石富四座早與私家樓形成一個獨特的社區。

相反石歡樓於 2013 年底建成，由於當區區議員反對建造石歡樓，不少居民遷了入伙，剛剛才適應新社區，就被選劃入石蔭路私家樓選區，令選民造成混亂，嚴重的話導致投票率低，相信這不是選管會所期望的。在石歡樓的地理環境上，石歡樓與石欣樓以天橋連接，石欣樓與石廣樓居民經常前往石歡樓樓下使用休憩設施，幫助石歡樓居民適應新環境，石欣、石廣、石歡居民早已熟絡，理應劃入同一選區，符合選管會加強地方聯繫的大原則。

加上石歡樓是新建成的公屋，一切都是剛剛起步，若劃入私家樓選區，是不符合選管會注意社區發展的原則，應該劃入公屋選區，讓石歡樓慢慢發展起來，在鄰近的公屋（石欣、石廣）影響下，開始留意社區發展，甚至積極投票，盡自己公民的責任。
最後參閱選管會的考慮因素，選管會的最大擔憂是人口問題，怕超出 25%的人口基數，但是無視了社區獨特性、公屋發展、地方聯繫等大原則，僅僅根據數據劃分，卻沒有真正了解一個社區的真實情況，居民的生活方式，到最後投票率低的責任又應該由誰負責。本人的建議既符合選管會的建議人口，又符合選管會的大原則，希望主席好好考慮。

李小琴

20-AUG-2018 12:29 95% P.001

5226(1)

劃區-1.pdf 20/08/2018 12:39
From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

1 Attachment
劃區-1.pdf

5226(2)

致選管會主席馮驊大法官，

閣下好，本人倪鈞森為葵涌(東北)分區委員會主席，選區劃界一事雖然未見諮詢分區會，本人略盡己責，反映所知實際情況。
見到葵涌(東北)分區新增了S10大白田東選區，感謝選管會體察區內實際人口，為區內增添議席，服務市民。但本人認為石禮樓石福樓石祥樓石富樓同在大白田街及同一巷道上，所以本人認為上述四幢樓宇應一并劃入S10 大白東選區以貫徹完整性。

同時石歡樓原為S12石籬北選區，石歡樓和石欣樓有天橋連接，日常石歡樓居民活動交流都傾向石欣樓方向；於地理上和居民日常生活習慣上本人都認為石歡樓係留在S12石籬北選區較為合適。
另外位於青山公路的嘉翠園一向在S16選區，但嘉翠園地理上不能徒步到達S16投票站，使投票率一直偏低，嘉翠園居民都只會找S13石籬南區議員求助，因此本人希望嘉翠園劃入S13石籬南選區，便較合民情。

再次感謝選管會不辭勞苦，完善全港選區
祝工作順利完滿

倪鈞森

20/8/2018

5227

就葵青區長康選區作出申訴 20/08/2018 15:09
From: [redacted]
To: "eacenq@reo.gov.hk" <eacenq@reo.gov.hk>
Please respond to [redacted]

本人為葵青區議員徐曉杰。本人希望就2019年區議會選舉的選區分界提出申訴。

申訴內容:

建議:
把S27 康盛區區內的平樓、康安樓及康盛樓劃回給S26的長康選區。建議後，S26長康選區的選區範圍: 康富樓、康貴樓、康榮樓、康華樓、康和樓、康泰樓、康平樓、康安樓、康盛樓及青蓉苑。

建議理由:
2015年的區議會選舉開始，本屬於長康選區的康平樓、康安樓和康盛樓劃分到康盛選區，以致長期一邨的10棟樓被分劃到不同的選區。

首先，這樣劃令康平樓、康安樓和康盛樓的居民感到十分困擾。因為，2015年的區議會選舉前，他們是屬於長康選區的範圍，習慣了原有的模式。包括選舉投票及尋求區議員幫助。至2015區議會選舉後，本辦事處收到不少居民的投訴，他們表示選舉受善會不應該他們劃分到康盛選區。被劃分後，他們與依舊習慣到本辦事處尋求幫助，覺得與其他同屬長康一邨的居民被分化。因此，他們聯求區舉委員會把康平樓、康安樓和康盛樓劃回長康選區。

再者，長康邨內以長者為多數，長者適應力較其他人低，所以他們不太願意就此改變他們本來的習慣。例如，不少長者約在20多年都習慣到本人辦事處求助或參加一些社區活動。所以，他們盼望2019年的區議會選舉分界可把康平樓、康安樓和康盛樓劃回長康選區。一來可以減少這民的不便，二來可以降低選區轉變為居民帶來無形的壓力。

本人相信如果把康平樓、康安樓和康盛樓劃回S26長康選區，定能提高區內的和諧及為康平樓、康安樓和康盛樓的居民帶來更好的地區劃界。

20/8/2018

5228

From: 20/08/2018 16:09

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會秘書處
傳真號碼： 2511 1682

致選管會主席：

鑒於 2019 年的選區分界，石歡樓、石富樓及石祥樓被撥去新選區（大白田東），本人有以下建議。

參考政府建議將石祥樓和石富樓撥至大白田東，但保留石歡樓於石籬北。為了符合選管會選區臨時建議人口（既人口基數不超出 25%），建議將石禧樓和石福樓劃入大白田東。根據 2011 年政府統計處人口普查，石禧樓及石福樓人口共有 1801 人，與石歡樓人口相若，不影響選區人口，達至選管會的考慮因素。

以上建議基於選管會照顧社區獨特性的原則，石禧、石福、石祥、石富四座連在一起，對面是豪華閘、安盛大廈、葵涌花園等私人樓宇，地理上接近大白田東，形成一個獨特的社區。根據 2011 人口普查數據石禧、石福的居民有 64%是 40-65 歲以上，42%人口的教育水平是小學或以下，而家庭住戶每月收入中位數為 HK$5320，所以石禧及石福居民經常往石蔭路市場買菜，因為石蔭路店舖多，居民有較多選擇，可以買到較便宜的日用品。石禧、石福、石祥、石富四座早與私家樓形成一個獨特的社區。

相反石歡樓於 2013 年底建成，由於當區區議員反對興建石歡樓，不少居民嚟了入伙，剛剛才適應新社區，就被劃入石蔭路私家樓選區，令選民造成混亂，嚴重的話導致投票率低，相信這不是選管會所期望的。在石歡樓的地理環境上，石歡樓與石欣樓以天橋連接，石欣樓與石廣樓居民經常前往石歡樓樓下使用休憩設施，幫助石歡樓居民適應新環境，石欣、石廣、石歡居民早已熟絡，理應劃入同一選區，符合選管會加強地方聯繫的大原則。

加上石歡樓是新建成的公屋，一切都是剛剛起步，若劃入私家樓選區，是不符合選管會注重社區發展的原則，應該劃入公屋選區，讓石歡樓慢慢發展起來，在鄰近的公屋（石欣、石廣）影響下，開始留意社區發展，甚至積極投票，盡自己公民的責任。
最後參閱選管會的考慮因素，選管會的最大擔憂是人口問題，怕超出 25%的人口基數，但是無視了社區獨特性、公屋發展、地方聯繫等大原則，僅僅根據數據劃分，卻沒有真正了解一個社區的真實情況、居民的生活方式，到最後投票率低的責任又應該由誰負責。本人的建議既符合選管會的建議人口，又符合選管會的大原則，希望主席好好考慮。

王秀鏡

20/8/2018 30-AUG-2018 16:20

5229(1)

臨時建議書面申述 21/08/2018 14:07
From: [redacted]
To: "eacenq@reo.gov.hk" <eaceuq@reo.gov.hk>

致選舉管理委員會:

本團體多次要求葵青區區議員徐曉杰向選管會索取詳細的選區人口分佈，可惜選管會一直以「規劃署人口分布推算小組屬下的專責小組不能提供各選區內個別樓宇的預計人口」為理由未能提供詳細的選區人口分布。可是，欠缺詳細的人口數字，公眾如何能夠可靠地分析或評估現時的選區劃界有否改善之處？本會認為選管會應該提供有關選區分界的詳細資料，從而提升區議會選區諮詢的透明度。

儘管如此，本會亦透過房署及人口統計資料作出推算，從而向選管會提出以下建議。鑒主席馮驊法官明白為何本會不能計算出建議重劃的實際人口數目，並能夠以公平、公正的原則去處理本會的上訴建議。建議書詳情如下：

(1) 背景資料
S24 翠怡選區
主要屋邨/範圍: 涌美老屋村、海欣花園、翠怡花園、藍田村、海悅花園、大王下村、青輝新村、青裕新村及鹽田角村
預計人口: 18,232
標準人口基數偏離百分比: +9.84

S26 長康選區
主要屋邨/範圍: 康富樓、康貴樓、康泰樓、康華樓、康榮樓、康和樓及青華苑
預計人口: 14,099
標準人口基數偏離百分比: -15.06

S27 盛康選區
主要屋邨/範圍: 康慧樓、康祥樓、康順樓、康美樓、康平樓、康安樓、 康盛樓及青盛苑
預計人口: 14,902
標準人口基數偏離百分比: -10.22

現時S24翠怡選區、 S26長康選區及S27盛康選區的標準人口基數偏離百分比合共達到35. 12個百分點，而重劃以上選區，將大大均衡這三個選區的偏差百分比，詳情建議如下：

1. S24翠怡選區現時的標準人口基數偏離百分比為加9. 84，如把S24翠怡選區內的所有村屋(包括涌美老屋、 藍田村、大王下村、青輝新村、青裕新村及鹽田角村)劃走， 預計S24翠怡選區的人口偏差會大大下降；

2. 同時，S26長康選區的標準人口基數偏離百分比為負15. 06，如把S27盛康選區劃走的康平樓、 康安樓及康盛樓加入S26長康選區， 預計S26長康選區的人口偏差負淨值會大大縮減；

5229(2)

3. 再者，S27盛康選區的標準人口基數偏離百分比為負10.22， 如把康平樓、康安樓及康盛樓劃走，再者， 把從S24翠怡選區劃走的所有村屋(包括涌美老屋、藍田村、 大王下村、青輝新村，青裕新村及鹽田角村)加入S27盛康選區， 預計S27盛康選區的人口偏差負淨值同樣會大大縮減，按上述建議整理後的選區劃界詳情如下:

S24 翠怡選區
主要屋邨/範圍: 海欣花園、翠怡花園、海悅花園

S26 長康選區
主要屋邨/範圍: 康富樓、康貴樓、康泰樓、康華樓、康榮樓、康和樓、康平樓、 康安樓、康盛樓及青華苑

S27 盛康選區
主要屋邨/範圍: 康慧樓、康祥樓、康順樓、康美樓、涌美老屋村、藍田村、大王下村、 青輝新村，青裕新村、鹽田角村及青盛苑

(2) 選區劃界須顧及長期發展和避免經常修訂分界

至2015年選區分界，長康一期的康平、康安及康盛樓劃入「盛康」選區，此舉長遠來說，不但影響長康一邨的社區完整性， 更令「長康」「盛康」選區變成人口偏離百分比低於10%，在未來10年「長康」及「盛康」區並無任何空間增加屋苑的客觀環境下，兩區最終亦難免因為人口偏低，而再次面對修訂分界的情況。

回顧上屆區議會選舉於2015年舉行時， 康平樓、康安樓及康盛樓的選民因當時的新修訂選區劃分，而要改到樂善堂梁值像紀念中學票站投票，不過，至2016年立法會選舉時，這批選民卻依舊被安排到2011年區議會選舉票站「青衣商會小學」投票。大量選民即時反映，這舉動令他們極其困擾，投票地點一改再改，令選民無所適從。 因此，本人認為把康平樓、康安樓及康盛樓劃回S26盛康選區， 不但能方便康平樓、康安樓及康盛樓的選民， 亦能避免選區經常修訂分界的情況發生。

(3) 區域的自然特徵

長康邨一期主要分作雙工字型、舊長型、單座工字型， 而長康邨二期主要為Y型為主。樓宇結構、單位面積及其配套， 家庭人口特性，這些差異也使屋邨的管理上有所不同。另外， 邨內設施包括停車場、 熟食檔及鮮活街市都根據長康邨一二期清楚分開作兩期。 長康邨分作兩期此社區獨特性已經近30年，無論居民的地區訴求、房屋署管理模式、交通社區設施亦鮮明地作出分界。

(4) 社區獨特性及維持社區聯繫

自1994年區議會選舉至2015年區議會選舉， 多年以來長康邨一期(9座樓)一直都規在「長康選區」， 而長康邨二期(4座樓)在過往多年都規劃在「盛康選區」。因此， 房屋署長康邨屋

5229(3)

邨諮詢委員會亦將設施、工程資源都分作兩區，

但是，把長康邨一期的康平、康安、康盛樓規劃在「盛康選區」後， 對區內居民來說是十分困擾， 例如設施的分配應該是像以往分兩期的5:5比例， 還是根據座數分作6:3:4，此舉將會引起區內居民、 地區領袖及屋邨諮詢代表的矛盾，令社區不和諧， 本人認為這並非選舉委員會的目的。

另一方面， 把原本屬於S24翠怡選區內的村屋劃分到S27的盛康選區， 此舉對區內居民的影響為最少。 因為原本屬於S24翠怡選區內村屋的建設和需求與其區內的其他屋 苑本身也不同， 所以把選區內的村屋整體轉換去另一選區並不會帶來任何的矛盾， 更不會影響區域的自然特徵， 更可以維持其社區獨特性及維持社區聯繫。

分區的最大考慮應該是社區的完整性及社區的發展， 其次為人口數字，政治因素不應該成為分區的考慮因數。所以， 本人認為2019年的選區分界應把康平、康安、 康盛樓劃給S26長康選區，好讓社區更完整和更和諧。

青衣居民關注組

5230(1)

選舉事務署:

本人與一眾石梨二邨對現時及將來的選舉劃區十分不滿。

首先，而家石梨二邨劃為兩个区，嚴重破坏二邨完整性，令居民極之混着。石梨二邨石華樓及石佳樓劃到以石梨一邨人口為主的石梨南選区，但管理為石梨二邨管理處，居民对于这项劃分深感不滿。而未來的劃分更將石梨二邨二分為三，令石梨二邨更為分裂，完整性進一步受破坏，所以希望選舉事務處尊重石梨二邨居民意願，以石梨二邨為一个選区單位。

一眾石梨二邨居民

選舉事務署：

本人與一眾石籬居民對現時及將來的選舉劃區十分不滿。

首先，而家石籬二邨劃為兩個區，嚴重破壞二邨完整性，令居民極之混淆。石籬二邨石華樓及石佳樓劃到以石籬一邨人口為主的石籬南區，但管理為房署石籬二邨管理處，居民對於這項劃分深感不滿。而未來的劃分更將石籬二邨二分為三，令石籬二邨更為分裂，完整性進一步受破壞，所以希望選舉事務處尊重石籬二邨居民意願，以石籬二邨為一個選區單位。

一眾石籬二邨居民

馮驊法官：

本人現居於葵青區嘉翠園十多年，一直對選區劃分極之不滿。嘉翠園由我搬入居住至今一直劃到華麗選區，但華麗區主要人口不在嘉翠園，而且投票站遠離嘉翠園，結果構成以下結果：

1.華麗區議員難以服務嘉翠園

2.嘉翠園居民難以尋求議員協助

3.票站遠離嘉翠園，每次選舉中，嘉翠園去投票的人數不會多過 10 人。

所以希望閣下能藉今次劃區，將嘉翠園劃到最近的石籬區，令嘉翠園得到重視及關注。

祝工作順利

嘉翠園居民

梁小姐

5231(2)

馮驊法官：

本人現居於葵青區嘉翠園十多年，一直對選區劃分極之不滿。嘉翠園由我搬入居住至今一直劃到華麗選區，但華麗區主要人口不在嘉翠園，而且投票站遠離嘉翠園，結果構成以下結果：

1. 華麗區議員難以服務嘉翠園
2. 嘉翠園居民難以尋求議員協助
3. 票站遠離嘉翠園，每次選舉中，嘉翠園去投票的人數不會多過 10 人。

所以希望閣下能藉今次劃區，將嘉翠園劃到最近的石籬區，令嘉翠園得到重視及關注。

祝工作順利

嘉翠園居民

梁小姐

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會秘書處
傳真號碼： 2511 1682

致選管會主席：

鑒於 2019 年的選區分界，石歡樓、石富樓及石祥樓被撥去新選區（大白田東），本人有以下建議，

參考政府建議將石祥樓和石富樓撥至大白田東，但保留石歡樓於石籬北，為了符合選管會選區劃時建議人口（個人口基數不超出 25%），建議將石禧樓和石福樓劃入大白田東，根據 2011 年政府統計處人口普查，石禧樓及石福樓人口共有 1801 人，與石歡樓人口相若，不影響選區人口，達至選管會的考慮因素。

以上建議基於選管會照顧社區獨特性的原則，石禧、石福、石祥、石富四座連在一起，對面是麗華閣、安盛大廈、葵涌花園等私人樓宇，地理上接近大白田東，形成一個獨特的社區，根據 2011 人口普查數據石禧、石福的居民有 64%是 40-65 歲以上，42%人口的教育水平是小學或以下，而家庭住戶每月收入中位數為 HK$5320，所以石禧及石福居民經常往石籬路市場買菜，因為石籬路店舖多，居民有較多選擇，可以買到較便宜的日用品，石禧、石福、石祥、石富經常往石籬路買菜，早與石籬路的私家樓居民變得熟絡，故此石禧、石福、石祥、石富四座早與私家樓形成一個獨特的社區。

相反石歡樓於 2013 年底建成，由於當區區議員反對建造石歡樓，不少居民遷了入伙，剛剛才適應新社區，就被強劃入石籬路私家樓選區，令選民造成混亂，嚴重的話導致投票率低，相信這不是選管會所期望的。在石歡樓的地理環境上，石歡樓與石欣樓以天橋連接，石欣樓與石廣樓居民經常前往石歡樓樓下使用休憩設施，幫助石歡樓居民適應新環境，石欣、石廣、石歡居民早已熟絡，理應劃入同一選區，符合選管會加強地方聯繫的大原則。

加上石歡樓是新建成的公屋，一切都是剛剛起步，若劃入私家樓選區，是不符合選管會注重社區發展的原則，應該劃入公屋選區，讓石歡樓慢慢發展起來，在鄰近的公屋（石欣、石廣）影響下，開始留意社區發展，甚至積極投票，盡自己公民的責任。

最後參閱選管會的考慮因素，選管會的最大擔憂是人口問題，怕超出 25%的人口基數，但是無視了社區獨特性、公屋發展、地方聯繫等大原則，僅僅根據數據劃分，卻沒

26/08/2018 09:05 [redacted] DIHPCL 5232(2)

有真正了解一個社區的真實情況、居民的生活方式，到最後投票率低的責任又應該由誰負責。本人的建議既符合選管會的建議人口，又符合選管會的大原則，希望主席好好考慮。

簽署：徐錦全
徐錦全
2018 年 8 月 15 日

2/2

21-AUG-2018 17:46 [redacted] 96% P.002

From: 21/08/2018 18:23 5233

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會秘書處
傳真號碼： 2511 1682

致選管會主席：

鑒於 2019 年的選區分界，石歡樓、石富樓及石祥樓被撥去新選區（大白田東），本人有以下建議。

參考政府建議將石祥樓和石富樓撥至大白田東，但保留石歡樓於石籬北。為了符合選管會選區臨時建議人口（既人口基數不超出 25%），建議將石禧樓和石福樓劃入大白田東。根據 2011 年政府統計處人口普查，石禧樓及石福樓人口共有 1801 人，與石歡樓人口相若，不影響選區人口，達至選管會的考慮因素。

以上建議基於選管會照顧社區獨特性的原則。石禧、石福、石祥、石富四座連在一起，對面是豪華閣、安盛大廈、葵涌花園等私人樓宇，地理上接近大白田東，形成一個獨特的社區。根據 2011 人口普查數據石禧、石福的居民有 64%是 40-65 歲以上，42%人口的教育水平是小學或以下，而家庭住戶每月收入中位數為 HK$5320，所以石禧及石福居民經常往石蔭路市場買菜，因為石蔭路店鋪多，居民有較多選擇，可以買到較便宜的日用品。石禧、石福、石祥、石富經常往石蔭路買菜，早與石蔭路的私家樓居民變得熟絡。故此石禧、石福、石祥、石富四座早與私家樓形成一個獨特的社區。

相反石歡樓於 2013 年底建成，由於當區區議員反對建造石歡樓，不少居民遷了入伙，剛剛才適應新社區，就被遷劃入石蔭路私家樓選區，令選民造成混亂，嚴重的話導致投票率低，相信這不是選管會所期望的。在石歡樓的地理環境上，石歡樓與石欣樓以天橋連接，石欣樓與石廣樓居民經常前往石歡樓樓下使用休憩設施，幫助石歡樓居民適應新環境，石欣、石廣、石歡居民早已熟絡，理應劃入同一選區，符合選管會加強地方聯繫的大原則。

加上石歡樓是新建成的公屋，一切都是剛剛起步，若劃入私家樓選區，是不符合選管會注重社區發展的原則，應該劃入公屋選區，讓石歡樓慢慢發展起來，在鄰近的公屋（石欣、石廣）影響下，開始留意社區發展，甚至積極投票，盡自己公民的責任。
最後參閱選管會的考慮因素，選管會的最大擔憂是人口問題，怕超出 25%的人口基數，但是無視了社區獨特性、公屋發展、地方聯繫等大原則，僅僅根據數據劃分，卻沒有真正了解一個社區的真實情況、居民的生活方式，到最後投票率低的責任又應該由誰負責。本人的建議既符合選管會的建議人口，又符合選管會的大原則，希望主席好好考慮。

21-AUG-2018 18:10 95% P.001

From: 21/08/2018 18:22 5234

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會秘書處
傳真號碼： 2511 1682

致選管會主席：

鑒於 2019 年的選區分界，石歡樓、石富樓及石祥樓被撥去新選區（大白田東），本人有以下建議。

參考政府建議將石祥樓和石富樓撥至大白田東，但保留石歡樓於石籬北。為了符合選管會選區臨時建議人口（既人口基數不超出 25%），建議將石禧樓和石福樓劃入大白田東。根據 2011 年政府統計處人口普查，石禧樓及石福樓人口共有 1801 人，與石歡樓人口相若，不影響選區人口，達至選管會的考慮因素。

以上建議基於選管會照顧社區獨特性的原則。石禧、石福、石祥、石富四座連在一起，對面是豪華閣、安盛大廈、葵涌花園等私人樓宇，地理上接近大白田東，形成一個獨特的社區。根據 2011 人口普查數據石禧、石福的居民有 64%是 40-65 歲以上，42%人口的教育水平是小學或以下，而家庭住戶每月收入中位數為 HK$5320，所以石禧及石福居民經常往石蔭路市場買菜，因為石蔭路店鋪多，居民有較多選擇，可以買到較便宜的日用品。石禧、石福、石祥、石富經常往石蔭路買菜，早與石蔭路的私家樓居民變得熟絡。故此石禧、石福、石祥、石富四座早與私家樓形成一個獨特的社區。

相反石歡樓於 2013 年底建成，由於當區區議員反對建造石歡樓，不少居民遷了入伙，剛剛才適應新社區，就被遷劃入石蔭路私家樓選區，令選民造成混亂，嚴重的話導致投票率低，相信這不是選管會所期望的。在石歡樓的地理環境上，石歡樓與石欣樓以天橋連接，石欣樓與石廣樓居民經常前往石歡樓樓下使用休憩設施，幫助石歡樓居民適應新環境，石欣、石廣、石歡居民早已熟絡，理應劃入同一選區，符合選管會加強地方聯繫的大原則。

加上石歡樓是新建成的公屋，一切都是剛剛起步，若劃入私家樓選區，是不符合選管會注重社區發展的原則，應該劃入公屋選區，讓石歡樓慢慢發展起來，在鄰近的公屋（石欣、石廣）影響下，開始留意社區發展，甚至積極投票，盡自己公民的責任。
最後參閱選管會的考慮因素，選管會的最大擔憂是人口問題，怕超出 25%的人口基數，但是無視了社區獨特性、公屋發展、地方聯繫等大原則，僅僅根據數據劃分，卻沒有真正了解一個社區的真實情況、居民的生活方式，到最後投票率低的責任又應該由誰負責。本人的建議既符合選管會的建議人口，又符合選管會的大原則，希望主席好好考慮。

21-AUG-2018 18:09 95% P.001

From: 21/08/2018 18:21 5235

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會秘書處
傳真號碼： 2511 1682

致選管會主席：

鑒於 2019 年的選區分界，石歡樓、石富樓及石祥樓被撥去新選區（大白田東），本人有以下建議。

參考政府建議將石祥樓和石富樓撥至大白田東，但保留石歡樓於石籬北。為了符合選管會選區臨時建議人口（既人口基數不超出 25%），建議將石禧樓和石福樓劃入大白田東。根據 2011 年政府統計處人口普查，石禧樓及石福樓人口共有 1801 人，與石歡樓人口相若，不影響選區人口，達至選管會的考慮因素。

以上建議基於選管會照顧社區獨特性的原則。石禧、石福、石祥、石富四座連在一起，對面是豪華閣、安盛大廈、葵涌花園等私人樓宇，地理上接近大白田東，形成一個獨特的社區。根據 2011 人口普查數據石禧、石福的居民有 64%是 40-65 歲以上，42%人口的教育水平是小學或以下，而家庭住戶每月收入中位數為 HK$5320，所以石禧及石福居民經常往石蔭路市場買菜，因為石蔭路店鋪多，居民有較多選擇，可以買到較便宜的日用品。石禧、石福、石祥、石富經常往石蔭路買菜，早與石蔭路的私家樓居民變得熟絡。故此石禧、石福、石祥、石富四座早與私家樓形成一個獨特的社區。

相反石歡樓於 2013 年底建成，由於當區區議員反對建造石歡樓，不少居民遷了入伙，剛剛才適應新社區，就被遷劃入石蔭路私家樓選區，令選民造成混亂，嚴重的話導致投票率低，相信這不是選管會所期望的。在石歡樓的地理環境上，石歡樓與石欣樓以天橋連接，石欣樓與石廣樓居民經常前往石歡樓樓下使用休憩設施，幫助石歡樓居民適應新環境，石欣、石廣、石歡居民早已熟絡，理應劃入同一選區，符合選管會加強地方聯繫的大原則。

加上石歡樓是新建成的公屋，一切都是剛剛起步，若劃入私家樓選區，是不符合選管會注重社區發展的原則，應該劃入公屋選區，讓石歡樓慢慢發展起來，在鄰近的公屋（石欣、石廣）影響下，開始留意社區發展，甚至積極投票，盡自己公民的責任。
最後參閱選管會的考慮因素，選管會的最大擔憂是人口問題，怕超出 25%的人口基數，但是無視了社區獨特性、公屋發展、地方聯繫等大原則，僅僅根據數據劃分，卻沒有真正了解一個社區的真實情況、居民的生活方式，到最後投票率低的責任又應該由誰負責。本人的建議既符合選管會的建議人口，又符合選管會的大原則，希望主席好好考慮。

21-AUG-2018 18:08 95% P.001

From: 21/08/2018 18:19 5236

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會秘書處
傳真號碼： 2511 1682

致選管會主席：

鑒於 2019 年的選區分界，石歡樓、石富樓及石祥樓被撥去新選區（大白田東），本人有以下建議。

參考政府建議將石祥樓和石富樓撥至大白田東，但保留石歡樓於石籬北。為了符合選管會選區臨時建議人口（既人口基數不超出 25%），建議將石禧樓和石福樓劃入大白田東。根據 2011 年政府統計處人口普查，石禧樓及石福樓人口共有 1801 人，與石歡樓人口相若，不影響選區人口，達至選管會的考慮因素。

以上建議基於選管會照顧社區獨特性的原則。石禧、石福、石祥、石富四座連在一起，對面是豪華閣、安盛大廈、葵涌花園等私人樓宇，地理上接近大白田東，形成一個獨特的社區。根據 2011 人口普查數據石禧、石福的居民有 64%是 40-65 歲以上，42%人口的教育水平是小學或以下，而家庭住戶每月收入中位數為 HK$5320，所以石禧及石福居民經常往石蔭路市場買菜，因為石蔭路店鋪多，居民有較多選擇，可以買到較便宜的日用品，石禧、石福、石祥、石富經常往石蔭路買菜，早與石蔭路的私家樓居民變得熟絡。故此石禧、石福、石祥、石富四座早與私家樓形成一個獨特的社區。

相反石歡樓於 2013 年底建成，由於當區區議員反對建造石歡樓，不少居民遲了入伙，剛剛才適應新社區，就被遷劃入石蔭路私家樓選區，令選民造成混亂，嚴重的話導致投票率低，相信這不是選管會所期望的。在石歡樓的地理環境上，石歡樓與石欣樓以天橋連接，石欣樓與石廣樓居民經常前往石歡樓樓下使用休憩設施，幫助石歡樓居民適應新環境，石欣、石廣、石歡居民早已熟絡，理應劃入同一選區，符合選管會加強地方聯繫的大原則。

加上石歡樓是新建成的公屋，一切都是剛剛起步，若劃入私家樓選區，是不符合選管會注重社區發展的原則，應該劃入公屋選區，讓石歡樓慢慢發展起來，在鄰近的公屋（石欣、石廣）影響下，開始留意社區發展，甚至積極投票，盡自己公民的責任。
最後參閱選管會的考慮因素，選管會的最大擔憂是人口問題，怕超出 25%的人口基數，但是無視了社區獨特性、公屋發展、地方聯繫等大原則，僅僅根據數據劃分，卻沒有真正了解一個社區的真實情況、居民的生活方式，到最後投票率低的責任又應該由誰負責。本人的建議既符合選管會的建議人口，又符合選管會的大原則，希望主席好好考慮。王秀云

21-AUG-2018 18:07 95% P.001

From: 21/08/2018 18:18 5237

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會秘書處
傳真號碼： 2511 1682

致選管會主席：

鑒於 2019 年的選區分界，石歡樓、石富樓及石祥樓被撥去新選區（大白田東），本人有以下建議。

參考政府建議將石祥樓和石富樓撥至大白田東，但保留石歡樓於石籬北。為了符合選管會選區臨時建議人口（既人口基數不超出 25%），建議將石禧樓和石福樓劃入大白田東。根據 2011 年政府統計處人口普查，石禧樓及石福樓人口共有 1801 人，與石歡樓人口相若，不影響選區人口，達至選管會的考慮因素。

以上建議基於選管會照顧社區獨特性的原則。石禧、石福、石祥、石富四座連在一起，對面是豪華閣、安盛大廈、葵涌花園等私人樓宇，地理上接近大白田東，形成一個獨特的社區。根據 2011 人口普查數據石禧、石福的居民有 64%是 40-65 歲以上，42%人口的教育水平是小學或以下，而家庭住戶每月收入中位數為 HK$5320，所以石禧及石福居民經常往石蔭路市場買菜，因為石蔭路店鋪多，居民有較多選擇，可以買到較便宜的日用品。石禧、石福、石祥、石富經常往石蔭路買菜，早與石蔭路的私家樓居民變得熟絡。故此石禧、石福、石祥、石富四座早與私家樓形成一個獨特的社區。

相反石歡樓於 2013 年底建成，由於當區區議員反對建造石歡樓，不少居民遲了入伙，剛剛才適應新社區，就被遷劃入石蔭路私家樓選區，令選民造成混亂，嚴重的話導致投票率低，相信這不是選管會所期望的。在石歡樓的地理環境上，石歡樓與石欣樓以天橋連接，石欣樓與石廣樓居民經常前往石歡樓樓下使用休憩設施，幫助石歡樓居民適應新環境，石欣、石廣、石歡居民早已熟絡，理應劃入同一選區，符合選管會加強地方聯繫的大原則。

加上石歡樓是新建成的公屋，一切都是剛剛起步，若劃入私家樓選區，是不符合選管會注重社區發展的原則，應該劃入公屋選區，讓石歡樓慢慢發展起來，在鄰近的公屋（石欣、石廣）影響下，開始留意社區發展，甚至積極投票，盡自己公民的責任。
最後參閱選管會的考慮因素，選管會的最大擔憂是人口問題，怕超出 25%的人口基數，但是無視了社區獨特性、公屋發展、地方聯繫等大原則，僅僅根據數據劃分，卻沒有真正了解一個社區的真實情況、居民的生活方式，到最後投票率低的責任又應該由誰負責。本人的建議既符合選管會的建議人口，又符合選管會的大原則，希望主席好好考慮。

21-AUG-2018 18:06 95% P.001

From: 21/08/2018 18:17 5238

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會秘書處
傳真號碼： 2511 1682

致選管會主席：

鑒於 2019 年的選區分界，石歡樓、石富樓及石祥樓被撥去新選區（大白田東），本人有以下建議。

參考政府建議將石祥樓和石富樓撥至大白田東，但保留石歡樓於石籬北。為了符合選管會選區臨時建議人口（既人口基數不超出 25%），建議將石禧樓和石福樓劃入大白田東。根據 2011 年政府統計處人口普查，石禧樓及石福樓人口共有 1801 人，與石歡樓人口相若，不影響選區人口，達至選管會的考慮因素。

以上建議基於選管會照顧社區獨特性的原則。石禧、石福、石祥、石富四座連在一起，對面是豪華閣、安盛大廈、葵涌花園等私人樓宇，地理上接近大白田東，形成一個獨特的社區。根據 2011 人口普查數據石禧、石福的居民有 64%是 40-65 歲以上，42%人口的教育水平是小學或以下，而家庭住戶每月收入中位數為 HK$5320，所以石禧及石福居民經常往石蔭路市場買菜，因為石蔭路店鋪多，居民有較多選擇，可以買到較便宜的日用品。石禧、石福、石祥、石富經常往石蔭路買菜，早與石蔭路的私家樓居民變得熟絡。故此石禧、石福、石祥、石富四座早與私家樓形成一個獨特的社區。

相反石歡樓於 2013 年底建成，由於當區區議員反對建造石歡樓，不少居民遲了入伙，剛剛才適應新社區，就被遷劃入石蔭路私家樓選區，令選民造成混亂，嚴重的話導致投票率低，相信這不是選管會所期望的。在石歡樓的地理環境上，石歡樓與石欣樓以天橋連接，石欣樓與石廣樓居民經常前往石歡樓樓下使用休憩設施，幫助石歡樓居民適應新環境，石欣、石廣、石歡居民早已熟絡，理應劃入同一選區，符合選管會加強地方聯繫的大原則。

加上石歡樓是新建成的公屋，一切都是剛剛起步，若劃入私家樓選區，是不符合選管會注重社區發展的原則，應該劃入公屋選區，讓石歡樓慢慢發展起來，在鄰近的公屋（石欣、石廣）影響下，開始留意社區發展，甚至積極投票，盡自己公民的責任。
最後參閱選管會的考慮因素，選管會的最大擔憂是人口問題，怕超出 25%的人口基數，但是無視了社區獨特性、公屋發展、地方聯繫等大原則，僅僅根據數據劃分，卻沒有真正了解一個社區的真實情況、居民的生活方式，到最後投票率低的責任又應該由誰負責。本人的建議既符合選管會的建議人口，又符合選管會的大原則，希望主席好好考慮。吳惠聰

21-AUG-2018 18:04 95% P.001

From: 21/08/2018 18:13 5239

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會秘書處
傳真號碼： 2511 1682

致選管會主席：

鑒於 2019 年的選區分界，石歡樓、石富樓及石祥樓被撥去新選區（大白田東），本人有以下建議。

參考政府建議將石祥樓和石富樓撥至大白田東，但保留石歡樓於石籬北。為了符合選管會選區臨時建議人口（既人口基數不超出 25%），建議將石禧樓和石福樓劃入大白田東。根據 2011 年政府統計處人口普查，石禧樓及石福樓人口共有 1801 人，與石歡樓人口相若，不影響選區人口，達至選管會的考慮因素。

以上建議基於選管會照顧社區獨特性的原則。石禧、石福、石祥、石富四座連在一起，對面是豪華閣、安盛大廈、葵涌花園等私人樓宇，地理上接近大白田東，形成一個獨特的社區。根據 2011 人口普查數據石禧、石福的居民有 64%是 40-65 歲以上，42%人口的教育水平是小學或以下，而家庭住戶每月收入中位數為 HK$5320，所以石禧及石福居民經常往石蔭路市場買菜，因為石蔭路店鋪多，居民有較多選擇，可以買到較便宜的日用品。石禧、石福、石祥、石富經常往石蔭路買菜，早與石蔭路的私家樓居民變得熟絡。故此石禧、石福、石祥、石富四座早與私家樓形成一個獨特的社區。

相反石歡樓於 2013 年底建成，由於當區區議員反對建造石歡樓，不少居民遲了入伙，剛剛才適應新社區，就被遷劃入石蔭路私家樓選區，令選民造成混亂，嚴重的話導致投票率低，相信這不是選管會所期望的。在石歡樓的地理環境上，石歡樓與石欣樓以天橋連接，石欣樓與石廣樓居民經常前往石歡樓樓下使用休憩設施，幫助石歡樓居民適應新環境，石欣、石廣、石歡居民早已熟絡，理應劃入同一選區，符合選管會加強地方聯繫的大原則。

加上石歡樓是新建成的公屋，一切都是剛剛起步，若劃入私家樓選區，是不符合選管會注重社區發展的原則，應該劃入公屋選區，讓石歡樓慢慢發展起來，在鄰近的公屋（石欣、石廣）影響下，開始留意社區發展，甚至積極投票，盡自己公民的責任。
最後參閱選管會的考慮因素，選管會的最大擔憂是人口問題，怕超出 25%的人口基數，但是無視了社區獨特性、公屋發展、地方聯繫等大原則，僅僅根據數據劃分，卻沒有真正了解一個社區的真實情況、居民的生活方式，到最後投票率低的責任又應該由誰負責。本人的建議既符合選管會的建議人口，又符合選管會的大原則，希望主席好好考慮。

21-AUG-2018 18:00 95% P.001

5240

From: 21/08/2018 18:01

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會秘書處
傳真號碼： 2511 1682

致選管會主席：

鑒於 2019 年的選區分界，石歡樓、石富樓及石祥樓被撥去新選區（大白田東），本人有以下建議。

參考政府建議將石祥樓和石富樓撥至大白田東，但保留石歡樓於石籬北。為了符合選管會選區臨時建議人口（既人口基數不超出 25%），建議將石福樓和石福樓劃入大白田東。根據 2011 年政府統計處人口普查，石禧樓及石福樓人口共有 1801 人，與石歡樓人口相若，不影響選區人口，達至選管會的考慮因素。

以上建議基於選管會照顧社區獨特性的原則。石禧、石福、石祥、石富四座連在一起，對面是豪華閣、安盛大廈、葵涌花園等私人樓宇，地理上接近大白田東，形成一個獨特的社區。根據 2011 人口普查數據石禧、石福的居民有 64%是 40-65 歲以上，42%人口的教育水平是小學或以下，而家庭住戶每月收入中位數為 HK$5320，所以石禧及石福居民經常往石蔭路市場買菜，因為石蔭路店鋪多，居民有較多選擇，可以買到較便宜的日用品。石禧、石福、石祥、石富經常往石蔭路買菜，早與石蔭路的私家樓居民變得熟絡。故此石禧、石福、石祥、石富四座早與私家樓形成一個獨特的社區。

相反石歡樓於 2013 年底建成，由於當區區議員反對建造石歡樓，不少居民遷了入伙，剛剛才遷應新社區，就被遷劃入石蔭路私家樓選區，令選民造成混亂，嚴重的結導致投票率低，相信這不是選管會所期望的。在石歡樓的地理環境上，石歡樓與石欣樓以天橋連接，石欣樓與石廣樓居民經常前往石歡樓樓下使用休憩設施，幫助石歡樓居民適應新環境，石欣、石廣、石歡居民早已熟絡，理應劃入同一選區，符合選管會加強地方聯繫的大原則。

加上石歡樓是新建成的公屋，一切都是剛剛起步，若劃入私家樓選區，是不符合選管會注重社區發展的原則，應該劃入公屋選區，讓石歡樓慢慢發展起來，在鄰近的公屋（石欣、石廣）影響下，開始留意社區發展，甚至積極投票，盡自己公民的責任。
最後參閱選管會的考慮因素，選管會的最大擔憂是人口問題，怕超出 25%的人口基數，但是無視了社區獨特性、公屋發展、地方聯繫等大原則，僅僅根據數據劃分，卻沒有真正了解一個社區的真實情況、居民的生活方式，到最後投票率低的責任又應該由誰負責。本人的建議既符合選管會的建議人口，又符合選管會的大原則，希望主席好好考慮。

林李琴

21-AUG-2018 17:48 95% P.001

5241

From: 21/08/2018 18:26

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會秘書處
傳真號碼： 2511 1682

致選管會主席：

鑒於 2019 年的選區分界，石歡樓、石富樓及石祥樓被撥去新選區（大白田東），本人有以下建議。

參考政府建議將石祥樓和石富樓撥至大白田東，但保留石歡樓於石籬北。為了符合選管會選區臨時建議人口（既人口基數不超出 25%），建議將石福樓和石福樓劃入大白田東。根據 2011 年政府統計處人口普查，石禧樓及石福樓人口共有 1801 人，與石歡樓人口相若，不影響選區人口，達至選管會的考慮因素。

以上建議基於選管會照顧社區獨特性的原則。石禧、石福、石祥、石富四座連在一起，對面是豪華閣、安盛大廈、葵涌花園等私人樓宇，地理上接近大白田東，形成一個獨特的社區。根據 2011 人口普查數據石禧、石福的居民有 64%是 40-65 歲以上，42%人口的教育水平是小學或以下，而家庭住戶每月收入中位數為 HK$5320，所以石禧及石福居民經常往石蔭路市場買菜，因為石蔭路店鋪多，居民有較多選擇，可以買到較便宜的日用品。石禧、石福、石祥、石富經常往石蔭路買菜，早與石蔭路的私家樓居民變得熟絡。故此石禧、石福、石祥、石富四座早與私家樓形成一個獨特的社區。

相反石歡樓於 2013 年底建成，由於當區區議員反對建造石歡樓，不少居民遷了入伙，剛剛才遷應新社區，就被遷劃入石蔭路私家樓選區，令選民造成混亂，嚴重的話導致投票率低，相信這不是選管會所期望的。在石歡樓的地理環境上，石歡樓與石欣樓以天橋連接，石欣樓與石廣樓居民經常前往石歡樓樓下使用休憩設施，幫助石歡樓居民適應新環境，石欣、石廣、石歡居民早已熟絡，理應劃入同一選區，符合選管會加強地方聯繫的大原則。

加上石歡樓是新建成的公屋，一切都是剛剛起步，若劃入私家樓選區，是不符合選管會注重社區發展的原則，應該劃入公屋選區，讓石歡樓慢慢發展起來，在鄰近的公屋（石欣、石廣）影響下，開始留意社區發展，甚至積極投票，盡自己公民的責任。
最後參閱選管會的考慮因素，選管會的最大擔憂是人口問題，怕超出 25%的人口基數，但是無視了社區獨特性、公屋發展、地方聯繫等大原則，僅僅根據數據劃分，卻沒有真正了解一個社區的真實情況、居民的生活方式，到最後投票率低的責任又應該由誰負責。本人的建議既符合選管會的建議人口，又符合選管會的大原則，希望主席好好考慮。

林美玉

21-AUG-2018 18:13 95% P.001

5242

From: 21/08/2018 18:25

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會秘書處
傳真號碼： 2511 1682

致選管會主席：

鑒於 2019 年的選區分界，石歡樓、石富樓及石祥樓被撥去新選區（大白田東），本人有以下建議。

參考政府建議將石祥樓和石富樓撥至大白田東，但保留石歡樓於石籬北。為了符合選管會選區臨時建議人口（既人口基數不超出 25%），建議將石禧樓和石福樓劃入大白田東。根據 2011 年政府統計處人口普查，石禧樓及石福樓人口共有 1801 人，與石歡樓人口相若，不影響選區人口，達至選管會的考慮因素。

以上建議基於選管會照顧社區獨特性的原則。石禧、石福、石祥、石富四座連在一起，對面是豪華閣、安盛大廈、葵涌花園等私人樓宇，地理上接近大白田東，形成一個獨特的社區。根據 2011 人口普查數據石禧、石福的居民有 64%是 40-65 歲以上，42%人口的教育水平是小學或以下，而家庭住戶每月收入中位數為 HK$5320，所以石禧及石福居民經常往石蔭路市場買菜，因為石蔭路店鋪多，居民有較多選擇，可以買到較便宜的日用品。石禧、石福、石祥、石富經常往石蔭路買菜，早與石蔭路的私家樓居民變得熟絡。故此石禧、石福、石祥、石富四座早與私家樓形成一個獨特的社區。

相反石歡樓於 2013 年底建成，由於當區區議員反對建造石歡樓，不少居民遷了入伙，剛剛才遷應新社區，就被遷劃入石蔭路私家樓選區，令選民造成混亂，嚴重的話導致投票率低，相信這不是選管會所期望的。在石歡樓的地理環境上，石歡樓與石欣樓以天橋連接，石欣樓與石廣樓居民經常前往石歡樓樓下使用休憩設施，幫助石歡樓居民適應新環境，石欣、石廣、石歡居民早已熟絡，理應劃入同一選區，符合選管會加強地方聯繫的大原則。

加上石歡樓是新建成的公屋，一切都是剛剛起步，若劃入私家樓選區，是不符合選管會注重社區發展的原則，應該劃入公屋選區，讓石歡樓慢慢發展起來，在鄰近的公屋（石欣、石廣）影響下，開始留意社區發展，甚至積極投票，盡自己公民的責任。
最後參閱選管會的考慮因素，選管會的最大擔憂是人口問題，怕超出 25%的人口基數，但是無視了社區獨特性、公屋發展、地方聯繫等大原則，僅僅根據數據劃分，卻沒有真正了解一個社區的真實情況、居民的生活方式，到最後投票率低的責任又應該由誰負責。本人的建議既符合選管會的建議人口，又符合選管會的大原則，希望主席好好考慮。

林廖蓮

21-AUG-2018 18:12 95% P.001

5243

關於葵涌鄂南區的選區劃界建議 21/08/2018 19:36

From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

選舉管理委員會秘書處:

本人在facebook看了這個短片，述說一個葵涌鄂的輪椅居民，要走上大斜坡、百步梯才能前往至葵興區。

本人認為選管會在是此劃界中，安排不周，沒有考慮居民的前往葵興區尋找議員的困難。

本人強烈要求選管會保留葵涌鄂睿、夏、秋葵樓及葵馥苑在葵涌鄂南選區，以回應居民的真正需要。

並請務必觀看以下短片，了解輪椅人士的實際困難。

https://www.facebook.com/726961308616 47/videos/914519865412605/

miss chan yu sze

5244

對於長洲下一屆民選區議員的意見
26/07/2018 16:02
Hide Details
From: [redacted]

To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

Security:

To ensure privacy, images from remote sites were prevented from downloading. Show Images

致：選管會秘書處
本人張明強，對於長洲的下一屆民選區議員的調整，有以下的意見。
長洲的選區一直以來都是由兩區議員為長洲街坊服務，多年來街坊亦看到兩區議員的工作量實是不少。
政府一直以來對於各社區或長洲的基本設施都是盡量做到均等，如道路交通、醫療衛生、社區建設及旅游、保安等等一切，相信這亦是政府施政的基本方針。
現今若只找出一個令人費解的算術，將長洲的民選區議員數目減為剩餘一個，相信你我大家都不會認同，況且根據長洲人口比例，及流動遊人等，令長洲的飽和程度已達高危，想必大家亦會認同，若日後只得一個民選區議員為街坊做事，我想到時不知如何可以完成各種社區建設及投訴。因此本人希望選管會認真考慮，將長洲的民選區議員數目保留為兩席，又或仿效再前些年代，長洲為三個民選區議員。

長洲一市民　張明強上

26/7/2018

26/7/2018

5245

長洲鄉事委員會
Cheung Chau Rural Committee

主　席：
翁志明

副主席：
黃輝民
吳文偉

總務主任：
盧雪佳
溫　基

財務主任：
黃紫蓮

地方建設主任：
蔡錦良
孔憲禮

婦女及教育主任：
李桂珍

康樂及青少年主任：
郭慧文

福利主任：
葉少康

環境衛生主任：
張明強

漁農主任：
彭華根

治安主任：
廖馬帶

公關主任：
林潔琴

交通運輸主任：
陳德明

審計主任：
陸尚禮

致選舉委員會主席
馮驊法官 GBS:

選舉管理委員會於 23/7/18 突然公布明年區議會選舉之最新選區劃界建議，其中竟然將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個選區。

我們對選管會上述建議，表示十分不滿，予以強烈反對！並提出以下反對的理據：

一、統計處不定期的「中期人口抽樣調查」，只是一些參考數據，但選管會這次卻以「參考數據」作爲「實質數字」而作「二合一」的建議，我們認爲極不穩妥，是不合乎科學性的。

二、區議員是現行區議會制度中，作爲市民與政府溝通的橋樑，多年以來爲市民大眾解決不少問題，爲政府管治作出最基本的貢獻，選管會這次作「二合一」的建議，我們認爲是本末倒置，背道而馳！

三、長洲是香港離島中，人口最多的小島，隨著發展，常住人口估計不會少於三萬，與統計處之結果有所距離，我們在質疑其準確性之餘，選管會卻堅成是千真萬確的理據，將兩區議席合併爲一議席，是極其不負責任的「建議」。

四、長洲現已成爲一個香港市民及海內外遊客喜愛的旅遊小島，再加上歷史悠久的傳統太平清醮活動，島上的交通、設施、食肆、居民生活正面臨不少民生問題，極需區議員爲其解決，而且鄰近石鼓洲將建垃圾焚化爐，所帶出的問題和影響，更是不容忽視！如果只得一位區議員，居民必然會在等待協助時出現怨氣，產生對政府不滿的情緒，不是大家願意見到的吧！

五、更令人費解的是，選會已經有一個說法：「在調整選區分界時，除了考慮預計人口外，亦會顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，及有關區域的自然特徵，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要時亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度」。但是長洲卻是在考慮上述因素後，不是容許保留兩個議席，而是二合一，確實難以理解！

本會日前已發信給長洲各街坊會及各社團組織，收集他們對選管會建議的意見，雖然時間迫切，但已收到以下團體回覆，表示反對！

因此，本會及長洲各界社團在此嚴正聲明：

我們對選管會在事前沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查結果之推算，卻妄顧長洲實際情況，漠視地區民意，而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」，表示十分不滿，予以強烈反對！

要求選管會尊重民意，立即撤回將長洲南北兩個選區議席合併爲一個議席的不合情理建議！

長洲鄉事委員會啓

二零一八年八月一日

附件:長洲各社團及居民反對信

新界長洲教堂路 2 號地下
2, Church Road, Cheung Chau, N.T. 電話：2981 0350 Fax:2986 9179

5246

李桂珍 離島區議員辦事處
Lee Kwai Chun ,MH Member of Islands District Council Office

[redacted]
E-mail: [redacted] Tel: [redacted] Fax: [redacted]

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日倉卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議事前沒有諮詢，強烈反對兩區合併為一選區，將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分不滿，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員會並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素。如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妄顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為區議員，對選舉管理委員會在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分遺憾，並將兩個議員的工作集中為一個議員負責，會直接影響接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此　致

離島區議員
李桂珍 啟

二零一八年八月一日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

長洲鄉事委員會
Cheung Chau Rural Committee

致長洲各大社團：

此為範本申述共 56 份(序號 5247 – 5302)

主 席：
翁志明

副主席：
黃輝民
吳文傑

總務主任：
盧雲佳
溫 基

財務主任：
黃紫蓮

地方建設主任：
羅鎮良
孔憲禮

婦女及教育主任：
李桂珍

康樂及青少年主任：
郭慧文

福利主任：
葉少康

醫療衛生主任：
張明強

漁農主任：
彭華根

治安主任：
廖馬帶

公關主任：
林潔聲

交通運輸主任：
陳德明

審計主任：
陸尚禮

選舉管理委員會於23/7/18 蒼猝公佈明年區議會選舉之最新選區劃界建議,其中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區,而且更表明是：

「在調整選區分界時，除了考慮預計人口外，亦會顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，及有關區域的自然特徵，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要時亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度，例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲由原來的兩席合併成一席。」

本會對選管會在事前完全沒有經過諮詢,只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果。實在妄顧長洲之實際情況,完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」,本會對以上建議感到十分不滿,並予以強烈反對！

由於本會將會對以上建議致函選管會提出強烈反對，假若 貴會對本會以上的觀點認同，有勞簽署隨函附上之回條或致電本會秘書處。本會會稍後將 貴會的尊號填上於本會送呈選管會的反對信件內,並將呼籲居民出席，由選舉管理委員會於本年8月1日(星期三)晚上7時於深水埗荔枝角道863號「荔枝角社區會堂」舉行之公眾諮詢會，綜合有關意見，以反映長洲居民的的廣大民意。

長洲鄉事委員會啓

CHEUNG CHAU RURAL COMMITTEE 會員大事 CHEUNG CHAU, N.T.

