

**立法會**  
**Legislative Council**

LC Paper No. FC31/19-20  
(These minutes have been  
cleared with the Chairman)

Ref : FC/1/1

**Finance Committee of the Legislative Council**

**Minutes of the meeting**  
**held at Conference Room 1 of the Legislative Council Complex**  
**on Friday, 11 October 2019, at 9:00 am**

**Members present:**

Hon CHAN Kin-por, GBS, JP (Chairman)  
Hon CHAN Chun-ying, JP (Deputy Chairman)  
Hon James TO Kun-sun  
Hon LEUNG Yiu-chung  
Hon Abraham SHEK Lai-him, GBS, JP  
Hon Tommy CHEUNG Yu-yan, GBS, JP  
Prof Hon Joseph LEE Kok-long, SBS, JP  
Hon Jeffrey LAM Kin-fung, GBS, JP  
Hon WONG Ting-kwong, GBS, JP  
Hon Starry LEE Wai-king, SBS, JP  
Hon CHAN Hak-kan, BBS, JP  
Dr Hon Priscilla LEUNG Mei-fun, SBS, JP  
Hon WONG Kwok-kin, SBS, JP  
Hon Mrs Regina IP LAU Suk-yee, GBS, JP  
Hon Paul TSE Wai-chun, JP  
Hon Claudia MO  
Hon Michael TIEN Puk-sun, BBS, JP  
Hon Steven HO Chun-yin, BBS  
Hon Frankie YICK Chi-ming, SBS, JP  
Hon WU Chi-wai, MH  
Hon YIU Si-wing, BBS  
Hon MA Fung-kwok, SBS, JP  
Hon Charles Peter MOK, JP  
Hon CHAN Chi-chuen

Hon CHAN Han-pan, BBS, JP  
Hon LEUNG Che-cheung, SBS, MH, JP  
Hon Alice MAK Mei-kuen, BBS, JP  
Dr Hon KWOK Ka-ki  
Hon KWOK Wai-keung, JP  
Hon Dennis KWOK Wing-hang  
Hon Christopher CHEUNG Wah-fung, SBS, JP  
Dr Hon Helena WONG Pik-wan  
Hon IP Kin-yuen  
Hon Elizabeth QUAT, BBS, JP  
Hon Martin LIAO Cheung-kong, GBS, JP  
Hon POON Siu-ping, BBS, MH  
Dr Hon CHIANG Lai-wan, SBS, JP  
Ir Dr Hon LO Wai-kwok, SBS, MH, JP  
Hon CHUNG Kwok-pan  
Hon Alvin YEUNG  
Hon Andrew WAN Siu-kin  
Hon CHU Hoi-dick  
Hon Jimmy NG Wing-ka, BBS, JP  
Dr Hon Junius HO Kwan-yiu, JP  
Hon HO Kai-ming  
Hon LAM Cheuk-ting  
Hon Holden CHOW Ho-ding  
Hon SHIU Ka-fai, JP  
Hon SHIU Ka-chun  
Hon Wilson OR Chong-shing, MH  
Hon YUNG Hoi-yan, JP  
Dr Hon Pierre CHAN  
Hon Tanya CHAN  
Hon CHEUNG Kwok-kwan, JP  
Hon HUI Chi-fung  
Hon LUK Chung-hung, JP  
Hon LAU Kwok-fan, MH  
Hon Kenneth LAU Ip-keung, BBS, MH, JP  
Dr Hon CHENG Chung-tai  
Hon KWONG Chun-yu  
Hon Jeremy TAM Man-ho  
Hon Gary FAN Kwok-wai  
Hon AU Nok-hin  
Hon Vincent CHENG Wing-shun, MH, JP  
Hon Tony TSE Wai-chuen, BBS  
Hon CHAN Hoi-yan

**Members absent:**

Hon Kenneth LEUNG  
Dr Hon Fernando CHEUNG Chiu-hung

**Clerk in attendance:**

Ms Anita SIT    Assistant Secretary General 1

**Staff in attendance:**

|                  |                                   |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Ms Angel SHEK    | Chief Council Secretary (1)1      |
| Mr Jason KONG    | Senior Council Secretary (1)1     |
| Miss Bowie LAM   | Council Secretary (1)1            |
| Ms Alice CHEUNG  | Senior Legislative Assistant (1)1 |
| Miss Queenie LAM | Senior Legislative Assistant (1)2 |
| Mr Frankie WOO   | Senior Legislative Assistant (1)3 |
| Miss Mandy POON  | Legislative Assistant (1)1        |
| Ms Christy YAU   | Legislative Assistant (1)8        |
| Ms Clara LO      | Legislative Assistant (1)9        |
| Ms Haley CHEUNG  | Legislative Assistant (1)10       |

---

Action

**Item I — Election of Chairman and Deputy Chairman for the 2019-2020 session**

Mr CHAN Kin-por, the Chairman of the Finance Committee ("FC") in office, presided over the election of the Chairman of FC for the 2019-2020 session.

Order of the meeting

2. At the start of the meeting, a number of members spoke aloud in their seats, indicating their intention to raise points of order. Mr CHU Hoi-dick said that as the social crisis arising from the Fugitive Offenders and Mutual Legal Assistance in Criminal Matters Legislation (Amendment) Bill 2019 presented by the Administration to the Legislative Council had yet to be resolved, and members of the public were particularly dissatisfied with the Police's handling of the demonstrations, FC should not convene meetings to scrutinize the financial proposals.

3. Both Mr AU Nok-hin and Mr KWONG Chun-yu sought clarification from Mr CHAN Kin-por on whether he had openly stated that he personally did not agree with the arrangement to single out the pay adjustment for police officers from the agenda item on the 2019-2020 civil service pay adjustment for separate deliberation and voting. Mr KWONG considered that the Chairman of FC should maintain neutrality and refrain from affecting FC's way of scrutinizing the financial proposals submitted by the Administration due to his personal views.

