政府總部 公務員事務局 香港添馬添美道2號 政府總部西翼

本函檔號 Our Ref.: CSBCR/PG/4-085-001/82 來函檔號 Your Ref.:



CIVIL SERVICE BUREAU GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT WEST WING CENTRAL GOVERNMENT OFFICES 2 TIM MEI AVENUE, TAMAR HONG KONG

網	址	Homepage	Address: http://www.csb.gov.hk		
電郵地址		E-mail Address: csbts@csb.gov.hk			
傳真號	碼	Fax No.:	2501 0669		
電話號	碼	Tel. No.:	2810 3112		

19 December 2019

The Dr Hon Fernando CHEUNG Chiu-hung Room 1017, Legislative Council Complex 1 Legislative Council Road Central Hong Kong

Dear Dr Hon CHEUNG,

2019-20 Civil Service Pay Adjustment

I refer to your letter of 10 December 2019 to the Chairman of the Finance Committee (FC) of the Legislative Council expressing concern about the pay adjustment of police officers in the FC paper FCR(2019-20)33 on the "2019-20 Civil Service Pay Adjustment". The Chairman of the FC has agreed that your letter be referred to us for follow-up. Having consulted the Security Bureau (SB), we set out our response to your questions in different areas below.

According to the established mechanism, with civil service pay adjustment, the overtime (OT) allowance for civil servants (including police officers) will also be adjusted correspondingly. In so far as the Hong Kong Police Force (HKPF) is concerned, an average of about 11 000 police officers received Disciplined Services Overtime Allowance (DSOA) each month between June and November 2019. The total expense was about \$950 million and an estimated \$50 million in back-payment of DSOA will be required if the proposed 2019-20 civil service pay adjustment is approved. HKPF does not maintain statistics on the hours of OT work done in a month by officers of each salary point.

From June to November 2019, the payroll expense for HKPF was about \$7.95 billion. An estimated \$410 million in back-payment of salary will be required for HKPF if the proposed 2019-20 civil service pay adjustment is approved.

Regarding the appointment of officers of other disciplined services as Special Constables, in view of the Police's manpower needs and the situation of the public order events, the Commissioner of Police has, in accordance with section 40 of the Public Order Ordinance (Cap. 245), appointed officers of the Correctional Services Department (CSD), Immigration Department (ImmD) and Customs and Excise Department (C&ED) as Special Constables to enhance the Police's manpower and strength.

During their appointment as Special Constables, CSD, ImmD and C&ED officers are temporarily on loan to the Police from their own departments on a part-time basis to discharge the duties of Special Constables. The relevant officers may receive OT work compensation (including time-off in lieu or DSOA) under the existing requirements when their working hours (including both the hours they work for their own departments and as Special Constables) exceed the conditioned hours of work of their substantive grades. These officers are remunerated in accordance with the General Disciplined Services Pay Scales. Their salary (including OT work compensation) will be adjusted correspondingly with the 2019-20 civil service pay adjustment.

As regards meal arrangements for police officers, generally speaking, in a pre-planned operation or drill lasting no less than six hours, if the officers on duty are unable to return to the police station for a meal break, HKPF has to provide meals for them on site. In 2019-20, a provision of about \$20.2 billion was made under Subhead 000 (Operational expenses) for the salaries, allowances and other operating expenses of HKPF, which also covers the above-mentioned meal expenses.

The Police Welfare Fund, which is set up pursuant to section 39 of the Police Force Ordinance (Cap. 232) and administered in accordance with the Police Force (Welfare Fund) Regulation (Cap. 232C), has received donations in the past few months, part of which were intended for the provision of food and beverages to officers during operations.

As in previous years, the 2019 Pay Trend Survey (PTS) was conducted in accordance with the established mechanism and under the supervision of the Pay Trend Survey Committee (PTSC). The conduct of the PTS was in compliance with the methodology reviewed and agreed by the PTSC on an annual Pay adjustment data of 146 116 employees in 108 companies were basis. collected. Companies from nine major local economic sectors (i.e. Wholesale, Retail and Import/Export; Accommodation and Food Services; Manufacturing; Financing, Insurance and Real Estate; Professional and Business Services; Information and Communications; Transport, Storage, Postal, Courier Services and Utility; Construction; and Social and Personal Services) were invited by the PTSC to take part in the survey based on established criteria, and the participation of individual companies was entirely voluntary. The survey findings have to be validated by the PTSC before they are included in the calculation of the Pay Trend Indicators so as to safeguard the credibility of the survey. The PTS only collects data on the year-on-year pay adjustment for employees in various salary bands in these companies, without consideration for the job nature of the employees concerned or comparison with any civil service grades.

You mentioned in your letter the factors considered by the Chief Executive (CE)-in-Council when determining the 2019-20 civil service pay adjustment. We must emphasise again that in coming to a decision on the annual pay adjustment for civil servants, the CE-in-Council considers the civil service as a whole. The Civil Service Bureau issued Legislative Council Briefs on the pay offers (Annex 1) and decision (Annex 2) with regard to this year's civil service pay adjustment on 11 and 19 June 2019 respectively. The Briefs set out in detail those factors mentioned in your letter, such as changes in the cost of living in paragraphs 10 and 11 of Annex 1; pay claims of the staff side (including the staff side of the Police Force Council) and their justifications in respect of the 2019-20 pay adjustment in paragraphs 13 to 15 as well as Annex B to the document;

and morale of the civil service (as opposed to that of staff of individual departments or grades) in paragraph 16.

Yours sincerely,

Senjaminhe

(Benjamin Mok) for Secretary for the Civil Service

Encl.

.

 c.c. The Hon CHAN Kin-por, GBS, JP Chairman, Legislative Council Finance Committee
Deputy Secretary for Financial Services and the Treasury (Treasury)1
Principal Assistant Secretary (Security) E File Ref.: CSBCR/PG/4-085-001/82

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL BRIEF

2019-20 CIVIL SERVICE PAY ADJUSTMENT – PAY OFFERS

INTRODUCTION

At the meeting of the Executive Council on 11 June 2019, the Council **ADVISED** and the Chief Executive (CE) **ORDERED** that the following pay adjustment offers for the 2019-20 civil service pay adjustment, to be effected retrospectively from 1 April 2019, should be made to the staff side of the four central consultative councils¹ -

- (a) a pay increase of 4.75% for civil servants in the upper salary band and the directorate, subject to the pay points referred to in (i) and (ii) below the dollar values of which should be as specified
 - (i) Master Pay Scale (MPS) 34 at \$74,515 and MPS 35 at \$75,265; and
 - (ii) General Disciplined Services (Officer) Pay Scale (GDS(O)) 20 and Police Pay Scale (PPS) 36 at \$74,390, and GDS(O) 21 and PPS 37 at \$75,135;
- (b) a pay increase of **5.26%** for civil servants in the middle salary band; and
- (c) a pay increase of **5.26%** (same as the pay offer for the middle salary band) for civil servants in the lower salary band, by invoking the "bring-up" arrangement².

¹ The four central consultative councils are the Senior Civil Service Council (SCSC), the Police Force Council (PFC), the Disciplined Services Consultative Council (DSCC) and the Model Scale 1 Staff Consultative Council (MOD 1 Council).

² The "bring-up" arrangement refers to the arrangement to align the pay adjustment for civil servants in the lower salary band with the net PTI for the middle salary band if the latter is higher than the net PTI for the lower salary band. This arrangement was introduced in 1989 upon the recommendation of the Committee of Inquiry into the 1988 Civil Service Pay Adjustment and Related Matters (1988 Committee of Inquiry) (please see paragraph 23 below for details).

2. In addition, at the same meeting, the Council **ADVISED** and the CE **ORDERED** that from the 2019-20 civil service pay adjustment onwards, the respective average payroll cost of increments (PCIs) for each salary band from 1989-90 to 2019-20, or the actual PCIs for the particular salary band for the year³, whichever is the lower, should be adopted for deriving the net PTI^4 for that salary band.

JUSTIFICATIONS

Civil Service Pay Policy

3. The Government's civil service pay policy is to offer sufficient remuneration to attract, retain and motivate staff of suitable calibre to provide the public with an effective and efficient service; and to maintain broad comparability between civil service and private sector pay. To implement this policy, civil service pay is compared with market pay through three different types of surveys under the Improved Civil Service Pay Adjustment Mechanism endorsed by the Executive Council in 2007, namely (a) the annual PTS to ascertain the year-on-year pay adjustment movements in the private sector; (b) the six-yearly Pay Level Survey (PLS) to ascertain whether civil service pay is broadly compare the starting salaries of civil service civilian grades with the entry pay of jobs in the private sector requiring similar qualifications⁵. More details about the annual PTS and the background for the PCIs deduction arrangement are at **Annex A**.

A

The 2019 PTS

4.

The 2019 PTS has been completed. It covers the 12-month period

⁴ For example, for the purpose of the 2019-20 civil service pay adjustment, the PCIs for the year refer to the actual PCIs incurred for each of the three salary bands in 2018-19.

³ In accordance with the established annual civil service pay adjustment mechanism (established mechanism), the PCIs, i.e. the increment payments made to civil servants who have not yet reached the maximum pay point of their respective ranks (expressed as a percentage of total salary payment) of each salary band is deducted from the gross pay trend indicator (PTI) of that salary band from the Pay Trend Survey (PTS) to derive the net PTI, which is one of the relevant factors to be considered under the annual civil service pay adjustment.