二零一八年七月二十五日

回執

請於 7月31日前回覆

致：長洲鄉事委員會 （電話：2981 0350 魏姑娘，傳真號碼：2986 9179）

本會 [signature] 認同 / ~~不認同~~貴會以上建議的觀點

負責人簽名：[signature] 聯絡電話：[redacted]

新界長洲教堂路 2 號地下
2, Church Road, Cheung Chau, N.T. 電話：2981 0350 Fax:2986 9179

5248

長洲鄉事委員會
Cheung Chau Rural Committee

致長洲各大社團：

選舉管理委員會於23/7/18 書function公佈明年區議會選舉之最新選區劃界建議，其中有意將長洲原來之南，北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，而且更表明是：
「在調整選區分界時，除了考慮預計人口外，亦會顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，及有關區域的自然特徵，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要時亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度，例如選管會是次更首次建議長洲由原來的兩席合併成一席。」

本會對選管會在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算數據而擅自作出的結果，實在妥顯長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」，本會對以上建議感到十分不滿，並予以強烈反對！

由於本會將會對以上建議致函選管會提出強烈反對，假若 貴會對本會以上的觀點認同，有勞簽署隨函附上之回條或致電本會秘書處，本會會稍後將 貴會的尊號填上於本會送呈選管會的反對信件內，並將呼籲居民出席，由選舉管理委員會於本年8月1日(星期三)晚上7時於深水埗荔枝角道863號「荔枝角社區會堂」舉行之公眾諮詢會，綜合有關意見，以反映長洲居民的的廣大民意。

長洲鄉事委員會

二零一八年七月二十五日

回執
請於 7月31日前回覆

致：長洲鄉事委員會 （電話：2981 0350 魏結娘，傳真號碼：2986 9179）

本會 林潔聲 認同 / 不認同貴會以上建議的觀點

負責人簽名：[redacted] 聯絡電話：[redacted]

新界長洲教堂路 2 號地下
2, Church Road, Cheung Chau, N.T. 電話：2981 0350 Fax:2986 9179

5249

長洲鄉事委員會
Cheung Chau Rural Committee

致長洲各大社團：

選舉管理委員會於23/7/18 書function公佈明年區議會選舉之最新選區劃界建議，其中有意將長洲原來之南，北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，而且更表明是：
「在調整選區分界時，除了考慮預計人口外，亦會顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，及有關區域的自然特徵，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要時亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度，例如選管會是次更首次建議長洲由原來的兩席合併成一席。」

本會對選管會在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算數據而擅自作出的結果，實在妥顯長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」，本會對以上建議感到十分不滿，並予以強烈反對！

由於本會將會對以上建議致函選管會提出強烈反對，假若 貴會對本會以上的觀點認同，有勞簽署隨函附上之回條或致電本會秘書處，本會會稍後將 貴會的尊號填上於本會送呈選管會的反對信件內，並將呼籲居民出席，由選舉管理委員會於本年8月1日(星期三)晚上7時於深水埗荔枝角道863號「荔枝角社區會堂」舉行之公眾諮詢會，綜合有關意見，以反映長洲居民的的廣大民意。

長洲鄉事委員會

二零 八年七月二十五日

回執
請於 7月31日前回覆

致：長洲鄉事委員會 （電話：2981 0350 魏結娘，傳真號碼：2986 9179）

本會 陳樂明 認同 / 不認同貴會以上建議的觀點

負責人簽名：[redacted] 聯絡電話：[redacted]

新界長洲教堂路 2 號地下
2, Church Road, Cheung Chau, N.T. 電話：2981 0350 Fax:2986 9179

5250

長洲鄉事委員會
Cheung Chau Rural Committee

致長洲各大社團：

選舉管理委員會於23/7/18 書function公佈明年區議會選舉之最新選區劃界建議，其中有意將長洲原來之南，北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，而且更表明是：
「在調整選區分界時，除了考慮預計人口外，亦會顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，及有關區域的自然特徵，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要時亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度，例如選管會是次更首次建議長洲由原來的兩席合併成一席。」

本會對選管會在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算數據而擅自作出的結果，實在妥顯長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」，本會對以上建議感到十分不滿，並予以強烈反對！

由於本會將會對以上建議致函選管會提出強烈反對，假若 貴會對本會以上的觀點認同，有勞簽署隨函附上之回條或致電本會秘書處，本會會稍後將 貴會的尊號填上於本會送呈選管會的反對信件內，並將呼籲居民出席，由選舉管理委員會於本年8月1日(星期三)晚上7時於深水埗荔枝角道863號「荔枝角社區會堂」舉行之公眾諮詢會，綜合有關意見，以反映長洲居民的的廣大民意。

長洲鄉事委員會

二零一八年七月二十五日

回執
請於 7月31日前回覆

致：長洲鄉事委員會 （電話：2981 0350 魏結娘，傳真號碼：2986 9179）

本會 本人 謝雨雅 認同 / 不認同貴會以上建議的觀點

負責人簽名：[redacted] 聯絡電話：[redacted]

新界長洲教堂路 2 號地下
2, Church Road, Cheung Chau, N.T. 電話：2981 0350 Fax:2986 9179

5251

長洲鄉事委員會
Cheung Chau Rural Committee

致長洲各大社團：

選舉管理委員會於23/7/18 書function公佈明年區議會選舉之最新選區劃界建議，其中有意將長洲原來之南，北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，而且更表明是：
「在調整選區分界時，除了考慮預計人口外，亦會顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，及有關區域的自然特徵，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要時亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度，例如選管會是次更首次建議長洲由原來的兩席合併成一席。」

本會對選管會在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算數據而擅自作出的結果，實在妥顯長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」，本會對以上建議感到十分不滿，並予以強烈反對！

由於本會將會對以上建議致函選管會提出強烈反對，假若 貴會對本會以上的觀點認同，有勞簽署隨函附上之回條或致電本會秘書處，本會會稍後將 貴會的尊號填上於本會送呈選管會的反對信件內，並將呼籲居民出席，由選舉管理委員會於本年8月1日(星期三)晚上7時於深水埗荔枝角道863號「荔枝角社區會堂」舉行之公眾諮詢會，綜合有關意見，以反映長洲居民的的廣大民意。

長洲鄉事委員會

二零一八年七月二十五日

回執
請於 7月31日前回覆

致：長洲鄉事委員會 （電話：2981 0350 魏結娘，傳真號碼：2986 9179）

本會 黃炳輝 認同 / 不認同貴會以上建議的觀點

負責人簽名：[redacted] 聯絡電話：[redacted]

新界長洲教堂路 2 號地下
2, Church Road, Cheung Chau, N.T. 電話：2981 0350 Fax:2986 9179

5252

長洲鄉事委員會
Cheung Chau Rural Committee

致長洲各大社團：

選舉管理委員會於23/7/18 書function公佈明年區議會選舉之最新選區劃界建議，其中有意將長洲原來之南，北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，而且更表明是：
「在調整選區分界時，除了考慮預計人口外，亦會顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，及有關區域的自然特徵，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要時亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度，例如選管會是次更首次建議長洲由原來的兩席合併成一席。」

本會對選管會在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算數據而擅自作出的結果，實在妥顯長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」，本會對以上建議感到十分不滿，並予以強烈反對！

由於本會將會對以上建議致函選管會提出強烈反對，假若 貴會對本會以上的觀點認同，有勞簽署隨函附上之回條或致電本會秘書處，本會會稍後將 貴會的尊號填上於本會送呈選管會的反對信件內，並將呼籲居民出席，由選舉管理委員會於本年8月1日(星期三)晚上7時於深水埗荔枝角道863號「荔枝角社區會堂」舉行之公眾諮詢會，綜合有關意見，以反映長洲居民的的廣大民意。

長洲鄉事委員會

二零一八年七月二十五日

回執
請於 7月31日前回覆

致：長洲鄉事委員會 （電話：2981 0350 魏結娘，傳真號碼：2986 9179）

本會 王元強 認同 / 不認同貴會以上建議的觀點

負責人簽名：王元強 聯絡電話：[redacted]

新界長洲教堂路 2 號地下
2, Church Road, Cheung Chau, N.T. 電話：2981 0350 Fax:2986 9179

5253

長洲鄉事委員會
Cheung Chau Rural Committee

致長洲各大社團：

選舉管理委員會於23/7/18 書function公佈明年區議會選舉之最新選區劃界建議，其中有意將長洲原來之南，北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，而且更表明是：
「在調整選區分界時，除了考慮預計人口外，亦會顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，及有關區域的自然特徵，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要時亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度，例如選管會是次更首次建議長洲由原來的兩席合併成一席。」

本會對選管會在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算數據而擅自作出的結果，實在妥顯長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」，本會對以上建議感到十分不滿，並予以強烈反對！

由於本會將會對以上建議致函選管會提出強烈反對，假若 貴會對本會以上的觀點認同，有勞簽署隨函附上之回條或致電本會秘書處，本會會稍後將 貴會的尊號填上於本會送呈選管會的反對信件內，並將呼籲居民出席，由選舉管理委員會於本年8月1日(星期三)晚上7時於深水埗荔枝角道863號「荔枝角社區會堂」舉行之公眾諮詢會，綜合有關意見，以反映長洲居民的的廣大民意。

長洲鄉事委員會

二零一八年七月二十五日

回執
請於 7月31日前回覆

致：長洲鄉事委員會 （電話：2981 0350 魏結娘，傳真號碼：2986 9179）

本會 潘華 認同 / 不認同貴會以上建議的觀點

負責人簽名：[redacted] 聯絡電話：[redacted]

新界長洲教堂路 2 號地下
2, Church Road, Cheung Chau, N.T. 電話：2981 0350 Fax:2986 9179

5254

長洲鄉事委員會
Cheung Chau Rural Committee

致長洲各大社團：

選舉管理委員會於23/7/18 書function公佈明年區議會選舉之最新選區劃界建議，其中有意將長洲原來之南，北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，而且更表明是：
「在調整選區分界時，除了考慮預計人口外，亦會顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，及有關區域的自然特徵，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要時亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度，例如選管會是次更首次建議長洲由原來的兩席合併成一席。」

本會對選管會在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算數據而擅自作出的結果，實在妥顯長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」，本會對以上建議感到十分不滿，並予以強烈反對！

由於本會將會對以上建議致函選管會提出強烈反對，假若 貴會對本會以上的觀點認同，有勞簽署隨函附上之回條或致電本會秘書處，本會會稍後將 貴會的尊號填上於本會送呈選管會的反對信件內，並將呼籲居民出席，由選舉管理委員會於本年8月1日(星期三)晚上7時於深水埗荔枝角道863號「荔枝角社區會堂」舉行之公眾諮詢會，綜合有關意見，以反映長洲居民的的廣大民意。

長洲鄉事委員會

二零一八年七月二十五日

回執
請於 7月31日前回覆

致：長洲鄉事委員會 （電話：2981 0350 魏結娘，傳真號碼：2986 9179）

本會 魏翠芝 認同 / 不認同貴會以上建議的觀點

負責人簽名：[redacted] 聯絡電話：[redacted]

新界長洲教堂路 2 號地下
2, Church Road, Cheung Chau, N.T. 電話：2981 0350 Fax:2986 9179

5255

長洲鄉事委員會
Cheung Chau Rural Committee

致長洲各大社團：

選舉管理委員會於23/7/18 書function公佈明年區議會選舉之最新選區劃界建議，其中有意將長洲原來之南，北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，而且更表明是：
「在調整選區分界時，除了考慮預計人口外，亦會顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，及有關區域的自然特徵，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要時亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度，例如選管會是次更首次建議長洲由原來的兩席合併成一席。」

本會對選管會在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算數據而擅自作出的結果，實在妥顯長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」，本會對以上建議感到十分不滿，並予以強烈反對！

由於本會將會對以上建議致函選管會提出強烈反對，假若 貴會對本會以上的觀點認同，有勞簽署隨函附上之回條或致電本會秘書處，本會會稍後將 貴會的尊號填上於本會送呈選管會的反對信件內，並將呼籲居民出席，由選舉管理委員會於本年8月1日(星期三)晚上7時於深水埗荔枝角道863號「荔枝角社區會堂」舉行之公眾諮詢會，綜合有關意見，以反映長洲居民的的廣大民意。

長洲鄉事委員會

二零 八年七月二十五日

回執
請於 7月31日前回覆

致：長洲鄉事委員會 （電話：2981 0350 魏結娘，傳真號碼：2986 9179）

本會 [redacted] 認同 / 不認同貴會以上建議的觀點

負責人簽名：[redacted] 聯絡電話：[redacted]

新界長洲教堂路 2 號地下
2, Church Road, Cheung Chau, N.T. 電話：2981 0350 Fax:2986 9179

5256

長洲鄉事委員會
Cheung Chau Rural Committee

致長洲各大社團：

選舉管理委員會於23/7/18 書function公佈明年區議會選舉之最新選區劃界建議，其中有意將長洲原來之南，北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，而且更表明是：
「在調整選區分界時，除了考慮預計人口外，亦會顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，及有關區域的自然特徵，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要時亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度，例如選管會是次更首次建議長洲由原來的兩席合併成一席。」

本會對選管會在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算數據而擅自作出的結果，實在妥顯長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」，本會對以上建議感到十分不滿，並予以強烈反對！

由於本會將會對以上建議致函選管會提出強烈反對，假若 貴會對本會以上的觀點認同，有勞簽署隨函附上之回條或致電本會秘書處，本會會稍後將 貴會的尊號填上於本會送呈選管會的反對信件內，並將呼籲居民出席，由選舉管理委員會於本年8月1日(星期三)晚上7時於深水埗荔枝角道863號「荔枝角社區會堂」舉行之公眾諮詢會，綜合有關意見，以反映長洲居民的的廣大民意。

長洲鄉事委員會

二零一八年七月二十五日

回執
請於 7月31日前回覆

致：長洲鄉事委員會 （電話：2981 0350 魏結娘，傳真號碼：2986 9179）

本會 黃堅達 認同 / 不認同貴會以上建議的觀點

負責人簽名：[redacted] 聯絡電話：[redacted]

新界長洲教堂路 2 號地下
2, Church Road, Cheung Chau, N.T. 電話：2981 0350 Fax:2986 9179

5257

長洲鄉事委員會
Cheung Chau Rural Committee

致長洲各大社團：

主席：
蔡志明
副主席：
黃得民
吳文強
總務主任：
梁重佳
温基
財務主任：
黃雪蓮
地方關懷主任：
蔡倫生
孔富雄
婦女及青年主任：
李佳玲
鄉鎮發展及環境主任：
鄧慧文
福利主任：
葉少康
醫療衛生主任：
張明倫
海關主任：
彭華強
治安主任：
廖馬帶
公關主任：
林潔華
交通運輸主任：
陳德明
審計主任：
陳尚雄

選舉管理委員會於23/7/18 實際公佈明年區議會選舉之最新選區劃界建議，其中有意將長洲區來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，而且更表明是：

「在調整選區分界時，除了考慮統計人口外，亦會顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，及有關區域的自然特徵，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要時亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度，例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲由原來的兩席合併成一席。」

本會對選管會在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算資料而擬訂的結果，實在妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」，本會對以上建議感到十分不滿，並予以強烈反對！

由於本會將會對以上建議發函選管會提出強烈反對，假若 貴會對本會以上的觀點認同，有勞簽署隨函附上之回條或致電本會秘書處，本會會稍後將 貴會的尊號填上於本會遞呈選管會的反對信件內，並將呼籲居民出席，由選舉管理委員會於本年8月1日(星期三)晚上7時於深水埗基督教勞福8樓「基督角社區會堂」舉行之公眾諮詢會，綜合有關意見，以反映長洲居民的的廣大民意。

長洲鄉事委員會

二零一八年七月二十五日

回執
請於 7月31日前回覆

致：長洲鄉事委員會 （ 電話：2981 0350 魏結娘，傳真號碼：2986 9179）

本會 劉俊業 認同 / 不認同貴會以上建議的觀點

負責人簽名：_____ 聯絡電話：[redacted]

新界長洲教堂路 2 號地下
2, Church Road, Cheung Chau, N.T. 電話：2981 0350 Fax:2986 9179

5258

長洲鄉事委員會
Cheung Chau Rural Committee

致長洲各大社團：

主席：
蔡志明
副主席：
黃得民
吳文強
總務主任：
梁重佳
温基
財務主任：
黃雪蓮
地方關懷主任：
蔡倫生
孔富雄
婦女及青年主任：
李佳玲
鄉鎮發展及環境主任：
鄧慧文
福利主任：
葉少康
醫療衛生主任：
張明倫
海關主任：
彭華強
治安主任：
廖馬帶
公關主任：
林潔華
交通運輸主任：
陳德明
審計主任：
陳尚雄

選舉管理委員會於23/7/18 實際公佈明年區議會選舉之最新選區劃界建議，其中有意將長洲區來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，而且更表明是：

「在調整選區分界時，除了考慮統計人口外，亦會顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，及有關區域的自然特徵，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要時亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度，例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲由原來的兩席合併成一席。」

本會對選管會在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算資料而擬訂的結果，實在妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」，本會對以上建議感到十分不滿，並予以強烈反對！

由於本會將會對以上建議發函選管會提出強烈反對，假若 貴會對本會以上的觀點認同，有勞簽署隨函附上之回條或致電本會秘書處，本會會稍後將 貴會的尊號填上於本會遞呈選管會的反對信件內，並將呼籲居民出席，由選舉管理委員會於本年8月1日(星期三)晚上7時於深水埗基督教勞福8樓「基督角社區會堂」舉行之公眾諮詢會，綜合有關意見，以反映長洲居民的的廣大民意。

長洲鄉事委員會

二零一八年七月二十五日

回執
請於 7月31日前回覆

致：長洲鄉事委員會 （ 電話：2981 0350 魏結娘，傳真號碼：2986 9179）

本會 [illegible] 認同 / 不認同貴會以上建議的觀點

負責人簽名：_____ 聯絡電話：[redacted]

新界長洲教堂路 2 號地下
2, Church Road, Cheung Chau, N.T. 電話：2981 0350 Fax:2986 9179

5259

長洲鄉事委員會
Cheung Chau Rural Committee

致長洲各大社團：

主席：
蔡志明
副主席：
黃得民
吳文強
總務主任：
梁重佳
温基
財務主任：
黃雪蓮
地方關懷主任：
蔡倫生
孔富雄
婦女及青年主任：
李佳玲
鄉鎮發展及環境主任：
鄧慧文
福利主任：
葉少康
醫療衛生主任：
張明倫
海關主任：
彭華強
治安主任：
廖馬帶
公關主任：
林潔華
交通運輸主任：
陳德明
審計主任：
陳尚雄

選舉管理委員會於23/7/18 實際公佈明年區議會選舉之最新選區劃界建議，其中有意將長洲區來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，而且更表明是：

「在調整選區分界時，除了考慮統計人口外，亦會顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，及有關區域的自然特徵，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要時亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度，例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲由原來的兩席合併成一席。」

本會對選管會在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算資料而擬訂的結果，實在妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」，本會對以上建議感到十分不滿，並予以強烈反對！

由於本會將會對以上建議發函選管會提出強烈反對，假若 貴會對本會以上的觀點認同，有勞簽署隨函附上之回條或致電本會秘書處，本會會稍後將 貴會的尊號填上於本會遞呈選管會的反對信件內，並將呼籲居民出席，由選舉管理委員會於本年8月1日(星期三)晚上7時於深水埗基督教勞福8樓「基督角社區會堂」舉行之公眾諮詢會，綜合有關意見，以反映長洲居民的的廣大民意。

長洲鄉事委員會

二零一八年七月二十六日

回執
請於 7月31日前回覆

致：長洲鄉事委員會 （ 電話：2981 0350 魏結娘，傳真號碼：2986 9179）

本會 劉俊業 認同 / 不認同貴會以上建議的觀點

負責人簽名：劉俊業 聯絡電話：30-7-2018

新界長洲教堂路 2 號地下
2, Church Road, Cheung Chau, N.T. 電話：2981 0350 Fax:2986 9179

5260

長洲鄉事委員會
Cheung Chau Rural Committee

致長洲各大社團：

主席：
蔡志明
副主席：
黃得民
吳文強
總務主任：
梁重佳
温基
財務主任：
黃雪蓮
地方關懷主任：
蔡倫生
孔富雄
婦女及青年主任：
李佳玲
鄉鎮發展及環境主任：
鄧慧文
福利主任：
葉少康
醫療衛生主任：
張明倫
海關主任：
彭華強
治安主任：
廖馬帶
公關主任：
林潔華
交通運輸主任：
陳德明
審計主任：
陳尚雄

選舉管理委員會於23/7/18 實際公佈明年區議會選舉之最新選區劃界建議，其中有意將長洲區來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，而且更表明是：

「在調整選區分界時，除了考慮統計人口外，亦會顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，及有關區域的自然特徵，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要時亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度，例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲由原來的兩席合併成一席。」

本會對選管會在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算資料而擬訂的結果，實在妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」，本會對以上建議感到十分不滿，並予以強烈反對！

由於本會將會對以上建議發函選管會提出強烈反對，假若 貴會對本會以上的觀點認同，有勞簽署隨函附上之回條或致電本會秘書處，本會會稍後將 貴會的尊號填上於本會遞呈選管會的反對信件內，並將呼籲居民出席，由選舉管理委員會於本年8月1日(星期三)晚上7時於深水埗基督教勞福8樓「基督角社區會堂」舉行之公眾諮詢會，綜合有關意見，以反映長洲居民的的廣大民意。

長洲鄉事委員會

二零一八年七月二十五日

回執
請於 7月31日前回覆

致：長洲鄉事委員會 （ 電話：2981 0350 魏結娘，傳真號碼：2986 9179）

本會 劉俊業 認同 / 不認同貴會以上建議的觀點

負責人簽名：劉俊業 聯絡電話：30-7-2018

新界長洲教堂路 2 號地下
2, Church Road, Cheung Chau, N.T. 電話：2981 0350 Fax:2986 9179

5261

長洲鄉事委員會
Cheung Chau Rural Committee

致長洲各大社團：

主席：
蔡志明
副主席：
黃得民
吳文強
總務主任：
梁重佳
温基
財務主任：
黃雪蓮
地方關懷主任：
蔡倫生
孔富雄
婦女及青年主任：
李佳玲
鄉鎮發展及環境主任：
鄧慧文
福利主任：
葉少康
醫療衛生主任：
張明倫
海關主任：
彭華強
治安主任：
廖馬帶
公關主任：
林潔華
交通運輸主任：
陳德明
審計主任：
陳尚雄

選舉管理委員會於23/7/18 實際公佈明年區議會選舉之最新選區劃界建議，其中有意將長洲區來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，而且更表明是：

「在調整選區分界時，除了考慮統計人口外，亦會顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，及有關區域的自然特徵，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要時亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度，例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲由原來的兩席合併成一席。」

本會對選管會在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算資料而擬訂的結果，實在妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」，本會對以上建議感到十分不滿，並予以強烈反對！

由於本會將會對以上建議發函選管會提出強烈反對，假若 貴會對本會以上的觀點認同，有勞簽署隨函附上之回條或致電本會秘書處，本會會稍後將 貴會的尊號填上於本會遞呈選管會的反對信件內，並將呼籲居民出席，由選舉管理委員會於本年8月1日(星期三)晚上7時於深水埗基督教勞福8樓「基督角社區會堂」舉行之公眾諮詢會，綜合有關意見，以反映長洲居民的的廣大民意。

長洲鄉事委員會

二零一八年七月二十五日

回執
請於 7月31日前回覆

致：長洲鄉事委員會 （ 電話：2981 0350 魏結娘，傳真號碼：2986 9179）

本會 曹秋明 認同 / 不認同貴會以上建議的觀點

負責人簽名：曹秋明 聯絡電話：[redacted]

新界長洲教堂路 2 號地下
2, Church Road, Cheung Chau, N.T. 電話：2981 0350 Fax:2986 9179

5262

長洲鄉事委員會
Cheung Chau Rural Committee

致長洲各大社團：

主席：
蔡志明
副主席：
黃得民
吳文強
總務主任：
梁重佳
温基
財務主任：
黃雪蓮
地方關懷主任：
蔡倫生
孔富雄
婦女及青年主任：
李佳玲
鄉鎮發展及環境主任：
鄧慧文
福利主任：
葉少康
醫療衛生主任：
張明倫
海關主任：
彭華強
治安主任：
廖馬帶
公關主任：
林潔華
交通運輸主任：
陳德明
審計主任：
陳尚雄

選舉管理委員會於23/7/18 實際公佈明年區議會選舉之最新選區劃界建議，其中有意將長洲區來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，而且更表明是：

「在調整選區分界時，除了考慮統計人口外，亦會顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，及有關區域的自然特徵，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要時亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度，例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲由原來的兩席合併成一席。」

本會對選管會在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算資料而擬訂的結果，實在妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」，本會對以上建議感到十分不滿，並予以強烈反對！

由於本會將會對以上建議發函選管會提出強烈反對，假若 貴會對本會以上的觀點認同，有勞簽署隨函附上之回條或致電本會秘書處，本會會稍後將 貴會的尊號填上於本會遞呈選管會的反對信件內，並將呼籲居民出席，由選舉管理委員會於本年8月1日(星期三)晚上7時於深水埗基督教勞福8樓「基督角社區會堂」舉行之公眾諮詢會，綜合有關意見，以反映長洲居民的的廣大民意。

長洲鄉事委員會

二零一八年七月二十五日

回執
請於 7月31日前回覆

致：長洲鄉事委員會 （ 電話：2981 0350 魏結娘，傳真號碼：2986 9179）

本會 [illegible] 認同 / 不認同貴會以上建議的觀點

負責人簽名：[illegible] 聯絡電話：[redacted]

新界長洲教堂路 2 號地下
2, Church Road, Cheung Chau, N.T. 電話：2981 0350 Fax:2986 9179

5263

長洲鄉事委員會
Cheung Chau Rural Committee

致長洲各大社團：

主席：
蔡志明
副主席：
黃得民
吳文強
總務主任：
梁重佳
温基
財務主任：
黃雪蓮
地方關懷主任：
蔡倫生
孔富雄
婦女及青年主任：
李佳玲
鄉鎮發展及環境主任：
鄧慧文
福利主任：
葉少康
醫療衛生主任：
張明倫
海關主任：
彭華強
治安主任：
廖馬帶
公關主任：
林潔華
交通運輸主任：
陳德明
審計主任：
陳尚雄

選舉管理委員會於23/7/18 實際公佈明年區議會選舉之最新選區劃界建議，其中有意將長洲區來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，而且更表明是：

「在調整選區分界時，除了考慮統計人口外，亦會顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，及有關區域的自然特徵，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要時亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度，例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲由原來的兩席合併成一席。」

本會對選管會在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算資料而擬訂的結果，實在妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」，本會對以上建議感到十分不滿，並予以強烈反對！

由於本會將會對以上建議發函選管會提出強烈反對，假若 貴會對本會以上的觀點認同，有勞簽署隨函附上之回條或致電本會秘書處，本會會稍後將 貴會的尊號填上於本會遞呈選管會的反對信件內，並將呼籲居民出席，由選舉管理委員會於本年8月1日(星期三)晚上7時於深水埗基督教勞福8樓「基督角社區會堂」舉行之公眾諮詢會，綜合有關意見，以反映長洲居民的的廣大民意。

長洲鄉事委員會

二零一八年七月二十五日

回執
請於 7月31日前回覆

致：長洲鄉事委員會 （ 電話：2981 0350 魏結娘，傳真號碼：2986 9179）

本會 長洲發展運動聯會 認同 / 不認同貴會以上建議的觀點

負責人簽名：[illegible] 聯絡電話：[redacted]

新界長洲教堂路 2 號地下
2, Church Road, Cheung Chau, N.T. 電話：2981 0350 Fax:2986 9179

5264

長洲鄉事委員會
Cheung Chau Rural Committee

致長洲各大社團：

主席：
蔡志明
副主席：
黃得民
吳文強
總務主任：
梁重佳
温基
財務主任：
黃雪蓮
地方關懷主任：
蔡倫生
孔富雄
婦女及青年主任：
李佳玲
鄉鎮發展及環境主任：
鄧慧文
福利主任：
葉少康
醫療衛生主任：
張明倫
海關主任：
彭華強
治安主任：
廖馬帶
公關主任：
林潔華
交通運輸主任：
陳德明
審計主任：
陳尚雄

選舉管理委員會於23/7/18 實際公佈明年區議會選舉之最新選區劃界建議，其中有意將長洲區來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，而且更表明是：

「在調整選區分界時，除了考慮統計人口外，亦會顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，及有關區域的自然特徵，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要時亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度，例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲由原來的兩席合併成一席。」

本會對選管會在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算資料而擬訂的結果，實在妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」，本會對以上建議感到十分不滿，並予以強烈反對！

由於本會將會對以上建議發函選管會提出強烈反對，假若 貴會對本會以上的觀點認同，有勞簽署隨函附上之回條或致電本會秘書處，本會會稍後將 貴會的尊號填上於本會遞呈選管會的反對信件內，並將呼籲居民出席，由選舉管理委員會於本年8月1日(星期三)晚上7時於深水埗基督教勞福8樓「基督角社區會堂」舉行之公眾諮詢會，綜合有關意見，以反映長洲居民的的廣大民意。

長洲鄉事委員會

二零一八年七月二十五日

回執
請於 7月31日前回覆

致：長洲鄉事委員會 （ 電話：2981 0350 魏結娘，傳真號碼：2986 9179）

本會 [illegible] 認同 / 不認同貴會以上建議的觀點

負責人簽名：[illegible] 聯絡電話：[redacted]

新界長洲教堂路 2 號地下
2, Church Road, Cheung Chau, N.T. 電話：2981 0350 Fax:2986 9179

5265

長洲鄉事委員會
Cheung Chau Rural Committee

致長洲各大社團：

主席：
蔡志明
副主席：
黃得民
吳文強
總務主任：
梁重佳
温基
財務主任：
黃雪蓮
地方關懷主任：
蔡倫生
孔富雄
婦女及青年主任：
李佳玲
鄉鎮發展及環境主任：
鄧慧文
福利主任：
葉少康
醫療衛生主任：
張明倫
海關主任：
彭華強
治安主任：
廖馬帶
公關主任：
林潔華
交通運輸主任：
陳德明
審計主任：
陳尚雄

選舉管理委員會於23/7/18 實際公佈明年區議會選舉之最新選區劃界建議，其中有意將長洲區來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，而且更表明是：

「在調整選區分界時，除了考慮統計人口外，亦會顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，及有關區域的自然特徵，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要時亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度，例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲由原來的兩席合併成一席。」

本會對選管會在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算資料而擬訂的結果，實在妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」，本會對以上建議感到十分不滿，並予以強烈反對！

由於本會將會對以上建議發函選管會提出強烈反對，假若 貴會對本會以上的觀點認同，有勞簽署隨函附上之回條或致電本會秘書處，本會會稍後將 貴會的尊號填上於本會遞呈選管會的反對信件內，並將呼籲居民出席，由選舉管理委員會於本年8月1日(星期三)晚上7時於深水埗基督教勞福8樓「基督角社區會堂」舉行之公眾諮詢會，綜合有關意見，以反映長洲居民的的廣大民意。

長洲鄉事委員會

二零一八年七月二十五日

回執
請於 7月31日前回覆

致：長洲鄉事委員會 （ 電話：2981 0350 魏結娘，傳真號碼：2986 9179）

本會 [illegible] 認同 / 不認同貴會以上建議的觀點

負責人簽名：[illegible] 聯絡電話：[redacted]

新界長洲教堂路 2 號地下
2, Church Road, Cheung Chau, N.T. 電話：2981 0350 Fax:2986 9179

5266

長洲鄉事委員會
Cheung Chau Rural Committee

致長洲各大社團：

選舉管理委員會於23/7/18 舊辦公時明年區議會選舉之最新選區劃界建議，其中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，而且更表明是：「在調整選區分界時，除了考慮預計人口外，亦會顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，及有關區域的自然特徵，如交通及社區聯繫等其他決定因素，故有需要時亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度，例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲由原來的兩席合併成一席。」

本會對選管會在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算數字而擅自作出的結果，實在安顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」，本會對以上建議感到十分不滿，並予以強烈反對！

由於本會昨日(星期三)晚上7時於深水埗荔枝角道863號「荔枝角社區會堂」舉行之公眾諮詢會，綜合有關意見，以反映長洲居民的的廣大民意。

長洲鄉事委員會

二零一八年七月二十五日

回執
請於 7月31日前回覆

致：長洲鄉事委員會 （電話：2981 0350 魏姑娘，傳真號碼：2986 9179）

本會 認同 / 不認同貴會以上建議的觀點

負責人簽名： 聯絡電話：

新界長洲教堂路 2 號地下
2, Church Road, Cheung Chau, N.T. 電話：2981 0350 Fax:2986 9179

5267

長洲鄉事委員會
Cheung Chau Rural Committee

致長洲各大社團：

選舉管理委員會於23/7/18 舊辦公時明年區議會選舉之最新選區劃界建議，其中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，而且更表明是：「在調整選區分界時，除了考慮預計人口外，亦會顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，及有關區域的自然特徵，如交通及社區聯繫等其他決定因素，故有需要時亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度，例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲由原來的兩席合併成一席。」

本會對選管會在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算數字而擅自作出的結果，實在安顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」，本會對以上建議感到十分不滿，並予以強烈反對！

由於本會昨日(星期三)晚上7時於深水埗荔枝角道863號「荔枝角社區會堂」舉行之公眾諮詢會，綜合有關意見，以反映長洲居民的的廣大民意。

長洲鄉事委員會

二零一八年七月二十五日

回執
請於 7月31日前回覆

致：長洲鄉事委員會 （電話：2981 0350 魏姑娘，傳真號碼：2986 9179）

本會 認同 / 不認同貴會以上建議的觀點

負責人簽名： 聯絡電話：

新界長洲教堂路 2 號地下
2, Church Road, Cheung Chau, N.T. 電話：2981 0350 Fax:2986 9179

5268

長洲鄉事委員會
Cheung Chau Rural Committee

致長洲各大社團：

選舉管理委員會於23/7/18 舊辦公時明年區議會選舉之最新選區劃界建議，其中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，而且更表明是：「在調整選區分界時，除了考慮預計人口外，亦會顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，及有關區域的自然特徵，如交通及社區聯繫等其他決定因素，故有需要時亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度，例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲由原來的兩席合併成一席。」

本會對選管會在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算數字而擅自作出的結果，實在安顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」，本會對以上建議感到十分不滿，並予以強烈反對！

由於本會昨日(星期三)晚上7時於深水埗荔枝角道863號「荔枝角社區會堂」舉行之公眾諮詢會，綜合有關意見，以反映長洲居民的的廣大民意。

長洲鄉事委員會

二零一八年七月二十五日

回執
請於 7月31日前回覆

致：長洲鄉事委員會 （電話：2981 0350 魏姑娘，傳真號碼：2986 9179）

本會 認同 / 不認同貴會以上建議的觀點

負責人簽名： 聯絡電話：

新界長洲教堂路 2 號地下
2, Church Road, Cheung Chau, N.T. 電話：2981 0350 Fax:2986 9179

5269

長洲鄉事委員會
Cheung Chau Rural Committee

致長洲各大社團：

選舉管理委員會於23/7/18 舊辦公時明年區議會選舉之最新選區劃界建議，其中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，而且更表明是：「在調整選區分界時，除了考慮預計人口外，亦會顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，及有關區域的自然特徵，如交通及社區聯繫等其他決定因素，故有需要時亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度，例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲由原來的兩席合併成一席。」

本會對選管會在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算數字而擅自作出的結果，實在安顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」，本會對以上建議感到十分不滿，並予以強烈反對！

由於本會昨日(星期三)晚上7時於深水埗荔枝角道863號「荔枝角社區會堂」舉行之公眾諮詢會，綜合有關意見，以反映長洲居民的的廣大民意。

長洲鄉事委員會

二零一八年七月二十五日

回執
請於 7月31日前回覆

致：長洲鄉事委員會 （電話：2981 0350 魏姑娘，傳真號碼：2986 9179）

本會 十分 認同 / 不認同貴會以上建議的觀點

負責人簽名： 聯絡電話：

長洲順德同鄉會
CHEUNG CHAU SHUN TAK DISTRICT ASSOCIATION

新界長洲教堂路 2 號地下
2, Church Road, Cheung Chau, N.T. 電話：2981 0350 Fax:2986 9179

5270

長洲鄉事委員會
Cheung Chau Rural Committee

致長洲各大社團：

選舉管理委員會於23/7/18 舊辦公時明年區議會選舉之最新選區劃界建議，其中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，而且更表明是：「在調整選區分界時，除了考慮預計人口外，亦會顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，及有關區域的自然特徵，如交通及社區聯繫等其他決定因素，故有需要時亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度，例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲由原來的兩席合併成一席。」

本會對選管會在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算數字而擅自作出的結果，實在安顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」，本會對以上建議感到十分不滿，並予以強烈反對！

由於本會昨日(星期三)晚上7時於深水埗荔枝角道863號「荔枝角社區會堂」舉行之公眾諮詢會，綜合有關意見，以反映長洲居民的的廣大民意。

長洲鄉事委員會

二零一八年七月二十五日

回執
請於 7月31日前回覆

致：長洲鄉事委員會 （電話：2981 0350 魏姑娘，傳真號碼：2986 9179）

本會 海味雜貨商會 認同 / 不認同貴會以上建議的觀點

負責人簽名： 聯絡電話：

28-7-2018

新界長洲教堂路 2 號地下
2, Church Road, Cheung Chau, N.T. 電話：2981 0350 Fax:2986 9179

5271

長洲鄉事委員會
Cheung Chau Rural Committee

致長洲各大社團：

選舉管理委員會於23/7/18 舊辦公時明年區議會選舉之最新選區劃界建議，其中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，而且更表明是：「在調整選區分界時，除了考慮預計人口外，亦會顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，及有關區域的自然特徵，如交通及社區聯繫等其他決定因素，故有需要時亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度，例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲由原來的兩席合併成一席。」

本會對選管會在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算數字而擅自作出的結果，實在安顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」，本會對以上建議感到十分不滿，並予以強烈反對！

由於本會昨日(星期三)晚上7時於深水埗荔枝角道863號「荔枝角社區會堂」舉行之公眾諮詢會，綜合有關意見，以反映長洲居民的的廣大民意。

長洲鄉事委員會

二零一八年七月二十五日

回執
請於 7月31日前回覆

致：長洲鄉事委員會 （電話：2981 0350 魏姑娘，傳真號碼：2986 9179）

本會 長洲街坊會 認同 / 不認同貴會以上建議的觀點

負責人簽名： 聯絡電話：

新界長洲教堂路 2 號地下
2, Church Road, Cheung Chau, N.T. 電話：2981 0350 Fax:2986 9179

5272

長洲鄉事委員會
Cheung Chau Rural Committee

致長洲各大社團：

選舉管理委員會於23/7/18 舊辦公時明年區議會選舉之最新選區劃界建議，其中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，而且更表明是：「在調整選區分界時，除了考慮預計人口外，亦會顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，及有關區域的自然特徵，如交通及社區聯繫等其他決定因素，故有需要時亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度，例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲由原來的兩席合併成一席。」

本會對選管會在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算數字而擅自作出的結果，實在安顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」，本會對以上建議感到十分不滿，並予以強烈反對！

由於本會昨日(星期三)晚上7時於深水埗荔枝角道863號「荔枝角社區會堂」舉行之公眾諮詢會，綜合有關意見，以反映長洲居民的的廣大民意。

長洲鄉事委員會

二零一八年七月二十五日

回執
請於 7月31日前回覆

致：長洲鄉事委員會 （電話：2981 0350 魏姑娘，傳真號碼：2986 9179）

本會 長洲逸園聯會有限公司 認同 / 不認同貴會以上建議的觀點

負責人簽名： 聯絡電話：

秘書劉健輝 收件

新界長洲教堂路 2 號地下
2, Church Road, Cheung Chau, N.T. 電話：2981 0350 Fax:2986 9179

5273

長洲鄉事委員會
Cheung Chau Rural Committee

致長洲各大社團：

選舉管理委員會於23/7/18 舊辦公時明年區議會選舉之最新選區劃界建議，其中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，而且更表明是：「在調整選區分界時，除了考慮預計人口外，亦會顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，及有關區域的自然特徵，如交通及社區聯繫等其他決定因素，故有需要時亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度，例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲由原來的兩席合併成一席。」

本會對選管會在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算數字而擅自作出的結果，實在安顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」，本會對以上建議感到十分不滿，並予以強烈反對！

由於本會昨日(星期三)晚上7時於深水埗荔枝角道863號「荔枝角社區會堂」舉行之公眾諮詢會，綜合有關意見，以反映長洲居民的的廣大民意。

長洲鄉事委員會

二零一八年七月二十五日

回執
請於 7月31日前回覆

致：長洲鄉事委員會 （電話：2981 0350 魏姑娘，傳真號碼：2986 9179）

本會 認同 / 不認同貴會以上建議的觀點

負責人簽名： 聯絡電話

新界長洲教堂路 2 號地下
2, Church Road, Cheung Chau, N.T. 電話：2981 0350 Fax:2986 9179

5274

長洲鄉事委員會
Cheung Chau Rural Committee

致長洲各大社團：

選舉管理委員會於23/7/18 舊辦公時明年區議會選舉之最新選區劃界建議，其中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，而且更表明是：「在調整選區分界時，除了考慮預計人口外，亦會顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，及有關區域的自然特徵，如交通及社區聯繫等其他決定因素，故有需要時亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度，例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲由原來的兩席合併成一席。」

本會對選管會在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算數字而擅自作出的結果，實在安顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」，本會對以上建議感到十分不滿，並予以強烈反對！

由於本會昨日(星期三)晚上7時於深水埗荔枝角道863號「荔枝角社區會堂」舉行之公眾諮詢會，綜合有關意見，以反映長洲居民的的廣大民意。

長洲鄉事委員會

二零一八年七月二十五日

回執
請於 7月31日前回覆

致：長洲鄉事委員會 （電話：2981 0350 魏姑娘，傳真號碼：2986 9179）

本會 長洲東莞會所 認同 / 不認同貴會以上建議的觀點

負責人簽名： 聯絡電話：

新界長洲教堂路 2 號地下
2, Church Road, Cheung Chau, N.T. 電話：2981 0350 Fax:2986 9179

5275

長洲鄉事委員會
Cheung Chau Rural Committee

致長洲各大社團：

選舉管理委員會於23/7/18 舉辦公佈明年區議會選舉之最新選區劃界建議，其中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，而且更表明是：

「在調整選區分界時，除了考慮預計人口外，亦會顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，及有關區域的自然特徵，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要時亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度，例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲由原來的兩席合併成一席。」

本會對選管會在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算數據而推算出的結果，實在妄顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」，本會對以上建議感到十分不滿，並予以強烈反對！

由於本會將會對以上建議做回選管會提出強烈反對，倘若 貴會對本會以上的觀點認同，有勞簽署隨函附上之回條或致電本會秘書處，本會會稍後將 貴會的聯號填上於本會送呈選管會的反對信件內，並將呼籲居民出席，由選舉管理委員會於本年8月1日(星期三)晚上7時於深水埗基督教聖約翰座563號「基督角社區會堂」舉行之公眾諮詢會，綜合有關意見，以反映長洲居民的的廣大民意。

長洲鄉事委員會

二零一八年七月二十五日

回執
請於 7月31日前回覆

致：長洲鄉事委員會 （電話：2981 0350 魏姑娘，傳真號碼：2986 9179）

本會 長洲鄉事委員會 認同 / 不認同貴會以上建議的觀點

負責人簽名： 聯絡電話：[redacted]

新界長洲教堂路 2 號地下
2, Church Road, Cheung Chau, N.T. 電話：2981 0350 Fax:2986 9179

5276

長洲鄉事委員會
Cheung Chau Rural Committee

致長洲各大社團：

選舉管理委員會於23/7/18 舉辦公佈明年區議會選舉之最新選區劃界建議，其中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，而且更表明是：

「在調整選區分界時，除了考慮預計人口外，亦會顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，及有關區域的自然特徵，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要時亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度，例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲由原來的兩席合併成一席。」

本會對選管會在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算數據而推算出的結果，實在妄顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」，本會對以上建議感到十分不滿，並予以強烈反對！

由於本會將會對以上建議做回選管會提出強烈反對，倘若 貴會對本會以上的觀點認同，有勞簽署隨函附上之回條或致電本會秘書處，本會會稍後將 貴會的聯號填上於本會送呈選管會的反對信件內，並將呼籲居民出席，由選舉管理委員會於本年8月1日(星期三)晚上7時於深水埗基督教聖約翰座563號「基督角社區會堂」舉行之公眾諮詢會，綜合有關意見，以反映長洲居民的的廣大民意。

長洲鄉事委員會

二零一八年七月二十五日

回執
請於 7月31日前回覆

致：長洲鄉事委員會 （電話：2981 0350 魏姑娘，傳真號碼：2986 9179）

本會 [redacted] 認同 / 不認同貴會以上建議的觀點

負責人簽名： 聯絡電話：[redacted]

新界長洲教堂路 2 號地下
2, Church Road, Cheung Chau, N.T. 電話：2981 0350 Fax:2986 9179

5277

長洲鄉事委員會
Cheung Chau Rural Committee

致長洲各大社團：

選舉管理委員會於23/7/18 舉辦公佈明年區議會選舉之最新選區劃界建議，其中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，而且更表明是：

「在調整選區分界時，除了考慮預計人口外，亦會顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，及有關區域的自然特徵，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要時亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度，例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲由原來的兩席合併成一席。」

本會對選管會在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算數據而推算出的結果，實在妄顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」，本會對以上建議感到十分不滿，並予以強烈反對！

由於本會將會對以上建議做回選管會提出強烈反對，倘若 貴會對本會以上的觀點認同，有勞簽署隨函附上之回條或致電本會秘書處，本會會稍後將 貴會的聯號填上於本會送呈選管會的反對信件內，並將呼籲居民出席，由選舉管理委員會於本年8月1日(星期三)晚上7時於深水埗基督教聖約翰座563號「基督角社區會堂」舉行之公眾諮詢會，綜合有關意見，以反映長洲居民的的廣大民意。

長洲鄉事委員會

二零一八年七月二十五日

回執
請於 7月31日前回覆

致：長洲鄉事委員會 （電話：2981 0350 魏姑娘，傳真號碼：2986 9179）

本會 長洲鄉事委員會 認同 / 不認同貴會以上建議的觀點

負責人簽名： 聯絡電話：[redacted]

新界長洲教堂路 2 號地下
2, Church Road, Cheung Chau, N.T. 電話：2981 0350 Fax:2986 9179

5278

長洲鄉事委員會
Cheung Chau Rural Committee

致長洲各大社團：

選舉管理委員會於23/7/18 舉辦公佈明年區議會選舉之最新選區劃界建議，其中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，而且更表明是：

「在調整選區分界時，除了考慮預計人口外，亦會顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，及有關區域的自然特徵，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要時亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度，例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲由原來的兩席合併成一席。」

本會對選管會在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算數據而推算出的結果，實在妄顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」，本會對以上建議感到十分不滿，並予以強烈反對！

由於本會將會對以上建議做回選管會提出強烈反對，倘若 貴會對本會以上的觀點認同，有勞簽署隨函附上之回條或致電本會秘書處，本會會稍後將 貴會的聯號填上於本會送呈選管會的反對信件內，並將呼籲居民出席，由選舉管理委員會於本年8月1日(星期三)晚上7時於深水埗基督教聖約翰座563號「基督角社區會堂」舉行之公眾諮詢會，綜合有關意見，以反映長洲居民的的廣大民意。

長洲鄉事委員會

二零一八年七月二十五日

回執
請於 7月31日前回覆

致：長洲鄉事委員會 （電話：2981 0350 魏姑娘，傳真號碼：2986 9179）

本會 長洲鄉事委員會 認同 / 不認同貴會以上建議的觀點

負責人簽名： 聯絡電話：[redacted]

新界長洲教堂路 2 號地下
2, Church Road, Cheung Chau, N.T. 電話：2981 0350 Fax:2986 9179

5279

長洲鄉事委員會
Cheung Chau Rural Committee

致長洲各大社團：

選舉管理委員會於23/7/18 舉辦公佈明年區議會選舉之最新選區劃界建議，其中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，而且更表明是：

「在調整選區分界時，除了考慮預計人口外，亦會顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，及有關區域的自然特徵，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要時亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度，例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲由原來的兩席合併成一席。」

本會對選管會在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算數據而推算出的結果，實在妄顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」，本會對以上建議感到十分不滿，並予以強烈反對！

由於本會將會對以上建議做回選管會提出強烈反對，倘若 貴會對本會以上的觀點認同，有勞簽署隨函附上之回條或致電本會秘書處，本會會稍後將 貴會的聯號填上於本會送呈選管會的反對信件內，並將呼籲居民出席，由選舉管理委員會於本年8月1日(星期三)晚上7時於深水埗基督教聖約翰座563號「基督角社區會堂」舉行之公眾諮詢會，綜合有關意見，以反映長洲居民的的廣大民意。

長洲鄉事委員會

二零一八年七月二十五日

回執
請於 7月31日前回覆

致：長洲鄉事委員會 （電話：2981 0350 魏姑娘，傳真號碼：2986 9179）

本會 長洲鄉事委員會 認同 / 不認同貴會以上建議的觀點

負責人簽名： 聯絡電話：[redacted]

新界長洲教堂路 2 號地下
2, Church Road, Cheung Chau, N.T. 電話：2981 0350 Fax:2986 9179

5280

長洲鄉事委員會
Cheung Chau Rural Committee

致長洲各大社團：

選舉管理委員會於23/7/18 舉辦公佈明年區議會選舉之最新選區劃界建議，其中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，而且更表明是：

「在調整選區分界時，除了考慮預計人口外，亦會顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，及有關區域的自然特徵，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要時亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度，例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲由原來的兩席合併成一席。」

本會對選管會在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算數據而推算出的結果，實在妄顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」，本會對以上建議感到十分不滿，並予以強烈反對！

由於本會將會對以上建議做回選管會提出強烈反對，倘若 貴會對本會以上的觀點認同，有勞簽署隨函附上之回條或致電本會秘書處，本會會稍後將 貴會的聯號填上於本會送呈選管會的反對信件內，並將呼籲居民出席，由選舉管理委員會於本年8月1日(星期三)晚上7時於深水埗基督教聖約翰座563號「基督角社區會堂」舉行之公眾諮詢會，綜合有關意見，以反映長洲居民的的廣大民意。

長洲鄉事委員會

二零一八年七月二十五日

回執
請於 7月31日前回覆

致：長洲鄉事委員會 （電話：2981 0350 魏姑娘，傳真號碼：2986 9179）

本會 [redacted] 認同 / 不認同貴會以上建議的觀點

負責人簽名： 聯絡電話：[redacted]

新界長洲教堂路 2 號地下
2, Church Road, Cheung Chau, N.T. 電話：2981 0350 Fax:2986 9179

5281

長洲鄉事委員會
Cheung Chau Rural Committee

致長洲各大社團：

選舉管理委員會於23/7/18 舉辦公佈明年區議會選舉之最新選區劃界建議，其中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，而且更表明是：

「在調整選區分界時，除了考慮預計人口外，亦會顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，及有關區域的自然特徵，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要時亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度，例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲由原來的兩席合併成一席。」

本會對選管會在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算數據而推算出的結果，實在妄顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」，本會對以上建議感到十分不滿，並予以強烈反對！

由於本會將會對以上建議做回選管會提出強烈反對，倘若 貴會對本會以上的觀點認同，有勞簽署隨函附上之回條或致電本會秘書處，本會會稍後將 貴會的聯號填上於本會送呈選管會的反對信件內，並將呼籲居民出席，由選舉管理委員會於本年8月1日(星期三)晚上7時於深水埗基督教聖約翰座563號「基督角社區會堂」舉行之公眾諮詢會，綜合有關意見，以反映長洲居民的的廣大民意。

長洲鄉事委員會

二零一八年七月二十五日

回執
請於 7月31日前回覆

致：長洲鄉事委員會 （電話：2981 0350 魏姑娘，傳真號碼：2986 9179）

本會 [redacted] 認同 / 不認同貴會以上建議的觀點

負責人簽名： 聯絡電話：[redacted]

新界長洲教堂路 2 號地下
2, Church Road, Cheung Chau, N.T. 電話：2981 0350 Fax:2986 9179

5282

長洲鄉事委員會
Cheung Chau Rural Committee

致長洲各大社團：

選舉管理委員會於23/7/18 舉辦公佈明年區議會選舉之最新選區劃界建議，其中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，而且更表明是：

「在調整選區分界時，除了考慮預計人口外，亦會顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，及有關區域的自然特徵，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要時亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度，例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲由原來的兩席合併成一席。」

本會對選管會在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算數據而推算出的結果，實在妄顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」，本會對以上建議感到十分不滿，並予以強烈反對！

由於本會將會對以上建議做回選管會提出強烈反對，倘若 貴會對本會以上的觀點認同，有勞簽署隨函附上之回條或致電本會秘書處，本會會稍後將 貴會的聯號填上於本會送呈選管會的反對信件內，並將呼籲居民出席，由選舉管理委員會於本年8月1日(星期三)晚上7時於深水埗基督教聖約翰座563號「基督角社區會堂」舉行之公眾諮詢會，綜合有關意見，以反映長洲居民的的廣大民意。

長洲鄉事委員會

二零一八年七月二十五日

回執
請於 7月31日前回覆

致：長洲鄉事委員會 （電話：2981 0350 魏姑娘，傳真號碼：2986 9179）

本會 [redacted] 認同 / 不認同貴會以上建議的觀點

負責人簽名： 聯絡電話：[redacted]

新界長洲教堂路 2 號地下
2, Church Road, Cheung Chau, N.T. 電話：2981 0350 Fax:2986 9179

5283

長洲鄉事委員會
Cheung Chau Rural Committee

致長洲各大社團：

選舉管理委員會於23/7/18 舉辦公佈明年區議會選舉之最新選區劃界建議，其中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，而且更表明是：

「在調整選區分界時，除了考慮預計人口外，亦會顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，及有關區域的自然特徵，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要時亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度，例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲由原來的兩席合併成一席。」

本會對選管會在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算數據而推算出的結果，實在妄顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」，本會對以上建議感到十分不滿，並予以強烈反對！

由於本會將會對以上建議做回選管會提出強烈反對，倘若 貴會對本會以上的觀點認同，有勞簽署隨函附上之回條或致電本會秘書處，本會會稍後將 貴會的聯號填上於本會送呈選管會的反對信件內，並將呼籲居民出席，由選舉管理委員會於本年8月1日(星期三)晚上7時於深水埗基督教聖約翰座563號「基督角社區會堂」舉行之公眾諮詢會，綜合有關意見，以反映長洲居民的的廣大民意。

長洲鄉事委員會

二零一八年七月二十五日

回執
請於 7月31日前回覆

致：長洲鄉事委員會 （電話：2981 0350 魏姑娘，傳真號碼：2986 9179）

本會 [redacted] 認同 / 不認同貴會以上建議的觀點

負責人簽名： 聯絡電話：[redacted]

新界長洲教堂路 2 號地下
2, Church Road, Cheung Chau, N.T. 電話：2981 0350 Fax:2986 9179

5284

長洲鄉事委員會
Cheung Chau Rural Committee

致長洲各大社團：

選舉管理委員會於23/7/18 舊聞公佈明年區議會選舉之最新選區劃界建議，其中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，而且更表明是：

「在調整選區分界時，除了考慮預計人口外，亦會顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，及有關區域的自然特徵，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要時亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度，例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲由原來的兩席合併成一席。」

本會對選管會在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算數字而作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」，本會對以上建議感到十分不滿，並予以強烈反對！

由於本會將會對以上建議致函選管會提出強烈反對，假若 貴會對本會以上的觀點認同，有勞簽署隨函附上之回條或致電本會秘書處，本會會衡後將 貴會的尊號填上於本會這呈選管會的反對信件內，並將呼籲居民出席，由選舉管理委員會於本年8月1日(星期三) 晚上7時於深水埗荔枝角道863號「荔枝角社區會堂」舉行之公眾諮詢會，綜合有關意見，以反映長洲居民的的廣大民意。

長洲鄉事委員會

二零一八年七月二十五日

回執
請於 7月31日前回覆

致：長洲鄉事委員會 （電話：2981 0350 魏結娘，傳真號碼：2986 9179）

本會 長洲街坊福利會 認同 / 不認同貴會以上建議的觀點

負責人簽名：劉伴財 聯絡電話：30-7-2018

新界長洲教堂路 2 號地下
2, Church Road, Cheung Chau, N.T. 電話：2981 0350 Fax:2986 9179

5285

長洲鄉事委員會
Cheung Chau Rural Committee

致長洲各大社團：

選舉管理委員會於23/7/18 舊聞公佈明年區議會選舉之最新選區劃界建議，其中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，而且更表明是：

「在調整選區分界時，除了考慮預計人口外，亦會顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，及有關區域的自然特徵，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要時亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度，例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲由原來的兩席合併成一席。」

本會對選管會在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算數字而作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」，本會對以上建議感到十分不滿，並予以強烈反對！

由於本會將會對以上建議致函選管會提出強烈反對，假若 貴會對本會以上的觀點認同，有勞簽署隨函附上之回條或致電本會秘書處，本會會衡後將 貴會的尊號填上於本會這呈選管會的反對信件內，並將呼籲居民出席，由選舉管理委員會於本年8月1日(星期三) 晚上7時於深水埗荔枝角道863號「荔枝角社區會堂」舉行之公眾諮詢會，綜合有關意見，以反映長洲居民的的廣大民意。

長洲鄉事委員會

二零一八年七月二十五日

回執
請於 7月31日前回覆

致：長洲鄉事委員會 （電話：2981 0350 魏結娘，傳真號碼：2986 9179）

本會 警島民聯會 認同 / 不認同貴會以上建議的觀點

負責人簽名：劉伴財 聯絡電話：30-7-2018

新界長洲教堂路 2 號地下
2, Church Road, Cheung Chau, N.T. 電話：2981 0350 Fax:2986 9179

5286

長洲鄉事委員會
Cheung Chau Rural Committee

致長洲各大社團：

選舉管理委員會於23/7/18 舊聞公佈明年區議會選舉之最新選區劃界建議，其中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，而且更表明是：

「在調整選區分界時，除了考慮預計人口外，亦會顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，及有關區域的自然特徵，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要時亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度，例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲由原來的兩席合併成一席。」

本會對選管會在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算數字而作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」，本會對以上建議感到十分不滿，並予以強烈反對！

由於本會將會對以上建議致函選管會提出強烈反對，假若 貴會對本會以上的觀點認同，有勞簽署隨函附上之回條或致電本會秘書處，本會會衡後將 貴會的尊號填上於本會這呈選管會的反對信件內，並將呼籲居民出席，由選舉管理委員會於本年8月1日(星期三) 晚上7時於深水埗荔枝角道863號「荔枝角社區會堂」舉行之公眾諮詢會，綜合有關意見，以反映長洲居民的的廣大民意。

長洲鄉事委員會

二零一八年七月二十五日

回執
請於 7月31日前回覆

致：長洲鄉事委員會 （電話：2981 0350 魏結娘，傳真號碼：2986 9179）

本會 長洲鄉事委員會 認同 / 不認同貴會以上建議的觀點

負責人簽名：劉偉棠 聯絡電話：30-7-2018

新界長洲教堂路 2 號地下
2, Church Road, Cheung Chau, N.T. 電話：2981 0350 Fax:2986 9179

5287

長洲鄉事委員會
Cheung Chau Rural Committee

致長洲各大社團：

選舉管理委員會於23/7/18 舊聞公佈明年區議會選舉之最新選區劃界建議，其中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，而且更表明是：

「在調整選區分界時，除了考慮預計人口外，亦會顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，及有關區域的自然特徵，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要時亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度，例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲由原來的兩席合併成一席。」

本會對選管會在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算數字而作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」，本會對以上建議感到十分不滿，並予以強烈反對！

由於本會將會對以上建議致函選管會提出強烈反對，假若 貴會對本會以上的觀點認同，有勞簽署隨函附上之回條或致電本會秘書處，本會會衡後將 貴會的尊號填上於本會這呈選管會的反對信件內，並將呼籲居民出席，由選舉管理委員會於本年8月1日(星期三) 晚上7時於深水埗荔枝角道863號「荔枝角社區會堂」舉行之公眾諮詢會，綜合有關意見，以反映長洲居民的的廣大民意。

長洲鄉事委員會

二零一八年七月二十五日

回執
請於 7月31日前回覆

致：長洲鄉事委員會 （電話：2981 0350 魏結娘，傳真號碼：2986 9179）

本會 國民學校 認同 / 不認同貴會以上建議的觀點

負責人簽名：[redacted] 聯絡電話：[redacted]

新界長洲教堂路 2 號地下
2, Church Road, Cheung Chau, N.T. 電話：2981 0350 Fax:2986 9179

TOTAL P.01

5288

長洲鄉事委員會
Cheung Chau Rural Committee

致長洲各大社團：

選舉管理委員會於23/7/18 舊聞公佈明年區議會選舉之最新選區劃界建議，其中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，而且更表明是：

「在調整選區分界時，除了考慮預計人口外，亦會顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，及有關區域的自然特徵，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要時亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度，例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲由原來的兩席合併成一席。」

本會對選管會在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算數字而作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」，本會對以上建議感到十分不滿，並予以強烈反對！

由於本會將會對以上建議致函選管會提出強烈反對，假若 貴會對本會以上的觀點認同，有勞簽署隨函附上之回條或致電本會秘書處，本會會衡後將 貴會的尊號填上於本會這呈選管會的反對信件內，並將呼籲居民出席，由選舉管理委員會於本年8月1日(星期三) 晚上7時於深水埗荔枝角道863號「荔枝角社區會堂」舉行之公眾諮詢會，綜合有關意見，以反映長洲居民的的廣大民意。

長洲鄉事委員會

二零一八年七月二十五日

回執
請於 7月31日前回覆

致：長洲鄉事委員會 （電話：2981 0350 魏結娘，傳真號碼：2986 9179）

本會 長洲北約鄉約會 認同 / 不認同貴會以上建議的觀點

負責人簽名：[redacted] 聯絡電話：[redacted]

新界長洲教堂路 2 號地下
2, Church Road, Cheung Chau, N.T. 電話：2981 0350 Fax:2986 9179

5289

長洲鄉事委員會
Cheung Chau Rural Committee

致長洲各大社團：

選舉管理委員會於23/7/18 舊聞公佈明年區議會選舉之最新選區劃界建議，其中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，而且更表明是：

「在調整選區分界時，除了考慮預計人口外，亦會顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，及有關區域的自然特徵，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要時亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度，例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲由原來的兩席合併成一席。」

本會對選管會在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算數字而作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」，本會對以上建議感到十分不滿，並予以強烈反對！

由於本會將會對以上建議致函選管會提出強烈反對，假若 貴會對本會以上的觀點認同，有勞簽署隨函附上之回條或致電本會秘書處，本會會衡後將 貴會的尊號填上於本會這呈選管會的反對信件內，並將呼籲居民出席，由選舉管理委員會於本年8月1日(星期三) 晚上7時於深水埗荔枝角道863號「荔枝角社區會堂」舉行之公眾諮詢會，綜合有關意見，以反映長洲居民的的廣大民意。

長洲鄉事委員會

二零一八年七月二十五日

回執
請於 7月31日前回覆

致：長洲鄉事委員會 （電話：2981 0350 魏結娘，傳真號碼：2986 9179）

本會 長洲鄉事委員會 認同 / 不認同貴會以上建議的觀點

負責人簽名：曹永明 聯絡電話：[redacted]

新界長洲教堂路 2 號地下
2. Church Road. Cheung Chau, N.T. 電話：2981 0350 Fax:2986 9179

5290

長洲鄉事委員會
Cheung Chau Rural Committee

致長洲各大社團：

選舉管理委員會於23/7/18 舊聞公佈明年區議會選舉之最新選區劃界建議，其中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，而且更表明是：

「在調整選區分界時，除了考慮預計人口外，亦會顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，及有關區域的自然特徵，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要時亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度，例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲由原來的兩席合併成一席。」

本會對選管會在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算數字而作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」，本會對以上建議感到十分不滿，並予以強烈反對！

由於本會將會對以上建議致函選管會提出強烈反對，假若 貴會對本會以上的觀點認同，有勞簽署隨函附上之回條或致電本會秘書處，本會會衡後將 貴會的尊號填上於本會這呈選管會的反對信件內，並將呼籲居民出席，由選舉管理委員會於本年8月1日(星期三) 晚上7時於深水埗荔枝角道863號「荔枝角社區會堂」舉行之公眾諮詢會，綜合有關意見，以反映長洲居民的的廣大民意。

長洲鄉事委員會

二零一八年七月二十五日

回執
請於 7月31日前回覆

致：長洲鄉事委員會 （電話：2981 0350 魏結娘，傳真號碼：2986 9179）

本會 長洲新興街街坊會 認同 / 不認同貴會以上建議的觀點

負責人簽名：[redacted] 聯絡電話：[redacted]

新界長洲教堂路 2 號地下
2, Church Road, Cheung Chau, N.T. 電話：2981 0350 Fax:2986 9179

5291

長洲鄉事委員會
Cheung Chau Rural Committee

致長洲各大社團：

選舉管理委員會於23/7/18 舊聞公佈明年區議會選舉之最新選區劃界建議，其中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，而且更表明是：

「在調整選區分界時，除了考慮預計人口外，亦會顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，及有關區域的自然特徵，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要時亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度，例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲由原來的兩席合併成一席。」

本會對選管會在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算數字而作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」，本會對以上建議感到十分不滿，並予以強烈反對！

由於本會將會對以上建議致函選管會提出強烈反對，假若 貴會對本會以上的觀點認同，有勞簽署隨函附上之回條或致電本會秘書處，本會會衡後將 貴會的尊號填上於本會這呈選管會的反對信件內，並將呼籲居民出席，由選舉管理委員會於本年8月1日(星期三) 晚上7時於深水埗荔枝角道863號「荔枝角社區會堂」舉行之公眾諮詢會，綜合有關意見，以反映長洲居民的的廣大民意。

長洲鄉事委員會

二零一八年七月二十五日

回執
請於 7月31日前回覆

致：長洲鄉事委員會 （電話：2981 0350 魏結娘，傳真號碼：2986 9179）

本會 香港長洲坪洲中山同鄉會 認同 / 不認同貴會以上建議的觀點

負責人簽名：[redacted] 聯絡電話：[redacted]

新界長洲教堂路 2 號地下
2, Church Road, Cheung Chau, N.T. 電話：2981 0350 Fax:2986 9179

5292

長洲鄉事委員會
Cheung Chau Rural Committee

致長洲各大社團：

選舉管理委員會於23/7/18 舊聞公佈明年區議會選舉之最新選區劃界建議，其中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，而且更表明是：

「在調整選區分界時，除了考慮預計人口外，亦會顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，及有關區域的自然特徵，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要時亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度，例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲由原來的兩席合併成一席。」

本會對選管會在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算數字而作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」，本會對以上建議感到十分不滿，並予以強烈反對！

由於本會將會對以上建議致函選管會提出強烈反對，假若 貴會對本會以上的觀點認同，有勞簽署隨函附上之回條或致電本會秘書處，本會會衡後將 貴會的尊號填上於本會這呈選管會的反對信件內，並將呼籲居民出席，由選舉管理委員會於本年8月1日(星期三) 晚上7時於深水埗荔枝角道863號「荔枝角社區會堂」舉行之公眾諮詢會，綜合有關意見，以反映長洲居民的的廣大民意。

長洲鄉事委員會

二零一八年七月二十五日

回執
請於 7月31日前回覆

致：長洲鄉事委員會 （電話：2981 0350 魏結娘，傳真號碼：2986 9179）

本會 長洲居民聯會 認同 / 不認同貴會以上建議的觀點

負責人簽名：陳基鑫 聯絡電話：[redacted]

新界長洲教堂路 2 號地下
2, Church Road, Cheung Chau, N.T. 電話：2981 0350 Fax:2986 9179

5293

長洲鄉事委員會
Cheung Chau Rural Committee

致長洲各大社團：

選舉管理委員會於23/7/18 舊辦公佈明年區議會選舉之最新選區劃界建議，其中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，而且更表明是：
「在調整選區分界時，除了考慮預計人口外，亦會顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，及有關區域的自然特徵，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要時亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度，例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲由原來的兩席合併成一席。」

本會對選管會在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算調查而推算出的結果，實在妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」，本會對以上建議感到十分不滿，並予以強烈反對！