4. Mr CHAN Kin-por confirmed that he had sought the Administration's views on separate scrutiny and voting on the pay adjustment for police officers. The Administration had clearly stated its opposition to the matter, and he personally held the same view as the Administration. He said that if it was members' view that some police officers were acting violently, they could demand investigations on the persons concerned. But if all police officers were not allowed to receive pay increase for that reason, it would be unfair to those police officers who were not deployed to handle the demonstrations. He reminded members that the present meeting was held to elect the Chairman and Deputy Chairman of FC, and members should express their political demands on other occasions.

5. A number of members continued speaking aloud in their seats. Mr CHAN Kin-por cautioned those members to stop shouting in their seats and impeding the normal conduct of the meeting; or else, he would have no choice but order them to withdraw from the meeting. At 9:04 am, after warning Mr CHU Hoi-dick and Mr AU Nok-hin for the third time, Mr CHAN Kin-por ruled that the conduct of the two members was grossly disorderly, seriously impeding the proceeding of the meeting. He ordered the two members to withdraw from the meeting. The two members refused to leave the meeting room and kept speaking aloud. Mr CHAN Kin-por announced that the meeting be suspended.

6. The meeting resumed at 9:11 am. Mr CHAN Kin-por said that for the sake of ensuring the smooth conduct of the meeting, he decided that Mr CHU Hoi-dick and Mr AU Nok-hin would be allowed to continue to participate in today's FC meeting.

## Election of Chairman

### *Nomination process*

7. Mr CHAN Kin-por called for nominations for the chairmanship of FC for the 2019-2020 session.

8. Mr CHAN Kin-por was nominated by Ms Starry LEE and the nomination was seconded by Dr Priscilla LEUNG. Mr CHAN Kin-por accepted the nomination. According to paragraph 6(b) of the Finance Committee Procedure ("FCP"), Mr CHAN Chun-ying, the Deputy Chairman of FC in office, then took the Chair. Mr CHAN Chun-ying asked if there were other nominations. A total of 23 valid nominations were received. A detailed nomination list is in **Appendix I**.

9. As some members kept nominating certain members, while those members being nominated had explicitly stated that they would not accept the nominations, Mr CHAN Chun-ying called on members to stop nominating those members who had explicitly refused to accept the nominations. Ms Elizabeth QUAT criticized members of the pro-democracy camp for stalling the meeting progress by hook or by crook, which was grossly inappropriate. Ms Alice MAK also expressed similar dissatisfaction.

10. Mr LAM Cheuk-ting asked whether a member could accept the nomination made by other members even if he had previously refused to accept the nomination made by a particular member. Mr CHAN Chun-ying replied in the affirmative.

### *Qualifications of seconders and candidates*

11. During the nomination process, as some members who were nominees themselves had previously seconded the nominations of other candidates, the Clerk referred to paragraph 6(c) of FCP ("FCP 6(c)") which provided that, "A valid nomination shall be made orally by a member, seconded by at least one other member who should not be the member being nominated, and accepted by the member being nominated." Mr LAM Cheuk-ting pointed out that when a member seconded the nominations of other candidates, he did not know that he would subsequently get nominated. It would be unfair to the said member if his seconding of the nomination was ruled invalid or he was barred from standing in the election for that reason. Dr Helena WONG also expressed the same view.

12. At 9:38 am, Mr CHAN Chun-ying announced that the meeting be suspended for him to deliberate on issues relating to the qualifications of seconders and candidates. The meeting resumed at 9:47 am.

13. When the meeting resumed, Mr CHAN Chun-ying advised that having discussed the matter with the Legal Adviser of the Legislative Council Secretariat ("LA") and the Clerk, he decided that FCP 6(c) should be applied with a greater degree of leniency. According to the provision, even if a member had seconded the nomination of other members before he himself was nominated, he would still qualify as a candidate. When a member was nominated, he could no longer second the nominations of other members. But the nominations he seconded before his own nomination would not be affected. Mr Jeremy TAM, Mr CHU Hoi-dick and Mr WU Chi-wai asked whether FCP 6(c) only provided against a nominated candidate being a seconder, while a proposer was not subject to the same restriction. The Clerk advised that FCP 6(c) did not specify any restriction on the qualifications of a proposer.

*Arrangements for election forum*

14. Upon the completion of the nomination process, Mr CHAN Chun-ying asked if the candidates and other members were agreeable to the holding of an election forum. He advised that election forums had been held for the election of chairman and deputy chairman in recent years.

15. Mr LAM Cheuk-ting, Dr Helena WONG, Mr James TO, Ms Tanya CHAN, Mr Gary FAN and Mr KWONG Chun-yu stated support for the holding of an election forum. These members requested Mr CHAN Chun-ying to ensure that all candidates would have sufficient time to state their platforms and answer the questions from members, so that the public and other members could have a better understanding of the chairmanship style of the candidates.

16. Mr Steven HO was concerned that as 23 candidates were standing in the election, it would be impossible to elect the Chairman within a reasonable time if the previous mode of election forum was adopted where individual candidates would immediately take turns to reply questions asked by a member. Ms Starry LEE suggested that a time limit be set for the entire election forum to ensure the efficient conduct of the meeting.

17. Mr CHAN Kin-por was concerned that so far, FC had a backlog of 44 financial proposals, involving over \$70 billion of funding provisions for public works projects. He was worried that if the election process of the

Chairman was delayed time and again, the progress of vetting and approving the relevant financial proposals would be affected further. Both he and Dr Junius HO called on Mr CHAN Chun-ying to put to vote by FC the matter concerning whether an election forum should be held or not.