⁵ Previously, SSS was conducted once every three years. In December 2018, the Standing Commission on Civil Service Salaries and Conditions of Service (Standing Commission) completed a review on the PLS and SSS and recommended, among other things, that in future, SSS should be conducted "as and when necessary in response to specific circumstances". On 9 April 2019, the Acting Chief Executive-in-Council decided that the recommendations of the Standing Commission as contained in its Report No. 59, including those relating to the future conduct of SSS, should be accepted in full.

from 2 April 2018 to 1 April 2019. In the survey, the basic pay and additional pay adjustment data of 146 116 employees in 108 companies, consisting of 144 221 employees in 80 larger companies and 1 895 employees in 28 smaller companies, were collected. The findings of the 2019 PTS are set out below –

Salary Band ⁶	Basic Pay Indicator [A]	Additional Pay Indicator [B]	Gross PTI ⁷ [A] + [B]
Upper	4.57%	1.22%	5.79 %
Middle	5.49%	0.80%	6.29%
Lower	4.93%	0.39%	5.32%

5. The PTS Committee met and considered the 2019 PTS findings on 23 May 2019. The findings were validated by all members attending the meeting⁸. The PTS Committee submitted its report on the 2019 PTS to the Government on the same date.

The Established Mechanism

6. In accordance with the established mechanism, after completion of the annual PTS, the Executive Council's advice is sought on the pay offers to be made to the staff side of the four central consultative councils on the basis of a number of relevant factors, including –

- the net PTIs
- the state of Hong Kong's economy
- changes in the cost of living
- the Government's fiscal position

(b) Middle: From MPS 10 to 33 or equivalent, viz. \$22,865 to \$70,090; and

⁶ The pay ranges of the three salary bands for the 2019 PTS are –

⁽a) Upper: Above MPS 33 to GDS(O) 39 or equivalent, viz. \$70,091 to \$140,560;

⁽c) Lower: Below MPS 10 or equivalent, viz. below \$22,865.

⁷ The gross PTI is the sum of the basic pay indicator and the additional pay indicator. Basic pay indicators cover salary adjustments awarded to employees on account of: (a) cost of living; (b) general prosperity and company performance; (c) general changes in market rates; and (d) in-scale increment and merit. Additional pay indicators cover adjustments to pay in addition to basic salary, such as "the 13th month salary", year-end bonuses, commissions and other non-guaranteed/discretionary/one-off bonuses, etc. Both indicators do not cover changes in fringe benefits and allowances (e.g. housing allowance, stock options and education allowance, etc.).

⁸ The two members representing the staff side of the DSCC did not participate in the work of the 2019 PTS, neither did they attend the meeting on 23 May 2019. Thus, they did not validate the survey findings.

- the pay claims of the staff side
- civil service morale

If the pay offers are different from the staff side's pay claims, the staff side will be consulted again before the Executive Council's decision on the civil service pay adjustment is sought.

The Net PTIs

7. According to the prevailing methodology, the **net** PTIs, which are calculated by deducting from the gross PTIs the actual PCIs for the respective salary bands in 2018-19, are as follows –

Salary Bands	Gross PTIs [C]	PCIs in 2018-19 [D]	Net PTIs [C] – [D]	
Upper	5.79%	1.21%	4.58%	
Middle	6.29%	1.21%	5.08%	
Lower	5.32%	2.19%	3.13%	

8. The staff side have all along been concerned about the erosion of the net PTIs by the rising PCIs. They noticed that the weight of PCIs as a percentage of gross PTIs has risen from less than 10% in 1989-90⁹ when the PCIs deduction arrangement was first introduced to as high as 41% for the lower salary band this year. The staff side of the MOD 1 Council are especially concerned about the record high PCI of 2.19% for the lower salary band which they believe will continue to rise in the near future and further erode the net PTI. The staff side expect the Administration to take effective measures this year to mitigate the negative impact of the rising PCIs on future annual civil service pay adjustments and civil service morale.

The State of Hong Kong's Economy

9. Following another year of above-trend growth of 3.0% in 2018, the Hong Kong economy expanded modestly by 0.6% in the first quarter of 2019 over a year earlier, weighed down by the weaker performance of the global economy, US-China trade tensions and various external headwinds. Also relevant was the high base of comparison in the first quarter of last year. For 2019 as a whole, the Hong Kong economy is projected to grow by 2% to 3%. The labour market remained tight in the past year or so, with the seasonally

⁹ In the 1989-90 civil service pay adjustment exercise, the gross PTIs for the three salary bands ranged from 14.6% to 16.07% whereas the PCIs ranged from 1.17% to 1.38%. The PCIs as a percentage of the gross PTIs were about 8% to 9%.

adjusted unemployment rate staying low at 2.8% in February - April 2019. The nominal wage index, which covers regular payment to employees up to the supervisory level, increased by 4.0% for 2018 as a whole.

Changes in the Cost of Living

10. For the 12-month period ending March 2019, the headline Composite Consumer Price Index (CPI), which reflects the impact of the changes in consumer prices on approximately 90% of households (as compared with CPI(A), CPI(B) and CPI(C) which relate only to approximately 50%, 30% and 10% of households respectively), increased by 2.3% as compared to the previous 12-month period ending March 2018. The increases in headline and underlying¹⁰ Composite CPI, CPI(A), CPI(B) and CPI(C) for the 12-month period ending March 2019¹¹ over the previous 12-month period ending March 2018 are as follows –

	Composite CPI	CPI(A)	CPI(B)	CPI(C)
Headline	2.3%	2.7%	2.2%	2.1%
Underlying	2.7%	3.1%	2.6%	2.4%

11. The annual increases in headline and underlying Composite CPIs are both forecast at 2.5% for 2019 as a whole.

The Government's Fiscal Position

12. The consolidated surplus for 2018-19 was \$68 billion, more favourable than the original and revised estimates. As at 31 March 2019, the fiscal reserves stood at \$1,170.9 billion.

The Pay Claims of the Staff Side

13. The Secretary for the Civil Service (SCS) personally met the staff side of the four central consultative councils and the four major service-wide staff unions¹² on 24 May 2019 to listen to their pay claims and justifications.

¹⁰ The headline CPI figures include the effect of the Government's relevant one-off relief measures while the underlying CPI figures exclude the effect of these measures.

¹¹ Source: Monthly Report on the Consumer Price Index (March 2019) published by the Census and Statistics Department on 23 April 2019. These figures were compiled based on the 2014/15-based index series.

¹² The four major service-wide staff unions are the Government Employees Association, the Hong Kong Civil Servants General Union, the Hong Kong Federation of Civil Service Unions and the Government Disciplined Services General Union.

14. The pay claims of the staff side of the four central consultative councils (at **Annex B**) are summarised in the table below –

В

Staff Side	Upper Salary Band	Middle Salary Band	Lower Salary Band	
(I) $SCSC^{13}$				
(a) Hong Kong Chinese Civil Servants' Association	5.04%	5.48%	5.48%	
(b) Hong Kong Senior Government Officers Association	Not less than 5%	N.A.	N.A.	
(II) PFC	Not less than 6.5%			
(III) DSCC	Not less than 7%			
(IV) MOD 1 Council	N.A.	N.A.	Not less than 6%	

15. The major common demands that the staff side put forward at the meetings on 24 May 2019 and in their submissions are –

- (a) the staff side request a reasonable and equitable pay rise this year to maintain their living standard and recognise their hard work with an ever-increasing workload and higher public expectations;
- (b) all staff side representatives of both the civilian grades and disciplined services continue to criticise the PCIs deduction arrangement, as the rising PCIs will erode the net PTIs further in the years to come and affect civil service morale. They demand that measures be taken to mitigate the negative impact of the PCIs deduction arrangement, such as setting a cap on the PCIs. Some staff side representatives also express that their wish is for the PCIs deduction arrangement to be abolished eventually;
- (c) the staff side demand that the Government, as a caring employer, should continue to follow the past practice recommended by the 1988 Committee of Inquiry and invoke the "bring-up" arrangement whenever the net PTI for the lower salary band is lower than that for the middle salary band. The staff side of a consultative council request that, to maintain the morale of civil servants in the lower salary band, the "bring-up" arrangement be made a policy rather

6

¹³ The Association of Expatriate Civil Servants of Hong Kong, one of the three constituent associations of SCSC, has not provided any pay claim.

than a one-off arrangement subject to the decision of the Executive Council every year; and

(d) the staff side request the Administration to work out a long-term solution to fix the problem of the "unreasonably small" pay lead among the pay points of GDS(O) 19, 20 and 21 (and equivalent pay points on other pay scales) which they consider is seriously affecting staff morale.

Civil Service Morale

16. The staff side consider a reasonable pay rise vital to maintaining civil service morale, retaining experienced talents and ensuring the delivery of quality services to the general public. However, the continual practice of PCIs deduction and the rising PCIs have not just eroded the net PTIs, but also damaged civil service morale. The staff side have all along held the view that PCIs have no direct relevance to exceptional merit pay in the private sector which the PCIs are to offset. They consider the PCIs deduction arrangement particularly unfair to civil servants who are already on their maximum pay points and are no longer receiving increments.

Pay Offers for 2019-20

17. Having considered the relevant factors, the CE-in-Council considered that there were justifications for the Administration to tackle the issue related to the PCIs deduction arrangement in the context of the 2019-20 civil service pay adjustment exercise. In particular, with the sharper increase in the number of civil service posts in recent years¹⁴ and the civil service retirement wave moving towards its peak from 2018-19 to 2022-23¹⁵, there will be a continued consequential rise in the proportion of civil servants with increments and thereby the PCIs.

18. The above said, we do not consider it justified to cease the PCIs deduction arrangement at this moment. The arrangement seeks to offset exceptional merit pay (that cannot be distinguished from the general merit pay) and in-scale increment in the private sector. According to the 2019 PTS, 74% of the private sector companies participating in the survey still took merit pay as one of the considerations when deciding pay adjustment for their employees,

¹⁴ The annual civil service establishment increase has been at the upper end of the average of 1-2% in recent years and reached its record high of 3.7% in 2018-19 since 1997.

¹⁵ It is projected that, during the five-year period between 2018-19 and 2022-23, on average around 6 400 civil servants will reach their normal retirement age each year, compared with about 2 300 civil servants retiring each year in the early 2000s.

which is comparable to the figure in 1989-90 when the PCIs deduction arrangement was introduced.