由於本會將會對以上建議致函選管會提出強烈反對，倘若 貴會對本會以上的觀點認同，有勞簽署隨函附上之回條或致電本會秘書處，本會會稍後將 貴會的尊號填上於本會送呈選管會的反對信件內，並將呼籲居民出席，由選舉管理委員會於本年8月1日(星期三)晚上7時於深水埗基督教角道863號「基督角社區會堂」舉行之公眾諮詢會，聽合有關意見，以反映長洲居民的的廣大民意。

長洲鄉事委員會

二零一八年七月二十五日

回執
請於 7月31日前回覆

致：長洲鄉事委員會 （電話：2981 0350 魏結娘，傳真號碼：2986 9179）

本會 [illegible] 認同 / 不認同貴會以上建議的觀點

負責人簽名：_____ 聯絡電話：[redacted]

新界長洲教堂路 2 號地下
2, Church Road, Cheung Chau, N.T. 電話：2981 0350 Fax:2986 9179

5294

長洲鄉事委員會
Cheung Chau Rural Committee

致長洲各大社團：

選舉管理委員會於23/7/18 舊辦公佈明年區議會選舉之最新選區劃界建議，其中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，而且更表明是：
「在調整選區分界時，除了考慮預計人口外，亦會顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，及有關區域的自然特徵，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要時亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度，例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲由原來的兩席合併成一席。」

本會對選管會在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算調查而推算出的結果，實在妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」，本會對以上建議感到十分不滿，並予以強烈反對！

由於本會將會對以上建議致函選管會提出強烈反對，倘若 貴會對本會以上的觀點認同，有勞簽署隨函附上之回條或致電本會秘書處，本會會稍後將 貴會的尊號填上於本會送呈選管會的反對信件內，並將呼籲居民出席，由選舉管理委員會於本年8月1日(星期三)晚上7時於深水埗基督教角道863號「基督角社區會堂」舉行之公眾諮詢會，聽合有關意見，以反映長洲居民的的廣大民意。

長洲鄉事委員會

二零一八年七月二十五日

回執
請於 7月31日前回覆

致：長洲鄉事委員會 （電話：2981 0350 魏結娘，傳真號碼：2986 9179）

本會 [illegible] 認同 / 不認同貴會以上建議的觀點

負責人簽名：_____ 聯絡電話：28-7-2018

新界長洲教堂路 2 號地下
2, Church Road, Cheung Chau, N.T. 電話：2981 0350 Fax:2986 9179

5295

回執
請於 7月31日前回覆

公關主任：林潔華
交通運輸主任：陳結明
會計主任：陸南禮

致：長洲鄉事委員會 （電話：2981 0350 魏結娘，傳真號碼：2986 9179）

本會 [illegible] 認同 / 不認同貴會以上建議的觀點

負責人簽名：[redacted] 聯絡電話：[redacted]

新界長洲教堂路 2 號地下
2, Church Road, Cheung Chau, N.T. 電話：2981 0350 Fax:2986 9179

5296

回執
請於 7月31日前回覆

公關主任：林潔華
交通運輸主任：陳結明
會計主任：陸南禮

致：長洲鄉事委員會 （電話：2981 0350 魏結娘，傳真號碼：2986 9179）

本會 [illegible] 認同 / 不認同貴會以上建議的觀點

負責人簽名：_____ 聯絡電話：[redacted]

新界長洲教堂路 2 號地下
2, Church Road, Cheung Chau, N.T. 電話：2981 0350 Fax:2986 9179

5297

長洲鄉事委員會
Cheung Chau Rural Committee

致長洲各大社團：

選舉管理委員會於23/7/18 舊辦公佈明年區議會選舉之最新選區劃界建議，其中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，而且更表明是：
「在調整選區分界時，除了考慮預計人口外，亦會顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，及有關區域的自然特徵，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要時亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度，例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲由原來的兩席合併成一席。」

本會對選管會在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算調查而推算出的結果，實在妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」，本會對以上建議感到十分不滿，並予以強烈反對！

由於本會將會對以上建議致函選管會提出強烈反對，倘若 貴會對本會以上的觀點認同，有勞簽署隨函附上之回條或致電本會秘書處，本會會稍後將 貴會的尊號填上於本會送呈選管會的反對信件內，並將呼籲居民出席，由選舉管理委員會於本年8月1日(星期三)晚上7時於深水埗基督教角道863號「基督角社區會堂」舉行之公眾諮詢會，聽合有關意見，以反映長洲居民的的廣大民意。

長洲鄉事委員會

二零一八年七月二十五日

回執
請於 7月31日前回覆

致：長洲鄉事委員會 （電話：2981 0350 魏結娘，傳真號碼：2986 9179）

本會 [illegible] 認同 / 不認同貴會以上建議的觀點

負責人簽名：_____ 聯絡電話：[redacted]

新界長洲教堂路 2 號地下
2, Church Road, Cheung Chau, N.T. 電話：2981 0350 Fax:2986 9179

5298

長洲鄉事委員會
Cheung Chau Rural Committee

致長洲各大社團：

選舉管理委員會於23/7/18 舊辦公佈明年區議會選舉之最新選區劃界建議，其中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，而且更表明是：
「在調整選區分界時，除了考慮預計人口外，亦會顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，及有關區域的自然特徵，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要時亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度，例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲由原來的兩席合併成一席。」

本會對選管會在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算調查而推算出的結果，實在妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」，本會對以上建議感到十分不滿，並予以強烈反對！

由於本會將會對以上建議致函選管會提出強烈反對，倘若 貴會對本會以上的觀點認同，有勞簽署隨函附上之回條或致電本會秘書處，本會會稍後將 貴會的尊號填上於本會送呈選管會的反對信件內，並將呼籲居民出席，由選舉管理委員會於本年8月1日(星期三)晚上7時於深水埗基督教角道863號「基督角社區會堂」舉行之公眾諮詢會，聽合有關意見，以反映長洲居民的的廣大民意。

長洲鄉事委員會

二零一八年七月二十五日

回執
請於 7月31日前回覆

致：長洲鄉事委員會 （電話：2981 0350 魏結娘，傳真號碼：2986 9179）

本會 [illegible] 認同 / 不認同貴會以上建議的觀點

負責人簽名：_____ 聯絡電話：[redacted]

新界長洲教堂路 2 號地下
2, Church Road, Cheung Chau, N.T. 電話：2981 0350 Fax:2986 9179

5299

長洲鄉事委員會
Cheung Chau Rural Committee

致長洲各大社團：

選舉管理委員會於23/7/18 舊辦公佈明年區議會選舉之最新選區劃界建議，其中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，而且更表明是：
「在調整選區分界時，除了考慮預計人口外，亦會顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，及有關區域的自然特徵，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要時亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度，例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲由原來的兩席合併成一席。」

本會對選管會在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算調查而推算出的結果，實在妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」，本會對以上建議感到十分不滿，並予以強烈反對！

由於本會將會對以上建議致函選管會提出強烈反對，倘若 貴會對本會以上的觀點認同，有勞簽署隨函附上之回條或致電本會秘書處，本會會稍後將 貴會的尊號填上於本會送呈選管會的反對信件內，並將呼籲居民出席，由選舉管理委員會於本年8月1日(星期三)晚上7時於深水埗基督教角道863號「基督角社區會堂」舉行之公眾諮詢會，聽合有關意見，以反映長洲居民的的廣大民意。

長洲鄉事委員會

二零一八年七月二十五日

回執
請於 7月31日前回覆

致：長洲鄉事委員會 （電話：2981 0350 魏結娘，傳真號碼：2986 9179）

本會 [illegible] 認同 / 不認同貴會以上建議的觀點

負責人簽名：_____ 聯絡電話：[redacted]

新界長洲教堂路 2 號地下
2, Church Road, Cheung Chau, N.T. 電話：2981 0350 Fax:2986 9179

5300

長洲鄉事委員會
Cheung Chau Rural Committee

致長洲各大社團：

選舉管理委員會於23/7/18 舊辦公佈明年區議會選舉之最新選區劃界建議，其中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，而且更表明是：
「在調整選區分界時，除了考慮預計人口外，亦會顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，及有關區域的自然特徵，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要時亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度，例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲由原來的兩席合併成一席。」

本會對選管會在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算調查而推算出的結果，實在妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」，本會對以上建議感到十分不滿，並予以強烈反對！

由於本會將會對以上建議致函選管會提出強烈反對，倘若 貴會對本會以上的觀點認同，有勞簽署隨函附上之回條或致電本會秘書處，本會會稍後將 貴會的尊號填上於本會送呈選管會的反對信件內，並將呼籲居民出席，由選舉管理委員會於本年8月1日(星期三)晚上7時於深水埗基督教角道863號「基督角社區會堂」舉行之公眾諮詢會，聽合有關意見，以反映長洲居民的的廣大民意。

長洲鄉事委員會

二零一八年七月二十五日

回執
請於 7月31日前回覆

致：長洲鄉事委員會 （電話：2981 0350 魏結娘，傳真號碼：2986 9179）

本會 [illegible] 認同 / 不認同貴會以上建議的觀點

負責人簽名：_____ 聯絡電話：28-7-2018

新界長洲教堂路 2 號地下
2, Church Road, Cheung Chau, N.T. 電話：2981 0350 Fax:2986 9179

5301

長洲鄉事委員會
Cheung Chau Rural Committee

致長洲各大社團：

選舉管理委員會於23/7/18 舊辦公佈明年區議會選舉之最新選區劃界建議，其中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，而且更表明是：
「在調整選區分界時，除了考慮預計人口外，亦會顧及社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持，及有關區域的自然特徵，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要時亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度，例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲由原來的兩席合併成一席。」

本會對選管會在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算調查而推算出的結果，實在妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」，本會對以上建議感到十分不滿，並予以強烈反對！

由於本會將會對以上建議致函選管會提出強烈反對，倘若 貴會對本會以上的觀點認同，有勞簽署隨函附上之回條或致電本會秘書處，本會會稍後將 貴會的尊號填上於本會送呈選管會的反對信件內，並將呼籲居民出席，由選舉管理委員會於本年8月1日(星期三)晚上7時於深水埗基督教角道863號「基督角社區會堂」舉行之公眾諮詢會，聽合有關意見，以反映長洲居民的的廣大民意。

長洲鄉事委員會

二零一八年七月二十五日

回執
請於 7月31日前回覆

致：長洲鄉事委員會 （電話：2981 0350 魏結娘，傳真號碼：2986 9179）

本會 [illegible] 認同 / 不認同貴會以上建議的觀點

負責人簽名：_____ 聯絡電話：[redacted]

新界長洲教堂路 2 號地下
2, Church Road, Cheung Chau, N.T. 電話：2981 0350 Fax:2986 9179

5302

長洲鄉事委員會
Cheung Chau Rural Committee

主席：
張志明
副主席：
余輝民
吳文強
總務主任：
盧李強
謝 磊
財務主任：
黃學強
地方關顧主任：
周煥泉
孔家銳
青少年發展主任：
李錦沛
康樂及體育主任：
郭慧文
福利主任：
葉少波
環境保安主任：
陳明強
康樂主任：
鄭華祺
治安主任：
廖兆華
公關主任：
林家華
交通事務主任：
陳志明
資訊主任：
陳雨恩

敬啟者/女士/先生：

香港管理委員會於23/7/18 發表公佈明年區議會選舉之最新選舉界線劃分，其中有關長洲原來之兩，北南選區合併成一個新選區，而且更表明是：

「在劃定選區分界時，除了考慮預計人口外，亦會顧及社區特性、地方聯繫的維持，及有關地域的自然特徵，如交通及社區事務等其他施定因素，故有審慎時亦會考慮容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度，例如需要會是次選舉及次選舉長期由原來的兩區合併成一區。」

本會對選管會在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據近年選舉的中取人口的模擬而作出的結果，實在忽略長洲之實際情況，完全與地區實況脫節而貿然作出「兩區合併成一區」的「藏匿」，本會對以上做法感到十分不滿，並予以強烈反對！

由於本會將會對以上建議做出強烈反對，假若 貴會對本會以上的觀點認同，有勞 貴署向選管會的反對意見書內，並將安排代表出席，出席參加專責會的本年8月1日(星期三)晚上7時於長洲水塘道3號「喜慶洋社區會堂」舉行之公眾諮詢會，綜合有關意見，以反映長洲居民的的最大民意。

長洲鄉事委員會

二零一八年七月二十五日

回覆
就於 7月31日前回覆

致：長洲鄉事委員會 （電話：2981 0350 傳真號碼：2986 9179）

本會 楊枝業 贊同 / 不贊成貴會以上建議的觀點

負責人簽名：楊枝業 聯絡電話：[redacted]

新界長洲教堂路 2 號地下
2, Church Road, Cheung Chau, N.T. 電話：2981 0350 Fax:2986 9179

此為範本申述共 384 份(序號 5303 – 5686)

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日倉卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素。如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妄顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此　致

居民簽署：

居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5304

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有接論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：陳 [illegible]

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5305

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有接論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5306

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有接論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5307

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有接論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5308

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有接論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5309

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有接論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5310

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有接論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5311

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有接論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5312

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有接論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5313

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會刊公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而安顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推樓調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5314

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會刊公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而安顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推樓調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5315

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會刊公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而安顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推樓調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5316

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會刊公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而安顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推樓調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5317

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會刊公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而安顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5318

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會刊公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而安顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月二十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5319

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會刊公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而安顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5320

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會刊公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而安顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5321

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會刊公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而安顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5322

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日倉卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5323

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日倉卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5324

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日倉卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5325

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日倉卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5326

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日倉卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5327

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日倉卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5328

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日倉卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5329

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日倉卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5330

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日倉卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5331

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日倉卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口統計調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：劉佩儀
居民姓名：劉佩儀

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5332

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日倉卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：李月霞
居民姓名：李月霞

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5333

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日倉卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：黃巨妹
居民姓名：黃巨妹

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5334

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日倉卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：黃巨嬌

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5335

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日倉卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：陳來勝
居民姓名：陳來勝

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5336

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日倉卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：黎現好
居民姓名：黎現好

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5337

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日倉卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：黎榮好
居民姓名：黎榮好

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5338

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日倉卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：馮金好

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5339

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日倉卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：鄧妹

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5340

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會舉公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之兩、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而實然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的* 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推樓調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此"合併建議"感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5341

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會舉公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之兩、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而實然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的* 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推樓調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此"合併建議"感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5342

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會舉公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之兩、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而實然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的* 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推樓調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此"合併建議"感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5343

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會舉公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之兩、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而實然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的* 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推樓調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此"合併建議"感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5344

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會舉公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之兩、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而實然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的* 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推樓調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此"合併建議"感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5345

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會舉公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之兩、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而實然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的* 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推樓調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此"合併建議"感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5346

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會舉公佈 2019 年區議會將舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之兩、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而實然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的* 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推樓調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此"合併建議"感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5347

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會舉公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之兩、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而實然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的* 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推樓調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此"合併建議"感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月二十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5348

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會舉公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之兩、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而實然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的* 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推樓調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此"合併建議"感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5349

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」，

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5350

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」，

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5351

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」，

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5352

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」，

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5353

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」，

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5354

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」，

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5355

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」，

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5356

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」，

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5357

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」，

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月二十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5358

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會刊公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：黃文源

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5359

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會刊公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：范妙琼
居民姓名：范妙琼

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5360

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會刊公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：蔡金華
居民姓名：CAI KAM TAI

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5361

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會刊公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：潘官球

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5362

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會刊公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：楊少華

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5363

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會刊公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到！分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：陳少基

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5364

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會刊公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：周有妹

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5365

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會刊公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到！分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5366

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會刊公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到！分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5367

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會亭公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有諮詢諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5368

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會亭公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有諮詢諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5369

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會亭公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有諮詢諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5370

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會亭公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有諮詢諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5371

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會亭公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有諮詢諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5372

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會亭公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有諮詢諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5373

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會亭公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有諮詢諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5374

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會亭公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有諮詢諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5375

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會亭公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有諮詢諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5376

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：黃鴻勝

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5377

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成 一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5378

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5379

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：陳嘉麗

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5380

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5381

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：CHAN KA TUEN

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5382

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5383

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成 一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5384

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5385

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5386

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5387

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5388

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5389

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：CHU KAI KWONG

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5390

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：張金水

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5391

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5392

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5393

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5394

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會刊公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而安顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的建議，

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：羅耀強
居民姓名：羅耀強

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5395

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會刊公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而安顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的建議，

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：張木勝
居民姓名：張木勝

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5396

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會刊公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而安顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的建議，

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：WONG Yee Lai

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5397

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會刊公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而安顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的建議，

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5398

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會刊公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而安顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的建議，

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：蔡根妹
居民姓名：蔡根妹

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5399

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會刊公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而安顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的建議，

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5400

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會刊公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而安顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的建議，

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5401

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會刊公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而安顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的建議，

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5402

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會刊公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而安顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的建議，

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：Siu Hin Shang

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5403

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素。如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有諮詢過我，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5404

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素。如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有諮詢過我，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5405

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素。如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有諮詢過我，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5406

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素。如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5407

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素。如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有諮詢過我，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5408

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素。如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有諮詢過我，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5409

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素。如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有諮詢過我，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5410

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素。如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有諮詢過我，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5411

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素。如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有諮詢過我，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5412

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會亭公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：十
居民姓名：卢梅喜

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5413

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會亭公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：鄭少華
居民姓名：鄭少華

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5414

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會亭公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：姜
居民姓名：鄧金美

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5415

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會亭公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5416

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會亭公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5417

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會亭公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5418

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會亭公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：鄭玉英
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5419

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會亭公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5420

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會亭公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5421

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：Kwok Yin Chuen

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5422

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5423

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
姓姓名：Shin Kai Kong

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5424

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：溫金明明

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5425

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5426

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5427

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：韋家強

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5428

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零 八年七月二十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5429

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零 八年七月二十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5430

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有該論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而安顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有諮詢過詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：馮振明
居民姓名：馮振明

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5431

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有該論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而安顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成 席 的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有諮過詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5432

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有該論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而安顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有諮過詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：羅林德
居民姓名：林德

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5433

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有該論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而安顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有諮過詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：羅偉仿
居民姓名：偉仿

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5434

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有該論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而安顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成 席 的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有諮過詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：吳容華
居民姓名：吳容華

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5435

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有該論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而安顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有諮過詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5436

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有該論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而安顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成 席 的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有諮過詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5437

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有該論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而安顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有諮過詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5438

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有該論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而安顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉事務管理委員在事前完全沒有諮過詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5439

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5440

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5441

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5442

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5443

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十一日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5444

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5445

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5446

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5447

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5448

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會亭公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而實然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5449

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會亭公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而實然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5450

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會亭公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而實然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5451

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會亭公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而實然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5452

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會亭公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而實然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5453

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會亭公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而實然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5454

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會亭公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而實然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5455

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會亭公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而實然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5456

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會亭公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而實然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5457

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推樓調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：何
居民姓名：何

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5458

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推樓調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：陳
居民姓名：陳

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5459

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推樓調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：梁
居民姓名：梁

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5460

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推樓調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：周志成
居民姓名：周志成

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5461

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推樓調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：梁
居民姓名：梁

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5462

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推樓調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：黃
居民姓名：黃

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5463

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推樓調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：吳
居民姓名：吳

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5464

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推樓調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：吳
居民姓名：吳

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5465

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推樓調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：吳
居民姓名：吳

二零一八年七月二十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5466

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會亭公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次匡首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月二十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5467

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會亭公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次匡首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月二十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5468

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會亭公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次匡首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5469

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會亭公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次匡首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5470

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會亭公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次匡首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5471

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會亭公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次匡首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5472

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會亭公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次匡首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5473

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會亭公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次匡首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5474

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會亭公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次匡首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5475

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會刊公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：梁幹平

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5476

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會刊公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：樊華

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5477

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會刊公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：何金福
居民姓名：何金福

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5478

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會刊公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：陳之子
居民姓名：陳之子(陳少龍)

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5479

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會刊公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：羅初五
居民姓名：羅初五

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5480

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會刊公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：梁北根
居民姓名：梁北根

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5481

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會刊公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：陳有
居民姓名：陳有

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5482

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會刊公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：蔡灶
居民姓名：蔡灶

二零一八年七月二十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5483

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會刊公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：Kwok
居民姓名：郭炳泉

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5484

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」，

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5485

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」，

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5486

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」，

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5487

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」，

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5488

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」，

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5489

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」，

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零 八年七月二十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5490

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」，

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5491

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」，

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5492

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」，

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5493

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日倉卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的* 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：張詠儀
居民姓名：張詠儀

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5494

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日倉卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的* 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：黃向勇

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5495

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日倉卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的* 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：葉光安
居民姓名：葉光安

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5496

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日倉卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的* 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：黎華超
居民姓名：黎華超

二零一八年七月二十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5497

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日倉卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的* 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：李成坤

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5498

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日倉卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的* 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：LAU Yiu Tung
居民姓名：LAU Yiu Tung

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5499

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日倉卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的* 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：莫一有此

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5500

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日倉卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的* 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：黃坤

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5501

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日倉卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的* 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：莉姊

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5502

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日倉卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素。如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推樓調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：羅根勝
居民姓名：羅根勝

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5503

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日倉卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素。如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推樓調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：黎開坤

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5504

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日倉卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素。如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推樓調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：方耀葉

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5505

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日倉卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素。如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推樓調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：吳釘
居民姓名：吳釘

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5506

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日倉卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素。如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推樓調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：盧明威
居民姓名：盧明威

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5507

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日倉卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素。如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推樓調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：周好
居民姓名：周好

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5508

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日倉卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素。如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推樓調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：黃彥邦

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5509

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日倉卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素。如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推樓調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：劉明發

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5510

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日倉卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素。如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推樓調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：鄧佩雄

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5511

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5512

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5513

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5514

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5515

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5516

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5517

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5518

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5519

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5520

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會亭公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此 合併建議 感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5521

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會亭公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此 合併建議 感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5522

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會亭公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此 合併建議 感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：劉偉光
居民姓名：劉偉光

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5523

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會亭公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此 合併建議 感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5524

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會亭公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此 合併建議 感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：吳灶福
居民姓名：吳灶福

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5525

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會亭公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此 合併建議 感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：張化時

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5526

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會亭公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此 合併建議 感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5527

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會亭公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此 合併建議 感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5528

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會亭公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此 合併建議 感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5529

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會刊公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩區合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的」建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有諮詢過詢，只單純根據並非經對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5530

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會刊公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩區合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的」建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有諮詢過詢，只單純根據並非經對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5531

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會刊公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩區合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的」建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有諮詢過詢，只單純根據並非經對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5532

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會刊公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界諮詢選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩區合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的」建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有諮詢過詢，只單純根據並非經對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5533

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會刊公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界諮詢選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩區合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的」建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有諮詢過詢，只單純根據並非經對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5534

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會刊公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界諮詢選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩區合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的」建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有諮詢過詢，只單純根據並非經對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5535

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會刊公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界諮詢選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩區合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的」建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有諮詢過詢，只單純根據並非經對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5536

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會刊公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界諮詢選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩區合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的」建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有諮詢過詢，只單純根據並非經對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5537

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會刊公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界諮詢選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩區合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的」建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有諮詢過詢，只單純根據並非經對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5538

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日查悉公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：LI Kwai Ying

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5539

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日查悉公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5540

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日查悉公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5541

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日查悉公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月二十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5542

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日查悉公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5543

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日查悉公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月二十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5544

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日查悉公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5545

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日查悉公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5546

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日查悉公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：LAI KAI MING

二零一八年七月二十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5547

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日倉卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而實然作出「兩席合併成一席 的建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5548

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日倉卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而實然作出「兩席合併成一席 的建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5549

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日倉卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而實然作出「兩席合併成一席 的建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5550

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日倉卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而實然作出「兩席合併成一席 的建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5551

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日倉卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而實然作出「兩席合併成一席 的建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5552

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日倉卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而實然作出「兩席合併成一席 的建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5553

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日倉卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而實然作出「兩席合併成一席 的建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5554

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日倉卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而實然作出「兩席合併成一席 的建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5555

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日倉卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而實然作出「兩席合併成一席 的建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5556

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：阮美漢
居民姓名：阮美漢

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5557

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：阮燕坤
居民姓名：阮燕坤

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5558

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：溫桂金
居民姓名：溫桂金

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5559

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：何金未
居民姓名：何金未

二零 八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5560

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：鄭欣怡
居民姓名：鄭欣怡

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5561

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：張玉蓉
居民姓名：張玉蓉

二零一八年七月二十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5562

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5563

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：黃志偉
居民姓名：黃志偉

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5564

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：女奇
居民姓名：杜樂文

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5565

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：！除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而實然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有延過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：LAI KAR WAI

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5566

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而實然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有延過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5567

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而實然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有延過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5568

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而實然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有延過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5569

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而實然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有延過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5570

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而實然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有延過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5571

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而實然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有延過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5572

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而實然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有延過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5573

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而實然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有延過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5574

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區事務等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：張麗芳
居民姓名：張麗芳

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5575

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區事務等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成 一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5576

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區事務等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成 一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：梁玉芳
居民姓名：梁玉芳

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5577

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區事務等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5578

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區事務等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：楊容貴
居民姓名：楊容貴

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5579

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成 一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區事務等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：林潔英
居民姓名：林潔英

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5580

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區事務等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5581

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區事務等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：鄭金鄉

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5582

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區事務等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：梁學明

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5583

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分贊好，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的* 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有透過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推樓調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：馮素群
居民姓名：馮素群

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5584

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分贊好，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的* 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有透過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推樓調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：陳志雄
居民姓名：陳志雄

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5585

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分贊好，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的* 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有透過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推樓調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：羅琪
居民姓名：羅琪

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5586

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分贊好，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的* 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有透過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推樓調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：吳水成
居民姓名：吳水成

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5587

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分贊好，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的* 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有透過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推樓調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：葉永偉
居民姓名：葉永偉

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5588

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分贊好，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的* 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有透過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推樓調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：Lau Ho Sui

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5589

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分贊好，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的* 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有透過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推樓調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：洗育華

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5590

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分贊好，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的* 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有透過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推樓調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：陳偉業

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5591

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分贊好，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的* 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有透過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推樓調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：郭丽娟
居民姓名：郭丽娟

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5592

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妄顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的* 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：郭秀珊
居民姓名：郭秀珊

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5593

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妄顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的* 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：李健強
居民姓名：李健強

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5594

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妄顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的* 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5595

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妄顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的* 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5596

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妄顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的* 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5597

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妄顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的* 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5598

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妄顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的* 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：陳兒
居民姓名：陳兒

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5599

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妄顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的* 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：HANIM PIRWANTI

二零 八年七月二十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5600

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妄顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的* 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年十月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5601

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新區區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5602

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新區區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：羅美蓮

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5603

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新區區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：羅志輝

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5604

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新區區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：羅愛好

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5605

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新區區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：梁嘉琪

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5606

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新區區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：梁慧嘉

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5607

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新區區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：羅景榮

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5608

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新區區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：梁永恩

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5609

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新區區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：鄭柳妹

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5610

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次居首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的* 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：吳妹頸
居民姓名：吳妹頸

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5611

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次居首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的* 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：孫瀚明
居民姓名：孫瀚明

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5612

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次居首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的* 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：Isa Ming
居民姓名：ISUNG ka Ming

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5613

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次居首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的* 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：吳鑫言

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5614

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次居首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的* 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：石寶娣
居民姓名：石寶娣

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5615

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次居首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的* 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：羅芝
居民姓名：羅芝

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5616

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次居首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的* 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5617

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次居首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的* 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5618

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次居首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的* 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5619

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會亭公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩區合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5620

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會亭公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩區合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5621

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會亭公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩區合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5622

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會亭公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩區合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5623

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會亭公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩區合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5624

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會亭公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩區合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5625

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會亭公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩區合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5626

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會亭公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩區合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5627

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會亭公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性，維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩區合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5628

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會亭公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分贊同，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的*」建議。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5629

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會亭公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分贊同，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的*」建議。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5630

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會亭公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分贊同，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的*」建議。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5631

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會亭公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分贊同，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的*」建議。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5632

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會亭公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分贊同，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的*」建議。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5633

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會亭公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分贊同，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的*」建議。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5634

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會亭公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分贊同，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的*」建議。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5635

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會亭公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分贊同，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的*」建議。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5636

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會亭公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分贊同，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的*」建議。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5637

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素。如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妄顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：陳嘉勉

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5638

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素。如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妄顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：王鳳英

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5639

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素。如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妄顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5640

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素。如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妄顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十一日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5641

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素。如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妄顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月二十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5642

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素。如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妄顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5643

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素。如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妄顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5644

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素。如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妄顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5645

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素。如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妄顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5646

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：林鉅轉

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5647

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：李兆強
居民姓名：李兆強

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5648

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：鄭勉芳

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5649

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：吳潔嫦
居民姓名：吳潔嫦

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5650

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：NG YEE SHAN

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5651

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：鄭兆強

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5652

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：史健美
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5653

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：郭美卿
居民姓名：郭美卿

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5654

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：王笑華

二零一八年七月二十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5655

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於缺次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5656

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5657

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5658

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5659

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零 八年七月二十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5660

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5661

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5662

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5663

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席。」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 的 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零 八年七月二十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5664

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的* 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5665

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的* 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5666

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的* 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5667

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的* 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5668

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的* 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5669

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的* 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5670

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的* 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5671

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的* 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零 八年七月二十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5672

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會平公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的* 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5673

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日倉卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素。如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的* 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區按受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：劉佩妹

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5674

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日倉卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素。如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的* 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區按受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5675

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日倉卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素。如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的* 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區按受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：郭珠英
居民姓名：郭珠英

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5676

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日倉卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素。如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的* 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區按受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：黃恩愛
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5677

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日倉卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素。如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的* 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區按受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5678

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日倉卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素。如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的* 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區按受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：陳文妹
居民姓名：陳文妹

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5679

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日倉卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素。如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的* 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區按受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：余群英
居民姓名：余群英

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5680

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日倉卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素。如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的* 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區按受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：何潤華
居民姓名：何潤華

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5681

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日倉卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區。本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見。對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素。如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妥顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而貿然作出「兩席合併成一席 *的* 建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區按受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5682

致投票管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妄顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而實然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5683

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妄顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而實然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5684

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妄顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而實然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5685

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妄顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而實然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月二十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5686

致選舉管理委員會：

選舉管理委員會於 2018 年 7 月 23 日會卒公佈 2019 年區議會選舉最新選區劃界建議，當中有意將長洲原來之南、北兩個選區合併成一個新選區，本人對選舉管理委員會作出上述建議，感到十分驚訝，並表示強烈反對！

區議員作為市民與政府溝通的橋樑，負責為市民的日常生活事務向政府提供意見，對於是次劃界建議選舉管理委員並沒有按論點：「除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素，如交通及社區聯繫等其他法定因素，故有需要，亦會建議容許相關選區的人口偏離許可幅度例如選管會是次便首次建議長洲原來的兩席合併成一席，」而妄顧長洲之實際情況，完全漠視地區民意而實然作出「兩席合併成一席」的「建議」。

作為長洲居民，本人對選舉管理委員在事前完全沒有經過諮詢，只單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查而推算出的結果，作出不合理的建議，本人對此「合併建議」感到十分不滿，因會直接影響本人於地區接受區議員服務的質素，並予以強烈反對！

此 致

居民簽署：
居民姓名：

二零一八年七月三十日

* 副本將呈交離島民政事務處

5687(1)

中華人民共和國香港特別行政區
Hong Kong Special Administrative Region of the People's Republic of China

離島區議會 Islands District Council
郭平區議員辦事處 Office of Eric Kwok Ping, District Councillor

電話 Tel: [redacted] 傳真 Fax: [redacted] 電子郵件 [redacted]

By Fax & Mail
(共 7 頁)

香港 灣仔
港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會秘書處
Fax: 2511 1682

敬啟者：

有關2019年東涌逸東邨南(T02)與逸東邨北(T03)選區劃界建議

選管會在 2018 年 7 月 23 日，公佈「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，選管會主席馮驊強調劃界理由是根據法定準則，也就是人口和「顧及社區獨特性及地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵」而劃定。而關於人口規定，今年每個選區的標準人口基數為 16,599 人，可容許偏差上下 25%，也就是說每個選區的人數應為 12,449 人至 20,749 人。然而，選管會對離島區區議會選區分界並不符合選管會所指的法定準則，原因如下：

一、 **選管會的建議破壞社區規劃的完整和延續性。**雖然選管會對逸東邨的選區名稱為「逸東邨南」(T02) 及「逸東邨北」(T03)，但實質上「逸東邨南」(T02) 選區就是由逸東一邨組成，而「逸東邨北」(T03) 是由逸東二邨組成。加上逸東一邨和二邨的社區生活「分得清楚」，例如居民要找房署維修單位，亦需要去所屬的房署登記。由 2011 年至今，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，選管會為何要「批西瓜」將個別樓宇胡亂劃開，例如將康逸樓每屆都在不同選區，令居民無所適從，這不但剝奪他們原本屬於逸東邨南的投票權，同時未能投票給服務自己選區的候選人，選管會無疑是漠視居民對於社區的聯繫性及完整性。

二、 **選管會嚴重高估仍然興建中滿東邨在將來入伙人口，導致逸東邨北及逸東邨南人口相差過多，對兩區的居民及區議員不公平及不公義。**根據房屋署提供「表一」及「表二」，現時逸東邨的人口資料，逸東一邨人口 20,072 人，逸東二邨人口 19,898 人，

5687(2)

而受劃界影響的康逸樓和清逸樓人口分別為 2,389 及 2,511 人。滿東邨全面入伙後預計會有 12,000 人[1]，雖然選管會估計屆時只有約有 70%單位入伙，即約 8,400 人[2]，但本人認為選管會仍然高估數字。原因，滿東邨現時仍然興建中，並未派鎖匙，並且預計於今年第四季入伙。根據房屋署資料提供，並且強調如果一切順利，預計滿東邨今年 10 月尾或 11 月初開始安排派鎖匙，這時間剛巧遇著學生上學期終期試，此外更有聖誕及農曆新年，裝修工人按傳統慣例放農曆新年長假等，因此估計滿東邨人伙高峰期會是明年 3 月中開始，同時居民入伙是循序漸進，房屋署不會約齊一鍋蜂入伙。根據以往新屋邨入伙經驗，一切順利最少需要約 2 年才可達致 90%入伙的數字。故此，本人以符合以上傳統假期與上學期考試及以往新公屋居民入伙資料邏輯推算，估計明年屆時滿東邨入伙率只有約 40%，也就是約 4,800 人。根據此數字估算，逸東邨南選區的人口由選管會估計的 23,572 人降至 19,972 人[3]，而逸東邨北人口則為 24,798[4]，兩區人口相差 4,826 人相差近 24.2%，即將近每個選區的標準人口可容許偏差水平。這情況不但嚴重破壞逸東邨南社區原整性，同時對兩座居民(康+清)不公平，**剝奪他們原本屬於逸東邨南的投票權。此外，這對逸東邨北不公道，因為屆時逸東邨北相對逸東邨南人口多了最少 4,800 人(因為劃多了一座清逸樓及高估滿東邨入伙人口)。**因此，根據選管會現時提供臨時選區劃界資料，是嚴重違反法定準則，如果屆時因上述傳統假期及學期尾考試等因素或其他突發事件，導致入伙率偏低，將會加劇不公平的情況。

三、 **現時選管會建議劃界方案嚴重偏離每個選區的標準人口基數。**假如不變動兩個選區劃界，逸東邨南和逸東邨北仍然符合每個選區的標準人口的上限。但如果根據選管會建議改動劃界，以本人對滿東邨入伙率 40%作估算，逸東邨南及逸東邨北的人口，分別有 19,972 人及 24,798 人，超出標準人口基數 20.3%及 49.4%，逸東邨北嚴重超出標準人口基數可容忍範圍，因此選管會不應改劃逸東邨南及逸東邨北選區，以維持社區的完整性同時，人口亦會在標準人口的上限範圍內。

因此，本人建議兩個方案：**方案一，沿用 2015 年關於逸東邨南（T02）及逸東邨北（T03）劃界，即將逸東一邨劃入逸東邨南（T02），逸東二邨劃入逸東邨北（T03），而滿東邨則維持在大嶼山選區，使兩個區的人口為 20,072 人及 19,898 人，並且符合每個選區的標準人口基數可容忍上限。**

[1] 由房屋署提供的數字。
[2] 滿東邨推算：12,000 X 70% = 8,400 人。
[3] 詳細計算可參考「表五」。
[4] 詳細計算可參考「表六」。

5687(3)

方案二，參考 2011 年關於逸東邨南（T02）及逸東邨北（T03）劃界，只將康逸樓劃入逸東邨北選區，並將滿東邨劃入逸東邨南選區，這不但減輕對整體逸東邨南社區分劃傷害，同時在 2011 年區選康逸樓曾有先例被劃去逸東邨北，而最重要一點，此安排對逸東邨南與北都比較公平公道，因為這安排，按本人估計滿東邨屆時入伙率 40%推算，逸東邨南及逸東邨北人口分別預計為 22,483 人[5]及 22,287 人[6]，雖然兩個選區均會超過每個標準人口基數約 35%[7]，但相比起選管會的建議方案，兩個選區的人口將會較平均，對兩個選區的居民及未來的區議員也較公平及公義，而且亦符合選管會主席馮驊所強調人口是最重要的考慮因素。

此致
選舉管理委員會

郭平區議員 謹啟

2018 年 8 月 7 日

（附件：參考資料）

[5] 詳細計算可參考「表七」。
[6] 詳細計算可參考「表八」。
[7] 詳細各個方案的比較可參考「表九」及「表十」。

5687(4)

參考資料
（房屋署提供，截至 26/7/2018）

表一：

逸東一邨（T02）各座樓宇人口

	人口
康逸樓	2,389
清逸樓	2,511
維逸樓	2,414
平逸樓	1,230
太逸樓	1,199
舟逸樓	1,212
福逸樓	1,360
祿逸樓	1,342
迎逸樓	1,389
漁逸樓	1,391
享逸樓	1,194
至逸樓	1,224
善逸樓	1,217
逸東一邨總人口	20,072

表二：

逸東二邨（T03）各座樓宇人口

	人口
美逸樓	1,871
滿逸樓	1,851
居逸樓	2,636
勤逸樓	1,477
傑逸樓	1,523
德逸樓	1,496
謙逸樓	1,511
信逸樓	1,506
寶逸樓	1,513
翠逸樓	1,503
瑞逸樓	1,503
悅逸樓	1,508
逸東二邨總人口	19,898

5687(5)

表三：

(方案一)根據 2015 年逸東邨南（T02）及逸東邨北（T03）劃界的人口資料

	人口
逸東邨南(即逸東一邨人口)	20,072
逸東邨北(即逸東二邨人口)	19,898

表四：

滿東邨人口估算及入伙率

	預計滿東邨人口	入伙率
滿東邨全面入伙時人口(房屋署提供的數字)	12,000	100%
選管會估計人口[註1]	8,400	69%
郭平估計人口[註2]	4,800	40%

註 1：滿東邨 12,000 X 70% = 8,400 人。

註 2：因滿東邨入伙時適逢聖誕、學校上學期終期試及農曆新年長假期等，故郭平估計屆時入伙率只有 40%，即 12,000 X 40% = 4,800 人。

5687(6)

表五：

根據選管會 2019 年逸東邨南（T02）劃界而計算的人口資料

		選管會估算		經調整估算
逸東一邨人口		20,072		20,072
減去 康逸樓人口	-	2,389	-	2,389
清逸樓人口	-	2,511	-	2,511
逸東一邨（康、清逸樓人口除外）		15,172		15,172
加上 滿東邨人口[註3]	+	8,400	+	4,800
逸東邨南人口：		(選管會提供的數字)23,572		19,972

註 3：可參考「表四」的估算人口，「經調整估算」是指當滿東邨人伙率只有 40%時的估計人口。

表六：

根據選管會 2019 年逸東邨北（T03）劃界而計算的人口資料

		人口
逸東二邨人口		19,898
加上 康逸樓人口	+	2,389
清逸樓人口	+	2,511
逸東邨北人口：		24,798

表七：

(方案二) 根據郭平建議 2019 年逸東邨南（T02）劃界而計算的人口資料

		郭平估算
逸東一邨人口		20,072
減去 康逸樓人口	-	2,389
逸東一邨人口（康逸樓除外）		17,683
加上 滿東邨人口	+	4,800
逸東邨南人口：		22,483

5687(7)

表八：

(方案二) 根據郭平建議 2019 年逸東邨北（T03）劃界而計算的人口資料

		人口
逸東二邨人口		19,898
加上 康逸樓人口	+	2,389
逸東邨北人口：		22,287

表九：

2019 年逸東邨南（T02）各個劃界方案的比較 [註4]

	2015 年/方案一	選管會建議(未調整)	選管會建議(經調整)	方案二
逸東邨南人口	20,072	23,572	19,972	22,483
超出標準人口	3,473	6,973	3,373	5,884
超出百分比	20.92%	42%	20.32%	35.45%

表十：

2019 年逸東邨北（T03）各個劃界方案的比較 [註4]

	2015 年/方案一	選管會建議(未調整)	選管會建議(經調整)	方案二
逸東邨北人口	19,898	24,798	24,798	22,287
超出標準人口	3,299	8,199	8,199	5,688
超出百分比	19.87%	49.39%	49.39%	34.27%

註 4：「2015 年/方案一」人口計算可參考「表三」。「選管會建議」人口計算可參考「表五」及「表六」。「方案二」人口計算可參考「表七」及「表八」。

5688(1)

長洲街坊會
CHEUNG CHAU KAI-FONG SOCIETY
[illegible] 電話：2981 2173 傳真：[redacted] 電郵：2986 8571

選舉委員會秘書處先生大鑒，

敝會全人，非常贊成將長洲設為一位區議員。

敝會有長洲街坊常常說一個和尚担水飲，二個和尚担水飲，三個和尚無水飲。長洲有三位區議員，二位由選舉區議員時選出，一位由全長洲街坊選出街坊代表的當然區議員，因為太多區議員，不用說再平時辦些後遊，派派政府禮物或辦党提供禮物等，小恩小惠以博民心就可以當然例如長洲後補補助別區補選，長洲在公衆地方伸放風箏遊蕩，跳蹦床次不足，爭吵亂泊等，都由長洲街坊力爭求改善，他們不出一分力，

5688(2)

有覺於此，為求有競爭才有進步，長洲居民十分贊成政府將長洲民選區議員增成一位，特予同意政府此一建議。並候佳音。

專此 並祝

事安！

[illegible] KAI-FONG SOCIETY

8-5-2018

5689

中華人民共和國香港特別行政區
Hong Kong Special Administrative Region of the People's Republic of China

離島區議會-民選議員 Islands District Council - Elected Councilor
鄺官穩 Ken K W Kwong

選舉管理委員會
主席 - 馮驊法官：

電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk

馮法官，

反對選舉選界劃分建議

你好！本人反對早前選舉管理委員會發佈下一屆區議會選舉選劃分建議。建議是削減長洲議席，嚴重剝削長洲居民權益，本人感到非常憤怒。離島區在人口急速上升下，並未有額外增加議席，反而偷換概念，削減長洲議席，彌補東涌議席的不足。

以下各項是對不合理建議的重點：

1) 在未有額外增加整體議席下，建議仍令離島區的十個議席中有 80%在人口基準線以上，為十八區之冠。相比其他區域，例如灣仔區十三個民選議席中並沒有任何一個議席是在人口基準線以上。
2) 建議中預計離島區總人口有 189,146，平均每個議席需服務 18,915 位市民，亦為十八區之冠。
3) 早多年前，長洲區原有 3 個民選議席，其後被削減 1 個，只餘 2 個民選議席，現時建議更要削減至 1 個，簡直難以理解。
4) 根據人口普查數據得出長洲人口於 2001 年已有大約 23,346 名，2006 年大約 24,000 名，其後，2016 年只調查 10%的中期人口普查指出長洲南的人口有 11,743 名，長洲北有 9,213 名。近年長洲建屋數量增加，明顯顯示出事實長洲人口亦增加，與人口普查的數據巨大落差。
5) 長洲具有環境獨特性，2.3 平方公里的面積比整個灣仔區更大，被削減議席後數目只有 1 個，遠遠不及灣仔區的 13 個議席。
6) 島上並無任何公共交通工具，除了依靠單車代步，全島有 3 分之 1 人口需依靠步行，如只剩餘 1 個議席，居民求助時十分不便。
7) 島上涉及多種及複雜的地區問題，包括旅遊人士已超出長洲的負荷，衍生很多問題。另外，興建社區會堂、渡輪牌照續牌及石鼓洲焚化爐的興建亦需長期跟進，削減議席後更難得到妥善處理。
8) 除了島上民生問題，海上亦有不少問題處理，例如漁民非法捕漁、避風塘管理等。

因此，本人要求維持長洲兩個民選議席，並額外增加離島區 3 個議員議席至 13 個民選議員。

鄺官穩
2018 年 8 月 10 日

地址：[redacted] Address: [redacted]
辦事處電話 Office Tel：[redacted] 傳真 Fax: [redacted]
電郵 email: [redacted]

5690(1)

離島區議會
香港中環干諾道中 38 號
海港政府大樓 20 樓

ISLANDS DISTRICT COUNCIL
Harbour Building 20/F,
38 Pier Road, Central,
Hong Kong.

本函檔號 Our Ref.: IS 131/1/25
來函檔號 Your Ref.:
傳真 Fax: 2982 8513 (圖文傳真：2542 0183)

選舉管理委員會主席
馮驊先生, GBS

馮主席：

有關 2019 年離島區議會
一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議

離島區議會在本年 7 月 30 日召開特別會議，就有關 2019 年離島區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議，進行討論。

議員強烈要求選舉管理委員會能考慮離島區人口急增及離島區幅員廣闊，包括長洲、坪洲、南丫島及大嶼山等多個島嶼，而各島嶼間交通不便等特殊情況，增加離島區的議席，並維持長洲有兩個選區，毋需合併。亦有議員建議把逸東邨的清逸樓保留在逸東南(T02)，以顧及有關選區的完整性及更貼近人口基數。現隨信附上議員的意見及會議記錄，以供考慮。

如有查詢，請致電 2852 4320 與離島區議會秘書處陳嘉瑩女士聯絡。

離島區議會主席周玉堂

副本送：離島民政事務專員

2018 年 8 月 17 日

5690(2)

中華人民共和國香港特別行政區
Hong Kong Special Administrative Region of the People's Republic of China

離島區議會 Islands District Council
郭平區議員辦事處 Office of Eric Kwok Ping, District Councillor
香港 [redacted] Hong Kong
電話 Tel: [redacted] 傳真 Fax: [redacted] 電子郵件 Email: [redacted]

致：離島區議會周玉堂主席

根據選舉會 2018 年 7 月公佈「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，有關初步逸東邨南（T02）及逸東邨北（T03）分界建議，本人認為選管會是高估仍然興建中未派鎖匙滿東邨預計今年第四季入伙人數。根據房屋署資料提供，如果一切順利，估計滿東邨今年 10 月尾或 11 月初開始安排派鎖匙，這時間剛巧遇著聖誕節，學期尾學生考試期，接著農曆新年，裝修工人按傳統慣例放長新年假等，因此估計滿東邨入伙高峰期會是明年 3 月中開始，同時居民入伙是循序漸進，房屋署不會約齊一鍋蜂入伙。因此，根據選舉會現時提供臨時選區劃界資料，滿東邨劃入逸東邨南，同時他們非常樂觀預計滿東邨明年屆時入伙人口是 70%(滿東邨設計人口 1.2 萬*)，所以將逸東邨南清逸樓 2,511♆及康逸樓 2,389♆撥去逸東邨北，這是高估滿東邨入伙率，如果到時因上述傳統假期及學生考試與學期尾因素及其他突發事件，導致入伙率偏低，這情況不但嚴重破壞逸東邨南社區原整性，同時對兩座居民(康+清)不公平， 剝奪他們原本屬於逸東邨南的投票權，此外，這對逸東邨北不公道，因為到時逸東邨北相對逸東邨南人口多了 4,826 多人(**因為劃多了一座清逸樓**)。

故此，本人以符合以上傳統假期及學期邏輯及以往新公屋居民入伙資料推算，估計明年屆時滿東邨入伙人數是 40-50%，**因此建議只將康逸樓撥去逸東邨北，保留清逸樓在逸東邨南**，這不但減輕對整體逸東邨南社區分割傷害，同時在 2011 年區選康逸樓曾經有先例劃去逸東邨北，最重要一點，因為明年區選對逸東邨南與北都比較公平公道，因為這安排，兩區人口分別都是 23,000 人左右，不會出現人口在其中一邨多另一邨少的不公平現象，這亦符合選舉會主席馮驊法官強調人口最重要。

* *房屋署提供資料 (附件 A)*
♆ *根據房屋署資料 (附件 B)*

郭平 謹啟
離島區議員

2018 年 7 月 30 日

滿東邨：*(附件 A)*

3,866 個出租公屋單位。單位類別為一至二人單位、二至三人單位、 一睡房單位及二睡房單位，預計屋邨居住人口約一萬二千人。

截至 2018 年 7 月 26 日：

逸東邨南（T02）各座住戶人數：*(附件 B)*

康逸： 2,389

清逸： 2,511

雍逸： 2,414
至逸： 1,224
舟逸： 1,212
福逸： 1,360
享逸： 1,194
祿逸： 1,342
平逸： 1,230
善逸： 1,217
太逸： 1,199
迎逸： 1,389
漁逸： 1,391

總人數：20,072

逸東邨北（T03）各座住戶人數：

翠逸樓 – 1,503
謙逸樓 – 1,511
勤逸樓 – 1,477
傑逸樓 – 1,523
居逸樓 – 2,636
美逸樓 – 1,871
滿逸樓 – 1,851
寶逸樓 – 1,513
信逸樓 – 1,506
瑞逸樓 – 1,503
德逸樓 - 1496
悅逸樓 - 1508

總人數：19,898

定 稿

離島區議會特別會議記錄

日期：2018 年 7 月 30 日(星期一)
時間：下午 3 時正
地點：香港中環統一碼頭道 38 號海港政府大樓 14 字樓
離島區議會會議室

出席者

主席
周玉堂先生, SBS

議員
翁志明先生, BBS
陳連偉先生
張 富先生
黃漢權先生
樊志平先生
劉焯榮先生
黃文漢先生
余麗芬女士
李桂珍女士
容詠嫦女士
鄺官穩先生
周浩鼎先生
曾秀好女士
郭 平先生

列席者
李炳威先生, JP 離島民政事務處 離島民政事務專員
歐尚昱先生 離島民政事務處 離島民政事務助理專員(1)

秘書
鄭慧源女士 離島民政事務處 高級行政主任(區議會)

因事缺席者
余漢坤先生, JP
鄧家彪先生, JP
傅曉琳女士

歡迎詞

主席歡迎各議員出席特別會議。

2. 議員備悉，余漢坤副主席、鄧家彪議員及傅曉琳議員因事未能出席會議。

I. 討論有關 2019 年離島區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議事宜

3. 主席表示，選舉管理委員會(選管會)於 7 月 23 日提出 2019 年離島區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議後，郭平議員及鄺官穩議員電郵離島區議會要求就有關事宜召開會議。是次特別會議是因應議員要求而舉行。他首先請郭平議員提出意見。

4. 郭平議員提出意見如下：

(a) 根據選管會的初步建議，興建中的滿東邨(預計有居住人口約 12 000 人)將劃入逸東邨南(T02)，而逸東邨南的康逸樓和清逸樓(分別有人口 2 389 人及 2 511 人，合共約 5 000 人)將劃入逸東邨北(T03)，估計屆時逸東邨南會有 23 475 人，而逸東邨北則有 24 798 人。

(b) 他在數天前曾查詢房屋署，得悉該署回覆表示，如果一切進行順利，將計劃於 10 月底至 11 月初安排派鎖匙，但這段時間適逢聖誕節、上學期考試及農曆新年，將大大影響滿東邨居民入伙時間。他預計滿東邨的入伙高峰期是明年 3 月中至 4 月初，並認為選管會到時高估滿東邨的入伙率為 70%(即約有 8 400 人入伙)。但若上述因素影響入伙率到時低至 40%至 50%，例如只略多於 40%，則滿東邨只有約 4 800 人劃入逸東邨南。根據房署資料，現時逸東邨南有 20 072 人，隨着康逸樓和清逸樓約 5 000 人口納入逸東邨北後，逸東邨南屆時只有 19 972 人，而逸東邨北有 24 798 人，兩邨人口相差達 4 826 人，即相差率近 24.2%，已經遠至每個選區的標準人口可容許偏差水平，這不僅違反選管會主席馮驊法官強調的人口調動安排最好貼近人口基數，同時對兩邨的居民亦極不公平，一方面破壞逸東邨南的完整，同時導致逸東邨北人口大幅增加，對原本逸東邨北的居民及當區議員也不公平。

(c) 在無奈接納滿東邨劃為逸東邨南的同時，他建議只將康逸樓劃入逸東邨北，因為康逸樓在 2011 年區議會選舉中，曾劃為逸東邨北。此外，若他以上述傳統假期及學期考試因素影響，估計滿東邨的入伙率只略多於 40%，如果他的估計正確，那麼兩邨人口將變得較平均，即各邨約為 24 000 人左右，對逸東邨北及逸東邨南的議員和居民比較公平。他希望主席和離島民政事務專員聽取他的意見後向選管會馮驊主席反映。他稍後另外有信件交予選舉事務委員會，闡述這個富邏輯性、傳統習慣及有數據基礎的建議，並參考學期考試、傳統假期、裝修師傅農曆年長假期習慣及公共屋邨平均需最少 2 年時間完成約 90%入伙的慣例而得出的分析結果。

5. 主席繼而請鄺官穩議員提出意見。

6. 鄺官穩議員不滿選管會就下屆區議會選舉的劃界。長洲被視為全球十大最擠迫和人口最多的離島，近年住宅數目及人口不斷增長，但選管會竟然預測長洲人口會下降約 4.6%，令人匪夷所思。他質疑 2016 年中期人口統計數據的真確性，因為只訪問 10%的人口，又有傳媒發現某些統計人員進行人口調查時涉嫌造假。長洲幅員廣闊，面積約有 2.5 平方公里，比整個灣仔區大，難以想像只有 1 名區議員。離島區每名議員平均服務約 18 900 多名市民，但其他地區每名議員只平均服務 13 000 至 14 000 名市民，收取相等津貼，離島區議員卻服務多 40%至 50%人口，是嚴重剝削。現在將長洲兩個議席削減為 1 個議席，以補東涌的不足，東涌的區議員在基準人口和數字上須承擔額外 40%人口，應該增加 2 至 3 個議席，而離島其他地區亦應增加議席。政府虧待離島區，民政事務局和政制及內地事務局也虧待離島區。他不明白為何其他地區可增加 3 至 4 個議席。離島區面對各大發展機遇，例如《香港 2030+》、發展大嶼山、港珠澳大橋通車和石鼓洲綜合廢物管理設施等，政府每個部門都因此增加人手，但離島區的民選議席卻被削減。

7. 黃文漢議員替余漢坤副主席讀出書面意見，內容詳見附件一。

8. 鄺官穩議員替傅曉琳議員讀出書面意見，內容詳見附件二。

9. 翁志明議員對選管會是次諮詢表示不滿。長洲幅員廣闊，分南、北兩個島，桂灣花園和西灣的路途非常遙遠。根據新渡輪的記錄，

每日上班和上學的來回人次差不多 2 萬人次，因此估計只有 2 萬多人口是不正確的。現在計劃將長洲 2 個議席減為 1 個，以長洲的地理環境及大量個案而言，兩位區議員已疲於奔命，削減 1 個議席是沒可能應付的。長洲與市區不同，市區一棟樓宇即有數千至 1 萬人，因此可集中與居民對話。長洲的 3 萬幾人卻分開居於南北方向，難以集中處理。因此，他希望選管會主席親往長洲實地觀察該處的地理環境，不要紙上談兵。

10. 周浩鼎議員指出，是次選管會的劃界安排是離地的，沒有考慮離島區的整體實際情況。在 2017 年 7 月的立法會政制事務委員會上，他已強烈指出整個離島區人口會大幅增長，政府提供的文件亦計算出離島區人口的基數增長會超過 0.5%。若以四捨五入的原則計算，基本上應增加 1 個議席，可惜選管會堅持維持離島區的整體議席不變，以致出現今日的問題。東涌人口大幅增加，需要新的議席服務新增居民，但在整體議席不增加的情況下，東涌新增 1 個議席，導致長洲削減 1 個議席。選管會沒有考慮離島區的實際情況，例如交通安排與市區不同，單從市區角度去規劃是不恰當的。把他所屬選區內的迎東邨劃入新的選區，有居民反映，感到無所適從。

11. 李桂珍議員反對將長洲兩個選區合併。選管會沒有在事前進行諮詢，只純粹根據不準確的中期人口統計調查推算，作出不合理的建議。合併兩區會直接影響區議員服務地區的質素，她對建議十分不滿，會後會遞交意見書。長洲是熱門旅遊區，每逢暑假或假日，總是人山人海。警方過去的數字顯示每逢假期都會處理超過 100 宗個案。若減少議席，將有很多問題無法解決。

12. 容詠嫦議員表示，離島區有個獨特之處，就是區內有 8 位來自鄉事委員會的當然議員，他們位於離島不同地方，例如長洲南和長洲北、南丫北和南丫南，另外 T08 的坪洲及喜靈洲亦有兩位。南丫及蒲台有 6 000 多人，除有 1 名民選議員，還有 2 名當然議員，即有 3 位區議員；相比逸東邨南(T02)的 20 000 多人口，南丫及蒲台的民選及當然議員平均每人只服務 2 000 多人，是東涌的十分之一的工作量，這是如何計算出來，選管會的建議完全沒有提及。

13. 翁志明議員更正長洲只有 1 名鄉事委員會的當然議員。

14. 鄺官穩議員補充說，選管會曾在 2017 年向立法會進行諮詢，而當時的立法會議員已強烈要求增加離島區的議席，但選管會在今次的諮詢文件仍然一意孤行，不作任何改變。究竟現時的諮詢是真諮

詢，還是假諮詢。馮驊法官身為法官，被指說謊已是對他的人格作出批評，若在今日區議會討論後，他依然不作任何修訂，仍然將長洲兩個議席減至 1 個，又不增加離島區的整體議席，則肯定是假諮詢。

15. 郭平議員表示，馮驊法官向新聞界宣稱逸東邨現時人口足夠增設 3 個議席。如果屆時滿東邨的人伙率只略多於 40%，而康逸樓和清逸樓劃為逸東邨北，逸東邨南的人口有 19 972 人，而逸東邨北的人口則有 24 798 人，分別高出標準人口基數 20.3%及 49.4%。馮驊法官曾說過，如果標準人口基數超出太多，便超過容忍的範圍，今次的安排無疑是自打嘴巴。若今屆不能增加離島區的議席，希望來屆主席和離島民政事務專員能強烈爭取大幅增加議席，否則民怨不能疏導，特區政府會自食苦果。

16. 余麗芬議員表示在 18 區中，離島區面積最大，各島嶼亦分散。儘管整體人口自 2007 年以來不斷增長，但民選議席一直只有 10 個。離島區議會的正、副主席，連同離島民政事務專員，都曾反映當區人口增長和特殊地理情況，而周浩鼎和劉國勳兩位立法會議員也於立法會會議上強烈要求增加議席。可是選管會最終沒有增加離島區的議席。胡國興法官曾在 80 年代前來南丫島，船隻泊岸時，他未上岸已表示南丫島很大，後來在劃界時已顧及這情況。政府以往曾提及將南丫島和坪洲合併，或南丫島和南區合併，政府需要知道，雖然離島區人口不多，但面積很大，議員需要處理事務，缺乏交通工具，令聯絡艱難。若將長洲的 1 個議席劃入東涌區，是說不通的，胡亂劃分選區，只會令離島區議會分裂，而非凝聚社區和諧。她希望選管會檢視今次的整體劃界及議席，無須待 2024 年才作檢討。

17. 曾秀好議員詢問是次諮詢是真諮詢抑或假諮詢，因為選管會已作決定，進行諮詢是多餘的。她認為選管會是完全沒有設想離島的情況，東涌增加滿東邨和迎東邨，又新增東環和昇薈兩個私人屋苑，選管會未有因人口增多而增加議席，反而在長洲削減 1 個議席，以補東涌的不足。她同意郭平議員的說法，劃走部分選區是對鄉議員不公道，白費他服務居民多年的努力，而他又要照顧新入伙的滿東邨，無形中剝削他服務逸東邨南的時間。另一方面，如入伙率未達房屋署假設的 70%，這個劃界方法便不正確。長洲佔地廣，從南至北路單車亦需要 10 多分鐘，若只有 1 名民選議員和 1 名當然議員，如何服務這麼多居民。她認為選管會今次的做法，對離島區和東涌不公平。