18. At 10:58 am, Mr CHAN Chun-ying announced that the meeting be suspended for him to deliberate on the mode of the election forum. The meeting resumed at 11:30 am.

19. When the meeting resumed, Mr CHAN Chun-ying advised that having discussed the matter with LA and the Clerk, he had prepared three options for holding the election forum (i.e. options A, B and C as set out in detail in **Appendix II**). He would put the three options to vote. Members could vote in favour of or against each of the three options or abstain from voting. The two options with the highest number of supporting votes would enter into the second round of voting where members could likewise vote in favour of or against each of the two options or abstain from voting. The election forum would then proceed according to the option receiving the highest number of supporting votes.

20. Mr CHAN Chun-ying asked whether members had any questions on the above three options. Noting that option A would take 983 minutes to complete, Dr CHIANG Lai-wan asked why Mr CHAN Chun-ying had not made available a fourth option for voting, i.e. not holding an election forum. Dr Priscilla LEUNG considered that option B was more preferable. Ms Tanya CHAN considered the time limit of two minutes for each candidate to make a consolidated reply under option B too short.

21. Regarding the allocation of eight minutes for each candidate to receive and answer questions under option C, Ms Tanya CHAN asked whether questions could also be asked by other candidates. Ms Claudia MO was concerned that if the candidates were to answer questions according to their candidate numbers, it would seemingly be unfair to the candidates assigned with smaller candidate numbers. Mr Steven HO suggested that the speaking order of candidates be decided by the drawing of lots.

22. Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr Steven HO, Mr CHEUNG Kwok-kwan, Mr CHAN Chi-chuen, Ms Claudia MO and Mr Jeffrey LAM expressed concern about the arrangement to be made if a candidate was not present when it was his turn to answer questions according to the result of the drawing of lots.

23. Mr CHAN Chun-ying replied that in the past, option A had always been adopted for holding the election forum. As there were a total of 23 candidates in the present election, members could consider whether it was reasonably practicable to continue adopting option A. As most members had agreed to hold an election forum, he had not prepared an option for not holding an election forum. At present, there was no requirement that a candidate must be present during an election forum, he agreed that the speaking order of candidates could be decided by the drawing of lots. For instance, he could draw five candidates each time. If a candidate was not present when it was his turn to speak, he would let the other four candidates speak first. If the said candidate was still not present after those four candidates had spoken, he would be deemed to have forfeited his turn to speak. He would then draw other five candidates and repeat the process until the last candidate was drawn.

24. At 11:46 am, Mr CHAN Chun-ying put the three options to vote. In the first round of voting, options B and C received a greater number of supporting votes. In the second round of voting, option B received a greater number of supporting votes. Mr CHAN Chun-ying declared that option B would be adopted for holding the election forum. In line with the usual practice, the Secretariat would prepare a verbatim transcript for the election forum.

#### Points of order

##### *Separate deliberation and voting on the pay adjustment for police officers*

25. At 9:18 am, Mr HUI Chi-fung, Ms Claudia MO and Mr AU Nok-hin said that given the continuous social conflicts in Hong Kong at the moment, especially when issues concerning the suspected abusive use of violence by police officers against the demonstrators had yet to be addressed properly, FC should not convene any meeting now to elect the Chairman and the Deputy Chairman or examine the financial proposals submitted by the Administration, in particular the funding proposal relating to the pay adjustment for police officers.

26. Mr Gary FAN requested the Administration to handle the FC discussion paper on the 2019-2020 civil service pay adjustment separately, such that the pay adjustment for police officers would be singled out for separate deliberation and voting. He also criticized that it was unfair for Mr CHAN Kin-por, as the Chairman of FC in office, to openly tell the media earlier on that he personally was against the separate handling of the pay adjustment for police officers. He thus queried that Mr CHAN was not qualified to run for the office of the Chairman.



27. Mr CHAN Chun-ying advised that the only item on the agenda of today's meeting was the election of Chairman and Deputy Chairman, which was conducted in accordance with the election process set out in FCP 4, 5, 6 and 6A. As such, members should raise their points of order in relation to the above provisions. The stances of the candidates for the chairmanship and deputy chairmanship on individual financial proposals were irrelevant to today's meeting. Members could express their views on individual candidates during the question and answer session of the election forum and cast their votes for or against such candidates as the Chairman or the Deputy Chairman.

*Offensive remarks*

28. During the nomination process, Mr CHEUNG Kwok-kwan was nominated by Mr LAM Cheuk-ting. Mr CHEUNG said that he would not accept the nomination made by a former investigator dismissed by the Independent Commission Against Corruption ("ICAC"). Mr LAM clarified that he resigned from ICAC; he also criticized Mr CHEUNG for not clarifying the facts. Mr CHEUNG further explained that what he meant was that he would not accept the nomination regardless of whether the proposer was a former investigator of ICAC who resigned or was dismissed.

29. Mr IP Kin-yuen, Mr Alvin YEUNG, Dr KWOK Ka-ki and Mr LAM Cheuk-ting criticized that Mr CHEUNG Kwok-kwan's remarks were wrong and offensive. They requested Mr CHAN Chun-ying to make a ruling and order Mr CHEUNG to withdraw his remarks and apologize.

30. Mr CHAN Chun-ying ruled that Mr CHEUNG Kwok-kwan's remarks were not offensive. He considered that Mr CHEUNG had merely stated an ambiguous view, and Mr LAM Cheuk-ting had already clarified that he resigned from ICAC. Mr LAM and Mr James TO were dissatisfied with the ruling. Mr CHAN Chun-ying asked if Mr CHEUNG Kwok-kwan would consider withdrawing his remarks. Mr CHEUNG agreed to withdraw his remarks.