19. The CE-in-Council decided, from this year onwards, to adopt the average PCIs for each salary band from 1989-90, i.e. the year when the PCIs deduction arrangement was first introduced, to 2019-20, or the actual PCIs for the particular salary band for the year, whichever is the lower, for deriving the net PTI for that salary band. This approach has refined the methodology for determining the PCIs to be deducted, thus preventing the net PTIs from being further eroded. At the same time, the principle of "offsetting" behind the PCIs deduction arrangement as recommended by the 1988 Committee of Inquiry can be preserved. The advantage of adopting the average PCIs from 1989-90 to 2019-20 is that the figures cover what have happened during the period of the past 30 years or so - when the Hong Kong economy was experiencing ups and downs, and when the Government was expanding or downscaling the civil service establishment.

20. According to the calculations under this decision, the average PCIs from 1989-90 to 2019-20 for the upper, middle and lower salary bands are 1.04%, 1.03% and 1.16% respectively. As they are all lower than the actual PCIs for the respective salary bands (i.e. 1.21%, 1.21% and 2.19%), the average PCIs will be adopted for deriving the "revised" net PTIs for 2019-20, which will be 4.75%, 5.26% and 4.16% for the upper, middle and lower salary bands respectively.

21. Taking into consideration all the relevant factors under the established mechanism as set out and analysed in paragraphs 4 to 20 above (including the "revised" net PTIs for the respective salary bands), the CE-in-Council decided to make the following pay offers to the staff side for 2019-20-

Salary Bands	No. of Civil Servants ¹⁶	"Revised" Net PTIs	Pay Offers
Directorate	1 390	N.A.	4.75%
Upper	19 191	4.75%	4.75%
Middle	119 985	5.26%	5.26%
Lower	34 258	4.16%	5.26 %

¹⁶ The figures reflected the position as at 31 March 2019 and included some 19 900 civil servants seconded to or working in trading funds, subvented and other public bodies.

22. In gist, the pay offers include the invoking of the "bring-up" arrangement for civil servants in the lower salary band. As for directorate civil servants who are not covered by the annual PTS, the pay offer for them is the same as that for the upper salary band in accordance with the practice adopted since 1989-90. For Independent Commission Against Corruption (ICAC) staff, although they are not civil servants, it is the Government's policy to also extend the annual civil service pay adjustment to them.

23. The "bring-up" arrangement for civil servants in the lower salary band has been implemented since 1989 upon the recommendation of the 1988 Committee of Inquiry on the grounds, inter alia, that the Government should be among the better paying employers in relation to the lowest paid in the civil service. Since 1989, the Government has, save for a few exceptions, invoked upon consideration of the circumstances of the year, the "bring-up" arrangement whenever the net PTI for the lower salary band is below that for the middle salary band. Similarly, the CE-in-Council decided to invoke the arrangement concerned, having regard to the circumstances of the current year.

24. As stated in paragraph 1(a)(i) and (ii) above, the CE-in-Council has decided to specify the dollar values of MPS 34 and 35, GDS(O) 20/PPS 36 and GDS(O) 21/PPS 37. The arrangement is mainly due to a higher rate of pay adjustment for the middle salary band than that for the upper salary band, and the pay lead between the lowest pay point of the upper salary band and the highest pay point of the middle salary band has narrowed as a result. The CE-in-Council has therefore decided that a minimum 1% pay lead be maintained between the relevant pay points and their adjacent pay points¹⁷. We will continue to apply this mechanism for adjusting the relevant pay lead in future, when necessary.

Effective Date for the Pay Adjustment

25. In line with the established practice, the CE-in-Council decided that the pay adjustment should take effect retrospectively from 1 April 2019.

OTHER RELATED ISSUES

26. Civil service pay adjustment is not applicable to judges or judicial officers, politically appointed officials, non-civil service contract staff or subvented sector staff (except for teaching and related staff in the aided school

¹⁷ As it is the Government's policy to extend the annual civil service pay adjustment to ICAC staff, the pay lead will also be applied between the equivalent pay points on ICAC Pay Scale (between points 28 and 27).

sector who are paid according to the civil service pay scales). The relevant policy background is set out in **Annex C**.

IMPLICATIONS

С

27. The pay offers are in conformity with the Basic Law, including the provisions concerning human rights. They have no competition, environmental, productivity or sustainability implications. There is no gender issue but there are positive family implications.

28. The annual financial implications for the civil service, ICAC staff and the subvented sector arising from the pay adjustment according to the pay offers (if implemented) are estimated as follows –

	\$ million
(a) Civil service	5,56218
(b) ICAC staff	51
(c) Subvented organisations	6,767 ¹⁹
(d) Auxiliaries	13
Total	12,393 ²⁰

29. The civil service accounts for about 4% of the total workforce and civil service emoluments account for about 7% of the overall employment remuneration in the economy. The civil service and employees in subvented organisations together account for around 16% of the overall employment remuneration in the economy. As the pay offers are still broadly in line with the pay adjustments in the private sector over the past year, the impact on

10

¹⁸ The figure includes an additional cost of about \$492 million arising from pay adjustment for around 19 900 civil servants seconded to or working in trading funds, subvented and other public bodies, and an estimated increase of \$751 million in pension payments for those retiring in 2019-20. It also includes the estimated additional cost of around \$22 million arising from the pay lead rectification.

¹⁹ This figure has excluded the financial implications arising from pay adjustment for civil servants seconded to or working in subvented bodies, which have been incorporated under item (a) above.

²⁰ The figure includes the estimated additional cost of around \$45 million (\$22 million for civil servants and the remaining \$23 million for ICAC staff, subvented organisations and the auxiliaries) arising from the pay lead rectification.

the overall labour market should be small. The impact on inflation of the pay adjustment should also be negligible.

PUBLICITY

30. After deliberation of the CE-in-Council, SCS made the pay offers to the staff side of the four central consultative councils earlier today (11 June 2019). A press release will be issued and a spokesperson will be available to answer media enquiries.

ENQUIRIES

31. Enquiries on this brief should be addressed to Mr Benjamin MOK, Principal Assistant Secretary for the Civil Service (Tel: 2810 3112).

11 June 2019 Civil Service Bureau

Annex A

Details of the Annual Pay Trend Survey (PTS) and the Payroll Cost of Increments (PCIs) Deduction Arrangement

First conducted in 1974, the annual PTS aims to ascertain the year-on-year pay adjustment movements in the private sector. The results of the PTS, viz. the **gross** pay trend indicators (PTIs) for the three salary bands, from which the PCIs are deducted, provide the **net** PTIs which are one of the factors to be considered in the established mechanism. The PCIs deduction arrangement has been implemented since 1989 on the recommendation of the Committee of Inquiry into the 1988 Civil Service Pay Adjustment and Related Matters (1988 Committee of Inquiry) together with the inclusion of private sector merit pay and in-scale increment in the computation of gross PTIs. The 1988 Committee of Inquiry considered that, if in-scale increment and merit pay (including exceptional merit pay which should be excluded but cannot be distinguished therefrom) in the private sector were to be included in the PTS, the PCIs should be deducted for fairness.

2. Since 1983, the annual PTS has been commissioned and its conduct has been overseen by the PTS Committee which is a tripartite committee comprising representatives of the staff side of the four central consultative councils, the two independent advisory bodies on civil service salaries and conditions of service¹ as well as government officials. Every year before the conduct of the PTS, the PTS Committee reviews and agrees on the survey methodology and the survey field. It then renders its advice on the PTS methodology to the Standing The Standing Commission, after Commission for endorsement. advice of the PTS Committee, considering the submits its recommendation on the PTS methodology to the Government for consideration. Upon receiving the Standing Commission's endorsement and the Government's support, the PTS Committee will commission the Pay Survey and Research Unit of the Joint Secretariat for the Advisory Bodies on Civil Service and Judicial Salaries and Conditions of Service to conduct the annual PTS.

¹ The two independent advisory bodies are the Standing Commission on Civil Service Salaries and Conditions of Service (Standing Commission) and the Standing Committee on Disciplined Services Salaries and Conditions of Service.

Chinese version only 只附中文版

Annex B 附件 B

香港政府華員會 HONG KONG CHINESE CIVIL SERVANTS' ASSOCIATION 中國香港九龍京士柏衛理道 8號 8 Wylie Road, King's Park, Kowloon, Hong Kong, China 電話 Tel: (852) 2300 1066 圖文傳真 Fax: (852) 2771 1139 網址 Website: http://www.hkccsa.org.hk

本會檔號: (77) in 2/7/CCSA(XXII)

香港特別行政區政府 公務員事務局局長 羅智光先生

尊敬的羅局長:

2019-20 年度公務員薪酬調整

華員會建議薪酬上調:高層 5.04%;中及低層 5.48%

經全面考慮多項因素,作為高級公務員評議會、第一標準薪級公務員評議會 職方成員之一的香港政府華員會(本會),現向政府建議:2019-20年度公務員薪酬 上調,高層 5.04%;中及低層 5.48%。

本會考慮的眾多因素,包括:

(1) 高、中、低層扣減的"遞增薪額"(PCI)及其所佔薪酬趨勢總指標(GPTI) 的百份比,不合理偏高,建議採用"平均比例基準法"予以調整, 以維持"扣減機制"的合理性

根據 1988 年公務員薪酬調查委員會(Committee of Inquiry on Civil Service Pay) 最後報告第 6.17 段指:"正如我們曾經指出,私人機構的勞績獎賞及遞增薪額, 與公務員遞增薪額,並不可以作數量上比較"。自 1989 年開始,高、中、低層 扣減的"遞增薪額",用作與私營機構的"遞增薪額"及"特殊勞績獎賞"(私 營公司給予個別僱員有超卓表現的額外加薪)作對沖扣減,只是一個權宜之 計。經過 30 年的變化,近年這"扣減機制"出現下述兩個嚴重問題/缺陷:

- (a)高、中、低層扣減的 "遞增薪額" 受著公務員退休高峯潮及公務員編制增加 的影響而令 "遞增薪額"處於偏高的水平,特別低層薪金級別更為嚴重,而 致拉低了薪酬趨勢淨指標(NPTI);
- (b)除了上述公務員 "遞增薪額" 受到一些非薪酬因素的影響外, "扣減機制" 亦存在一個根本的問題:公務員的 "遞增薪額" 作為與私營機構的 "遞增 薪額"及 "特殊勞績獎賞" 對沖的扣減工具,應佔 GPTI 多少?由 1989 至 2019 年間(剔除凍/減薪的 8 年),公務員的 "遞增薪額" 佔 GPTI 的百份比 平均為 12-15%,但近年已上升至 19-51%偏高的百份比,將來亦有機會再 繼續升高。

事實上,近年參與薪酬趨勢調查的私營公司只有極少設 "遞增薪額" 予僱員,而今年(2019)已完全沒有了;剩下的 "特殊勞績獎賞" 究竟佔私營公司加薪幅度(GPTI) 多少?這是一個完全未知之數。在沒有數據可參考的情

況下,公務員 "遞增薪額"佔 GPTI 的比例不斷升高,已偏離了 "扣減機制" 的原意及邏輯。事實上,這偏高現象,明顯拉低了每年的薪酬趨勢淨指標 (NPTI)。

以上(a)及(b)的情況,顯示這"扣減機制"在過去 30 年有所變化,存在一些 問題及漏洞。本會認為,當局應致力維持"扣減機制"的合理性及公信力, 在考慮公務員薪調幅度時,有必要減少以上(a)及(b)的影響。為解決"扣減機 制"出現的問題/缺陷,本會建議採用以下"平均比例基準法",完善"扣減 機制"的缺陷,免除不斷爭議,可收長治久安之效。

按 "平均比例基準法"提出 2019-20 的薪調訴求:

以 1989-2019 (剔除凍/減薪的 8 年) 的 "遞增薪額" 佔 GPTI 的百份比平均數作 基準。當年公務員的 "遞增薪額" 佔 GPTI 的百份比,若高於 1989-2019 的平 均比例基準,則按此基準 (即高、中、低層的 "遞增薪額" 佔 GPTI 為 12.94%; 12.86%; 15.57%),調整公務員的 "遞增薪額",以作扣減。如此, "遞增薪額" 會按當年的 GPTI 及平均比例基準浮動,當 GPTI 高時, "遞增薪額"會相應 提高去對沖私營公司的 "特殊勞績獎賞";這符合私營公司薪調較高時,其 派發的 "特殊勞績獎賞"會相應高些,反之亦然。按此, "遞增薪額"亦可避 免受到一些非薪酬因素的影響。有關 2019-20 年度公務員薪酬調整建議的計 算,見下表:

		,			(a)	(b)	(c)	
公務	2019	扣减	2019	1989-	1989-2019 年	按 `PCI	修訂的	按(c) 及
員薪	GPTI	2019年	年的	2019 年	▶PCI 佔	佔 GPTI″	2019年	*低跟中*
金		的 PCI	NPTI	PCI 的	GPTI"的平均	的平均	NPTI	提出
級別				平均數	比例基準	比例基	[GPTI-(b)]	2019-20
					[平均 PCI/平均	準計算的		薪調訴求
					GPTI x%]	PCI		
						[GPTI x(a)]		
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
高	5.79	1.21	4.58	1.04	12.94	0.75	5.04	5.04
回	J.19	1,21	4.00	1.04	(1.04/8.04)	0.75	5.04	5.04
中	6.29	1.21	5.08	1.03	12.86	0.81	5.48	5.48
	0.29	1,21	5.06	1.05	(1.03/8.01)	0.01	J.40	J.40
低	5.32	2.19	3.13	1.16	15.57	0.83	4.49	5.48
IFM	3,34	2.17	2.12	1.10	(1.16/7.45)	0.02	4.47	(低跟中)

(2) "低跟中"乃機制内一貫做法

現行機制自 1974 年確立起,即規定參與調查的公司必須為穩健良好的僱主, 須有一定的規模,並在員工薪酬方面,有一套合理和有系統的架構。其目的 在體現政府須為良好僱主的政策。

正是基於此,當時的港英政府接受了 1988 年仲裁委員會的建議,由 1989 年 起,若薪酬趨勢調查結果顯示,低層薪金級別的薪酬趨勢凈指標(NPTI)低於 中層,則會調高至與中層同一水平(即所調 "低跟中"),除非另有強而有力的理由。這做法也一定程度上起到了避免高、中、低層公務員的薪酬差距過 於擴大的作用,具社會公義性。這已成為公務員薪酬調整機制的不可或缺的 部分,希望當局不要背離這行之多年的機制。

(3) 政府財政狀況

本會注意到上一財政年度,政府繼續有豐厚的財政盈餘。在政府良好的財政 狀況下,對公務員薪酬:高層上調 5.04%;中、低層上調 5.48%,完全有能力 負擔。

(4) 提升公務員士氣、穩定公務員隊伍至關重要

特區政府現時面對的是越趨泛政治化的局面,情況之複雜、嚴峻及牽連之大, 不容低估;政府又尚須面對如何能把握新機遇創造新局面,擺脫香港經濟被 邊緣化的危機。因而,特區政府擁有一支穩定、高效、具創新能力,又不可 或缺士氣高昂的公務員隊伍,以推行本屆政府的施政新理念、執行施政新措 施,在複雜多變的政治環境中落實政府政策,至關重要。

此外,有相當數目的文職職系的入職薪酬及薪酬水平,實際上長期未能與私營機 構作比較,也從來未有進行職系架構檢討,而致有部份職系明顯比私營市場低。加 公務員工作量、工作壓力有增無減、晉升機會普遍不多、單一職系則完全沒有晉升 前途、語言暴力惡化得不到有效遏止,等等。故此, 能讓公務員有合理的薪酬調整, 對公務員的士氣及凝聚力肯定能收立竿見影之效。

(5) 薪酬趨勢指標實為上一年度的調查結果

公務員薪酬調整的其中一個重要考慮因素:薪酬趨勢指標,是滯後的調查結果,公務員的薪酬調整實際上是落後於私營機構、實質上只是在 "追趕"私 營機構上一年度的薪酬調整。

本會期望政府能慎重考慮本會按機制提出上述調整 "遞增薪額"的建議方案及其他因素,作出 2019-20 公務員薪酬調整。這將能充分體現政府已全面考慮上述多項因素,及確有正面舉措解決 "扣減機制"存在的問題/缺陷,有利於提升公務員的 士氣及執行力、有利於本屆政府在面對種種挑戰下順利施政。

誠望政府認真考慮接納!



會長

謹啟

(利葵燕) 2019年5月26日

English version only 只附英文版



Hong Kong Senior Government Officers Association

Tel: 2522 4267

Fax: 2523 3319

香港添馬添美道 2 號政府總部東翼 3 樓 328 室 Rm.328, 3/F., East Wing, Central Government Offices, 2 Tim Mei Avenue, Tamar, H.K., E-mail: hksgoa@biznetvigator.com

Website: www.hksgoa.org

24 May 2019

Mr. LAW Chi-kong, Joshua, GBS, JP Secretary for the Civil Service 9/F, West Wing Central Government Offices 2 Tim Mei Avenue Tamar, Hong Kong

Dear Mr LAW,

2019-20 Civil Service Pay Adjustment

On behalf of the Hong Kong Senior Government Officers Association (HKSGOA) of the Senior Civil Service Council Staff Side, we wish to inform you of our pay claim. We would like to reiterate that the net Pay Trend Indicators (PTIs) should not be mechanically adopted as the Government should take into account all the relevant factors in the mechanism. Having regard to the PTIs, the state of local economy, the government's healthy fiscal position, the changes in the cost of living as well as the need to sustain the stability and morale of the Civil Service, it is our view that the civil service pay adjustment for the upper salary band for 2019-20 should be no less We have no comment about the middle and the lower salary bands. than 5%.

In addition, we would like to bring to your attention to some pertinent factors for your consideration:

(1)The existing annual pay adjustment mechanism needs to be thoroughly reviewed. Whist we have validated the findings of the Pay Trend Survey (PTS) this year, there remains a long overdue of reviewing the existing inadequacies in the mechanism of the annual pay adjustment for civil servants. We have concerns that the PTS findings cannot fully reflect the actual take-home pay and the findings of the PTS are always on the low side. The implications to the upper salary band are most significant. The existing mechanism has not been reviewed since 1988 whilst the private market has indeed changed and evolved over the last some 30 years. For instances, some companies might replace basic salary and/or additional payment by allowance, profit related award scheme or other forms of rewards in the remuneration

package, which would have a considerable impact on the survey findings. We believe that we should be forward-looking in order to rectify the intrinsic shortcomings of the present mechanism so as to keep boosting the morale of the civil servants.

(2) Inflation of Payroll Cost of Increments (PCIs) by the growth of the civil service establishment and the retirement boom. The magnitude of PCI has been continuously growing as the number of intake of new recruit increases in recent years, partly due to the retirement boom and partly due to the continuous augmentation of the civil service. As a result, the 2018/19 PCIs for all three salary bands are the highest over the past some fifteen years, in particular the PCI of the Lower Salary Band, which is 2.19%, a record high figure. Such an abnormal phenomenon reveals the inadequacies of the existing mechanism, as the inflation of PCIs is in fact not linked and no relation to the pay policy in private sector. In light of the above, we have urged the government to look into the existing mechanism for many years. This is to ensure that the annual pay adjustment is regarded as fair by the civil servants.

(3) The Deduction of PCI should be capped before a new mechanism has been worked out. We are disappointed as, despite our repeated requests, the government has not made any adjustment to the existing PCI deduction calculation. With the increasing number of new recruits in civil service, we can foresee that the PCIs will continue to inflate and is highly likely to surge to another record high next year. We consider that the practice of mechanically deducting the PCIs from the Gross PTIs to get the net PTIs should be ceased immediately as it erodes the magnitude of the annual civil service pay adjustment. Nevertheless, before a new mechanism has been worked out, as an interim stop-gap measure, we propose that the deduction of PCIs from the Gross PTIs should be capped at an appropriate level acceptable to the civil servants. As this issue has been dragged on for many years, we urge the government to take active actions to remedy these deficiencies within this year.