18. 容詠嫦議員指出，離島區議會的正、副主席時常與其他區議會的正、副主席舉行會議，曾討論增加議席問題，但正、副主席事後

卻沒有向區議會匯報。她看過一份文件，知道主席曾以鄉事委員會主席身份表達意見，因此向主席發出文件，陳述最受影響的並非當然議員，而是民選議員。她認為今日劃界結果，主席需負上責任，因為他應向離島區議會匯報與 18 區舉行會議的結果，他不應以鄉事委員會主席身份發信，而應諮詢區議會全體議員，特別是民選議員。主席這樣做是不對的，她對此表示遺憾。

19. 主席表示容詠嫦議員對他有所誤解，他聯同 8 個鄉事委員會主席去信爭取，任何團體和個人同樣可以向選管會爭取。他可以出示有關信件證明已努力反映，議員有需要可以查看。至於政府不諮詢 18 區，他感到無奈，但不是他能決定的。

20. 劉凍榮議員反對和不同意選管會的劃界和議席分配，特別是離島區和大嶼山情況獨特，應作特別處理，不能與市區相提並論。香港整體人口不斷增加，因此議席應不減反加，特別是東涌區，應根據新增人口相應增加議席。但離島其他區的議席不能純粹按人口多寡決定，好像大嶼山 1 個議員代表 4 區鄉村，就算不需要開會，每星期走訪這 4 區的所有鄉村一次，由於交通不便，已令他忙過不了。此外，南丫南和南丫北沒有交通工具，只靠走路，只有 1 個民選議員是不合理的。因此，離島區和大嶼山不能只按人口劃分，亦要考慮其獨特情況。他不同意這次分界和減少議席的建議。

21. 陳連偉議員糾正容詠嫦議員的說法。離島 8 區的鄉事委員會主席同樣是服務居民，亦重視劃界問題。如果他聽聞坪洲和南丫島合併，也會與別人商量對策，並盡早致函有關部門表達反對意見。各離島區議員對區內各分區情況也需關注，不能把責任歸咎於一個人身上，議員應同舟共濟，服務居民。

22. 鄺官穩議員提議離島區議會議員聯署去信選管會，由秘書處綜合所有意見向選管會提出。

23. 主席表示，議員於今日會議上提出的意見，秘書處會記錄及向選管會反映。過往也曾聯署反映這方面的意見，有很多議員主動參與。他曾反映離島區需要增加 1 個議席，奈何選管會不聽。其實議員曾就此作出努力，例如周浩鼎議員在立法會提出很多意見。他深切感受到鄺議員的難處，也認同鄭議員的意見，無奈區議會只擔當諮詢角色，沒有權力，只能向當局反映，當局不聽，亦沒有辦法，希望議員明白。

24. 郭平議員表示他在會上提出的數據，已記入意見書內，希望交予秘書處，待綜合議員的意見後，一併提交選管會主席。

25. 李桂珍議員同意以區議會名義去信選管會主席，表達議員的意見。

26. 主席表示，如議員有其他意見，可出席選管會於 8 月 1 日及 3 日舉行的公眾諮詢大會提出。

27. 議事完畢，會議於下午 3 時 55 分結束。

-完-

5690(11)

附件一

2019 年離島區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議的意見

本人因要主持「離島青年營」開幕典禮，未能出席特別會議，深表抱歉。現請黃文漢議員代為讀出本人(余漢坤)對「2019 年離島區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」的意見。

本人認為選舉管理委員會就「2019 年一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」的安排，對離島區而言非常不理想，亦是有欠妥善之舉。

離島區正值急速發展，多項大型工程及計劃已經落實及正準備上馬，當中包括如石鼓洲綜合廢物處理中心、機場三跑道系統、東涌新市鎮擴展等外，政府去年更公布《可持續大嶼藍圖》，而港珠澳大橋亦即將開通，各個政府部門已增加人手及資源迎接挑戰。隨著人口急速增加，人口結構將出現重大改變，新增人口難免為社區帶來不少問題。離島區議員作為地區與政府溝通的重要橋樑，實在有必要隨著人口及地區的改變，增加區議員的人數，但無奈事與願違。

事實上，離島區內多條公共屋邨、居屋屋苑及私人屋苑相繼入伙及落成，人口大幅增長，但離島區的議席在「2019 年一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」中，未獲增加，仍然維持在 10 個議席。本人翻閱上述「臨時建議」的公眾諮詢文件，佔八成以上的離島區議員，服務地區的人口數目均偏離標準人口基數，當中較標準人口基數高百分之二十至四十不等，舉例 T01、T02、T03 選舉分界而言，偏離標準人口基數更高達超過百分之四十或以上，堪稱十八區之冠。

在此情況下，離島區議員的工作量不但大增，議員對市民的關注度也有可能無奈減低，政府的建議實是極不理想。況且在議席沒有增加的同時，有關當局更將長洲原有的兩個議席，二合為一，建議有欠妥善的考慮。本人認為長洲的區情複雜和地域性的問題，保留兩個議席實為合情合理，希望有關當局考慮上述因素，本人促請選管會維持長洲區內兩個議席，並增加本區整體議席。

此外，本人覺得逸東北及逸東南的選舉劃界，未有配合滿東邨的分段人伙時間，在劃界上也是欠缺周詳考慮的安排。

最後，早前選管會主席馮驊法官表示，「法例規定，除了考慮預計人口外，亦必須顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等其他法定因素」，本人懇請有關當局，真正能考慮離島區的需求及需要，以離島區的市民福祉，以及社區獨特性、地方聯繫及有關區域的自然特徵，來考慮選區分界，並增加本區整體議席。

5690(12)

附件二

我的選區 T04 有三個屋苑，分別是映灣園、藍天海岸及海堤灣畔。在剛剛的 2019 區議會分界中，把原屬於我選區的映灣園第五期海珀名邸及海堤灣畔分別劃去 T06 及 T05 選區，把映灣園第五期與另外三期分開了，同一個屋苑將會由兩個區議員所服務。

而映灣藍天海堤三個屋苑地理位置十分相近，一向都屬 T04 選區，2011 年有提議把海堤灣畔轉入 T05 選區，與 T05 的東堤灣畔屬同一發展項目也均由港鐵所管理，也等 T04 和 T05 的居民人數更加平均，當時選管會其中一個不接納的原因是說兩個屋苑的位置被北大嶼山公路分隔，故不宜列入同一個選區。但現在又推翻自己的說法，相隔八年之後就為了讓人口更加平均，把他們納入同一個(T05)選區。

雖然說人口少了，議員的工作量相對減少，但我過往的工作中也認識了很多熟悉的街坊鄰居，也建立了良好的關係，對於這種轉變，有好多街坊也表示十分訝異，有些更覺得不滿，並向我查詢原因。我們都希望選管會在考慮分界時，除了考慮人口外，也能多考慮居民及議員的意見才作出決定。

傅曉琳
2018 年 7 月 30 日

5691(1)

2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議（長洲分區）意見 18/08/2018 13:38
From: [redacted]
To: "eacenq@reo.gov.hk" <eacenq@reo.gov.hk>
1 Attachment
長洲分區意見.docx

5691(2)

長洲是 2019 區議會選舉重劃選區的最大犧牲者/ 受害地區

沒有理由為了增加東涌一個區議會民選議席而犧牲長洲的一個區議會民選議席

長洲和東涌雖然名義上都叫做離島，但人口社區結構，生活圈子卻完全不同

懇請維持長洲的議席數目及增加離島選區的區議員數目

人口方面

1. 離島選區(T)選區平均人口接近 19,000, 18 區當中最高，但沒有新增議席，維持 10 個
   而灣仔東區南區(B,C,D) 選區平均人口 16,000 都不到，
   屯門(L) 選區平均人口 16,336 可獲新增 2 個民選議席至 31 席
   油尖旺(E) 選區平均人口 16,419 可獲新增 1 個民選議席至 20 席
   荃灣區(K) 選區平均人口 16,491 可獲新增 1 個民選議席至 19 席
   而計算選區平均人口，離島區應該要有 11.4 個區議會民選議席

面積方面

2. 離島區係 18 區面積最大的區份，
   人口集中程度亦不及市區，增加服務社區的難度，
   但被分配的議席卻是 18 區中最小

18區方面	選民人口	議席	每區平均人口 (2019)	議席 (與區數量)	相差	相差%
T	189,146	10	18,914.6	11.4	-1.4	-14.0%
N	317,419	18	17,634.4	19.1	-1.1	-6.2%
J	698,358	40	17,459.0	42.1	-2.1	-5.2%
F	429,191	25	17,167.6	25.9	-0.9	-3.4%
G	423,937	25	16,957.5	25.5	-0.5	-2.2%
R	692,988	41	16,902.1	41.7	-0.7	-1.8%
H	422,320	25	16,892.8	25.4	-0.4	-1.8%
M	648,999	39	16,641.0	39.1	-0.1	-0.3%
S	313,824	31	16,575.0	31.0	0.0	0.1%
K	313,344	19	16,491.8	18.9	0.1	0.6%
B	328,388	20	16,419.4	19.8	0.2	1.1%
Q	474,228	29	16,352.7	28.6	0.4	1.5%
L	506,431	31	16,336.5	30.5	0.5	1.6%
P	307,287	19	16,173.0	18.5	0.5	2.6%
A	240,966	15	16,064.4	14.5	0.5	3.2%
D	269,597	17	15,858.6	16.2	0.8	4.5%
C	548,106	35	15,660.2	33.0	2.0	5.7%
E	178,053	13	13,696.4	10.7	2.3	17.5%

5691(3)

2011 年人口普查長洲人口 22740
2016 年中期人口普查長洲人口 21752 (小左 988 人/4.3%)
中期人口普查只訪問了香港的 10%人口,
數據偏差的機會極大, 加上長洲亦有不小漁民/ 水上人口
如果用 2011 人口比較選區平均人口數(16,599) 人口偏離系數就係 37%
最近 5 年不斷有市區居民遷入長洲, 人口卻不升反跌實在無稽

2011 年人口普查數字

2011年人口普查 - 離島區議會分區統計便覽

1.人口特徵

選區	人口 男性	女性	合計
(T01) 大嶼山	8 580	9 190	17 770
(T02) 逸東邨北	10 124	10 822	20 946
(T03) 逸東邨南	8 964	9 402	18 366
(T04) 東涌北	8 542	10 586	19 128
(T05) 東涌南	7 957	8 997	16 954
(T06) 怡東灣	5 355	7 007	12 362
(T07) 坪洲及喜靈洲	3 607	3 441	7 048
(T08) 南丫及蒲台	2 689	3 324	6 013
(T09) 長洲南	5 433	6 375	11 808
(T10) 長洲北	5 063	5 869	10 932
總計	66 314	75 013	141 327

https://www.census2011.gov.hk/pdf/fact_sheets/DC/T00t.pdf

5691(4)

長洲的獨特性(多元化)* (同其他區比較)

長洲四面環海,
避風塘多魚船, 不少人仍然依賴捕魚/ 魚業為生,
海灘有兩個,
酒店有一間,
社區中心兩間,
球場有兩個,
醫院有一間,
有墳場, 骨灰龕位問題, 清明重陽多人人長洲掃墓
海葬亭問題, 殯儀業
宣誓
石鼓洲
新界西立法會議員完全沒有關心長洲的問題,

老人家/ 長者多?
住老人院比率?
教育程度?
獨居比率?
罪案數字? 治安問題?
邊青問題?
協助年青人/ 老年人接觸社區
當局工作比率?

社區設施負荷大
醫療/醫院急症室負荷大
教護員/ 消防員都唔夠人手
村落多, 地區小型工程繁重

長洲人主要步行/單車代步
長洲只有單一交通(渡輪), 超過 95%靠新渡輪, 5%翠華?
單一船公司,
長洲係假日香港遊客主要選擇的地點(過夜/ 唔過夜) <(全離島最高/ 全港數一數二)
假期搬左出去既人返長洲探親人,
每日經渡輪進出長洲超過 27000 人次
交通負荷與日俱增
2019 年渡輪中期牌照檢討,
2020 年渡輪公司續牌, 渡輪服務每況愈下, 居民交通大受影響
建造新碼頭

你們今次的數字

離島區的臨時建議概要
Summary of Provisional Recommendations for Islands District

選區代號 Code of Constituency	選區名稱 Name of Constituency	預計人口 Projected Population	標準人口基數偏離百分比 +/- % of Population Quota (16 599)
T01	大嶼山 Lantau	24 685	+48.71%
T02	逸東邨南 Yat Tung Estate South	23 475	+41.42%
T03	逸東邨北 Yat Tung Estate North	24 772	+49.24%
T04	東涌南 Tung Chung South	21 213	+27.80%
T05	東涌中 Tung Chung Central	20 712	+24.78%
T06	東涌北 Tung Chung North	19 398	+16.86%
T07	愉景灣 Discovery Bay	20 016*	+20.59%
T08	坪洲及喜靈洲 Peng Chau & Hei Ling Chau	6 622	-60.11%
T09	南丫及蒲台 Lamma & Po Toi	6 561*	-60.85%
T10	長洲 Cheung Chau	21 722*	+30.94%
	總數 Total :	189 146	

*Marine population added
*加上水上人口

https://www.eac.gov.hk/pdf/distco/2019dc/ch/summary_of_provision_recommend(Chi).pdf

5691(5)

如果長洲南北選區二合為一,
當選的區議員會增加一倍工作量,
由長洲南步行去長洲北要需時要 1 小時 (因為沒有交通工具)
居民有需要可能要一小時才可到達現場了解
長洲問題廣泛而多元化, 與日俱增, 減小一位區議員實屬不智

<u>沒有理由為了增加東涌一個區議會民選議席而犧牲長洲的一個區議會民選議席</u>

<u>長洲和東涌雖然名義上都叫做離島, 但人口社區結構, 生活圈子卻完全不同</u>

長洲人的生活圈子係長洲, 香港島,
東涌人的生活圈子係東涌, 荃灣,
長洲去東涌需時 2 小時, 來回 4 小時,
我去泳池都會選擇中山游泳池(交通時間 75 分鐘), 不會選擇東涌游泳池(交通時間 120 分鐘),
東涌的區議員不會協助處理長洲事務

長洲只有單一交通(渡輪)
東涌可以駕車/搭巴士/搭的士/搭港鐵
當離島區議會在討論渡輪問題時, 就會小一把有力的聲音

建議

1. 如不增加離島區議會民選議席,
   建議 T08(坪洲)+T09 (南丫) 合併(人口考慮),
   長洲維持兩個區議會民選議席
2. 如增加一個離島區議會民選議席(東涌),
   長洲維持兩個區議會民選議席

5691(6)

Re: 2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議 (長洲分區) 意見 21/08/2018 23:26
From: [redacted]
To: "eacenq@reo.gov.hk" <eacenq@reo.gov.hk>

你好, 再有小小補充
今次發革熱事件, 再證實如果長洲得一個區議員實在不夠,
簡單一個例子, 要區議員帶領救隊走篇大街小巷,
其實一天都未必足夠.....
請三思

5692(1)

敬啟者：

選管會在 2018 年 7 月 23 日，公佈「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，雖然選管會強調劃界理由是根據法定準則，也就是人口和「顧及社區獨特性及地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵」而劃定。而關於人口規定，今年每個選區的標準人口基數為 16,599 人，可容許偏差上下 25%，也就是說每個選區的人數應為 12,449 人至 20,749 人。然而，選管會對 2019 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的劃界，是嚴重忽視離島區逸東邨的「獨特性及地方聯繫的維持」，我們一眾逸東邨居民表示強烈不滿，並認為是次劃界並不符合選管會所指的法定準則，原因如下：

一、選管會的建議破壞社區規劃的完整、獨特性及地方聯繫的維持。雖然選管會對逸東邨的選區名稱為「逸東邨南」及「逸東邨北」，但實質上「逸東邨南」選區就是由逸東一邨組成，而「逸東邨北」是由逸東二邨組成。加上逸東一邨和二邨的社區生活可謂「分得十分清楚」，例如居民要找房署維修單位，亦需要去所屬的房署登記。過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，選管會為何要將個別樓宇胡亂劃開，例如將康逸樓每層都劃在不同選區，令居民無所適從，未能行使他們的投票權，未能投票給服務自己選區的候選人。此外若然選管會堅持強行將康逸樓及清逸樓劃去「逸東邨北」，只會令居民感到混亂，特別是康逸樓及清逸樓居民向我們表示，現在的劃分 4 年來亦相安無事，擔心將來劃界落實後，他們會將自己居住屋邨所屬哪個房署或區議員混淆，甚或被他們互相推卸責任，令居民得不到他們需要的服務，變成「無主孤魂」，被當成「人球」一樣踢來踢去。總括而言，選管會無疑是漠視居民對於社區的聯繫性，是不符合區議會劃界的其中一個準則。

二、選管會嚴重高估滿東邨入伙進度。據我們居民了解，滿東邨現時仍在進行工程，目

5692(2)

測認為短期內並不會落成。參照迎東邨經驗，迎東邨於 2017 年落成，但要等到 2018 年才開始入伙，也就是說滿東邨即使能在今年內落成，最快也要等到 2019 年中才開始入伙，甚或根本未入伙就已經截止選民登記。故此，滿東邨根本不會有居民入住。那麼既然沒有新的居民搬入，那何來需要重新劃界呢？

三、現時選管會建議劃界方案嚴重超出每個選區的標準人口可容忍上限。假如不變動兩個選區劃界，參考 2016 年中期人口普查計算，逸東邨南和逸東邨北人口為 17,165 人及 20,108 人，仍然符合每個選區的標準人口的上限。但如果根據選管會建議改動劃界，選管會的文件亦顯示兩區人口將會超過標準人口近 50%，也就是說選管會不旦破壞社區完整性，更無視每個選區的標準人口可容忍的上限，是無法無天，視法定準則為無物。反之，若果維持原有劃界，即使略有增長，人口亦會在標準人口的上限範圍內。

因此我們建議沿用 2015 年關於逸東邨南及逸東邨北劃界，即將逸東一邨劃入逸東邨南，逸東二邨劃入逸東邨北，而滿東邨則維持在大嶼山選區，使兩個區的人口維持在 17,165 及 20,108 人左右，並且符合每個選區的標準人口基數可容忍上限。就此方案，我們一共收集到 547 位逸東邨居民支持，要求維持原有劃界。希望選管會尊重民意、尊重法例，維持原有劃界。

此致

選舉管理委員會

逸東邨居民代表

2018 年 7 月 31 日

5692(3)

強烈抗議選管會胡亂劃界！

要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界！

強烈要求選管會將康逸樓及清逸樓保留在逸東邨南選區！

保障逸東邨居民的權益！

（一共 547 份簽名）

(上述提及的 547 份簽名中，其中一份為重覆的申述書，因此有關範本申述的實際數目為 546 份。)

此為範本申述共 546 份(序號 5693 – 6238)

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從。過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？

本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：陳小雲 簽署：雲

身份證首 4 位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」

清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）

或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處

或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5694

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨兩區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：鄧少荷 簽署：荷

身份證首 4 位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雅逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5695

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨兩區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：CHU MARY AGCAOILI 簽署：

身份證首 4 位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雅逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5696

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨兩區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：朱炳克 簽署：

身份證首 4 位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雅逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5697

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨兩區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：黃聯泉 簽署：

身份證首 4 位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雅逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5698

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨兩區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：趙敏菁 簽署：

身份證首 4 位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雅逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5699

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨兩區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：屈明 簽署：

身份證首 4 位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雅逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5700

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨兩區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：黃凱輝 簽署：

身份證首 4 位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雅逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5701

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨兩區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：何警火恩 簽署：

身份證首 4 位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雅逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5702

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨兩區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：[redacted] 簽署：

身份證首 4 位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雅逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5703

5704



5705



5706



5707



5708



5709



5710



5711



5712



5713



5714



5715

5716



5717



5718

5719



5720



5721



5722



5723



5724



5725



5726



5727



5728



5729



5730



5731



5732



5733



5734



5735



5736



5737



5738



5739



5740



5741



5742



5743



5744



5745



5746



5747



5748



5749



5750



5751



5752



5753



5754



5755



5756



5757

5758



5759



5760



5761

5762



5763



5764



5765



5766



5767



5768



5769



5770



5771



5772



5773



5774



5775



5776



5777



5778



5779



5780



5781



5782



5783



5784



5785



5786



5787



5788



5789



5790



5791



5792



5793



5794



5795



5796



5797



5798



5799



5800



5801



5802

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱籌時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：朱婉如 簽署：朱

身份證首 4 位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

填請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5803

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱籌時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：[redacted] 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首 4 位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

填請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5804

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱籌時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：陸潤嘉 簽署：陸潤嘉

身份證首 4 位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

填請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5805

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱籌時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：[redacted] 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首 4 位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

填請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5806

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱籌時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：[redacted] 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首 4 位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

填請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5807

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱籌時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：林丽婵 簽署：林丽婵

身份證首 4 位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

填請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5808

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱籌時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：[redacted] 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首 4 位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

填請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5809

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱籌時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：陳思敏 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首 4 位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

填請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5810

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱籌時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：[redacted] 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首 4 位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

填請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5811



5812

5813

5814



5815

5816



5817

5818

5819

5820



5821



5822



5823

5824



5825

5826

5827



5828



5829



5830



5831



5832



5833



5834



5835



5836

5837



5838

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從。過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：李玉花 簽署：花

身份證首 4 位數字（包括跟英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5839

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從。過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：李燕琴 簽署：琴

身份證首 4 位數字（包括跟英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5840

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從。過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：張一平 簽署：

身份證首 4 位數字（包括跟英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5841

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從。過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：張婉婷 簽署：

身份證首 4 位數字（包括跟英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5842

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從。過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權；本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：盧金嬋 簽署：嬋

身份證首 4 位數字（包括跟英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5843

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從。過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權；本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：[redacted] 簽署：

身份證首 4 位數字（包括跟英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5844

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從。過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權；本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：吳禮云 簽署：云

身份證首 4 位數字（包括跟英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5845

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從。過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權；本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：[redacted] 簽署：

身份證首 4 位數字（包括跟英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5846

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從。過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：唐瑞萍 簽署：萍

身份證首 4 位數字（包括跟英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5847

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：[redacted] 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首 4 位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5848

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：[redacted] 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首 4 位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5849

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：[redacted] 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首 4 位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5850

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：K. C. CHIU 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首 4 位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5851

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：Lio SHUM KIU 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首 4 位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5852

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：CHIU TSZ HANG 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首 4 位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5853

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：[redacted] 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首 4 位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5854

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：[redacted] 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首 4 位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5855

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：陳啟祥 簽署：陳啟祥

身份證首 4 位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5856



5857



5858



5859



5860



5861



5862



5863



5864



5865

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱諮詢建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：[redacted] 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首 4 位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨羅逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5866

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱諮詢建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：[redacted] 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首 4 位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨羅逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5867

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱諮詢建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：林志強 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首 4 位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨羅逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5868

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱諮詢建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：蔡順園 簽署：蔡順園

身份證首 4 位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨羅逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5869

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱諮詢建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：鍾瑞祥 簽署：鍾

身份證首 4 位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨羅逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5870

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱諮詢建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：杜麗華 簽署：杜

身份證首 4 位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨羅逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5871

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱諮詢建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：黃艷蓮 簽署：

身份證首 4 位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨羅逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5872

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱諮詢建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：[redacted] 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首 4 位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨羅逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5873

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱諮詢建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：李明容 簽署：

身份證首 4 位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨羅逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5874

有無搞錯！

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分劃至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：張錦蓮 簽署：

身份證首 4 位數字（包括緊英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5875

有無搞錯！

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分劃至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：張金水 簽署：

身份證首 4 位數字（包括緊英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5876

有無搞錯！

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分劃至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：吳東樑 簽署：吳東樑

身份證首 4 位數字（包括緊英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5877

有無搞錯！

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分劃至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：劉錦新 簽署：

身份證首 4 位數字（包括緊英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5878

有無搞錯！

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分劃至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：李錦振 簽署：

身份證首 4 位數字（包括緊英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5879

有無搞錯！

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分劃至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：關紹源 簽署：

身份證首 4 位數字（包括緊英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5880

有無搞錯！

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分劃至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：布美燕 簽署：

身份證首 4 位數字（包括緊英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5881

有無搞錯！

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分劃至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：譚念要 簽署：

身份證首 4 位數字（包括緊英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5882

有無搞錯！

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分劃至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：蔡桂珍 簽署：

身份證首 4 位數字（包括緊英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5883



5884

5885



5886



5887



5888



5889



5890



5891



5892

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在2018年7月23日發表「2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱籲時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分劃至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從。過往4年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留2015年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：[redacted] 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首4位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午6時-8時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於8月6日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於8月7日（二）
或 於8月10日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5893

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在2018年7月23日發表「2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱籲時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分劃至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從。過往4年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留2015年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：[redacted] 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首4位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午6時-8時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於8月6日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於8月7日（二）
或 於8月10日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5894

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在2018年7月23日發表「2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱籲時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分劃至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從。過往4年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留2015年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：[redacted] 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首4位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午6時-8時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於8月6日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於8月7日（二）
或 於8月10日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5895

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在2018年7月23日發表「2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱籲時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分劃至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從。過往4年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留2015年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：陳偉鄺 簽署：陳偉鄺

身份證首4位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午6時-8時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於8月6日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於8月7日（二）
或 於8月10日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5896

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在2018年7月23日發表「2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱籲時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分劃至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從。過往4年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留2015年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：Kwok Kwai Lun 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首4位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午6時-8時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於8月6日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於8月7日（二）
或 於8月10日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5897

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在2018年7月23日發表「2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱籲時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分劃至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從。過往4年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留2015年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：[redacted] 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首4位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午6時-8時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於8月6日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於8月7日（二）
或 於8月10日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5898

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在2018年7月23日發表「2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱籲時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分劃至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從。過往4年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留2015年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：[redacted] 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首4位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午6時-8時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於8月6日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於8月7日（二）
或 於8月10日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5899

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在2018年7月23日發表「2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱籲時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分劃至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從。過往4年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留2015年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：黃浩鏘 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首4位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午6時-8時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於8月6日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於8月7日（二）
或 於8月10日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5900

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在2018年7月23日發表「2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱籲時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分劃至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從。過往4年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留2015年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：PANG Lo Sze 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首4位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午6時-8時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於8月6日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於8月7日（二）
或 於8月10日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5901



5902



5903



5904



5905



5906



5907



5908



5909



5910

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分劃至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：_____ 簽署：_____

身份證首 4 位數字（包括跟英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5911

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分劃至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：_____ 簽署：_____

身份證首 4 位數字（包括跟英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5912

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分劃至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：_____ 簽署：_____

身份證首 4 位數字（包括跟英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5913

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分劃至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：陳靖泓 簽署：[signature]

身份證首 4 位數字（包括跟英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5914

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分劃至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：陳兆樂 簽署：[signature]

身份證首 4 位數字（包括跟英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5915

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分劃至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：_____ 簽署：_____

身份證首 4 位數字（包括跟英文字母）：_____ 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5916

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分劃至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：李麟來 簽署：[signature]

身份證首 4 位數字（包括跟英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5917

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分劃至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：王婉華 簽署：[signature]

身份證首 4 位數字（包括跟英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5918

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分劃至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權；本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：陳 [illegible] 簽署：[signature]

身份證首 4 位數字（包括跟英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5919



5920



5921

5922



5923



5924



5925



5926



5927



5928



5929



5930



5931



5932



5933



5934



5935



5936



5937



5938



5939



5940



5941



5942



5943



5944



5945



5946



5947



5948



5949



5950



5951



5952



5953



5954



5955



5956



5957



5958



5959



5960



5961



5962



5963



5964



我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從。過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：CHO HIU KIT 簽署：

身份證首 4 位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5965

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從。過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：[redacted] 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首 4 位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5966



我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從。過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：[redacted] 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首 4 位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5967



我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從。過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：[redacted] 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首 4 位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5968



我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從。過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：[redacted] 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首 4 位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5969



我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從。過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：[redacted] 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首 4 位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：2326 0188 或 WhatsApp：5619 1010

5970



我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從。過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：[redacted] 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首 4 位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 10 日前交回
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5971



我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從。過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：何錦霞 [redacted] 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首 4 位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5972



我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從。過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：[redacted] 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首 4 位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

5973



5974



5975



5976



5977



5978



5979



5980



5981



5982



5983



5984

5985



5986



5987



5988



5989



5990

5991



5992



5993



5994



5995



5996



5997



5998



5999



6000



6001



6002

6003



6004



6005

6006



6007



6008



6009

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱籌時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從。過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：吳萬祥 簽署：

身份證首 4 位數字（包括識英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

6010

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱籌時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從。過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：[redacted] 簽署：

身份證首 4 位數字（包括識英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

6011

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱籌時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從。過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：[redacted] 簽署：

身份證首 4 位數字（包括識英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

6012

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱籌時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從。過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：彭仕球 簽署：彭

身份證首 4 位數字（包括識英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

6013

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱籌時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從。過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：周明輝 簽署：周明輝

身份證首 4 位數字（包括識英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

6014

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱籌時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從。過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：[redacted] 簽署：

身份證首 4 位數字（包括識英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

6015

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱籌時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從。過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權；本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：[redacted] 簽署：

身份證首 4 位數字（包括識英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

6016

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱籌時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從。過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權；本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：[redacted] 簽署：

身份證首 4 位數字（包括識英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

6017

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱籌時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從。過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權；本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：[redacted] 簽署：

身份證首 4 位數字（包括識英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

6018



6019



6020



6021



6022



6023



6024



6025



6026



6027

6028

6029

6030

6031

6032

6033

6034

6035

6036



6037



6038



6039



6040



6041



6042



6043



6044



6045



6046



6047



6048



6049



6050



6051



6052



6053



6054



6055



6056



6057



6058



6059



6060

6061



6062



6063



6064



6065

6066



6067



6068



6069



6070



6071



6072

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分劃至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從。過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：_____ 簽署：_____

身份證首 4 位數字（包括跟英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

6073

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分劃至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從。過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：[redacted] 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首 4 位數字（包括跟英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

6074

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分劃至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從。過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：凌幼玲 簽署：凌

身份證首 4 位數字（包括跟英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

6075

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分劃至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從。過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：[redacted] 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首 4 位數字（包括跟英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

6076

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分劃至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從。過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：[redacted] 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首 4 位數字（包括跟英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

6077

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分劃至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從。過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：[redacted] 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首 4 位數字（包括跟英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

6078

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分劃至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從。過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：[redacted] 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首 4 位數字（包括跟英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

6079

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分劃至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從。過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：[redacted] 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首 4 位數字（包括跟英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

6080

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分劃至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從。過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：[redacted] 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首 4 位數字（包括跟英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

6081



6082



6083



6084



6085



6086



6087



6088



6089



6090



6091



6092



6093

6094



6095



6096



6097

6098



6099

6100



6101



6102



6103



6104



6105



6106



6107



6108



6109



6110

6111

6112

6113

6114

6115

6116



6117

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分劃至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：_____ 簽署：_____

身份證首 4 位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

燒請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

6118

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分劃至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權；本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：鄧月琴 簽署：鄧

身份證首 4 位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

燒請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

6119

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分劃至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：_____ 簽署：_____

身份證首 4 位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

燒請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

6120

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分劃至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權；本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：_____ 簽署：_____

身份證首 4 位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

燒請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

6121

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分劃至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權；本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：_____ 簽署：_____

身份證首 4 位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

燒請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

6122

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分劃至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：王文康 簽署：_____

身份證首 4 位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

燒請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

6123

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分劃至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權；本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：_____ 簽署：_____

身份證首 4 位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

燒請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

6124

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分劃至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權；本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：_____ 簽署：_____

身份證首 4 位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

燒請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

6125

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分劃至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權；本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：_____ 簽署：_____

身份證首 4 位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

燒請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

6126



6127



6128



6129



6130



6131



6132



6133



6134



6135

6136

6137

6138

6139

6140

6141

6142

6143

6144



6145



6146



6147



6148



6149



6150



6151



6152



6153



6154



6155



6156



6157



6158



6159



6160



6161



6162



6163



6164



6165



6166



6167



6168



6169



6170



6171



6172



6173



6174



6175



6176



6177



6178



6179



6180



我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在2018年7月23日發表「2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往4年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留2015年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：劉[redacted] 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首4位數字（包括緊跟英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午6時-8時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於8月6日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於8月7日（二）
或 於8月10日前交回 逸東(一)邨商場樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

6181



我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在2018年7月23日發表「2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往4年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留2015年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：[redacted] 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首4位數字（包括緊跟英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午6時-8時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於8月6日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於8月7日（二）
或 於8月10日前交回 逸東(一)邨商場樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

6182



我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在2018年7月23日發表「2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往4年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留2015年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：[redacted] 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首4位數字（包括緊跟英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午6時-8時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於8月6日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於8月7日（二）
或 於8月10日前交回 逸東(一)邨商場樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

6183



我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在2018年7月23日發表「2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往4年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留2015年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：[redacted] 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首4位數字（包括緊跟英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午6時-8時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於8月6日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於8月7日（二）
或 於8月10日前交回 逸東(一)邨商場樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

6184



我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在2018年7月23日發表「2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往4年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留2015年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：[redacted] 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首4位數字（包括緊跟英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午6時-8時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於8月6日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於8月7日（二）
或 於8月10日前交回 逸東(一)邨商場樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

6185



我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在2018年7月23日發表「2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往4年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留2015年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：潘正峰 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首4位數字（包括緊跟英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午6時-8時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於8月6日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於8月7日（二）
或 於8月10日前交回 逸東(一)邨商場樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

6186



我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在2018年7月23日發表「2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往4年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留2015年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：梁[redacted] 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首4位數字（包括緊跟英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午6時-8時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於8月6日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於8月7日（二）
或 於8月10日前交回 逸東(一)邨商場樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

6187



我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在2018年7月23日發表「2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往4年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留2015年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：張[redacted] 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首4位數字（包括緊跟英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午6時-8時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於8月6日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於8月7日（二）
或 於8月10日前交回 逸東(一)邨商場樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

6188



我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在2018年7月23日發表「2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往4年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留2015年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：[redacted] 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首4位數字（包括緊跟英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午6時-8時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於8月6日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於8月7日（二）
或 於8月10日前交回 逸東(一)邨商場樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

6189



6190



6191



6192



6193



6194



6195



6196



6197



6198



6199



6200



6201



6202



6203



6204



6205



6206



6207



6208



6209



6210



6211



6212



6213



6214



6215



6216

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：陳錦華 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首 4 位數字（包括緊跟英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

6217

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：[redacted] 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首 4 位數字（包括緊跟英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

6218

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：[redacted] 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首 4 位數字（包括緊跟英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

6219

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：[redacted] 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首 4 位數字（包括緊跟英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

6220

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：Poh Zu Kim 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首 4 位數字（包括緊跟英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

6221

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：[redacted] 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首 4 位數字（包括緊跟英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

6222

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：[redacted] 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首 4 位數字（包括緊跟英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

6223

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：[redacted] 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首 4 位數字（包括緊跟英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

6224

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從，過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：[redacted] 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首 4 位數字（包括緊跟英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

6225

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從。過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：[redacted] 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首 4 位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

6226

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從。過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：[redacted] 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首 4 位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

6227

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從。過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：[redacted] 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首 4 位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

6228

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從。過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：[redacted] 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首 4 位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

6229

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從。過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：[redacted] 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首 4 位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

6230

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從。過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：[redacted] 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首 4 位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

6231

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從。過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：[redacted] 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首 4 位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

6232

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從。過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權；本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：[redacted] 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首 4 位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

6233

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從。過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：[redacted] 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首 4 位數字（包括頭英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨雍逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

6234

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱籌辦建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從。過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：[redacted] 簽署：[redacted]

身份證首 4 位數字（包括跟英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨薈逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

6235

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱籌辦建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從。過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：賴建華 簽署：賴

身份證首 4 位數字（包括跟英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨薈逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

6236

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱籌辦建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從。過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：李柱英 簽署：英

身份證首 4 位數字（包括跟英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨薈逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

6237

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱籌辦建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從。過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：李翠洋 簽署：李

身份證首 4 位數字（包括跟英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨薈逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

6238

有無搞錯!

強烈抗議選管會沒有諮詢居民意見！強行將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓劃去逸東(二)邨！

我住向(一)邨竟然投唔到(一)邨區議員？
選管會將(一)邨居民左搬右搬，想剝奪(一)邨居民投票權！(一)邨居民何去何從？

抗議！抗議！

每屆都將選區變一變！使到我們無所適從！

政府在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱籌辦建議」，當中居然建議將原本屬於逸東(一)邨的康逸樓及清逸樓由逸東邨南(由逸東一邨組成)選區分割至逸東邨北(由逸東二邨組成)，破壞兩個社區的完整性，亦令居民無所適從。過往 4 年，逸東(一)邨居民已經習慣有事要找逸東邨南區議員，逸東(二)邨居民則找逸東邨北區議員，為何要將居民搬來搬去，令居民無法投票給服務自己選區的候選人？

既然逸東邨南和逸東邨北選區一直以來根據逸東一邨及二邨來劃分，也就是選管會認同逸東一邨和逸東二邨的各自獨特的社區完整性，為何選管會要一而再，再而三地將逸東一邨樓宇搬來搬去，想剝奪一邨居民應有投票權？本人要求選管會保留 2015 年逸東邨南及逸東邨北的選區劃界，以保障逸東邨居民的權益。

姓名：李曜琛 簽署：琛

身份證首 4 位數字（包括跟英文字母）：[redacted] 日期：10/8/2018

煩請填妥表格後，可於以下日子（下午 6 時-8 時）交回「地下諮詢站」
清逸樓街坊可於 8 月 6 日（一）；康逸樓街坊可於 8 月 7 日（二）
或 於 8 月 10 日前交回 逸東(一)邨薈逸樓地下辦事處
或 傳真：[redacted] 或 WhatsApp：[redacted]

6239(1)

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups

2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議

[redacted] to: eacenq@reo.gov.hk 20/08/2018 15:05

1 個附件檔

建議書.pdf

6239(2)

2019年區議會民選區議員增加21席,人口基數亦下調至1對16599人,但離島區議會並沒有增加議席,出現自回歸以來。最糟糕的選區分界,10個選區均出現偏離的人口基數,當中2個選區低於法例許可下限,有5個高於法例許可上限,為何會出現技術性錯誤,我們先回到區議會修訂前;

使用一般1比17000人口基數。

2019年離島區人口約190000萬,需要11.18個區議員。

在不增加議席下,使用10席時,平均人口偏離基數為約+11%。

但坪洲為約7000人,南丫島約7000人,各佔1席,剩下8席,平均每席1比22000,即+29%,超出法例許可+25%,這是一個簡單的數字問題啊!!!

我認為有4個方面出現疏忽,

政制及內地事務局,沒有考慮離島區的特殊地理。

選舉事務署,沒有向局方提供意見。

立法會70位議員及團隊也沒有意認到簡單數據背後有深遠的影響。離島區議會,是在地議會,最理解離島區的地理及人口分佈,明知在大嶼山及東涌已經增加人口,但蹤一般地不作異議。

現時只有兩個方法可行,

1) 坪洲及南丫島合併,(很大可能委員會已經作出諮詢,但受到阻力)

2) 委員會向政制及內地事務局作出反建議再修訂區議會條例,增加1名區議員,並落戶於離島區議會,兩點其中壹點得以實現後,可將大嶼山T01分拆南大嶼山為T01及北大嶼山為T11,逸東邨可不變更選區。

6239(3)

郭仲文

2018年8月20日

6240(1)

反對T10長洲選區的分界 20/08/2018 17:38

From: [redacted]

To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

1 Attachment

反對1.pdf

敬啟者：

就2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議(下稱臨時建議)，本人反對T10長洲選區的分界，並要求沿用2015年區議會選舉中，長洲分為長洲北及長洲南兩個選區的做法。

臨時建議中將原本長洲兩個選區合二為一，目的是為了騰出新一個選區予東涌，此做法明顯錯誤。

第一，東涌與長洲雖同為離島區，但地理位置相距甚遠，來回一次需時最少四個半小時，絕對稱不上是毗鄰選區。將選區如斯轉換，違反《選區分界劃定工作原則》中(C)項，「....毗鄰選區的分界均會調整」的說法。

第二，長洲乃一真正離島，意指渡輪是居民唯一出入之交通工具，並沒有陸路交通可達，於討論渡輪服務時，可選乘地鐵、的士、巴士的東涌居民可有多大共鳴？隨意減少一位真正離島區議員，島民的聲音被削弱，漠視長洲乃一真正離島的社區獨特性。

本人重申反對T10長洲選區的分界，要求沿用2015年區議會選舉中，長洲分為長洲北及長洲南兩個選區的做法。

此致

選舉管理委員會

長洲居民

黃善鴻

二零一八年七月廿五日

6240(2)

敬啟者：

就 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議(下稱臨時建議)，本人反對 T10 長洲選區的分界，並要求沿用 2015 年區議會選舉中，長洲分為長洲北及長洲南兩個選區的做法。

臨時建議中將原本長洲兩個選區合二為一，目的是為了騰出新一個選區予東涌，此做法明顯錯誤。

第一，東涌與長洲雖同為離島區，但地理位置相距甚遠，來回一次需時最少四個半小時，絕對稱不上是毗鄰選區。跨選區如斯轉換，違反《選區分界劃定工作原則》中(C)項，「……毗鄰選區的分界均會調整」的說法。

第二，長洲乃一真正離島，意指渡輪是居民唯一出入之交通工具，並沒有陸路交通可達，於討論渡輪服務時，可選乘地鐵、的士、巴士的東涌居民可有多大共鳴？隨意減少一位真正離島區議員，島民的聲音被削弱，漠視長洲乃一真正離島的社區獨特性。

本人重申反對 T10 長洲選區的分界，要求沿用 2015 年區議會選舉中，長洲分為長洲北及長洲南兩個選區的做法。

此致
選舉管理委員會

長洲居民
黃善鴻

二零一八年七月廿五日

6240(3)

反對T10 長洲選區的分界 20/08/2018 17:39

From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

1 Attachment

反對2.pdf

敬啟者：

就2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議(下稱臨時建議)，本人反對T10 長洲選區的分界，將原本長洲兩個選區合二為一，騰出新一個選區予東涌。本人要求沿用2015年區議會選舉中，長洲分為長洲北及長洲南兩個選區的做法。

臨時建議中聲稱因為東涌預計人口會大幅超過法例的上限，因此將原本長洲兩個選區合二為一，騰出新一個選區予東涌。可是，在臨時建議中T10長洲選區(經二合為一後)預計人口會超過人口基數31.04%，難道又不是大幅超過法例的上限嗎？那又會去切哪個選區，用以騰回一個選區予長洲？

因此不應減少一區的議席，用來補上另一個人口大幅增加的地區。根本做法是應該於人口大幅增加的地區加添議席。

根據政制及內地事務局呈交立法會政制事務委員會之第六屆區議會民選議席數目檢討，北區及離島應有18.58及10.51個民選議席，但都只是維持18及10個民選議席。相反，葵青應有30.69個議席，就獲31個議席。兩者小數點相差0.11及0.18，就有獲多一個議席，理據何在？按四捨五入的常理，北區及離島理應各增多一個議席，而離島增加的議席正好填補人口增加的東涌，就不用減去長洲原本兩個議席中的一個。

由此可見政制及內地事務局處事粗疏，檢討議席時缺乏前瞻性，到選舉管理委員會劃分選區時限米煮飯，面對人口基數百份比超過法例上限時就要扭盡六壬，盡量減少超出標準人口基數許可幅度的區議會選區數目。而長洲居民就是今次的無辜犧牲者。

本人強烈反對T10 長洲選區的分界，要求沿用2015年區議會選舉中，長洲分為長洲北及長洲南兩個選區的做法。

此致
選舉管理委員會

長洲居民
黃善鴻

二零一八年七月廿五日

6240(4)

敬啟者：

就 2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議(下稱臨時建議)，本人反對 T10 長洲選區的分界，將原本長洲兩個選區合二為一，騰出新一個選區予東涌。本人要求沿用 2015 年區議會選舉中，長洲分為長洲北及長洲南兩個選區的做法。

臨時建議中聲稱因為東涌預計人口會大幅超過法例的上限，因此將原本長洲兩個選區合二為一，騰出新一個選區予東涌。可是，在臨時建議中 T10 長洲選區(經二合為一後)預計人口會超過人口基數 31.04%，難道又不是大幅超過法例的上限嗎？那又會去切哪個選區，用以騰回一個選區予長洲？

因此不應減少一區的議席，用來補上另一個人口大幅增加的地區。根本做法是應該於人口大幅增加的地區加添議席。

根據政制及內地事務局呈交立法會政制事務委員會之第六屆區議會民選議席數目檢討，北區及離島應有 18.58 及 10.51 個民選議席，但都只是維持 18 及 10 個民選議席。相反，葵青應有 30.69 個議席，就獲 31 個議席。兩者小數點相差 0.11 及 0.18，就有獲多一個議席，理據何在？按四捨五入的常理，北區及離島理應各增多一個議席，而離島增加的議席正好填補人口增加的東涌，就不用減去長洲原本兩個議席中的一個。

由此可見政制及內地事務局處事粗疏，檢討議席時缺乏前瞻性，到選舉管理委員會劃分選區時限米煮飯，面對人口基數百份比超過法例上限時就要扭盡六壬，盡量減少超出標準人口基數許可幅度的區議會選區數目。而長洲居民就是今次的無辜犧牲者。

本人強烈反對 T10 長洲選區的分界，要求沿用 2015 年區議會選舉中，長洲分為長洲北及長洲南兩個選區的做法。

此致
選舉管理委員會

長洲居民
黃善鴻

二零一八年七月廿五日

6241

余麗芬 離島區議員辦事處
YU LAI FAN
MEMBER OF ISLANDS DISTRICT COUNCIL OFFICE
[redacted]
Tel : [redacted] Fax : [redacted]

致: 香港特別行政區選舉管理委員會
選舉會秘書處

有關 2019 年全港區議會選舉選區分界安排
強烈不滿離島區原地踏步

驚訝政府擬於 2019 年在全港區議會增加 21 個議席，當中離島區竟沒有增加議席，反而將長洲 2 個民選議席長期為居民服務 30 年削減為 1 席，明顯沒有考慮地區意見及實際情況，實令離島區(長洲)居民非常失望。

全港 18 區區議會離島區幅員廣闊，地域分散，島與島之間座落不同的海域，現政府只考慮各區總人口近年上升幅度，沒有考慮離島區東涌的實際人口增加。

離島區議員由 80 年代開始為居民與政府溝通的橋樑，而使政府強力有效地管理面積達 170 多平方公里，其離島區議員的持續推廣社區和諧及居民緊密合作，對離島各區面積及人口不斷增加，尤其東涌新市鎮急速發展，再加上旅客到訪不斷增加，政府亦應有目共睹，而政府就區議會議席考慮於第七界(2024-2027)年作檢討，將離島區(長洲)兩個議席合併，令整體議席減少更使離島區(長洲)居民更加分裂。

有關 2019 年區議會一般選舉區議會選區分界及名稱臨時建議諮詢市民意見，祈望政府應聽到實際的意見。本人希望真諮詢及聆聽居民的意見，以民為本，更使政府的形象提升。

如有查詢，請致電[redacted]與本人聯絡。

耑此 順頌

鈞安

離島區議員(南丫及蒲台)

余麗芬 謹上

二零一八年八月二十日

6242(1)

「2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」意見提交 21/08/2018 11:24

From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

1 Attachment

2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議（長洲分區）意見諮詢 PDF - 工作表1.pdf

致 選管會

本人是梁國豪，一名長洲居民，
就近日收集了近70名長洲居民關於「2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」的意見。

其中一條問題是「你是否贊成長洲選區由原本2區合併為1區？」
9名贊成
57名反對
1名沒回應
總數67名受訪者

受訪者部份個人資料、贊成和反對的原因已在附件內

如有任何問題，請向本人聯絡 [redacted]

謝謝

梁國豪

6242(2)



6243(1)

反對長洲選區分界建議 21/08/2018 11:53

From: [redacted]
To: "eacenq@reo.gov.hk" <eacenq@reo.gov.hk>
Please respond to [redacted]

1 Attachment

SKMBT_C36018082111260.pdf

選舉管理委員會：

我們一班長洲居民對貴署的選區分界建議表示反對，發起聯署(請查閱附件)贊成長洲應保留2個議席。

長洲居民
莫小姐

6243(2)

時間戳記	你是否贊成維持長洲兩個議	姓名
2018年8月10日 上午10:3	贊成	周俊文
2018年8月10日 上午10:3	贊成	Yeung Yung Hang
2018年8月10日 上午10:4	贊成	鄺官設
2018年8月10日 上午10:4	贊成	鄺民博
2018年8月10日 上午10:4	贊成	鄺希寧
2018年8月10日 上午10:4	贊成	Army
2018年8月10日 上午10:4	贊成	吳滿興
2018年8月10日 上午10:4	贊成	Matt lo
2018年8月10日 上午10:4	贊成	蔡鳳輝
2018年8月10日 上午10:4	贊成	譚國榮
2018年8月10日 上午10:4	贊成	Kwong
2018年8月10日 上午10:4	贊成	Llu Man Nok
2018年8月10日 上午10:4	贊成	Kelvin Keung
2018年8月10日 上午10:4	贊成	楊其新
2018年8月10日 上午10:4	贊成	Lam Hing Fai
2018年8月10日 上午10:5	贊成	林偉凱
2018年8月10日 上午10:5	贊成	陳景德
2018年8月10日 上午10:5	贊成	林嘉東
2018年8月10日 上午10:5	贊成	譚自力
2018年8月10日 上午10:5	贊成	林泉
2018年8月10日 上午10:5	贊成	林東
2018年8月10日 上午10:5	贊成	Fan kin wing
2018年8月10日 上午10:5	贊成	Ko pui fung
2018年8月10日 上午10:5	贊成	Fan nga man
2018年8月10日 上午10:5	贊成	Fan nga yip
2018年8月10日 上午11:0	贊成	何智崇
2018年8月10日 上午11:0	反對	Connie Lo
2018年8月10日 上午11:1	贊成	Wane Yeung
2018年8月10日 上午11:1	贊成	Lee lan ying
2018年8月10日 上午11:1	贊成	盧靜宜
2018年8月10日 上午11:1	贊成	Ng
2018年8月10日 上午11:1	贊成	Gary li
2018年8月10日 上午11:1	贊成	Terry Chui
2018年8月10日 上午11:1	贊成	黃家成
2018年8月10日 上午11:1	贊成	Ling
2018年8月10日 上午11:1	贊成	Ivan chan
2018年8月10日 上午11:1	贊成	楊
2018年8月10日 上午11:1	贊成	Sandy Ho
2018年8月10日 上午11:1	贊成	楊其華
2018年8月10日 上午11:2	贊成	盾神
2018年8月10日 上午11:2	贊成	Leung
2018年8月10日 上午11:2	贊成	林少翠
2018年8月10日 上午11:2	贊成	Fung chan
2018年8月10日 上午11:3	贊成	Jasmine Kwong
2018年8月10日 上午11:3	贊成	楊天發

6243(3)

時間戳記	你是否贊成維持長洲兩個	姓名
2018年8月10日 上午11:3	贊成	King Ip
2018年8月10日 上午11:3	贊成	K.O.Wong
2018年8月10日 上午11:3	贊成	DEVINA CHEUNG
2018年8月10日 上午11:3	贊成	Pang chi hang
2018年8月10日 上午11:4	贊成	MISS LUI
2018年8月10日 上午11:4	贊成	陳金漢
2018年8月10日 上午11:4	贊成	Phyllis Yeung
2018年8月10日 上午11:5	贊成	Pui Chi Chan
2018年8月10日 上午11:5	贊成	楊美生
2018年8月10日 上午11:5	贊成	Yuen Mau Hei
2018年8月10日 上午11:5	贊成	eunice cheung
2018年8月10日 下午12:0	贊成	ng ka po
2018年8月10日 下午12:0	贊成	廖谷順
2018年8月10日 下午12:0	贊成	lam oi lee
2018年8月10日 下午12:0	贊成	Shay given
2018年8月10日 下午12:0	贊成	tsang hin tong
2018年8月10日 下午12:0	贊成	tsang hin tong
2018年8月10日 下午12:1	贊成	羅凱惠
2018年8月10日 下午12:1	贊成	Apple Men
2018年8月10日 下午12:3	贊成	SIU YIM PUI
2018年8月10日 下午12:4	贊成	Yung Kai tung
2018年8月10日 下午12:4	贊成	郭家永
2018年8月10日 下午12:4	贊成	林寒
2018年8月10日 下午12:4	贊成	chan
2018年8月10日 下午12:5	贊成	CHAN FAI KI
2018年8月10日 下午12:5	贊成	Ivan TO
2018年8月10日 下午12:5	贊成	Ng fung wah
2018年8月10日 下午01:0	贊成	Vicky
2018年8月10日 下午01:2	贊成	Carol Kwong
2018年8月10日 下午01:2	贊成	Mr.Lo
2018年8月10日 下午01:3	贊成	wilson. c.f fan
2018年8月10日 下午01:4	贊成	Chung Hing Ming
2018年8月10日 下午01:4	贊成	T L Chung
2018年8月10日 下午01:4	贊成	Leung YY
2018年8月10日 下午01:4	贊成	鄧麗芳
2018年8月10日 下午01:4	贊成	Nelson Ng
2018年8月10日 下午01:4	贊成	cheung
2018年8月10日 下午01:4	贊成	Ophelia Law
2018年8月10日 下午01:4	贊成	Cathy
2018年8月10日 下午01:4	贊成	Marvin Lai
2018年8月10日 下午01:5	贊成	Cindy Kwong
2018年8月10日 下午01:5	贊成	Chung Hing Yee
2018年8月10日 下午01:5	贊成	Joy Law
2018年8月10日 下午01:5	贊成	Chan Tin Yan
2018年8月10日 下午01:5	贊成	Yeung Chun Yu Johnny

6243(4)

時間戳記	你是否贊成維持長洲兩個	姓名
2018年8月10日 下午01:5	贊成	Jason Chan
2018年8月10日 下午01:5	贊成	cheung wai ping penny
2018年8月10日 下午01:5	贊成	cheung wai ying
2018年8月10日 下午01:5	贊成	Eva Chan
2018年8月10日 下午01:5	贊成	cheung wai ying
2018年8月10日 下午01:5	贊成	李樹本
2018年8月10日 下午01:5	贊成	楊舒淇
2018年8月10日 下午02:0	贊成	cheung wai ying
2018年8月10日 下午02:0	贊成	李樹本
2018年8月10日 下午02:0	贊成	Tina Chau
2018年8月10日 下午02:0	贊成	黃偉雄
2018年8月10日 下午02:0	贊成	Cheng wai ling
2018年8月10日 下午02:0	贊成	Ice Yip
2018年8月10日 下午02:0	贊成	Sonia ho
2018年8月10日 下午02:0	贊成	Kwong
2018年8月10日 下午02:1	贊成	tori
2018年8月10日 下午02:1	贊成	Chihung
2018年8月10日 下午02:1	贊成	梁啟文
2018年8月10日 下午02:1	贊成	李
2018年8月10日 下午02:2	贊成	梁永晃
2018年8月10日 下午02:3	贊成	Fanny Wong
2018年8月10日 下午02:3	贊成	Leung wah chuen
2018年8月10日 下午02:4	贊成	Chenghollingtybai
2018年8月10日 下午02:4	贊成	Wong man yi
2018年8月10日 下午02:4	贊成	Jess L.
2018年8月10日 下午02:4	贊成	Chan Mei chun
2018年8月10日 下午02:5	贊成	琼
2018年8月10日 下午02:5	贊成	Ip Siu Ping
2018年8月10日 下午02:5	贊成	Chan fung kuen
2018年8月10日 下午03:0	贊成	S.N. Tam
2018年8月10日 下午03:1	贊成	lb mak
2018年8月10日 下午03:2	贊成	蘇美芝
2018年8月10日 下午03:2	贊成	陳嘉陽
2018年8月10日 下午03:2	贊成	鄭家敏
2018年8月10日 下午03:4	贊成	彭永良
2018年8月10日 下午03:4	贊成	曾廣森
2018年8月10日 下午03:5	贊成	Carol
2018年8月10日 下午03:5	贊成	brian lam
2018年8月10日 下午03:5	贊成	馮容勝
2018年8月10日 下午04:1	贊成	健
2018年8月10日 下午04:3	贊成	Yam Chiu Chun
2018年8月10日 下午04:3	贊成	Elize Tai
2018年8月10日 下午04:3	贊成	蔣雄
2018年8月10日 下午04:4	贊成	Chow Heung Wing
2018年8月10日 下午04:4	贊成	Ng yu yan

6243(5)

時間戳記	你是否贊成維持長洲兩個	姓名
2018年8月10日 下午04:4	贊成	Wong chi keung
2018年8月10日 下午05:0	贊成	Jeffi Liu
2018年8月10日 下午05:1	贊成	Alex Tse
2018年8月10日 下午05:1	贊成	Siu wing man
2018年8月10日 下午05:1	贊成	Man to
2018年8月10日 下午05:1	贊成	Man ho
2018年8月10日 下午05:2	贊成	陳大力
2018年8月10日 下午05:4	贊成	梁有勝
2018年8月10日 下午05:4	贊成	Andes Lee
2018年8月10日 下午05:4	贊成	Chu wing yin
2018年8月10日 下午05:5	贊成	TINA WONG
2018年8月10日 下午06:0	贊成	福天德
2018年8月10日 下午06:2	贊成	儀偉希
2018年8月10日 下午06:3	贊成	Mr kwok
2018年8月10日 下午06:4	贊成	鍾慶揚
2018年8月10日 下午06:4	贊成	郭金琳
2018年8月10日 下午07:0	贊成	Wong chi Fai
2018年8月10日 下午07:0	贊成	John Wong
2018年8月10日 下午07:1	贊成	Terry Wong
2018年8月10日 下午08:3	贊成	王學文
2018年8月10日 下午08:3	贊成	Tim
2018年8月10日 下午08:4	贊成	yeung tin kee
2018年8月10日 下午10:1	贊成	蔡桂芳
2018年8月10日 下午10:2	贊成	Wong yiu kwong
2018年8月10日 下午11:0	贊成	福建汶
2018年8月10日 下午11:1	贊成	Leung wai kit
2018年8月10日 下午11:5	贊成	福天發
2018年8月10日 下午11:5	贊成	許倚蕙
2018年8月11日 上午12:0	贊成	曾詩穎
2018年8月11日 上午05:1	贊成	Chan Wing Hei
2018年8月11日 上午08:1	贊成	李錦威
2018年8月11日 上午11:1	贊成	FUNG KA CHIU
2018年8月11日 下午03:5	反對	Chow Sze Man
2018年8月11日 下午06:1	反對	Chow Sze Man
2018年8月11日 下午06:2	贊成	Fion
2018年8月11日 下午10:4	贊成	Chow Sze Man
2018年8月11日 下午10:4	贊成	Chow Chi Kuen
2018年8月11日 下午10:4	贊成	Chow Ming Wah
2018年8月11日 下午10:4	贊成	Chow Chi Man
2018年8月11日 下午10:4	贊成	Chow Wai Ching
2018年8月11日 下午10:4	贊成	Chan Ah Mui
2018年8月11日 下午10:4	贊成	周斯文
2018年8月11日 下午10:4	贊成	Irene Chen
2018年8月11日 下午10:4	贊成	陳淑芳
2018年8月11日 下午10:4	贊成	倒明華

6243(6)

時間戳記	你是否贊成維持長洲兩個	姓名
2018年8月11日 下午10:4	贊成	陳亞絲
2018年8月11日 下午10:4	贊成	周志權
2018年8月11日 下午10:4	贊成	周志文
2018年8月11日 下午10:4	贊成	周偉清
2018年8月13日 下午03:4	贊成	Ng Mar chau
2018年8月13日 下午04:1	贊成	Raymond Wong
2018年8月15日 下午01:4	贊成	chan mi chau pam
2018年8月15日 下午07:3	贊成	梁偉強
2018年8月16日 下午10:0	贊成	Tsang Chung Wai Jessica
2018年8月17日 下午02:2	反對	李冠良
2018年8月17日 下午02:3	贊成	Yoyo Fung
2018年8月17日 下午02:3	贊成	黃啟明
2018年8月17日 下午02:3	贊成	鄭榮機
2018年8月17日 下午02:3	贊成	Cheng
2018年8月17日 下午02:4	贊成	Chanel cheunf
2018年8月17日 下午03:2	贊成	YEUNG KIN TAT
2018年8月17日 下午04:0	贊成	Edmond Ng
2018年8月17日 下午06:3	贊成	esther lai
2018年8月17日 下午07:4	贊成	張愛美
2018年8月17日 下午10:3	反對	123
2018年8月17日 下午10:3	反對	600
2018年8月17日 下午10:3	反對	ha
2018年8月18日 上午08:0	贊成	yip ching ngar
2018年8月19日 上午12:2	贊成	chan kim fung
2018年8月20日 上午10:4	贊成	Iris
2018年8月20日 上午10:4	贊成	Yeung Kin Long
2018年8月20日 上午10:4	贊成	Wong Ka Yan
2018年8月20日 上午10:4	贊成	NG SHUK YU
2018年8月20日 上午10:5	贊成	Hilary
2018年8月20日 上午10:5	反對	Stone Shek
2018年8月20日 上午11:0	贊成	christine au
2018年8月20日 上午11:0	贊成	Phyllis Law
2018年8月20日 上午11:2	贊成	Kui Chung Man
2018年8月20日 上午11:2	贊成	Lam Chui Ying
2018年8月20日 上午11:3	贊成	Theo yiu
2018年8月20日 下午12:0	贊成	Cheng tsz chung
2018年8月20日 下午12:0	贊成	Tammy Tam
2018年8月20日 下午12:1	贊成	Cheng Chi Keung
2018年8月20日 下午12:5	贊成	Him
2018年8月20日 下午01:2	贊成	Florence lam
2018年8月20日 下午01:5	贊成	Chan Ka Man
2018年8月20日 下午02:0	贊成	林麗盈
2018年8月20日 下午02:2	贊成	Gloria Ching
2018年8月20日 下午02:2	贊成	S.K. Lai
2018年8月21日 上午03:1	贊成	黃綺雯

6244(1)

選舉管理委員會 傳真：2827 7644

馮驊 主席： 21-8-18.