*Meeting arrangements*

31. At 11:39 am, Mr LEUNG Yiu-chung enquired about the arrangements to be made if the Chairman could not be elected at today's meeting. He suggested that another meeting be scheduled to hold the election forum. Mr CHAN Chi-chuen asked whether the meeting would end at 1:00 pm. Mr CHAN Chun-ying advised that the meeting would

end at around 12:30 pm. Depending on the meeting progress, he would make a final decision. He pointed out that the election forum consisted of different parts which could be held individually. Hence, he considered that the 23 candidates could be allowed to present their platforms first. If the election of the Chairman could not be completed, the Chairman in office would expeditiously decide the date for holding an additional meeting, possibly next Monday (14 October) or Tuesday (15 October). The Secretariat would notify members as soon as possible once the meeting date was fixed.

*[Post-meeting note: With the concurrence of Mr CHAN Kin-por, Chairman of FC in office, FC would hold a meeting on Monday, 14 October 2019, from 9 am to 12:30 pm and from 2:30 pm to 6:00 pm to continue the election of the Chairman and the Deputy Chairman of FC for the 2019-2020 session.]*

*Presentation of platforms by the candidates*

32. The candidates present presented their platforms in the order determined by the drawing of lots. (Verbatim transcript of the presentation of platforms is in **Appendix III**.)

33. The meeting ended at 12:26 pm.

Legislative Council Secretariat  
25 November 2019

**List of candidates for the election of  
the Chairman of the Finance Committee for the 2019-2020 session**

(Number of candidates: 23)

| <b>No.</b> | <b>Candidate</b>     | <b>Proposer</b>      | <b>Seconder</b>    |
|------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| 1          | Mr CHAN Kin-por      | Ms Starry LEE        | Dr Priscilla LEUNG |
| 2          | Mr HUI Chi-fung      | Dr Helena WONG       | Mr WU Chi-wai      |
| 3          | Dr Helena WONG       | Mr WU Chi-wai        | Mr Andrew WAN      |
| 4          | Mr WU Chi-wai        | Ms Tanya CHAN        | Mr CHU Hoi-dick    |
| 5          | Mr Andrew WAN        | Mr WU Chi-wai        | Mr James TO        |
| 6          | Mr KWONG Chun-yu     | Mr James TO          | Ms Tanya CHAN      |
| 7          | Mr Jeremy TAM        | Mr AU Nok-hin        | Ms Tanya CHAN      |
| 8          | Mr Dennis KWOK       | Dr KWOK Ka-ki        | Ms Tanya CHAN      |
| 9          | Mr Charles Peter MOK | Mr Alvin YEUNG       | Ms Tanya CHAN      |
| 10         | Dr KWOK Ka-ki        | Mr Charles Peter MOK | Ms Tanya CHAN      |
| 11         | Mr James TO          | Mr WU Chi-wai        | Ms Tanya CHAN      |
| 12         | Mr CHAN Chi-chuen    | Mr LEUNG Yiu-chung   | Ms Tanya CHAN      |
| 13         | Dr CHENG Chung-tai   | Mr CHAN Chi-chuen    | Ms Tanya CHAN      |
| 14         | Mr LEUNG Yiu-chung   | Mr AU Nok-hin        | Ms Tanya CHAN      |
| 15         | Mr Alvin YEUNG       | Mr Gary FAN          | Ms Tanya CHAN      |
| 16         | Mr Gary FAN          | Mr IP Kin-yuen       | Ms Tanya CHAN      |
| 17         | Ms Claudia MO        | Mr Alvin YEUNG       | Ms Tanya CHAN      |
| 18         | Mr IP Kin-yuen       | Mr Gary FAN          | Ms Tanya CHAN      |
| 19         | Mr AU Nok-hin        | Mr CHU Hoi-dick      | Ms Tanya CHAN      |
| 20         | Mr SHIU Ka-chun      | Mr Alvin YEUNG       | Ms Tanya CHAN      |
| 21         | Mr CHU Hoi-dick      | Prof Joseph LEE      | Ms Tanya CHAN      |
| 22         | Prof Joseph LEE      | Mr Alvin YEUNG       | Ms Tanya CHAN      |
| 23         | Mr LAM Cheuk-ting    | Mr Gary FAN          | Ms Tanya CHAN      |

**Options for holding the election forum for the election of  
the Chairman of the Finance Committee for the 2019-2020 session**

(Number of candidates: 23)

| Session  |                                       | Option A  | Option B<br>(the option adopted<br>by voting)  | Option C   |
|--|---------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| <b>Presentation of<br/>platforms by<br/>candidates</b> |                                       | One minute for<br>each candidate  | One minute for each<br>candidate   | One minute for each<br>candidate   |
| <b>Question<br/>and<br/>answer<br/>session</b>         | <b>Questions<br/>from<br/>members</b> | Members to ask<br>questions, each for<br>not more than one<br>minute, in the<br>order of which<br>they indicate their<br>intention to speak                   | Members to ask<br>questions, each for not<br>more than one minute,<br>in the order of which<br>they indicate their<br>intention to speak                                 | Eight minutes for<br>each candidate.<br>Members to take<br>turns to ask<br>questions, each for<br>not more than 30<br>seconds, to the <b>same</b><br>candidate in the<br>order of which they<br>indicate their<br>intention to speak,<br>and the said<br>candidate to<br><b>immediately</b> give a<br>reply for not more<br>than 30 seconds to<br>the questions raised<br>by individual<br>members |
|  | <b>Replies by<br/>candidates</b>      | The candidate<br>concerned to<br><b>immediately</b> give<br>a reply for not<br>more than one<br>minute to the<br>questions raised<br>by individual<br>members | Candidates to give<br><b>consolidated replies</b> ,<br>each for not more than<br>two minutes, after <b>all</b><br>members who intend<br>to ask questions have<br>done so |  |
| <b>Concluding remarks</b>                              |                                       | <b>No</b> concluding<br>remarks by<br>candidates  | One minute for each<br>candidate   | One minute for each<br>candidate   |
| <b>Estimated time<br/>required</b>                     |                                       | 983 minutes   | 132 minutes  | 230 minutes  |

The order of candidates in each of the above sessions (except the question and answer session under Options A and B) may be determined by the drawing of lots.