(4) The effect of deduction of the PCIs on the pay adjustment to the serving civil servants that have reached their maximum pay points. The salaries of many civil servants represented by HKSGOA have reached the maximum points of their respective pay scale for many years and, without further promotion, will not receive any additional increments. The practice of deduction of PCI from the gross PTI to get the net PTI has eroded their annual pay adjustment. As a result, over the past some ten years, the pay levels of senior civil servants in the upper salary band have proved to be lower than those working with equivalent responsibilities in private sectors and the pay gaps have even widened during the years, which were found out by the Pay Level Survey:

- (a) In the 2006 Pay Level Survey, there was a shortfall of around 1 5 % for the Upper Band (5% for Job Level 5 and 1% for Job Level 4); and
- (b) In the 2013 Pay Level Survey, there was a shortfall of around 2 8 % for the Upper Band (8% for Job Level 5 and 2% for Job Level 4)

We consider that an officer who had been in a particular rank at maximum point for several years generally would do the job better than an officer who had just reached the point due to the experience and knowledge accumulated. In such case, we believe some additional pay adjustment for those civil servants that have reached the maximum point for some years would be reasonable in order to uphold the staff morale. We suggest the government to consider awarding increments to officers reaching MP44 and MP49 (the maximum pay point of the professional and senior professional grade respectively), say at every two years, to offset the pay erosion due to the deduction of PCI from the Gross PTI.

(5) Anomalous situation of MPS 34 and 35 should be rectified. In recent years, the pay adjustment of upper salary band is lagging behind that of the middle band. An anomalous situation has arisen that the dollar value of the lowest pay point of the upper salary band could be lower than the dollar value of the highest pay point of the middle salary band. Even a pay lead was decided by CE-in-Council last year, the pay between pay points MPS 33, 34 and 35 are still unreasonably small (only \$500 between MPS 33 and 34; and \$930 between MPS 34 and 35). We would suggest the government to bring up the concerned pay points to say at least 2% more than the lower pay point, so as to provide greater incentive to the concerned civil servants.

Yours sincerely,

(Wong Hung-lok, Steven) Chairman Hong Kong Senior Government Officers Association

3

English version only 只附英文版



POLICE FORCE COUNCIL STAFF ASSOCIATIONS 39/F, ARSENAL HOUSE,

POLICE HEADQUARTERS, I ARSENAL STREET, HONG KONG.

協會 檔號 OUR REF: CP PER SS C/4-85/1 來件 編號 YOUR REF: CSBCR/PG/4-085-001/80

24 May 2019

The Hon. LAW Chi-kong, Joshua, GBS, JP Secretary for the Civil Service, 9/F., West Wing, Central Government Offices, 2 Tim Mei Avenue, Tamar, Hong Kong

Dear Mr. LAW,

Pay Claim 2019-20 Civil Service Pay Adjustment

In response to your letter under reference CSBCR/PG/4-085-001/82 and dated 10 May 2019, please find below the Pay Claim of the Police Force Council Staff Side (PFC SS).

The PFC SS consider the net pay adjustment this year for the Police Force should be an increase of not less than 6.5% across-the-board. This figure is based on the findings of our own independent pay trend survey conducted utilizing open and closed sources, and also considerations on the other factors including civil service morale. As the Police Pay Scale is an integral and complete system, any adjustment to the Police Pay Scale should be made across-the-board.

The PFC SS continue to call for the cessation of the practice of deducting the Payroll Costs of Increments (PCIs) from the figures of the civil service pay adjustment. The PCIs deduction practice is not a mechanism that is fair to all, as increments are only granted upon the assessment of an officer's persistent satisfactory performance, and are not eligible to officers on maximum increment. Since its introduction nearly thirty years ago, the market practices, the conditions and the circumstances regarding labour in both public and private sectors have gone through many changes. What's more, the proportion of officers that have reached maximum increment and the ratio of PCIs deduction to pay rise have been increased significantly. Before the PCIs

SUPERINTENDENTS' ASSOCIATION 警司協會 HONG KONG POLICE INSPECTORS' ASSOCIATION 香港警務督察協會

OVERSEAS INSPECTORS' ASSOCIATION 海外督察協會

JUNIOR POLICE OFFICERS' ASSOCIATION 警察員佐級協會 have completely eroded away the pay increase our officers rightly deserve, the Government should timely replace this outdated practice with a new mechanism that is just and fair to all. If complete cessation of this practice is not immediately available, interim measures should be introduced to mitigate its negative effects.

With an ever-increasing workload and higher public expectations, police officers continue to deliver essential and highly professional frontline services to the community. Fair and reasonable pay for police officers is vital in maintaining high morale and ability to provide high quality services. The expensive cost of living in Hong Kong, particularly the ever increasing price of property and rent, continue to be a heavy burden. Last year, the Hong Kong Government maintained a very healthy fiscal position: Fiscal surplus / reserves of the Government are \$68 billion / \$1,171 billion respectively for the year ended 31 March 2019. We consider our officers deserve an equitable pay rise, and we look forward to receiving a just and reasonable pay offer from the Government.

Yours sincerely,

Ronny CHAN Chairman SPA A Y Wilkie NG

Chairman

HKPIA

Neil TAYLOR Chairman OIA



Ray LAM Chairman JPOA

c.c. Commissioner of Police

Chinese version only 只附中文版



紀律部隊評議會(職方) **Disciplined Services Consultative Council** (Staff Side)

Room 326, East Wing, **Central Government Offices**, 2 Tim Mei Avenue, Tamar, Hong Kong Tel. No. 2810 2703 Fax No. 2537 6937

本函檔號: (24) in SS/DSCC/P-3 (Pt. XXV) 來函檔號: CSBCR/PG/4-085-001/82

香港 添美道2號 政府總部西翼 9 樓 公務員事務局局長 羅智光先生, GBS, JP

羅局長:

二零一九年至二零年度公務員薪酬調整

謝謝你於5月10日的來函。

根據政府統計處的報告,2018年全年與2017年比較,本地 生產總值實質上升 3%;用作概括量度整體通貨膨脹的本地生產總值 內含平減物價指數,在2019年第1季較上年同期上升3.4%,與2018 年第4季的升幅相同。政府於上月底公佈的資料顯示,截至三月止 的十二個月,甲類消費物指數的相應升幅在剔除所有政府一次性紓 困措施的影響後為 2.7%。其後,政府在四月底亦確實財政儲備在 2019年3月31日為11,709億港元。

近年,香港人口持續急速增長。在假期時進出境人次更屢創 新高。這一切令提供服務的公務員,尤其是作為執法機關的紀律部 隊人員,帶來越來越大的工作壓力。倘若在年度薪酬安排上沒有一 個合理的增幅,讓同事能維持基本的穩定生活,勢必破壞有關人員 的士氣。

政府飛行服務隊機師工會 **Government Flying Service** Pilots' Union

懲教事務職員協會(高級組) **Correctional Services** Officers' Association (Senior Section)

香港消防處救護員會 Hong Kong Fire Services Department Ambulancemen's Union 政府飛行服務隊空動主任協會 Government Flying Service Air Crewman Officers Association

懲教事務職員協會(初級組)

Correctional Services

Officers' Association

Department Ambulance

Officers Association

(Junior Section)

政府飛行服務隊飛機工程師會 **Government Flying Service** Aircraft Engineers Association

香港海關官員協會 Association of Customs & Excise Service Officers

香港海關關員工會 Hong Kong Customs Officers Union

政府飛行服務隊飛機技術員工會 Government Flying Service Aircraft Technicians Union

香港消防控制組職員會 Hong Kong Fire Services Control Staff's Union

> 入境事務主任協會 Immigration Service Officers Association

香港消防處救護主任協會 香港消防主任協會 Hong Kong Fire Services Hong Kong Fire Services **Officers** Association

香港消防處職工總會 Hong Kong Fire Services Department **Staffs General Association**

香港入境事務助理員工會 Hong Kong Immigration Assistants Union

另外,政府多年來在公務員年度的增薪百份比中扣減遞增薪 額開支,殊不合理,尤其是對已達頂薪點的公務員更甚。

紀律部隊評議會(職方)認為將遞增薪額開支設置上限在現 階段來說是一個可取和正面的方案。紀評(職方)希望與公務員事務 局進一步商討一個客觀基礎,令在可見的將來有一個突破,並繼續 檢討年度加薪的安排,最終達至免除扣減遞增薪額開支的目標。紀 評(職方)提示政府在決定年度公務員薪酬調整時,須全面考慮機制 內的每一項因素。我們更希望今年高、中、低級公務員劃一加薪。

環顧上述情況,紀評(職方)要求 2019 年 2020 年度公務員薪 酬增幅不少於 7%。

紀律部隊評議會(職方)主席

黎偉生

2019年5月22日

Chinese version only 只附中文版

Rm. 326, 3/F, East Wing, Central Government Offices, 2 Tim Mei Avenue, Tamar, Hong Kong.