區議會劃界分區議員數目意見

馮主席：本人反對貴會所作出之建議，在長洲現時由兩位減至壹位。

理據如下：

地區人口及面積：地區人口有二萬多人，已超過每區的上限。長洲是老區人口老化比較突出地區，他的個較大地區面積廣，要用心服事路途亦較長

旅遊人士增多：從此每個假日及長假期都不少遊人到來，因此要加派地區設施服務跟進的意見

預計個案增加：本人自1990開始至2010年，在長洲區擔任區議員，在本人的記錄，每年個案都有百份之15%增長，2010年已有250宗，因此表達地區街坊之需要，在辦此室的工作必是增加。

若要社區健康成長及協助政府有效施政，增加和諧，必須有基本人手去助市民解困及向他們分享政府的政策讓他們更明白政府施政時的程序。

6244(2)

才可減少不必要的投訴。

以上幾點希馮主席及各委員深思，不要將長洲的兩區變為一區，區議員保持兩位兩席。

前任區議員
1990 – 2010
鄺國威 敬上

E-Mail: [redacted]

地址：[redacted]

6245(1)

選區劃界公眾意見_東涌逸東邨互委會主席簽名支持現有的臨時建議 21/08/2018 14:36

From: [redacted]

To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

1 Attachment

選區劃界_東涌逸東邨互委會簽名.pdf

致： 香港灣仔港灣道25號海港中心10樓
選舉管理委員會秘書處
執事先生／女士

附件為東涌逸東邨互助委員會主席共同簽名的文件，我們共同支持現有的劃區建議，將康逸樓及清逸樓劃到逸東邨北區。

如有查詢，可聯絡本人[redacted]

敬祝
工作順利！

梁楚欣小姐

6245(2)

致：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會秘書處
執事先生／女士

有關：互委會主席聯署支持選管會在逸東邨北及南劃界方案

作為地區居民代表，我們現聯署致函支持選管會在逸東邨北及南劃界方案（見附件），原因如下：

一) 隨著滿東邨 10 月入伙，東涌西勢將增加 1 萬多人，而新方案成功地將新增人口的數目平均分配逸東邨北及南；

二) 劃走的康逸樓及清逸樓有 4000 人，而滿東邨 10 月入伙，1 萬多人口很大程度上會符合明年 5 月的選民資格。假如重新將清逸樓劃入，或會令逸東邨南選區增至超過 2 萬 5 千人口，出現制度危機；

三) 有聲音以樓型不一致批評選區劃界，我們認為全港選區劃界有不少同類案例。若要強調樓型的重要性，我們更應將雍逸樓一併劃給逸東邨北，以便管理。

特此致函，懇請示覆。如有問題，請聯絡經辦人梁小姐[redacted]。謝謝。

敬祝
工作順利！

逸東邨一眾互助委員會主席謹啟

二零一八年八月十八日

6245(3)

附件：互助委員會主席們聯署簽名

致：香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會秘書處
執事先生／女士

有關：互委會主席聯署支持選管會在逸東邨北及南劃界方案

姓名	互委會	電話	簽名
青杉穗	至逸樓	[redacted]	青杉穗
周麗麗	清逸樓		
張子霞	康逸樓		張子霞
朱志雄	至逸樓		
陳艷清	善逸樓		
施文豪	滿逸樓		
尹根德	居逸樓		
謝國輝	勤逸樓		
黃紅霞	信逸樓		
汪佩芬	平逸樓		
李松慶	樂雅逸樓		
尹展達	勤逸樓		

二零一八年八月十八日

6245(4)

附件：互助委員會主席們聯署名單

逸東一邨
清逸樓互助委員會主席周麗麗女士
康逸樓互助委員會主席孫子霞女士
雅逸樓互助委員會主席李松慶先生
至逸樓互助委員會主席香松穗先生
善逸樓互助委員會主席徐艷蘊女士
平逸樓互助委員會主席汪佩芬女士
平逸樓互助委員會副主席朱志雄先生

逸東二邨
居逸樓互助委員會主席尹根德先生
滿逸樓互助委員會主席施文豪先生
樂逸樓互助委員會主席程國萍女士
信逸樓互助委員會主席黃紅霞女士
勤逸樓互助委員會主席尹展達女士

6246(1)

Constituency Boundary Suggestion in Islands District 21/08/2018 17:21

From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

2 Attachments

New Boundary Cheung Chau.gif New Boundary T08.gif

21 August 2018

Electoral Affairs Commission Secretariat
10th Floor,
Harbour Centre,
25 Harbour Road,
Wan Chai,
Hong Kong

Dear Sir/Madam,

Constituency Boundary Suggestion in Islands District

I am writing to express my opinion on the delineation of constituency boundaries in Islands District.

According to the provisional recommendations, the village of Nim Shue Wan belongs to constituency T08 (Peng Chau & Hei Ling Chau), but the adjacent areas in Tai Shui Hang, Kau Shat Wan and Man Kok belong to the constituency of T01 (Lantau), though these areas have tighter connection with Nim Shue Wan. There are paths linking the captioned places, and ferry serving between Tai Shui Hang, Nim Shue Wan and Peng Chau. Therefore, Tai Shui Hang, Kau Shat Wan and Man Kok need to be grouped with Nim Shue Wan Village to become a part of constituency T08. This can lower the excessive population quota of T01 and increase the population in T08, which falls short of the quota.

I would also like to suggest that to change Chi Ma Wan Peninsula from the constituency of Lantau to Cheung Chau. The most populated places in the Peninsula are areas around Chi Ma Wan Pier, and Sea Ranch (Yi Long Wan). Residents rely heavily on Cheung Chau Ferry but not road transport to South Lantau. Based on the rule of preserving of local ties, Chi Ma Wan Peninsula should belong to Cheung Chau constituency. The new boundary may follow the existing designation of Lantau South Country Park and Chi Ma Wan Road. Furthermore, Shek Kwu Chau and Soko Islands may be integrated to Cheung Chau constituency at the same time. There are no inhabitants on these islands at present, the development of Integrated Waste Management Facilities on Shek Kwu Chau and Marine Park on Soko Islands do bring populations like stationed staff in the future, and Cheung Chau is the closest developed area from these islands. If the two constituencies of Cheung Chau are merged into one, the inclusion of Chi Ma Wan Peninsula, Shek Kwu Chau and Soko Islands does not increase the population of the new constituency too much, compared with the highly-deviated T01 Lantau constituency. If Cheung Chau continues to have two constituencies, Chi Ma Wan Peninsula may be included in Cheung Chau North, whereas Shek Kwu Chau and Soko Islands in Cheung Chau South, with new names "Cheung Chau North and Chi Ma Wan" and "Cheung Chau South and Soko Islands" respectively. This may boost the population in the two constituencies meanwhile.

For the case in Tung Chung, the names of T04 (Tung Chung South), T05 (Tung Chung Central) and T06 (Tung Chung North) do not describe the new town precisely. T04 is located in the town centre, therefore it should be called "Tung Chung Town Centre" instead of "Tung Chung South". T05 should be renamed as "Tung Chung East" instead of "Tung Chung Central", as the area is not at the

6246(2)

central of the town centre but at the east, where the Tung Chung Eastern Interchange is located. The name "Tung Chung North" for T06 seems arbitrarily to describe anywhere at the north of the town centre, but "Tung Chung Waterfront" may be a better name to reflect the area. It makes reference to Tung Chung Waterfront Road as well.

As the development of Tung Chung New Town keeps on expanding, in the long run any part west of Discovery Bay boundary, east of cable car line, and north of Lantau North Country Park designation ought to be excluded from T01 Lantau constituency, when rural villages come closer to building surrounded. For example, Ma Wan Chung can be integrated with Yat Tung Estate, Shek Mun Kap with Mun Tung Estate, and Tai Ho/Pak Mong with properties in Tung Chung waterfront. Yet to keep the population in the proposed constituencies within the population quota of 25%, an extra constituency has to be added for this suggestion, which requires further studies.

Attached please find two maps showing the boundary suggestions for your reference. I would be pleased if these suggestions have come into effect.

Yours faithfully,
Parran Lau

6246(3)


ISLANDS DISTRICT
離島區
Green: Lantau South Country Park Designation (to sea)
CHEUNG SHA
MONG TUNG WAN
TAI LONG WAN
YI LONG WAN
PUI O WAN
CHI MA WAN PENINSULA
ADMIRALTY CHANNEL
CHEUNG CHAU

6246(4)


PENG CHAU
坪洲
TAI LEI
大利
NGAN CHAU
銀洲
TAI SHUI HANG
大水坑
SILVER MINE BAY
銀鑛灣
MUI WO
New boundary of T08, following the hiking path on map.

6247

Re: 反對2019年區議會選舉選區臨時分界建議

25/07/2018 10:57

From: [redacted]
To: <eacenq@reo.gov.hk>

執事先生 台鑒：就香港選舉管理委員會提出改劃選區之議，余謹用特函達，以申反對之見。 貴會以人口變遷為名，提議將港九新界多個選區重新分配， 實屬無聊之舉，勞師動眾，費時失事，且改劃之理據不盡不實，令人反感。 是以西貢市中心選區為例，人口僅一萬，應與鄰區合併調整，但 貴會對此區全不作改動。

又以烏溪沙區為例，「烏溪沙」選區的預計人口為20,592人，僅僅低於選區人口上限20,749人，按每一選民沙田區議會中人口最多的選區，而去區烏溪沙選區只得16,346人口，屬中型選區。隨著新屋苑迎海第一至五期共21座相繼入伙，整個屋苑共有超過10,000人居住。暫定選區範圍內包括五個私人物業，包括迎海、銀湖天峰、星漣海、雅典居及烏溪沙村。惟預計迎海附近的新樓盤譽海於2019年第二季入伙後，將再新增逾千人口，超出法定人口上限。對此人口暴增的區域， 貴會卻只提議重組馬鞍山西的選區，由「鞍泰」及「頌安」重組出一個新選區「海嵐」。改劃方案，令人費解！

再以香港區情況， 貴會對灣仔四個選區「愛群」、「鵝頸」、「銅鑼灣」及「大坑」提議的改動，破壞社區完整。 貴會常以人口變遷為由，提議改劃，但卻則離奇和荒謬。 例如：「銅鑼灣」人口不足，卻又要「一加一減」，劃走原區一部份再加入另一部份， 請問 貴會此舉邏輯何在？

故此， 貴會2019年區議會選舉選區臨時分界建議，純屬無謂之舉，還望 貴會早撤此擾民之議！

此致

香港選舉管理委員會秘書處

劉敬康 謹啟

6248

Representation of the Provisional Recommendations on Boundaries and Names of District Council Constituencies for the 2019 District Council Ordinary Election
01/08/2018 20:45
Hide Details
From: [redacted]

To: "eacenq@reo.gov.hk" <eacenq@reo.gov.hk>

Dear Madam/Sir,

Please note that the name of the Constituency J11 and R35 are duplicate. Both of them are called "On Tai".

Yours sincerely,
A Registred Voter

2/8/2018

6249(1)

二零一九年區議會選區分界諮詢意見書
03/08/2018 15:51
Hide Details
From: [redacted] <[redacted]>

To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

選舉管理委員會
主席及各位委員：

有關二零一九年的區議會選舉選區分界及名稱的臨時建議，本組織從 貴委員會網站細閱相關資料後，就有關的安排有簡單認識。

就一般事項方面，本組織對今次諮詢的安排表示遺憾，當中三場諮詢會改為兩場，未有方便普遍港島區居民前往九龍或新界諮詢會，同時因九龍或新界於港島區工作亦十分常見，此類居民往往未能於下班後趕到諮詢場地向 貴會遞交口頭意見，雖則居民仍可以書面提供申述，但即場與 貴委員會人員直接反映的機會卻被刪減，此安排與公平、公正、公開的原則有所違背，本組織就有關決定表示遺憾。就此本組織建議 貴會參考1993年當時選區分界及選舉管理委員會做法容許地區團體及立法會區議會議員等與 貴委員會人員會面，在諮詢會以外直接與 貴會反映意見，以補足普遍人士只能以書面遞交意見的情況。

本組織亦建議在下屆的諮詢會，不單要回復為三次諮詢會，更要於新界西部舉行第四次諮詢會，將會更能方便新界西部及所有未能參與原有諮詢會的市民，希望 貴會能加以考慮。

本組織更建議 貴委員會向有關當局爭取修改《選舉管理委員會條例》及《區議會條例》，以便容許 貴會增減每一區不多於百分之十的議席，應付人口消滅及社區特色的需要。就二零一九年的選區而言，離島區東涌部分出現多個人口兩萬以上的選區之餘，亦同時出現如坪洲、南丫島等地不足七千人的選區，情況極不理想，相信加添一席可平衡城鄉差距。

同時灣仔、東區兩地人口下跌，比實際人口分別多出兩個議席，導致會議人員過多，浪費資源影響效率，而且灣仔東區區議會差距達廿二人，建議作適度調整，將C16寶馬山至C23健康村選區(當中模範邨除外)2023年由東區改劃到灣仔，因有關部分近年亦轉化為商業區，成為灣仔銅鑼灣的伸延，而改動後更可平衡兩區資源，有利地區行政發展。

最後本組織建議 貴委員會向有關當局爭取2023年將荃灣K11馬灣選區劃入離島區，及大埔P19西貢北選區劃入西貢區。K11馬灣選區包括位處大嶼山東北部，因應大嶼山發展迅

3/8/2018

6249(2)

速，大嶼山全島劃入同一個區議會有利協調發展，同時改動後亦可讓馬灣居民與離島區內愉景灣及其他離島居民就醫務、運輸、市政等問題一共追究有關部門離島事務負責人，促進地區行政效率。P19西貢北選區方面，近日傳出某發展商將會發展西貢北內十四鄉，當中基建、運輸、水務、渠務、環境、教育、通訊等事宜與西貢區息息相關，加上除東平洲等海島外，P19西貢北其他部分已經可由西貢區以車路前往，與大埔區的關係日益減少，因應有關情況，本組織建議將P19西貢北納入西貢區，以便利地區行政。

有關個別選區臨時建議的意見，本組織將會另行提交意見文件。如倘有垂詢，請以電郵聯絡本組織。

敬祝
鈞安

本地觀點

file:///C:/Users/REO/AppData/Local/Temp/notesC7A056/~web5295.htm 3/8/2018

6249(3)

二零一九年區議會選區分界諮詢意見書 22/08/2018 00:00

From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

1 Attachment

意見書.pdf

選舉管理委員會
主席及各位委員：

有關二零一九年的區議會選舉選區分界及名稱的臨時建議，本組織從　貴委員會網站細閱相關資料後，就有關的安排有簡單認識。

本組織透過實地觀察及了解有關地區情況後，整理對部分選區的意見，希望　貴會能加以考慮，使落實正式建議時，能更以　貴委員會「符合人口基準，照顧社區完整性」的原則。

本組織對　貴會於本屆於多區更動選區編號次序表示認同，皆因對比過往數屆選區編號，臨時建議中相鄰選區使用相鄰編號，方便使用者能更快、清楚從諮詢文件及地圖了解有關選區。而本組織對個別選區臨時建議的意見，請參閱隨函的附件。

正如本會就區議會選區一般事項之函件中表明，本會建議　貴委員會向有關當局爭取修改《區議會條例》及《選舉管理委員會條例》，以便容許　貴會增減每一區不多於百分之十議席，以應付人口消減及社區特色的需要。除此之外，本組織提議　貴會應向有關當局爭取由　貴委員會發表選區分界及名稱後，代替行政當局直接提出修改《區議會條例（修訂附表3）令》，使整個制定選區過程更獨立、更公正、更公平，以提升地區行政效率。

最後如　貴會倘有垂詢，請以電郵聯絡本組織。

敬祝
鈞安

本地觀點

6249(4)

選舉管理委員會
主席及各位委員：

有關二零一九年的區議會選舉選區分界及名稱的臨時建議，本組織從　貴委員會網站細閱相關資料後，就有關的安排有簡單認識。

本組織透過實地觀察及了解有關地區情況後，整理對部分選區的意見，希望　貴會能加以考慮，使落實正式建議時，能更以　貴委員會「符合人口基準，照顧社區完整性」的原則。

本組織對　貴會於本屆於多區更動選區編號次序表示認同，皆因對比過往數屆選區編號，臨時建議中相鄰選區使用相鄰編號，方便使用者能更快、清楚從諮詢文件及地圖了解有關選區。而本組織對個別選區臨時建議的意見，請參閱隨函的附件。

正如本會就區議會選區一般事項之函件中表明，本會建議　貴委員會向有關當局爭取修改《區議會條例》及《選舉管理委員會條例》，以便容許　貴會增減每一區不多於百分之十議席，以應付人口消減及社區特色的需要。除此之外，本組織提議　貴會應向有關當局爭取由　貴委員會發表選區分界及名稱後，代替行政當局直接提出修改《區議會條例（修訂附表3）令》，使整個制定選區過程更獨立、更公正、更公平，以提升地區行政效率。

最後如　貴會倘有垂詢，請以電郵聯絡本組織。

敬祝
鈞安

本地觀點

6249(5)

中西區

臨時建議代號	臨時建議名稱	意見	原因
中上環及半山部分			
A01	中環	支持	有關劃界符合貴會的法定準則及工作原則
A02	半山東	支持	
A03	衞城	支持	
A04	山頂	支持	
A05	大學	支持	
A12	上環	支持	
A13	東華	支持	
西環堅尼地城部分			
A06	堅摩	支持	有關劃界符合貴會的法定準則及工作原則
A07	觀龍	支持	
A08	西環	支持	
A09	寶翠	支持	
A10	石塘咀	支持	
A11	西營盤	支持	
A14	正街	支持	
A15	水街	支持	

灣仔區

臨時建議代號	臨時建議名稱	意見	原因
灣仔部分			
B01	軒尼詩	保留	依據區內人口計算的議席總額比應有議席多出一席，建議2023年減少一席，以便合理運用議會資源，而有關劃界把灣仔(即B01、B02、B12、B13)從皇后大道東、灣仔道、莊士頓道、軒尼詩道、告士打道社區發展歷程完全忽略，建議利用這些道路為這幾個選區的分界
B02	愛群	保留	
B11	司徒拔道	保留	
B12	修頓	保留	
B13	大佛口	保留	
銅鑼灣跑馬地大坑部分			
B03	鵝頸	保留	考慮社區完整及人口分佈，有關劃界較為可行依據區內人口計算的議席總額比應有議席多出一席，建議 2023 年減少一席，以便合理運用議會資源
B04	銅鑼灣	保留	
B05	維園	保留	
B06	天后	保留	
B07	大坑	保留	
B08	渣甸山	保留	
B09	樂活	保留	
B10	跑馬地	保留	

6249(6)

東區

臨時建議代號	臨時建議名稱	意見	原因
鰂魚涌部分			
C01	太古城西	保留	考慮社區完整及人口分佈，有關劃界較為可行依據區內人口計算的議席總額比應有議席多出一席，建議2023年減少一席，以便合理運用議會資源
C02	太古城東	保留	
C15	柏架山	保留	
C24	鰂魚涌	保留	
C25	南豐	保留	
C26	康怡	保留	
C27	康山	保留	
筲箕灣西灣河部分			
C03	鯉景灣	支持	有關劃界符合人口標準，唯R29,R30劃界忽略鯉豐樓與耀安樓位處同一平台並使用同一條消防通道，亦與R30其他部分相近，與R29其他部分較遠，建議亦將耀豐樓一同劃入C30
C04	西灣河	支持	
C05	愛秩序灣	支持	
C06	筲箕灣	支持	
C07	阿公岩	支持	
C28	興東	支持	
C29	下耀東	反對	
C30	上耀東	反對	
柴灣小西灣部分			
C08	杏花邨	反對	依據區內人口計算的議席總額比應有議席多出兩席，應於2023年減少一席，以便合理運用議會資源 就C09、C11改動，建議C08香港專業教育學院範圍劃入C09，C11維持不變，該校與C09香港高等教育科技學院同屬職業訓練局管理，亦受C09工業及貨物裝卸區影響，有共同社區特點及關注議題 就C32、C33改動，建議由高威閣代替華廈邨劃入C32，因高威閣停車場設於泰民街，會影響同屬泰民街樂翠臺、康翠臺的交通，而華廈邨鄰近柴灣站，與半山樂翠、康翠臺屬不同社區
C09	翠灣	反對	
C10	欣藍	保留	
C11	小西灣	反對	
C12	景怡	保留	
C13	環翠	保留	
C14	翡翠	保留	
C31	興民	保留	
C32	樂康	反對	
C33	翠德	反對	
C34	漁灣	保留	
C35	佳驍	保留	
北角部分			
C16	寶馬山	保留	有關劃界符合人口標準，唯考慮灣仔區及東區區議會範圍及人口差距，建議2023年將C16-C23選區撥入灣仔，灣仔區改名為海港區，以反映灣仔及北角位於香港島中部海灣部分
C17	炮台山	保留	
C18	城市花園	保留	
C19	和富	保留	
C20	堡壘	保留	
C21	錦屏	保留	
C22	丹拿	保留	
C23	健康村	保留	

6249(7)

C08、C09、C11、C32、C33 建議示意圖



6249(8)

南區

臨時建議代號	臨時建議名稱	意見	原因
香港仔黃竹坑海灣部分			
D01	香港仔	支持	有關劃界符合實會的法定準則及工作原則
D13	田灣	支持	
D14	石漁	支持	
D15	黃竹坑	支持	
D16	海灣	支持	
D17	赤柱及石澳	支持	
鴨脷洲部分			
D02	鴨脷洲邨	支持	考慮社區完整及人口分佈，有關劃界較為可行
D03	鴨脷洲北	支持	
D04	利東一	支持	
D05	利東二	支持	
D06	海怡東	支持	
D07	海怡西	支持	
薄扶林華富部分			
D08	華貴	保留	考慮社區完整及人口分佈，有關劃界較為可行 由於D11人口仍屬及上限，建議沿薄扶林道將瑪麗醫院劃入D12以平衡兩個選區人口
D09	華富南	保留	
D10	華富北	保留	
D11	薄扶林	保留	
D12	置富	保留	

D11、D12 建議示意圖



6249(9)

油尖旺區

臨時建議代號	臨時建議名稱	意見	原因
尖沙咀佐敦部分			
E01	尖沙咀西	反對	E01佐治五世公園、官涌街市部分鄰近佐敦道，多年來劃入佐敦道、廣東道、柯士甸道、覺士道範圍的選區，改劃E01實不合理，建議保留在E19，改動後如E01人口不足，建議將E20堪富利士道、赫德道、麼地道、彌敦道一帶樓宇範圍劃入E01，平衡人口差距
E19	佐敦南	反對	
E20	尖沙咀中	反對	
E02	九龍站	支持	考慮社區完整及人口分佈，有關劃界較為可行
E03	佐敦西	支持	
E18	佐敦北	支持	

E01、E19、E20 建議示意圖



6249(10)

臨時建議代號	臨時建議名稱	意見	原因
油麻地京士柏旺角大角咀部分			
E04	油麻地南	反對	考慮E04、E16、E17人口差距是整個油尖旺區最大，加上需打老道以北距離E04的社區設施較遠，社區關係較弱，同時E05及E09雖則符合人口標準，仍跨越渡船街、櫻桃街等交通繁忙要道，兩邊社區關係薄弱，相反帝皇殿與柏景灣由同一發展商興建與E09以唐樓為主不同，建議劃回相關範圍，維持有關社區生活圈，為平衡各個選區人口 另外考慮旺角至大角咀的發展歷程，塘尾道至大角咀道由工廠及民居交集，而大角咀道至深旺道則以民居為主，由於區內重建持續進行，建議將通州街、塘尾道、櫻桃街、深旺道範圍重新劃分選區 詳情請參考以下示意圖
E05	富榮	保留	
E06	旺角西	保留	
E07	富柏	保留	
E08	奧運	保留	
E09	櫻桃	保留	
E13	旺角北	保留	
E14	旺角東	保留	
E15	旺角南	保留	
E16	油麻地北	反對	
E17	尖東及京士柏	反對	
E10	大角咀南	保留	
E11	大角咀北	保留	
E12	大南	保留	

E04、E16、E17 建議示意圖



6249(11)



6249(12)

深水埗區

臨時建議代號	臨時建議名稱	意見	原因
受改動部分			
F01	寶麗	反對	F01、F19、F20、F21四區混合私人樓宇及公共房屋，應重劃以減少社區差異，平衡人口數目 F01元州邨的四棟樓宇交換F19保安道、長發街、元州街、昌華街私人樓宇及冠榮大廈；而蘇屋邨茶花樓重建後，臨時劃界圍中散落在F20、F21兩區，形成一樓兩制，建議一併劃入F20，F21因應人口較少，加入F01原有懷惠道、東沙島街、青山道、東京街範圍
F19	元州	反對	
F20	蘇屋	反對	
F21	李鄭屋	反對	
F03	南昌北	反對	就F03改動，大埔道以北樓宇應一致劃入F04，當地與F04以巴域街、南昌街為中心，而F03則以北河街桂林街為中心，生活圈子不同，同時石硤尾邨美映樓美如樓部分居民由F23白田邨遷移，F23居民亦使用偉智街前往石硤尾站，加上F23人口較少，建議美映樓及美如樓劃入F23而非F25以平衡人口差異，同時建議F25修直崇真小學附近劃界並改名為九龍仔方便市民
F04	石硤尾	反對	
F05	南昌東	反對	
F23	下白田	反對	
F25	南山、大坑東及大坑西	反對	
F06	南昌南	反對	F06、F08之字形界線令居民無所適從，建議改以荔枝角道為F08北面界線，F09深水埗公園與其餘部分連繫較少，當中嘉蘆小學更只能從F08進出，建議以通州街為界，反映實際情況 F12範圍居住人口過於分散，選舉時應設立多個票站方便選民
F08	南昌西	反對	
F09	富昌	反對	
F11	幸福	保留	
F12	碧匯	保留	
F14	荔枝角南	保留	
未受改動部分			
F15	美孚南	保留	東面改以青沙公路為界，以反映道路改變
F16	美孚中	保留	
F17	美孚北	保留	
F02	長沙灣	支持	考慮社區完整及人口分佈，有關劃界較為可行
F07	南昌中	支持	
F10	麗閣	支持	
F13	荔枝角中	支持	
F18	荔枝角北	支持	
F22	龍坪及上白田	支持	
F24	又一村	支持	

6249(13)

F01、F19、F20、F21 建議示意圖



F03、F04、F23、F25 建議示意圖



6249(14)

九龍城區

臨時建議代號	臨時建議名稱	意見	原因
土瓜灣馬頭圍九龍城部分			
G01	馬頭圍	反對	有關劃界符合人口標準，但劃界未有解決區內土瓜灣道以東部分受到G15九龍城碼頭中九龍幹線工程影響及邁進G02、G03其他部分問題，而且翔龍灣等與G15交通亦以土瓜灣道為主，社區聯繫充足，G15亦有足夠空間吸收人口，建議土瓜灣道以東G02、G03部分劃入G15，而G01則向G07劃出雅麗居範圍，以平衡四個選區人口
G02	宋皇臺	反對	
G03	馬坑涌	反對	
G15	海心	反對	
G04	馬頭角	保留	
G05	樂民	保留	
G11	龍城	保留	
G16	土瓜灣北	保留	
G17	土瓜灣南	保留	
何文田九龍塘部分			
G06	常樂	支持	考慮社區完整及人口分佈，G01向G07劃出雅麗居範圍，以天光道為界，修正兩個選區形狀，而其他選區劃界較為可行
G07	何文田	支持	
G08	嘉道理	支持	
G09	太子	支持	
G10	九龍塘	支持	
G24	愛民	支持	
G25	愛俊	支持	
啟德部分			
G12	啟德北	保留	有關劃界符合人口標準，但分拆德朗邨破壞完整性，實在不理想，建議啟晴、德朗一邨一選區，而其他樓宇劃入G14
G13	啟德東	保留	
G14	啟德中及南	保留	
紅磡黃埔部分			
G19	黃埔東	反對	有關劃界符合人口標準，但G21劃界破壞海濱南岸完整性，因應棕櫚苑亦受穿過海濱南岸的行車天橋及紅磡南道影響，建議由G20紫荊苑交換G19棕櫚苑，G21維持不變，平衡三區人口
G20	黃埔西	反對	
G21	紅磡灣	反對	
G18	鶴園海逸	保留	
G22	紅磡	保留	
G23	家維	保留	

6249(15)

G02、G03、G15 建議示意圖

G19、G20、G21 建議示意圖



6249(16)

黃大仙區

臨時建議代號	臨時建議名稱	意見	原因
受改動部分			
H02	龍下	反對	應由H03劃出龍和樓、龍滿樓及龍禧樓到H02，而非將H09啓德花園調入H02。H08現有私樓於2011年由H07劃入H08，兩地有社區連繫，H07改動範圍應劃入H08，減少公私樓混合選區，如必須將H09啓德花園調入H02，則H08可調劃東頭邨東隆道以北入H09，補償H09人口減少，更可減少五個選區(連同H02、H03)人口差距。如以上建議不可行，則支持諮詢會中意見H09維持不變，將部分H07樓宇連同彩虹道公園劃入H02意見，使受影響選區減少一個
H03	龍上	反對	
H07	新蒲崗	反對	
H08	東頭	反對	
H09	東美	反對	
H04	鳳凰	反對	H04與H16人口差距是整個黃大仙最大，而沙田坳邨亦遺棄H15其他部分，社區連繫不足，應維持H15不變，建議將慈樂邨三期劃入H04，H16則吸納沙田坳邨，H19劃出慈安苑安欣閣到H18，使慈安苑完整劃入H18，同時H18正暉樓代替正怡樓劃入H17，以平衡人口及選區形狀
H15	竹園北	反對	
H16	慈雲西	反對	
H17	正愛	反對	
H18	正安	反對	
H19	慈雲東	反對	
未受改動部分			
H01	龍趣	支持	有關劃界符合貴會的法定準則及工作原則，但部分選區跨越龍翔道，較為不理想，對社區發展不利，希望未來再劃界時選管會能加以留意
H05	鳳德	支持	
H06	龍星	支持	
H20	瓊富	支持	
H10	樂富	支持	
H11	橫頭磡	支持	
H12	天強	保留	
H13	翠竹及鵬程	保留	
H14	竹園南	保留	
H21	彩雲東	保留	考慮社區完整及人口分佈，有關劃界較為可行但依據區內總人口計算議席總額比應有議席多一席，2023年應減少一席，以便合理運用議會資源
H22	彩雲南	保留	
H23	彩雲西	保留	
H24	池彩	保留	
H25	彩虹	保留	

6249(17)

H02、H03 建議方案一示意圖

H07、H08 建議方案一示意圖



6249(18)

H07、H08、H09 建議方案二示意圖（即 H02 維持劃入啓德花園時採用）



H02、H07、H08、H09 諮詢會建議示意圖



6249(19)



6249(20)

觀塘區

臨時建議代號	臨時建議名稱	意見	原因
四彩九龍灣牛頭角觀塘部分			
J01	觀塘中心	保留	有關劃界符合貴會的法定準則及工作原則，但依據區內總人口計算議席總額比應有議席多一席，應調撥一席到四彩地區，以便合理運用議會資源 同時翠屏邨分散在三個選區的情況不合理，2023年應透過重新劃分這三個選區，讓翠屏邨安置在兩個選區內
J29	翠屏	保留	
J31	寶樂	保留	
J32	月華	保留	
J33	協康	保留	
J34	樂華北	保留	
J35	樂華南	保留	
J36	康樂	保留	
J37	定安	保留	
J02	九龍灣	保留	得寶花園及彩盈邨只以J05內彩石里附近行人天橋跨越觀塘道才到J03部分，社區關係疏離，建議得寶花園與牛頭角道的私人樓宇劃入J40與此同時J06及J07人口達到上限，選委會應解釋為何不調撥以上範圍一個選區以劃出新選區予四彩地區。另外建議將彩興苑列入J05選區說明，而J38,J39選區分別改以J38上牛頭角、J39下牛頭角及佐敦谷為名，以維持2003年以前的名稱及反映選區包括其他屋苑
J03	啓業	反對	
J04	鑽晶	反對	
J05	坪石	反對	
J06	彩德	反對	
J07	佐敦谷	反對	
J38	牛頭角上邨	反對	
J39	牛頭角下邨	反對	
J40	淘大	反對	
順利秀茂坪部分			
J08	順天	支持	有關劃界符合人口標準，但安泰、安達、寶達三條屋邨拆分到不同選區的情況不理想，包括制定新工作守則，讓分拆後的屋邨在單一選區人口不得少於三千人或三棟樓宇，以免有關居民在選區中被忽略。 顧及新發展區人口持續增加，略為調整選區，修正形狀，平衡人口，建議J11安泰邨智泰樓劃入J10，J12劃出聯合醫院到J30，J13維持2015劃界，安達邨愛達、誠達樓保留在J14，J16再劃出達貴、達安、達祥樓到J15
J09	雙順	支持	
J10	安利	保留	
J11	安泰	保留	
J12	秀茂坪北	保留	
J13	秀茂坪中	保留	
J14	安達	保留	
J15	秀茂坪南	保留	
J16	寶達	保留	
J30	曉麗	保留	

J12、J30 建議示意圖

6249(21)

藍田油塘部分			
J17	廣德	保留	有關劃界符合貴會的法定準則及工作原則，但J27人口達到觀塘區最少人口的選區J37近兩倍，2023年應遞同人口約三千人的茶果嶺加以分拆為兩個選區，與此同時，支持所有就藍田及油塘部分能改善選區人口差距的方案
J18	興田	保留	
J19	藍田	保留	
J20	平田	保留	
J21	栢雅	保留	
J22	俊翔	保留	
J23	油塘東	保留	
J24	油翠	保留	
J25	油麗	保留	
J26	油塘西	保留	
J27	麗港城	保留	

J10、J11、J13、J15、J16 建議示意圖



6249(22)

荃灣區

臨時建議代號	臨時建議名稱	意見	原因
受改動部分			
K01	德華	保留	有關劃界符合人口標準，但K06應納入海之戀及柏傲灣，兩個項目鄰近K06而非K05，並且於預計日期(2019年6月)之後入伙，改劃對人口影響輕微 建議K05以麗興為名，回復以前採用的名稱
K02	楊屋道	保留	
K03	荃灣南	保留	
K04	海濱	保留	
K05	荃灣西	反對	
K06	祈德尊	反對	
K10	綠楊	支持	有關劃界符合人口標準，但荃錦公路一帶鄉村需經在K10荃錦交匯處才到達荃灣其他地方，而且K15人口較多，有關部分劃入K10較合理 K10三疊潭一帶與K18象石內的鄉村共用老圍路，社區關係密切，應劃入K18增加K18人口
K15	荃威	支持	
K16	象石	支持	
未受改動部分			
K11	馬灣	保留	因應大嶼山發展，建議2023年K11劃入離島區
K13	汀深	保留	建議K10以深汀為名，反映區內深井人口較多
K07	荃灣中心	支持	考慮社區完整及人口分佈，有關劃界較為可行
K08	愉景	支持	
K09	福來	支持	
K12	荃灣郊區	支持	
K14	麗濤	支持	
K17	石圍角	支持	
K18	梨木樹西	支持	
K19	梨木樹東	支持	

6249(23)

屯門區

臨時建議代號	臨時建議名稱	意見	原因
屯門東部分			
L01	屯門市中心	支持	考慮社區完整及人口分佈，有關劃界較為可行
L02	兆置	支持	
L03	兆翠	支持	
L04	安定	支持	
L05	友愛南	支持	
L06	友愛北	支持	
L11	新墟	支持	
L12	掃管笏	支持	
L13	三聖	支持	
L14	恆福	支持	
L30	富泰	支持	
L31	景峰	支持	
屯門西北部分			
L07	翠興	支持	考慮社區完整及人口分佈，有關劃界較為可行
L08	山景	支持	
L09	景興	支持	
L10	興澤	支持	
L22	新景	支持	
L23	良景	支持	
L24	田景	支持	
L25	寶田	支持	
L26	建生	支持	
L27	兆康	支持	
L28	欣田	支持	
L29	屯門鄉郊	支持	
屯門西南部分			
L15	富新	支持	考慮社區完整及人口分佈，有關劃界較為可行
L16	悅湖	支持	
L17	兆禧	支持	
L18	湖景	支持	
L19	蝴蝶	支持	
L20	樂翠	支持	
L21	龍門	支持	

6249(24)

元朗區

臨時建議代號	臨時建議名稱	意見	原因
元朗市部分			
M01	豐年	支持	考慮社區完整及人口分佈，有關劃界較為可行
M03	鳳翔	支持	
M04	元龍	支持	
M06	水邊	支持	
M02	元朗中心	保留	考慮社區完整性，採用有關劃界較為可行 就選區名稱，建議改名為M09大橋及東頭，減少區外市民混淆的機會
M07	南屏	保留	
M08	北朗	保留	
M09	元朗東頭	保留	
元朗鄉郊部分			
M05	十八鄉中	支持	考慮社區完整及人口分佈，有關劃界較為可行
M10	十八鄉北	支持	
M11	十八鄉東	支持	
M12	十八鄉西	支持	
M13	屏山南	支持	
M14	洪福	支持	
M15	廈村	支持	
M16	屏山中	支持	
M34	屏山北	支持	
M35	錦繡花園	支持	
M36	新田	支持	
M37	錦田	支持	
M38	八鄉北	支持	
M39	八鄉南	支持	
天水圍南部分			
M22	嘉湖南	反對	因應M27人口過多，M22、M27相距太遠，建議維持原M22劃界，改為採用以下方案： 方案一：M26劃出天頌苑頌碧、頌水閣到M25，並由M27向M26劃出麗湖居。 如M26人口因改動而超出上限，方案二則再向M25劃出頌棋、頌畫閣 如M26人口因改動而超出上限，方案三建議先將整個天華邨劃入M24，M26天頌苑範圍劃入M25，將整個天頌苑劃為M25，改名為天頌，M26包括栢慧豪園、嘉湖山莊麗湖居及嘉湖銀座，並以栢麗為選區名稱 如以上三個方案不可行，本會支持建議麗湖居第一至三座連同嘉湖銀座劃到M26，而非M22
M23	瑞愛	反對	
M24	瑞華	反對	
M25	頌華	反對	
M26	頌栢	反對	
M27	嘉湖北	反對	

6249(25)

M17	盛欣	支持	考慮社區完整及人口分佈，有關劃界較為可行
M18	天盛	支持	
M19	天耀	支持	
M20	耀祐	支持	
M21	慈祐	支持	
天水圍北部分			
M28	悅恩	保留	有關劃界符合人口標準，但依據區內總人口計算議席總額比應有議席少一席，加上天水圍112及115區發展為住宅區，建議2023年本部分加添一席，應付社區需要
M29	晴景	保留	
M30	富恩	保留	
M31	逸澤	保留	
M32	天恒	保留	
M33	宏逸	保留	

方案一示意圖



6249(26)

方案二示意圖



方案三示意圖



6249(27)

N10,N11,N12建議示意圖



北區

臨時建議代號	臨時建議名稱	意見	原因
受改動部分			
N01	勝和墟	保留	有關劃界符合人口標準，但未有盡力減少人口差距，建議天平邨2023年劃為一個選區，而N14、N17非天平邨劃則劃為另一個選區，命名為粉嶺北對應現有N07，此選區更可吸納N01區范，平衡各個選區的人口差距
N10	都衣	反對	有關劃界符合人口標準，但仍有選區跨東鐵線兩北兩選，實在不理想，參照、建議N11或符合人口標準，需按粉嶺公路出入，建議N11或符合人口標準，建議依粉嶺公路東西劃分N10及N11，而現有N11松柏塱亦應改劃入N12內，N13、M15維持不變，反映松柏塱居民利用影圍嶺社區設施及減少N12與其他選區的人口差距
N11	上水鄉郊	反對	
N12	彩園	反對	
N13	石湖墟	反對	
N15	萬屬	反對	
未受改動部分			考慮社區完整及人口分佈，有關劃界較為可行
N03	祥華	支持	
N04	聯都	支持	
N05	翠明	支持	
N06	欣盛	支持	
N07	粉嶺南	支持	
N08	盛福	支持	
N09	漢河	支持	
N16	沙打	支持	
N18	盤后山	支持	

大埔區

臨時建議代號	臨時建議名稱	意見	原因
受改動部分			
P09	宏福	支持	有關劃界符合貴會的法定準則及工作原則
P10	大埔滘	支持	
P13	林村谷	保留	選區跨越東鐵線，兩邊社區關係疏落，相反與大埔舊墟連繫更多，建議將太湖山莊等東鐵線以北範圍劃入P16，可拉近人口差距之餘更可維持有關地區與大埔舊墟的社區連繫
P14	寶雅	保留	
P16	舊墟及太湖	保留	
P17	康樂園	保留	
P19	西寶北	保留	因應西沙路沿線發展，建議2023年撥入西貢區
未受改動部分			
P01	大埔墟	支持	有關劃界符合貴會的法定準則及工作原則
P02	大埔中	支持	
P03	頌汀	支持	
P04	大元	支持	
P05	富亨	支持	
P06	怡富	支持	
P07	富明新	支持	
P08	廣福及寶湖	支持	
P11	運頭塘	支持	
P12	新富	支持	
P15	太和	支持	
P18	船灣	支持	

P13、P14、P16、P17 建議示意圖



6249(29)

西貢區

臨時建議代號	臨時建議名稱	意見	原因
西貢鄉郊部分			
Q01	西貢市中心	保留	支持落實本會上屆部分建議，Q01、Q02、Q03彼此社區關連相近，應繼續調整使三個選區符合人口上下限範圍內
Q02	白沙灣	保留	
Q03	西貢離島	保留	
Q04	坑口東	支持	有關劃界符合貴會的法定準則及工作原則，建議將安達臣發展區劃入鑊塘，便利地區行政
Q05	坑口西	支持	
調景嶺將軍澳市中心部分			
Q06	彩健	支持	有關劃界符合貴會的法定準則及工作原則，惟未有解決Q27跨越環保大道而做成堅鈴形選區問題
Q07	健明	支持	
Q08	都善	支持	
Q09	維景	支持	
Q10	海晉	支持	
Q11	寶怡	保留	
Q12	富君	保留	
Q13	澳唐	支持	
Q14	尚德	支持	
Q15	廣明	支持	
坑口康城部分			
Q23	厚德	保留	有關劃界符合貴會的法定準則及工作原則，但未有解決Q22中間被Q21分成兩個部分，同時亦未有解決Q27跨越環保大道而做成堅鈴形選區問題，選管會應於2023劃界時重新劃分，修正有關情況
Q24	富藍	保留	
Q25	德明	保留	
Q26	南安	保留	
Q27	單寶	保留	
Q28	環保北	保留	
Q29	環保南	保留	
寶林翠林部分			
Q16	康景	保留	考慮社區完整及人口分佈，有關劃界較為可行唯Q16人口較少，建議可加入Q05內的將軍澳村及魷魚灣村，因兩條鄉村以寶琳北路出入，與Q16社區有共同關注點
Q20	慧欣	保留	
Q21	運亨	保留	
Q17	翠林	支持	
Q18	寶林	支持	
Q19	欣英	支持	
Q22	景林	支持	

6249(30)

沙田區

臨時建議代號	臨時建議名稱	意見	原因
沙田火炭部分			
R01	沙田市中心	支持	考慮社區完整及人口分佈，有關劃界較為可行，唯R23人口較多，因玖龍山只能以鹿坪路出入，與R24有社區聯繫，建議R23玖龍山可加入R24
R02	瀝源	支持	
R03	禾輋邨	支持	
R22	穗禾	支持	
R23	火炭	反對	
R24	駿馬	反對	
沙田圍小瀝源部分			
R04	第一城	支持	有關劃界符合貴會的法定準則及工作原則
R05	愉城	支持	
R06	王屋	支持	
R07	沙角	反對	有關劃界符合人口標準，但將水泉澳邨分拆到兩個選區，較為不理想
R08	博康	保留	
R09	水泉澳	保留	將R37梅子林及亞公角漁民新村劃入R40，兩地需經R39、R40或R35道路出入，與R37社區關係不足，編入R40將會更加合理
R10	乙泉	保留	
R37	愉欣	保留	
R38	帝怡	保留	同時將R37多石、插桅杆、牛皮沙、小瀝源、觀音山村等劃入R41，因有關部分與R41同樣受大老山懸道交通所影響，而連同上述改動後更能平衡R37-R41五個選區人口
R39	碧湖	保留	
R40	廣康	保留	
R41	廣源	保留	

R23,R24 建議示意圖



6249(31)



6249(32)

臨時建議代號	臨時建議名稱	意見	原因
大圍部分			
R11	秦豐	保留	有關劃界符合人口標準，但將多條屋邨分拆到兩個選區，部分選區形狀古怪，亦導致公私型村屋混雜，較為不理想，建議維持R19不變，R16改劃出雲疊花園到R18，雲疊花園距離名城較遠，與隆亨邨、田心村共用田心街，實際上是同一個社區，改動後可以消除啞鈴形R18，亦平均R16,R18,R19人口 而美田邨尚有美全樓劃在R21，如改動後符合人口標準，建議將統一R15內，以維持美田邨社區特性
R12	新田圍	保留	
R13	翠田	保留	
R14	頌嘉	保留	
R15	下城門	保留	
R16	雲城	保留	
R17	徑口	保留	
R18	田心	保留	
R19	翠嘉	保留	
R20	大圍	保留	
R21	松田	保留	
馬鞍山部分			
R25	海嵐	支持	有關劃界符合貴會的法定準則及工作原則
R26	頌安	支持	
R27	錦灣	支持	
R28	馬鞍山市中心	支持	
R29	利安	支持	
R30	富龍	支持	
R31	烏溪沙	支持	
R32	錦英	支持	
R33	耀安	支持	
R34	恒安	支持	
R35	毅泰	支持	
R36	大水坑	支持	

R15,R16,R19,R21 建議示意圖



6249(33)

葵青區

臨時建議代號	臨時建議名稱	意見	原因
受改動部分			
S01	葵興	保留	考慮實際情況，有關劃界尚算可行，但犧牲一部分屋邨的完整，建議未來劃界時應多加考慮社區連繫，包括制定新工作守則，讓分拆後的屋邨在單一選區人口不得少於三千人或三棟樓宇，以免有關居民在選區中被忽略。 支持諮詢會中將嘉翠圍劃入S13意見，嘉翠圍遠離S16，相反與S13較相近，社區關係較深 同時將石籬(二)邨石佳、石華樓劃入S12之內，減低與S09,S10,S12,S13人口差距，同時改動亦避免石籬(二)邨分散在三個選區的問題
S02	葵聯	保留	
S06	葵涌邨南	保留	
S08	石蔭	保留	
S09	大白田西	保留	
S10	大白田東	保留	
S12	石籬北	保留	
S13	石籬南	保留	
S15	興芳	保留	
S16	華麗	保留	
未受改動部分			
S17	荔華	保留	參考2002年由香港大學社會研究中心為深水埗區議會進行的調查，荔景山道以南居民支持劃入深水埗區，建議貴會向當局反映有關意見 詳情請參閱以下網址： https://www.districtcouncils.gov.hk/tuen/tc_chi/links/files/Report-Chi.pdf
S03	葵盛東邨	支持	有關劃界符合貴會的法定準則及工作原則
S04	上大窩口	支持	
S05	下大窩口	支持	
S07	葵涌邨北	支持	
S11	安蔭	支持	
S14	葵芳	支持	
S18	祖堯	支持	
S19	荔景	支持	
S20	葵盛西邨	支持	
S21	安翠	支持	
S22	偉盈	支持	
S23	青衣邨	支持	
S24	翠怡	支持	
S25	長青	支持	
S26	長康	支持	
S27	盛康	支持	
S28	青衣南	支持	
S29	長亨	支持	
S30	青發	支持	
S31	長安	支持	

6249(34)

S12,S13,S16 建議示意圖



6249(35)

離島區

臨時建議代號	臨時建議名稱	意見	原因
受改動部分			
T01	大嶼山	保留	東涌相關各個選區人口屬全港選區前列，而且遠超人口上限，加上將來東涌及大嶼山的整體發展計劃，建議貴會向當局要求2023年應大幅增加議席(最少兩席)，以應付人口增加所帶來的社區問題，促進地方行政發展
T02	逸東邨南	保留	
T03	逸東邨北	保留	
T04	東涌南	保留	
T05	東涌中	保留	
T06	東涌北	保留	
T10	長洲	支持	支持落實本會上屆提出單一長洲選區建議
未受改動部分			
T07	愉景灣	支持	有關劃界符合貴會的法定準則及工作原則
T08	坪洲及喜靈洲	支持	
T09	南丫及蒲台	支持	

6250(1)

重劃區議會選區：梳理葵青區議會與深水埗區議會之間的劃界
08/08/2018 11:53
Hide Details
From: [redacted]

To: "eacenq@reo.gov.hk" <eacenq@reo.gov.hk>

1 Attachment

重劃葵青區與深水埗區之間的劃界.pdf

致：選舉管理委員會

就有關對於2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱之建議，請參閱附件。

何先生 謹啟

6250(2)

致：選舉管理委員會

重劃區議會選區：
梳理葵青區議會與深水埗區議會之間的劃界

1. 把荔枝角政府合署、荔枝角公園體育館、荔枝角公園游泳池、荔枝角公園第1期、盈暉臺、華荔邨、荔欣苑、樂園、荔灣花園、華豐園、清麗苑，劃入一個全新的區議會選區——深水埗區議會「荔灣選區」；

2. 至於九華徑、九華徑新村、鍾山臺、鍾山小築、曉峰居、曉峰豪園、荔景消防局、長坑村，則歸入現有的葵青區議會「華廈選區 (S13)」。

3. 取消現時的葵青區議會「荔華選區 (S14)」。

4. 把美孚新邨第七期改劃入現有的深水埗區議會「美孚北選區 (F15)」。

何先生 謹啟

2018年8月8日

附圖：（建議之深水埗區議會「荔灣選區」劃界位置圖）

6250(3)



6251(1)

就2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議 19/08/2018 01:21

From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

就2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議

區諾軒
立法會議員

總體意見：

- 今次選區劃界比以往多，由上屆牽涉的109區，上升至128區，絕對說不上好發展。選舉的認受性源於選區代表均等、盡可能減少改劃，區議會現制度每屆選舉牽涉高達四分之一選區改劃，容易衍生爭議。
- 此中原因，在於區議會選區的涵蓋範圍太細小，香港社區人口流動層度卻非常高，法例規定每個選區代表約17,000人，只要社區有25%人口增減（大約4,250人），已經要改劃選區，令選區的劃界頻繁。因此，政府有非常大的修訂空間，容易令人質疑偏頗。
- 選管會的說法是考慮「社區完整性」：「各區民政事務專員對轄區內各選區的社區特色、地方聯繫及實際發展等均有掌握，為選管會的劃界工作提供了甚有價值的意見」。如是者地區專員便在體制上成為影響劃界的過程中舉足輕重的角色。每區的民政專員在向選舉事務處提供意見時，有着相當關鍵的發言權力，但實際上，如何確保民政專員中立，提供意見時不受政治勢力影響，是一個難以解決的難題。地區專員的認識只局限於有限任期內對社區有限的了解。至今公眾根本難以量度專員曾從那些地區人士中聽取意見，綜合的改劃選區結論是否中肯，過程不透明。
- 現時先訂定議席，再改劃選區的程序，間接造成今屆若干不理想的結果。比如說灣仔明明整體人口下降，但因為訂定不減議席，而影響大坑、銅鑼灣、愛群和鵝頸；另一方面，因為觀塘區增加議席有限，使麗港城繼續超出人口上限，改劃主要出現在順利、秀茂坪、安達臣道新發展區一帶。

各區案例：

B07(大坑)、B02(愛群)、B03(鵝頸)及B04(銅鑼灣)

- 劃界應該預計往後的人口趨勢，才能避免改劃後，來屆選舉又因人口升跌再次劃區。選管會改劃此四區的說法，是附近選區（銅鑼灣、鵝頸、愛群）人口都低於下限，所以要從大坑抽調希雲街一帶舊樓人口填補。結果，銅鑼灣、鵝頸、愛群、大坑人口都低於法定標準20%，很大機會留下下屆因人口趨勢減少而再次劃區的伏筆。
- 地理而言，司徒拔道、樂活道較鵝頸、愛群接近，改劃根本難以證成為甚麼偏偏從大坑抽調人口，而不選擇其他社區。
- 全部選區都低於標準的話，應該整體刪減議席，絕非拿大坑區開刀。

D04(利東一)、D05(利東二)

- 區內配水庫以致住利南工業區斜路，是利東二區居民經常活動場所，新劃區方案將配水庫隔絕於利東二區，建議將利東二區界延伸至配水庫和利南工業區斜路，以反映社區完整性。
- 聖伯多祿小學是利東一區常設票站，但卻劃在利東二區，建議將利東一區界延伸至聖伯多祿小學，以反映社區完整性。

H02(龍下)、H07(新蒲崗)、H09(東美)

- 此三區改劃完全是畫蛇添足，總體而言，根本無須更動東美選區劃界，便可處理新蒲崗超出人口上限的情況。只需將衍慶街一帶（選管會劃入東美的範圍）、或大有街近龍下選區部分樓宇由新蒲崗撥入龍下，已經可以解決問題。
- 東美劃入衍慶街一帶樓宇，其社區完整性便十分複雜：美東邨、衙前圍及東頭邨(部分)、新蒲崗衍慶街一帶樓宇，都是三個性質不相同、地域連結不強、人口性質迥異的社區。相隔啟德河本身，便令衍慶街一帶樓宇分隔於東美選區之外。
- 日後東頭邨第八期將入伙，下屆有機會需要與東美調整人口，若今屆接納改劃建議，下屆又因東頭邨第八期調整東美選區，恐令選區構成過份複雜。

J24(油翠)、J25(油麗)、J26(油塘西)

- 是次改劃油翠選區建議雖然可以令J25(油麗)的人口能符合法例許可幅度，但後果是令油翠選區真的成為一條傑利蠑螈（Gerrymander）了。改劃後的油翠選區包括油翠苑、油美苑、油塘中心及油麗邨豐麗樓和盈麗樓，基本上等於橫跨鯉魚門道，幾個屋苑地理位置遙遠，樓宇性質迥異，並不符合社區完整性。
- 本來油翠選區人口並未導致人口下限幅度，比較而言，油塘西的社區性質與油麗更相似。
- 為了符合社區完整性，本文建議：
  J25(油麗)選區油麗邨豐麗樓和盈麗樓撥入J26(油塘西)；
  J26(油塘西)貴塘樓、富塘樓撥入J24(油翠)。

就人口基準而言，J26(油塘西)+18.24%、J25(油麗)-3.2%，選管會可以考慮只撥豐麗樓或盈麗樓撥入J26(油塘西)，以使選區人口分布較平均。

J27(麗港城)、J26(景田)、J20(平田)

就選舉管理委員會多屆未有處理J27(麗港城)人口過高問題，本人表示反對。欠缺處理麗港城選區的後果，是一方面此區超出法定人口上限，一方面亦妨礙附近選區該劃，導致社區完整性欠缺理想。根據人口普查數據，本人有以下建議：

- 將涉及2041人的麗港城24-26座撥入J26(景田)；
- 將涉及2252人的康田苑撥入J20(平田)，此舉應未使J20(平田)邏致法定人口上限；

Q01(西貢市中心)、Q02(白沙灣)、Q03(西貢離島)

就選舉管理委員會多屆未有處理Q01(西貢市中心)人口過低問題，本人表示反對。根據人口普查數據，本人建議將將北港、狐狸頭一帶村屋劃入西貢市中心。

R22(穗禾)、R23(火炭)

- 選舉管理委員會應該藉是次改劃選區，使兩區人口分布更加平均，建議將蚊坑、晉名峰撥入R22(穗禾)。

S06(葵涌邨南)、S15(興芳)、S02(葵聯)、S01(葵興)

- 葵涌邨南人口並無大幅增減，但將葵涌邨春葵樓、夏葵樓、秋葵樓及葵馥苑撥往葵興，並不符合社區完整性。
- 改劃後，葵涌邨南人口標準-13.04%；葵興+24.05%，根本無需將葵涌邨四棟樓宇改劃往葵興，根本應該將葵涌邨的樓宇盡可能留在葵涌邨南選區，以體現社區完整性。

總結：

理論上，選區改劃應該越少越好，本地學者黃錦回教授曾作實證統計，得出泛民主派任區議

6251(2)

6251(3)

員的選區，劃界頻率比建制派高得多，而選舉結果亦從數學模型得出對民主派不利。難免讓人聯想選區改劃不公。

就以本屆改劃選區經驗可見，政府應做的是增加區議員的代表人口及資源，若仍死抱約17,000的代表性，人口增長便只懂加位，改劃選區的頻繁只會有增無減。

6252(1)

意見書 - 強烈要求在2019年區議會選舉維持2015年區議會選舉選區劃界 19/08/2018 17:19

From: [redacted]
To: "eacenq@reo.gov.hk" <eacenq@reo.gov.hk>

本人強烈要求在2019年區議會選舉維持2015年區議會選舉選區劃界。因今次更改選區劃界計劃不但嚴重擾民，而且影響社區發展。今次不少更改細節也不符合選管會選區劃界的基本原則。選管會至今更拒絕公開相關數據的計算方法及準則，令更改選區劃界計劃無法取信於人。

第一，今次更改選區劃界計劃嚴重擾民。不少個案如舊樓維修管理等問題都要長期跟進，更改選區劃界會影響區議員處理社區個案，影響處理進度。以計劃中海濱南岸為例，海濱南岸的第5, 6座在2019區選會由黃埔西改劃到紅磡灣，令海濱南岸居民在面對社區事情問題時，要找黃埔西及紅磡灣兩區的區議員來處理，令問題複雜化。同時在計劃中紅磡灣人口會提升至20,510人，接近選管會所設定每區人口上限，在2023年區選大有可能要會重新再劃，令當區居民無所適從。在計劃中長洲更在2019年把2個選區合併（合併後選區人口約23,000人），合併後的長洲選區不但人口眾多，地域廣闊，加上在假日期間會有大批旅客會到長洲，但只有一個區議員，不利於解決地區問題。

第二，今次更改選區劃界中不少細節不符合選區劃界的基本原則。選管會選區劃界的基本原則是選區劃界根據人口因素(包括標準人口基數)外，也要避免損害選區特徵及地方聯繫。但以大坑及銅鑼灣為例，大坑以住宅區為主，當中希雲加山是由舊樓及小店組成，同樣要面對舊樓維修管理及收購重建問題。銅鑼灣則位於商業中心，較多面對光污染和噪音問題。如果把希雲加山劃入銅鑼灣，已破壞社區連繫及完整性，大大改變兩選區特徵。同時選區人口不足的問題也有更好方法去處理，由區內有不少高尚住宅區而且人口較多的樂活及司徒拔道抽調也可符合選區劃界的標準人口基數，也保留選區特徵及地方聯繫。

第三，根據2016年中期人口統計，大坑人口為14, 515人，但選管會指出他們預計在2019年大坑人口會進一步減至12, 676人。選管會在公開諮詢上也沒有解釋為何會預計出由2016至2019年大坑人口會少了接近二千人。在油尖旺京士柏選區也出現類似情況，2016中期人口統計結果和選管會今次預測結果相差達三千多人。假設選管會所用的計算方法合理，表示了中期人口統計也許有須改善之處。但直到現在選管會沒有解釋他們用什麼準則及公式預計個別選區人口，此舉更令人質疑選管會做法可能是出於其他目的。

第四，今次更改選區劃界也惹來跨政治陣營不滿，不利於政府爭取足夠支持施政。

選管會宣在2019年區議會選舉維持2015年區議會選舉選區劃界。之後再用2021年人口普查結果再決定2023年區議會選區劃界。

黃先生

6252(2)

2018年8月19日

Disclaimer:

This message (including any attachments) contains confidential information intended for a specific individual and purpose. If you are not the intended recipient, you should delete this message and notify the sender and The Hong Kong Polytechnic University (the University) immediately. Any disclosure, copying, or distribution of this message, or the taking of any action based on it, is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful.