The estimated time required for Options A and B is calculated on the assumption that 40 members would ask questions.

\*\*\*\*\*

立法會財務委員會  
會議紀要

日期：2019年10月11日(星期五)  
時間：上午9時正  
地點：立法會綜合大樓會議室 1

(財務委員會主席選舉  
陳述競選綱領的逐字紀錄本)

\*\*\*\*\*

**Appendix III**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Finance Committee of the Legislative Council  
Minutes of the meeting  
held at Conference Room 1 of the Legislative Council Complex  
on Friday, 11 October 2019, at 9 am**

**(Verbatim Transcript of the Presentation of Platforms  
in the Election of the Chairman of the Finance Committee)**

\*\*\*\*\*

**陳振英議員：**根據B方案，首先會讓23位候選人陳述其綱領。按照我剛才所說，我會先抽出5位候選人……請顯示各候選人的編號……第一位是10號郭家麒議員，他現時不在席，請他快點回來。第二位是21號朱凱迪議員。第三位是16號范國威議員。第四位是19號區諾軒議員，他也不在席，走開了，請他快點回來。第五位是……這是6號還是9號？這是6對嗎？這是6還是9？

**秘書：**應該是6。

**陳振英議員：**應該是6號，好的，6號鄭俊宇議員。不如這樣，就按照我剛才所說，先將這位放在一旁。21號候選人朱凱迪議員，請用1分鐘陳述你的參選綱領。

**朱凱迪議員：**謝謝主持。我的參選綱領就是這8個字："警暴不除，點樣開會"。平常我們在財委會提出的問題可以很技術性，但在今時今日的香港，我們要問的……特別是針對警隊……我們要問甚麼問題呢？是問有多少浮屍無法證明死因；是問他們在新屋嶺、在不同警署強姦、性侵了多少人；是問有多少大陸武警混入香港？現在當香港立法會議員要問警察這些問題的時候，我們是否還可以不先解決警察暴力問題而繼續開會呢？我覺得不可能，市民沒有辦法接受這樣的會議。所以，整個財委會、整個立法會應該全力令政府面對警暴問題，之後我們就可以回來開會。

**陳振英議員**：下一位是16號候選人范國威議員。你有1分鐘。

**范國威議員**：多謝主持。我的政綱是"五大訴求，缺一不可"，還有4個反對：反對"緊急法"、反對"蒙面法"、反對"監師法"、反對"辱警罪"。我們要清楚知道，立法會是一個民意表達平台，政府施政一定要以香港人為本。但是，現在面對嚴重社會矛盾、政治衝突，不是林鄭月娥政府伴隨着建制派、保皇黨要去救火，便用火水救火，明明香港人不要"送中惡法"，你們就再推兩項出來，又要"蒙面法"，又要"緊急法"，這是絕不可取。

我亦想強調，我絕對不會像上任財委會主席陳健波一般偏袒政府，為了自己的收成期而漠視香港人的民意。制止警暴！

**陳振英議員**：下一位是19號候選人區諾軒議員。1分鐘時間。

**區諾軒議員**："五大訴求，缺一不可"。各位，這是香港人在"反送中"運動以來的核心訴求，當中最重要的是雙普選。為何香港人對於未來如此擔憂？便是這數年看到建制派、保皇黨不斷恃着人多、多票，用不民主的政制代表香港大多數人的意見"搞"融合、大灣區，把香港獨有的制度不斷地剝削，不斷地踐踏。為何很多年輕人每天早上在學校叫口號？為何很多大學生參與這場運動？正正是看不到未來。財委會未來無論是哪一項撥款都應該以香港人為依歸，不要再搞甚麼"大白象"工程。



**陳振英議員**：下一位是6號候選人鄭俊宇議員，1分鐘。

**鄭俊宇議員**：主持，其實今天在這個財委會內大家的立場也很清晰。正如剛才我們所說，在財委會.....在公務員薪酬調整方面，我們認為警隊加薪應該要抽出來獨立審議。這樣在財委會並非先例，在過往亦有一些.....即透過議程調動的方式來避開爭議。我不明白為何在未進入討論的情況下便已經有一些人士表示這樣未必work，政府"不批准"就"不批准"。政府"不批准"，我們就更更"企硬"我們立法會的角色。這個就是我參選財委會主席的其中一個重點，便是我們要聽.....委員的，無論你是甚麼光譜也好。事實上，現在將這個項目獨立抽出來，其實對整個項目的審議非常公道。你看看，昨天就有一位女生挺身而出.....在新屋嶺遇到的問題。剛才我們說過，他們的棍打香港人，他們的槍射香港人，為何還要"攬炒"，將公務員薪酬調整一併捆綁呢？我認為應該要抽出獨立審議。這是我的政綱。