第一標準薪級公務員評議會(職方) MODEL SCALE 1 STAFF CONSULTATIVE COUNCIL (STAFF SIDE)

傳真:2537 8630 電話:2810 2209 電港添馬添美道 2 號

Tel No.: 2810 2209 Fax No.: 2537 8630 E-mail: sharon_wk_chung@csb.gov.hk

本函檔號:SSMOD/SAL/PAY/5/7/1 Pt.28 来函檔號:CSBCR/PG/4-085-001/82

香港添馬添美道2號 政府總部西翼9樓 公務員事務局局長 羅智光先生,GBS,JP

羅局長:

二零一九至二零年度公務員薪酬調整

局方在2019年5月10日的來信收悉。我們的意見如下:

根據實行了多年的慣例,當低層薪金級別的薪酬趨勢淨指標較 中層薪金級別為低時,政府會引用「調高」安排,把前者的薪酬調整 幅度調高至與後者相同。我們重申,「調高」安排是公務員薪酬調整機 制內不可或缺的一部分,作為善待僱員的僱主,我們要求政府將這慣 例納入現行機制內,以維持低層薪金級別公務員的士氣。

政府統計處公布的資料顯示,在各類消費物價指數組成項目 中,食品(不包括外出用膳)的價格在 2019 年 3 月份錄得按年升幅為 4.7%。這項目是基層市民每天的必需支出,而且佔他們每月總收入很 高的比例。第一標準薪級人員屬基層員工,收入微薄,因此我們希望 本年度的薪酬調整能保持他們的購買力,並改善他們的生活水平。

隨著近年社會環境急劇轉變,政府要處理的問題日益複雜,市 民對政府的要求亦不斷提高,使公務員在工作上面對更多壓力和新挑 戰。因此,我們要求政府讓公務員得到合理的薪酬調整,以提升他們 的士氣,挽留有經驗的人才,讓政府能繼續有效施政,為市民提供更 優質的公共服務,使香港保持繁榮安定。

此外,政府在多年前推行自願退休計劃並凍結招聘公務員後, 在近年恢復了招聘。為填補在退休潮下出現的空缺,以及因應服務需 要而增加編制,政府近年招聘了大量公務員,導致整體遞增薪額開支 (尤其是低層薪金級別)屢創新高,令遞增薪額開支相對薪酬趨勢總 指標的比例處於不合理的高水平,對已達頂薪點的同事尤其不公平。 鑒於公務員退休潮持續,政府近年亦逐步增加人手編制,我們預計遞 增薪額開支會繼續創新高。另一方面,扣減遞增薪額開支的安排始於 1989年,現時的經濟環境、市場狀況,以及私營機構在發放勞績獎賞 和級內自動遞增薪額的做法,和當年相比已有很大變化。因此,我們 近年一直要求政府盡快檢討扣減遞增薪額開支的安排。為解決燃眉之 急,我們建議政府在扣減的遞增薪額開支加設上限(例如採用 1989 年至 2019 年期間遞增薪額開支的平均數為上限),作為一項短期措 施。長遠而言,我們認為將扣減的遞增薪額開支控制在薪酬趨勢總指 標的若干百份比會較為公平。

基於上述因素,我們建議低層薪金級別公務員於 2019-20 年度 加薪不低於 6%。

我們一直尊重公務員薪酬調整機制。我們希望政府經考慮現行 機制下的六大相關因素,包括薪酬趨勢淨指標、香港經濟狀況、政府 的財政狀況、生活費用的變動、職方對薪酬調整的要求及公務員士氣, 以及上文有關扣減公務員遞增薪額開支帶來的影響後,能接受我們的 建議。

第一標準薪級公務員評議會

職方主席林榮松

2019年5月24日

Annex C

Applicability of the Civil Service Pay Adjustment

Civil service pay adjustment is not applicable to judges or judicial officers (JJOs), politically appointed officials (PAOs), non-civil service contract (NCSC) staff or subvented sector staff. The relevant policy background is set out below –

- (a) <u>JJOs</u>: JJOs are subject to a different and separate mechanism for pay adjustment as endorsed by the Chief Executive (CE)in-Council on 20 May 2008. The Standing Committee on Judicial Salaries and Conditions of Service (the Judicial Committee) will deliberate on how the pay of JJOs should be adjusted having regard to a basket of factors, including the pay adjustment decision to be made for the civil service. Upon receipt of the recommendations of the Judicial Committee, a separate decision from the CE-in-Council will be sought.
- (b) <u>PAOs:</u> The pay policy for PAOs (including Directors of Bureaux, Deputy Directors of Bureaux and Political Assistants) are distinct and separate from those for the civil service. The pay offers in this brief will not apply to them.
- (c) <u>NCSC staff:</u> NCSC staff are recruited by individual bureaux and departments mainly for work that is seasonal, timelimited or part-time in nature, or work where the mode of delivery is under review or likely to be changed, etc. As the pay of NCSC staff is managed differently from that of the civil service, the pay offers in this brief and the pay adjustment decision to be made for the civil service will not be applied to them.
- (d) Subvented sector staff: With the exception of teaching and related staff in the aided school sector who are paid according to the civil service pay scales, the Government, as a general rule, is not involved in the determination of pay or pay adjustment of staff working in subvented bodies (e.g. the Authority, social welfare Hospital non-governmental organisations, institutions funded by the University Grants Committee, etc.). These are matters between the concerned bodies as employers and their employees. Hence, the Government will not directly impose any pay adjustment applicable to the civil service on the subvented sector. However, it has been the established practice that following a civil service pay adjustment, the Government will adjust the provisions for subventions which are price-adjusted on the basis of formulae including a factor of civil service pay

adjustment. The additional provisions for subventions will in general be calculated in accordance with the weighted average of the pay rise decided for the civil service¹, as was done in previous years. It would be up to individual subvented bodies, as employers, to decide whether to increase the salaries of their own employees and, if so, the rate of increase. Subject to the CE-in-Council's decision on the pay adjustment for the civil service for 2019-20 and the approval of the Finance Committee of the Legislative Council, we will, through the relevant Controlling Officers, remind the subvented bodies concerned that the additional subventions from the Government are meant to allow room for pay adjustment for their staff.

¹ The weighted average of civil service pay adjustment rates would be 5.13% if civil service pay for 2019-20 is indeed adjusted according to the recommended pay offers.

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL BRIEF

2019-20 CIVIL SERVICE PAY ADJUSTMENT – DECISION

INTRODUCTION

On 19 June 2019, the Executive Council **ADVISED** and the Chief Executive (CE) **ORDERED** that civil service pay should be adjusted for 2019-20 in accordance with the pay offers made to the staff side of the four central consultative councils¹ with retrospective effect from 1 April 2019 –

- (a) a pay increase of 4.75% for civil servants in the upper salary band and the directorate, subject to the pay points referred to in (i) and (ii) below the dollar values of which should be as specified
 - (i) Master Pay Scale (MPS) 34 at \$74,515 and MPS 35 at \$75,265; and
 - (ii) General Disciplined Services (Officer) Pay Scale (GDS(O)) 20 and Police Pay Scale (PPS) 36 at \$74,390, and GDS(O) 21 and PPS 37 at \$75,135;
- (b) a pay increase of **5.26%** for civil servants in the middle salary band; and
- (c) a pay increase of **5.26%**² for civil servants in the lower salary band, by invoking the "bring-up" arrangement³.

² Same as the pay adjustment for the middle salary band

¹ The four central consultative councils are the Senior Civil Service Council (SCSC), the Police Force Council, the Disciplined Services Consultative Council and the Model Scale 1 Staff Consultative Council.

³ The "bring-up" arrangement refers to the arrangement to align the pay adjustment for civil servants in the lower salary band with the net pay trend indicator (PTI) for the middle salary band if the latter is higher than the net PTI for the lower salary band. This arrangement was introduced in 1989 upon the recommendation of the Committee of Inquiry into the 1988 Civil Service Pay Adjustment and Related Matters (1988 Committee of Inquiry).

2. Independent Commission Against Corruption (ICAC) staff are not civil servants. However, in accordance with the Government's established policy, the annual civil service pay adjustment will also be extended to them.

JUSTIFICATIONS

(A) Staff Side's Response to Pay Offers

3. In accordance with the CE-in-Council's decision made on 11 June 2019, the pay offers set out in paragraph 1 above have been made to the staff side of the four central consultative councils. The staff side's response to the pay offers are at **Annexes A to D**⁴. In gist -

- A to D
- (a) while the staff side reiterate their original respective pay claims for an increase ranging from not less than 5% to 7%, some of them also consider the pay offers acceptable. They request that the Government should, when making a decision on the pay adjustment rates, thoroughly consider all relevant factors under the established annual civil service pay adjustment mechanism (the established mechanism);
- (b) the staff side generally welcome the Government's decision to put a cap on the payroll cost of increments (PCIs) by adopting, from this year's civil service pay adjustment onwards, the respective average PCIs for each salary band from 1989-90 to 2019-20, or the actual PCIs for the particular salary band for the year⁵, whichever is lower, for deriving the net PTI for that salary band. They, however, reiterate their wish for the eventual cancellation of the PCIs deduction arrangement and that the Government should consider a long-term solution to tackle the problem brought by the arrangement;
- (c) the staff side representing the lower salary band request that the "bring-up" arrangement be made a policy, and should be invoked automatically whenever the net PTI for the lower salary band is lower than that for the middle salary band to maintain the morale of civil servants in the lower salary band; and
- (d) the staff side appreciate the application of a minimum 1% pay lead between the relevant pay points at the bottom of the upper salary band and the top of the middle salary band on the relevant pay

⁴ The Association of Expatriate Civil Servants of Hong Kong, one of the three constituent associations of the SCSC, has not provided response to the pay offers.

⁵ For the purpose of the 2019-20 civil service pay adjustment, the PCIs for the year refer to the actual PCIs incurred for each of the three salary bands in 2018-19.

scales⁶ as an improvement to deal with the issue of narrow pay lead between the relevant pay points. Some staff side, however, are of the view that the minimum 1% pay lead, while being an improvement over last year's arrangement, is not sufficient.