The University specifically denies any responsibility for the accuracy or quality of information obtained through University E-mail Facilities. Any views and opinions expressed are only those of the author(s) and do not necessarily represent those of the University and the University accepts no liability whatsoever for any losses or damages incurred or caused to any party as a result of the use of such information.

6253(1)

《2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議》意見書 20/08/2018 00:17

From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

1 Attachment

《2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議》意見書.pdf

致　選管會秘書處：

附上的PDF文件為本人的意見書共12頁，
懇請參考並詳加考慮，感謝。

劉梓峰
二零一八年八月十九日

6253(2)

《2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議》意見書

就選管會早前提出的《2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議》（下稱《2019建議》），我方對有關選區分界建議有所保留。我方認為選管會把將「保留原有選區分界」的重要性遠遠凌駕於其他考慮原則之上（例如社區獨特性、完整性、地方聯繫等）。即使面對選區需要改劃，亦欠缺全面及長遠的考慮。

我方認為選管會不應只著眼於每一屆選舉而作出選區劃界的考慮，否則就似現時情況般，部份選區因每一次選管會重新劃界時只考慮現況，並將「保留原有選區分界」視為王道，結果多次改動而令相關選區劃界變得奇特。另一方面，我方認為選管會亦應以長遠考慮以及盡可能平均分配人口到每一區為由，重新劃定部份區界。因此我方撰寫此意見書，向選管會以及社會各界表達意見，祈獲選管會接納。

我方明白每個區議會的議席數目已於早前經法案通過而落實，因此2019年的區議會選舉議席數目未必能在這階段再作更改。儘管如此，面對我方認為有部份選區的議席數目需要有所增減的情況下，我方仍會列出相關建議，讓選管會及政府加以考慮。

另一方面，在詳述對2019年區議會選區分界各分區提出意見前，我方對區議會整體架構亦有意見，我方明白此意見和是次諮詢無關，但既然牽涉到區議會選舉，因此我方在此一併提出。我方認為，區議會現時分區過於細小，區議會往往只對單一屋邨或數座樓宇的鄰近區域負責，而部份地區問題卻牽連甚廣，例如交通、醫療配套等等，各區議員卻因選票為由只顧自身選區利益而漠視整體情況，因此我方認為長遠而言應重設現近市政局及區域市政局的角色，合資格選民可選出大區區議員(例如每五席就有一席，若按此比例即東區會有七位大區區議員；又或者視乎各區小區區議員數目，每區均設有五至十名全民直選的大區區議員)，參與區議會事務，則能更有助推行地區政策。

以下將會按選管會的分區次序陳述我方意見：

（一）中西區

甲：A02(半山東)、A03(衛城)及A13(東華)選區

在《2019建議》當中，選管會只是重劃了兩個選區分界，就是把原屬A02(半山東)原區界內鴨巴甸以西的樓宇轉編入A13(東華)。有關改動因A13(東華)在2019年的預計人口不足而產生。我方認同上述調整在選區劃界、社區完整性等考慮上均屬合理建議，然而在是次調整後，同樣鄰近A13(東華)選區的A03(衛城)選區，偏離標準人口基數高達22.86%。若按各區議會選區理應包含接近人口數目這一點來考慮，選管會實應進一步把A03(衛城)和A13(東華)的分界由堅道改至西摩道，將殷然至珅然之間樓宇撥入A13(東華)，以平衡選區人口則更為合理。該區域部份樓宇雖然和西摩道以南的樓宇屬同一系列發展，但實際上為沒有關係的單棟式分層住宅，因此上述建議並不影響其地區關聯性，而西摩道作為劃界道路，則可令更為繁忙的堅道路段由一名區議員負責跟進，能更有效處理相關問題。

乙：A08(西環)及A09(寶翠)選區

在《2019建議》當中，A08(西環)及A09(寶翠)選區均沒任何改動，而這兩個鄰近選區的預計人口數目卻相差接近七千人，在我方看來這實在不理想。我方建議應把原屬A09(寶翠)的綠意居一帶樓宇、盈基花園及賴皇臺撥入A08(西環)，以李寶龍路及青蓮臺為區界，從以稍為平均兩區人口數字。

6253(3)

（二）灣仔區

甲：B02(愛群)、B03(鵝頸)、B04(銅鑼灣)及B07(大坑)選區

由於B02(愛群)及B03(鵝頸)兩個選區預計於2019年是人口不足，因此選管會建議重劃相關選區，該建議並牽涉B04(銅鑼灣)及B07(大坑)選區，我方認為選管會的建議極度不合理。無論是基於「保留原有社區分界」還是社區完整性等原因，我方均認為選管會在《2019建議》中的提案不應被採用。

在《2019建議》中，選管會建議從B04(銅鑼灣)中劃走景隆街以西、謝斐道以北的五座大廈至B03(鵝頸)之中，以補充該區原有人口不足並須劃走寶馬山道以西一部份以補充B02(愛群)選區的人口，該五座大廈與崇光百貨後街一帶區域徹徹底底是一完整區域，與波斯富街以西的區域卻無甚關連。更甚的是，因為相關改動而令B04(銅鑼灣)的人口，因此更要從B07(大坑)中劃走加路連山道近希雲街一帶的大廈劃入B04(銅鑼灣)，可謂「牽一髮動全身」。是次改動為了將B03(鵝頸)的人口數字提升至符合法例規定，而將原本不必改動B04(銅鑼灣)和B07(大坑)均須改動，而改動後的B04(銅鑼灣)及B07(大坑)的預計人口均接近法例容許下限，由此可見有關建議是如此的不合理。

我方建議，選管會可以保留把原屬B03(鵝頸)選區的寶馬山道以西一部份至B02(愛群)選區的建議，因為和B02(愛群)選區接壤的B01(軒尼詩)選區同樣人口不足，而B11(司徒拔)方面的劃界則更難改動。然而，另一方面選管會不應改動B04(銅鑼灣)及B07(大坑)的選區劃界。B03(鵝頸)選區的預計人口不足，應從預計人口較B04(銅鑼灣)及B07(大坑)多的B09(樂活)選區中撥入，建議撥入的範圍為禮頓道南面至資訊涌道東面一帶的大廈(從保良局開始至渣頓山社區會堂沿覃麥路一帶，即禮賢大廈、禮智大廈、禮信大廈、星華大廈、月華大廈等)，選管會可按預計人口數字決定應該從B09(樂活)選區撥多少座大廈至B03(鵝頸)選區，其實該範圍的大廈與屬於B03(鵝頸)選區一帶的渣頓中心等設施的社區關連性較與B09(樂活)選區更高。上述建議更只牽涉三個原有選區的改動，即使按選管會以「保留原有選區分界」為優先的大前提下，上述建議仍較《2019建議》中的提案更理想。

乙：灣仔區整區

即使按《2019建議》的劃界落實或按上述建議，其實灣仔區內的13個選區在2019年的預計人口均較法定標準人口為低，而灣仔區內在短期亦不見得有多少住宅項目將會落成增加該區人口，因此我方建議長遠而言灣仔區至少需要減少一個區議會議席並重劃選區，初步看來，在B01(軒尼詩)、B02(愛群)或B03(鵝頸)三區中取消一區較為合理，三個選區合併成兩個選區後，各選區均能保持社區完整性及較高的關連性，過較取消或合併其他選區為佳。

（三）東區

甲：柴灣區及小西灣區一帶選區

在《2019建議》當中，選管會在柴灣區及小西灣區一帶作出兩項改動，有關改動是為了令鄰近選區均僅高於標準人口基數的下限而按地區關連性進劃，儘管未算理想，但在未能改動議席數目的情況下，我方認為選管會相關改動恰當。　然而，上述範圍內的十一個選區，包括C09(翠灣)、C10(欣藍)、C11(小西灣)、C12(景怡)、C13(環翠)、C14(翡翠)、C31(興民)、C32(樂康)、C33(翠德)、C34(逸灣)及C35(佳曉)選區，2019年預計人口均低於標準人口基數，長遠而言應減少兩個選區，讓柴灣及小西灣一帶選區的人口數目在將來能更合理。

首先我方建議取消C35(佳曉)選區，並改變鄰近地區的劃界以符合標準人口基數的上下限規定。小西灣區一帶，包含四大屋苑(富景花園、富怡花園、富欣花園及藍灣半島)，以及小西灣邨和佳翠苑及曉翠苑各兩座。四大屋苑在劃界上難以分拆，而位處山上的曉翠苑和小西灣邨

山上的四座亦難以分開。因此，我方建議先把C35(佳曉)選區內的佳翠苑劃入C12(景怡)選區之內，佳翠苑人口約為三千七百多人，加入C12(景怡)後，選區成為擁有約一萬八千人的選區。然後擁有約二萬人的小西灣邨，除去瑞盛樓及瑞發樓兩座約三千五百人後，連同約二千人的曉翠苑，合併成為C11(小西灣)選區，約有一萬八千五百人。瑞盛樓及瑞發樓這兩座期劃入C10(欣藍)，合併成為約一萬九千五百人的選區。

然後，現屬C09(翠灣)及C11(小西灣)的康平街七座樓宇，則劃入C34(漁灣)選區。這七座樓宇人口大約三千人，和C34(漁灣)合併後則會成為約一萬七千人的選區，而且此七座樓宇和C34(漁灣)的社區關連性實大於和C09(翠灣)及C11(小西灣)的關連性，此劃法較為合理。接下來，為補充人口給C09(翠灣)選區，則可將原屬C33(翠德)選區的永利中心、宏德居、金源洋樓及怡翠苑劃進區內。C33(翠微)選區除了上述部份以外，灣景園與高威閣則可併入C32(樂康)選區，讓C32(樂康)選區人口增至約一萬八千人，而C33(翠德)選區則取消。

最後，原屬C31(興民)選區的興華(一)邨、華泰大廈及柴灣戲院大廈，其實和興民邨實屬兩個區域，因此建議把興民邨約六千人撥入C14(翡翠)，並從中劃走滿華樓、文華樓及已婚消防宿舍，形成一個由三個位處山上的屋邨(興華(二)邨、興民邨及峰華邨)所組成，約二萬人的大選區。餘下的興華一邨約七千五百人、華泰大廈和柴灣戲院大廈合共約一千人，加上滿華樓、文華樓及消防宿舍，則和新翠花園(約四千五百人)以及華慶邨合併，成為一個擁有約一萬四千人至一萬五千人的選區；現有C13(環翠)則可維持不變。

乙：C29(下耀東)及C30(上耀東)選區

為了彌補C30(上耀東)原區的人口不足，《2019建議》把耀東邨耀安樓從C29(下耀東)轉為C30(上耀東)所屬，但其實耀安樓和耀豐樓兩座樓宇，無論在社區關連性還是地區整體性均屬一整體，而即使再將耀豐樓和耀安樓一起改劃至C30(上耀東)選區，亦不會令C29(下耀東)選區的人口不足，因此我方建議把耀東邨耀安樓同時劃至C30(上耀東)選區。

丙：C03(鯉景灣)、C04(西灣河)及C28(興東)選區

此三區在《2019建議》中人口均十分接近標準人口基數偏離百分比的上限，但即使如此，我方亦建議這數區可作少許調動，讓社區關連性更好，順道稍稍減低偏離百分比。首先，屬於C04(西灣河)選區內的逸灣灣，明顯和C03(鯉景灣)選區的關連性更大，因此我方建議將之撥入C03(鯉景灣)選區。然而，這樣做就會令C03(鯉景灣)選區的人口超出法例所定範圍的上限，因此進一步建議把除太安樓以外的蓄樓部份撥回給C04(西灣河)選區。儘管太安樓仍屬C03(鯉景灣)選區，但其實該部份樓宇和C04(西灣河)選區的樓宇更類近。然後由於C04(西灣河)選區的人口在此次對調之後有可能超出法例所定範圍的上限，因此我方建議選管會應把原屬C23(健康邨)選區位於民新街以東、英皇道以南的民新大廈、鰂都大廈及華信花園劃入C24(鰂魚涌)選區，然後把C19(和富)及C23(健康邨)選區分界由琴行街西調至春局街，以平衡各區人口。

(四)南區

甲：南區整區

我方認同在《2019建議》中南區各項改動建議，但長遠而言選管會及政府需要考慮D02(鴨脷洲邨)、D06(海怡東)及D07(海怡西)選區的劃界，以令D02(鴨脷洲邨)選區在將來能擁有符合法例要求的人口數字。

(五)油尖旺區

甲：E01(尖沙咀西)、E17(尖東及京士柏)、E18(佐敦北)、E19(佐敦南)及E20(尖沙咀中)選區

上述五個選區中，除了E18(佐敦北)選區的預計人口較標準人口基數高(+7.75%)之外，其餘四區均較低，而當中E01(尖沙咀西)、E17(尖東及京士柏)及E19(佐敦南)這三個選區更低至-23%。這五個選區的總預計人口為約七萬人，我方認為重劃為四個選區更為合理。

現時這幾個選區當中，我方認為有兩個重點值得留意，並將之作為改劃的考慮。第一，E17(尖東及京士柏)選區面積廣闊，卻明顯分為尖東以及京士柏兩個部份，兩者之間的社區關連性不高。第二，彌敦道作為主幹道，東西兩邊的分別其實頗大，而且彌敦道東面的密度低於西面不小，不應把東西兩邊視作類近情況處理。因此，我方建議以彌敦道為界，東西兩方各劃設兩個選區。

彌敦道以東方面，應以柯士甸道為界直至漆咸道南，然後區界沿漆咸道南向北，以公主道為界，因此彌敦道東面，柯士甸道以南的選區應包括整個原屬E17(尖東及京士柏)的尖東部份，而柯士甸道以北，包括原屬E20(尖沙咀中)的港會山軍營附近，以及原屬E18(佐敦北)及E19(佐敦南)選區的彌敦道以東部份，均和原屬E17(尖東及京士柏)選區中加士居道以北部份組成另一選區。

至於彌敦道以西方面，確實劃界須視乎選管會對人口數字的精確計算，南面的選區可以回復去屆以佐敦道為界的做法。同時，由於E04(油麻地南)選區的預計人口超出法定上限，我方建議將永星里以南，介乎彌敦道及上海街之間的樓宇劃進彌敦道以西的北面選區，同時把E03(佐敦西)選區的區界向東移至上海街，以平衡各區人口數目。

上述選區內由於單棟樓宇較多，我方未有確切數據可以準確按人口數字劃分選區，但選管會能以確切數字計算，上述方案理應可行，在此亦附上地圖以綠色線劃出上述建議的選區分界。



(五)油尖旺區

甲：E01(尖沙咀西)、E17(尖東及京士柏)、E18(佐敦北)、E19(佐敦南)及E20(尖沙咀中)選區

上述五個選區中，除了E18(佐敦北)選區的預計人口較標準人口基數高(+7.75%)之外，其餘四區均較低，而當中E01(尖沙咀西)、E17(尖東及京士柏)及E19(佐敦南)這三個選區更低至-23%。這五個選區的總預計人口為約七萬人，我方認為重劃為四個選區更為合理。

現時這幾個選區當中，我方認為有兩個重點值得留意，並將之作為改劃的考慮。第一，E17(尖東及京士柏)選區面積廣闊，卻明顯分為尖東以及京士柏兩個部份，兩者之間的社區關連性不高。第二，彌敦道作為主幹道，東西兩邊的分別其實頗大，而且彌敦道東面的密度低於西面不小，不應把東西兩邊視作類近情況處理。因此，我方建議以彌敦道為界，東西兩方各劃設兩個選區。

彌敦道以東方面，應以柯士甸道為界直至漆咸道南，然後區界沿漆咸道南向北，以公主道為界，因此彌敦道東面，柯士甸道以南的選區應包括整個原屬E17(尖東及京士柏)的尖東部份，而柯士甸道以北，包括原屬E20(尖沙咀中)的港會山軍營附近，以及原屬E18(佐敦北)及E19(佐敦南)選區的彌敦道以東部份，均和原屬E17(尖東及京士柏)選區中加士居道以北部份組成另一選區。

至於彌敦道以西方面，確實劃界須視乎選管會對人口數字的精確計算，南面的選區可以回復去屆以佐敦道為界的做法。同時，由於E04(油麻地南)選區的預計人口超出法定上限，我方建議將永星里以南，介乎彌敦道及上海街之間的樓宇劃進彌敦道以西的北面選區，同時把E03(佐敦西)選區的區界向東移至上海街，以平衡各區人口數目。

上述選區內由於單棟樓宇較多，我方未有確切數據可以準確按人口數字劃分選區，但選管會能以確切數字計算，上述方案理應可行，在此亦附上地圖以綠色線劃出上述建議的選區分界。

乙：G12(啟德北)、G13(啟德東)及G14(啟德中及南)選區

參考2016人口普查的數字來看，德朗邨有18645人，啟晴邨則有11881人，而按《2019建議》中所列，上述三區合共約三萬九千八百人，數字上而言並不一定要加設多一個選區，但考慮到有關範圍仍不斷有新樓宇落成，因此我方同意增設多一個選區。

丙：G19(黃埔東)、G20(黃埔西)及G21(紅磡灣)選區

由於G20(黃埔西)選區的預計人口超出法例規定，因此相關選區必須改劃，然而若按《2019建議》中建議改劃，我方認為不能接受。

首先，從人口數字上來看，按《2019建議》中改劃的話，G20(黃埔西)及G21(紅磡灣)選區均高於標準人口基數約20%，而未作任何改動的G19(黃埔東)選區卻只是僅僅較標準人口基數多數十人，從此可見即使要從G20(黃埔西)選區中劃走部份樓宇，亦應加至G19(黃埔東)選區而非G21(紅磡灣)選區。其次，《2019建議》把只有七座，原屬一個整體的海濱南岸分割成兩部份，此舉徹底違反工作原則中提及的地區聯繫，社區完整性受到破壞。

因此，我方建議G21(紅磡灣)選區應維持上一屆區議會選舉區界，而G20(黃埔西)選區的預計人口超出法例上限，則應把原屬G20(黃埔西)選區的紫荊苑和原屬G19(黃埔東)選區的棕櫚苑互調，這兩個同屬黃埔花園的屋苑人口相差大約二千五百人，互相對調之後，G19(黃埔東)及G20(黃埔西)選區的人口均符合法例規定，而且在地區完整性上更佳，紫荊苑和棕櫚苑互調後，兩區的形狀及地理關連亦更合理。

(八)黃大仙區

甲：H02(鑽下)、H07(新蒲崗)及H09(東美)選區

對此三個選區的劃界，我方並不同意按《2019建議》中所建議，主要是因為相關建議會令H09(東美)選區的範圍變得奇怪。我方建議首先把原屬H09(東美)選區範圍內東泰里以北的摩士公園及啟德花園劃入H02(鑽下)選區，然後把現時建議中從H07(新蒲崗)選區改劃的部份，連同東誠大廈及永樂大廈一帶，同樣劃入H02(鑽下)選區。此建議能從H07(新蒲崗)選區減少預計人口並增加H02(鑽下)選區的人口，且有更高的社區關連性。

乙：H15(竹園北)、H16(慈雲西)、H17(正愛)、H18(正安)及H19(慈雲東)選區

對於上述五個選區在《2019建議》中的改動，我方並不認同。觀乎H16(慈雲西)、H17(正愛)、H18(正安)及H19(慈雲東)這四個選區，選管會的預計人口超過八萬，理應在此範圍增加一個議席，令人口數目更平均及合理，維持四個議席給慈雲山一帶是不合理的做法。另外，把沙田坳邨劃入H15(竹園北)選區絕不合理，兩者欠缺社區連繫，因此我方認為選管會應全面重劃慈雲山一帶的選區並增加一個議席。

首先，H15(竹園北)選區應回復原狀，把沙田坳邨劃回H17(正愛)選區。然後，我方建議將慈愛苑從H17(正愛)劃走，並與慈樂邨一期及二期組成H16(慈雲西)選區。H17(慈愛)選區可改名為慈豐北，並由沙田坳邨和慈正邨正德樓、正和樓、正怡樓和正暉樓組成。

另一方面，現時H18(正安)選區只有慈安苑安康閣一座並不理想，因此我方建議把慈安苑安康閣從中劃走，改名慈正選區。慈安苑安康閣則劃入H19(慈雲東)選區，和慈民邨及慈康邨組成一個選區，增加社區連繫。最後原屬H19(慈雲東)選區，橫幕街以南的樓宇則和慈樂邨樂滿樓、樂歡樓及樂合樓組成一個新選區。

（九）觀塘區

甲：J03(啟業)、J05(坪石)、J06(彩德)、J07(佐敦谷)及J40(淘大)選區

《2019建議》中提及原J06(彩德)選區預計人口過多，因此要彩盈邨三座樓宇劃入J03(啟業)選區，我方並不認同此建議。

首先，J03(啟業)選區的原區界範圍內，預計人口數目應約為一萬六千人，實不應作任何調整。其次是彩盈邨於2016年人口普查時已緩有9206人，仍然和彩德邨合併為雙彩選區的確不合理，因此我方同意把彩盈邨從J06(彩德)選區劃走。同時，J07(佐敦谷)選區的預估人口已經接近法例上限，而按2016年人口普查結果，彩福邨和彩霞邨已有接近一萬六千人，因此我方認為選管會同樣應把彩盈邨盈樂邨及盈順樓劃走，以提高社區完整性。因此彩盈邨約九千五百人可以與原屬J40(淘大)選區的嘉和圍、宏光樓和利基大廈約四千五百人組成一個新選區，屆時J40(淘大)選區的人口會下降至約一萬三千人，兩區均在法例許可範圍之內。

另外，預計2019年3月人伙的彩興苑，則應全數劃入預計人口較少的J05(坪石)選區的劃界之內。

乙：J09(雙鴨)、J10(安利)及J11(安泰)選區

由於安泰邨已全面落成，因此我方亦十分同意安泰邨需要獨立新增一個選區，但新增的選區的預計人口仍十分接近法例容許的上限並不理想，因此我方建議從J10(安利)選區把順利邨利業樓劃至J09(雙鴨)選區，然後把《2019建議》中所屬J11(安泰)選區的安泰邨智泰樓也劃入J10(安利)選區。

丙：J12(秀茂坪北)、J13(秀茂坪中)、J14(安達)、J15(秀茂坪南)及J16(寶達)選區

《2019建議》中，秀茂坪邨被分別劃入三個選區，我方認為此舉十分不理想，因此我方不同意《2019建議》相關建議。我方認為，J12(秀茂坪北)及J13(秀茂坪中)選區應維持上屆劃界，儘管如此決定會令兩區均稍微超出法例規定，但基於地區聯繫及社區完整性，我方認為應該如此維持此兩選區的劃界。J15(秀茂坪南)選區則可再《2019建議》上扣除秀茂坪邨的兩座，並連一步加入寶達邨逸貴樓及達安樓。寶達邨餘下的七座約一萬五千人則可與安達邨仁達樓和善達樓組成一個新選區，而餘下的安逸邨則應為J14(安達)選區所在。

丁：J19(藍田)及J20(平田)選區

我方對於《2019建議》中對此兩區就預計人口而所作的調整並無反對，但我方認為在劃界上，啟田商場應被劃入J19(藍田)選區而非J20(平田)選區，始終啟田邨是在J19(藍田)選區範圍之內。

戊：J22(俊翔)、J23(油塘東)、J24(油翠)、J25(油麗)、J26(油塘西)及J27(鑽港城)選區

《2019建議》中，新增以高俊苑和高翔苑組成的J22(俊翔)選區，我方完全同意。不過，對於J24(油翠)選區需要加入兩座油麗邨的樓宇，令油麗邨分屬三個選區，我方不表認同。因此我方建議，把原屬J26(油塘西)選區的鯉魚門邨以東以及崇信街以東地區劃入J23(油塘東)選區，把鯉魚門餘下部份(即茶果嶺道以南、高隆道以東部份)劃入J24(油翠)選區，然後把茶果嶺村一帶劃入J27(鑽港城)選區。雖然J27(鑽港城)選區的預計人口已經大幅度超出法例規定，但茶果嶺村一帶的地區連繫性與J27(鑽港城)選區明顯較高。最後由於J25(油麗)的預計人口同樣超出法例規定，故把油麗邨盈麗樓劃入J26(油塘西)選區。

至於J27(鑽港城)選區的處理，可按《2019建議》的方法處理，保留為一個人口超出法例規定的選區，亦可以視乎人口數目折分為兩個人口僅低於法例規定的選區。

（十）荃灣區

甲：荃灣區整區

我方認同在《2019建議》中荃灣區各項改動建議，但長遠而言選管會及政府需要考慮K10(綠楊)、K16(象石)及K17(石圍角)選區的人口數字遠低於標準人口基數，而K15(荃威)選區則高於標準人口基數15.62%，往後調整可向此選區考慮，以平衡各選區人口。

（十一）屯門區

甲：L02(兆置)、L03(安定)、L04(兆翠)、L05(友雙南)及L06(友愛北)選區

儘管上述選區在《2019建議》中的預計人口全部符合法例要求，但為考慮地區完整性及長遠而言，我方建議從預計人口高於標準人口基數約兩成的L02(兆置)選區中，把兆安苑劃入L03(安定)選區，再把原屬L03(安定)選區的部份兆麟苑劃入L04(兆翠)選區。原屬L04(兆翠)選區的翠寧花園則改劃入L06(友愛北)選區，同時把置明樓及愛曦樓從L06(友愛北)選區劃入L05(友愛南)選區。

乙：L25(寶田)、L28(欣田)及L29(屯門鄉郊)選區

我方對於選管會建議在此新增一個選區並無異議，但我方認為L29(屯門鄉郊)選區的預計人口已經十分接近法例許可的上限，因此認為相關劃界應有所調整。我方建議應把《2019建議》中屬於L28(欣田)選區的茵翠豪庭劃回L25(寶田)選區，然後從L29(屯門鄉郊)選區把屯子圍一帶劃入L28(欣田)選區，以平衡三區的人口。

（十二）元朗區

甲：M13(屏山南)、M14(洪福)及M16(屏山北)選區

《2019建議》中提及原M13(屏山南)選區人口過多而需要新增M14(洪福)選區，然而選管會今次建議只是把洪福邨獨立成為一個選區，我方認為選管會此舉高估了洪福邨明年的已入伙人口，因此我方認為應該把M13(屏山南)選區鄰近洪福邨的部份一併劃入M14(洪福)選區，除了可以確保不會高估洪福邨的人口外，亦可進一步平衡M13(屏山南)、M14(洪福)及M16(屏山北)三個選區的人口。

乙：M22(嘉湖南)、M23(瑞愛)、M24(瑞華)、M25(頌華)、M26(頌柏)及M27(嘉湖北)選區

《2019建議》中，建議把原屬M27(嘉湖北)選區的麗湖居1-3座劃至M22(嘉湖南)選區，而麗湖居4-10座則維持不變，以符合較少改動現有選區的原區。然而，天水圍嘉湖山莊一帶的選區多區以來經過多次發展及改劃，相關劃界早已變得奇怪及難以理解，因此我方認為選管會應大幅度重劃此六個選區，以達致更佳的社區完整性，以便區議員及街坊相互之間的溝通。

根據2016年中期人口普查結果，天華邨人口為12366人、天瑞(一)邨13999人、天瑋(二)邨9879人、天愛苑3724人、柏慧豪園4258人、柏慧豪廷2937人、天頌18044人；而嘉湖山莊在是次普查並中沒有按期數統計統計，所以我方參考其他資料及數字，大約估計樂湖居約有9000人、貴湖居約4400人、翠湖居約3500人、麗湖居約7100人、美湖居約5500人，而景湖居則有約9500人。

按上述人口數字統計，以及考慮社區完整性，部份屋苑人口符合法例規定，其實不應分屬兩區(例如天頌苑、天華邨)，但就因為以往一直以改動最少為由，是令一個中小型區邨亦要分屬兩區。因此我方意見如下：首先將M25(頌華)選區改名為「天頌」並只以天頌苑為一獨立選區，各區人口都係選管會制定範圍以內，而社區完整性更高。天瑞(一)邨及(二)邨人口合共約二萬四千人，必須分劃為兩個選區，因此我方建議M24(瑞華)選區和《2019建議》中的劃界差

6253(10) 6253(11)

別不大，只是瑞泉樓和瑞盛樓劃走，並加入天華邨原屬M25(頌華)選區的華彩、華朗、華逸和華悅樓四座樓宇，讓天華邨成為一個整體，而餘下的天瑞(一)邨及天瑞(二)邨的樓宇，可獨自組成一個選區，亦可和天愛苑組成M23(瑞愛)選區，而把天愛苑和原屬M22(嘉湖南)選區的樂湖居及貴湖居組成新的M22(嘉湖南)選區。餘下的部份，M27(嘉湖北)選區可包含美湖居和景湖居，而《2019建議》中的M26(頌柏)選區，由於天頌苑已經被劃至新建議的M25(天頌)選區，因此把鄰近的翠湖居和麗湖居，以及原本的柏慧豪園及柏慧豪廷組成新選區。

（十三）北區

甲：N10(御太)、N11(上水鄉郊)、N13(石湖墟)及N16(鳳翠)選區

我方認同在《2019建議》中上述選區的劃界改動，但長遠而言，選管會需要考慮如何重劃上述選區分界，遜同在《2019建議》中沒有任何改動的N08(欣盛)選區，五區人口均在一萬八千五百人以上，長遠而言有需要作調整，甚至多加一個選區。

（十四）大埔區

甲：P02(頌汀)、P03(大埔中)、P04(大元)、P05(富亨)及P08(廣福及寶湖)選區

在《2019建議》中，上述選區並無劃界改動，然而上述五區的預計人口總和只有約七萬人，改為分屬四區實亦不為過。另一方面，現時P02(頌汀)選區包含了富亨邨的其中一座樓宇亭泰樓，而P05(富亨)選區的預計人口明顯有空間可以新增一座樓宇，因此我方建議把富亨邨亨泰樓劃入P05(富亨)選區，把頌雅苑劃入P04(大元)選區，把汀雅苑、翠屏花園及海寶花園劃入P03(大埔中)選區。最後原屬P02(頌汀)選區內的八號花園則可直接劃入P08(廣福及寶湖)選區，或以林村河為界，把原屬P03(大埔中)選區的大埔花園第20座至第23座劃入P08(廣福及寶湖)選區，然後把八號花園劃入P03(大埔中)選區。

乙：P13(林村谷)、P14(寶雅)、P16(舊墟及太湖)及P17(康樂園)選區

我方並不認同在《2019建議》中上述選區的劃界改動。首先，劃界後P17(康樂園)選區的預計人口仍然接近法例容許上限，相關劃界明顯不理想。其次，劃界後的P14(寶雅)選區的預計人口亦接近法例容許上限，此改劃對P14(寶雅)選區的影響明顯過大。因此，我方建議轉入P14(寶雅)選區的部份應只包含原屬P13(林村谷)選區的大埔花園和帝欣苑，以及原屬P17(康樂園)選區的大埔頭水圍範圍，以大埔公路為分界線，而餘下的大埔頭、太湖山莊及華榮豪庭一帶，則應連同營盤下、新圍仔及竹坑一帶劃入P16(舊墟及太湖)選區。

（十五）西貢區

甲：Q01(西貢市中心)、Q02(白沙灣)及Q03(西貢離島)選區

此三選區在《2019建議》中的預計人口均低於標準人口基數，其中Q01(西貢市中心)更超出法例許可範圍，而三區合共只有約三萬八千人，減少一席分為兩區更為合理。我方認為選管會應把鄰近Q01(西貢市中心)選區的菠蘿壟、油麻菁至木棉山一帶，以及牛寮一帶的彩滴軒、樂濤居等，沿大網仔路直至合時小區位置，全部劃入Q01(西貢市中心)選區補充其人口，然後將Q02(白沙灣)及Q03(西貢離島)合併為西貢鄉郊選區，若人口仍然不足，則可從Q05(坑口西)選區把鄉郊部份劃入其中。

（十六）沙田區

甲：R08(博康)、R09(水泉澳)及R10(乙泉)選區

對於《2019建議》中此三選區的劃界方法，我方深感奇怪並不表認同。水泉澳邨落成入伙後人口估計高達三萬人，直接分劃兩新區較現時劃出一個高逾二萬多人的新區，且同時令R10(乙泉)選區，即原乙明選區的人口大幅增加並改動，此舉明顯不符合選管會所列出來的原則，而且乙明邨和水泉澳邨兩地相距甚遠，一位區議員實難以兼顧兩邊，把水泉澳邨其中一期分劃至R10(乙泉)選區，只會令居民難以求助，或加重R09(水泉澳)選區區議員的負擔，做成不公平現象。若按上述考慮，我方建議應對R08(博康)選區維持不變，R10(乙泉)選區則改回為「乙明」選區，包含去區水泉澳邨以外的樓宇成為一個選區，而水泉澳邨則劃分為兩個選區方為最符合選管會原則、人口數字以及法例要求的做法。

乙：R14(顧嘉)及R17(徑口)選區

《2019建議》中選管會因上述兩區的預計人口並無超出法例所限而不在改動，但我方認為選管會除了要考慮當屆情況外，亦應作長遠考慮，以及平衡各區人口以達致更佳的公平性。R17(徑口)選區預計人口接近二萬人，而R14(顧嘉)選區則只有約一萬三千人，在此情況下，我方認為應把屬田一帶劃入R14(顧嘉)選區以平均各區人口。

丙：R15(下城門)、R16(營城)、R18(田心)、R19(翠嘉)、R20(大圍)及R21(松田)選區

《2019建議》中提及，原R16(營城)選區的預計人口數字超出法例許可的上限，因此將選區原區界內的海福花園劃入R19(翠嘉)選區。然而，R15(下城門)、R16(營城)及R20(大圍)四個選區的預計總人口共有約七萬八千人，實應多加一個選區分為五個選區。另一方面，R21(松田)選區卻包含了美田邨美全樓一座屬於美田邨的樓宇，劃界明顯不符地區完整性的原則，因此我方建議應作以下調整：首先，從R20(大圍)選區內，把美城苑劃入R21(松田)選區，然後把美田邨美全樓劃入R15(下城門)選區；另一方面，原屬R15(下城門)選區沙田強一帶的樓宇會便該區劃走，連同海福花園、金禧花園、富嘉花園以及原屬R19(翠嘉)選區內大圍站以北一帶的樓宇組成一個新選區。原屬R18(田心)選區的田心邨劃劃入R19(翠嘉)選區，而原屬R16(營城)選區的雲疊花園則和原屬R18(田心)選區的隆亨邨組成一個選區，餘下R16(營城)選區內的預計人口應該仍有約一萬四千多人，則不須再作改動。

丁：R22(穗禾)及R23(火炭)選區

若按《2019建議》提及的劃界方法劃分上述兩區，兩區的確能符合法例要求，但卻只是僅僅符合法例要求，為平衡各區人口，以及避免因選管會過份高估或低估部份地區人口為由，我方建議劃界應進一步向北移至禾寮坑，將拔子窩村及禾寮坑靠近禾寮坑路一邊的樓宇均劃入R22(穗禾)選區。

戊：R37(愉欣)、R38(帝怡)、R39(碧湖)、R40(廣康)及R41(廣源)選區

《2019建議》中選管會建議新增R38(帝怡)選區，然而此新增選區和鄰近的R39(碧湖)選區均只有約一萬六千人，而R40(廣康)及R41(廣源)選區更只有約一萬三千人，和上文提及同屬沙田區內的水泉澳邨以及大圍附近的情況可謂兩極北轅，選管會到底以何準則劃界實在難免令人質疑。我方建議此區域並不應該新增選區，首先可把頌門邨第二期其中一座劃入R39(碧湖)選區，餘下兩座則劃入R37(愉欣)選區。現時R37(愉欣)選區除了位處第一城站以南的一部份之外，卻仍有亞公角漁民新村附近同屬一區，兩者可謂毫無關連。因此，在此次改劃時會將亞公角漁民新村部份，連同綠怡雅苑劃入R40(廣康)選區，然後再從R40(廣康)選區中將橫林苑劃入R41(廣源)選區。若按上述建議，則此四區均有大約一萬六千至一萬九千人，較《2019建議》更理想，而騰出一席空缺則可新增至上文提及的水泉澳邨或大圍一帶。

6253(12)

（十七）葵青區

甲：S01(葵興)、S02(葵聯)、S06(葵涌邨南)、S07(葵涌邨北)及S15(興芳)選區

《2019建議》中對S01(葵興)、S02(葵聯)、S06(葵涌邨南)及S15(興芳)選區的改劃，我方表達強烈反對，相關改劃毫無合理性，不單忽視地區關聯性，亦欠缺人口數據的支持。葵涌邨於2016年人口普查時有多達三萬八千多的人口，連同位處葵涌邨中間的葵馥苑兩座樓宇，難免要分劃入三區，然而將「春夏秋葵樓」三座劃入S01(葵興)選區，可謂毫無社區關連性可言。另一方面，重劃後的S02(葵聯)選區、S06(葵涌邨南)及S15(興芳)選區的預計人口均不足一萬五千人，而S01(葵興)及S07(葵涌邨北)兩區的預計人口卻高達二萬人以上，S01(葵興)選區更接近法例許可上限，可見重劃的安排並不合理。對此附近區域的安排，我方建議首先從S07(葵涌邨北)選區劃走百葵樓及合葵樓，從S06(葵涌邨南)劃走葵馥苑，與光輝圃及葵興邨合組為S01(葵興)選區；葵俊苑、新葵興花園、葵康苑及葵聯邨則組成S02(葵聯)選區，而S15(興芳)選區因葵聯邨已經被劃入S02(葵聯)選區，其預計人口應已減少至約一萬六千人，上述分劃方式可令這一區域的各選區的預計人口均能較接近標準人口基數，社區關連性亦更大。

乙：S08(石蔭)、S09(大白田西)、S10(大白田東)、S12(石籬北)及S13(石籬南)選區

對此一區域選區的重劃，S08(石蔭)選區重劃後仍有約一萬九千人，仍較鄰近選區為高，但考慮地區關連性及社區完整性，我方認同S08(石蔭)選區的劃界。不過對於《2019建議》中建議改動的三區安排，我方認為應把有接近二萬人的S13(石籬南)選區一併考慮，平衡各選區的預計人口，因此我方認為首先應把石籬(二)邨的石佳樓和石華樓從S13(石籬南)選區劃入S12(石籬北)選區，既然石籬(一)邨和石籬(二)邨在完整性和關連性上分別不大，即兩邨如何分配對上述兩點的影響都不大，選管會就應按原來的兩邨分配來劃分選區，以免把石籬(二)邨劃至分屬三個選區；然後把原屬S12(石籬南)選區的石欣樓及石廣樓一併劃入S10(大白田東)選區，再將《2019建議》中屬於S10(大白田東)選區的福蔭大廈、葵寶大廈、葵富大廈、海昌大廈劃入S09(大白田西)選區。若可進一步平衡S09(大白田西)及S10(大白田東)選區的預計人口，亦可把誼發大廈、獅子樓及國寶樓一併劃入S09(大白田西)選區。

（十八）離島區

甲：T01(大嶼山)、T02(逸東邨南)及T03(逸東邨北)選區

在《2019建議》中，這三區的預計人口均超過標準人口基數的40%，而單看T02(逸東邨南)及T03(逸東邨北)兩個選區，預計人口合共已超過四萬八千人，在數字明顯是需要多開一區，然而離島區卻未有增加任何議席，這明顯是一大錯誤。因此我方建議選管會向政府要求在離島區再多開一個議席，把T02(逸東邨南)及T03(逸東邨北)兩個選區回復至2015年以逸東(一)邨和逸東(二)邨的劃界。

看回2015年區議會劃界的預期人口，逸東(一)邨為大約二萬人，逸東(二)邨則為約一萬八千人。在《2019建議》中，從T02(逸東邨南)選區中劃走的兩棟大廈約五千人，而新劃界下T02(逸東邨南)選區的人口為約二萬三千五百人，即選管會預計滿東邨在明年將會有八千至九千人，如此多人卻仍然和T02(逸東邨南)合併，明顯是一項不合理的安排。

按2016人口普查結果，逸東(一)邨人口為17041人，逸東(二)邨則為19872人，因此T02(逸東邨南)及T03(逸東邨北)兩區不用改動已經可以符合法例要求。然後，我方建議多開一個新區，以滿東邨為主，加上東涌道南邊由龍井路至灰窰下的鄉村：黃龍坑道兩旁的赤鱲角新村、低埔新邨、馬灣新村、山下對近地區；再加上裕泰苑以及位處松仁路和嶼東路之間的北大嶼山醫院、黃泥壁和馬灣涌，更可進一步加入沙咀頭和石榴埔作一新區。此一做法和新界不少選區一樣包含新型屋邨/屋苑和鄉郊農村類似，同時亦可減少T01(大嶼山)選區的超額人口。

6253(13)

乙：T04(東涌南)、T05(東涌中)及T06(東涌北)選區

按《2019建議》劃分此三區的話，T04(東涌南)選區仍然高於法例要求，然而這三區總預計人口高達六萬一千人，實難以平均分至三個選區均不超出法例要求，而現況又未可能再多劃第四個選區出來，因此我方同意在此三區內有一區甚至兩區的預計人口稍微超出法例要求。

然而，我方卻不認同《2019建議》的劃界方式，在T04(東涌南)選區中，除了海堤灣畔以外均應落於翔東路以南，而海堤灣畔卻臨近東涌海濱路，在位置上和其他大廈無甚關連，因此我方建議把海堤灣畔從T04(東涌南)選區中劃走，改劃至T05(東涌中)選區。接著，T05(東涌中)選區人口會以較大幅度超出法例要求，因此我方建議應該進一步把映灣園第一期的寶澤軒四座約三千三百人劃入T06(東涌北)選區，而海珀名都則從T06(東涌北)選區調回至T05(東涌中)選區。海珀名都的人口較寶澤軒少，而其位置和其他同屬映灣園的樓宇有更合理的地區關連性，因此我方作出上述改劃建議。

丙：T08(坪洲及喜靈洲)及T09(南丫及蒲台)選區

按《2019建議》的預計人數，這兩區均低於標準人口基數近六成，而這兩區本來就是以不同島嶼組成，因此我方建議此兩區應合併為一區，一來可以令人口符合法例要求，二來不影響該選區的特性。

丁：T10(長洲)選區

《2019建議》把2015年原有的長洲南和長洲北選區合併為一個選區，然而在上屆區議會選舉的劃界建議時，在人口數目類似的情況下，選管會卻以長洲南、北兩個選區特性不同，以及交通等其他為由硬持分為兩個選區，而今次選管會卻是以「今天的我打倒昨日的我」的最佳例子。以長洲的實際情況來看，無論從實際地理環境還是人口分佈，還是在可見將來長洲一直有新住宅落成的情況下，分劃兩個選區遠較合併為一個選區合理，因此我方建議選管會保留長洲南、北兩個選區。

戊：離島區整體

東涌在將來仍會興建新樓宇，可以預見T04(東涌南)、T05(東涌中)及T06(東涌北)選區一帶將來必須加劃新選區，否則將會和《2019建議》中T02(逸東邨南)及T03(逸東邨北)選區般，人口大幅度超額，若選管會今屆不向政府爭取增加一個議席，下一次選區劃界則很大機會需要增加三個議席，並大幅度改動區界，此做法實屬不理想。

因此，我方建議選管會應立刻要求政府在來年的選舉中增取一個離島區議會的議席予逸東邨一帶，並把長洲劃回兩個選區、合併坪洲及南丫島選區以騰空議席予東涌新增選區。若選管會及政府堅持不在離島區議會增加議席，則仍須合併坪洲及南丫島選區而讓逸東邨一帶可以新增一個選區。

劉梓峰
二零一八年八月十九日

6254(1)

2019年區議會選舉臨時劃界建議意見書 20/08/2018 09:50
From: [redacted]
To: "eacenq@reo.gov.hk" <eacenq@reo.gov.hk>
Please respond to [redacted]
1 Attachment
2019區議會劃界建議意見書.doc

致選管會

本人得知你們在2018年7月23日公佈臨時劃界建議,經分析後現有建議如下,此建議會上載在此電郵的附件內

市民區建軒上

不含病毒。www.avast.com

6254(2)

區議會選舉 2019 臨時劃界建議

本人留意到選管會在 2018 年 7 月 23 日發表區議會選舉臨時劃界建議,

就各區改動意見如下:

A 中西區

就半山東區改劃樓宇到東華區表示沒有意見

B 灣仔區

本人不反對改劃愛群選區,可以建議選管會考慮把在樂活選區的禮頓

山改劃入銅鑼灣選區以避免改動大坑選區

C 東區

對是次改動表示沒有意見

D 南區

對是次改動表示沒有意見

E 油尖旺區

本人反對有樓宇從油麻地南改劃入尖東及京士柏區,認為選管會有錯

估中期人口估計

此外對成立九龍站選區與及大南選區改動沒有意見

F 深水埗區

本人支持是次增設碧匯選區,此外元州選區與及寶麗選區好應該更改

劃界,元州選區以整條元州邨為一區,寶麗選區應加入現在元州及蘇屋區的私人樓宇群轉為劃入寶麗選區,此外對南昌東,石硤尾,南山大坑東及大坑西選區改動沒有太大意見

G 九龍城區

本人不反對從宋皇臺選區改劃樓宇到馬頭圍及馬坑涌選區,此外黃埔西選區建議應改劃維港星岸到黃埔東選區,此舉能夠避免海濱南岸分為不同區議員管理,同時留意到黃埔東選區較紅磡灣選區較有條件給納多餘人口

與此同時本人建議啟德三個選區如下

G12 選區應如上次選舉以啟晴邨及德朗邨德珮及德瑤樓,以晴朗作選區名

G13 選區以其餘德朗邨為主,以德朗作選區名

G14 選區以煥然一居及所有在選管會中 G14 內的所有私人樓宇作一區,以啟德南作選區名

H 黃大仙區

支持啟德花園改往龍下選區,但建議現時將會改劃到東美選區的新蒲崗舊樓群應改劃在東頭選區,此外反對改沙田坳邨劃入竹園北選區,因此屋邨與竹園北邨不相連,地理位置相隔一大條斜路,建議容許正愛及正安選區超出人口上限,若要調整正安區界,應改正暉樓去正愛而不是

正怡樓,因正暉樓在 03 及 07 年區議會選舉是在正愛選區,此外對毓華里有幾座舊樓改劃入慈雲西反對,表示所有舊樓最理想由同一區議員負責

J 觀塘區

本人建議應整條安達邨及安泰邨為同一選區,在 2007 年區議會選舉也試過整條葵涌邨人口過上限劃為一區,同時秀茂坪南選區本人只支持加入秀明樓及達顯樓,不支持加入秀暉樓,此改動會對該區議員工作量大增,因秀暉樓坐落地理位置與四座寶達邨及秀茂坪南邨有一定距離

此外本人建議原在雙彩選區的三座彩盈邨建議把盈康樓改往佐敦谷選區,其餘兩座留在現雙彩選區,因為此三座公屋與啟業邨不相連,J06 選區名稱應保留為雙彩

此外對油塘新增選區及其餘改動沒有意見

K 荃灣區

本人對是次增設荃灣南選區與及象石選區改動沒有意見

L 屯門區

本人建議滿名山應該納入恆福選區,因該屋苑地理位置較接近恆福選區多於掃管笏選區,若新設的掃管笏選區人口不足可以考慮把愛琴海岸及滿名山可以互相交換選區,此外欣田選區也應該加入在寶田選區內的麒麟園,麒麟園地理位置較為接近欣田邨多於寶田邨,也方便區議

員作管理,此外對屯門市中心選區改動沒有意見

M 元朗區

對新增的元朗東頭,洪福,盛欣三區沒有意見,建議元龍選區應吸納 Grand Yoho 同時把所有現在不在形點範圍外的所有元龍選區部分單棟樓宇劃回鳳翔選區,此外嘉湖北選區應再次容許過人口上限,因現時改劃三棟麗湖居去嘉湖南對嘉湖南區議員構成極度不便,因麗湖居與嘉湖南選區主要範圍相隔一個天水圍公園,若要改劃也應考慮改劃地理位置較為接近的頌柏選區,該選區有柏慧豪園此屋苑,有一定私樓比例

N 北區

對是次改動表示沒有意見

P 大埔區

本人支持白石角改劃入宏福選區,但建議選區名稱可改為宏福及白石角以強調白石角在該選區,留意到林村谷選區沒有超過人口上限,應保留帝欣苑在林村谷選區,建議只加入水圍入寶雅選區,大埔頭,太湖山莊,華樂豪庭應加入以私樓為主的舊墟及太湖選區而不是整個範圍改入以公屋及居屋組成的寶雅選區

Q 西貢區

對是次改動表示沒有意見

R 沙田區

本人支持選管會建議的海嵐及帝怡選區,對水泉澳,乙明,博康選區改動及火炭改動沒有意見,另建議把海福花園納入下城門選區,因預計翠嘉選區未來因大圍站上蓋項目落成引致人口增長,在下屆海福花園隨時又要再改劃界,對居民構成不便,同時把美盈苑改劃入以居屋為主的松田選區

S 葵青區

本人支持葵聯,大白田東兩個新區建議,但留意到葵涌邨南改劃後葵涌邨會有一條邨三個區議員問題,建議保留春夏秋葵樓在葵涌邨南選區但支持改劃葵馥苑納入葵興選區

T 離島區

本人支持長洲選區合併換東涌增設多一個民選議席,本人留意到大嶼山,逸東邨兩區人口嚴重超標,但坪洲及喜靈洲,南丫及蒲台兩區人口嚴重低於下限,建議該兩選區合併

建議離島區議席如下:

T01 現大嶼山選區除石門甲以北的東涌所有鄉村

T02 滿東邨,裕泰苑,現大嶼山石門甲以北的東涌所有鄉村

T03 逸東一邨,維持逸東邨南名稱

T04 逸東二邨,維持逸東邨北名稱

6254(7)

T05 東涌南選區現有所有範圍

T06 現東涌北選區的海堤灣畔,藍天海岸,以東涌中命名

T07 映灣園,迎東邨,昇薈,東環,以東涌北命名

T08 現愉景灣選區

T09 坪洲,喜靈洲,南丫島,蒲台島,命名該選區為坪洲,喜靈洲,南丫島及

蒲台島

T10 選管會建議的長洲

6255

油麗(J25)及正愛(H17) 選區2019 年區議會選舉選區分界意見書 21/08/2018 23:43

From: [redacted]
To: "eacenq@reo.gov.hk" <eacenq@reo.gov.hk>

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊先生：

油麗(J25)及正愛(H17) 選區
2019 年區議會選舉選區分界意見書

本人現就選舉管理委員會提出「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」中的油麗(J25)及正愛(H17) 選區分界方式表達意見。

我認為建議中將油麗邨從過往分屬兩區，進一步劃為分屬三區之做法實為不智，讓社區保持整全，居民清楚自己所屬選區，方能更順利向能反映訴求的議員服務行政區，從而建立更美好和諧的生活環境。然而，是次建議將油麗邨進一步拆散劃入三個選區，窮居民來說只會徒添混亂，不見得有任何益處。

由於人口數目是選區劃分的最重要考慮因素，是以我認為對油麗選區的最好處理方式，是維持目前油麗邨北成現時選區，即是油康樓座、盈麗樓和仁麗樓從油塘西(J26)劃回油麗(J25)，或是放棄將寶麗樓及盈麗樓劃至油翠(J24)選區。

而該臨時建議所提及，將沙田坳邨劃入竹園北(H15)而非鄰近的正愛(H17)，我亦認為不合理。雖然在地圖上我們可見沙田坳邨和竹園北邨僅為一街之隔，然而從實際地形考慮，兩邨實際是被一條相當陡峭的斜坡所隔，現實上並不是如此相近。再者，一般沙田坳邨居民，都會認為自己的生活範圍，乃係慈雲山的一部分，但是次建議將他們劃到竹園，實是對社區完整性及歸屬感的破壞。

故此，我認為沙田坳邨應劃於正愛(H17)內，至於人口過多的問題，宜在慈雲山向選區內考慮，而非整單劃至其他社區。

此上為本人的意見，望能得到閣下的考慮。

祝
鈞安

九龍東居民
張家朗

6256

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted [

要求重劃選區

[redacted] to: eacenq@reo.gov.hk 21/08/2018 18:30

1，將大埔區議會的西貢北選區，劃入沙田區議會管轄。

2，沙田富龍區的錦龍苑，劃入利安區管轄，並將富龍區改名為富寶區。

3，沙田錦濤區的雅濤居，迎濤灣，劃入馬鞍山市中心區管轄，並將錦濤區改名為錦豐區。

4，沙田錦英區的鞍祿街公園，劃入耀安區管轄。

5，沙田頌安區的馬鞍山遊樂場，馬鞍山運動場，劃入恆安區管轄。

6，沙田頌安區的聽濤雅苑，天宇海，劃入大水坑區。

7，沙田泰豐區的滨岸8號，劃入沙田市中心區。

8，沙田翠嘉區的富嘉花園，金禧花園，村南道與積福街之間一帶住宅，劃入大圍區，並將翠嘉區改名為新翠區。

希望早日實施及答覆結果。

6257(1)

2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議_民建聯意見書 21/08/2018 10:14

From: [redacted]
To: "eacenq@reo.gov.hk" <eacenq@reo.gov.hk>

1 Attachment

2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議_民建聯意見書_20180821.docx

敬啟者,

附上聯盟的意見書, 詳見附件。

民建聯研究主任熊約華
電話: [redacted] 電郵: [redacted] 傳真: [redacted]

The information contained in this message or any of its attachments may be privileged or confidential, and intended for the exclusive use of the addressee. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, reproduction, distribution or other dissemination or use of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify the sender by return e-mail and remove the information together with all copies from your system. Internet communications cannot be guaranteed to be timely, secure, error or virus-free; thereby, the sender does not accept liability for any lost or damage caused therefrom.
本電郵所載訊息或其任何附件，可能是機密或享有法律專業特權，並只預期給收件人作專有用途，如　閣下不是預期的收件人，你會被絕對禁止透露、複製、分發、散播或使用有關訊息，如　閣下錯誤地接收本訊息，請你立即按回覆鍵以通知發送人，及銷毀該電子訊息及留存在你的電腦系統內的副本。網絡傳訊是不能保證及時，安全，沒有錯漏或沒有被電腦病毒感染，發件人不會接受任何因而產生的損失或損害責任。

6257(2)

民建聯 DAB

（共4頁）

2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議意見書

2018年8月21日

選舉管理委員會公布的「2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」，提出調整共128個現有選區分界的臨時建議，引來社會各界極大反彈及民怨，民建聯對此表示憂慮及關注。我們認為，今次涉及調整選區數目之多，前所未有，而有關臨時建議更帶來前所未有的負面影響，包括破壞社區完整性及獨特性、不顧連繫性地強行將原屬同區或不同區的居民分離或合併，以及削弱居民享受應有的穩定及優質社區服務。

分界建議須顧及社區獨特性

選管會表示，按《選舉管理委員會條例》，選管會須檢視所有選區的現有分界，並對該些預計人口超出法例許可上限或下限的選區，適當地調整其分界，以令其預計人口符合法例許可幅度，就此，我們明白選管會的上述法定職責，然而，我們同樣期望，選管會須同時體現《選舉管理條例》第20(3)條的規定，即選管會作出分界建議時，須顧及「社區獨特性及地方聯繫的維持」，以及「有關區域或其部分的自然特徵，例如大小、形狀，以及交通方便程度及發展」。因此，我們認為，選管會提出的分界建議，不能只考慮選區人口的調整及與法例許可上限或下限的要求，必須同時顧及對社區獨特性及地方聯繫的維持等因素。

顧及居民連繫性
不應強行分拆或合併選區

因應預測人口的增加，選管會調整選區分界的同時，應當設有全面規劃包括增加議席應對，才能較合理地分拆或合併選區，然而，今次為顧及東涌人口增長，選管會卻不按過去行之有效的辦法---增加議席，而是簡單地強行合併「長洲南」及「長洲北」兩個選區，騰出議席，新增「東涌中」選區。這做法令福員甚廣兼致力推廣旅遊業的長洲，只得一名區議員服務，直接影響長洲居民應得的社區服務質素，亦間接影響長洲的旅遊設施及發

香港北角英皇道83號聯合出版大廈15樓
15/F., SUP Tower, 83 King's Road, North Point, Hong Kong
Tel:3582 1111 Fax:3582 1188 E-mail:info@dab.org.hk Web:www.dab.org.hk

6257(3)

民建聯 DAB

展。

此外，選管會亦須顧及居民的緊密連繫，以及社區發展完整性，不應強行分拆選區，例如將共有13座樓宇的寶達邨一分為二，份屬不同選區，完全無視了社區完整性及同鄰居民的歸屬感。選管會如此欠缺詳細和完整的規劃及考慮，可謂前所未有。

分界建議應顧及居民生活不受影響

我們認為，法例規定選管會定期檢視選區分界的立法原意，應當出於以人為本的精神。以人為本，首當顧及居民生活不受影響，讓市民享受優質及穩定的地區服務，藉此讓當區居民建立社區歸屬感，凝造社區和諧及穩定。因此，儘管個別選區的人口稍為超出法例許可，但在以人為本的精神下，選管會提出分界建議時，應情理兼備，除以嚴謹、科學的態度考慮人口數據外，更要以人為本，考慮居民對社區已凝聚的歸屬感，以及社區完整性、連繫性及獨特性。

就此，民建聯在收集市民意見後，就灣仔、東區、觀塘、屯門及離島的臨時劃界建議提出意見，期望選管會從善如流，修改臨時建議：

灣仔

臨時劃界建議破壞B02「愛群」、B03「鵝頸」、B04「銅鑼灣」及B07「大坑」四個選區的社區完整性，民建聯反對臨時建議，要求維持原來的劃界安排。理由如下：

1. 「銅鑼灣」區被劃走的五座大廈，與崇光百貨後一帶的謝斐道及駱克道屬同一小社區，面對共同的交通及社區問題。此外，將「大坑」區加山一帶劃入「銅鑼灣」區，只與「銅鑼灣」相隔教堂及商廈群，卻造成同一條街道的問題涉及兩名當區區議員。我們認為加山一帶與「大坑」的社區聯繫較高，由同一名區議員處理小社區的問題，比較名正言順，亦更有代表性。
2. 政府改動劃界的理據，是「銅鑼灣」區及「鵝頸」區人口不足，所以將「大坑」區600居民劃給「銅鑼灣」區，在「銅鑼灣」區劃走600居民給「鵝頸」區，在「鵝頸」區又劃走400居民給「愛群」區。但從數字上「銅鑼灣」區人口根本沒有改變，「鵝

香港北角英皇道83號聯合出版大廈15樓
15/F., SUP Tower, 83 King's Road, North Point, Hong Kong
Tel:3582 1111 Fax:3582 1188 E-mail:info@dab.org.hk Web:www.dab.org.hk

6257(4)

民建聯 DAB

頸」區亦沒大出入，相反「愛群」區增加了400，「大坑」區減少了600。這種增減幾百名居民的改動作用根本不大，卻破壞了社區聯繫。

東區

臨時劃界建議破壞C29「下耀東」區的社區完整性，民建聯反對臨時建議，要求維持原來的劃界安排，理由如下：

1. 地理環境：耀東邨以耀興道為分界，分「上耀東」及「下耀東」兩個選區，近海一邊的是「下耀東」，近山一邊的是「上耀東」，非常清晰，如單把一幢近海一邊的耀安樓劃到另一個選區，容易令居民混亂和破壞社區完整性。
2. 居民習慣：由於上述地理環境，近海一邊的耀東邨包括耀安樓的居民，多到山下舊樓區活動，甚少到山上使用上耀東的設施。而且耀安樓居民一直被編配在「下耀東」選區，加上上述地理環境因素，居民屬於「下耀東」區的觀念已根深蒂固，要更改選區甚至投票地點，容易造成混亂。

觀塘

J06「彩德」。原雙彩選區自2011年起，一直包括彩德邨及彩盈邨盈富樓、盈安樓及盈康樓，由於地理位置鄰近，我們認為應該保留原有雙彩區劃界，維持不變。

J13「秀茂坪中」。根據臨時劃界建議，「秀茂坪中」將剔除秀茂坪邨秀暉樓，同時加入安達邨愛達樓及誠達樓，經調整後，「秀茂坪中」的預計人口是19,749人。我們要求保留秀暉樓在「秀茂坪中」選區，原因有二：