**陳振英議員**：因為10號候選人郭家麒議員不在席，他這一輪陳述綱領的環節就沒機會了。我們再抽出另外5位候選人。

第一位是2號許智峯議員。第二位是11號涂謹申議員，請你準備。第三位是5號尹兆堅議員。第四位是3號黃碧雲議員。第五位是7號譚文豪議員。這5位的先後次序定了。

首先，第一位是2號許智峯議員，請提出你的綱領，1分鐘。

**許智峯議員**：香港人走到今天，我們繼續活在白色恐怖、活在專權、威權及警暴的陰霾下，香港人，特別是我們的年輕人，在水深火熱之中。如果昨天有聽到中大那個會，看到除下口罩那位女生，她的控訴，有多少人對着電視流淚，有多少人心碎。試問在這境地下，我們還能否開會？我試問主持你、在座的保皇黨，有否半點良知？我認為這個會議開不了下去。所以，我們堅持五大訴求。如果我當上財委會主席，我第一個會要求所有保皇黨議員就着支持"反送中"、支持"緊急法"向香港人道歉、收回，才能開會，否則沒可能繼續下去。如果開會，一票也不會支持政府。就是這樣。

**陳振英議員**：下一位是涂謹申議員，你可以用1分鐘提出你的參選綱領。

**涂謹申議員**：主席，各位同事、各位市民，現時香港處於一個很困難的時候，其實我們需要用政治來解決這個問題，而不是像政府現在加些火水進去.....用"蒙面法"這樣。說到財委會，政府以為可以若無其事，繼續要求一些撥款，以為可以改善民生或甚麼，但最重要的是，如果解決不到現時整個大政治格局的困難，那些是沒有用的。很簡單，如果說到加薪，如果你真的連把加薪分開討論，把警隊加薪分開討論，你也不肯，政府也說不肯，這樣的話，你如何政治解決呢？你記住分開討論.....其實保皇黨已經有多數票，這已經是制度暴力，根本多數香港人不是選保皇黨的。

**陳振英議員**：下一位是5號候選人尹兆堅議員。

**尹兆堅議員**：多謝主席。我有3個綱領："五大訴求，缺一不可"；"誓除警暴，撥亂反正"；"認真議政，審議把關"。為何說這數點呢？

過去我們看到，財委會有時被取笑為"財仔會"，這並非指它借錢，而是政府來到，基本上都是特快批核。我們現主席大部分時間好像正常，但當有一些爭議性項目.....其實"波哥"也心知肚明，我們也稱讚過你，也批評過你，應該是政治任務的時候，你便手起刀落。

其實，現在議會或社會為何變成這麼水深火熱呢？這個也反映了真象，因為議會已經失去了制衡行政機關，監察政府的功能。將來我們希望怎樣呢？我希望透過我們一班同事爭取，包括我參選，可以制衡政府胡作非為。其中一個例子便是"波哥"最近就政府.....警察還要加薪.....在如此一片爭議聲中，他竟然不是說這意見值得向政府反映一下，而是說政府不會批准，我覺得議會已失去了功能。

**陳振英議員**：下一位發表綱領的是3號候選人黃碧雲議員。

**黃碧雲議員**：主席，這4個月發生了這麼多事情，我覺得政府不可以當作甚麼也沒發生過，然後一切如常，然後說我們要這筆撥款、那筆撥款，然後叫我們財委會通過。

其實，現在的問題，除了撤回"送中條例"外，有很多警察被指濫用他們的權力。但是，至今為止，並沒有任何一位警員或代表警方的官員曾向公眾道歉。政府現在的情況是，它不接受監察，但我們的責任就是監察它，無論是撥款或現在談論那些濫用警權的問題。但是，我們24位民主派議員寫信，一次又一次，寫信給警務處處長盧偉聰，要求安排我們前往新屋嶺視察，盧偉聰如何回覆我們呢？"No"！然後反過來.....監警會.....他就讓他們去。現在新屋嶺就出現.....被指.....有一名被捕男子被輪姦.....被雞姦，如果政府.....

**陳振英議員**：黃議員，你的時間到了。我相信你可以在另外一些環節再發表意見。

下一位是7號候選人譚文豪議員。請發表你的綱領，1分鐘。

**譚文豪議員**：主持，我相信立法會其實最重要要做的，是市民想見到的事情，而不是我們坐在這裏，很安靜地，一切如常，沒有事情發生過，繼續批出撥款。我又看不到今次的撥款或未來任何一項撥款申請在完成撥款後，可以讓那位瞎了的女孩重見光明，讓那位印尼記者重見光明？有沒有一項撥款批出後，那數條人命可以回來？有沒有一項撥款批出後，我們會看到新屋嶺事件可以徹查？警察濫用暴力已經達至瘋狂狀態，城市已到了這樣的時候，你仍然叫我們"坐定定"批撥款，特別是公務員加薪，大家覺得仍有興趣嗎？大家覺得警員仍值得加薪嗎？這些才是市民想看到的，而不是我們乖乖地坐在這裏開會。

**陳振英議員**：我們抽出下一輪候選人發表綱領的次序。第一位是18號葉建源議員……先讓我說完全部5位的順序。第二位是14號梁耀忠議員。第三位是13號候選人鄭松泰議員，接着是12號候選人陳志全議員……這麼整齊……接着是1號候選人陳健波議員。先請18號候選人葉建源議員發表你的參選綱領，1分鐘。

**葉建源議員**：主席，我的政綱是，立法會財委會主席必須做到公平、公正，尤其是在主持會議的時候，亦要回應現時香港特定的危機。所謂公平、公正，最低限度要做到尊重少數人的意見。在立法會內，尊重少數人的意見是甚麼意思呢？便是要做到制衡，具體來說，便是不要"人多欺人少"，以及有足夠時間讓不同意見的議員表達意見，亦要完全按照有關規例、規章來辦事，不可以隨隨便便更改這些規例，這樣才能夠保障少數人發表意見的權利。這樣做，我們才能夠回應現在特定的危機，例如有關警隊是否加薪的問題。這個問題其實需要在立法會內回應，只有足夠的制衡，我們才能夠發揮立法會的監督角色。這就是我的政綱。