(B) The Government's Views

- 4. Our views on the response of the staff side are set out below
 - (a) the CE-in-Council has taken into account all the relevant factors under the established mechanism in deciding the pay offers, including the pay claims of the staff side and civil service morale;
 - (b) the validated results of the 2019 Pay Trend Survey (PTS) have objectively measured the year-on-year pay adjustment of 108 surveyed companies from the major economic sectors, which can be regarded as a reflection of how private sector companies have taken into account all relevant factors including the state of economy, etc. in coming up with pay adjustment for their employees. The validated results of the 2019 PTS should thus continue to be adopted as the basis for considering the rates of civil service pay adjustment this year;
 - (c) the PCIs deduction arrangement has been implemented since 1989 on the recommendation of the 1988 Committee of Inquiry together with the inclusion of private sector in-scale increment and merit pay in the computation of the gross PTIs. The 1988 Committee of Inquiry considered that, if private sector in-scale increment and merit pay (including exceptional merit pay which should be excluded but cannot be distinguished therefrom) were to be included in the PTS, the PCIs should be deducted for fairness. The current situation under which 74% of the 108 surveyed companies in the 2019 PTS, not much different from the percentage in 1989-90 when the deduction arrangement was first implemented, still took merit pay into consideration in deciding pay adjustment for their employees shows that there are not sufficient justifications to cease the PCIs deduction arrangement;

⁶ The minimum 1% pay lead is applicable between MPS 34 and 33; MPS 35 and 34; GDS(O) 20 and 19, GDS(O) 21 and 20; PPS 36 and 35; and PPS 37 and 36. As it is the Government's policy to extend the annual civil service pay adjustment to ICAC staff, the minimum 1% pay lead will also be applied between the equivalent pay points on ICAC Pay Scale (between points 28 and 27).

- (d) the above being the case, the PCIs have been on a clear rising trend due to a sharper increase in the number of civil service posts in recent years⁷ and the fact that the civil service retirement wave is moving towards its peak between 2018-19 and 2022-23⁸. The PCIs are expected to rise further. The adoption of the average PCIs from 1989-90 to 2019-20 as a cap for calculating the net PTIs from this civil service pay adjustment exercise onwards will ensure no further erosion of the net PTIs by the rising PCIs. It will also serve as a positive response of the Administration towards a longstanding staff side concern impacting on civil service morale;
- while noting the staff side's request that the "bring-up" (e) arrangement should be invoked automatically whenever the lower salary band's net PTI is lower than the middle salary band's, the determination of the annual civil service pay adjustment remains a prerogative of the CE-in-Council upon consideration of all the relevant factors under the established mechanism. As for the current pay adjustment, the CE- in-Council decided to invoke the "bring-up" arrangement having regard specific to the circumstances of the year; and
- (f) the adoption of a minimum 1% pay lead between the relevant pay points at the bottom of the upper salary band and the top of the middle salary band is to rectify the situation in which the pay lead between the relevant pay points is narrowed as a result of the application of a lower (4.75%) pay adjustment rate to the upper salary band than the middle salary band (5.26%). The arrangement has been made after balancing all relevant factors.

5. Having considered the staff side's response to the pay offers and after taking into account all relevant factors under the established mechanism, the CE-in-Council has decided that civil service pay for 2019-20 should be adjusted in accordance with the pay offers made (including the specification of the dollar values of the pay points mentioned in paragraph 1(a)(i) and (ii) above, and the "bring-up" arrangement for the lower salary band).

⁷ The annual civil service establishment increase has been at the upper end of the average of 1-2% in recent years and reached its record high of 3.7% in 2018-19 since 1997.

⁸ It is projected that, during the five-year period between 2018-19 and 2022-23, on average around 6 400 civil servants will reach their normal retirement age each year, compared with about 2 300 civil servants retiring each year in the early 2000s.

IMPLICATIONS

6. The Basic Law, financial, economic and family implications of the decision on the 2019-20 civil service pay adjustment are the same as those set out in the Legislative Council (LegCo) Brief on the pay offers in respect of the 2019-20 civil service pay adjustment issued on 11 June 2019. The decision has no competition, environmental, productivity, sustainability or gender implications.

PUBLICITY

7. The Secretary for the Civil Service informed the staff side of the decision on the 2019-20 civil service pay adjustment today (19 June 2019). A press release has been issued and a spokesman is available to handle media enquiries. We plan to seek the approval of the Finance Committee of LegCo before LegCo's summer recess.

ENQUIRIES

8. Enquiries on this brief should be addressed to Mr Benjamin MOK, Principal Assistant Secretary for the Civil Service (Tel: 2810 3112).

Civil Service Bureau 19 June 2019 Chinese version only 只附中文版 Annex A 附件 A

香港政府華員會

HONG KONG CHINESE CIVIL SERVANTS' ASSOCIATION

中國香港九龍京土柏衛理道 8 號 8 Wylie Road, King's Park, Kowloon, Hong Kong, China 電話 Tel: (852) 2300 1066 圖文傳真 Fax: (852) 2771 1139 網址 Website: http://www.hkccsa.org.hk

本會檔號:(83) in 2/7/CCSA(XXII)

香港特別行政區政府 公務員事務局局長 羅智光先生

尊敬的羅局長;

2019/20 公務員薪酬調整方案可接受 扣減 "遞增薪額" 應從速研究採用 "平均比例基準法" 以免除不斷爭議,收長治久安之效

謝謝您 2019 年 6 月 11 來信,告知行政長官會同行政會議向中央評議會職方提出 2019-20 年度公務員薪酬調整方案:高層 4.75%;中及低層 5.26%。本會了解上述薪 調方案已考慮 "遞增薪額"的平均數,某程度剔除了一些與薪酬無關的因素,減 少 "遞增薪額"偏高的情況;因而,本會認為上述 2019/20 公務員薪酬上調方案可 接受。

惟本會必須指出:今年採用以 "遞增薪額" 的平均數作為上限考慮,酌量減少 公務員遞增薪額的扣除數值,只可視為臨時的措施,實未能完全解決 "扣減遞增薪 額"機制存在的根本問題/缺陷,亦未能使 "扣減遞增薪額" 能持續得一個合理的平 衡,供長期使用,免除公務員團體不斷的爭議。

公務員的 "遞增薪額" 開支作為與私營機構的 "特殊勞績獎賞"(私營公司給 予個別僱員有超卓表現的額外加薪)對沖的扣減工具,應佔薪酬趨勢總指標(GPTI) 多少?一直以來,這是一個完全未知及難以確定之數;在沒有數據可參考的情況 下,公務員的 "遞增薪額" 佔 GPTI 的比例卻不斷升高,已偏離了 "扣減機制"的 原意及邏輯。

由 1989 至 2019 年間(剔除凍/減薪的 8 年),公務員的 "遞增薪額" (PCI)佔 GPTI 的百份比平均為 12-15%,但近年已上升至 19-51%偏高的比例,將來隨著經濟情況變動,GPTI 處於低水平的時候,偏高的比例亦會再繼續升高。

鑑此,本會在 2019 年 5 月 26 日提交的 2019/20 薪調訴求已提出,為使 "扣減 遞增薪額"機制可長久使用,消除不斷的爭議, "扣減遞增薪額"應認真考慮採用 "平均比例基準法"^(僅 1)作調整,從而得出 "經修訂"的薪酬趨勢淨指標(NPTI), 以改善 "扣減遞增薪額"機制長期存在的缺陷。

註1:-

"平均比例基準法"

以 1989-2019 年的 "遞增薪額" (PCI)平均數佔 1989-2019 年(剔除凍/減薪的 8 年)的薪酬趨 勢總指標(GPTI)平均數的比例為基準 (過去平均的 PCI/平均的 GPTI x%-----高層:(1.04/8.04) x% = 12.94%; 中層:(1.03/8.01) x% = 12.86%; 低層:(1.16/7.45) x%= 15.57%)。 *平均比例基準法"以 1989-2019 PCI 的平均數與 1989-2019 年(剔除凍/減薪的 8 年)的 GPTI 的平均數,計算過去多年 PCI 佔 GPTI 的平均比例,以此作基準。若當年公務員的 PCI 佔 GPTI 的百份比,高於"平均比例基準",則按平均比例基準(即高、中、低層的"遞增薪額"佔 GPTI 為 12.94%; 12.86%; 15.57%) 調整,並以此 扣減 PCI,從而得出 "經修訂"的 NPTI。

"平均比例基準法"的好處是當年被扣減的 PCI 會按"平均比例基準"浮動, 當 GPTI 高時, "遞增薪額"會相應提高去對沖私營公司的"特殊勞績獎賞", 反之亦然;這符合私營機構的常態。按此,當年被扣減的 PCI 亦同時可避免受到 一些非薪酬因素的影響,及避免佔 GPTI 的比例偏高或甚至超過 GPTI。採用"平 均比例基準法"可彌補"扣減遞增薪額"機制過去一直存在的缺陷,令其可長遠 使用。

本會期望政府:不要 "見步行步"、"頭痛醫頭,腳痛醫腳",應慎重考慮本 會提出的 "平均比例基準法",長遠而言,完善"扣減遞增薪額"機制長期存在的 問題/缺陷。這將可免除公務員團體不斷的爭議,收長治久安之效,有利於提升 公務員的士氣及執行力、有利於本屆政府面對種種挑戰下順利施政。

誠望政府認真考慮接納!

會長

謹啟

(利葵燕) 2019年6月12日 English version only 只附英文版

香港高级公務員協 曾

Hong Kong Senior Government Officers Association

香港添馬添美道 2 號政府總部東翼 3 樓 328 室 Rm. 328, 3/P., East Wing, Central Government Offices, 2 Tim Mei Avenue, Tamar, H.K., Tel: 2522 4267 Fax: 2523 3349

E-mail: hksgoa@biznetvigator.com Website: www.bksgoa.org

13 June 2019

Mr. LAW Chi-kong, Joshua, GBS, JP Secretary for the Civil Service 9/F, West Wing Central Government Offices 2 Tim Mei Avenue Tamar, Hong Kong

Dear Mr LAW,

2019/20 Civil Service Pay Adjustment

Thank you for your letter of 11 June 2019 informing us the pay offers made by the Chief Executive-in-Council (CE-in-Council) to the Staff Side. On behalf of the Hong Kong Senior Government Officers Association, we wish to inform you that we accept the pay offer that, with effect retrospectively from 1 April 2019, a pay increase of 4.75% for civil servants in the upper salary band and the directorate.