1. 首先，秀暉樓現有440戶，居住了513人，若保留秀暉樓於「秀茂坪中」，該區人口為20,262人（包括安達邨愛達樓、誠達樓及秀毅、程、景、慧、賢、裕及暉樓：19749人+513人），仍不超出標準人口基數25%（20,749人）的上限。
2. 秀暉樓以獨居長者及雙老家庭為主，自開邨至今十八年，秀毅、程、景、慧、賢、裕、暉樓及服務設施大樓均屬同一選區。根據選管會選區分界的劃定準則(C)項：「選管會須顧及社區獨特性及地方聯繫的維持……」，故此，為顧及地方聯繫的維持及原

香港北角英皇道83號聯合出版大廈15樓
15/F., SUP Tower, 83 King's Road, North Point, Hong Kong
Tel:3582 1111 Fax:3582 1188 E-mail:info@dab.org.hk Web:www.dab.org.hk

6257(5)

民建聯 DAB

選區的完整性，秀暉樓理應保留在原區。

J16「寶達」。根據房屋委員會網站顯示，寶達邨13座的認可人口有22,300人，現時實際人口為21,000人，僅輕微超出標準人口基數25%（20,749人）的上限；其次，2003年建邨開始至2011年，寶達邨維持著一個完整選區；2015年寶達邨劃出達喜、達佳、達信樓（約3,900人）給J14「秀茂坪南」，已經破壞了寶達社區的完整性，今次又將達顯樓劃走給其他區，牽涉人口達1,300人，這是對寶達社區完整性進行第二次破壞。故此，我們期望寶達邨13座公屋劃為一個完整的獨立選區，以保持社區的獨特性及居民對社區的歸屬感。

屯門

L28「欣田」。同意將愉翠園、金薈庭、新慶村及茵翠豪庭及欣田邨劃入同一選區。另建議將紫田村劃入L25「寶田」。

如按照臨時劃界建議，將紫田村劃入「欣田」，「欣田」的預計人口為16,746人，稍微超出標準人口基數；L25「寶田」的預計人口為15,431，低於標準人口基數7.04%。因此，我們建議將愉翠園、金薈庭、新慶村及茵翠豪庭及欣田邨劃作一區(預計人口16,000，而紫田村劃入L25「寶田」，這可令「寶田」及「欣田」兩區的人口較均勻。而且紫田村一直屬於L25「寶田」，繼續劃入寶田邨，可減少選區變動，保持穩定性，有助選民適應。

離島

T10「長洲」。臨時劃界建議將T10「長洲」兩席合併成一席的數據，只是單純根據並非絕對準確的中期人口抽樣調查結果，來推算未來人口的增長或下降的情況，並未有仔細參照其他數據樣本如邊輪使用人次及加開班次的數據，作出全面的分析；而且長洲島地域廣闊，兩席合併為一席，增加區議員提供民生服務的難度，因此民建聯要求維持原有兩個議席。

~完~

香港北角英皇道83號聯合出版大廈15樓
15/F., SUP Tower, 83 King's Road, North Point, Hong Kong
Tel:3582 1111 Fax:3582 1188 E-mail:info@dab.org.hk Web:www.dab.org.hk

6258(1)

對2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議之意見書 21/08/2018 15:43

From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

1 Attachment

Opinion.pdf

致選舉管理委員會

有關2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議，本人已就箇中各項問題製備意見書，詳情請參閱附件。

市民
莫某

(以下為本人聯絡資料，屬不欲公開內容)
如有查詢，可以透過下列資料聯絡本人
電郵: [redacted]
電話: [redacted]

6258(2)

2019年區議會一般選舉
選區分界及名稱
臨時建議

意見書



6258(3)

P.2

序言

致：選舉管理委員會

就《2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議》的意見書

選區分界，理論上應該屬於技術性質主導，以中立的態度處理。現行法例，已經為選區分界定下不少框架，有明文規定的法定準則，選舉管理委員會進行有關選區分界時，應該嚴格依從有關法定準則。

一句老生常談之話，使公正能夠被看見；處事，尤其是處理他人之事、公眾之事，除了要保持事實上的公正，也要使公正得被看見。在現今對資訊透明度要求日高的社會中，選舉管理委員會，在處理選區分界的過程中，恐怕未能達至在使公正得被看見。

選舉管理委員會在選區劃界上，所依賴的、或宣稱所依賴的，諸多人口數據資訊，公眾難以查閱。

選區劃界工作的流程，亦未有足夠空間讓公眾了解及參與，選舉管理委員會在進行前期劃界工作時，採取封閉式諮詢，由選舉管理委員會為主動尋找諮詢對象；直至臨時建議公佈後，才有惟一的公眾諮詢階段，讓公眾提出申述。公眾本既難以取得詳細人口資訊，公眾申述所能依賴的資訊基礎遠較於選舉管理委員會薄弱，但公眾諮詢只有一個階段，公眾只有一次申述機會，選舉管理委員會對申述表達的接納或不接納，旋即成為定案。根本無法有效讓公眾意見在足夠資訊下發表，實在難免讓人感覺官僚的傲慢。

本人徒憑一己之力查索人口資訊，然後在短暫諮詢期內完成此意見書，所具備之時間、所依靠的數據，定必不如選舉管理委員會之多；然而，亦惟望能抒己見、論道理，盼有所益。

市民　莫某
2018年8月21日

6258(4)

P.3

概論

・法定準則

選舉管理委員會(選管會)在進行劃界建議時，必須先符合法定準則，選管會在《2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議》的諮詢文件中，有一份名為〈法定準則〉的文件以改變了措辭(Rephrased)方式載列的有關法定準則，事實上有關準則乃主要基於香港法例第541章《選舉管理委員會條例》(主要在於第20條)，全文引用如下：

(1) 選管會在為本部的目的而作出建議時 ——
  (c) 須確保各建議中的區議會選區的範圍須使其人口在切實可行的範圍內盡量接近標準人口基數；
  (d) 在就任何建議中的區議會選區而言遵從(c)段的規定並非切實可行的情況下，選管會須確保該區議會選區的範圍須使其人口不少於標準人口基數的75%，亦不多於該基數的125%。
(3) 選管會在作出有關建議時，須顧及 ——
  (a) 社區獨特性及地方聯繫的維持；及
  (b) 有關區域或其部分的自然特徵，例如大小、形狀，以及交通方便程度及發展。
(4A) 在不抵觸第(4B)款的規定下，選管會在就一般選舉作出有關建議時，必須依循《區議會條例》(第547章)或根據該條例所指明的現有的地方行政區的分界以及區議會現有的通過選舉產生的議員人數。
(4B) 如行政長官會同行政會議根據《區議會條例》(第547章)第8條作出任何命令，而該命令 ——
  (a) 是在就上述建議所關乎的一般選舉提交報告的期限前的12個月之前作出的；及
  (b) 是就該項一般選舉而適用的；及
  (c) 是為宣布地方行政區或指明某區議會須通過選舉產生的議員人數而作出的，則選管會在就該項一般選舉作出有關建議時，必須依循該命令所宣布的地方行政區的分界及該命令所指明的須通過選舉產生的議員人數。
(5) 只有在選管會認為第(3)款所提述的考慮事項使其有需要或適宜不嚴格地按第(1)款行事的情況下，選管會方可不嚴格地按第(1)款行事。

概論

・選舉管理委員會自行制訂的工作原則

在「法定準則」以外，選管會另外自行制訂了若干「工作原則」，並載列在諮詢文件中，節錄如下：

(a) 人口數字在許可幅度之內的現有選區，其分界會盡量保持不變；
(b) 人口超出許可幅度的現有選區，若該選區在上屆區議會一般選舉已獲准超出許可幅度，而仍然有合理理據支持繼續容許有關情況，其分界會盡量維持不變；
(c) 除上文(b)項所述的情況外，凡人口超出許可幅度的現有選區，其分界及毗鄰選區的分界均會調整，以令該些選區的人口維持在法例許可幅度之內 (除非有理據須基於社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持及／或自然特徵而保持該些選區分界不變)。如有多於一個調整選區分界的方法，選管會採用影響最少現有選區或較少人口的方法，否則，便採用偏離標準人口基數最少的方法；
(d) 政治因素不在考慮之列；

・「法定準則」和「工作原則」的優次

「法定準則」和「工作原則」兩者之間，前者屬於法律明定要求，後者只屬於選管會為方便內部進行劃界工作及方便公眾理解選管會所進行的劃界工作而自訂的規定；故此，在進行劃界時考慮的因素，前者理論上優次應該高於後者，然而選管會在劃界工作上並非如是，亦因此造成歷屆劃界問題。

概論

・選區分界方法的積弊

1.「維持現有選區分界不變」不應該優先於「盡量貼近人口基數」

香港法例第 541 章第 20(1)(c)條關乎選區人口需要貼近人口基數，而且第 20(1)(d)條有關選區人口上下限的要求有前設為「……(c)段的規定並非切實可行的情況下」；因此選區劃界建議中，若純粹止於使選區人口處於界乎人口基數 75%至 125%之間，實際上並未能完全符合第 20(1)條的要求，而選管會有責任先使選區人口盡量貼近人口基數，即使個別選區人口正處於偏離上下限。

然而，選管會自訂的「工作原則」(a)和(b)卻優先要求選區盡量維持不變，而「工作原則」(c)則要求調整劃界時「優先採取影響最少現有選區或較少人口的方法」；正如前述，選管會有責任優先使選區人口盡量貼近人口基數，然而上述工作原則卻並非以貼近人口基數為優先，而以維持既有選區而非盡量貼近人口基數為優先，在歷屆選區分界報告書中，選管會更屢屢以「因為選區〔某某〕的預計人口在法例許可的幅度之內，根據一貫的工作原則，沒有需要修改其分界。」為原因回絕公眾申述。上述工作原則、基於上述工作原則提出的選區分界建議及歷屆選管會回絕公眾申述的觀點，本質上有違反或不嚴格依從法定準則之嫌，選管會並不應該以上述工作原則凌駕優先貼近人口基數的法定要求。

概論

・選區分界方法的積弊

2.「最少幅度改劃」與「顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫等」存在矛盾

根據第 541 章第 20(3)條，選管會須顧及「(a)社區獨特性及地方聯繫的維持；及(b)有關區域或其部分的自然特徵，例如大小、形狀，以及交通方便程度及發展。」此乃法定要求；然而，根據選管會自訂的工作原則(c)「如有多於一個調整選區分界的方法，選管會採用影響最少現有選區或較少人口的方法」，在實際操作上會與「顧及社區獨特性、維持地方聯繫」等法定要求存在矛盾，而選管會歷屆劃界建議皆以上屆既有選區為基礎，則歷屆累積下來部分選區變得蜿蜒或犬牙交錯，實際嚴重偏離有關維持社區獨特性的法定要求。

3. 選管會對法定準則或工作原則的實際應用存在標準不一

選管會對於社區獨特性或地方聯繫的理解、回應是否接納申述的理據等等，從綜觀多屆選區分界建議報告書，就會發現之間存在互相犯駁之處，甚至相同的理據於此時可為立證之因、於彼時卻為否證之由。

概論

・選區分界方法的積弊

4. 既有選區並不應理所當然在下次改劃時視為完全合理的存在

選管會自訂的工作原則(a)(b)(c)的存在，以及實際應用上，會導致一個隱含原則或效果，就係每次劃界會直接接受上屆既有選區的存在為合理存在，隨之在此基礎上盡量維持不變或盡量最少幅度改劃；然而，每屆需要改劃的選區，最終被採納的改劃方案，都無可避免同時包含若干優點及缺點，只是在平衡優劣考慮後從若干方案中取捨，而容忍部分缺點存在，有關缺點並不可能因為若干屆次過後而變得不再係缺點、變得當然合理，但一屆或若干屆次過後選管會對於是否改劃、如何改劃卻以既然存在的選區為基礎，除非達至偏離幅度界限否則不被考慮任何改劃以縮減既存缺點，甚至需要改劃時只會考慮新劃界改變的優缺點，而無視、不考慮改善既存部分的優缺點。

其中部分完整社區，在較早屆次時若單獨成區則人口過多，而被劃分為不同選區，但於後來人口下降後卻不會被考慮單獨成區的可能，反而延伸分界而續存，導致選區蜿蜒。

概論

・選區分界方法的積弊

5. 選管會宣稱「政治因素不在考慮之列」但從來無法體現

選管會自訂的工作原則中，盡量不改動既有選區及最少幅度改動選區，兩者為最優先考慮，除了與法定準則存在潛在矛盾，基於上述工作原則而導致的分界建議，客觀上必然會促成特定政治效果，而有關特定政治效果確實會使人此為誘因，而使選管會刻意為之。

盡量最少改動或最少幅度改動，以此工作原則為選區分界首要條件，相較嚴格依從法定準則或以其他原則進行劃界，前者必然出現的客觀效果，就係被改動的既有選區最少化，隨之而來的政治效果就係所牽動既存政治利益較少，影響最少區議會議席甄視者及其政黨之利益和部署，客觀上從而選管會在處理選區分界的公眾諮詢時，所面對的政治壓力會相較其他劃界較少，即使有關劃界相較未必最合理或最符合法定準則。因此，有關工作原則的存在，就必然含有政治因素。

其次，歷屆選區分界建議報告書中，所載列選管會對公眾申述的回應，屢屢存在「有意見支持選區〔某某〕的劃界建議」為不接納某項申述的理據；有否意見表示支持，或有較多或較少意見表示支持，屬於從量的比較，屬於民眾意向多寡的比較，屬於較為政治性質的比較，但若然選區分界屬於客觀考慮，按客觀人口數字、地理資訊、城鄉規劃狀態等資料為考慮，應該技術主導問題，所以認同或反對、接納或不接納某項劃界方案，應該以上屬客觀資料為理據；有否、較多或較少意見支持或反對意見，並不應該成為選管會回應申述的理據，否則選管會的回應本身就是存在政治因素。

概論

・問題選區分界的舉隅

以下，會列舉歷屆部分問題劃界例子，其中包括因為選管會自訂工作原則所限導致劃界不合理的例子，偏離法定準則的例子，或自相犯駁矛盾的例子。

1. 顯嘉選區、徑口選區

兩區分別自 1994 年(顯嘉選區)及 2003 年(徑口選區，當年將選區內的世界花園改劃至翠嘉選區)起，一直從未改劃；根據歷屆選區分界建議報告書，自 2003 年起兩區人口如下：

	顯嘉選區	徑口選區	人口基數
2003 年	15111(-9.79%)	20468(+19.04%)	17194
2007 年	15009(-13.12%)	21109(+22.19%)	17275
2011 年	13639(-21.08%)	19896(+15.13%)	17282
2015 年	13242(-21.94%)	20452(+20.56%)	16964
2019 年	13023(-21.54%)	19588(+18.01%)	16599

從上述列表可見，顯嘉選區一直人口偏低，但徑口選區卻一直人口偏高。兩個選區屬於相鄰選區，而且兩個選區共同分割顯徑邨，兩個選區同屬以顯徑邨為中心的社區，故此兩個選區本來就一直存在使兩個選區降低偏離人口基數幅度的改劃方法，而並不違反社區獨特性和地方聯繫等；然而，選管會基於自訂的工作原則，盡量不改動既有選區，以此凌駕盡量貼近人口基數的法定準則，沒有適時改劃選區以達至更貼近人口基數，並多次在報告書中拒絕相關申述。

概論

・問題選區分界的舉隅

2. 宏福選區、廣福選區、大埔中選區

廣福選區在 1994 年至 2003 年的劃界，只包括大部分廣福邨，1999 年人口為 15096(-11.42%)、2003 年人口為 14341(-16.59%)。2007 年選管會報告書，廣福選區若不改劃人口為 12491 人(-27.69%)，選管會以低於下限為由改劃選區，將原屬大埔中選區的寶湖花園劃入，但兩者社區聯繫薄弱，改劃後廣福及寶湖選區人口為 14666(-15.10%)。2011 年至 2019 年，廣福及寶湖選區再無改劃的情況下，人口維持持續下降：2011 年下降至 13674(-20.88%)；2015 年，持續下降至 13459(-20.66%)；2019 年再進一步下降至 12858(-22.54%)。

另一邊廂，包含部分廣福邨的宏福選區，也面對的持續人口下降，1999 年人口為 15582(-8.57%)、2003 年人口為 14411(-16.19%)、2007 年人口為 14062(-18.60%)；2011 年，選管會因人口低於下限而改劃選區，將大埔公路部分私人屋宇劃入，改劃後人口仍只有 13007(-24.74%)，及後至 2015 年再進一步下降至 12744(-24.88%)；2019 年更進一步下降而被建議改劃，更進一步延伸至以包含白石角私人屋苑。根據 2011 年人口普查所得數據，廣福邨整體人口為 18648，偏離 2011 年區議會選舉人口基數只有+7.90%；根據 2016 年中期人口統計，廣福邨整體人口為 16939，與 2015 年人口基數近乎相同，只偏差-0.15%，與 2019 年人口基數相較亦只不過偏差+2.05%；廣福邨整體人口在過去 20 年的持續累積下降至可以單獨成區，然而選管會並未曾作如此考慮，反而將廣福選區和宏福選區不斷蜿蜒延伸。

概論

・問題選區分界的舉隅

3. 天水圍

天水圍因為多個公營、私營住宅先後落成，住宅項目人口各異、落成後亦有人口上落，導致選區分界初時未能與住宅項目界線相合；然而，因為選管會自訂工作原則以最少改動為優先，以至隨後的改動，非但沒有改善分區界線不必要分割住宅項目的問題，反而更進一步使分割問題嚴重。

2019 年選區分界臨時建議中選區分界合住宅交錯情況如下：

天耀選區	天耀邨
耀祐選區	
慈祐選區	天祐苑
	天慈邨 天麗苑

瑞愛選區	天愛苑
瑞華選區	天瑞邨
頌華選區	天華邨
頌栢選區	天頌苑
	栢慧豪園 栢慧豪庭

悅恩選區	天悅邨
富恩選區	天恩邨
	天富苑

逸澤選區	天澤邨
宏逸選區	天逸邨
	逸宏苑

嘉湖南選區	樂湖居 寶湖居 翠湖居
嘉湖北選區	麗湖居
	美湖居 景湖居

每個住宅項目人口，與選區人口基數必然不必相合，因此部分被分割於不同選區實屬無可避免；然而，天水圍的情況中，部分被分割情況並非必須存在，而可以透過改劃選區改善，以更符合社區獨特性等法定準則，但選管會卻以自訂的工作原則而凌駕法定準則，抗拒較大幅度改劃以改善之。

6258(13)

P.12

概論

・問題選區分界的舉隅

4. 選管會劃界理據自相矛盾

4.1.「不涉及居住人口」被引述為接納和不接納申述之原因

1999 年區議會選舉選區分界建議報告書，選管會接納了一項申述，涉及南區 D04 利東一、D05 利東二及 D06 海怡東，有關一些不涉及居住人口的地方之所屬選區劃界建議，選管會回應為「接納此項申述。此項申述不涉及人口問題。」

2015 年區議會選舉選區分界建議報告書，選管會不接納一項申述，涉及深水埗區 F11 幸福和 F17 荔枝角中，亦有關不涉及居住人口的地方之所屬選區劃界建議，選管會回應卻為「不接納此項建議，因為選管會須根據政府預測至 2015 年 6 月 30 日的人口數字調整選區分界，申述提及的深水埗運動場並沒有預計人口。」

6258(14)

P.13

概論

・問題選區分界的舉隅

4. 選管會劃界理據自相矛盾

4.2.社區獨特性和地區聯繫，此時彼處標準不一

2011 年區議會選舉選區分界建議報告書，選管會接納了一項申述，涉及沙田區火炭選區及駿馬選區，在選管會回應部分，選管會特意註明將馬尿村、禾寮坑村、落路下村三條擁有共同祖先的鄉村置於相同選區(火炭選區)；而實際上，馬尿存在地理上被改劃至駿馬選區的九肚山所隔，與火炭選區或上述兩村之間，只在地圖上相接而道路不通。

2007 年、2011 年區議會選舉選區分界報告書，分別都提及有申述指大圍村及大圍新村屬於相同村公所，而事實上根據鄉郊代表選舉的現有鄉村村界圖，兩者屬於同一條現有鄉村，但選管會兩次回絕將兩村置於同一選區的申述，而兩者的地理相隔並不嚴重，既不嚴重於馬尿村與火炭選區的狀態，更不嚴重於 2019 年臨時建議中元朗區新田選區和生圍村界內的淡水塘及八鄉北選區吳家村東面村界。

6258(15)

P.14

概論

・問題選區分界的舉隅

4. 選管會劃界理據自相矛盾

4.3.選管會打倒昨日的自己

在 1994 年，大埔頭水圍屬於寶雅選區。在 1999 年選區劃界，選管會的臨時建議中，寶雅選區本來維持原狀，但後來接納申述而將大埔頭水圍改劃至林村谷選區。在 2015 年劃界建議中，大埔頭水圍改劃至康樂園選區，而不接納一項申述建議將大埔頭水圍劃入寶雅選區，其中原因包括指大埔頭水圍和大埔頭兩村屬於相同氏族，但兩者屬於共同氏族並非一年半載忽然發生的事，為何 1999 年至 2015 年間容許分屬兩區？此外，2015 年選管會提述原因中，亦指康樂園屬於所謂「城鄉交融選區」而寶雅選區屬於公屋和居屋為主選區，因此選管會認為屬於鄉村的大埔頭水圍劃入康樂園選區較為合適，但 2019 年臨時建議卻大舉將大埔頭水圍和大埔頭等鄉村範圍劃入寶雅選區，豈不是自打嘴巴？

6258(16)

P.15

概論

・問題選區分界的舉隅

4. 選管會劃界理據自相矛盾

4.4.是否解散人口急降選區存在雙重標準

2007 年，選管會為騰出一個選區名額以供荔枝角南開設選區，不惜解散南昌東選區，並由周邊選區瓜分，導致周邊選區形狀極其怪異，亦不符合社區獨特性及地方聯繫，例如南山、大坑東及大坑西選區越過山丘瓜分部分不相連的南昌東選區。

另一邊廂，觀塘市中心正經歷長時間大規模重建，選管會卻一直沒有解散觀塘中心選區，亦在過去報告書中不接納解散觀塘中心選區的申述，反而使之以蜿蜒形狀延伸而得以續存，現在的觀塘中心選區由三部分距離甚遠而不相連的社區組成(定安街一帶、裕民中心、部分翠屏北邨)，而翠屏北邨亦因此北不必要地瓜分至三個選區；2019 年臨時劃界建議，秀茂坪北、秀茂坪中、秀茂坪南、寶達、安達五個選區的劃界極為勉強而不合理，使箇中涉及屋邨與選區劃界嚴重不重合、犬牙交錯，主因從五個選區都一致極之接近人口上限可以推斷，而選管會依然不選擇解散觀塘中心選區，不使上述五個選區的範圍可以由六個選區分攤，不使之在人口偏離、社區聯繫等更為合理 。

6258(17)

P.16

概論

・選區界線工整或交錯之利弊

選區分界的工整，並非無關實際的美觀問題，而是符合地理狀態的工整正正可以反映社區聯繫，因為社區的發展、聯繫和分隔係必然受限於自然地理、城市規劃和基礎建設；工整，並非直接指無視地理狀態的經緯線分界，而是古代至今仍然普遍於分界上適用的所謂「山川形便」。

「山川形便」，高山或寬闊的河道，都會對社區、城市、聚落造成阻隔，故此分界往往會考慮以山脊或分水嶺、大型河流的中線等為界線；在現代化城市中，除了自然山川，大型道路、鐵路等地面或架空建設亦會明顯影響人的流動方向，進而影響何謂一個社區、何謂不是一個社區。相對於「山川形便」，劃界不工整，界線互相嵌入的被稱為「犬牙交錯」或「犬牙相入」，這種界線會人為破壞社區完整性，在區議會劃界上亦會使選民難以簡單理解選區範圍，必須可免則免。

社區獨特性和地方聯繫，體現於山川形便，而非體現於既有選區分界；因為總可能在某屆劃界中，基於人口等因素通盤考慮取捨後，而需要個別選區分界妥協暫且不符山川形便；然而，過去選管會迴避透過改劃以糾正選區，此等一時妥協而不符山川形便的選區卻因此積存多屆，但積弊再久亦不會是山川基建之實質界限被抹去。

6258(18)

P.17

具體建議

就2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界臨時建議，以下會羅列筆者對建議提出具體建議(或曰反建議、替代建議)。

・灣仔區

灣仔區存在的問題，除了選管會所示愛群選區、鵝頸選區、銅鑼灣選區人口過少外，修頓選區、軒尼詩選區分界狹長、橫跨不同地勢、將連貫的相同社區分割但將不連貫的不同社區拼湊，分界極度不合理，問題一直存在而且越趨嚴重，但選管會歷屆都縱容問題不被解決。

先論修頓選區和軒尼詩選區的問題。雖然選管會大可指稱自1994年區議會實行單議席單票制後，修頓選區和軒尼詩選區形狀已經大致如此，然而這並不足以合理化如此違反社區型態的分界。1994年的選區分界，軒尼詩選區從南至北包括石水渠街(南段)/堅彌地街的社區、摩理臣山的部分、以駱克道街市為中心的社區、部分灣仔北填海區(灣景中心)；修頓選區包括與山下不連接的山頂道/寶雲道、胡忠大廈一帶及春園街/交加街一帶、盧押道和柯布連道之間莊士敦道至告士打道的範圍(被軒尼詩道、駱克道、謝斐道等馬路橫向割開，而且除了兩側兼為區界的盧押道和柯布連道外中間沒有縱向通道連接)、會展新舊翼；隨著歷屆選區改劃，軒尼詩選區中間西移、修頓選區南部東移，使區界更進一步不合理，例如石水渠街/堅彌地街一個完整的小街區也遭分割。

6258(19)

P.18

具體建議

・灣仔區

一、銅鑼灣選區人口不足，並不應該優先考慮進一步東移界線，而使分界進一步犬牙交錯，反而係應該考慮收回原來較為工整而被東移縮減的界線；2003年選區分界，將現時小街段區14401和14622從銅鑼灣選區劃入鵝頸選區，而2019年的建議在銅鑼灣選區已經人口過低的情況下，建議進一步將小街段區14601劃入鵝頸選區，才使銅鑼灣選區無可避免考慮從大坑選區劃入小街段區14631和14632；然而，若銅鑼灣選區不進一步劃走小街段區14601而且重新劃入小街段區14401和14622，則銅鑼灣選區人口上升至偏離幅度範圍內。

二、愛群選區從鵝頸選區劃入小街段區13229以改善人口過低，做法並不理想，因為會造成兩區界線犬牙交錯的問題，原來的界線「天樂里-－摩理臣山道」係寬闊的馬路，劃入小街段區13229後，天樂里－摩理臣山道依然係主要界線，但一小段卻橫跨這大馬路而改以狹窄的祥和里、寶靈頓道、窩西街為界。愛群選區可以從軒尼詩選區劃入小街段區13310，以增加選區人口。

6258(20)

P.19

具體建議

・灣仔區

三、在銅鑼灣選區恢復原來界線和愛群選區不東移的情況下，鵝頸選區人口不足的問題，選管會可以考慮酌情容讓鵝頸選區低於下限，因為始終問題癥結在於灣仔區整體人口嚴重偏低，但議席數目不變，導致各選區人口偏低而難以合理劃界；否則，鵝頸選區亦可以考慮從樂活選區的禮頓山(指地名，並非狹義指某住宅項目名稱)劃入北部山麓部分樓宇或禮頓山整體劃入鵝頸選區，而樂活選區若因此人口低於下限則建議可從司徒拔道選區沿藍塘道劃入部分樓宇。

四、基於前述軒尼詩選區和修頓選區一直以來的界線不合理，軒尼詩選區和修頓選區理應進行幅度較大的重組改劃，改以南北分為兩個選區而非現時東西分成狹長選區；修頓選區的山頂道部分亦應該改劃入司徒拔道選區。

6258(21)

具體建議

P.20

・東區

2019年區議會選舉選區分界臨時建議中，選觀會終於放棄繼續容讓上耀東選區偏離人口基數下限，而建議將耀東邨耀安樓劃入上耀東選區；然而，緊鄰耀安樓的耀豐樓卻被建議維持在下耀東選區，此建議未為理想。耀東邨的耀豐樓和耀安樓同期落成、緊鄰相接，與位於下耀東選區的耀東邨其他樓宇相距較遠；而且上耀東選區在接受耀安樓後人口仍然偏低，耀豐樓應該隨耀安樓一併改劃至上耀東選區。

6258(22)

具體建議

P.21

・油尖旺區

先論有關2019年選區分界臨時建議所提出的建議改動，至於既有的犬牙交錯問題容後再論。

2019年臨時建議中，在油尖旺區南部有兩組選區改動建議，一組為新增新的尖沙咀西選區(九龍站選區佔原來尖沙咀西選區大部份人口，故此九龍站選應被視為原來尖沙咀西選區的續存，而非新的尖沙咀西選區)；另一組為京士柏選區從油麻地南選區劃入部分樓宇。

一、京士柏選區人口驟降，源於涉及小街段區21702和21703的預計人口突然憑空消失，未知兩個服務式住宅的人口消失箇中原因為何；然而，從相隔彌敦道的油麻地南選區劃入小街段區22506和22513並非合理劃界，兩個小街段區與原來京士柏選區沒有相似性，亦被彌敦道相隔；建議將原來曾經屬於京士柏選區而且與京士柏選區大部分範圍同屬小規劃統計區256的小街段區25610、25611、25612、25613、25617、25618、25619(即被彌敦道、佐敦道、加士居道圍繞的範圍)從佐敦北選區劃入京士柏選區。

二、油麻地南選區人口過多，可以兩個改劃方法處理，可以將窩打老道和碧街之間部分改劃至油麻地北選區；或者，將御金國峰改劃至佐敦西選區，御金國峰在路面行人移動上，與渡船角俗稱八文樓的文華新邨較為接近，與油麻地南則相隔較遠，而若然如此改劃，則佐敦西選區東界可以改以廣東道為界，而將廣東道以東改劃至佐敦北選區，即大致恢復1994年、1999年的渡船角選區和佐敦選區的界線，並且建議佐敦西正名為渡船角。

6258(23)

具體建議

P.22

・油尖旺區

三、新的尖沙咀西選區犬牙交錯，顯然純粹為了強行拼湊至符合人口偏離幅度範圍，情況並不理想，例如佐治五世公園旁沿佐敦道的樓宇於選區其他部分明顯相隔。建議尖沙咀西選區改為包括「柯士甸道以南、彌敦道以西」及「加連威老道以南、彌敦道以東、漆咸道南以西」的範圍，可命名為尖沙咀選區或尖沙咀南選區；尖沙咀中選區則北移為「加連威老道以北、漆咸道南以西、加士居道－佐敦道以南、彌敦道以東」的範圍，可命名為天文台選區或尖沙咀北選區；佐敦南選區則西移為柯士甸站及「廣東道以東、佐敦道以南、柯士甸道以北、彌敦道以西」的範圍，佐敦南選區應該會稍微低於人口下限，因此建議可以從佐敦北選區借入小部分相鄰樓宇。

四、大角咀及旺角北部劃界，明顯嚴重有違山川形便之理。櫻桃選區、大角咀南選區、大角咀北選區三者以橫向分界，然而大角咀道東西兩邊的街道並不橫向相接。大角咀道為大角咀主要道路，而其上方亦西九龍走廊架空並行，實質上已將大角咀的社區東西分割；以符合山川形便、社區聯繫，亦以及基於人口分佈，應該先大角咀理應以大角咀道東西劃分，隨後西部人口較多則再南北二分，東部則自為一區；並應該如現在形態以嶙峋的界線強行橫向劃分。

五、油麻地北選區之名並不準確，油麻地與旺角的分界，素以登打士街為界，油麻地北選區有不少人口係處於登打士街以北，實際為旺角南部；選區橫跨旺角和油麻地而造成地名尷尬，則不妨以選區內的廣華醫院為地標而命名選區為廣華選區。

6258(24)

具體建議

P.23

・深水埗區

深水埗區在臨時建議的改動有若干，其中包括新增蘇屋選區、新增碧匯選區、南昌南選區部分改劃至南昌西選區、石硤尾選區、李鄭屋選區改劃等。首先，選管會的臨時建議對蘇屋選區的界線，在製圖勘界上有所失誤，界線從個別樓宇中間穿過。

有關南昌南選區的改劃，臨時建議的改劃方案，將小街段區26743和26715從南昌南選區改劃至南昌西選區，小街段區26703卻保留在南昌南選區，使得南昌南選區和南昌西選區交錯相入。

建議將小街段區26703亦劃入南昌西選區，以使南昌西選區與南昌南選區以荔枝角道為界；為免因為南昌西選區劃入小街段區26703而導致人口過多，建議將南昌西選區欽州街以西北部份劃入麗閣選區，麗閣選區改以通州街為西南界。

6258(25)

具體建議

P.24

・深水埗區

有關碧匯選區的改劃，涉及的既有選區包括幸福選區、富昌選區、荔枝角南選區，三者都由一個人口剛好約為一個選區的緊密樓宇群組成；既然如此，在是此改劃中三個既有選區，並無必要保留各自樓宇群以外範圍於選區之內。建議改動如下：

一、幸福選區的西南界線，由臨時建議的以通洲街為界，改為縮減至以荔枝角道為界，劃走部分改屬新增選區，有關範圍包括小型私人住宅屋苑星匯居，房屋性質與新增選區的樓宇更為接近；

二、富昌選區的西北界線，由臨時建議的以發祥街西為界，改為縮減至以東京街西為界，劃走部分改屬新增選區，即富昌選區縮減至剛好包括富昌邨和榮昌邨；

三、荔枝角南選區南面界線，由臨時建議的以深水埗區南面海界為界，改為縮減至以西九龍工路為界，劃走部分改屬新增選區，即荔枝角南選區縮減至剛好包括海麗邨；

四、新增選區從上述各區劃入上述範圍，尤其是從荔枝角南選區劃入昂船洲，建議選區改稱昂船灣選區，以取昂船洲北岸海灣之名，因為取名碧匯選區，只取其中兩個屋苑命名，而無視了長沙灣副食品批發市場旁的公共房屋。

6258(26)

具體建議

P.25

・深水埗區

有關石硤尾選區的改劃，石硤尾選區和附近選區面對的問題，係石硤尾邨一帶隨石硤尾邨重建逐步落成，石硤尾邨一帶整體欠缺一個選區；勉強而分，難免需要位於中間的石硤尾邨支解至不同選區。

除非以較大幅度改劃，方可能稍微改善社區完整性的情況，但對於人口偏差的問題仍然並不能明顯改善；改劃方案主要為解散龍坪及上白田選區而在石硤尾邨附近增設選區，具體如下：

一、又一村選區，從龍坪及上白田選區劃入龍翔道以北及呈祥道以北範圍；

二、長沙灣選區，將樂年花園劃如李鄭屋選區，而從龍坪及上白田選區劃入澤安邨；

三、下白田選區，劃走偉智街一帶樓宇，而從龍坪及上白田劃入白田邨的樓宇，以整個白田邨為選區範圍，並改名為白田選區；

四、重建後的石硤尾邨和偉智街樓宇合組選區，可以稱為上石硤尾選區；

五、尚未重建的石硤尾邨與原屬南昌北選區和南昌東選區的大埔道以北(白田街以東至黃竹街以西一段大埔道)合組選區，可以稱為下石硤尾選區；

六、南昌東選區，劃走上述部分後，從南昌南選區重新劃入長沙灣道以東部分。

6258(27)

具體建議

P.26

・九龍城區

2019 年選區分界臨時建議中，九龍城區有三組選區改劃：一、黃埔西選區人口過多而導致的改劃；二、宋皇臺人口過多而導致的改劃；三、啟德新增選區。

第一組：黃埔西選區人口過多而導致的改劃

首先，臨時建議中建議將黃埔西選區的海濱南岸改劃第五座、第六座至紅磡灣選區。

此建議中存在的問題：一、海濱南岸將被不規則地分割至不同選區，損害社區獨特性；二、改劃後雖然黃埔西選區人口過多情況稍微緩減，但紅磡灣選區則人口偏離情況嚴重至緊貼上限，沒有更好違至貼近人口基數。

相對而言，接壤黃埔西選區的黃埔東選區，相較於紅磡灣選區偏離幅度更少，較為適宜取代紅磡灣選區，以與黃埔西選區調整。

調整方法有二：一、可以將小街段區 21305(即住宅項目維港星岸)從黃埔西選區劃入至黃埔東選區；二、可以將黃埔花園第 11 期紫荊苑從黃埔西選區劃入至黃埔東選區，而將黃埔花園第 4 期棕櫚苑從黃埔東選區劃入至黃埔西選區。

相較於臨時建議，上述兩個調整方案，既更符合「貼近人口基數」和「顧及社區獨特性和地方聯繫」的法定準則，亦就算選管會自訂「改動最少選區」的工作原則也能滿足。

6258(28)

具體建議

P.27

・九龍城區

此外，若要更符合「貼近人口基數」和「顧及社區獨特性和地方聯繫」，應該以較大幅度改劃紅磡灣選區，以一併改善紅磡選區人口偏低而黃埔西選區和紅磡灣選區人口偏高的問題，以及改善紅磡灣選區蜿蜒、黃埔西選區犬牙交錯的問題，亦可以減低選區中住屋類型的差異。

方案如下：

紅磡灣選區，改為紅磡南道以南紅磡灣填海區(即小規劃統計區 213)及紅磡灣中心(即小街段區 24508)；

黃埔西選區，範圍改為黃埔花園第 1 至 4 期(金柏苑、錦桃苑、棕櫚苑、翠揚苑)，以及黃埔新邨，即小街段區 21309、21310、21311、21313 和 21314 ；

黃埔東選區，則為現時分界劃走小街段區 21310、黃埔花園棕櫚苑，然後劃入黃埔花園紫荊苑；

紅磡選區，原來紅磡灣選區餘下部分，即小街段區 24346、24506、24507 則劃入紅磡選區。

具體建議

・九龍城區

第二組及第三組：宋皇臺人口過多而導致的改劃 及 啟德新增選區

2019 年臨時建議將兩者以兩個改劃組別分開處理，然而效果只係勉強使牽涉其中的六個選區(馬頭圍選區、馬坑涌選區、宋皇臺選區、啟德北選區、啟德東選區、啟德中及南選區)剛好維持在偏離上下限以內，臨時建議中所載列六個選區的預計人口數據如下：

G01 馬頭圍	20 629 (+24.28%)
G02 宋皇臺	20 388 (+22.83%)
G03 馬坑涌	20 388 (+22.83%)
G12 啟德北	14 068 (-15.25%)
G13 啟德東	12 993 (-21.72%)
G14 啟德中及南	12 653 (-23.77%)

顯然，有關宋皇臺的一組改劃，涉及的三區人口都偏高，而且臨時建議中三者的劃界變動之處，犬牙相入，從宋皇臺選區劃入馬坑涌選區的部分更係以無名後巷為界；而啟德三區則人口偏低。

具體建議

・九龍城區

建議兩組改劃應該一併考慮。

一、馬頭圍選區無須改劃；

二、原來的啟德北選區和啟德南選區則無須改劃於上屆已有居住人口的居民點而只須技術性調節不涉及人口的區界，啟德北選區維持範圍為啟晴邨整體及德朗邨德瑜樓和德珮樓，建議更改命名為啟晴選區或晴朗選區，原來啟德南選區則維持範圍為德瑜樓和德珮樓以外德朗邨餘下部分，建議更改命名為德朗選區；

三、宋皇臺選區向東南移動，將木廠街以北 (小街段區 24103、24201、24202) 和炮仗街以西 (小街段區 24105、24203)劃走，從馬坑涌選區劃入小街段區 24109、24218、24706，其中 24706 即住宅項目翔龍灣，過去一直位於馬坑涌選區，然而與馬坑涌選區其他部分被煤氣廠和現稱為牛棚藝術村的前牲畜檢疫站暨屠房相隔，本非合宜德劃分方法，宋皇臺選區如此向東南移動後，選區以十三街一段馬頭角道為中心，建議改名為牛棚選區；

具體建議

・九龍城區

四、馬坑涌選區劃走翔龍灣小街段區 24109、24218、24706 後，從宋皇臺選區接收小街段區 24103、24105、24203，若然人口偏高於人口上限則可以將馬坑涌道以南(即小街段區 24115)劃入馬頭角選區；

五、新增選區則建議包括啟德發展區餘下部分，包括煥然一居，以及本來屬於宋皇臺選區的傲雲峰，建議命名為啟德選區，雖然傲雲峰與啟德坊的私人樓宇群、資助出售房屋啟泰苑和煥然一居相隔尚未發展的啟德北部空地，但兩者樓宇性質相近，新近開通的承啟道亦將兩者連接；

此建議方案，需要實際改劃的只有宋皇臺選區、馬坑涌選區和新增選區(或包括馬頭角選區; 而原來的啟德北選區和啟德南選區只屬於不涉及人口的技術性改劃)，較臨時建議所需改劃的選區少，而且最重要係所涉及各區的人口更接近人口基數。

具體建議

・黃大仙區

2019 年臨時建議涉及兩組改劃，一組有關慈雲山等區，一組涉及新蒲崗一帶。

第一組：慈雲山

此組改劃涉及兩個選區人口過多：正安選區和慈雲東選區；慈雲山上四區都出現人口偏高至貼近上限，更理想的做法理應透過新增選區(包括解散某現有選區以換取新增選區)處理，然而此舉改動甚大而亦未見合適之法。臨時建議所提出的改劃有兩個問題：

一、沙田坳邨被改劃至竹園北選區，但從地勢高度和直線距離而言，沙田坳邨與竹園北選區其他部分均有相當差距；

二、毓華里一帶的單幢樓宇被分割了小量至慈雲西選區，而慈雲西選區本來只以全部慈樂邨為範圍，既分割了社區，也將小量性質相異的樓宇放置於其他樓宇性質高度相同的選區中。

6258(33)

具體建議

P.32

・黃大仙區

建議改劃方案

一、將毓華里一帶(小街段區 28139、28140)從慈雲東選區改劃至鳳凰選區，雖然需要狹窄通道連接，但鳳凰選區人口偏低而且毓華里和鳳凰選區的樓宇類型一致，而以狹窄通道連接選區已有先例可循，例如東區興民選區、觀塘翠翔選區等等；

二、慈雲東選區在劃走毓華里後，可從正安選區接收部份樓宇，以使正安選區人口下降至偏離範圍，例如將慈安苑置於相同選區；

三、臨時建議中被沒有提及有關調整涉及正愛選區超出人口上限，則假設正愛選區可以維持不變。

此建議較臨時建議所需改動選區較少，亦在選區的樓宇組成上更符合社區獨特性。

6258(34)

具體建議

P.33

・黃大仙區

第二組：新蒲崗

此組改劃肇始之處有二：新蒲崗選區人口過多、龍下選區人口不足；將啟德花園從東美選區恢復劃入龍下選區，實屬合理；然而，隨後將部分新蒲崗舊區劃入東美選區，以為彌補東美選區人口減少及為減少新蒲崗選區人口，卻實未為妥當。

地理意義上的新蒲崗包括兩個相隔的社區：爵祿街以西南的新蒲崗舊區、采頤花園；新蒲崗舊區人口本來適宜自為一區，然而采頤花園人口不足成區但與新塘崗舊區綑綁則超過一區的人口上限。

自 2011 年選區分界，部分新蒲崗舊區樓宇被劃分至東頭選區；若如 2019 年選區分界臨時建議劃分，則新蒲崗舊區將進一步被支解、瓜分，對新蒲崗舊區的社區獨特性將造成更大損害。

東美選區主要由美東邨和部分東頭邨組成(曾一度包括啟德花園)；如需要處理啟德花園被改劃至龍下選區而導致的人口偏低問題，較為適宜先考慮從東頭邨劃入更多樓宇，而非從新蒲崗舊區劃入部份樓宇，因為以前者方式處理，東美選區的組成維持以兩個樓宇群為主，但以後者方式處理則使東美選區包含三個樓宇組群，而且後者將使新蒲崗舊區被瓜分至三個選區。

新蒲崗舊區即使無可避免被分劃，但絕不必要分劃至多區，也不必要使分劃的界線犬牙相入，使選民難以理解。

6258(35)

具體建議

P.34

・黃大仙區

具體建議

一、龍下選區猶如臨時建議從東美選劃入啟德花園；

二、東美選區從東頭選區劃入部分東頭邨樓宇；

三、東頭選區從新蒲崗選區劃入更多新蒲崗舊區樓宇，東頭選區與新蒲崗選區改以崇齡街、景福街、景泰街為界，即係除了自 2011 年已從新蒲崗選區改劃至東頭選區的小街段區 28422、28423、28423 外，進一步將小街段區 28407、28408、28411、28412、28417、28418、28419 從新蒲崗選區劃至東頭選區。

6258(36)

具體建議

P.35

・觀塘區

2019 年區議會選舉選區分界臨時建議中，有多組改劃，而其中不乏存在問題者。

第一組：雙彩選區、啟業選區

首先，原來的雙彩選區因為人口過多，而在臨時建議中被建議將雙彩選區的部分彩盈邨改劃至啟業選區；彩盈邨本來就被雙彩選區和佐敦谷選區瓜分，既然雙彩選區需要將彩盈邨部分劃出，應該先考慮讓彩盈邨整體置於相同選區。

一、彩盈邨和彩福邨兩個公共屋邨，剛好大約為一個選區，故此佐敦谷選區可以如此改劃並改名為雙彩選區；

二、原來佐敦谷選區的彩霞邨，與淘大選區的淘大花園亦剛好約為一個選區，則淘大選區可以如此改劃並改名為佐敦谷選區；

三、原來淘大選區的嘉和園、宏光樓、利基大廈建議改劃至九龍灣選區，以改善九龍灣選區人口偏低的問題；

四、啟業選區無須改劃。

具體建議

・觀塘區

第二組：安達、寶達、秀茂坪

其次，觀塘區新增三個議席的其中兩席設於安達臣道發展區，而兩席其中一席設於發展區南部安達邨，並一併改劃鄰接選區，改劃後相關五個選區的人口數據如下：

J12 秀茂坪北	19 055 (+14.80%)
J13 秀茂坪中	19 749 (+18.98%)
J14 安達	19 618 (+18.19%)
J15 秀茂坪南	20 193 (+21.65%)
J16 寶達	20 490 (+23.44%)

而五區和相關四個屋邨的交錯情況如下：

安達選區	安達邨
秀茂坪中選區	秀茂坪邨
秀茂坪北選區	
秀茂坪南選區	秀茂坪南邨
寶達選區	寶達邨

從上述資料可見，此五個選區乃勉強為符合人口上限要求，因而如此交錯劃設；此五個選區的範圍理應由六個選區攤分，而有關第六個選區名額建議透過解散觀塘中心選區而來，至於觀塘中心選區如何解散則容後再論。

具體建議

・觀塘區

在此先論此五個選區的範圍，建議由六個選區攤分，該如何劃設。

一、秀茂坪中選區無須改劃；

二、秀茂坪南選區將寶達邨部分劃走(在 2015 年選區分界時，寶達邨部分樓宇被劃至秀茂坪南選區，但並不合理地沒有將升降機塔所在的達祥樓劃入，反而將只保留在寶達邨，導致被劃入秀茂坪南選區的寶達邨樓宇實際上被分割而沒有連接通道)，然後秀茂坪南選區從秀茂坪北選區劃入相鄰秀茂坪南邨的秀茂坪邨秀富樓、秀安樓、秀明樓；

三、秀茂坪北選區劃走秀富樓、秀安樓、秀明樓至秀茂坪南選區；

四、寶達邨和安達邨兩個公共屋邨劃分為三個選區，寶達邨大部分為一區、安達邨大部份為一區、安達邨和寶達邨兩邨接鄰升降機塔的樓宇合組設立一區。

具體建議

・觀塘區

第二組(補充)：解散觀塘中心選區

因應前述建議在秀茂坪及安達臣一帶新增一個選區，故此需要解散本來早應解散的觀塘中心選區以騰出名額；建議解散方式如下：

一、定安街一帶，即小街段區 29420 和 29421，由牛頭角下邨選區接收，並恢復更名為下牛頭角選區，而選區中的樂雅苑劃走；

二、樂雅苑改劃至樂華北選區，避免下牛頭角選區人口過多，而且樂雅苑本來就與樂華北選區緊鄰而與原來牛頭角下邨選區其他地方相遠，如此改劃既可改善社區獨特性也可以改善樂華北選區人口偏低；

三、觀塘中心選區翠屏北邨部分，由寶樂選區和翠屏選區瓜分或重組，瓜分翠屏北邨的選區可以減少一個，改善翠屏北邨的社區完整性；

四、觀塘中心剩下部分，即裕民中心一帶，由月華選區接收，並更名為月華及裕民選區。

具體建議

・觀塘區

第三組：油塘

臨時建議中，翠翔選區被改劃為新的油翠選區，將劃走全部的高翔苑，而劃入兩座油麗邨；然而，如此改劃下，油麗邨將被三個選區瓜分，並不合宜；而新劃設的俊翔選區，由高俊苑和高翔苑合組，油塘東選區改為由高怡邨和鯉魚門邨合組，俊翔選區和油塘東選區稍微交錯。

具體建議如下：

一、高俊苑、高怡邨、高翔苑的部分(高飛閣、高鳳閣、高靜閣、高康閣)，合組高超選區；

二、翠翔選區劃走高靜閣和高康閣至高超選區；

三、油塘西選區以欣榮街以西、油塘道以南、茶果嶺道以東、高超道以北之間，更名為油塘選區；

四、油塘西選區其餘部分劃至油塘東選區，油塘東選區更名鯉魚門選區；

五、油麗選區猶如臨時建議般。

6258(41)

P.40

具體建議

・荃灣區

對於荃灣區來說，不論既有劃界或2019年臨時建議劃界，小幅度改動對社區完整性問題幫助不大；若要明顯改善，改劃幅度則嚴重偏大，改劃具體如下：

一、象石選區，劃走石圍角邨部分，改為以象山邨和象鼻山路以北及荃錦公路沿線村落(現分屬象石選區、荃威選區、綠楊選區、石圍角選區)，合組選區；

二、石圍角選區，改為只以石圍角邨為選區範圍；

三、綠楊選區，劃走村落部分後，從德華選區劃入青山公路以北部份，以及從福來選區劃入青山公路以北、西樓角路以南及以東部分；

四、德華選區，改為以大河道以東、青山公路以南、德士古道以西、沙咀道以北為選區範圍；

五、楊屋道選區，改為以禾笛街以東、沙咀道以南、德士古道以西、楊屋道以北為選區範圍，名稱另議；

六、新增荃灣南選區，以大河道以東、楊屋道以南、德士古道以西、永順街以北，以及萬景峰合組而成；

6258(42)

P.41

具體建議

・荃灣區

七、海濱花園選區，改為只以海濱花園為選區範圍；

八、荃灣中心選區，改為由荃灣中心和荃景花園組成，名稱另議；

九、愉景選區，改為由愉景新城和福來邨組成，名稱另議；

十、福來選區，以餘下部分，與從祈德尊選區劃入大壩街以北部份，合組而成，名稱另議；

十一、祈德尊選區，以餘下部分，並從荃灣西選區劃入海之戀；

十二、荃灣西選區，以餘下部分，並從麗濤選區劃入油柑頭、從汀深選區劃入汀九，復名麗興選區；

十三、麗濤選區，從荃威選區劃入翠豐臺；

十四、荃威選區，從荃灣中心選區劃入荃德花園和錦豐園；

十五、深井選區，劃走汀九後，更名深井選區；

十六、荃灣郊區選區，建議更名青龍頭選區。

6258(43)

P.42

具體建議

・屯門區

臨時建議比較主要的改動新增欣田選區和新增掃管笏選區。

對於欣田選區，建議可以從屯門鄉郊選區劃入更多村落，以降低屯門鄉郊選區偏高的人口，以讓屯門鄉郊選區有空間從富泰選區重新接收鄉村部分，始終富泰選區中富泰邨和鄉村部分因為地勢而並不緊密相接。

對於掃管笏選區，建議名滿山及哈羅國際學校等前掃管軍營釋出用地應該置於相同選區，建議改劃至恆福選區，因為其連接道路與掃管笏選區相異；三聖選區的大欖涌部分改劃至掃管笏選區；恆福選區從三聖選區劃入三聖邨，而將愛琴海岸改劃至三聖選區，並建議三聖選區更名為黃金海岸選區。

三聖選區在早年全面包括三聖以東的青山公路沿線，乃因地廣人稀，實屬無可厚非；後來人口逐步上升，恆福選區和三聖選區以青山公路為界，以長條形瓜分上述一帶，但恆福花園與三聖邨實為緊貼相鄰。

另一邊廂，三聖邨係公共屋邨，係新市鎮的一部分，但向東延伸的部分卻為低密度私人樓宇和鄉村地區，性質並不相近。時移世易，實不能託辭指三聖選區以這樣形態存在已久，而無視過去在屯門東南一直的發展狀態。

6258(44)

P.42A

具體建議

・屯門區

此外，山景邨和大興邨兩個公共屋邨，現時不必要瓜分北實際可以透過改劃選區來改善情況，更可以一併改善新墟選區人口偏多。

一、解散兆禧選區；兆禧苑劃歸湖景選區、遮亞美海灣劃歸樂翠選區、慧豐園劃歸悅湖選區。

二、樂翠選區，劃走龍門路以西部分，包括龍鼓灘；

三、龍門選區，劃走皇珠路以北、龍門路以西的範圍；

四、山景選區，劃入樂翠選區和龍門選區劃走部分，而劃走部分樓宇至景興選區，以使景興選區可以完全劃走大興邨部分，而只以山景部分為選區範圍，景興選區更名山景北選區，山景選區更名山景南及青山選區；

五、以兆禧選區名額，新增大興選區，只以完整大興邨葵選區範圍；

六、興澤選區，從翠興選區劃入卓爾居，並且越過蔡意橋從新墟選區部分範圍，更名蔡意橋選區；

6258(45)

具體建議

P.42B

・屯門區

屯門市中心及屯門市東南，亦可透過選區改劃達致改善人口偏差和社區完整性。

一、安定選區：兆安苑劃歸安定選區，而選區內的兆麟苑劃走。

二、兆置選區，劃走兆安苑，從屯門市中心劃入怡峰園，名稱另議；

三、兆翠選區，改為由完整兆麟苑、海興軒、南浪海灣組成，即西半部北面以海珠路為界；

四、友愛南選區及友愛北選區，由友愛邨、翠寧花園、豐景園組成兩個選區。

6258(46)

具體建議

P.43

・元朗區

元朗區在臨時建議中涉及的多組改劃，係較多改劃的區議會，因為設計新增議席數目最多，其中一組改劃，更係臨時建議中惟一一組不涉及任何既有選區超越上下限；在各組改劃中，部分改劃實在可以有更好方式達至符合社區獨特性的法定準則。

第一組：嘉湖

臨時建議建議從嘉湖北選區的麗湖居劃入三座至嘉湖南選區，以圖解決嘉湖北選區人口過多的問題；然而，嘉湖南選區與嘉湖北選區之間相隔偌大的天水圍公園，而且如此改劃將分割麗湖居至不同選區，進一步加劇天水圍選區界線與各住宅群交錯分割的問題。

建議改善方案如下：

一、嘉湖北選區縮減為由美湖居和景湖居組成，將麗湖居整體劃走；

二、頌栢選區改為由麗湖居、栢慧豪園、栢慧豪庭、嘉湖海逸酒店、翠湖居、天水圍公園等組成，將天頌苑部份劃走，改名為嘉栢選區或嘉湖中選區；

6258(47)

具體建議

P.44

・元朗區

三、頌華選區，改為由完整天頌苑組成，將天華邨部分劃走，改名為天頌選區；

四、瑞華選區，改為由完整天華邨和較少天瑞邨樓宇組成，部分天瑞邨樓宇劃走；

五、瑞愛選區，改為由瑞華選區以外餘下的天瑞邨組成，天愛苑劃走，改名為天瑞選區；

六、嘉湖南選區，改為由天愛苑、樂湖居、貴湖居組成。

上述改劃建議，有助一併改善過去歷屆遺留下來選區分界與樓宇群交錯的積弊，只剩下人口偏高的天瑞邨需要分屬兩區，其餘都無須維持現時不必要的分割。

6258(48)

具體建議

P.45

・元朗區

第二組：十八鄉、元朗東頭

臨時建議中，此處涉及兩組改劃，包括新增十八鄉北選區及新增元朗東頭選區。

一、十八鄉北選區中，包括私人住宅發展項目 Grand YOHO，此項目於上次選舉分界時尚未落成，於其時劃至何處並不影響，然而此時已經落成入伙並已新增人口，就不能單純因為其發展前所在地皮的所屬選區為何者而直接沿用；Grand YOHO 與 YOHO Town、YOHO Midtown 等屬於相同發展商的相同住宅項目的不同期數，後兩者均屬於 2015 年新增劃設的元龍選區，元龍選區的人口亦呈偏低狀態，更因此需要將小街段區 52417 納入其中；

故此，Grand YOHO 應該從十八鄉北選區改劃至元龍選區，以使該住宅群處於相同選區，符合維持社區獨特性的法定準則，而小街段 52417 則可以恢復改劃至鳳翔選區。

具體建議

・元朗區

二、豐年選區自 2011 年起，因為十八鄉人口上升，而向南越過馬棠路，將部分鄉郊範圍劃入；在 2015 年的選區分界中，新增十八鄉中選區，但選管會不接納其中一項有關豐年選區馬棠路以南部分的申述，聲稱將馬棠路以南劃離豐年選區無助改善社區完整性；事實上，根據分區規劃大綱圖，馬棠路以南和以北明顯處於截然不同的規劃意向，馬棠路以南以鄉村式發展用地為主，但馬棠路以北多為高密度甲類住宅用地；豐年選區從 1994 年至 2011 年改劃前，都並沒有越過馬棠路，而且豐年選區亦並非因為人口不足而需要從十八鄉劃入人口。

既然十八鄉進一步新增選區，理應一併考慮將豐年選區馬棠路以南部分劃離豐年選區。

具體建議

・元朗區

三、新增的元朗東頭選區，以及一併改劃南屏選區、北朗選區和元朗中心選區，此組選區改劃，係本次臨時建議中惟一一組並不涉及人口超越上下限的改劃，而只涉及北朗選區和元朗中心選區人口偏高至接近上限；

其實除了擬設元朗東頭選區一帶兩個選區人口偏高，天水圍北晴景選區、逸澤選區、天恆選區、宏逸選區等等多個選區，涉及更多選區處於人口偏高，天水圍北情況似乎更為嚴重、更需要新增選區以改善人口過多問題；

既然前述建議十八鄉北選區將 Grand YOHO 劃走，十八鄉北選區應該可以存在空間從北朗選區接收采葉庭等本與北朗選區被東頭工業區分隔的住宅、以及從元朗中心選區接收鄉村式發展的鈞樂新邨，以緩減北朗選區和元朗中心選區人口偏多問題；此外，鳳翔選區及如上述建議改劃的豐年選區，亦存在空間從元朗中心選區大馬路以南部份改劃部分範圍以進一步緩減元朗中心選區人口偏多。

具體建議

・北區

北區在 2019 年臨時建議中，建議的改劃主要透過小修小補，以圖稍微改善上水鄉郊選區和聯和墟選區人口過多問題，但此等做法只會犧牲社區完整性而徒使各選區進一步交錯蜿蜒，但與此同時對人口偏差改善不大，既存的天平東選區就係不合理地蜿蜒的例子。

北區需要理順選區的不合理狀態，需要較大幅度改劃，以使歷屆積累下來頑疾撥亂反正；然而，以選管會一向以自訂的工作原則為先的手法，以「盡量最少幅度改劃」的自訂原則凌駕其他法定準則，恐會一如既往抗拒如此改劃。

具體建議

・北區

若然要較為理想理順北區選區，建議改劃如下：

一、天平邨和安盛苑，人口幅度已經下降至一個選區的人口範圍，天平西選區應該如此改劃，並更名為天平選區；

二、天平東選區，應該東移，以榮福中心、榮輝中心、帝庭軒、御庭軒、綠悠軒、逸峰為選區範圍，更名為聯和北選區；

三、聯和墟選區餘下部分，與粉嶺市選區中粉嶺圍等鄉村部分，合組新的聯和墟選區；

四、粉嶺市選區應該恢復選區範圍為粉嶺名都、粉嶺中心、碧湖花園、牽情間；

五、粉嶺南選區西移，以吉祥街的乙類住宅、保榮路政府宿舍、太平邨和旭埔苑為選區範圍，名字另議；

六、御太選區，主要劃走太平邨、從原來粉嶺南選區劃入百和路以南雞嶺的丙類房屋用地和鄉村式發展用地，並從上水鄉郊選區劃入上水鄉郊選區南部以粉錦公路為主要出入通道而非經北部古洞中心一帶出入的古洞南鄉村；