**陳振英議員**：下一位是14號候選人梁耀忠議員。

**梁耀忠議員**：主席，雖然我是候選人，但我很同意剛才所有候選人發表的政綱內容，因為他們說出了目前香港社會的狀況，亦說出了這個議會內過去種種不公道、不公平的情況。

不過，我想強調一件事，如果我有機會當上主席，我會迫令政府盡快成立一個獨立調查委員會，不單調查整件"送中條例"事件的一些因由，或所引致的一些問題，最重要的是要查出警權暴力的問題。現在我們看到甚麼情況呢？警方說有人犯法就捉人，但問題在於當警察犯法時，沒有人捉他們，導致現時警方有恃無恐，肆無忌憚地為所欲為。這些情況就是最嚴重的地方。如果我們沒有一個獨立調查委員會調查究竟警方有何濫捕情況，其實對不起的不單是這個社會，特別是那些受害人。所以，我覺得若我當上主席，一定迫令政府成立獨立調查委員會。

**陳振英議員：**下一位是13號候選人鄭松泰議員，請發表你的綱領。

**鄭松泰議員：**謝謝主席。若我當上主席，在政府眼中，我一定是偏頗的。我偏頗是偏頗香港人的公共利益，因為關於公共財政的制度，我認為如果相關撥款能夠強化香港的自治權，即能夠強化香港人監察政府的權利，我就會先做。

至於在《議事規則》的執行上，我認為口角沒有所謂，甚至"講粗口"都只是自己負責而已。同時，在會議上我不需要麻煩保安的同事，我開財委會是不需要保安的。如果大家打架，是免責的。是的，因為在這個社會環境，其實.....立法會都"撞爆"了，對嗎？其實理應不存在，再加上政府已經動用了"緊急法"通過.....繞過立法會去立法。所以，在議會內打架其實應該是合理的，即是在大眾眼中。所以，我覺得財委會不需要有保安同事，以及打架免責是合適的，尤其是關於何君堯議員的情況。

**陳振英議員**：下一位是12號候選人陳志全議員，請發表你的參選政綱。

**陳志全議員**：我的政綱就是，如果政府不回應市民的訴求，在未來的日子，你每申請一元撥款，都要比以前更艱難。立法會的保皇黨如果幫政府，亦沒有好日子過。

第二，不可以讓陳健波繼續做財委會主席，剛才他還是死性不改，完全沒有覺悟，經過這4個月，仍在說700億元、40多個項目，要加會、加班。來吧！加吧！最好"加埋"夜晚，最好"加埋"星期六，等更多香港市民放工，等更多香港市民放假，可以來包圍立法會。當政府與民為敵，撥款給政府就是給它子彈、彈藥、人手去打香港市民。

香港市民現在進化了，過去我們很多項目都守不住，因為我們呼籲大家最後臨近投票才來包圍立法會，跟着"眼白白"看着它通過。現在不是了，如果有甚麼不義的撥款，第一天就要來包圍立法會。你們這些保皇黨，你這個主席，等天收吧！

**陳振英議員**：跟着是1號候選人陳健波議員。

**陳健波議員**：各位議員，我剛才聽了多位議員的發言，真的令我有很大感觸，因為長時間以來都說我不中立，但是大家聽到大家說甚麼嗎？你當上主席會做甚麼？迫政府做這樣，迫政府

做那樣，迫建制派"無路行"。究竟知不知道主席要做甚麼？主席是主持會議的，"大佬"！

所以，我一定要對大家說，我的政綱很簡單，我希望大家支持我，為甚麼？因為我覺得主席不應該騎劫這個財委會去達到自己的政治目的，更加不應該漠視現時香港市民在水深火熱之中。建造業30多萬人"無工開"，在這種情況之下，我們立法會議員，大家是否沒有"出糧"？你一樣照出，你10萬元一個月，你是否對得起那些"無工開"的市民？所以我在這裏承諾，我不會騎劫這個議會，我會公平、公正、有效率地主持財委會，希望大家支持我。

**陳振英議員**：我跟着抽下一輪5位候選人的次序。第一位是20號候選人邵家臻議員.....稍等一下，等我先抽完.....第二位是23號林卓廷議員。第三位是8號郭榮鏗議員。第四位是22號李國麟議員。第五位是17號毛孟靜議員。

先請20號.....鄭俊宇議員。

**鄭俊宇議員**：主持，規程問題。我想問問，剛才你說過，大概12時.....觀乎那個.....



**陳振英議員**：是的，完成這輪發表參選綱領，即大約還有8至9分鐘，我們就會完結今天的會議。

邵家臻議員，請發表你的參選綱領。

**邵家臻議員**：謝謝主持。每次說到選舉的時候，都有一句金句，這金句就是："這是經濟問題，蠢才！"今次應該是說："這是政治經濟問題，蠢才！"

先不要說警察加薪，就算現時說得沸沸揚揚的，即如何改善綜援，就算是改善綜援，其實都不能夠平息現時的政治糾紛，或現時整個社會這麼大的不滿。

我想說的是，現時我們面對的是一個政治經濟問題，一個封閉權力結構的問題，一個威權政府的問題。在這些問題上，如果作為財委會主席，我覺得不是只說民生與不民生的問題，是根本不可以讓這個威權政府繼續坐大的問題。所以，朱凱迪議員說得對，警暴未除，如何開會呢？在這段期間，我們已經有很多很多人上街，有很多不同的集會，有很多.....已經射了很多子彈。我們怎可以吞下這口氣？怎可以當作是一個平常不過的會議呢？