We note a capping mechanism to cap the Payroll Cost of Increments (PCIs) for deriving the net Pay Trend Indicators (PTIs) will be adopted from this year onwards. This mechanism can be regarded as an interim stop-gap measure to alleviate the inflation of PCIs which has eroded the magnitude of the annual pay adjustment for many years. Nevertheless, there remains a long overdue re-vamping of the existing inadequacies/ shortcomings in the present mechanism of annual pay adjustment; namely the Pay Trend Survey (PTS) findings cannot fully reflect the actual take-home pay and are always on the low side, the deduction of PCI to derive the net PTI has no relation to the pay policy in private sector, the deduction of PCI on the pay adjustment to the serving civil servants that have reached the maximum pay points has eroded their annual pay adjustment, etc., just to name a few.

The present mechanism has not been thoroughly reviewed since 1988 whilst the private market has indeed changed over the past 30 years. We urge the government to initiate a full review of the present mechanism in the coming year to address all the inadequacies/shortcomings of the present mechanism so as to move with time and to uphold the morale of Civil Servants.

Yours sincerely,

(Wong Hung-lok, \$teven) Chairman Hong Kong Senior Government Officers Association

English version only 只附英文版

Annex B 附件 B

警察評議會職方協會

香港軍器廠街一號警察總部

警政大樓三十九樓

電話 Telephone: 2860 2645 傳真 Fax: 2200 4355

協會檔號 OUR REF: CP PER SS C/4-85/1

來件編號 YOUR REF: CSBCR/PG/4-085-001/82

13 June 2019

POLICE FORCE COUNCIL

STAFF ASSOCIATIONS 39/F, ARSENAL HOUSE,

Police Headquarters, 1 Arsenal Street, Hong Kong.

The Hon. LAW Chi-kong, Joshua, GBS, JP Secretary for the Civil Service, 9/F., West Wing, Central Government Offices, 2 Tim Mei Avenue, Tamar, Hong Kong

Dear Mr. LAW,

2019-20 Civil Service Pay Adjustment

This letter sets out the Police Force Council Staff Side' (PFC SS) response to the pay offers made to us in your letter dated 11 June 2019.

The PFC SS note that the Government has offered to modify the practice of deduction of the Payroll Cost of Increments (PCIs), by introducing a cap on PCIs The PFC SS has long been urging for the deduction at a 30-year average level. abolishment of the thirty-year old PCI deduction practice, as the practice has unfairly encroached on the pay rise our hardworking officers have rightfully earned. Increments are awards granted for officers' good performance and it is disheartening for them to have their increments used as an 'offsetting factor' in an obscure equation resulting in a deduction of their pay rise. The PCI deduction practice is especially unfair to officers on maximum increment, who no longer receive increments themselves but have to share the increment costs of others, the amount of which fluctuates from year to year and may well exceed the increment benefits they obtained The PFC SS are of the view that the Government, in offering a cap on in the past. PCI deduction at a 30-year average level, has taken one step forward in the right direction. Nevertheless, the PFC SS must also point out the limited effect of this step, as the current cap may still result in a reduction of a significant proportion of our officers' pay rise, and may even overturn an otherwise positive pay adjustment to a

SUPERINTENDENTS' Association	HONG KONG POLICE INSPECTORS' ASSOCIATION	Overseas Inspectors' Association	JUNIOR POLICE OFFICERS' Association
警司協會	香港警務督察協會	海外督察協會	警察隊員佐級協會



negative one. The PFC SS stress that the cap in its current form should only be the first but not the final step in resolving the problem of unfair encroachment on our officers' pay rise brought by the PCI deduction practice, and the Government should continue to seek better solutions to be introduced in future, including the capping of PCI deduction to a certain percentage of pay rise, and ultimately to completely abolish the PCI deduction practice.

The PFC SS also note that the pay offers introduced a one-percent pay lead between the neighbouring pay points of upper and middle salary bands. The PFC SS consider this an improvement over last year's arrangement, which was in the form of a fixed sum of \$500. Nonetheless, with the one-percent pay lead, the pay leads of these pay points are still tangibly narrower than other pay points in the Police Pay Scale (PPS). As expressed in our pay claim letter, the Police Pay Scale is an integral and complete system, and the PFC SS maintain that adjustment to the PPS should be made across-the-board.

The PFC SS observe that apart from the above PCI deduction and pay lead arrangements, the pay offers made by the Government this year is the same as the Pay Trend Indicator (PTI) figures. The PFC SS reiterate that PTI figures are but just one of the pay factors, and should not be dictating the outcome of our officers' pay rise. It is essential that the Government in determining the annual pay adjustment of the civil service shall take all relevant pay factors into full account, which in addition to PTI also includes the state of Hong Kong's economy, changes in the cost of living, the Government's fiscal position, the pay claims of the staff sides, and civil service morale.

The PFC SS, after carefully considering all relevant pay factors, maintain that an across-the-board increase of not less than 6.5% shall be appropriate for this year's civil service pay adjustment. We sincerely hope that the CE-in-Council will consider our above response when coming to a final decision.

Yours sincerely,

Ronny CHAN Chairman SPA

Wilkie NG Chairman HKPIA

Neil TAYLOR Chairman OIA



Ray LAM Chairman JPOA

c.c. Commissioner of Police

Chinese version only 只附中文版



紀律部隊評議會(職方) Disciplined Services Consultative Council (Staff Side) Room 326, East Wing, Central Government Offices, 2 Tim Mei Avenue, Tamar, Hong Kong Tel. No. 2810 2703 Fax No. 2537 6937

Annex C

附件 C

本函檔號: (27) in SS/DSCC/P-3 (Pt. XXV) 來函檔號: CSBCR/PG/4-085-001/82

香港 添美道2號 政府總部西翼9樓 公務員事務局局長 羅智光先生,GBS,JP

羅局長:

二零一九年至二零年度公務員薪酬調整-薪酬調整方案

謝謝你於6月11日的來函。

紀律部隊評議會(職方)樂見經近年來管、職雙方不斷磋商而 共同努力後,政府在扣減遞增薪額開支安排,以及在備受爭議的一 般紀律人員(主任級)薪级點上作出調整,邁出第一步。來函中本年 度的薪酬調整方案仍未符合紀評(職方)於 5 月 22 日提出的公務員 薪酬增幅要求,然而紀評(職方)認為在現階段來說,該方案是一個 正面和可取的方案,期望政府朝著這方向繼續研究優化公務員薪酬 調整的機制。

紀評(職方)的目標是爭取政府盡快全面取消不公平的扣減 遞增薪額開支安排,以及劃一高層、中層和低層公務員的年度薪酬 調整。另外,紀評(職方)亦希望政府能明確顯示在作出公務員薪酬 調整方案時已充分考慮全盤的相關因素,尤其是公務員士氣。

紀律部隊評議會(職方)主席



2019年6月13日

政府飛行服務隊機師工會 Government Flying Service Pilots' Union

懲叛事務職員協會(高級組) Correctional Services Officers' Association (Senior Section)

香港消防處救護員會 Hong Kong Fire Services Department 政府飛行服務隊空動主任協會 Government Flying Service Air Crewman Officers Association

懲教事務職員協會(初級組) Correctional Services Officers' Association (Junior Section)

香港消防處救護主任協會

Hong Kong Fire Services Department Ambulance 香港消防主任協會 Hong Kong Fire Services

Officers Association

香港海關官員協會

Association of Customs &

Excise Service Officers

政府飛行服務隊飛機工程師會 Government Flying Service Aircraft Engineers Association

香港游防流藏工總會

Department

Hong Kong Fire Services

黎偉生

香港海關縣員工會 Hong Kong Customs · Officers Union

> 香港人境事務助理員工會 Hong Kong Immigration Assistants Union

政府飛行服務隊飛機技術員工會 Government Flying Service Aircraft Technicians Union

香港消防控制組**粮員會** Hong Kong Fire Services Centrel Staff's Union

> 入境事務主任協會 Immigration Service Officers Association

Chinese version only 只附中文版

Rm. 326, 3/F, East Wing, 2 Tim Mei Avenue, Tamar, Hong Kong.

第一標準薪級公務員評議會(職方) Central Government Offices, MODEL SCALE 1 STAFF CONSULTATIVE COUNCIL (STAFF SIDE)

傳真:2537 8630 電話:2810 2209 電話:2810 2209 號 326

室

Tel No.: 2810 2209 Fax No.: 2537 8630 E-mail: sharon_wk_chung@csb.gov.hk

本函檔號:SSMOD/SAL/PAY/5/7/1 Pt.28 來函檔號: CSBCR/PG/4-085-001/82

香港添馬添美道2號 政府總部西翼 9 樓 公務員事務局局長 羅智光先生

羅局長:

二零一九至二零年度公務員薪酬調整

局方在2019年6月11日的來信收悉。

行政長官會同行政會議建議,於2019-20年度低層薪金級別公務員 加薪 5.26%,比我們建議不少於 6%為低。

不過,經職方多年爭取,我們很高興政府就近年屢創新高的遞增薪 額開支落實了應對方案,表示政府有聆聽職方的意見。有關方案在扣減的 遞增薪額開支加設上限,有助紓緩薪酬趨勢總指標被過高的遞增薪額開支 蠶食的問題。因此,我們支持有關方案,並感謝公務員事務局向行政長官 會同行政會議反映我們的意見,我們希望局方會繼續積極研究長遠解決有 關問題的方法。

此外,正如我們在 2019 年 5 月 24 日的信中強調,「調高」安排是 現行機制內不可缺少的一部分,而且它是公務員薪酬調整及有關事宜調查 委員會在1988年的報告中作出的建議。政府作為良好僱主,應將這安排納 入現行機制內,避免低層和中層薪金級別公務員薪酬的差距加劇,以維持 低層薪金級別公務員的士氣。

第一標準薪級公務員評議會

職方主席林榮松

2019 年 6 月 13 日

Annex D 附件 D