七、由原來天平東選區及天平西選區劃走的梧桐河南岸、馬適路-天平路以北範圍，建議先考慮一併劃入鳳翠選區，因為此範圍與鳳翠選區的天平山村同屬粉嶺北發展區，若然鳳翠選區因此人口過多方考慮由皇后山選區或新的聯和墟選區分攤。

6258(53)

具體建議

P.50

・大埔區

2019 年臨時建議，建議的改劃有兩組，一組主要涉及大埔滘選區，一組主要涉及康樂園選區。

第一組：大埔滘選區

1999 年選區劃界從大埔滘選區分設新富選區時，就已經有申述表示碗窯等村應該劃入新富選區而非大埔滘選區，但選管會主要以大埔滘選區人口不足為由否決，而當時選管會一併提及的城鄉差異之說，在當日新富選區已包括馬窩、泮涌、忠信里等村落，及在現今新富選區更包括錦山、石古壟等村落，而大埔滘選區則在山塘路、大埔公路沿線、白石角早已發展多個高層樓宇的私人屋苑，城鄉差異之說已站不住腳。

根據規劃署的人口分佈推算，2019 年年中，小規劃統計區 729 的人口剛好約為一個選區。

6258(54)

具體建議

P.51

・大埔區

故此，面對大埔滘選區人口過多：

一、大埔滘選區應該先直接將大埔滘選區縮減及從宏福選區取回部分範圍，以至約相當於小規劃統計區 729 的範圍(以山賢路進出的悠然山莊和大埔寶馬山除外，即約為小街段區 72930，原因後論)；

二、新富選區中，泮涌、忠信里、馬窩、錦山、石古壟、錦石、新峰花園、御鳳元的等等範圍，與原來大埔滘選區的碗窯、荔枝山、半山洲、打鐵岡、山塘新村、盈峰翠邸、龍成堡等等範圍(即小規劃統計區 725)，由新富選區改劃為碗窯選區或大埔南選區；

三、宏福選區，改為由宏福苑、大埔寶馬山、悠然山莊、新達廣場、富雅花園組成，廣福邨部分劃走，名稱另議；

四、廣福選區，改以完整廣福邨為選區範圍，以改善社區完整性，並將寶湖花園歸還至大埔中選區。

6258(55)

具體建議

P.52

・大埔區

第二組：康樂園選區、林村谷選區、寶雅選區

康樂園選區自 1999 年開始劃設，其範圍被山所隔，包括九龍坑、元嶺、康樂園、大埔頭、新圍仔、南坑、魚角、鳳園、下坑、沙羅洞等等；

2019 年康樂園選區出現比較明顯的人口增長，主要在於東面的鳳園，有私人住宅項目嵐山落成，但臨時建議卻建議改劃中段的大埔頭，使選區東西兩邊分隔更明顯；

此外，臨時建議的改劃，寶雅選區將大幅上升至接近人口上限，實對改善人口偏差幫助不大。

上述改劃，應該一併考慮處理康樂園選區和頌汀選區兩個問題積壓已久的不合理拼湊選區。

6258(56)

具體建議

P.53

・大埔區

具體建議：

一、大埔頭水圍、帝欣苑、大埔花園劃入寶雅選區；

二、康樂園選區，縮減至汀麗路新圍仔路交界處以西，並從舊墟及太湖選區劃入太湖花園；

三、舊墟及太湖選區劃走太湖花園後，從頌汀選區劃入翠屏花園、八號花園、海寶花園和昌運中心，更名為大埔舊墟選區；

四、頌汀選區的富亨邨亨泰樓歸還至富亨選區，然後以頌雅苑、南坑、大埔第九區、那打素醫院及大埔醫院、魚角、鳳園、下坑、香港教育大學、露屏路露輝路私人住宅等等為選區範圍，並更名為大埔北選區；

五、船灣選區，縮減劃走露屏路露輝路私人住宅，以緩減人口偏多的問題；

六、汀雅苑可視乎情況劃入新的大埔舊墟選區或大元選區。

6258(57)

P.54

具體建議

・西貢區

西貢區在臨時建議中有一個被建議容許超越人口下限的選區，此外坑口站周邊各選區的犬牙相入問題係全香港最明顯、最嚴重的。

西貢市中心選區被建議容許超約人口下限，然而西貢市中心選區人口過少問題並非不能透過選區改劃解決；自 1994 年以來，在西貢離島選區、白沙灣選區、西貢市中心選區三個選區之間，選區分界變動屢屢發生，因此三個選區之間改劃實屬可行；將三區預計人口相加，若平均分為三區，則三區人口約為 13000 人，屬於可接收的人口偏離範圍之內，若平均分兩區，則兩區人口不過為 19000 人左右，亦屬偏差範圍。

若然西貢市中心選區、西貢離島選區、白沙灣選區，能騰出一個席位空缺，則坑口站附近一眾選區，就可以透過新增選區重劃，犬牙相入問題就即可迎刃而解；例如，德明選區縮減為改以小街段區 83714 為範圍，軍寶選區改為將軍澳廣場(或包括君傲灣)與清水灣半島(住宅名稱)為選區範圍，南安選區改為以小街段區 83715 為選區範圍，小街段區 83712 則新增選區自為一區，厚德邨、頌明苑、裕明苑、富寧花園、安寧花園，則劃為兩個選區。

此外，為使坑口東選區和坑口西選區人口更平均貼近人口基數，可以將大埔仔村一帶從坑口西選區改劃至坑口東選區。

6258(58)

P.55

具體建議

・沙田區

正如前文所述，沙田多處選區積弊已久，而 2019 年臨時建議建議的改劃或新增選區亦未臻改善既有選區之效。

第一組：海嵐選區

在鞍泰選區和頌安選區之間新增選區，實屬預料之中；然而臨時建議中的安泰選區和海嵐選區明顯人口偏低。

具體建議：

一、嵐岸維持留在鞍泰選區；

二、新增選區改從頌安選區進一步劃入聽濤雅苑；

三、錦濤選區，改為純粹以錦豐苑為選區範圍，更名錦豐選區；

四、頌安選區從劃入原來錦濤選區除錦豐苑以外其他部分，以及從馬鞍山市中心選區劃入部分樓宇，如福安花園；

五、馬鞍山市中心選區從西面劃走部分樓宇至頌安選區，以從東面烏溪沙選區重新劃入雅典居，以減輕烏溪沙人口偏高；

六、烏溪沙選區劃走雅典居至馬鞍山市中心選區。

6258(59)

P.56

具體建議

・沙田區

第二組：石門

新增選區帝怡選區的形狀著實怪異，怪異的選區形狀反映的係選區聯繫備受懷疑；碩門邨二期和碩門邨分屬兩區，而碩門邨繼續以石門工業區相隔與河邊私人屋苑置於相同選區，兩者亦屬不合理。

具體建議：

一、新增選區以碩門邨、碩門邨二期、綠怡雅苑為選區範圍；

二、帝堡城保留在廣康選區；

三、碧湖選區，從愉欣選區和廣康選區劃入亞公角和亞公角山的範圍，一方面彌補碧湖選區人口過低，一方面上述範圍與原來選區相隔甚遠而與碧湖選區相鄰，現在劃界毫不合理。

6258(60)

P.57

具體建議

・沙田區

第三組：大圍

大圍各選區交錯問題前文曾述其中部分，此外問題亦包括例如新翠邨、美田邨被瓜分，大圍站南北被鐵路相隔但翠嘉選區橫跨兩者等等。

具體建議如下：

一、松田選區，劃走美田邨美全樓，而從沙田市中心劃入完整的銅鑼灣山；

二、下城門選區，改為以美田邨和美盈苑為選區範圍，劃走大圍新村、沙田嶺及大埔公路一帶；

三、大圍選區，縮減至只以美城苑和美林邨為選區範圍，劃走大圍村一帶，更名為美林選區；

6258(61)

具體建議

P.58

・沙田區

四、翠嘉選區，劃走新翠邨，而以大圍村及周邊樓宇、大圍新村、金禧花園、富嘉花園、海福花園、沙田嶺、大埔公路沿線等等範圍為選區範圍，更名為大圍選區；

五、翠田選區，改為只以整個新翠邨為選區範圍，而將景田苑改劃至田心選區，世界花園、金獅花園二期亦劃走；

六、新田圍選區，改為以新田圍邨、豐盛苑、沙田頭村、沙田頭新村為選區範圍，劃走山下翠田街範圍；

七、秦豐選區，劃走豐盛苑、沙田頭村和沙田頭新村，而劃入原屬新田圍選區的翠田街，及翠田選區的金獅花園二期，建議更名車公廟選區。

6258(62)

具體建議

P.59

・沙田區

第四組：火炭

應該將麗坪路的上九肚山發展區，改劃入駿馬選區，因為火炭選區人口偏高而駿馬選區人口偏低，但若然純粹如此改劃會導致反向情況發生，則可以考慮將九肚山路的傳統下九肚山重新劃歸火炭選區。

6258(63)

具體建議

P.60

・葵青區

葵青區在臨時建議中的兩組改劃建議，其中一組有關新增葵聯選區，涉及的既有選區包括興芳選區、葵興選區、葵涌邨南選區。臨時建議的改劃方法，新增的葵聯選區、改劃後的興芳選區和葵涌邨南選區的人口偏低幅度稍大，改劃後的葵興選區則人口偏高幅度嚴重，而鄰近的葵涌邨北選區人口偏高問題亦無處理。其實，可以更好的改劃方法可以一併改善上述兩個問題。

具體建議如下：

一、興芳選區，將芊紅居約 1000 至 2000 人保留在興芳選區，而不改劃入新增選區，人口上升至約 16000 人；

二、新增選區，臨時建議中約為 13500 人，劃走約 1000 至 2000 人的芊紅居後，進一步從葵興選區劃入葵俊苑和葵興邨的約 7000 人，新增選區人口將為約 19000 人；

三、葵涌邨南選區，將葵馥苑約 2500 人保留在選區內，則選區人口將上升至約 17000 人；

四、葵涌邨南選區的葵涌邨春葵樓、夏葵樓、秋葵樓(約 6500 人)，葵涌邨北選區的葵涌邨百葵樓、合葵樓(約 6000 人)，葵興選區的光輝圍(約 6000 人)，在原葵興選區絕大部分範圍改劃至新增選區候，三者以葵興選區的議席名額組成一個選區，約為 18000 至 19000 人；

五、葵涌邨北選區，劃走百葵樓、合葵樓後，人口約下降至 14000 人。

6258(64)

具體建議

P.61

・離島區

選管會在 2019 年臨時建議中，對多個選區嚴重偏離人口基數的問題視若無睹，使得「貼近人口基數」此最主要法定準則被嚴重侵損，即嚴重損害票制平均的價值，損害每名居民、選民的代表權。

選管會一如既往建議繼續容許「坪洲及喜靈洲」和「南丫及蒲台」兩個選區低於人口下限，但兩個選區預計人口分別只有 6622 人和 6501 人，若兩者人口相加亦不過 13023 人；選管會面對如此狀態，過去卻多次堅持指兩者因為地方聯繫和社區獨特性而不宜合併，至今仍然如此，使東涌新市鎮各個選區普遍人口過多而導致代表權嚴重不均。

選管會單純認為兩個選區之間沒有交通聯繫，就堅持在人口嚴重過低的情況下分設兩區，實屬站不住腳；「南丫及蒲台」選區的存在，難道蒲台島和南丫島之間有直接交通往還？石鼓洲、澄碧邨只能透過長洲街渡前往，聖母神樂院只能透過坪洲街渡前往，那為何上述各處屬於大嶼山選區，而非長洲或坪洲及喜靈洲選區？當個別聚落人口嚴重偏低，與距離較遠的聚落合組選區，係無可避免的安排，。

因為選管會堅拒將上述兩區合併以騰空席位名額，導致離島區出現惟一一組選區改劃，於改劃後人口偏離上限的選區會較改劃前更多(大嶼山選區、逸東邨南選區、逸東邨北選區)。

具體建議，將「坪洲及喜靈洲」和「南丫及蒲台」合併；騰出的席位空缺，以滿東邨、裕泰苑、東涌鄉事委員會所屬鄉村合組；兩個逸東選區維持原狀；則逸東邨南選區、逸東邨北選區、大嶼山選區，都可以下降至人口偏差範圍以內。

6259(1)

2019年區議會選舉選區臨時分界意見 21/08/2018 21:51

From: [redacted]
To: "eacenq@reo.gov.hk" <eacenq@reo.gov.hk>

致：選舉管理委員會主席馮驊及選管會委員

選舉管理委員會主席馮驊台鑒：

選舉管理委員會早前公布2019年區議會選舉選區臨時分界，本人作為香港選民，對於多個選區重劃表示不滿。

選管會每次重劃選區，總搬出「預計人口範圍符合法例許可幅度」、「鄰及社區獨特性」，「地方聯繫的維持」等原因，這些表面客觀的因素，在選區重劃上處理實則有欠客觀，而且自相矛盾。本人當然同意新社區的出現，選區增減重劃在所難免，但今次的選區重劃，本人遺憾地以「變本加厲」來形容，因為一些選區重劃可謂沒事找事，莫名其妙，當一個社區以人口多少，不惜分割社區去重劃選區的同時，另一選區又以顧及社區獨特性為由，即使人口膨脹也不開新選區。這種關上門重劃選區的做法，無論不同政見的人士都予以反感。

因應今次選區分界諮詢，本人以社區完整性及聯繫為核心原則，人口多寡為副，作出以下回應和建議：

灣仔區：愛群、鵝頸、銅鑼灣、大坑
反對該區選區重劃。選區分界為改而改，今日話愛群鵝頸人口不足而劃界，將來大家也可以話大坑人口不夠已改回原有分界。昨日甲大直屬於鵝頸，今日變成在銅鑼灣，明日又改在鵝頸，這種只顧當下人口分布，忽略社區聯繫性及人口流動性。
建議：維持原有劃界

澤水坊區：南昌北、石硤尾、南山、大坑東及大坑西
反對該區選區重劃。石硤尾區仍然有屋邨待建成讓居民入住，將來人口流動呈不確定性，將石硤尾邨美如樓及美映樓編入南山選區更是敗筆，兩邊山頭，新舊屋邨，明顯格格不入，破壞社區聯繫性。
建議：維持原有劃界

黃大仙區：龍下、新蒲崗、東美
反對該區選區劃分。首先，實在難以想像美東邨和新蒲崗有什麼社區連繫關係；其次美東邨不久將來重建，人口流動性十年都難以穩定，為人口分布胡亂重劃令居民無所適從。
建議：
- *東美維持原有劃界；*
- *龍下如果考慮到人口低於法例下限，可以將龍上部分嵩大仙下邨屋苑撥入龍下；*
- *新蒲崗如果考慮到人口高於法例上限，可以將選區一分為二：*

1. *新蒲崗書樓區（衍慶街、崇齡街一帶）；*
2. *采頤花園及景泰苑。*

同時將東頭區內譽港灣及越秀廣場一帶分給兩個新區，好處：一、維持新蒲崗社區緊密聯繫；二、采頤及景泰苑附近將來有新樓落成，即使現時人口低，人口潛力卻高。

黃大仙區：竹園北、正愛、正安
反對該區選區劃分。三區原已有社區連繫缺陷，現在還將近慈雲山山頂的沙田坳邨編入不在

6259(2)

慈雲山的竹園北，完全漠視社區完整性。
建議：
- *正愛、正安維持原有劃界；*
- *竹園北如果考慮到人口低於法例下限，可以將翠竹及鵬程的竹園北邨松園樓及柏園樓歸入竹園北。人口減少的翠竹及鵬程可按照南區鵝涌洲邨的案例，容許人口偏離法例許可幅度。*

西貢區：康景、運亨
反對該區選區重劃。該區在人口流動較穩定的情況下，以新增選區騷擾社區和居民，是典型的沒事找事重劃手段。
建議：維持原有劃界，取消新增慧茵選區

沙田區：博康、水泉澳、乙泉
反對該區選區劃分。與其因應人口多少新增一個選區再小修小補，在可見將來人口增加下不如為水泉澳邨新增兩個選區。
建議五區（乙明、沙角、博康、水泉澳兩區）：
- *乙明包括乙明邨、愉城苑、曾大屋；*
- *沙角包括沙角邨、沙田圍、灰窰下新村；*
- *博康包括博康邨、作塱坑新村；*
- *水泉澳劃分兩個選區，分別包括九個屋苑。*

沙田區：雲城、翠嘉
反對該區選區劃分。幾個社區被選區重劃得支離破碎。
建議該區一帶重新劃分選區：
- *雲城改稱大圍南，包括名城、海福花園等；*
- *翠嘉維持原有劃界；*
- *田心包括隆亨村、田心村、雲疊花園。*

葵青區：葵興、葵聯、葵涌邨南、興芳
反對該區選區劃分。新增葵聯無可厚非，但為新增選區並遷就人口範圍，犧牲葵興及葵涌邨的社區連繫是大錯特錯。
建議：
- *葵涌邨南維持原有劃界，如果考慮人口高於法例上限，可以將葵涌邨一個屋苑改劃至葵涌邨北；*
- *新葵興花園維持歸入葵興。*

離島區：大嶼山、逸東邨南、逸東邨北
反對該區選區劃分不變。逸東邨若分為三選區，人口即可符合法例規定而社區聯繫仍可維持。
建議：逸東邨分為三選區，包括附近大嶼山選區內馬灣涌、赤鱲角新村等村落。

以上為本人對2019年區議會選舉選區臨時分界的意見。

祝
君安

Wong
21.8.2018

6260(1)

實政圓桌
ROUNDTABLE

香港灣仔港灣道二十五號
海港中心 10 樓
選舉管理委員會秘書處

敬啟者：

實政圓桌就「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議」意見書

本港人口迅速增長，區議會選區亦會隨著時代的變遷有所轉變，本人與實政圓桌明白區議會有新劃選區及重劃現有選區的必要性，我們對各選區的劃界建議如下：

K08 愉景選區
雖然選區編號由 K06 改為 K08，支持維持原有劃區界線及屋苑組成。

L07 翠興選區
支持維持現有劃區。

L12 掃管笏選區
支持劃區建議。

L25 寶田選區
支持劃區建議。

L28 欣田選區
支持劃區建議。

T06 東涌北選區
支持劃區建議。

香港灣仔港灣道200號海港中心二期26樓B單位 UNIT B, 26th FLOOR, WYLER CENTRE II, 200 TAI LIN PAI ROAD, KWAI CHUNG, N.T. HONG KONG
電話 TEL：(852) 2449 1173 傳真 FAX：(852) 2449 1174 電郵 E-MAIL：mk@mkhaitien.hk

6260(2)

實政圓桌
ROUNDTABLE

S26 長康選區

反對是次劃區建議。原因是現時的選區分界，並未符合社區完整性，我們認為同一區域理應由一名區議員負責，以確保區議員能充分了解居民的需要。現時 S26 選區主要屋苑為長康邨康富樓、康貴樓、康泰樓、康華樓、康榮樓、康和樓及青華苑。長康邨主要分為二期及三期兩部分，就社區完整性而言，我們認為有必要把二期中的康安樓、康盛樓和康平樓撥入 S26 選區，令區議員在進行地區工作，處理屋苑事務時更為順利及完整。

M18 天盛選區

反對是次劃區建議。原因和 S26 相若，現時的劃界建議會破壞社區的完整性。天盛苑二十多年內所有樓宇都是屬於同一個選區，由一位區議員負責，但現時劃分天盛苑部分屋苑，會造成行政混亂，亦令社區欠佳完整，讓居民無所適從。

冀選管會能認真考慮及採納以上各項建議，確保區議會選區的分界能最便利市民，又能令社區更為完整。

如 需進一步資料，請與實政圓桌秘書處黃先生聯絡，電話是[redacted]。

敬頌鈞安

田北辰
實政圓桌召集人

二零一八年八月二十日

香港灣仔港灣道200號海港中心二期26樓B單位 UNIT B, 26th FLOOR, WYLER CENTRE II, 200 TAI LIN PAI ROAD, KWAI CHUNG, N.T. HONG KONG
電話 TEL：(852) 2449 1173 傳真 FAX：(852) 2449 1174 電郵 E-MAIL：mk@mkhaitien.hk

序號 6261 的來信者要求身份及內容保密

6262

Fwd: 強烈反對重新規劃區議會選區
24/07/2018 21:11
Hide Details
From: [redacted]

To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

強烈反對重新規劃區議會選區
---------- 转发的邮件 ----------
发件人：[redacted]
日期：2018年7月24日 21:08
主题：強烈反對重新規劃區議會選區
收件人：<電郵：eacenq@reo.gov.hk>
抄送：

強烈反對重新規劃區議會選區

6263

反對原有社區，從新規劃，要選民再從新認識人和事
25/07/2018 14:31
Hide Details
From: [redacted]

To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

6264

【我反對改劃選區】
25/07/2018 14:59
Hide Details
From: [redacted]

To: "eacenq@reo.gov.hk" <eacenq@reo.gov.hk>

【我反對改劃選區】

6265

選區重新劃分意見
25/07/2018 23:59
Hide Details
From: [redacted]

To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

本人強烈反對你們無理及有針對性政治目的去重新劃分選區範圍，以圖削弱民主派參選人獲選機。希望你們本著良心和服務市民的精神停止作出打壓反對聲音的行為。

6266

6267

6268(1)

2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議公眾諮詢
04/08/2018 18:07
Hide Details
From: [redacted]

To: "eacenq@reo.gov.hk" <eacenq@reo.gov.hk>

2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議
公眾諮詢
請於二零一八年八月二十一日或之前，把你對臨時建議的書面申述，透過以下任何一種途徑
送達選管會秘書處--
郵遞地址： 香港灣仔
港灣道25號
海港中心10樓
選舉管理委員會秘書處

傳真號碼： 2511 1682
電郵地址： eacenq@reo.gov.hk

本人認為很多選區選民為流動性人口(非長期定居於香港特別行政區),
個人建議合併選區;跨區共享社區資源,

完

多謝聆聽市民聲音.

My contact information:
Robinson, Dundas

[redacted]
Telephone: [redacted]

6268(2)

Robinson, dundas
4th August, 2018

從 Outlook 傳送

6269

6270

對臨時建議的意見
08/08/2018 12:42
Hide Details
From: [redacted]

To: "eacenq@reo.gov.hk" <eacenq@reo.gov.hk>

敬啟者：

本人希望選管會就一些選區嚴重偏離標準人口基數咨詢署計署，有關選區長期偏離標準人口基數是否合符公平使用公帑等資源及合符大眾預期的效益。

以上問題在離島區選區尤其明顯---由負60%至正49%。

此致

選舉管理委員會秘書處

林志浩

6271(1)

中華人民共和國香港特別行政區
Hong Kong Special Administrative Region of the People's Republic of China

屯門區議會 TUEN MUN DISTRICT COUNCIL
古漢強議員 KWU HON KEUNG MEMBER

本函檔號：18-C-6

香港灣仔港灣道25號
海港中心10樓
選舉管理委員會
選舉管理委員會秘書處

敬啟者：

二零一九年區議會一般選舉 選區分界意見書

本人從事地區工作已三十多年，見證多屆的選區分界調整。每次調整都著重於以人口計算準則劃分，而未有就地域特徵、樓宇種類(公營/私營)及樓宇數量等因素作調整。

以屯門區選區L01(屯門市中心)及L11(新墟)為例，兩選區的預計人口均已貼近法定的標準人口基數上限。是次公眾諮詢中臨時建議將選區L01原區界內明藝街以北6棟樓宇轉編入鄰近同樣貼近人口基數上限的選區L11，這樣的建議雖沒超過人口基數劃分準則上限，但卻令選區L11內的樓宇數目增至超過四十多棟單棟式樓宇。

大部分公共屋邨，由於以人口基數劃分準則下，現時已有出現同一條公共屋邨卻分劃成幾部份，同一條屋邨內有幾個不同政黨議員，同一條屋邨內有幾種不同意見，當中之間如何合作？！又由於劃分的幾部份未達人口基數，需分別與鄰近的私人樓宇編組成一選區，導致公私營樓宇不分，變相私樓不被著重。

公共屋邨居民在社區遇到需要協助的問題較為單一，而公共屋邨亦有如諮詢會等，樓宇問題亦有房屋署負責，理應一位區議員就足夠處理。而私人樓宇尤其是單棟式舊樓，部分沒有成立業主立案法團，這些樓宇當靠民政處協作，雖然民政處會安排物業管理公司幫忙協助沒有成立法團的大廈，但當中亦有很多問題當地區議員協助。有成立業主立案法團的大廈，大部份都是不懂管理或交物業管理公司管理，無論大廈的管理、大廈維修又或私人業權人之間的各種糾紛等問題都需要區議員協助。

P.1/2

議員辦事處地址：[redacted]
OFFICE ADDRESS:
電話 TEL: [redacted] 傳真 FAX: [redacted] 電子郵件 [redacted]

09-AUG-2018 11:08 [redacted] P.001

6271(2)

中華人民共和國香港特別行政區
Hong Kong Special Administrative Region of the People's Republic of China

屯門區議會 TUEN MUN DISTRICT COUNCIL
古漢強議員 KWU HON KEUNG MEMBER

區議員是市民與政府之間溝通的橋樑，社區內無論交通、設施、民生等等的所有問題都必須要議員協助解決。以上例子，都顯出選區著重以人口計算準則劃分有不公平之處，令資源調配困難，使某些選區資源分配不足，亦使管理協作的人員負荷過大。

統計處的中期人口調查，只是參考數據而非實際數字，但 貴會就以此作為實質數字。而未有視乎實際人口、社區獨特性、地域特徵、樓宇種類及樓宇數量等因素作考慮，完全忽略了居民的社區聯繫性。多次改劃更令居民無所適從，無論是投票或是找區議員都很困難。每次投票的選區都不同，票站地點又經常更換又沒有諮詢，令居民感到非常不滿和不解。票站的選址亦直接影響市民的投票意欲。

敬希 貴會調整選區分界時，除考慮預計人口外，亦須顧及社區獨特性、地域特徵、樓宇種類及樓宇數量等因素作調整。並進行實地視察了解地區的實際情況，而非單純以地圖劃分。

為盼

此致
選舉管理委員會

屯門區議員

古漢強

古漢強 謹啟

二零一八年八月一日

副本抄送：屯門民政事務處

P.2/2

議員辦事處地址：[redacted]
OFFICE ADDRESS:
電話 TEL: [redacted] 傳真 FAX: [redacted] 電子郵件 E-MAIL: [redacted]

09-AUG-2018 11:07 [redacted] P.002

6272

致：本委會：

您好，本個人得悉貴會決定的2019年區議會選舉臨時分界和名稱的建議，可以均衡各區上的發展和服務不可缺缺之事，並對這建議沒有反對，皆是很可取的決定，值得支持。

祝：身體健康，為民服務

處理有道，恭祈日上！

新界西居民敬上

公元二零一八年七月卅一日

6273

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups

2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議
[redacted] to: eacenq@reo.gov.hk 20/08/2018 20:34

本人極不明白今次建議用途何在，還是政府為了自己的利益，甚至可以令更多建制，親政府的議員進入議會？
本人對今次的建議極不滿，認為政府所有決策都一意孤行，完全不理民意。
今日可以由政府任意改變選區分界，何時改變選舉的制度來配合自己的政府？
不只這個建議不知所謂，選管會一直以來的行為更加不所謂。選舉主任從何來這樣大的權力，可以自行決定參選人的權力？更離譜地有權判斷參選人的真誠，[redacted]

6274

對臨時建議的書面申述 20/08/2018 23:22

From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

堅決反對政治干預！以海量的申訴範本，收集市民簽名，旨在製造群眾壓力，以達至干預選管會運作的目的，絕對不能接受。
支持選管會獨立專業運作，以維持公平公正的制度！
不論是哪個選區的臨時建議，小區行政、歷屆分區、當區現任與歷任議員的政治聯繫等，不應是干預選管會獨立運作的理由，因為受薪的區議員不只是為小區負責，而是整個大區。當現任區議員的辦事處都不是在自己的選區之內時，又有何資格去率動群眾、煽動街坊去協助表達對未來選區的意見？司馬昭之心，路人皆知。

李宏峯

6275(1)

民主動力就《2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議》提交的意見及建議 (2018-08-21) 21/08/2018 15:14
From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk, scmaoffice@cmab.gov.hk, panel_ca@legco.gov.hk
Cc: [redacted]

4 Attachments

民主動力就《2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議》提出的意見及建議_(2018-08-21)v2.pdf

附件1：民協黃大仙區議員施德來意見書.pdf 附件2：新民主同盟大埔區議員周炫璋意見書.pdf

附件3：新民主同盟沙田區議員李世鴻意見書.pdf

~ 選舉管理委員會主席 馮驊法官，GBS
~ 政制及內地事務局長 聶德權先生，JP
~ 立法會政制事務委員會主席 廖長江議員，SBS，JP

Please kindly see the revised PDF files attached for the details.

民主動力召集人，民選議員
趙家賢博士

Dr. Cllr. Andrew CHIU 趙家賢議員
BSSc, MPA, DPA(Hon), FHKSAM, CHDM, MHKPAA, ACIArb, AHKIArb,
Accredited Mediator / Arbitrator / HKMAAL Assessor & Coach
W: [redacted]
E: [redacted]
T: [redacted]

--

6275(2)

Power for Democracy
民主動力 PfD
泛民主派地區發展協調組織
Since 2002

電郵
(eacenq@reo.gov.hk / scmaoffice@cmab.gov.hk/ panel_ca@legco.gov.hk)

香港特別行政區
選舉管理委員會主席 馮驊法官，GBS
政制及內地事務局長 聶德權先生，JP
立法會政制事務委員會主席 廖長江議員，SBS，JP

馮法官、聶局長 及 廖主席：

民主動力就《2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議》提交的意見及建議 (修定版)

民主動力自 2002 年成立，長期擔任泛民主派的協調者角色，並提供有效平台，促進民主派各參政組織的交流和合作。過往曾就 2003、2007、2011、2015年區議會選舉、2004 年立法會選舉統籌協調工作，亦曾負責 2008 年立法會補選(香港島) 泛民初選及 2012 年泛民特首初選的安排。現正就 2019 年區議會選舉及 2018 年第二次立法會補選 (九龍西) 統籌協調工作。

我們知悉選舉管理委員會 ("選管會") 於本年 7 月 23 日宣佈，因應立法會通過就 2019 年區議會一般選舉在 10 個地區增加共 21 個民選議席，相應地在有關地區劃定共 21 個新增選區及調整 128 個現有選區的分界；並就二零一九年區議會一般選舉在 18 個地方行政區("地區")內共 452 個選區的分界及名稱擬定的臨時建議，進行公眾諮詢。

民主動力與各成員團體檢閱及研究選管會擬定的 2019 年區議會一般選舉的建議選區的分界和名稱的地圖、載有暫定選區範圍區界說明的文件，以及選管會根據法例規定，有關考慮作調整選區分界及新增選區的法定準則和工作原則的條文。

(A) 民主動力提出的意見如下：

1. 民主動力將與各民主派成員團體，針對選管會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉各區擬定的選區的分界和臨時建議，陸續提出多項意見，其中由民協黃大仙區議會施德來議員及新民主同盟大埔區議會周炫瑋議員分別提出有關黃大仙區 (H02 龍下、H07 新蒲崗及 H09 東美) 及大埔區 (P13 林村谷、P14 寶雅區及 P17 康樂園)、沙田區 (R19 翠嘉)及其他選區受到選區人口的法定準則規定、維持社區獨特性、地方聯繫，以及有關區域的自然特徵等的意見而影響而擬定選區分界建議安排，詳情請參考附件。

/.....

6275(3)

2. 選管會表明調整選區分界上須按法定準則，即確保各建議選區人口盡量接近標準人口基數；如未能遵從上述規定，須確保該選區的人口偏離標準人口基數不超出 25%，為final各選區的選民人口，符合法例許可幅度，即不低於法例許可的下限或不高於法例許可的上限，只將不少原有選區內的一些屋邨或屋苑分拆開去，左併右拼，分別編配至附近的一至兩選區內，辯稱根據資料數據客觀地劃定選區，未有考慮社區完整性的重要，處理粗疏官僚，難地僵化。

3. 選管會亦須顧及社區獨特性及地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵 (例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展等)；但當認為上述一種或多種考慮事項使其有需要或適宜不嚴格地按上述有關選區人口規定行事的情況下，選管會方可不嚴格 地按上述有關選區人口規定行事。針對現有選區 (包括維持分界及調整分界) 及新增選區的劃分，選管會輕視社區結構的情況比比皆是，不少仍偏重於將選區人口規定列為最主要考慮因素，未有切實透析考慮社區實況，導致不少劃區未能適當應有的社區獨特性及地方聯繫等特點，不倫不類。

4. 上述提及有關劃界考慮的社區特性的重要性，絕對不亞於選區人口規定，但當局未有仔細考慮居民階層分佈、地區文化差異、社區網絡連繫等不同因素，草率劃區，例子如下：

   4.1 將公共屋邨與居屋或私人屋苑歸入同一選區，不同階層的選民關注的地區議題及取態或許各不同；
   4.2 將距離較遠及生活文化相異，分別居於鄉村郊區與市區樓宇的選民合於一區，選民需要不一，立場可能互相矛盾，交通不便，難以凝聚；
   4.3 將多個人口密度較低及選民階層結構不一的區域組合成一個幅員廣大的選區，選民意見紛雜，社群分散流離，難以接觸選民，組織工作難以推展；選區範圍之大，距離之遠，交通費時，都帶來沉重的「跨區」地區工作負擔，亦難以協調社區關係及建立歸屬感；
   4.4 將多年來原屬選區的選民調至另一個選民結構相異的選區，影響選民對社區網絡及區議員的歸屬感，改變其多年的社區參與及申訴模式，令不少已與當地的地區組織及區議員建立緊密信任的選民，特別是長者，無所適從。

5. 區議會選區分界安排粗疏混亂，未有以人為本，針對地區所需，區議員難於真實有效反映地區民意及推展區政，區議會作為諮詢角色的地位及功能更見低下，阻礙地區民主化，窒礙區議會自主。

(B) 民主動力提出的建議如下：

1. 採納民主動力與各民主派成員團體往後陸續提出，針對選管會就 2019 年區議會一般選舉各區擬定的選區的分界和臨時建議，作出進一步的修改建議，其中由民協黃大仙區議會施德來議員、新民主同盟大埔區議會周炫瑋議員及沙田區議會李世鴻議員分別提出有關黃大仙區 (H02 龍下、H07 新蒲崗及 H09 東美) 、大埔區 (P13 林村谷、P14 寶雅區及 P17 康樂園)、沙田區 (R19 翠嘉)及其他選區分界新的建議安排 (詳情請參考附件)。

/.....

6275(4)

2. 重新檢閱所有現有選區 (包括維持分界及調整分界) 及新增選區，將無理被分拆的屋苑或勉強合併的選區重新整合，尊重其社區獨特性及地方聯繫等的重要性，優先考慮縮細選區範圍、整合成社區結構較一致或開拓選區人口較少但密度較高的小型選區，甚於盲目考慮符合選區人口規定，盡量維持社區完整性。

3. 立法修改將「顧及社區獨特性及地方聯繫的維持，以及有關區域的自然特徵 (例如大小、形狀、交通方便程度及發展等)」的法定準則，提升為與符合選區人口規定同等重要且優先考慮的因素，即劃分選區時必須同時考慮上述兩種規定，且不能單從因選區人口變更而分拆同一屋苑或合併不同特性的樓宇，破壞社區完整性。

4. 推動區政改革，從速全面檢討及修訂《區議會條例》，正視區議會本應為公民社會充權和社區規劃自主的角色，提升區議會職能和權力，促進議會自主性，落實下放市政實權，還區政於民。

如有垂詢，請與本會職員黎先生 (電話：[redacted] / 電郵：[redacted]) 或本人 (電話：[redacted] / 電郵：[redacted]) 聯絡。敬候賜覆。

謹祝政安！

民主動力召集人 **趙家賢** 博士
2018 年 8 月 21 日

附件：
1. 民協黃大仙區議員施德來：「反對黃大仙區H02,H07及H09一組2019暫定選區區界，要求保留2015年原有劃界，並提出反建議」意見書
2. 新民主同盟大埔區議員周炫瑋：「有關對大埔林村谷(P13)、寶雅區(P14)及康樂園(P17)建議分界的意見」意見書
3. 新民主同盟沙田區議員李世鴻：「翠嘉選區及其他選區建議書」意見書

6275(5)

本人反對理由如下：

1. **H02 龍下選區只略低於法例許可的下限，選區有多年社區獨特性，沒有重劃必要性**
   H02 龍下在 2015 年的預計人口為 12901 人，亦略高於 2019 年建議的許可人口幅度下限。因此，本人相信即使 H02 龍下的選區劃界不變，亦只會以極少的人口幅度略低於法例許可的下限。因此，H02 龍下的選區重劃必要性較低。H02 龍下在 2007 年起一直以完整的屋苑黃大仙下邨(一區)為其社區獨特性，有自身選區內的社區聯繫。因此無重劃必要。
2. **繼續接受 2015 劃界結論的 H07 新蒲崗選區有獨特社區完整性及地方聯繫**
   H07 新蒲崗在 2015 年預計人口的已超出法例許可的上限(+27.78%)，即使繼續保留新蒲崗衍慶街一帶私人樓宇(約為 2600 人口)。在 2019 年的選區人口估計約為 22600 人口(+36.15%)。雖然人口是超出法例許可的上限，但《2015 年區議會選舉選區分界建議報告書》在制定 H07 新蒲崗選區超出法例許可的上限時，堅持「須保持社區完整性及地方聯繫」(附錄 V,224 頁)為理據，維持 2015 年的新蒲崗選區劃界不變。我期望選管會繼續堅守此原則，剔除重劃 H07 新蒲崗的選區劃界建議。
3. **維持新蒲崗社區完整性，減少分劃對服務及居民的影響**
   H07 新蒲崗本為完整的社區。基於人口增加，現時已分別由 H07 新蒲崗及 H08 東頭選區作劃分。倘若選管會建議的方案再將新蒲崗衍慶街一帶私人樓宇納入 H09 東美選區。新蒲崗區則將會由 2 個變為分屬 3 個區議會小選區，對居民及社區服務有影響，亦有違社區獨特性、地方聯繫的維持及區域自然特徵。
4. **避免對啟德花園又再調動選區的困擾**
   H09 東美的啟德花園共有 5 座 1200 戶，人口約為 2500 人。啟德花園於 1997 年屋苑落成後，曾為 H02 龍下選區，但自 2007 年起納入在 H09 東美選區內。這安排已經維持超過 10 年。今次劃界諮詢將啟德花園又再調動選區，對屋苑及居民造成困擾。而可預見 2023 年區內人口又再有大變動，屆時又或要再重劃選區，對一個人口較少的小屋苑十分困擾。加上啟德花園原屬的 H09 東美選區人口亦十分接近法例的基準，因此亦沒有重劃選區分界的必要。
5. **黃大仙 2019 手沒有重大人口變動，亦沒有新增議席，應避免重劃選區**
   黃大仙區人口在 2019 年沒有重大變動，亦沒有新增議席，重劃選區的必要性較低。然而在此組選區的 H09 東美選區內的房委會美東邨重建計劃，居民將於 2020 年遷出。毗鄰此組選區重劃的 H08 東頭選區有一新公屋邨近 1000 伙將於 2020 年入伙。毗鄰 H07 新蒲崗的 H06 龍星選區房委會有大磡村發展計劃在 2020 起陸續入伙。屆時在 2023 年的人口變動較大，或許有新增議席，才處理選區分界的重劃，這樣可免除居民每屆分屬不同選區的煩擾。

因此，本人反對此組 H02,H07 及 H09 的暫定選區範圍建議，希請選管會接納，並剔除重劃此組選區分界。

雖然本人認為選區劃界不變為**最理想安排**。然而亦理解 H02 龍下選區低於人口下限，H07 新蒲崗人口倘若劃界不變則會有約 22600 人口(+36.15%)並不理想。當中我認為盡量解決 H07 選區過多人口為較重要。因此，我在此亦提出 2 項反建議予選管會作一併考慮。

6275(6)

方案一：將建議在 H07 新蒲崗剔出的衍慶街一帶私人樓宇(約 2600 人)及彩虹道遊樂場等改撥入 H02 龍下選區。H09 東美選區及其餘選區維持 2015 年選區劃界不變。

特點及好處：

1. 符合法例要求對處理低於人口下限的 H02 龍下選區及高於人口上限的 H07 新蒲崗選區，令該兩個選區能符合法例的許可人口幅度。
2. 只涉及兩個選區的劃界變動，較選管會提出變動三個選區的劃界影響更少。
3. 令受是次劃界影響的人口由選管會建議方案的約 5100 人，減為 2600 人。
4. 此方案令 H02 龍下選區包括：黃大仙下邨(一區)、彩虹道遊樂場及新蒲崗衍慶街一帶私人樓宇。這選區範圍內的居民有天橋接駁、亦有公眾康體設施，劃界範圍生活圈集中，樓齡均是超過 30 年的成熟社區，有社區聯繫性。
5. 避免位於 H09 東美選區的啟德花園經常被重劃隸屬不同選區。
6. 預計在下一輪 2023 年的選區劃界檢討時，有減少變動劃界的作用。

方案一的具體劃界建議：

由於 H02 龍下選區的許可人口低於基準下限，而 H07 新蒲崗選區的許可人口高於基準上限。因此，建議將諮詢方案中的位於 H07 新蒲崗衍慶街一帶約十幢近 2600 人口的私人樓宇，連同彩虹道遊樂場、香港考試及評核局及畲色園可立中學一併劃入 H02 龍下選區。受劃界影響只涉及 H02 龍下及 H07 新蒲崗兩個選區，影響人口減為 2600 人，並符合法例要求令 H02 及 H07 兩個選區都符合法例許可的人口幅度。

選區	選管會方案的 2019 年建議選區人口	選管會方案的暫定選區改動	方案一的 2019 年建議選區人口	方案一的選區劃界改動
H02 龍下	15363 (-7.45%)	加入原屬 H09 東美選區的啟德花園。	約 15500 (-6.62%)	加入原屬 H07 新蒲崗衍慶街一帶私人樓宇，連同彩虹道遊樂場等。
H07 新蒲崗	20022 (+20.62%)	剔除新蒲崗衍慶街一帶私人樓宇改撥入 H09 東美選區	20022 (+20.62%)	按選管會方案將新蒲崗衍慶街一帶私人樓宇，連同彩虹道遊樂場等一併劃到 H02 龍下選區
H09 東美	17683 (+6.53%)	啟德花園更為撥入 H02 龍下選區。 由 H07 新蒲崗加入衍慶街一帶私人樓宇。	17580(按 2015 年人口數字) (+5.91%)	選區劃界按 2015 年的劃界不變

(參見附圖一：方案一的劃界圖)

6275(7)

方案二：只將 H07 新蒲崗衍慶街一帶私人樓宇(約 2600 人)加入到 H09 東美選區。其餘維持 2015 年選區劃界不變。

特點及好處：

1. 符合法例要求對處理高於人口上限的 H07 新蒲崗選區的重劃
2. 接納 H02 龍下選區在極略低於許可幅度(估計為基數-26%)以維持其社區獨特性。
3. H09 東美選區在加入新蒲崗衍慶街一帶私人樓宇(約 2600 人)後，人口為由 2015 年估計的 17580 人，增至 20180 人(+21.57%)，符合法例許可的基數。
4. 只涉及兩個選區的劃界變動，較選管會提出的三個選區的劃界變動更少。
5. 令受是次劃界影響的人口由選管會建議方案的約 5100 人，減為 2600 人。
6. 避免位於 H09 東美選區的啟德花園經常被重劃隸屬不同選區。
7. 預計在下一輪 2023 年的選區劃界檢討時，有減少變動劃界的作用。

方案二的具體劃界建議：

H02 龍下在 2015 年的預計人口為 12901 人，亦略高於 2019 年建議的許可人口幅度下限。因此，本人相信即使 H02 龍下的選區劃界不變，亦只會以極少的人口幅度略低於法例許可的下限。在接受 H02 龍下選區有其社區獨特性而允許低於基準人口幅度下限為前提，並減少選區劃界涉及選區數目及人口的影響。因此，只按選管會提出的方案上，接納啟德花園不應經常被調動選區，保留啟德花園在原屬的 H09 東美選區，使 H09 東美選區在加入新蒲崗衍慶街一帶私人樓宇(約 2600 人)後，人口為由 2015 年估計的 17580 人，增至約 20180 人(+21.57%)，符合法例許可的基數。而 H07 新蒲崗選區則按選管會提出的方案不變。

選區	選管會方案的 2019 年建議選區人口	選管會方案的暫定選區改動	方案二的 2019 年建議選區人口	方案二的選區劃界改動
H02 龍下	15363 (-7.45%)	加入原屬 H09 東美選區的啟德花園。	約 12901(按 2015 年人口數字) (約-22.27%)	選區劃界按 2015 年的劃界不變
H07 新蒲崗	20022 (+20.62%)	剔除新蒲崗衍慶街一帶私人樓宇改撥入 H09 東美選區	20022 (+20.62%)	選區劃界按選管會建議方案不變
H09 東美	17683 (+6.53%)	啟德花園更為撥入 H02 龍下選區。 由 H07 新蒲崗加入衍慶街一帶私人樓宇。	約 20180 (約+21.57%)	保留啟德花園在 H09 東美選區。 並按選管會的建議，由 H07 新蒲崗加入衍慶街一帶私人樓宇。

(參見附圖二：方案二的劃界圖)

6275(8)

在此結論重申，並 1)由於黃大仙區議會並沒有新增議席，因此最理想方案應是無須重劃選區；2)而提出上述兩個反建議均較選管會提出的方案為佳，因為：影響選區數目由 3 個減至 2 個，影響人口由 5100 人減至 2600 人；3)按法定準則要求，盡可能令各選區均符合法例許可的人口幅度，作出要求保留原有劃界及提出反建議方案。因此，懇請選舉管理委會接納本人意見保留 2015 年選區劃界不變及仔細考慮兩個劃界的反建議方案。如有查詢，可致電 [redacted] 與本人施德來聯絡

此致

選舉管理委員會

黃大仙區議會
H09 東美選區
施德來區議員

二零一八年八月十三日

6275(9)

附圖一：方案一的劃界圖



方案一的建議可使 H09 東美選區的界線維持 2015 年選區分界不變，從而減少受劃界影響的選區數目(3 個減至 2 個)及人口(5100 人減至 2600 人)

方案一建議的 H02 龍下界線(黃色所示)
加入原屬 H07 新蒲崗衍慶街一帶私人樓宇，連同彩虹道遊樂場等。
H02 龍下選區人口升至法例許可的範圍內。

選管會原建議方案是將新蒲崗衍慶街一帶剔出 H07 選區。
方案一是將選管會建議的新蒲崗衍慶街一帶，連同彩虹道遊樂場等一併納入在 H02 龍下選區。
H07 新蒲崗選區人口降至法例許可的範圍內。

6275(10)

附圖二：方案二的劃界圖



方案二將啟德花園保留在 H09 東美選區。並按選管會的建議，由 H07 新蒲崗加入衍慶街一帶私人樓宇。(黃色所示)H09 東美選區人口仍可維持在法例許可的範圍內。而受劃界影響的人口則可由 5100 人減至 2600 人。

方案二的建議允許 H02 略下選區略低於人口許可下限，以維持 2015 年選區分界不變，從而減少受劃界影響的選區數目(3 個減至 2 個)及人口(5100 人減至 2600 人)

方案二的 H07 新蒲崗選區劃界，是按選管會提出的建議方案不變，使 H07 新蒲崗選區人口降至法例許可的範圍內

6275(11)

敬啟者：

有關對大埔林村谷(P13)、寶雅區(P14)及康樂園(P17)雖議分界的意見

本人對選管會更改大埔林村谷(P13)、寶雅區(P14)及康樂園(P17)分界的建議深感失望，因此特致函就題述事宜表達反對，詳細原因如下：

1. 根據 2015 年區選分界建議報告書的大埔區書面/口頭申述摘要，有建議林村谷(P13)內的大埔頭水圍轉編入寶雅(P14)，而非當時的臨時建議下的康樂園(P17)。而當時選管會是不接納此建議，因為寶雅區是一個以居屋及公屋為主的選區，而康樂園是一個城鄉交融的選區，當中包括低密度的屋苑及鄉村，因此將大埔頭水圍的鄉村編入康樂園較理想。但是於 4 年後，選管會卻推翻 4 年前的決定，強行將大埔頭村、水圍村等鄉村及太湖山莊、華洛豪庭等低密度高級住宅區編入寶雅區，大大破壞社區的獨特性及完整性。選管會於 4 年前將水圍劃入康樂園區，現時卻計劃劃入寶雅區，選區的不斷更換讓水圍的居民無所適從。

2. 暫定的選區劃分令寶雅選區人口急增約七千人至二萬人，而且選區地區面積大幅增加。然而今屆區議會不少建議選區的劃分低或高於標準人口基數的上下限 25%，如西貢區西貢市中心(Q01)的人口偏離百分比是-34.33%、南區的鴨嗣洲邨(D02) 的人口偏離百分比是-27.33%、靚塘的麗港城(J27)的人口偏離百分比是+49.15%、北區的聯和墟(N01) 的人口偏離百分比是+25.03%、離島區的大嶼山(T01)、逸東邨南(T02)、逸東邨北(T03)、東涌南(T04)、坪洲及喜靈洲(T08)、南丫及蒲台(T09)及長洲(T10) 的人口偏離百分比是+48.71%、+41.42%、+49.42%、+27.8%、-60.11%、-60.83%及+31.04%。選管會都是鑑於社區完整性、地方聯繫、地理交通和人口分布的考慮，以上選區人口亦獲准偏離法例許可幅度。因此選管會應以社區的完整性、地方聯繫及地理交通等原因，不應強行把鄉村郊區的人口編入鄰近公屋及居屋的選區中。

3. 大埔區的鄉村區持續發展，多個新屋苑落成，使林村谷(P13)、康樂園(17)及船灣(P18)的人口不斷增加，船灣區的標準人口基數偏離百分比是+22.95%，已接近偏離法例許可幅度。因此選管會應將林村谷(P13)、康樂園(17)及船灣(P18)三個鄉郊選區共同處理，並將其分為四個選區，讓大埔鄉郊選區的人口可減低，也留有人口上升的空間，以有利社區的規劃及發展。

最後，基於上述原因，望選管會重新慎重考慮新選區分區建議，並作最妥善的安排。如有查詢，請致電 [redacted] 與本辦事處職員聯絡，謝謝!

候覆，此致
選舉管理委員會

大埔區議員 周炫瑋 謹啟

二零一八年八月

6275(12)

本人知悉選管會擬將海福花園從雲城（R16）選區撥入翠嘉（R19）選區。本人希望藉此反建議書反映重劃翠嘉（R19）選區並不能完善社區完整性，而且會超出現時訂下的法定人口基數。

選管會文件指出，如將海福花園劃入翠嘉選區，預計人口會升至 18,417 人。本人相信翠嘉（R19）選區在 2023 年時，人口必定超出最高法定人口基數（20749 人），選管會在 2023 時將需要重劃翠嘉選區。需重劃的原因主要是大圍鐵路站上蓋物業會在 2023 入伙，預計有 3,000 戶入伙。翠嘉區人口數字不斷上升，選管會應重新評估翠嘉區人口，否則海福花園會在 2023 年被第三次劃區。這對海福花園居民絕對不公平。

再者，海福花園和翠嘉區沒有明顯區域上的自然特徵連繫。海福花園與翠嘉區沒有明顯的地方聯繫。大圍街市並不能作為連接翠嘉區與海福花園的設施，因為大圍街市是較大的公共設施，大圍各區居民也會用到大圍街市，因此不能作為海福花園納入翠嘉的理由。

本人就本次新劃區提出以下的反建議：

- 將海福花園撥回下城門區（R15），
  - 下城門區及松田區未來未有大型樓宇項目落成的計劃，故此人口不會大幅增加。
- 並將美致樓及美盈苑劃去松田（R21）。
  - 在地域上（如社區設施共用性，例如美田社區會堂）更適合劃入松田選區，與美全樓三棟歸納到松田，令社區更完整。

- 就人口基數而言：
  - 提升松田區人數，從 15,131 人提升至人口中位數（約 17,000 人），確保人口數字穩定，減少來屆需重新劃區的機會。

6275(13)

  - 因應美致樓及美盈苑劃入松田區，海福就可回撥至下城門區。現時下城門區人口有 19,554 人，海福人口應比美致樓及美盈苑略少，海福能令下城門區人口維持相約水平。
- 就地域問題而言：
  - 海福花園能回歸原本選區，街坊不需要對該選區及其公共設施重新適應。
  - 就長遠而言，按照本人提交的反建議能令鄰近區域無需重劃。若能穩定附近人口基數，就不需要重新調整區域。
  - 按照過往大圍區一帶，翠嘉附近選區均以美田路為區隔。更能顯示社區所屬的地域性。

本人希望選管會能認清甚麼選區有重新劃區的逼切性，並非毫無預算及因應地區規劃而隨處開刀。某些選區，例如西貢北（P19），此區在歷史上因缺乏交通的緣故，故將西貢北劃入大埔區議會。然而，現在交通發達，不少公共交通工具都能從西貢進入西貢北，為何選管會並無盡早考慮重劃這類選區？

此外，如以地域因素計算的話，R37 愉欣選區由愉翠苑一帶繞過廣源邨，橫跨至亞公角，兩處中間相隔數個選區，又何來共用社區設施之理？同樣，下城門區域連接至沙田嶺一帶，與美田邨及大圍新村有更大地理阻隔，相反海福花園本來與下城門同為一體，如此做法更符合選管會原則，更能為未來大圍區人口的增減作出仔細預算，亦無須擾民，最為合理。

就沙田區而言，按選管會選區劃界臨時建議，部份選區預計人口經已貼近法定準則規定標準人口基數的上下限界線，且部份與鄰近區域未能有效分配人口比率，例如：

R07 沙角(-3.24%)、R08 博康(-3.23%)、R09 水泉澳(+22.26%)

R14 顯嘉(-21.54%)、R17 徑口(+18.01%)

R22 穗禾(-22.47%)、R23 火炭(+23.62%)

6275(14)

R25 海嶺(-22.13%)、R26 頌安(-4.57%)、R27 錦濤(+19.17%)

R40 廣康(-20.48%)、R41 廣源(-20.10%)

選管會應為此等選區進行劃分，平衡人口基數，在準則看來實在更為迫切。既然選管會成員架構只有三人，在人力資源不足的情況下，理應深思熟慮處理選區劃界，預計社區未來規劃所帶來的人口增減，即可減少改動選區次數，並避免某些屋苑變成「皮球」，導致屬區不同選區。

朝令夕改，零預算、零準則，實在難怪外間對選管會劃區舉動有多個揣測。本人亦無必要與選管會爭論政治性問題，只為提出數據及理據，盼選管會「理性」處理劃區事宜，令每一次選舉得以公平、公正及有效鼓勵市民投票。

6276

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups

2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱臨時建議 -- 公眾諮詢
[redacted] to: eacenq@reo.gov.hk 21/08/2018 15:14

本人反對最新的分區劃界建議。
對於選管會今次以地區人口作劃界理由,
本人認為指標過份單一。
這樣把一些原為統一的屋苑屋邨分割成不同選區,
無視歷苑的整體性及社群聯繫,
更令社區對相關屋苑管理的監察變得複雜, 難於監察。
況且, 跟據政府的指引, 人口基數只是選區劃界的其中一個指標, 還有其他指標, 例如社區完整性, 地理界線等,
所以今次政府的建議側重人口數字而把其他因素放輕,令人感到疑惑！
懇請選管會在進行廣泛諮詢和詳細研究後, 才作更合適的建議！
謝先生。

6277(1)

2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱的臨時建議公眾諮詢_自由黨回應
21/08/2018 17:23
From: [redacted]
To: <eacenq@reo.gov.hk>
Cc: [redacted]
1 Attachment

自由黨就「2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱的臨時建議公眾諮詢」回應.pdf

敬啟者:

自由黨現提交就「2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱的臨時建議公眾諮詢」回應, 煩見附件!

如有任何查詢, 歡迎致電自由黨 Michelle Lee (電話: [redacted])

自由黨

6277(2)

自由黨
LIBERAL PARTY

自由黨就
「2019 年區議會一般選舉選區分界及名稱的臨時建議公眾諮詢」
回應

1. 區議會自成立以來，一直在地區以至全港事務上具有舉足輕重的的諮詢角色。區議會除了反映民意、促進社區發展外，在監察地區層面的公共服務，以及推廣政府措施方面，也發揮了積極作用。
2. 是次諮詢文件根據選管會的臨時建議，建議劃定 21 個新增選區及調整 128 個現有選區的分界。自由黨原則上同意選區及分界劃分的建議， 惟選管會可進一步擴闊選區內預計的「人口」定義。
3. 在調整選區分界時，除了考慮該區的居住人口外，亦應將流動人口列入劃界考慮因素。因為除了居住人口外，部份選區如 C33(翠德)選區內有不少工商大廈、商場、街市等進行各種工商業活動。當區區議員除了為居民提供協助，亦需為這些流動人口、工商業活動所引起的社區問題提供協助，更需參與不少工商業大廈法團會議，處理大廈內外部問題，並接受其諮詢，令區議員的負擔日益加重。
4. 自由黨期望選管會可將選區的固定居住人口及流動人口均列入預計人口數字內，使區議員可更好地照顧到選區內各個持份者的意見及需求，以便促進社區的整體發展，充分發揮區議會的作用。

6278

堅決反對選管會就2019年區議會一般選舉選區分界臨時建議 21/08/2018 18:27
From: [redacted]
To: "eacenq@reo.gov.hk" <eacenq@reo.gov.hk>
Please respond to [redacted]

選啟者：

本人堅決反對選管會就2019年區議會一般選區分界臨時建議，理由如下：

1. 政府之所謂理由，只是所謂為割裂或合併選舉，為打擊原有選區之非建制區議員度身訂製之藉口，使原有選區之非建制派區議員無法服務原選舉之居民。

2.所謂人口估算，亦是同樣打擊原有選區之非建制派區議員之藉口。政府的估算，過往基本上是極不準確。以預計人口重新分配選區，並不合情理。

此致

中华人民共和国香港特別行政區政府選舉管理委員會。

香港市民
Jeffery CHEUNG
暨
立法會區議會(第二)功能界別選民
LW Mai

聯署

6279

劃界諮詢 21/08/2018 22:31
From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

無論今次劃界所根據之數據如何,背後之準則如何,最終端出之方案,就是清一色切割弱化泛民票源,並鞏固親中議員,如此結論.如何服眾!

建議一係於下次區選後重啟諮詢,認真聽取民意.

或若有政治任務不敢不能做真諮詢,就不如全體委任,凡香港人選出者都DQ罷了.反正都不是新鮮事！

香港未毀於外來強權之前,就先毀於出賣自己嘅香港人手上!

香港人
Y・T・WAN

6280

劃區意見書 21/08/2018 22:55
From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

本人反對區議會過份劃區,
導致市民不能準確認識或了解當區議員 間接造成長期公帑浪費

因區議員事實上也是針對當區居民 分發/ 派welfare , 服務居民,

假若再過份劃區(本人在此不想用胡亂劃區, 因為你也是跟 data base 數字而劃吧) , 資源就不能善用, 就此更得不償失.

本人意向 : 反對區議會再劃區

Karen Wong

6281

就建議分界及名稱遞交書面意見 21/08/2018 23:56
From: [redacted]
To: eacenq@reo.gov.hk

致選管會主席馮驊先生，

本人就著2019年推選佢重新分界，有以下意見:

一般的區議會及或立法會選舉，往往所劃分的區域，投票站的位置，也不會相差太遠，而又因區議會所劃分的選區人數大約為13,000人。為能方便街坊投票，而又合乎經濟效益。往往每個選區也只會有一個投票站。而投票站往往也因為方便居民的緣故，多數是在街頭，街尾，或樓下設置的學校或社區會堂。但聽乎貴會在今次的重新規劃128個選區內，有很多重新規劃的選區，如果只得一個投票站，就會造成很多居民不方便投票，或是要他們行得很遠才到達投票站。又或是極大可能需要每一個區開設兩個投票站，對於這樣浪費經濟資源的做法。本人大感不妥。而選管會馮先生你口口聲聲所提及的，重劃選區沒有政治考慮的因素。但是如果不是考慮政治的因素，為何會令到居民覺得甚為不便呢？相信在諮詢會內，也有不少人提及重劃選區對他們的不便。但是選管會馮先生你有沒有真的考慮他們的因素呢？如果你不是考慮政治的因素，便一定不會一意孤行地不理會投票的市民的不便，又或者每個選區開設兩個投票站，對經濟效益造成的損失。如果你是考慮到政治的因素，我相信你一定會一意孤行地不理市民的意見。本人反對重新規劃這128個選區。希望你能夠好好考慮，你所做的應該是為香港市民的益處而做的 。祝

工作愉快

香港小市民