**陳振英議員**：下一位是23號候選人林卓廷議員，請發表你的綱領。

**林卓廷議員**：主持，我42歲，還有很長時間都未到收成期，我知道"波哥"已經進入收成期，他亦很注重自己的收成期，但我看到陳健波議員過往，因為他始終是受制於保皇黨的基因，又或是中聯辦的壓力等等，令到他很多時候協助政府通過一些極具爭議的撥款。這亦是保皇黨過去這數個月，大家見到，就算有些人心裏對"送中惡法"有保留，但都不敢表露出來，"一味"硬過惡法，而在惡法被暫緩之後，這些人便出來，又說政府諮詢不足。所以，我覺得我是更適合的人選，去保護"波哥"的收成期，令社會更平穩。

**陳振英議員**：下一位是8號郭榮鏗議員，請發表你的綱領。

**郭榮鏗議員**：即是這樣，經歷了這麼多事情之後，這數個月發生了這麼多事情，建制派是否仍然一丁點都沒有學到？完全沒有任何領會？認為回到這裏，又是靠票多、人多，就強硬通過，甚麼事也"夾硬來"？現在你是否還不明白，有些事情不可以"夾硬來"？"夾硬來"換來的就是過去這數個月，市民官逼民反。為何當初要推"送中條例"？為何數百萬人出來，你還是要"死撐"？有沒有學到甚麼，陳健波？"炒股票"，你很"叻"，但是"聽吓"、"學吓"、"反省吓"吧，好嗎？

**陳振英議員**：下一位是李國麟議員，你可以發表你的競選綱領。

**李國麟議員**：多謝主席。我不知道是看到立法會現在是收成，還是自己搞到自己"收皮"？當然，我不想見到這樣。我參選的最主要目的，是我見到過往其實是失望的，立法會財委會沒有發揮應該有的作用，就是一個制衡的作用。我們往往看到主席有很大權力，不僅是主持會議，還有調動議程。無奈地看到，主席將過往政府一些先難後易，刁難民生的議題先放上來，將其完全政治化，最後又罵我們阻撓，不能通過民生項目，這是不必要，亦不適當。即是說，作為財委會主席，目的是制衡政府，叫它落實民生，先易後難，把民生議題先放上來，不要政治化，這點沒有做到。所以，我們要做的是抗衡政府，令它先解決民生問題。

**陳振英議員**：下一位毛孟靜議員。請發表你的綱領。

**毛孟靜議員**：謝謝主持。這數天、近來，"林鄭"和張建宗出來"貓哭老鼠"，說甚麼被捕的2 000多人當中，有很多很多是未成年的年輕人。為甚麼你會拘捕這麼多年輕人？你如果有看新聞，一直有看網上直播，便會看見很多人根本沒有特別做些甚麼，有些人罵了一句"黑警"，有人罵了一句"你們是否跌了良心？"香港現在有辱警罪嗎？總之他們有理無理也作出拘捕。現時弄成這樣，你是放棄了一整代的香港年輕人。當然，會有建制派、"擦鞋仔"律師說不用擔心，不會控告他們，又或法庭會釋放他們。這正正就是制度暴力，玩弄了你之後.....正如現在立法會，你已經"數夠票"，"數夠票"便可以通過，這也是制度暴力。

**陳振英議員**：還有最後3位候選人，你們的準備時間可能短一些。第一位是15號楊岳橋議員。第二位……這應該是9號，因為剛才已抽出6號，莫乃光議員。排在最後一位是4號胡志偉議員。先請楊岳橋議員，請發表你的綱領。

**楊岳橋議員**：主持，以下是我競逐財委會的政綱。

第一，警察加薪免問。我一天坐在主席這位置，警察加薪休想提上來財委會。第二，所有有關警隊要求撥款的項目，包括甚麼新裝備、水炮車、頭盔、口罩、裝修警署、“新疆嶺”，想也不要想，不需要提上來財委會。第三，警方要開設任何新職位，更不要想提上來財委會。任何與警隊有關牽涉到新錢的項目，如果我是主席，必然會將它們排到最後，因為這樣才真正能夠反映民意。五大訴求，一天未處理，一天也休想把這些提上來，問香港人要錢。

**陳振英議員**：下一位是9號候選人莫乃光議員。

**莫乃光議員**：謝謝主持。我剛才聽到陳健波議員說的話，其實也有很大感觸，因為你說有些人說你不中立，其實我十分不明白，為何你仍未看到自己在過去數年擔任這位置時有甚麼不中立的地方？你說你公正、有效地主持會議，但我們現在正正看到，你在外間發表意見，說警察加薪不能抽起……不能與公務員分開處理，我們現在正正提出市民想看到、在議會亦可行，令你能夠盡快讓所有其他公務員可以加薪的做法，你不肯做，一

個政治原因，完全聽從政府的一套，甚至你現在還不是下任財委會主席，卻去問政府的想法，也不提出你的意見據理力爭。其實你便是不中立，沒有有效地主持會議。

**陳振英議員：**最後一位候選人，4號胡志偉議員。

**胡志偉議員：**多謝主持。我想我今次參選其實有兩個目標。第一，我認為我們要還香港社會一個公道，因為在過去4個月有很多很多沉冤待雪。第二，議會的責任在於制衡政府，監督政府施政，但在過去的日子，我們看見在保皇黨、建制派的幫兇之下，特區政府和警隊的民望已經跌至"低處未見低"，有一半香港市民表達意見，在民調給予零分。如果一個社會.....政府的管治.....有一半市民大眾給予這個政府零分，我們仍然可以在議會說可以不用解決這個政治核心問題而只談撥款，這樣的話，我們是本末倒置。

**陳振英議員：**候選人陳述綱領這環節的時間到了。我們要在下星期加開會議才可以處理提問環節、回應環節及總結環節。我想提醒大家，按慣例秘書處會就包括剛才和下星期的競選論壇環節擬備逐字紀錄，方便委員日後參考。當我們確定會議時間後，會盡快通知大家。由於今天下午還有會議，所以我在此結束今天的會議。下星期再與大家見面。